National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final

Otitis media with effusion in under 12s

[B] Evidence reviews for presenting features associated with OME in children

NICE guideline number NG233

Evidence reviews underpinning recommendations 1.2.1 to 1.2.7 in the NICE guideline

August 2023

Final

This evidence review was developed by NICE



Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the <u>Welsh Government</u>, <u>Scottish Government</u>, and <u>Northern Ireland Executive</u>. All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

Copyright

© NICE 2023. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

ISBN: 978-1-4731-5332-5

Contents

Presenting features associated with OME in children 5

Review question 5

•		
Introductio	n	5
Summary	of the protocol	5
Methods a	nd process	5
Diagnostic	evidence	6
Summary	of included studies	7
Summary	of the evidence	12
Economic	evidence	14
Economic	model	14
The comm	ittee's discussion and interpretation of the evidence	15
Recomme	ndations supported by this evidence review	19
References -	- included studies 19	
Appendices 2	0	
Appendix A	Review protocols 20	
Review pro	otocol for review question: What presenting features are	associated
with OME	in children under 12 years?	20
Appendix B	Literature search strategies 28	
Literature	search strategies for review question: What presenting f	eatures are
associated	with OME in children under 12 years?	28
Appendix C	Diagnostic evidence study selection 34	
Study sele	ction for: What presenting features are associated with	OME in
children ur	nder 12 years?	34
Appendix D	Evidence tables 35	
Evidence t	ables for review question: What presenting features are	associated
with OME	in children under 12 years?	35
Appendix E	Forest plots 73	
Forest plot	s for review question: What presenting features are as	sociated with
OME in ch	ildren under 12 years?	73
Appendix F	GRADE tables 76	
GRADE ta	bles for diagnostic evidence for review question: What p	presenting
features ar	e associated with OME in children under 12 years?	76
Appendix G	Economic evidence study selection 92	

Study sele	ction for: What presenting features are associated w	/ith OME in
children ur	nder 12 years?	92
Appendix H	Economic evidence tables 93	
Economic	evidence tables for review question: What presentin	g features are
associated	with OME in children under 12 years?	93
Appendix I	Economic model 94	
Economic	model for review question: What presenting features	s are associated
with OME	in children under 12 years?	94
Appendix J	Excluded studies 95	
Excluded s	studies for review question: What presenting feature	s are associated
with OME	in children under 12 years?	95
Appendix K	Research recommendations – full details 115	
Research i	recommendations for review question: What present	ting features are
associated	with OME in children under 12 years?	115

Presenting features associated with OME in children

Review question

What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

Introduction

The aim of this review is to identify the presenting features that are associated with OME in children under 12 years.

Summary of the protocol

See Table 1 for a summary of the Population, Index tests, Reference standard and Target condition (PIRT) characteristics of this review.

Table 1: Summary of the protocol (PIRT table)

Population	All children under 12 years with suspected otitis media with effusion (OME)
Index tests	Any signs and symptoms (presenting features), alone or in combination, including but not limited to: Hearing difficulty Indistinct speech Poor listening skills Delayed language development Ear discomfort or ache History of recurrent upper respiratory tract infection History of allergic rhinitis Rhinorrhoea (nasal discharge) Behavioural issues (e.g., being withdrawn, head banging, anxiety, frustration) lack of concentration or attention Poor educational progress Poor comprehension balance difficulties (e.g., clumsiness) Tinnitus Hyperacusis Tiredness/fatigue Actively socially withdrawn History of AOM
Reference standard	Diagnosis of OME must be made based on tympanometry with or without otoscopy
Target condition	OME
Outcomes	Sensitivity
	Specificity
	Positive predictive value
	Negative predictive value

AOM: acute otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion For further details see the review protocol in appendix A.

Methods and process

This evidence review was developed using the methods and process described in <u>Developing NICE guidelines: the manual</u>. Methods specific to this review question are described in the review protocol in appendix A and the methods document (supplementary

document 1). In this review, presenting features that cross the low threshold (60%) for sensitivity or specificity are described as moderately sensitive or specific, whereas presenting features that cross the high threshold (90%) for sensitivity or specificity are described as highly sensitive or specific.

The protocol included systematic reviews of cross-sectional diagnostic accuracy studies and primary cross-sectional diagnostic accuracy studies only. However, no studies were identified that aimed to examine the diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) of presenting features. Therefore, we broadened the study design criteria to include studies reporting associations between presenting features and otitis media with effusion (OME). Where sufficient information was presented, data was extracted that allowed for the calculation of DTA outcomes (e.g., sensitivity and specificity); such studies were included in the diagnostic evidence below.

Declarations of interest were recorded according to NICE's conflicts of interest policy.

Diagnostic evidence

Included studies

Five studies were included: 4 case-control studies (Chantzi 2006; Kwon 2013; Martines 2011; Ralli 2011), and 1 cross-sectional study (Quaranta 2013).

The included studies are summarised in Table 2.

One study (Chantzi 2006) compared children with OME with children attending the ENT department for reasons other than OME with no history of OME and no more than 2 episodes of otitis media (OM) per year; 1 study (Kwon 2013) compared children with OME with children without OME or OM who had undergone blood tests and multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay (MAST-CLA) because of ranula, ankyloglossia, preauricular fistula or epistaxis; 1 study (Martines 2011) compared primary school children with OME with those without OME; 1 study (Quaranta 2013) included children with grade 3 or 4 adenoid hypertrophy (and compared those with OME with those without OME; and 1 study (Ralli 2011) compared children with OME for more than 3 months with healthy children with no current or history of OME, and normal otoscopic findings.

Three studies excluded participants with craniofacial anomalies (Chatnzi 2006), suspected head and neck abnormalities (Kwon 2013) or skull-facial malformations (Martines 2011), and 2 studies did not report data on whether any participants had craniofacial anomalies (Quaranta 2013; Ralli 2011).

One study included children with an average age of 4 years (Chantzi 2006), and 4 studies included children with an average age of over 6 years (Kwon 2013, Martines 2011, Quaranta 2013, Ralli 2011).

Only single studies investigated the DTA of the following symptoms, signs, or presenting features: history of adenoidectomy (Chantzi 2006); nasal obstruction (Chantzi 2006); tonsil hypertrophy (Kwon 2013); Immunoglobin E (IgE) sensitisation (Chantzi 2006); any allergic disease (asthma, rhinitis, eczema, or any combination of these) (Chantzi 2006); IgE-mediated asthma (Chantzi 2006); non-allergic rhinitis (Chantzi 2006, Quaranta 2013); infective rhinitis (Quaranta 2013); the combination of allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis (Quaranta 2013); the combination of non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis (Quaranta 2013); the combination of non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis (Quaranta 2013); chronic rhinosinusitis (Kwon 2013); IgE-mediated eczema (Chantzi 2006); food reactions (Chantzi 2006); drug reactions (Chantzi 2006); urticaria (Chantzi 2006); dyspnoea (Chantzi 2006); wheezing (Chantzi 2006); recurrent cough (Chantzi 2006); rhinorrhoea (Chantzi 2006); paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching (Chantzi 2006); snoring (Martines 2011); deleterious sucking habits (Ralli 2011); atypical swallowing (Ralli 2011); history of acute otitis media (AOM) (Martines 2011); history of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) (Martines 2011); and the combination of allergy and history of URTI (Martines 2011).

The DTA of the following symptoms, signs, or presenting features were investigated by multiple studies, but there was variation in how the features were defined and measured across studies: adenoid hypertrophy (Chantzi 2006; Kwon 2013); atopy (Chantzi 2006;

Martines 2011); asthma (Chantzi 2006; Kwon 2013); allergic rhinitis (Chantzi 2006; Kwon 2013; Quaranta 2013) non-allergic rhinitis (Chantzi 2006; Quaranta 2013); eczema/ atopic dermatitis (Chantzi 2006; Kwon 2013); and conjunctivitis (Chantzi 2006; Kwon 2013). All studies used tympanometry as part of their reference standard. One study (Kwon 2013) used otoscopy in addition to tympanometry to diagnose OME and 1 study (Quaranta 2013) used pure tone audiometry in addition to tympanometry. The remaining 3 studies (Chantzi 2006; Martines 2011; Ralli 2011) used a combination of tympanometry, otoscopy and additional symptoms or medical history to diagnose OME.

See the literature search strategy in appendix B and study selection flow chart in appendix C.

Excluded studies

Studies not included in this review are listed, and reasons for their exclusion are provided in appendix J.

Summary of included studies

Summaries of the studies that were included in this review are presented in Table 2.

