Supplement 5a: Grey literature mapping to questions

Review question	Aim of question	Association for Young People's Health. Young people's views on involvement and feedback in healthcare 2014	Care Quality Commission. Children and young people's inpatient and day case survey 2018	Child Outcomes Research Consortium. Child- and Parent-reported Outcomes and Experience from Child and Young People's Mental Health Services 2011-2015	Health and Social Care Information Centre. Children's Dental Health Survey 2013. (Country specific report for England, published 2015)	HM Inspectorate of Prisons. Children in Custody 2016- 2017.	Opinion Matters. Declare your care survey 2018.	National Children's Bureau. Listening to children's views on health provision 2012	Picker Institute. Children and Young People's Patient Experience Survey 2018.	Picker Institute. Paediatric Emergency Department Survey 2015 and Children and Young People's Outpatient Survey 2015	Picker Institute/ NHS England / BLISS. Neonatal Survey 2014	Word of Mouth Research and Point of Care Foundation. An options appraisal for obtaining feedback on the experiences of children and young people with cancer 2018
		SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY	SURVEY
		OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW	OVERVIEW
		- An on-line	- Third national	- Data related to	- A survey carried	- An analysis of	- A survey carried	- This review	- A survey of	- The emergency	- A survey of	- A report of a
		survey of young	survey sent to	outcomes and	out every 10	12-18 year olds	out in England in	comprised a	views from	department	parents'	study to explore
		people	children and	experiences of	years since 1973	perceptions of	November to	literature review	children, young	survey was	experiences of	different
		undertaken by	young people,	children and	to provide	their experiences	December 2018	(not analysed	people and their	conducted in 5	neonatal care	methods of
		the Association	and their parent	young people (0-	information on	in secure training	on behalf of the	here) and views	parents or carers	NHS trusts in	- Surveyed	obtaining
		for Young	or carer	25 years) seen	the dental health	centres and	CQC (by the	of children and	in England during	2015; 650	parents from 88	feedback relating
		People's Health	- Children and	across 75 mental	of children	young offender	organisation	young people	hospital visits,	parents (of	hospital neonatal	to the
		(AYPH), for the	young people	health services in	- Includes	institutes	'Opinion	from 46	conducted by	children aged 0-	units in 2 waves:	experiences of
		Children and	aged 15 days to	England taking	questions on	An independent	Matters')	consultations	Picker Institute.	16) responded	• Wave 1: babies	children and
		Young People's	15 years,	part in the	access to dental	report	- Focus of the	conducted with	Data from this	and 387 children	discharged from	young people
		Health Outcomes	admitted to	service	treatment	commissioned by	survey was on	children and	survey feeds in	aged 8-16	neonatal care	with cancer
		Forum (an	hospital as an	transformation	services,	the Youth Justice	reporting	young people by	the CQC report	responded.	between October	- Commissioned
		independent	inpatient (planned or as an	'Children and Young People's	perceptions of	Board. Includes surveys of	concerns relating to care	a number of	but is included here because the	- The outpatient	2013 and March 2014	by NHS England to fulfil need of
		advisory group of professionals and	emergency) or as	Improving Access	care and anxiety about treatment	detained children	- Respondents	organisations across England	Picker summary	survey was conducted in 9	• Wave 2: babies	national cancer
		representatives	a day case	to Psychological	which were	(under 18 years)	were 2,002	(analysed below)	contains some	trusts in 2015;	discharged from	strategy to
		from the	- Questionnaire	Therapies' (CYP	included in this	at secure training	people in	- Children and	details not	- 1,039 parents	neonatal care	collect patient
		children's sector)	completed solely	IAPT) from April	analysis	centres (n=3) and	England who	young people	reported in the	(of children aged	between April	experience data
		- The aim of the	by the	2011 to June	- Children aged 5,	young offender	have had some	were defined as	CQC version.	0-16) responded	2014 and	for under 16s
		survey was to	parent/carer of	2015	8, 12 and 15	institutes (n= 5)	sort of health	those up to the		and 916 children	September 2014	- Study had 3
		collect young	children aged 0-7	- 96, 325 case	years were	and a specialist	service (NHS and	age of 18, or 25		aged 8-16	- A sample of	parts: a literature
		people's views	years; children	records,	sampled to take	unit site (n=1)	private care) or	in the case of		responded.	100 consecutive	review;
		on how they like	aged 8-11 or 12-	representing	part in the	within one of the	social care	young people		- Only children's	parents (aged 16	interviews with
		to be involved in	15 received a	91,502 children	survey, which	young offender	experience in the	with a disability,		responses were	or over) per	healthcare
		service	questionnaire of	and young	was conducted in	institutes. The	last 5 years,	a long term		analysed for both	neonatal unit (in	professionals;
		development or	two halves, one	people, mean	conjunction with	questionnaires	either as a	health problem,		surveys	each wave)	interviews with
		improvement,	for themselves to	age = 12 years;	a dental	included a	patient or a carer	care leavers and			whose baby (or	children and
		and how they	complete, and	52% female; 82%	examination	section on	- Data was split	young people in			babies) has	young people,
		feel about giving	one for their	white; 155 young	- Children	healthcare.	into age groups,	custody. Where			received	parents and
		feedback or	parent/carer	people (0.2%)	undergoing the	- 720 surveys	and included 128	possible,			neonatal care for	carers (the
		making	- Sampled 129	aged 20 or over	dental	were completed	12-15 year olds,	responses only			more than 24	analysis below
		complaints	NHS acute trusts	and 3,213 (3.3%)	examination	(response rate of	whose results are	from children			hours and who	only relates to
		- 98 respondents were as follows:	- 33, 170	aged 0-4 years;	aged 12 or 15 were asked to	85%). -In STCs 91%	analysed here.	and young			had been	the interviews with children and
		10-14 years old,	questionnaires	remainder aged 5-19 years	complete a	were boys, and		people under 18 are included in			discharged home - 15,944 eligible	
		n= 15; 15-19	were competed (response rate of	(96.65%)	questionnaire	36% were under		the analysis			parents were	young people) - 13 children and
		years old, n= 52;	(response rate of 25%)	- Experience of	and 99.6%	16					sent a	young people
		20-24 years old,	23/01	service	completed it.						questionnaire.	who had

