National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Draft for Consultation

Babies, children and young people's experience of healthcare

Grey literature review of national surveys

NICE guideline <TBC> Supplement 5 March 2021

Draft for Consultation

These supplementary materials were developed by the National Guideline Alliance which is part of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists



Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the <u>Welsh Government</u>, <u>Scottish Government</u>, and <u>Northern Ireland Executive</u>. All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

Copyright

© NICE 2021. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

ISBN:

Contents

Introduction5Inclusion criteria5Search strategy5Sources searched6Surveys identified7Surveys included7Quality assessment9References20Surveys excluded21Evidence mapping to review questions21	Evidence from national surveys	
Search strategy	Introduction	
Sources searched	Inclusion criteria	
Surveys identified	Search strategy	
Surveys included		
Quality assessment	Surveys identified	7
Quality assessment	Surveys included	7
Surveys excluded		
•	References	
Evidence mapping to review questions	Surveys excluded	
	Evidence mapping to review questions	

Evidence from national surveys

Introduction

During the scoping for this guideline, a number of national surveys of children and young people's experience of healthcare were identified. Some of these surveys were conducted by or on behalf of the NHS, or by national charities and they provided a snapshot of children's and young people's views on different aspects of healthcare provision. The surveys were not published in peer-reviewed literature and so would not be identified by the systematic literature searches. However, the results of these surveys provided a wealth of information, both quantitative and qualitative, and the committee agreed that a grey literature review of national surveys should be undertaken in parallel to the systematic reviews to provide additional evidence. Use of grey literature is in accordance with the recommendations on sources of evidence in <u>Developing NICE guidelines: the manual (2014, updated 2020).</u>

Inclusion criteria

A number of criteria were agreed with the committee to define the surveys that would be included in the grey literature review. These were:

- Surveys must collect information from children or young people on their experience of healthcare, or from the parents or carers of babies or young children.
 - As with the systematic literature review, the views of parents and carers were only included if they are acting as a proxy for a baby or child under 5 years, or there is a clear rationale provided as to why the study is using parents' or carers' views on and experiences of healthcare as proxies for their child.
- Surveys must be conducted nationally across England, the UK or contain samples of data from a variety of geographical locations across the UK, so that the results could be interpreted as applicable to babies, children and young people across England.
- Surveys must have been conducted during or after 2014. This cut-off date was selected as a number of surveys are conducted on a regular basis (for example every 2 or 3 years) and it was only necessary to capture the most recent version, and for pragmatic reasons to limit the size of the search.
- Surveys must provide quantitative or qualitative data that is relevant to at least one of the
 review questions under consideration for this guideline. The committee agreed that
 qualitative data would supplement the main systematic qualitative literature reviews
 conducted for the guideline, but the committee were aware that a number of large national
 surveys may contain a mixture of qualitative and quantitative data, or just quantitative
 data, and that this might also provide useful insights.

Search strategy

The search terms used were:

((child or children or adolescent or adolescents or teenage or youth or paediatric or baby or babies or neonate) and (health*) and (survey or surveys))

Sources searched

The following sources were searched for surveys. In addition to these sources the committee members were asked to identify any national surveys that they were aware of for consideration.

Catalogues and databases

- OpenGrey
- Greylit
- EThOS
- Patient Experience Library

NHS and other organisations

- NHS England Scotland Wales and Northern Ireland
- NHS Digital
- NHSsurveys.org
- King's Fund
- Youthhealthtalk.org
- CQC
- Nationalvoices.org.uk
- Royal College of Paediatric and Child Health
- Picker Institute
- Joseph Rowntree
- National Children's Bureau
- NHS Youth Forum
- Patient participation groups

National charities

- NSPCC
- Together For Short Lives
- Rainbow Trust
- Save The Children
- Children With Cancer
- Children's Society
- Barnado's
- Honey Pot
- Action for children
- Starlight
- Child Autism
- National Deaf Children's Society
- Family Fund
- Young Minds
- Mermaids

- The Children's Hospital Charity
- Support Evelina
- The Grand Appeal
- GOSH
- Bliss
- Childline
- Girlguiding
- Scouts

Google Search

Searching was limited to the first 10 pages when results exceeded 10 pages.

Surveys identified

Eighteen surveys were identified from the search and were checked to ensure they met the inclusion criteria.