Study	Population	Index test(s)	Reference standard(s)	Outcomes	Comments
Chantzi 2006 Case- control study Greece	N=168 children with or without OME attending ENT department during the study period OME group: n=88 • Age in years, mean (SD): 4.5 (NR, range: 1-6.7) • Sex (male/female): 56/32 • Craniofacial anomalies: None* Group without OME: n=80 • Age in years, mean (SD): 4.4 (NR, range: 1.3- 6.7) • Sex (male/female): 53/27	Presenting features: 1. Adenoid hypertrophy 2. History of adenoidectomy 3. Immunoglobin E (IgE) sensitisation 4. Any allergic disease (defined as a positive clinical history of asthma, rhinitis, and/ or eczema) 5. IgE-mediated allergic disease (atopy; defined as the presence of any allergic disease, as above, combined with IgE sensitisation) 6. Dyspnoea 7. Wheezing 8. Recurrent cough 9. Asthma 10. IgE-mediated asthma	Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms) with otoscopy, clinical symptoms (hearing loss, otalgia, or irritability, 'plugged' feeling or 'popping' in their ears, speech, or behavioural disorders, tinnitus, vertigo) and symptom duration of >1 month or a history of recurrent OME	 Sensitivity Specificity PPV NPV 	Control group were children who had no history of or current OME, no frequent (>2 per year) episodes of OM, and no otoscopic findings of OM

Table 2: Summary of included studies

			Reference		
Study	Population	Index test(s)	standard(s)	Outcomes	Comments
	 Craniofacial anomalies: None* *Study excluded children with craniofacial abnormalities 	(defined as asthma combined with IgE sensitisation) 11. Rhinitis 12. Nasal obstruction 13. Rhinorrhoea 14. Paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching 15. IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis (defined as rhinitis combined with IgE sensitisation) 16. Conjunctivitis 17. Eczema 18. IgE-mediated eczema (defined as eczema combined with IgE sensitisation) 19. Food reactions 20. Drug reactions 21. Urticaria 22. Anaphylaxis 23. Elevated eosinophil count (>4%)			
Kwon 2013 Case- control study Korea	 N=470 children with or without OME OME group: n=370 Age in years, mean (SD): 7.5 (NR, range: 2-14) Sex (male/female): 235/135 Craniofacial anomalies: none* Group without OME: n=100 	 Allergic rhinitis Asthma Atopic demartitis Allergic conjunctivitis Chronic rhinosinusitis Tonsil hypertrophy Adenoid hypertrophy 	Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms on impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber- coloured tympanic membrane on otoscopic examination)	 Sensitivity Specificity PPV NPV 	Control group were children without OME or otitis media, who had undergone blood tests and MAST- CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia, preauricular fistula or epistaxis

			Reference		
Study	Population	Index test(s)	standard(s)	Outcomes	Comments
	 Age in years, mean (SD): 5.9 (NR, range: 3-12) Sex (male/female): 26/24 (Figure is not correct or incomplete as n=100) Craniofacial anomalies: none* *Study excluded children with suspected head and neck abnormalities 				
Martines 2011 Case- control study Italy	N=2097 children attending specific primary schools during the study period • Age in years, mean (SD): 9.9 (NR) Children with persistent (≥ 3 months) OME: n=143 • Age in years, mean (SD): NR. Age: n (%): \circ 5-6 years: 40 (28%) \circ 7-8 years: 32 (22%) \circ 9-10 years: 36 (25%) \circ 11-12 years: 20 (14%) \circ 13-14 years: 15 (10%) • Sex (male/female): 43/100	Presenting features: 1. Atopy/ allergy 2. Snoring 3. History of AOM 4. History of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) 5. Allergy and history of URTI	Tympanometry (presence of B or C tympanograms; absence of ipsilateral acoustic reflex) with otoscopy (documented persistent middle ear effusion for a minimum of 3 months) and a conductive hearing loss greater than 25 dB at any one of the frequencies from 250 Hz through 4 kHz	 Sensitivity Specificity PPV NPV 	Control group were children with no OME

			Reference		
Study	Population	Index test(s)	standard(s)	Outcomes	Comments
	 Craniofacial anomalies: none* Children with no OME: n=1954 Age in years, mean (SD): NR. Age: n (%): 5-6 years: 270 (14%) 7-8 years: 314 (16%) 9-10 years: 526 (27%) 11-12 years: 368 (19%) 13-14 years: 476 (24%) Sex (male/female): 889/1065 Craniofacial anomalies: none* * Study excluded children with skull-facial malformations 				
Quaranta 2013 Cross- sectional study Italy	N=81 children with grade 3 or 4 adenoid hypertrophy (choanal obstruction greater than 75%) • Age in years, mean (SD):: 6.9 (NR, range: 4-15) • Sex (male/female): 48/33 • Craniofacial anomalies: NR • OME prevalence (number of	 Presenting features: Allergic rhinitis (defined as positive SPT, nasal symptoms due to allergens and heterogeneous rhinocytogram) Infective rhinitis (defined as abundant bacteria that could be found in extracellular tissue and inside neutrophils 	Tympanometry and pure tone audiometry	 Sensitivity Specificity PPV NPV 	None

Study	Population	Index test(s)	Reference standard(s)	Outcomos	Commonte
Study	children with OME/without OME): 52/29	due to phagocytosis) 3. Non-allergic rhinitis (defined as negative SPT and the continuous presence of inflammatory cells in the nasal mucosa independently from the seasonality) 4. Allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis 5. Non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis	Stanuaru(S)		
Ralli 2011 Case- control study Italy	N=125 children with or without OME OME group: n=65 • Age in years, mean (SD): 9.2 (NR) • Sex (male/female): 36/29 • Craniofacial anomalies: NR Healthy control group: N=60 • Age in years, mean (SD):: 9.1 (NR) • Sex (male/female): 38/22 • Craniofacial anomalies: NR	 Presenting features: 1. Deleterious sucking habits, such as finger- or dummy- sucking, and bottle feeding (parafunctional sucking habits and mode of mouth breathing were also recorded, though information about recorded this is not reported) 2. Atypical swallowing (defined as lip activity producing strong tension in the perioral musculature, and/or the tip of the tongue pushed/ placed against the anterior teeth when swallowing) 	Tympanometry, ENT examination using otoscopy, and medical history	 Sensitivity Specificity PPV NPV 	Control group are healthy children with no current or history of OME and normal otoscopic findings

AOM: acute otitis media; dB: decibel; ENT: ears, nose and throat; Hz: hertz; IgE: Immunoglobin E; kHz: kilohertz; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; NR: not

reported; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SD: standard deviation; SPTs: skin prick tests; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection See the full evidence tables in appendix D and the forest plots in appendix E.

Summary of the evidence

The evidence was very low to high quality. Downgrading of the evidence was due to risk of bias and imprecision. No meta-analyses were possible for any of the index tests as there were insufficient similarities in definitions for and measurement of presenting features between studies, as well as differences in populations and reference standards used. All the evidence was based on individual signs, symptoms, and presenting features (no multivariate analysis). None of the presenting features examined were both very sensitive and very specific for a diagnosis of OME in children under 12. The majority of presenting features were moderately or very specific, but not sensitive. See table 3 for a summary of the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for all the presenting features.

Sensitive and specific presenting features

There was variation in the sensitivity and specificity for atopy for a diagnosis of OME, depending on how it was measured. There was evidence that atopy was both moderately sensitive and moderately specific for a diagnosis of OME, when assessed using skin prick tests (SPT). However, there was evidence from a different study that atopy was very specific but not sensitive, when measured using a positive clinical history, SPT and/or CAP-fluoroenzymoimmunoassay (CAP-FEIA).

Sensitive but not specific presenting features

Nasal obstruction was very sensitive but not specific for a diagnosis of OME. There was evidence that the following presenting features were moderately sensitive, but not specific, for a diagnosis of OME: adenoid hypertrophy, a history of adenoidectomy, rhinorrhoea, and any allergic disease (asthma, rhinitis, eczema, or any combination of these). However, when assessed individually, all the presenting features included under the composite index test 'any allergic disease', (asthma, rhinitis, and eczema) were found to be moderately or very specific but not sensitive. For asthma, the specificity varied depending on how it was measured; it was moderately specific when measured by a physician questionnaire but very specific when measured by multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay (MAST-CLA) and medical records.

Specific but not sensitive presenting features

There was evidence that the following presenting features were very specific, but not sensitive, for a diagnosis of OME: IgE-mediated asthma, allergic rhinitis, the combination of allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis, chronic rhinosinusitis, eczema/ atopic dermatitis, IgE-mediated eczema, food reactions, drug reactions, anaphylaxis, conjunctivitis, wheezing, a history of AOM, and the combination of allergy and a history of URTI. There was evidence that the following presenting features were moderately specific, but not sensitive, for a diagnosis of OME: Immunoglobin E (IgE) sensitisation, allergic rhinitis, non-allergic rhinitis, infective rhinitis, the combination of non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis, elevated eosinophil count (>4%), urticaria, dyspnoea, paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching, snoring, deleterious sucking habits, atypical swallowing, and a history of upper respiratory tract infection.

Not sensitive or specific presenting features

Tonsil hypertrophy and a recurrent cough were neither sensitive nor specific for a diagnosis of OME.