	n=31. 69 were female; 37 had long-term conditions. - Where possible analysis was based on the 10- 19 year olds only		questionnaire (ESQ) used to explore satisfaction with services. 3,196 ESQs were completed by children (mean age 13.8 years) and 2,698 ESQs were completed by parents (mean age 11.1 years). Only children's reports are analysed here.	 Parents of all children undergoing a dental examination were also invited to complete a questionnaire, and 43% completed it. Exact numbers of included children or parents differ for each question but results from approximately 700 parents of 5 year olds 600 parents of 8 year olds, 1,400 12 year olds, and 1,300 15 year 	- in YOIs 100% were boys and 6% were under 16					Responses were received from 6000 parents, (response rate of 37.6%) - Results for individual questions were converted into scores on a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 representing the best possible outcome (the scores are not percentages)	received cancer treatment aged 7 to 17 years took part (3 were under 11 years and 10 Young people aged 13 to 17). - Interviews were conducted in small groups or individually over the phone
children andreviewyoung people,establisand the parentschildrenand carers ofyoung pbabies and youngand thechildren, preferand carerto be involvedbabiesand support inpeople,planning theirbe involhealthcare andplanningmakingown heinformed, sharedand madecisions aboutinformed	analysis was based on the 10- 19 year olds only 19 year olds only No relevant findings were identified for this question People, te parents trers of and young e, prefer to olved in ng their ealthcare aking med, shared ons about	INVOLVEMENT IN CARE: - 46% 8-15 year olds felt very involved in their care; 15% said they had not been involved -74% parents of 0-7 year olds said they were given enough information to be involved in decisions about their child's care	3,196 ESQs were completed by children (mean age 13.8 years) and 2,698 ESQs were completed by parents (mean age 11.1 years). Only children's reports are	were also invited to complete a questionnaire, and 43% completed it. - Exact numbers of included children or parents differ for each question but results from approximately 700 parents of 5 year olds 600 parents of 8 year olds, 1,400 12 year olds, and	No relevant the findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	INVOLVEMENT IN DECISIONS ABOUT CARE: - Disabled young people aged 15 to 21 recommended that consultations with all health professionals must place the young person at the centre. Every effort should be made to enable children to make a contribution to the consultation and any decisions made should take into account any preferences expressed by the young person.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	INVOLVEMENT IN CARE (OUTPATIENTS): - 55% children and young people aged 8-16 (for whom it was needed) said they did not have a say in what would happen to them in hospital	- Results for individual questions were converted into scores on a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 representing the best possible outcome (the scores are not	and 10 Young people aged 13 to 17). - Interviews were conducted in small groups or individually over
											that they had been very willing to entrust all matters to do

RQ 1.2 'How should healthcare staff communicate with babies, children and	The aim of this review is to identify the best way for healthcare staff to communicate	No relevant findings were identified for this question	HOSPITAL STAFF: - 87% 12-15 year olds said staff talked to them about their care;	LISTENING: - 84.6% children and young people said they were listened to	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant the findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	COMMUNICATIO N BY DOCTORS: - Children and young people aged 12-19 years reported 'doctors	No relevant findings were identified for this question	UNDERST G (EMERC DEPARTM - 70% chil and youn people ag

RSTANDIN IERGENCY RTMENT): children oung	COMMUNICATIO N WITH STAFF ON NEONATAL UNIT: - When you	BEING INFORMED ABOUT TREATMENT: - Young people
		important to me that I was involved fully in the decisions about treatment. I was made very aware of everything that was going on. At the end of the day it's your body, your life and once you reach a certain age you should be able to make decisions for yourself.' (M16)
		Quotes: 'To be honest, I was so out of it, physically and things, really sick all the time, that I wasn't thinking like that I just went with what they (parents/medical staff) decided' (M17) 'It was really
		with their medical condition to their parents and the medical staff. - 7 other Young people aged 13 to 17 took a different view and very keen that the views and wishes of young people like themselves should be sought and acted upon.

young people,	with babies,	for 8-11 year olds	- 70.8% said the		don't explain	said doo
and the parents	children and	this was 83%	staff were easy		things and they	nurses
or carers of	young people,		to talk to		speak to your	what w
babies and young	and the parents	UNDERSTANDIN			parents, the	in a way
children?'	or carer of babies	G:			language they	could u
	and young	~70% children and			use is confusing'.	- 27% cł
	children.	young people			use is contusing .	and you
	crinici cri.	understood what			COMMUNICATIO	people
		staff said			N WITH	doctors
		Stall Salu			DISABLED	nurses
		ASKING			YOUNG PEOPLE:	talk to t
		QUESTIONS:			- Disabled young	about t
		- 95% 8-15 year			people aged 15-	questio
		olds felt able to			21 recommended	worries
					that there should	wonnes
		ask questions				
		and 92% said			be an NHS e-	LISTENI
		their questions			learning module	
		were answered.			on 	- 76% cł
					communicating	and you
					with young	people
					people with	years th
					learning	they we
					difficulties and	listened
					communication	hospita
					impairments,	
					resources in	
					hospitals to	
					address	
					communication	
					with disabled	
					young people,	
					and systems for	
					setting up	
					appointments	
					which would	
					support	
					preparation for	
					the consultation.	

loctors and s explained was wrong vay they understand children oung le said ors and s did not o them t their ions or es

NING PATIENTS):

children oung e aged 8-16 thought vere ed to by tal staff

did the staff caring for your baby introduce themselves to you? Score = 79 - Were you told which nurse was responsible for your baby's care each day he/she was in the neonatal unit? Score = 90 - Were you able to talk to staff on the unit about your worries and concerns? Score = 88 - Were you able to speak to a doctor about your baby as much as you wanted? Score = 66 - Were the nurses on the unit sensitive to your emotions and feelings? Score = 85 - Were the doctors on the unit sensitive to your emotions and feelings? Score = 83 - Did staff refer to your baby by his/her first name? Score = 90

reported a good experience of how the diagnosis and treatment plan was communicated and discussed with them and none felt excluded from this process. All felt that the manner in which doctors had spoken with them (and with their parents at the same time) had been unproblematic. None felt that that medical staff had spoken about them but not to them, nor that they were made to feel that their views were not important.