Surveys included

Twelve surveys met the inclusion criteria and were therefore included in the grey literature review. They are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Surveys included

Survey title	Population	Notes
Association for Young People's Health. Young people's views on involvement and feedback in healthcare 2014	 Children and young people aged 10-24 years who completed an on-line survey N=67 aged 10-19 	 Aim of survey was to collect young people's views on how they like to be involved in service development or improvement, and how they feel about giving feedback or making complaints 98 respondents in total, which included young people aged 20-24, but data analysed only for cohort aged 10-19 where possible
Care Quality Commission. Children and young people's inpatient and day case survey 2018 (conducted by the Picker Institute)	 Babies, children and young people aged 15 days to 15 years admitted to hospital as an inpatient (planned or as an emergency) or as a day case Children aged 8-11 or 12-15 received a questionnaire of two halves, one for themselves to complete, and one for their parent/carer Questionnaire completed solely by the parent/carer of children aged 0-7 years 	

Survey title	Population	Notes
	 Sampled 129 NHS acute trusts N=33,170 completed surveys 	
Child Outcomes Research Consortium. Child- and Parent-reported Outcomes and Experience from Child and Young People's Mental Health Services 2011-2015	 Babies children and young people aged 0-25 years Sampled 75 mental health services in England taking part in the service transformation 'Children and Young People's Improving Access to Psychological Therapies' N=3,196 children, mean age 13.8 years 	Used an experience of service questionnaire (ESQ) to explore satisfaction with services
Health and Social Care Information Centre. Children's Dental Health Survey 2013. (Country specific report for England, published 2015	 Children and young people aged 5, 8, 12 and 15 years undergoing a dental examination, were invited to complete a survey Parents completed surveys for 5 and 8 year olds; 12 and 15 years olds completed survey themselves n=approx. 700 aged 5 n=approx. 600 aged 8 n=approx. 1,400 aged 12 n=approx. 1,300 aged 15 	 Focus of survey was access to treatment, perceptions and anxiety about treatment Exact numbers for each group not available as results were reported separately for each question, in terms of the number who answered that question
HM Inspectorate of Prisons. Children in Custody 2016- 2017	 Young people aged 12-18 years in 3 secure training centres, 5 young offender institutions and 1 specialist unit N= 720 completed questionnaires 	Section on health care (from a larger questionnaire on experiences in general) was analysed
National Children's Bureau. Listening to children's views on health provision 2012	 A narrative review of findings from 46 consultations conducted with children and young people by a number of organisations across England Children and young people were defined as those up to the age of 18, or 25 in the case of young people with a disability, a long term health problem, care leavers and young people in custody. 	Where possible, responses only from children and young people under 18 are included in the analysis, but for some of the findings it was not possible to determine the age of the respondents so data from young adults may have been included.
Opinion Matters. Declare your care survey 2018	 Children and young people in England who had received some sort of health service (NHS and private care) or social care experience in the 	 Questionnaire focused on reporting concerns 2002 respondents in total, which included adults, but

Survey title	Population	Notes
	last 5 years, either as a patient or a carern=128 children aged 12-15	data analysed only for cohort aged 12-15
Picker Institute. Children and Young People's Patient Experience Survey 2018	 Same survey as: Care Quality Commission. Children and young people's inpatient and day case survey 2018 (see above) 	Data from the Picker Institute report of this survey is included separately as it contains some details not included in the CQC report
Picker Institute. Paediatric Emergency Department Survey 2015	 Babies children and young people aged 0 to 16 years attending an emergency department Sampled 5 NHS emergency departments n=387 children aged 8-16 n=650 parents of children aged 0-16 	Only children's responses were analysed
Picker Institute. Children and Young People's Outpatient Survey 2015	 Babies children and young people aged 0 to 16 years attending an outpatient appointment Sampled 9 NHS trusts n=916 children aged 8-16 n=1,039 parents of children aged 0-16 	Only children's responses were analysed
Picker Institute/ NHS England / BLISS. Neonatal Survey 2014	 Parents whose babies had been in a neonatal care unit for at least 24 hours and then discharged Sampled sequentially from 88 neonatal units across England N=6000 completed questionnaires 	
Word of Mouth Research and Point of Care Foundation. An options appraisal for obtaining feedback on the experiences of children and young people with cancer 2018	 Children aged 7-17 years who had received cancer treatment N=13 (3 aged under 11, 10 aged 13-17) 	• Qualitative study with interviews conducted in small groups or over the phone

Quality assessment

The quality of the evidence of the surveys included as part of the grey literature is summarised in Table 2.

