

Date and Time: 19th June 2014, 10.00 – 16.30

Minutes: Final

Guideline Development Group Meeting 7: Diabetic Foot Problems

Place: NICE Offices
Level 1a
City Tower
Piccadilly Plaza
Manchester
M1 4BT

Present: Damien Longson (Chair)
Rachael Hutchinson (RH)
Laurie King (LK)
Stella Vig (SV)
Catherine Gooday (CG)
Sheila Burston (SBu)
Nicholas Foster (NF)
Stephen Hutchins (SH) (co-opted expert)
Fania Pagnamenta (FP) (co-opted expert)

Apologies: Gerry Rayman (GR)
Sue Brown (SBr)
Rachel Berrington (RB)
Issak Bhojani (IB)
Chizo Agwu (CA)
Susan Benbow (SBe)

In attendance:

NICE Staff:

Stephanie Mills (SM)
Gabriel Rogers (GR)
Hugh McGuire (HM)
Michael Heath (MH)
Chris Gibbons (CG)
Stephen Duffield (SD)

Apologies:

Oliver Bailey (OB)

Observers:

Juliana Urbe – NICE staff	
Louise Hartley – NICE staff	

1. DL welcomed all to the seventh diabetic foot guideline development group (GDG) meeting including 2 co-opted expert members, SH and FP. Apologies were received from GR, SB, SBe, CA, RB and IB. DL stated that the objectives for the day were to look at two evidence reviews; the first related to adjunctive therapies for people with diabetic foot problems and the second, to frequency of monitoring for those at risk of diabetic foot problems. LK declared further talks he had been scheduled to give but these declarations did not affect participation on the group. No further conflicts above what had already been made known to the NICE team were given. The group were asked to look at the minutes for GDG 6. They were agreed without amendment.
2. SD presented the evidence for review question 11. The GDG discussed the many different adjunctive interventions available. The group discussed the frequency, the practicality and the expense of using these treatments in UK clinical practice. The committee commented on the quality of the evidence and noted the authors of a number of the included trials.

The GDG highlighted the need to look at evidence on negative pressure wound therapy.

The committee agreed the evidence statements and following presentation on the clinical evidence, CG discussed with the group the few papers that were found relating to the health economic evidence in the area. Taking into consideration the evidence and their own expertise, the GDG went on to make draft recommendations.

3. Following lunch, SD presented the evidence for review question 4. The GDG discussed the purpose of the review question and debated whether the included study really answered this. The group chose not to make any recommendations at this point and to await the outcome of the health economic modelling.
4. CG presented to the group on the progress of the health economic modelling and asked the GDG for their input in identifying parameters for the model.
5. In the final part of the meeting, the GDG were asked to consider the recommendations they had already written and checked that they covered everything they had wanted to cover. The group agreed not to make any changes at this stage.
6. DL thanked the group for their hard work. SM let the group know the date, time and clinical areas to be covered at the next meeting.

Date and venue of the next meeting

Wed 30th July 2014 – NICE Offices, Manchester, 10am start