Quotes:

'When they were deciding the treatment, I felt I was fully involved. I was always asked before they were doing anything. They always had me and my parents there and they talked to us all, explaining it all to me and them.' (M16)

'I've always been told that they would never do anything without talking to me first. They said I'm old enough to make my own

				I	I		

decisions and I
have been heard
at all times. They
have always
talked to me and
my mum and dad at the same time.
l've never felt
excluded.' (F13)
'Everything I
asked, I got an
answer to. I was
definitely
listened to. And
that was true the
whole way
through. With
the surgeon, I
wanted to see
the CT scans and
she was fine
about it. At every
shift change the
nurse would
come in and
introduce
themselves and
say, 'just push
the buzzer if you
want anything'. The diagnosis
was as positive
as it can be. First
and foremost,
they were talking
to me. My mum
was there too.
But it was at the
point where I
could make my
own decisions, so
they were talking
to me.' (M16)
'They listened to
me most of the
time and my
voice was heard.
There weren't
any times when I
was treated in
ways that were
bad. I was
diagnosed and
the doctor
explained things

												quite well. I felt that they were speaking to me. They always asked me if I had any questions or needed anything. My oncologist would always ask me if I had any questions.' (F15)
RQ 1.3 'How should issues about consent, privacy and confidentiality be addressed with babies, children and young people?'	The aim of this review was to provide recommendation s for the NHS on the best way to ensure these rights are respected in the delivery of healthcare	No relevant findings were identified for this question	PRIVACY: - 80% 0-15 year olds reported they were always given enough privacy - 90% of 12-15 year olds were able to talk to a doctor or nurse without their parent or carer being there if they wanted to.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	CONCERNS OF ETHNIC MINORITIES REGARDING MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT: - A consultation with young people aged 12- 24 from a range of ethnic backgrounds and including some for whom English was a second language, refugees and asylum seekers, found that few mainstream public services were mentioned as sources of support by these young people, who were more likely to rely on family, social networks and community organisations. Influences on the decision to seek help (and from whom) were: • Knowing that confidentiality will be respected • Trust in the person • A good relationship • Feeling at ease, understood and	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	PRIVACY FOR CONVERSATIONS : - Were you given enough privacy when discussing your baby's care on the neonatal unit with staff? Score = 84 PRIVACY FOR BREASTFEEDING: - Were you given enough privacy in the neonatal unit for expressing milk and/or breastfeeding your baby? Score = 88	No relevant findings were identified for this question			

FINAL Grey literature mapping to questions

								feeling safe with that person.				
RQ 2.1 'How do children and young people, and the parents or carers of babies and young children, prefer to access healthcare information?'	The aim of this review is to determine how children and young people, and the parents or carers of babies and young children, prefer to access healthcare information.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	INFORMATION AROUND SURGERY: - 93% of children 8 to 15 years old said that staff explained pre- operative information - 76% said that they were informed how the procedure had gone - 90% of parents said staff explained the procedure in a way they could understand	INFORMATION ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH HELP AVAILABLE: - 74.4% of children and young people said they were given enough explanation about the help available	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	LACK OF INFORMATION: - Of young people who had raised a concern or made a complaint, in 48% the subject had been lack of information about a health condition or treatment options not being well explained.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	INFORMATION ABOUT OUTPATIENT VISIT: - 55% of children and young people aged 8-16 years did not know before their visit to hospital what was going to happen to them while they were there	INFORMATION BEFORE BIRTH: - Before your baby was born did a member of staff from the neonatal unit talk to you about what to expect after the birth? Score = 54 INFORMATION ABOUT THE NEONATAL UNIT: - Were you given enough information about the neonatal unit (such as rules, procedures, facilities for parents)? Score = 76 - Was the purpose of the machines, monitors and alarms used in the neonatal unit clearly explained to you? Score = 73 - Were infection control practices explained to you, such as hand washing and procedures for visitors? Score = 85 INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR BABY: - If you asked questions about your baby's condition and treatment, did you get answers you could	No relevant findings were identified for this question

RQ 2.2 'What are the best ways to help children and young people and the parents and carers of babies and young children understand the risks and benefits	The aim of this review is to determine the best way to help children and young people and the parents and carers of babies and young children	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relev findings identifie question		
RQ 3.1 'How do children and young people	children understand the risks and benefits of healthcare decisions. The aim of this review is to establish how	No relevant findings were	HANDOVER OF CARE FOR ADOLESCENT	No relevant findings were	No releva findings

Score you given enough written information to help you understand your baby's condition and treatment? Score = 53INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53o relevant hdings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevant ndings wereNo relevant findings were identified for this question		understand? Score = 88	
enough written information to help you understand your 			
information to help you understand your baby's condition and treatment? Score = 53INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53o relevant ndings were ientified for this uestionNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantAGE DIFFERENCE			
help you understand your baby's condition and treatment? Score = 53INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53o relevant hdings were lentified for this uestionNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantAGE DIFFERENCE		-	
understand your baby's condition and treatment? Score = 53INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53o relevant ndings were entified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantAGE DIFFERENCE			
baby's condition and treatment? Score = 53INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53o relevant ndings were lentified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantfindings were identified for this question			
and treatment? Score = 53INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53o relevant hdings were lentified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantfindings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this question			
INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53No relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questionAGE DIFFERENCE			
ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit (such as travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53No relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this question		Score = 53	
travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)? Score = 41 - Did staff give you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53No relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevant hentified for this uestionNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this question		ABOUT OTHER SUPPORT: - Were you given enough information about help you could get with expenses related to your baby's stay in the neonatal unit	
you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score = 53No relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevant holings were identified for this questionNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevant o relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevant findings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantNo relevantAGE DIFFERENCE		travelling/ parking expenses, hardship fund or food vouchers)?	
ndings were lentified for this uestionfindings were identified for this questionfindings were identified for this questiono relevantNo relevantAGE DIFFERENCE		you any information about parent support groups such as Bliss or other local groups? Score =	
	ndings were entified for this	findings were identified for this	findings were identified for this
ndings were findings were IN RELATION TO			
	ndings were	findings were	IN RELATION TO