Survey title	Limitations
Association for Young People's Health. Young people's views on involvement and feedback in healthcare 2014	The quality of the evidence was assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies 1. Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes 2. Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes 3. Is the method of selection of the
	 3. Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes 4. Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias?
	Yes 5. Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? No
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Unclear (total number of surveys sent was not reported)
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? No
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	10. Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No12. Can the results be applied to your
	organization? Yes Overall quality of the evidence: Low
Care Quality Commission. Children and young people's inpatient and day case survey 2018 (conducted by the Picker Institute) & Picker Institute. Children and Young	The quality of the evidence was assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies
People's Patient Experience Survey 2018	 Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams,

 Table 2:
 Quality assessment

Survey title	Limitations
	divisions, organizations) clearly
	described? Yes
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? No
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Yes
	Was the sample size based on pre-study considerations of statistical power? No
	Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? No
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? No
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	10.Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	11.Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No
	12.Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Low
Child Outcomes Research Consortium. Child- and Parent-reported Outcomes and Experience from Child and Young People's Mental Health Services 2011-2015	The quality of the evidence was assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies
	1. Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? No
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Yes
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	7. Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Yes

Survey title	Limitations
Survey title	
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? Yes
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	10. Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Moderate
Health and Social Care Information Centre. Children's Dental Health Survey 2013. (Country specific report for England,	The quality of the evidence was assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire
published 2015)	<u>studies</u>
	 Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? No
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? No
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Yes
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? Yes
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	 Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? no
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Moderate

Ourse and this	1 Sector Sector
Survey title	Limitations
HM Inspectorate of Prisons. Children in Custody 2016-2017	The quality of the evidence was assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies 1. Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? No
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Yes
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Yes
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? No
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	 Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	 Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Moderate
National Children's Bureau. Listening to children's views on health provision 2012	<u>The quality of the evidence was assessed with</u> <u>the Center for Evidence-Based Management</u> (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire <u>studies</u>
	 Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes, routinely collected data
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes

Survey title	Limitations
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? No
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Yes
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Yes
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? Yes
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	10. Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Moderate
Opinion Matters. Declare your care survey 2018	<u>The quality of the evidence was assessed with</u> <u>the Center for Evidence-Based Management</u> (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies
	1. Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? Unclear, not enough information was provided

Survey title	Limitations
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the statistical significance assessed? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Are confidence intervals given for the main results? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	Overall quality of the evidence: Low
Picker Institute. Paediatric Emergency Department Survey 2015	<u>The quality of the evidence was assessed with</u> <u>the Center for Evidence-Based Management</u> <u>(CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire</u>
	<u>studies</u> 1. Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Unclear, not enough information was provided

Survey title	Limitations
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the statistical significance assessed? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Are confidence intervals given for the main results? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	Overall quality of the evidence: Low
Picker Institute. Children and Young People's Outpatient Survey 2015	The quality of the evidence was assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies
	 Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? Unclear, not enough information was provided

Survey title	Limitations
Survey lille	
	 Was the statistical significance assessed? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	 Are confidence intervals given for the main results? Unclear, not enough information was provided Could there he conformation for the second sec
	 Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? Unclear, not enough information was provided
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Unclear, not enough information was provided Overall quality of the evidence: Low
Picker Institute/ NHS England / BLISS. Neonatal Survey 2014	<u>The quality of the evidence was assessed with</u> <u>the Center for Evidence-Based Management</u> (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire
	studies
	 Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	2. Is the research method (study
	design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes, consecutive sampling
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? No
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? Yes
	 Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? No
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? No
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	10. Are confidence intervals given for the main results? Yes
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Moderate

Company title	Limitations
Survey title	Limitations
Word of Mouth Research and Point of Care	The quality of the quantitative evidence was
Foundation.	assessed with the Center for Evidence-Based
An options appraisal for obtaining feedback on the experiences of children and young people with cancer 2018	Management (CEBM) checklist for survey questionnaire studies
	 Did the study assess a clearly focused question / issue? Yes
	 Is the research method (study design) appropriate for answering the research question? Yes
	 Is the method of selection of the subjects (employees, teams, divisions, organizations) clearly described? Yes
	 Could the way the sample was obtained introduce (selection) bias? Yes
	 Was the sample of subjects representative with regard to the population to which the findings will be referred? No
	Was the sample size based on pre- study considerations of statistical power? No
	 Was a satisfactory response rate achieved? Yes
	 Are the measurements (questionnaires) likely to be valid and reliable? No
	Was the statistical significance assessed? No
	10. Are confidence intervals given for the main results? No
	11. Could there be confounding factors that haven't been accounted for? No
	12. Can the results be applied to your organization? Yes
	Overall quality of the evidence: Low
	<u>The quality of the qualitative evidence was</u> assessed using the CASP checklist for <u>qualitative studies</u>
	1: Was there a clear statement of the aims of the research? Yes
	2: Was a qualitative methodology appropriate? Yes
	3 Was the research design appropriate to address the aims of the research? Yes
	4: Was the recruitment strategy appropriate to the aims of the

Survey title	Limitations
Survey title	 Limitations research? No. Details on recruitment strategy were not provided, suitable volunteers were identified, suggesting selection bias 5: Were the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue? Yes. Semi-structured interviews and focus groups were used, but no detailed information on interview guides 6: Has the relationship between the researcher and participants been adequately considered? No. Descriptions of potential bias/influence between researcher and participants were not described 7: Have ethical issues been taken into consideration? No details were provided Q8: Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous? Can't tell, no enough details were reported 9: Is there a clear statement of findings? No, no explanation of findings was reported 10: Is the research valuable for the UK? (1. Contribution to literature and 2. Transferability) yes. 1. No, it does not contextualise findings in the literature. 2. Probably not. Small population size and sample had a limited age range