Presenting features with no evidence

No DTA evidence was found for the following signs, symptoms, or presenting features set out in the protocol: hearing difficulty; indistinct speech; poor listening skills; delayed language development; ear discomfort or ache; behavioural issues (such as being withdrawn, head banging, anxiety, frustration); lack of concentration or attention; poor educational progress; poor comprehension; balance difficulties (such as clumsiness); tinnitus; hyperacusis; tiredness/ fatigue; or actively socially withdrawn.

Table 3: Summary of sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for presenting

features

	Not sensitive (sensitivity <0.6, i.e. <60%)	Moderately sensitive (sensitivity ≥0.6 to <0.9, i.e. ≥60% to <90%)	Very sensitive (sensitivity ≥0.9, i.e. ≥90%)
Not specific (specificity <0.6, i.e. <60%)	 Tonsil hypertrophy (PPV: 0.78, NPV: 0.21) Recurrent cough (PPV: 0.51, NPV: 0.51) 	 Adenoid hypertrophy (PPV: 0.52-0.85, NPV: 0.34-0.47) History of adenoidectomy (PPV: 0.55, NPV: 0.48) Any allergic disease (PPV: 0.61, NPV: 0.57) Rhinorrhoea (PPV: 0.58, NPV: 0.55) 	 Nasal obstruction (PPV: 0.54, NPV: 0.62)
Moderately specific (specificity ≥0.6 to <0.9, i.e. ≥60% to <90%)	 Asthma (physician questionnaire) (PPV: 0.67, NPV: 0.53) IgE sensitisation (PPV: 0.70, NPV: 0.53) Allergic rhinitis (MAST-CLA & medical records) (PPV: 0.89, NPV: 0.26) Non-allergic rhinitis (PPV: 0.63-0.65, NPV: 0.47-0.53) Infective rhinitis (PPV: 0.58, NPV: 0.46) Non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis (PPV: 0.57, NPV: 0.45) Elevated eosinophil count (PPV: 0.51, NPV: 0.47) Urticaria (PPV: 0.60, NPV: 0.50) Dyspnoea (PPV: 0.67, NPV: 0.52) Paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching (PPV: 0.64, NPV: 0.53) Snoring (PPV: 0.16, NPV: 0.95) Deleterious sucking habits (PPV: 0.70, NPV: 0.56) Atypical swallowing (PPV: 0.14, NPV: 0.58) History of URTI (PPV: 0.14, NPV: 0.95) 	• Atopy (SPT) (PPV: 0.85, NPV: 0.34)	None
Very specific	 Atopy (clinical history, SPT) (PPV: 0.78, NPV: 0.52) 	None	None

	Not sensitive (sensitivity <0.6, i.e. <60%)	Moderately sensitive (sensitivity ≥0.6 to <0.9, i.e. ≥60% to <90%)	Very sensitive (sensitivity ≥0.9, i.e. ≥90%)
(specificity ≥0.9, i.e. ≥90%)	 Asthma (MAST-CLA & medical records) (PPV: 0.50, NPV: 0.20) IgE-mediated asthma (PPV: 0.79, NPV: 0.50) Allergic rhinitis (physician questionnaire, SPT and/or CAP-FEIA) (PPV: 0.80, NPV: 0.51) Allergic rhinitis (cytology, SPT and nasal sampling) (PPV: 0.25, NPV: 0.43) Allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis (PPV: 1.00, NPV: 0.46) Chronic rhinosinusitis (PPV: 0.87, NPV: 0.22) Eczema/ atopic dermatitis (PPV: 0.87, NPV: 0.22) Eczema/ atopic dermatitis (PPV: 0.53-0.81, NPV: 0.20-0.51) IgE-mediated eczema (PPV: 1.00, NPV: 0.50) Food reactions (PPV: 0.75, NPV: 0.49) Drug reactions (PPV: 0.60, NPV: 0.49) Anaphylaxis (PPV: 1.00, NPV: 0.48) Conjunctivitis (PPV: 0.45-0.50, NPV: 0.20-0.47) Wheezing (PPV: 0.83, NPV: 0.54) History of AOM (PPV: 0.15, NPV: 0.94) Allergy and history of URTI (PPV: 0.46, NPV: 0.95) 		

AOM: acute otitis media; CAP-FEIA: CAP-fluoroenzymoimmunoassay; IgE: Immunoglobin E; PPV: positive predictive value; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; SPT: skin-prick test; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection See appendix F for full GRADE tables.

Economic evidence

Included studies

A systematic review of the economic literature was conducted but no economic studies were identified which were applicable to this review question.

Economic model

No economic modelling was undertaken for this review because the committee agreed that other topics were higher priorities for economic evaluation as this review question did not explicitly address a decision between competing alternatives.

The committee's discussion and interpretation of the evidence

The outcomes that matter most

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were selected as critical outcomes as these are commonly used measures of the discriminative ability of index tests and, therefore, provide information about how well different presenting features can discriminate between children with and without OME. The committee considered the impact of true positives (referring children with OME for further investigations that should lead to a diagnosis and management of OME), true negatives (reassuring children who do not have OME and their parents that they are not likely to have OME and not making a referral for further investigations), false positives (referring children without OME for further investigations that are unnecessary) and false negatives (failing to identify children with OME that require further investigations and management of OME) at this point in the pathway (initial recognition) where the aim is to identify when to refer children for further investigation, and noted that false negatives could be particularly impactful. Additionally, the committee agreed further investigations such as tympanometry or otoscopic examination are minimally invasive tests that are unlikely to cause harm in the event of false positives. Therefore, they agreed to prioritise the sensitivity of presenting features over specificity, as index tests with high sensitivity will have a lower false negative rate than those with low sensitivity. The committee considered the positive and negative predictive values as additional information alongside sensitivity and specificity to allow them to understand what the impact of a system that recommended a certain action for all positive or negative test results would be.

The quality of the evidence

The quality of the evidence was assessed using GRADE methodology. The evidence was very low to high quality and downgrading of the evidence was due to risk of bias as per QUADAS-2 (for example, the potential for risk of bias due to case-control methodology, subjective methods of assessment of the presenting feature, or the interval between assessment of the presenting features and diagnosis of OME) and imprecision (95% confidence intervals crossing decision-making thresholds). No meta-analyses were possible for any of the index tests (presenting features), given there was insufficient similarities in terms of the definitions for, and measurement of, the presenting features, the reference standard used (diagnostic criteria for OME), and populations. DTA evidence from the cross-sectional study was all of high to moderate quality and therefore was prioritised in the committee's discussion of the evidence, especially when there was conflicting evidence from studies regarding similar presenting features.

No evidence was found for the following signs, symptoms, or presenting features set out in the protocol: hearing difficulty; indistinct speech; poor listening skills; delayed language development; ear discomfort or ache; behavioural issues (such as being withdrawn, head banging, anxiety, frustration); lack of concentration or attention; poor educational progress; poor comprehension; balance difficulties (such as clumsiness); tinnitus; hyperacusis; tiredness/ fatigue; or actively socially withdrawn.

Benefits and harms

The recommendation about the features that children with OME usually present with was made based on the committee's knowledge and experience, as well as recommendations from the previous NICE guideline on OME (NICE 2008). The committee discussed the lack of evidence on certain signs and symptoms commonly reported by parents, such as behavioural issues, and the fact that all the evidence identified in the literature was on clinical features. The committee agreed it was likely the age of the children in the included studies contributing to the lack of evidence on these presenting features because the participants tended to be over 5 years old, and normally features such as delayed speech and language development, listening skills, and behavioural issues are identified by parents when children

are very young (between 18 months to 3 years). The committee agreed studies looking at older children would have been unlikely to pick up on these types of presenting features. They agreed, based on their knowledge and experience, that these parent-reported factors were usually associated with OME, and should be captured in the recommendations to ensure the recommendations were representative of younger populations and to help raise awareness of the association between such factors and OME among parents, carers, and professionals who are regularly in contact with children, such as teachers. The committee agreed this was important so that people know when to flag concerns about the child or present to services, therefore, facilitating assessment and treatment. As a result, they reviewed the recommendations from the previous NICE guideline on OME about clinical presentation. The committee agreed that hearing difficulties are usually associated with a diagnosis of OME, based on their knowledge and experience that people with OME tend to present with concerns about hearing. The committee agreed that tinnitus is an important presenting feature for OME because it can be linked to other symptoms such as nasal obstruction or hearing difficulties, and in their experience is frequently reported by parents. However, indistinct speech only captures speaking quality and not all the elements of speech difficulty that can be indicative of OME, such as omitting or substituting consonants, or not speaking at all. As a result, the committee agreed to instead include delayed speech development as a potential presenting feature in addition to delayed language development from the original guideline, to capture delays in both receptive and expressive language and phonological elements, as well as any resulting speech difficulties that parents and carers might pick up on. The committee agreed that repeated ear infections would not usually be associated with OME, and that instead, in their experience parents and carers of children with OME usually present with concerns about their child having frequent ear discomfort due to a sensation of fullness (which can sometimes be expressed as earache by children, and mistakenly be associated with infection). Additionally, intolerance of loud sounds is usually associated with children who have recovered from OME and can now hear more clearly, rather than those who currently have OME. As a result, repeated ear infections and intolerance of loud sounds were removed from the list. The committee also agreed to remove a history of URTI and nasal obstruction from this list to reflect the fact that there was available evidence on these presenting features, and they therefore are covered by the recommendations discussed below. The committee agreed clinicians should suspect OME as a potential diagnosis where there are concerns about these features, but that a stronger recommendation should not be made due to the lack of any evidence on these presenting features.