want their parents or carers to be involved in their care and decisions about their care?	children and young people, and the parents and carers of babies and young people, want their parents or carers to be involved in their care and decisions about their care.	identified for this question	identified for this question	identified for this question	identified for this question	identified for this question	identified for this question	DISABLED YOUNG PEOPLE: - Disabled young people aged 15- 21 recommended that as the young person reaches adolescence, the clinician should explore with the family the formal handing over to the young person of their own diagnosis.	identified for this question	identified for this question	identified for this question	PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT: - 1 teenager reported that she would have felt differently about her parents' involvement when she was younger. Quotes: 1 think it's really important that you can influence things that affect your care, because some things affect you but not others. I think it's important to take into consideration what each person wants. I feel that maybe if I'd been younger I would have preferred my parents to talk to me (about the diagnosis), rather than some doctor I didn't know.' (F15)
RQ 3.2 'How do children and young people want healthcare staff to support them?'	To establish how children and young people want healthcare staff to support them to be involved in, and making decisions about, their own healthcare.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	EMOTIONAL SUPPORT: - 92% of 8-15 year olds who had worries said that staff talked with them about them	VIEWS AND WORRIES: - 84.1% of children and young people said their views and worries were taken seriously	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	LACK OF RESPECT: - Of young people who had raised a concern or made a complaint, in 65% the subject had been lack of respect from staff or poor patient care	BEING TAKEN SERIOUSLY: - Children and young people aged 12 to 19 reported that 'Staff don't always take you seriously or pay attention to you' and 'It's scary [going into hospital] because you don't know what's going to happen' - Disabled young people aged 15 to 21 recommended	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	EMOTIONAL SUPPORT: - Were you offered emotional support or counselling services from neonatal unit staff? Score = 57 TRUST: -Overall, did you have confidence and trust in the staff caring for your baby? Score = 92	No relevant findings were identified for this question

that performance
assessments for
staff working
with disabled
young people
and
those with long-
term conditions
should include a
focus on the
extent to
which they are
fostering self-
care and
independence,
and that
protocols for the
safe
management of
medicine
maximise young
people's
independence
and control
around self-
medication.
MENTAL HEALTH
SERVICES FOR
YOUNG PEOPLE:
- Young people
aged 16-25
consulted about
mental health
professionals had
mixed views and
said:
'Last time I went
to
counsellingshe
judged me. She
judged me from
every angle, you
don't know
nothing, you're
this you're that,
it's your fault'
'If you have mild
or bad mental
health problems,
they are there to
help you, to
give you a name
for what you
have got as well as for

	1			1	1					1	1	1
								whatever is going				
								on.'				
								A/ 1.				
								'You can speak to				
								them and				
								like talk to them				
								and tell				
								them things that				
								you haven't				
								told no one else,				
								and it's all				
								confidential isn't				
								it, and you				
								know it's not				
								going out of				
								that room.'				
								'I was sitting in				
								this little				
								room and it was				
								like it's all				
								my fault, like I				
								was the				
								naughty one.'				
RQ 3.3 'How can	This review aims	No relevant										
children and	to establish how	findings were										
young people be	children and	identified for this										
empowered to	young people	question										
advocate for	want their											
themselves?'	parents and											
	carers of babies											
	and young											
	people, to be											
	empowered to											
	advocate for											
	themselves.											
RQ 3.4 'How can	The aim of this	No relevant	ENCOURAGEME	No relevant								
							NT TO RAISE					
the views of	review is to	findings were		findings were								
babies, children	determine how	identified for this	CONCERNS:	identified for this								
and young	children and	question	question	question	question	question	- 19% of young	question	question	question	question	question
people be best	young people can						people aged 12-					
represented by	be best						to 15 reported					
independent	represented by						that having an					
advocates?'	independent						advocate or third					
	advocates. This						party who could					
	may include ease						raise concerns,					
	of accessing						would encourage					
	independent						them to express					
	advocacy						concerns about					
	services, and						healthcare.					
	how the services											
	can be helpful,											
	supportive and											
	useful.											
			1				1		1	1	l	

FINAL

Grey literature mapping to questions

RQ 4.1a/b 'What	To investigate	No relevant	FOOD AND	No relevant	FEEDING:	FOOD:						
actors are	which factors are	findings were	DRINK:	findings were	- If you wanted	- Several Young						
nportant to	important to	identified for this	~50% 8-15 year	identified for this	to express breast	people aged 13						
ibies, children	babies, children	question	olds said they	question	milk for your	to 17 discussed						
nd young	and young	4	liked hospital	4	4		4	4	4	4	baby, were you	that the quality
eople to	people to		food; in a sub-								given the	of food in
nprove their	improve their		group of children								support you	hospital was a
xperience of	experience of		who had had								needed from	major concern.
ealthcare	healthcare		cancer in last 5								neonatal staff?	major concern.
ervices?'	services		years this was								Score = 86	Quotes:
	Services		-									
			lower								- When you were	'The only
			-35% parents of 0								in the neonatal	problem (at
			to 7 year olds								unit, were you	hospital 1) was
			said they liked								given the feeding	
			hospital food								equipment you	was also the case
			- 17% of parents								needed for	in (hospital 2).
			of 0-15 year olds								expressing, such	But there, my
			said they did not								as breast pump	mum could buy
			have access to								and sterilisation	better food
			hot drink								equipment?	outside and bring
			facilities								Score = 87	it to me.' (M16)
			- 36% of parents								- If you wanted	
			were unable to								to breastfeed	'In (hospital 1)
			prepare food in								your baby, were	the food was
			hospital								you given	dire. It was
											enough support	micro-waved and
			PAIN:								to do this from	really hard, but I
			- 79% of children								neonatal staff?	didn't know what
			aged 8 to 15								Score = 84	to say. It's not
			years old who								= If you fed your	like they're going
			had pain felt that								baby formula	to change it
			staff did								milk, were you	overnight. In
			everything they								given enough	(hospital 2) the
			could to help								support to do	food was very
											this from	good.' (F15)
											neonatal staff?	g000. (113)
											Score = 85	
											30016 - 65	
											ADMISSION TO	
											NEONATAL	
											CARE:	
											- After you gave	
											birth were you	
											offered a	
											photograph of	
											your baby? Score	
											= 63	
											- After you gave	
											birth, were you	
											ever cared for in	
											the same ward as	
											mothers who	
											had their baby	
											with them? Score	
											= 55	
											- After your baby	
	1		1	1	1	1						1