CASP: Critical Appraisal Skills Programme; CEBM: Center for Evidence Based Management

References

Association for Young People's Health. Young people's views on involvement and feedback in healthcare 2014

Association for Young People's Health (2014) Young people's views on involvement and feedback in healthcare: Report of a brief on-line survey of young people. London: AYPH

Care Quality Commission. Children and young people's inpatient and day case survey 2018

Care Quality Commission (2018) Children and young people's inpatient and day case survey: statistical release

Child Outcomes Research Consortium. Child- and Parent-reported Outcomes and Experience from Child and Young People's Mental Health Services 2011-2015

Wolpert, M.,Jacob, J., Napolepne, E., Whale, A., Calderon, A., & Edbrooke-Childs, J. (2016). Child- and Parent-reported Outcomes and Experience from Child and Young People's Mental Health Services 2011-2015. London: CAMHS Press

Health and Social Care Information Centre. Children's Dental Health Survey 2013. (Country specific report for England, published 2015)

Holmes, R., Porter, J., Vernazza, C., Tsakos, G., & Ryan, R. (2015). Children's Dental Health Survey 2013. Country Specific Report: England

HM Inspectorate of Prisons. Children in Custody 2016-2017

HM Inspectorate of Prisons (2017) Children in Custody 2016-2017

National Children's Bureau. Listening to children's views on health provision 2012

La Valle, I., Payne, L., Gibb, J., & Jelicic, H. (2012). Listening to children's views on health provision: A rapid review of the evidence. London: National Children's Bureau Research Centre

Opinion Matters. Declare your care survey 2018

Declare Your Care: Children and young people (2018) Survey results data

Picker Institute. Children and Young People's Patient Experience Survey 2018

Picker for the Care Quality Commission (2018) Children and Young People's Patient Experience Survey

Picker Institute. Paediatric Emergency Department Survey 2015

Picker for the Care Quality Commission (2015) Paediatric Emergency survey

Picker Institute. Children and Young People's Outpatient Survey 2015

Picker for the Care Quality Commission (2015) Children and Young People's Outpatient Survey

Picker Institute/ NHS England / BLISS. Neonatal Survey 2014

Picker for the Care Quality Commission, NHS England, BLISS (2014) Neonatal survey

Word of Mouth Research and Point of Care Foundation 2018

Word of Mouth Research and Point of Care Foundation (2018) An Options Appraisal for obtaining feedback on the experiences of children and young people with cancer. Final report to NHS England

Surveys excluded

Six surveys were excluded and reasons for their exclusion are provided in Table 3.

Survey title	Reason for exclusion
Hargreaves, D. S., Sizmur, S., Pitchforth, J., Tallett, A., Toomey, S. L., Hopwood, B., & Viner, R. M. (2018). Children and young people's versus parents' responses in an English national inpatient survey. Archives of disease in childhood, 103(5), 486-491.	Reports on correlations between children and parents' responses. The paper is a secondary analysis of the data, it does not report the views of the children.
Healthwatch. Young Healthwatch survey results. 2019	Not a national survey – conducted by the Wakefield branch of Healthwatch and relates to experiences in Wakefield only
National Children's Bureau. Delivering mental health transformation for all children. Findings from engagement with the children and young people's voluntary sector in autumn 2016	The report is based on views of professionals working with children and young people
NHS Digital. Mental Health of Children and Young People in England, 2017	Data were collected from parents and carers of young people aged 5-16 years, and not from young people themselves, and related to demographics and treatments and not experience of healthcare.
Patient Experience Network and NHS England. Celebrating the Best of Children's and Young People's Experience of Care. Report of Survey Findings 2013	It is unclear who provides the information included; however, it appears to be based on the views of health professionals working in NHS England, commissioners, providers, specialist children services, charities, networks, councils, local authorities, universities, custody service – not from children themselves.
Picker Institute Young outpatients survey 2015	Not a national survey – conducted on behalf of Sheffield children's NHS trust and relates to experiences in Sheffield only

Table 3: Surveys excluded

Evidence mapping to review questions

The content and results reported in each survey were read and the quantitative results, qualitative findings and, if present, supporting quotes were extracted and mapped to the most appropriate individual review question. This evidence was then included as part of the overall evidence for each review question, and as such was considered by the committee and used as part of the evidence when making recommendations for each question. The evidence mapped to each question can be found in appendix N of each evidence review, and is summarized and discussed as part of the committee's discussion of the evidence for each review.