The recommendation about additional features that can be associated with OME was made based on the committee's knowledge and experience, as well as recommendations from the previous NICE guideline on OME. The committee agreed that some children with OME did present with some of the other features listed in the original guideline, such as poor educational progress and balance difficulties, but in their experience these features were less commonly associated with OME. Some children with OME also present with behavioural problems, such as irritability and frustration, because other symptoms such as pain can manifest as irritability, particularly in very young children who cannot otherwise communicate their discomfort. The committee agreed that parents, carers, and professionals should be aware that these features can be associated with OME, but that they should not have the same priority as the features listed in the recommendation discussed above when considering whether the child has OME. The committee acknowledged that other formal assessments may be considered necessary depending on the features present and potential differential diagnoses. For example, behavioural issues may prompt a referral for assessment of Autistic spectrum disorders, but this was outside the scope of the current auideline.

The committee assessed the evidence and used it to make recommendations on when clinicians should have a higher suspicion of OME. The committee noted that many of the presenting features identified in the evidence were assessed using tests that are typically performed in secondary care settings, some of which are invasive, such as SPT, blood tests, or other investigations (for example, to confirm adenoid hypertrophy). These features

included IgE sensitisation, allergic rhinitis, infective rhinitis, allergic rhinitis with infective rhinitis, non-allergic rhinitis with infective rhinitis, an elevated eosinophil count, atopy, IgE-mediated asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis, IgE-mediated eczema, and allergy and history of URTI. While atypical swallowing was not necessarily assessed using invasive measures in the evidence, it was investigated using specific consideration of the way in which a child swallowed, in a manner which the committee agreed, in their experience, would not normally be assessed at first presentation. Clinicians, especially those in primary care, would be unlikely to conduct these tests at a child's first presentation to services. For this reason, the committee agreed that, although such presenting features might be useful to inform management further down a care pathway, they would not be useful to inform which children at initial presentation may need further assessment for OME and that relying on such tests would cause delays in identifying children. The committee also agreed that tonsil hypertrophy and a recurrent cough should not be used to identify children who require further assessment for OME as the evidence showed these presenting features were neither sensitive nor specific.

The only presenting feature that was at least moderately sensitive and specific was atopy, when assessed using only SPT. However, the committee agreed the very low quality of the evidence, the fact that there was other, better-quality evidence that atopy was very specific, but not sensitive when assessed using clinical history, SPT and/or CAP-FEIA, and blood tests, and the fact that a diagnosis of atopy would require secondary testing meant that atopy could not be relied upon to identify children who require further assessment. Considering the lack of presenting features that are both sensitive and specific, the committee agreed to make two separate sets of recommendations to capture the presenting features which were at least moderately specific but not sensitive, and the presenting features which were at least moderately sensitive but not specific.

The committee agreed the presenting features that are moderately or very specific and were not assessed using invasive measures would allow clinicians to rule in a potential diagnosis of OME. The committee discussed the fact that, in their experience, it is unusual to associate deleterious sucking habits with OME. However, the study investigating this presenting feature indicated that mode of mouth breathing was assessed as part of the criteria for deleterious sucking habits. The committee agreed mouth breathing can be linked to nasal obstruction, which was shown to have high sensitivity in the evidence and, therefore, agreed that potentially harmful sucking habits should be included as a presenting feature associated with OME but that the definition of this from the evidence should be included in the recommendation to help clinicians understand what this includes. The committee also discussed the fact that children with craniofacial anomalies tended to be excluded from the evidence, and that this limited their ability to make recommendations on presenting features for this population. However, based on their knowledge and experience, the committee agreed children with craniofacial anomalies such as Down syndrome and cleft palate are more susceptible to OME and more likely to experience fluctuating hearing loss, and therefore clinicians should have a higher suspicion of OME for children with craniofacial anomalies. Without adequate sensitivity, the committee agreed that it would not be appropriate for children to be excluded from consideration for further investigations if they did not have these presenting features, because the absence of these features would not rule out a diagnosis of OME. As a result of this and the committee's decision to prioritise sensitivity as the most useful outcome, the committee did not make a stronger recommendation.

The committee assessed the evidence and used it to make recommendations on when OME is less likely to be a potential diagnosis. The committee discussed the presenting features which were found to be moderately or very sensitive, but not specific. They agreed that people with OME usually have nasal obstruction and rhinorrhoea, and these could be useful symptoms to help rule out OME in children who do not present with it. The committee discussed whether adenoid hypertrophy could be considered a presenting feature because this is not normally something parents report and is usually assessed in secondary care, but agreed the evidence showed that adenoid hypertrophy was moderately sensitive when assessed using either imaging, which would be performed in secondary care, or a

17

standardised physician questionnaire, which could be used in primary care. The committee also discussed the evidence regarding a history of adenoidectomy, and agreed that children who had previously had an adenoidectomy might therefore have previously had OME or adenoid hypertrophy, which may indicate a higher risk of OME and therefore could be used as indications of OME in children. However, adenoidectomy itself is a surgical intervention to treat OME and would usually reduce risk of future OME. The committee therefore agreed to not include previous adenoidectomy on the list of presenting features, but to instead list current or history of adenoid hypertrophy, to capture those children who may previously have had adenoidectomy as a result of hypertrophy. The committee agreed that the lack of specificity for these symptoms mean other potential diagnoses could not be dismissed because of their presence. Therefore, absence of the presenting features which were highly sensitive should be used as an indication that the child might be less likely to have OME. The committee agreed the low quality of the evidence for the presenting feature 'any allergic disease', as well as the conflicting evidence that the symptoms included under this composite feature (asthma, rhinitis, and/ or eczema) when assessed in isolation were not sensitive, meant that it would be misleading to suggest that the absence of any of these features should make a clinical suspicion of OME less likely and, therefore, did not include this composite feature in the recommendations. However, the committee discussed that the evidence for 'any allergic disease' may suggest that the consideration of multiple presenting features could be more indicative of OME than assessing features in isolation of each other, suggesting that the presence of multiple of the listed presenting features would increase suspicion of OME.

The recommendation about when to refer for formal assessment was made based on the committee's knowledge and experience. The committee agreed that when children and their parents or carers present with any of the features in the recommendations discussed above. the clinician should assess the features, giving additional attention to any of the presenting features for which there was evidence, but also holistically considering the presence of multiple presenting features that tend to be associated with OME. For example, if a child presented with behavioural difficulties, and on initial assessment the clinician found the child also had a history of AOM, it would be sensible to suspect the child might have OME. The committee agreed that in a situation where the clinician suspected OME, the child should be referred for formal audiological assessment so OME can be diagnosed. The committee agreed this would start children with suspected OME on the appropriate care pathway, and enable access to treatment and care for children who do have OME and associated hearing loss. For children who do not have OME in whom OME is suspected at initial assessment, or those who have no associated hearing loss, referring for a formal assessment would allow professionals to correctly identify these children and make the appropriate care decisions. The recommendation about what formal assessment should involve was made based on the committee's knowledge and experience, as well as recommendations from the previous NICE guideline on OME. The committee reviewed the recommendations from the previous NICE guideline on OME (NICE 2008) about formal assessment, and agreed the methods listed to assess OME are, according to their knowledge and expertise, still used in current practice as the gold standard for diagnosis of OME. These assessment methods included clinical examination (including otoscopy and a focus on general upper respiratory health and general developmental status), age and developmentally appropriate hearing testing, and tympanometry. The committee agreed these tests should be done in a formal assessment in order to diagnose OME and related hearing loss, as this would enable clinicians to determine the correct treatment pathway for the child. The committee agreed that the recommendations discussed above addressed clinical history-related factors and so did not include these in the list of factors to consider in a formal assessment.

The committee also discussed the recommendation from the previous NICE guideline that co-existing causes of hearing loss should be considered and agreed this is sensible and still a part of standard practice. They agreed that considering whether hearing loss might have causes other than OME would prevent the risk of children with sensorineural, permanent conductive and non-organic hearing loss being overlooked based on the assumption that

their hearing loss in exclusively caused by OME and will therefore be improved by treating OME.