											the neonatal unit, were you able to see your baby as soon as you wanted? Score = 91	
RQ 5.1 'How can, and how should, the perspective of children and young people, and of the parents or carers of babies inform the design of healthcare services?	The aim of this review is to determine how the views and perspective of babies, children and young people can and should be taken into consideration when designing healthcare services.	GIVING VIEWS ABOUT HEALTH AND WELLBEING: - Young people preferred providing their views using questionnaires, or small focus groups (fewer than 10 people), and their least preferred method was large focus groups (more than 10 people) or meetings/ activities led by adults - Young people thought it was useful for them to be involved in most areas of service design, especially identifying needs or problems, designing physical space, designing physical space, designing publicity materials. Other areas were reviewing services (mystery shopping), having a say on how budgets are spent, developing policies and recruiting staff. Relevant quotes: "take us seriously. Get more young	No relevant findings were identified for this question	SUCCESSFUL PARTICIPATION: - At a stakeholder event looking at NHS white papers and strategy the young people (age not specified) recommended that: - Service user involvement and active feedback should be sought and acted upon - Participation should be seen as a fundamental aspect of service evaluation and service improvement - Progress should be fed back to the young people.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	IMPORTANCE OF USING PATIENT EXPERIENCE INFORMATION: - Young people (13 to 17 years) were very keen that the views and wishes of young people like themselves should be sought and acted upon both 'in the moment', in relation to care and treatment affecting patients, and more generally, to improve the quality of service provision. - Young people (13 to 17 years) felt that a survey should be carried out that should be uniform across the country and that it should be used to assess services and to improve the quality of care provided. It was important to know that the information provided would be used to address both individual and local service level concerns, and to improve the quality of cancer services for children and				

		people involved				
		in roles in these				
		services. Ask for				
		regular feedback				
		regular feedback, and make it easy				
		and mickets size				
		and quick to give.				
		Don't be invasive				
		(especially				
		through sexual health services)."				
		health services)."				
1						
1						
1						

young people overall. INCENTIVES: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that the invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. 1 think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be interviewed, or	
INCENTIVES: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that the invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	young people
 Young people Young people to 17 years) said that the invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPPOPRIATE METHODS:	overall.
 Young people Young people to 17 years) said that the invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPPOPRIATE METHODS:	
(13 to 17 years) said that the invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
said that the invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
invitation to complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
Complete a survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire.Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15)AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
survey should include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
include clear information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	•
information about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. 1 think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	-
about the value and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about f10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
and purpose of the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
the survey and that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
that answers would be used to help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
help the NHS to improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	
improve care for other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	would be used to
other young people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	help the NHS to
people with cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire.Quotes: (For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15)AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	improve care for
cancer, and that a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children	other young
a small financial incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
incentive (£5-10) would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
 would help to ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be 	
ensure completion and return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
completion and return of the questionnaire.Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15)AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: · Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	•
return of the questionnaire. Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
questionnaire.Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15)AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
Quotes: 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: Young people to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age-appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7-11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be 	questionnaire.
 'For the survey, online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: Young people to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age-appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7-11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be 	Ouotes:
online would be easiest. By email. A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	-
A reward would motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
motivate people to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	easiest. By email.
to do it. I think about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	A reward would
about £10.' (F15) AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	motivate people
AGE- APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	about £10.' (F15)
APPROPRIATE METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
METHODS: - Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	-
- Young people (13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
(13 to 17 years) said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
said that questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	- · ·
questionnaires should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
should be age- appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
appropriate with a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	1
a simple one for children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	-
children aged 7- 11 and another version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
version for secondary school age children. Younger children should be	-
secondary school age children. Younger children should be	
age children. Younger children should be	version for
Younger children should be	secondary school
should be	-
	-
interviewed, or	
	interviewed, or

												their parents could complete a survey on their behalf.
RQ 5.2 'How can the experience of babies, children and young people be measured so as to improve their experience of healthcare?'	To establish how the experience of babies, children and young people can be measured, in order to improve their experience of healthcare.	COMPLAINTS: - 60% of young people wanted to make a complaint or a suggestion but had not done so - 19% had made a complaint but over 50% of these reported that nothing happened as a result. - 3 young people had had positive experiences of making a complaint: in 1 case the service had explained how they planned to address the complaint; in 1 case the service had explained that changes had been made as a result; in 1 case the young person could see that obvious changes had been made to improve the	CONCERNS: - 64% of parents of 0-15 year olds said they could have told hospital staff if they had a concern	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	CONCERNS/ COMPLAINTS: -34% of young people had voiced concerns or made an official complaint - 15% had wanted to complain but didn't - the remaining 52% had never felt the need to raise a concern or make a complaint REASONS FOR NOT RAISING CONCERN / MAKING COMPLAINT: - Of 19 young people who did not raise concerns the reasons that prevented them from doing this were: Didn't know how (21%) Didn't know who is best person to	No relevant findings were identified for this question	survey on their			
		service. REASONS FOR NOT MAKING A COMPLAINT: - The most common reasons given for not making a complaint was that nothing would change, thinking nobody will listen, fear of repercussions, or not knowing how to complain.					raise it with (42%) Didn't want to be seen as a trouble-maker (42%) Didn't think it would be taken seriously (21%) Didn't think it would make any difference (26%) Staff are busy and it doesn't help (5%) Worried care would get worse					regular qualitative approaches (group discussions and/or individual interviews with children and young people with cancer), and that these should be supplemented with a survey that was sent to all patients (over a certain age).

	if I complained	
Relevant quotes:	(21%)	
"I've already	I have	
been treated like	complained	
rubbish by	before and it	
people in power	didn't make any	
of the service I've	difference (5%)	
complained	The issue was	
-	resolved without	
about"; "I think I		
will be	me needing to	
stereotyped as a	make a	
complainer and	complaint (11%)	
that they think I		
don't appreciate	RESULT OF	
the NHS and my	RAISING	
care"; "Raised	CONCERN/	
concern and told	MAKING	
if raised another	COMPLAINT:	
concern wouldn't	Of 43 young	
be allowed to use	people who had	
service again".	raised a concern	
However, one	or made a	
respondent said	complaint, 65%	
"Nothing would	wanted it to	
stop me giving	improve their	
feedback".		
Teeuback .	care; 65%	
	wanted it to	
MAKING IT	improve the	
EASIER TO GIVE	service for	
FEEDBACK OR	everyone and	
MAKE A	37% wanted an	
COMPLAINT:	apology or an	
- Being able to	explanation.	
complain		
anonymously,	33% said the	
clear information	issue was	
about the right	resolved quickly;	
to complain and	19% were happy	
how to complain,	with the	
belief that	outcome; 42%	
feedback and	had to complain	
responses will be	multiple times,	
received about	didn't feel like	
complaints, and	they were taken	
assurance that	seriously, or no	
they won't be	action was taken	
treated	as a result of	
differently for	their concern/	
making a	complaint	
complaint were		
all given as	ENCOURAGEME	
factors that	NT TO RAISE	
would make it	CONCERNS:	
easier for young	Of 128 young	
people to make a	people, factors	
complaint.	that would	
	encourage them	
I		I

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Quotes:	
	'I think it's really	
	important that	
	you can influence	
	things that affect	
	your care,	
	because some	
	things affect you	
	but not others. I	
	think it's	
	important to	
	take into	
	consideration	
	what each	
	person wants.'	
	(F15)	
	"I think it's	
	important to ask	
	about all the	
	places where	
	people are seen.	
	Personally, I've	
	had good	
	experiences	
	everywhere, but	
	I know some	
	people haven't	
	and I think it's	
	important to ask	
	about all the	
	places where we	
	are seen.' (M16)	
	METHODS TO	
	GATHER	
	FEEDBACK:	
	Under 11 years:	
	- Prefer handheld	
	electronic device,	
	iPad or tablet	
	- prefer symbols	
	(smiley/sad	
	faces) rather	
	than text	
	Young people	
	(13-17 years):	
	- Liked both	
	surveys and	
	interviews	
	(individual face	
	to face or	
	groups), but	
	thought surveys	
	would be easier	
	if you were very	
	ill.	

	Relevant quotes:			to express		
	"Having people			concerns would		
	actually read and			be:		
	take complaints			- More		
	seriously!";			information		
	"Having people			about expected		
	who actually			standards of care		
	would or have			(34%)		
	used the service			- A more open		
	being in positions			culture		
	to make changes			encouraging		
	and take			feedback (34%)		
	complaints,			- Receiving		
	rather than			regular feedback		
	someone who is			on actions taken		
	just guessing			(37%)		
	what is actually			- Having an		
	needed"; "Most			advocate or third		
	people won't			party who could		
				raise concerns		
	speak up because they			(19%)		
	don't' want to			- Being able to		
	make a fuss, it			report concerns		
	isn't worth it.			anonymously		
	There needs to			(34%)		
	be a mass			- Knowing which		
	change. A change			staff (36%) or		
	in the way we			services (24%) to		
	read and			raise concerns		
	interpret			with		
	opinions. Not					
	seeing the					
	individual as					
	someone who					
	just wants to					
	complain. But					
	someone who					
	would like to see					
	genuine, positive					
	change."					

- 2 young people
preferred pen
and paper
surveys sent to
their home
address, but all
the others
preferred an
online survey as
easy to
administer,
cheap and simple
to complete, and
would like to
receive the
invitation by
email not by text.
Quotes:
'I feel that a
group would be
good for support
rather than to
give information.
If I was invited to
one now, l
wouldn't mind
going to it. But
when I was ill I
wouldn't have
done it. I would
prefer a survey.
And I think that
for me, when I
was in hospital,
for a lot of the
time I was just
too ill to engage
with a group
discussion or
anything like
that. The
advantage of a
survey is that you
can look at it
when you want
and fill it in when
you feel you can.
And it should
have open
questions as well
so that you can
write in your
feelings about
questions.' (F15)
'I guess it's most
convenient to do

it online really. And then you just send it off to them. I definitely think most people nowadays have a tablet or a phone or a computer. I think it should be sent by email. Because knowing myself, I reckon I would ignore random texts, and email is a bit more official.' (F15) WHEN TO GATHER FEEDBACK: - Young people (13-17 years) thought surveys were best conducted after the end of treatment, but qualitative data should be collected at different points in the journey. Quotes: 'My experience has been very long (from August 2015 to January 2018) so I think it should be done at regular intervals. I think patients would be happy with that, every few of months or so. If I'd been asked say 3 months into it, I'd have been able to comment on the diagnosis part and the early part

of the treatment. But then a few months later, things were different, and it would be best if I'd been asked again at that point.' (F15) "I think the group discussion would be a good idea – both at the end but also half way through.' (F13) WHAT QUESTIONS TO ASK: - Young people (13-17 years) said the questions should include: - Whether you felt you were treated with respect - Quality of communication with medical and other staff - Friendliness of staff - Whether you felt fully informed about your care and treatment, including side effects of medications - Whether you felt you were fully involved in decision making - Whether the environment was clean and hygienic - Whether young people felt they were treated as an autonomous and independent

person, or
whether medical
staff spoke about
them (with
parents etc or
other healthcare
professionals)
rather than to
them
- Whether young
people were able
to see family and
friends whenever
they wanted
- Whether there
were other
children/young
people of a
similar age (and
opportunities for
socialising and
making friends)
- Whether there
were adequate
facilities to
alleviate the
boredom/tedium
of being on
treatment,
including
electronic
gaming/devices
and WIFI etc as
well as
DVDs/books and
traditional games
- Views on the
school hospital
service and on
the support
provided by the
hospital with
education more
broadly
(including
working with
respondents'
schools to
address
uninformed
'teasing' and
bullying related
to cancer)
- Views on the
quality of food
provided