Cost effectiveness and resource use

As no formal economic evaluation was undertaken the committee made a qualitative assessment of the likely cost effectiveness of their recommendations. The purpose of these recommendations is to support early recognition of OME with hearing loss to expedite interventions and management that will improve health related quality of life and educational and developmental outcomes. Most of the recommendations reflect current practice and therefore are not likely to have a significant resource impact. Whilst there are costs associated with better and earlier recognition of OME there are also likely to be offsetting savings and therefore, given the benefits of prompt recognition, the committee considered that their recommendations would represent a cost-effective use of NHS resources.

Recommendations supported by this evidence review

This evidence review supports recommendations 1.2.1 to 1.2.7.

References – included studies

Diagnostic

Chantzi 2006

Chantzi, F M, Kafetzis, D A, Bairamis, T et al. (2006) IgE sensitisation, respiratory allergy symptoms, and heritability independently increase the risk of otitis media with effusion. Allergy 61(3): 332-6

Kwon 2013

Kwon, Chul, Lee, Ho Yun, Kim, Myung Gu et al. (2013) Allergic diseases in children with otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 77(2): 158-61

Martines 2011

Martines, F, Bentivegna, D, Maira, E et al. (2011) Risk factors for otitis media with effusion: case-control study in Sicilian schoolchildren. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 75(6): 754-9

Quaranta 2013

Quaranta, Nicola, Milella, Claudia, Iannuzzi, Lucia et al. (2013) A study of the role of different forms of chronic rhinitis in the development of otitis media with effusion in children affected by adenoid hypertrophy. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 77(12): 1980-3

Ralli 2011

Ralli, Giovanni, Ruoppolo, Giovanni, Mora, Renzo et al. (2011) Deleterious sucking habits and atypical swallowing in children with otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 75(10): 1260-4

Appendices

Appendix A Review protocols

Review protocol for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

Table 4:Review protocol

Field	Content
PROSPERO registration number	CRD42022333991
Review title	Presenting features associated with OME in children
Review question	What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?
Objective	To determine the presenting features that are associated with OME in children under 12 years
Searches	The following databases will be searched: • Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) • Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) • Embase • Epistemonikos • International Health Technology Assessment (INAHTA) database • MEDLINE & MEDLINE In-Process Searches will be restricted by: • Date limitations: 2000 onwards (see rationale under Section 10) • English language studies

Field	Content
	Human studies
	Other accrehool
	Other searches.
	Inclusion lists of systematic reviews
	Citation searches of included studies
	With the agreement of the guideline committee, the searches will be re-run between 6-8 weeks before final submission of the review and further studies retrieved for inclusion.
	The full search strategies for MEDLINE database will be published in the final review.
Condition or domain being studied	Otitis media with effusion in children under 12 years
Population	Inclusion: All children under 12 years with suspected otitis media with effusion (OME)
Presenting features	Any signs and symptoms (presenting features), alone or in combination, including but not limited to:
	Hearing difficulty
	Indistinct speech
	Poor listening skills
	Delayed language development
	Ear discomfort or ache
	History of recurrent upper respiratory tract infection
	History of allergic rhinitis
	Rhinorrhoea (nasal discharge)
	 Behavioural issues (e.g. being withdrawn, head banging, anxiety, frustration)
	lack of concentration or attention
	Poor educational progress
	Poor comprehension
	balance difficulties (e.g. clumsiness)
	• Tinnitus

Field	Content
	Hyperacusis
	Tiredness/fatigue
	Actively socially withdrawn
	History of AOM
Reference standard Diagnosis of OME must be made based on tympanometry with or without otoscopy	
Types of study to be	Include published full-texts:
included	 Systematic reviews of cross-sectional diagnostic accuracy studies
	Cross-sectional diagnostic accuracy studies
	Studies with prospective and retrospective data collection will be included. Two-gate studies will only be included if there are insufficient single-gate studies for a given sign, symptom or combination)
Other exclusion criteria	Country limitations: OECD high-income countries
	 Date limitations: 2000 as the 2008 OME guideline has changed practice. However, the committee wanted to capture research leading up to the 2008 guideline also, and not just research conducted afterwards.
	Language limitations: studies published not in English-language
	Conference abstracts will not be considered.
Context	This guidance will fully update the following NICE guideline: Otitis media with effusion in under 12s: surgery (2008; CG60)
Primary outcomes (critical	Sensitivity
outcomes)	• Specificity
	Positive predictive value
	Negative predictive value
Secondary outcomes (important outcomes)	None
Data extraction (selection and coding)	All references identified by the searches and from other sources will be uploaded into EPPI and de-duplicated. Titles and abstracts of the retrieved citations will be screened to identify studies that potentially meet the inclusion criteria outlined in the review protocol. Dual sifting will be performed on at least 10% of records; 90% agreement is required, if capacity allows it. Disagreements will be resolved via discussion between the two reviewers, and consultation with senior staff if necessary. Full versions of the selected studies will be obtained for assessment. Studies that fail to

Field	Content	
	meet the inclusion criteria once the full version has been checked will be excluded at this stage. Each study excluded after checking the full version will be listed, along with the reason for its exclusion.	
	A standardised form will be used to extract data from studies. The following data will be extracted: study details (reference, country where study was carried out, type and dates), participant characteristics, inclusion and exclusion criteria, details of the risk factors, setting and follow-up, relevant outcome data and source of funding. One reviewer will extract relevant data into a standardised form, and this will be quality assessed by a senior reviewer.	
Risk of bias (quality)	Quality assessment of individual studies will be performed using the following checklists:	
	ROBIS tool for systematic reviews	
	• QUADAS-2 1001 101 diagnostic accuracy studies	
	The quality assessment will be performed by one reviewer and this will be quality assessed by a senior reviewer.	
Strategy for data synthesis	Where data is available from two or more studies for the same parameter and is sufficiently consistent, meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy will be performed using the metandi and midas applications in STATA/winbugs and Cochrane Review Manager software. Sensitivity, specificity, and the positive and negative predictive values with 95% Cls will be used as outcomes for diagnostic test accuracy. These diagnostic accuracy parameters will be obtained from the studies or calculated by the technical team using data from the studies.	
	international GRADE working group: <u>http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/</u>	
	Decision making thresholds:	
	Sensitivity:	
	o Very useful test: ≥90%	
	 Moderately useful test: ≥60% 	
	○ Not a useful test <60%	
	Specificity:	
	o very userur rest. ≤90% ₀ Moderately useful test: >60%	
	$_{\circ}$ Not a useful test <60%	

Field	Content	
	Decision making thresholds for positive and negative predictive values have not been defined a priori. Imprecision in and importance of positive and negative predictive values will be assessed qualitatively during committee discussions and documented in the committee's discussion and interpretation of the evidence.	
Analysis of sub-groups	 Evidence will be subgrouped by the following only in the event that there is significant heterogeneity in outcomes: Craniofacial anomalies Children with Down's syndrome Children with cleft palate Children with other craniofacial anomalies Children without craniofacial anomalies Children without craniofacial anomalies Age Children <2 years vs ≥2 years Children <4 years vs ≥4 years Children <6 years vs ≥6 years Reference standard Tympanometry alone vs tympanometry with otoscopy Where evidence is stratified or subgrouped the committee will consider on a case by case basis if separate recommendations may be made where there is evidence of a differential effect of interventions in distinct groups. If there is a lack of evidence in one group, the committee will consider needs to extrapolate and assume the interventione will be not envide the group accompany.	
Type and method of review	 □ Intervention □ Diagnostic □ Prognostic □ Qualitative □ Epidemiologic □ Service Delivery □ Other (please specify) 	

Field	Content		
Language	English		
Country	England		
Anticipated or actual start date	1/05/2022		
Anticipated completion date	23/12/2022		
Stage of review at time of	Review stage	Started	Completed
this submission	Preliminary searches		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
	Piloting of the study selection process	V	
	Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria		V
	Data extraction		V
	Risk of bias (quality) assessment		V
	Data analysis	V	V
Named contact	Named contact: National Guideline Alliance Named contact e-mail otitis@nice.org.uk Organisational affiliation of the review: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and National Guideline Alliance		
Review team members National Guideline Alliance			
Funding sources/sponsor	This systematic review is being completed by the National Guideline Alliance which receives funding from NICE.		
Conflicts of interest	All guideline committee members and anyone who has direct input into NICE guidelines (including the evidence review team and expert witnesses) must declare any potential conflicts of interest in line with NICE's code of practice for declaring and dealing with conflicts of interest. Any relevant interests, or changes to interests, will also be		

Field	Content	
	declared publicly at the start of each guideline committee meeting. Before each meeting, any potential conflicts of interest will be considered by the guideline committee Chair and a senior member of the development team. Any decisions to exclude a person from all or part of a meeting will be documented. Any changes to a member's declaration of interests will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. Declarations of interests will be published with the final guideline.	
Collaborators	Development of this systematic review will be overseen by an advisory committee who will use the review to inform the development of evidence-based recommendations in line with section 3 of <u>Developing NICE guidelines: the manual</u> . Members of the guideline committee are available on the NICE website: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-ng10193	
Other registration details	None	
Reference/URL for published protocol	https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=333991	
Dissemination plans	 NICE may use a range of different methods to raise awareness of the guideline. These include standard approaches such as: notifying registered stakeholders of publication publicising the guideline through NICE's newsletter and alerts issuing a press release or briefing as appropriate, posting news articles on the NICE website, using social media channels, and publicising the guideline within NICE. 	
Keywords	Otitis media with effusion, presenting features, hearing, diagnosis	
Details of existing review of same topic by same authors	None	
Current review status	 ☑ Ongoing □ Completed but not published □ Completed and published □ Completed, published and being updated □ Discontinued 	
Additional information	None	
Details of final publication	www.nice.org.uk	