	- Whether there
	was sufficient
	privacy (including
	noise)
	- Whether they
	were able to regulate the
	U U
	temperature of their room/
	environment.
	RAISING
	CONCERNS OR
	MAKING
	COMPLIMENTS:
	Quotes:
	'Now when I go
	as an outpatient I
	see comments
	cards, but at the
	time when I was
	inpatient, I never
	really did any of
	that – I wasn't
	really well
	enough to do anything like
	that. I don't
	remember
	anyone saying, 'if
	things aren't
	good, here's how
	you can let us
	know.' (F15)
	"I filled in the
	cards on the
	ward quite a few
	times. If you had
	a good
	experience.
	There's a box for
	any room for
	improvement.
	You write what
	ward you're on. I
	wrote how
	helpful and cheerful the staff
	were and how
	nice they were
	and how much
	they do to cheer
	you up. You can
	write about a
	particular
	member of staff
I	

												and put their name down and the message gets passed on and then the hospital praises them I think.' (F13)
RQ 6.1 'What features of the environment in which healthcare is provided are important to babies, children and young people to improve their experience of care?'	To determine what features of the environment in which healthcare is provided are important to babies, children and young people to improve their experience of care.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	WARD SUITABLE: ~ 70% of 12-15 year olds said ward was suitable for someone their age - Of those who stayed on a teenage or adolescent ward this rose to 80% - Of those who stayed on a children's or adult ward this fell to ~66% EQUIPMENT: - 73% 0-15 year olds had appropriate equipment or adaptations to meet their needs - Poorer experience was reported by parents of children with developmental disability, mental health conditions, neurological conditions or other long term condition SLEEP: - 40% 8-15 year olds said it was quiet enough to sleep CLEANLINESS: - 67% parents reported that hospital room or	FACILITIES /WAITING AREA: - 63.5% of children and young people said the facilities were comfortable	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	HOSPITAL ENVIRONMENT: - Children and young people aged 12-19 reported: 'Depressing and boring because you have to wait long hours and there's nothing to do'; 'Horrible, the smell makes you nervous' and 'The beds are old and uncomfortable, toys don't work and parts are missing'.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	WARD FACILITIES: - Was there enough space for you to sit alongside your baby's cot in the unit? Score = 82 - In your opinion was there adequate security on the neonatal unit? Score = 88 OVERNIGHT STAYS: - If you wanted to stay overnight to be close to your baby, did the hospital offer you accommodation? Score = 70	No relevant findings were identified for this question

FINAL Grey literature mapping to questions

			ward was very clean									
RQ 7.1 'How can health services support babies, children, and young people to participate in usual activities (for example family relationships, schooling, peer friendships, social activities)?'	To determine how health services can support babies, children, and young people to participate in usual activities.	No relevant findings were identified for this question	 WIFI: 41% 8-15 year olds who used hospital Wi-Fi said that it was 'always' good enough to do what they wanted. PLAY: Of those children aged 8- 11 who wanted to play: 23% - happened a lot 38% - happened a little 39% - did not happen. 73% of parents of children aged 0-7 said yes, staff played with them ACTIVITIES: Approximately half of all children and young people (8 to 15) said there were enough things for them to do. 63% of parents of 0 to 7 year olds said there was enough for them to do 	No relevant findings were identified for this question	WIFI: - 36% parents of 0-7 year olds said Wi-Fi was good enough for children to do what they wanted to do 	ACTIVITIES (OUTPATIENTS): - 26% of children and young people aged 8 to 16 thought there was enough age- appropriate things to do in the waiting room	INVOLVEMENT IN CARING FOR THEIR BABY: - Were you involved as much as you wanted in the day-to-day care of your baby, such as nappy changing and feeding? Score = 89 - Did you have as much skin-to- skin contact with your baby as you wanted? Score = 72 - Where possible, did staff arrange your baby's care (such as weighing, bathing) to fit in with your usual visiting times? Score = 74 - Overall, did staff help you feel confident in caring for your baby? Score = 89	LIAISION WITH SCHOOL: - 1 teenager suggested it would have been helpful if the hospital could have done more to tackle misunderstandin g and ignorance about cancer and treatment for cancer at his school. - Other young people reported that the school hospital service was not well connected to the local school and that work was set that was inappropriate for the age group. Quotes: 'My friends were really good with me and treated me like a normal person, but not everybody knew about my cancer. I imagine that it's worse in a bigger school. The hospital could send someone out to talk at the school to explain what's going on. Because everyone asked me, and it's not something I really want to talk about. If they could have				

RO 8.1 'What are	To determine the	USE OF	No relevant	ΑΡΡΟΙΝΤΜΓΝΤς•	ACCESS TO NHS	ACCESS TO	APPOINTMENTS:	ACCESS TO	No relevant	No relev:
RQ 8.1 'What are the facilitators of, and barriers to, accessing healthcare services for babies, children and young people?'	To determine the facilitators of, and barriers to, accessing healthcare services faced by babies, children and young people.	USE OF DIFFERENT HEALTH SERVICES: - Over 80% of young people had used General practice, pharmacies and hospital health services, and	No relevant findings were identified for this question	APPOINTMENTS: - 62% of children and young people said the timing of their appointment was convenient - 66.4% of children and young people said the location	ACCESS TO NHS DENTAL SERVICES: - 83% of parents (of children of all ages) said they did not have problems finding an NHS dentist, although a breakdown by	ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE IN CUSTODY: Secure training centres: - 82% of children and young people said that they were able to see a doctor or	APPOINTMENTS: - Of young people who had raised a concern or made a complaint, in 63% the subject had been delays in getting an appointment or the appointment	ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE FOR CARE- LEAVERS: - Care leavers (age not specified) reported that they needed increased levels of support to	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No releva findings v identified question

		helped big time.' (M16)
		'They had someone come in but she could only do work that was for the year below me. It was because they're not working with the local secondary school in (hospital).' (F14)
		'The school service was ok but they only came on the last day I was there.' (F15)
		SOCIAL ACTIVITIES: - Some young people aged 13 to 17 years reported that they felt isolated in a room away from other people.
		Quotes: 'The social aspects: that was not so good. Because in (hospital) all the cancer patients are put in a side room, so you don't see people.' (F14)
levant gs were fied for this ion	No relevant findings were identified for this question	CHOICE OF HOSPITAL: - 1 teenager reported not being treated at a hospital of her (and her parents') choice, resulting in a more difficult journey.

were positive	of the	free school meal	nurse if they felt	being cancelled	access health	
about how all	appointment was	eligibility found	unwell		services such as	
these services	easy to get to	that this was 78%	-64% said the		regular check-ups	
met their needs		where children	health services		every six months,	
- 70% had used		were eligible for	were 'good'		more money for	
accident and		free school meals	- 24% said they		dental and NHS	
emergency, but		- 76% of parents	had health needs		doctor care,	
this was ranked		of 5 year olds	which were not		someone to	
lowest of 7		were satisfied	being met		make sure that	
services for		with the wait for	Young offender		all care leavers	
meeting needs.		an urgent	institutions:		have a doctor,	
- Specialist		appointment,	- 71% of children		dentist and	
services for long-		and 82% were	and young		health visitor,	
term conditions,		satisfied with the	people said it		and help to	
counselling		wait for a routine	was easy to see a		ensure they are	
services, and		appointment	nurse		able to cope	
sexual health			- 57% said it was		managing their	
services were		DENTAL	easy to see a		own care.	
each used by less		ANXIETY:	doctor		- Care leavers	
than 40% of		- Parents of 5	- 35% said it was		(age not	
young people		year olds	easy to see a		specified) also	
		reported how	dentist53% said		said they need	
		anxious their	health services		more	
		children were	were good		information such	
		about attending	-27% reported		as advice and	
		the dentist with	having an		support on	
		50% stating their	emotional or		health issues,	
		children were	mental health		particularly sex	
		not anxious, 26%	problem, and of		education,	
		reported low	these 54% were		making health	
		anxiety and 22%	being helped by		services better	
		reported	someone.		known and easier	
		moderate to			to get involved	
		extreme anxiety			with, including	
		- Self-report of			sexual health	
		anxiety by 12			clinics. They also	
		year olds were as			needed more	
		follows:			information on	
		23% low/no			how to register	
		anxiety			with doctors and	
		63% moderate			dentists (the	
		anxiety			social	
		14% extreme			workers need to	
		anxiety			take them and	
		Self-report of			show them), and	
		anxiety by 15			an education	
		year olds were as			pack, newsletter	
		follows:			or video on	
		36% low/no			leaving care, so	
		anxiety			care leavers	
		54% moderate			know how to use	
		anxiety			different health	
		10% extreme			services.	
		anxiety			- Another	
		- 21% of 12 year			consultation with	
		olds with			young people in	
		extreme anxiety			care and care	
	L		l	l		

1		
	Quotes: 'We asked to stay at (hospital 1) because it was more convenient, but they just refused and said, 'no, because it's closer to you, you have to go there' (to hospital 2). But it was difficult for us to get there and it's along country roads and things. They just really refused. I would have liked them to have listened to me and let me stay at (hospital 1), not (hospital 2). But they said 'no'. (F15)	

	To determine the factors which	No relevant	KNOWLEDGE OF	No relevant	and 31% of 15 year olds with extreme anxiety reported they would only attend the dentist if they had trouble with their teeth, and not for routine check-ups	No relevant	ADMISSION/ DISCHARGE/	leavers (age not specified) led to recommendation that they needed a guide to local health services. This should include: • Where and how to find local health services, and how often young people should go to them (e.g. dentist) • Information on health services needs to be clear about young people's entitlements (e.g. free prescriptions and dental care) • How to get help if you have emotional problems • Information on different types of contraception and where you can access them • Information and services on giving up smoking, drugs and alcohol • Information on how to eat healthily (e.g. what is a healthy 'five a day' diet and what is a bad diet and why) • Information on free leisure passel, leisore facilities for children in care.	DISCHARGE	No relevant findings were	KNOWLEDGE OF	No relevant
factors promote, or present barriers to,	To determine the factors which promote or present barriers to continuity of	No relevant findings were identified for this question	KNOWLEDGE OF MEDICAL HISTORY: - 58% parents of 0-15 year olds	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	No relevant findings were identified for this question	ADMISSION/ DISCHARGE/ TRANSFER: - Of young people who had	DISCHARGE FROM TERTIARY CARE: - Disabled young people aged 15-	DISCHARGE FROM HOSPITAL: 71% of parents of 0-7 years old	No relevant findings were identified for this question	KNOWLEDGE OF MEDICAL HISTORY: - In your opinion, was important	No relevant findings were identified for this question

for babies,	healthcare for	said staff were	raised a concern	21 recommended	knew what was
children and	babies, children	aware of their	or made a	that at the stage	going to happen
young people?'	and young	child's medical	complaint, in	of discharge from	next, and 79%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	people.	history	31% the subject	tertiary care after	knew who to talk
			had related to	diagnosis,	to if they were
		DISCHARGE	admission,	thought must	worried about
		FROM HOSPITAL:	discharge or	be given to the	their child
		- 79% of children	transfer, or a lack	way in which	
		8-15 years old	of	care packages	
		were given	communication	impact on family	
		information on	between services	life and	
		how to look after	such as their GP,	ensure that they	
		themselves at	hospital, or care	do not dis-	
		home; 69% knew	services	empower	
			services		
		what was going		families or young	
		to happen next,		people.	
		and 72% knew			
		who to talk to if		CONTINUITY OF	
		they were		CARE FOR CARE	
		worried		LEAVERS:	
				- A consultation	
				with young	
				people in care	
				and care leavers	
				(age not	
				specified)	
				recommended	
				that they should	
				have twice a year	
				check-ups (even	
				when they leave	
				care) and these	
				should	
				be up to 18 years	
				of age and not	
				stop at 16; carers	
				should have	
				more training on	
				health and the	
				young people	
				should have the	
				same health	
				worker for health	
				assessments,	
				and a health	
				folder that has all	
				young people's	
				health	
				information in it.	