AOM: acute otitis media; NICE: National Institute of Health and Care Excellence; OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; OME: otitis media with effusion

Appendix B Literature search strategies

Literature search strategies for review question: What presenting

features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

Database: Medline - OVID interface

Date last searched: 17/05/2022

	#	Searches		
j	1	otitis media with effusion/		
	2	(glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab.		
	3	1 or 2		
	4	exp Hearing Disorders/ or exp Auditory Perception/ or exp Speech Disorders/ or Speech Intelligibility/ or exp Language Development Disorders/ or (exp Otitis/ and exp Recurrence/) or Earache/ or (exp Respiratory Tract Infections/ and exp Recurrence/) or exp Rhinitis, Allergic/ or exp Rhinorrhea/ or Nasal Obstruction/ or exp Child Behavior/ or Attention/ or exp Academic Performance/ or exp Child Development/ or Developmental Disabilities/ or exp Cognition/ or Dizziness/ or Postural Balance/ or exp Vertigo/ or exp Fatigue/		
	5	(((hearing or listen*) adj2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or one side* or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab.		
	6	((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)).ti,ab.		
	7	(((nose or nasal) adj3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea).ti,ab.		
	8	(((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or upper airway* or upper respirat*) adj3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or flar* up or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or re occur* or repeat* or return* or several)).ti,ab.		
	9	((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or common cold* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or parainfluenza* or pharyngit* or rhinit* or rhinopharyngit* or rhinosinusit* or sinusit* or sore throat* or tonsillit* or tracheiti* or tracheobronchit* or URTI*) and (chronic or constant or continu* or flar* up or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or re occur* or repeat* or return* or several)) ti ab		
	10	(earache* or otalgia or otitis or ((ear? or otic) adj3 (ache* or aching or discomfort* or fluid* or fullness or infect* or inflam* or neuralgi* or pain*))).ti,ab.		
	11	(((balanc* or vestibul*) adj3 (deteriorat* or difficult* or disorder* or disturb* or equilibrium or impair* or los* or poor or problem*)) or clumsy or clumsiness or dizzy or dizziness or unstead* or vertigo).ti,ab.		
	12	((child* or baby or babies or boy? or girl? or infan* or juvenile? or kid? or kindergar* or minor or minors or p?ediatric* or prepubert* or pre pubert* or prepubescen* or pre pubescen* or preschool* or pre school* or preteen* or pre teen* or schoolchild* or school age? or toddler* or young) adj5 (attenti* or anxiet* or anxious or behav* or cogniti* or comprehend* or comprehension or concentrat* or delay* or develop* or education* or frustrat* or head bang* or irritab* or progress* or understand* or understood or withdraw*)).ti,ab.		
	13	(tinnit* or (ear? and (buzz* or ring* or roar* or puls* or click*))).ti,ab.		
	14	(((auditory or aural or loudness or noise* or sound*) adj3 (discomfort* or dislik* or disturb* or hyper?esthesia* or intoleran* or pain* or perception or recruitment or sensitiv* or uncomfortable)) or hyperacus*).ti,ab.		
	15	(apath* or drows* or exhaust* or fatigu* or lassitude or letharg* or tired* or sleepy or sleepiness or weary or ((lack* or los*) adj2 (energ* or vital*))).ti,ab.		
	16	exp "Signs and Symptoms"/ or Symptom Assessment/		
	17	(complain* or presentation or prevalen* or red flag* or sign or signs or symptom*).ti,ab.		
	18	((clinical* or physical* or present*) adj3 (aspect* or characteristic* or feature* or finding* or history or manifest* or marker* or suspect* or suspicion*)).ti,ab.		
	19	or/4-18		

Searches

- 21 (animals not humans).sh. or exp animals, laboratory/ or exp animal experimentation/ or exp models, animal/ or exp rodentia/ or (rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
- 22 20 not 21
- 23 limit 22 to english language
- 24 limit 23 to yr="2000 -Current"

Database: Embase – OVID interface

Date last searched: 17/05/2022

#	Searches

- 1 exp secretory otitis media/
- 2 (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab.
- 3 1 or 2
- 4 exp hearing disorder/ or exp hearing/ or exp speech disorder/ or speech intelligibility/ or exp developmental language disorder/ or (exp otitis/ and recurrent disease/) or otalgia/ or (exp respiratory tract infection/ and recurrent disease/) or exp allergic rhinitis/ or exp rhinorrhea/ or nose obstruction/ or exp child behavior/ or exp attention/ or academic achievement/ or child development/ or exp developmental disorder/ or exp cognition/ or dizziness/ or body equilibrium/ or exp vertigo/ or exp fatigue/
- 5 (((hearing or listen*) adj2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or one side* or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab.
- 6 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)).ti,ab.
- 7 (((nose or nasal) adj3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea).ti,ab.
- 8 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or upper airway* or upper respirat*) adj3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or flar* up or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or re occur* or repeat* or return* or several)).ti,ab.
- 9 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or common cold* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or parainfluenza* or pharyngit* or rhinit* or rhinopharyngit* or rhinosinusit* or sinusit* or sore throat* or tonsillit* or tracheiti* or tracheobronchit* or URTI*) and (chronic or constant or continu* or flar* up or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or re occur* or repeat* or return* or several)).ti,ab.
- 10 (earache* or otalgia or otitis or ((ear? or otic) adj3 (ache* or aching or discomfort* or fluid* or fullness or infect* or inflam* or neuralgi* or pain*))).ti,ab.
- 11 (((balanc* or vestibul*) adj3 (deteriorat* or difficult* or disorder* or disturb* or equilibrium or impair* or los* or poor or problem*)) or clumsy or clumsiness or dizzy or dizziness or unstead* or vertigo).ti,ab.
- 12 ((child* or baby or babies or boy? or girl? or infan* or juvenile? or kid? or kindergar* or minor or minors or p?ediatric* or prepubert* or pre pubert* or prepubescen* or pre pubescen* or preschool* or pre school* or preteen* or pre teen* or schoolchild* or school age? or toddler* or young) adj5 (attenti* or anxiet* or anxious or behav* or cogniti* or comprehend* or comprehension or concentrat* or delay* or develop* or education* or frustrat* or head bang* or irritab* or progress* or understand* or understood or withdraw*)).ti,ab.
- 13 (tinnit* or (ear? and (buzz* or ring* or roar* or puls* or click*))).ti,ab.
- 14 (((auditory or aural or loudness or noise* or sound*) adj3 (discomfort* or dislik* or disturb* or hyper?esthesia* or intoleran* or pain* or perception or recruitment or sensitiv* or uncomfortable)) or hyperacus*).ti,ab.
- 15 (apath* or drows* or exhaust* or fatigu* or lassitude or letharg* or tired* or sleepy or sleepiness or weary or ((lack* or los*) adj2 (energ* or vital*))).ti,ab.
- 16 symptom assessment/ or clinical feature/ or disease marker/ or exp symptom/
- 17 (complain* or presentation or prevalen* or red flag* or sign or signs or symptom*).ti,ab.
- 18 ((clinical* or physical* or present*) adj3 (aspect* or characteristic* or feature* or finding* or history or manifest* or marker* or suspect* or suspicion*)).ti,ab.
- 19 or/4-18
- 20 3 and 19

 21 (animal/ not human/) or nonhuman/ or exp animal experiment/ or exp experimental animal/ or animal model/ or exp rodent/ or (rat or rats or mouse or mice).ii. 22 20 not 21 23 limit 22 to english language 24 limit 23 to yr="2000 -Current" 25 limit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference proceeding) 26 24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface MeSH descriptor: [Dati Media with Effusion] this term only #4 (%) HeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #6 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Child Beavior] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Child Beavior] explode all trees #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Beavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Beavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Beavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Corg inton] explode all t	#	Searches
 animal model/ or exp rodent/ or (rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti. 22 20 not 21 23 limit 22 to english language 24 limit 23 to yr=2000-Current' 25 limit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference proceeding) 26 24 not 25 26 24 not 25 27 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface 20 Database: Searched: 17/05/2022 20 Search 41 MeSH descriptor: (Ottis Media with Effusion) this term only 42 (("glue ear' or ("middle ear' or 'ottis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "ottis media")):ti, ab,kw #1 or #2 44 MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees 45 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility this term only 48 #1 or #2 49 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Media with Effusion] this term only 410 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility this term only 410 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Gehavior] explode all trees 411 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Rajuage Development Disorders] explode all trees 412 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees 413 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 414 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 415 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 416 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 417 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 418 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 419 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 414 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 415 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 416 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 417 MeSH descriptor: [Chita Behavior] explode all trees 418 MeSH descriptor: [Chit	21	(animal/ not human/) or nonhuman/ or exp animal experiment/ or exp experimental animal/ or
 22 20 not 21 23 limit 22 to english language 24 limit 23 to yr="2000-Current" 25 limit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference proceeding) 24 not 25 26 24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: To Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Date lass scarched: 17/05/2022 Database: To Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Date lass scarched: 17/05/2022 Date lass scarched: 18/05/2004 Date lass scarched: 18/05/2004 Date lass scarched: 1		animal model/ or exp rodent/ or (rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
 Imit 22 to english language Imit 22 to tyr="2000-Curent" Imit 23 to yr="2000-Curent" Imit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference proceeding) 24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface MeSH descriptor: [Ontitis Media with Effusion] this term only ## #1 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees ## MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only ## MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees ## MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only ## MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only ## MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only ## MeSH descriptor: [Collid Development] MeSH descriptor: [Collid Development] MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only ## M	22	20 not 21
 limit 23 to yr="2000 -Current" limit 24 to yr="2000 -Current" limit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference proceeding) 24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Data Search MeSH descriptor: [Ottis Media with Effusion] this term only ("glue ear" or ("middle ear" or "ditis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "ottis media"), is, kw #1 MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Speech licotders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Speech licotders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Bear' or "ditis term only MeSH descriptor: [Caractle this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Bear' or "ditis media") near/12 effusion*) or ome or (secretory or serious) near/2 "ottis media"). MeSH descriptor: [Caractle this term only MeSH descriptor: [Caractle this term only MeSH descriptor: [Caractle this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Bearlori explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Bearlori explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Auditory explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Bearlori explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Bearlori explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Bearlori explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Contid on explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Co	23 limit 22 to english language	
 Imit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference proceeding) 24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Searched: 17/05/2022 Search MeSH descriptor: (Ottis Media with Effusion] this term only (("glue ear' or (("middle ear' or "ottis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 'ottis media")):1, ab, W #1 MeSH descriptor: (Iduation partice) and partice and partit	24	limit 23 to vr="2000 -Current"
26 24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Data Search #1 #1 MeSH descriptor: [Ottis Media with Effusion] this term only #2 (("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "ottis media")):ii, ab,kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #4 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Carache] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Rhintits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only #12 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] #14 MeSH	25	limit 24 to (conference abstract or conference paper or conference review or conference
24 not 25 Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Database: Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface WeSH descriptor: (Pation Perception) explode all trees #10 MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Child Bevelopment] bis term only 1		proceeding)
Database: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Date last searched: 17/05/2022 Difference #1 MeSH descriptor: [Ottis Media with Effusion] this term only #2 (("middle ear" or "tottis media")):ti,ab,kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #6 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #7 MeSH descriptor: [Carache] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Carache] this term only #9 MeSH descriptor: [Carache] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinorthea] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #14 MeSH descriptor: [Colid Development] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Colid Development] this term only #14 MeSH descriptor: [Colid Development] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Chi	26	24 not 25
Autorate: Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface Date last searched: 17/05/2022 ID Search II MeSh descriptor: [Ottits Media with Effusion] this term only #1 MeSh descriptor: [Ottits media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 *ottits media")):it,ab,kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 MeSh descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees #5 MeSh descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #6 MeSh descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees #7 MeSh descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Child Envirol] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Child Envirol] explode all trees #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Envirol] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Envirol] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Child Envirol] explode all trees </td <td> Datah</td> <td>asa: Cachrana Datahasa af Systematic Raviews (CDSR): Cachrana Cantral</td>	 Datah	asa: Cachrana Datahasa af Systematic Raviews (CDSR): Cachrana Cantral
Register of Controlice Trans (CENTRAL) – Wiley Interface Date last scarched: 17/05/2022 D Search #1 MeSH descriptor: [Ottits Media with Effusion] this term only #2 (("glue ear' or ("divide ear' or "ottits media") near/2 effusion") or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "ottits media")):ti,ab,kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Carache] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Charled pt this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Charled pt this term only #11 MeSH descriptor: [Nasi Obstruction] this term only #12 MeSH descriptor: [Nasi Obstruction] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] #15 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #14 MeSH descript		ase. Count and Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR), Count and Central
Date last search.ed: 17/05/2022 ID Search #1 McSH descriptor: [Otitis Media with Effusion] this term only #2 (("glue ear" or "(tilis media")):ti, ab, kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 McSH descriptor: [Learing Disorders] explode all trees #5 McSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees #6 McSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 McSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees #7 McSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #9 McSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #10 McSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 McSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #12 McSH descriptor: [Cold Development] this term only #14 McSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #15 McSH descriptor: [Cold Development] this term only #16 McSH descriptor: [Cold Development] this term only #17 McSH descriptor: [Cold Development] this term only #18 McSH descriptor: [Cold Development] this term only #19 McSH descriptor: [Cold Development] this term only #19	Regist	er of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – wiley interface
 ID Search #1 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis Media with Effusion] this term only #2 (("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "otitis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "otitis media")):ti, ab, kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #6 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #7 MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees #9 MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only #1 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinorthea] explode all trees #1 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinorthea] explode all trees #1 MeSH descriptor: [Ataltion] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Ataltion] this term only #11 MeSH descriptor: [Ataltion] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Ataltion] this term only #14 MeSH descriptor: [Ataltion] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #16 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #10 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #11 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #12 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #14 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #23 or #4.22 #24 or #27 #24 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #24 do #27 #25 MeSH descript	Date la	ist searched: 17/05/2022
 MeSH descriptor: [Ottils Media with Effusion] this term only (("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "ottils media")) near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "ottils media")).iti, ab,kw #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees #6 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinits, Allergic] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #17 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] Disabilities] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Dovelopment] Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dizzines] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Dizzines] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Fatgue] explode all trees #23 (or #4-#22) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Fatgue] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #24 A or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #33 (((Inearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muff* or "one side*" or speet* or sperior* or runa	ID	Search
 #2 (("glue ear" or "otilis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "otilis media")):ti, ab,kw #3 #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees #9 MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [RhiornHeal explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [RhiornHeal explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [RhiornHeal explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [RhiornHeal explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [RhiornHeal explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Could Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Could Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Could Development] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Could Development] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #23 (or #4.#22) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #28 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((Inearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disbabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muff! or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*	#1	MeSH descriptor: [Otitis Media with Effusion] this term only
 ((secretory or serious) near/2 "otitis media"))):ti,ab,kw #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees #5 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees #6 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #7 MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Rainatits, Allergic] explode all trees #9 MeSH descriptor: [Rainatits, Allergic] explode all trees #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rainatits, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Rainatits, Allergic] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Rainatits, Allergic] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dizzines] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Dovelopment] Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dovelopment] Disabilities] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Dovelopment] Disabilities] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Dovelopment] Disabilities] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #23 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #23 (or #4+#23) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #30 #28 #30 #26 #31 ((Infearing or listent) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disord	#2	(("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "otitis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or
 #1 or #2 #4 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees #6 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Insorders] explode all trees #7 MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees #9 MeSH descriptor: [Rarache] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rinintis, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Rinintis, Allergic] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Atomical explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Atomical explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Atomical this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Atomical this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Couplental Disabilities] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Couplental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Couplental Disabilities] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Couplental Disabilities] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Couplental Disabilities] this term only #11 MeSH descriptor: [Ostipal Balance] this term only #12 MeSH descriptor: [Ostipal explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Ostipal explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #26 and #27 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((Inearing or listent*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or l		((secretory or serious) near/2 "otitis media"))):ti,ab,kw
 MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Rinorthea] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Rinorthea] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Colid Development] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respirat	#3	#1 or #2
 MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Rinis, Allergic] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Rinis, Allergic] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] bisothermonly MeSH descriptor: [Development] bisothermonly MeSH descriptor: [Development] bisothermonly MeSH descriptor: [Dovelopment] bisothermonly MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cottis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cottis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cottis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cottis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cottis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode	#4	MeSH descriptor: [Hearing Disorders] explode all trees
 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Rhinitis, Allergic] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Rhinitis, Allergic] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Collid Development] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Doyalopment] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cotitis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cotitis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cotitis] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #28 and #27 #29 #28 and #27 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem*)):ti, ab #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti, ab #33 (((bronchi* or boncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper ainway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*	#5	MeSH descriptor: [Auditory Perception] explode all trees
 #7 MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only #8 MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees #9 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinotrhea] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Ranitis, Allergic] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Ospation] explode all trees #20 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #23 (or #4-#22) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #27 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #28 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #29 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or loss or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or theory *).ti, ab. #31 ((Ianguage* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or later op or or problem*)).ti, ab #33 (((horonch* or boncho* or allary or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper ainway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "	#6	MeSH descriptor: [Speech Disorders] explode all trees
 MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Rhinitis, Allergic] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Naial Obstruction] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Naial Obstruction] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees MeSH descriptor: [Development] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Doziness] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Pottigo] explode all trees #23 (or #4.#22) MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #24 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #26 and #27 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #38 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus* .).ti,ab. #31 ((Inaguage* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)).ti,ab #33 ((Ibonchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper aiway*" or "upper res	#7	MeSH descriptor: [Speech Intelligibility] this term only
 #9 MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinitis, Allergic] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinitis, Allergic] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Cohild Development] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Development] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Development] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dizines] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Dizines] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #24 MeSH descriptor: [Outis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muff! or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti.ab. #33 (((horonchi* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)).ti.ab #33 (((bronchi* or regular* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper ensignat*" or escur* or regular* or persit* or recur* or regular* or legin	#8	MeSH descriptor: [Language Development Disorders] explode all trees
 #10 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinorrhea] explode all trees #11 MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Conjition] explode all trees #18 MeSH descriptor: [Conjition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 (or #4-#22) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 md #27 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muff! or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea).ti, ab #33 (((tronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper ensipirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or regular* or regular* or persist* or periodic* or difficult* or disabilit* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper ensipirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or	#9	MeSH descriptor: [Earache] this term only
 #11 MeSH descriptor: [Rhinorrhea] explode all trees #12 MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #10 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #11 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Patigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4-#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Cittis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Cottis] explode all trees #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*), it, ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti, ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "Inper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or infilam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or recour*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti, ab #34 ((bronchi* or bronchoilit* or "aroman cold*" or corya or croup* or eiglight* or fluor or grippe or influenza* or laryn* or his	#10	MeSH descriptor: [Rhinitis, Allergic] explode all trees
 #12 MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #15 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #16 MeSH descriptor: [Cohild Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Destural Balance] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Pestural Balance] this term only #23 {or #4-#22} #44 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Citis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti, ab #33 (((lfonchi* or broncho* or lary* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper aixway*" or "indar* or near/3 (infect* or reput* or history or persist* or periodic* or recut* or regular* or recut*" or regular* or near(* or recut*" or term* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or periodic* or recut* or regular* or neocut*" or "recut*" or inflam*])) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "or "recut*" or history or persist* or periodic* or recut* or regular* or recout*" or "recout*" or reput* or return* or several)):ti, ab #34 ((bronchi* or bronchiolit* or "aronmon cold*" or corya or croup* or regulat* or fluor or several)):ti, ab 	#11	MeSH descriptor: [Rhinorrhea] explode all trees
 #13 MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees #14 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Could Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #18 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #23 (or #4.#22) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Cluitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muff* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti, ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or inforth?ea):ti, ab #33 (((loronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") responsed or respirat* or regular* or resocur* or "re occur*" or regular* or regular* or regular* or record* or develop* or persist* or periodic* or recurd* or regular* or record* or "or persist* or periodic* or recurd* or regular* or record* or "no recurd* or regular* or record* or "upper respirat*") and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or notisi* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") and (chronic or or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or regulat* or repeat* or repu	#12	MeSH descriptor: [Nasal Obstruction] this term only
 #14 MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only #15 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Doguiton] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4.#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #26 #24 or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #33 (((lronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or epairyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "iner espirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "far* up* or frequent or history or persist* or periodic* or recut* or regular* or recocur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchi* or broncholit* or reconcur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab 	#13	MeSH descriptor: [Child Behavior] explode all trees
 #15 MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #22 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #23 {or #4.#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((Inearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((Ianguage* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #33 (((Ifonchi* or broncho* or laryn* or parsyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "or free cocur*" or regeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #33 (((Ibronchi* or bronchoit* or reocur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchi* or bronchoit* or indist* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab 	#14	MeSH descriptor: [Attention] this term only
 #16 MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #23 {or #4-#22} #44 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((lronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continut* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or recor* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)).ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoilt* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or peipolt* or flu or grippe or influenza* or "larynaot* or coryza or croup* or peipolt* or flu or grippe or influenza* or "arynoit* or "arynot* or coryza or croup* or peipolt* or flu or grippe or influenza* or arynoit* or larynaotracheberonchit* or larynaotracheberonchit* or larynaotracheberonchit* or larynaotracheber* or coryza or croup* or peipolt* or flu or grippe or influenz	#15	MeSH descriptor: [Academic Performance] explode all trees
 #17 MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only #18 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #19 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 (or #4-#22) #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorth?ea):ti,ab #33 (((loronchi* or bonchoi or laryn* or "partary" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or regular* or recur* or	#16	MeSH descriptor: [Child Development] this term only
 #18 MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees #19 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4-#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #26 and #27 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus* 1.ti,ab. #31 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorth?ea):ti,ab #33 ((((bronchi* or boncho' or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or regular* or reoccur* or recorvar* or reput* or partiat* or or or or or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or reput* or reput* or flu or grippe or influenza* or "laryngit* or laryngit* or laryngit* or laryngit* or arona or or or or or or or or or several)):ti,ab 	#17	MeSH descriptor: [Developmental Disabilities] this term only
 MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 and #27 #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or periglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or larynayt* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or "arynayt* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or "arynayt* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or "arynayt* or larynayt* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu 	#18	MeSH descriptor: [Cognition] explode all trees
 MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only #20 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4-#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 #24 or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or regular* or recocur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchi* or bronchoit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngt* or laryngt* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngt* or laryngt* or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu 	#19	MeSH descriptor: [Dizziness] this term only
 #20 Intervention: [r ostatal papilor problements] #21 MeSH descriptor: [Vertigo] explode all trees #22 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4-#22} #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 #24 or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((lose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea).ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or boncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reccur* or "re occur*" or repat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchi* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or larynait* or larynay* or arynay* or a	#20	MeSH descriptor: [Postural Balance] this term only
 #21 MeSH descriptor: [Fatigue] explode all trees #23 {or #4-#22} MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus* ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or recur* or recur* or repeat* or reputat* or repeat* or reputat* or periodic* or periodic* or inflar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or periodic* or regular* or recor* or "re occur*" or repeat* or reputat* or generat* or deterior or periodic* or periodic* or regular* or inflar* up" or for or periodic* or returm* or several)):ti,ab 	#20	MeSH descriptor: [/ estatal balance] this term only MeSH descriptor: [//ertigo] explode all trees
 #22 Interfere descriptor: [P algue] explode all trees #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #26 and #27 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or mufff* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus* ti, ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti, ab #32 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or recourt* or "re or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or larynait* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#27	MeSH descriptor: [Patigue] explode all trees
 #23 (0) #*#227 #24 MeSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 #24 or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoilt* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryncatcheit* or 	#22	for #4 #22
 #24 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #25 MeSH descriptor: [Respiratory Tract Infections] explode all trees #26 #24 or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or broncholit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#23	MaSH descriptor: [Otitis] explode all trees
 #25 Intestription: [Respiratory fract intections] explode all frees #26 #24 or #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or recur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or larynaotracheit* or 	#24	MeSH descriptor: [Despiratory Tract Infections] evolute all trees
 #20 #24 of #25 #27 MeSH descriptor: [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or recocur* or recur* or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoilt* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#25	#24 or #25
 #27 Mesh descriptor. [Recurrence] this term only #28 #26 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoilit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#20	#24 01 #25 MaSH descriptor: [Requirence] this term only
 #20 #20 and #27 #29 #23 or #28 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoilt* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#21 #20	#26 and #27
 #29 #25 01 #20 #30 (((hearing or listen*) near/2 (abnormal* or affect* or degenerat* or deteriorat* or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchoilit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or la	#20	#20 and #21 #22 or #20
 #30 (((nearing or listen) hear/2 (abnormar or affect or degenerat or detenorat or deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or hard or impair* or los* or muffl* or "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#29	#23 01 #20
 "one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypoacus*).ti,ab. #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#30	deficit* or difficult* or disabilit* or disorder* or bard or impair* or les* or muff* or
 #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 		"one side*" or partial or poor or problem* or unilateral*)) or deaf* or hypopous*
 #31 ((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behav* or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or) ti ab
 ((anguage of speech of communication tank) and (delay of develop of behave or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*)):ti,ab #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#31	((language* or speech* or communicat* or talk*) and (delay* or develop* or behay*
 #32 (((nose or nasal) near/3 (block* or catarrh* or congest* or discharg* or mucus or running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 (((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	<i>#</i> 51	or difficult* or indistinct or late or poor or problem*));ti ab
 #32 (((hose of hash) hear/s (block of catality of congest of discharg of hidds of running or runny)) or rhinorrh?ea):ti,ab #33 ((((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#32	(((nose or pasal) near/3 (block* or catarth* or congest* or dischard* or mucus or
 #33 ((((bronchi* or broncho* or laryn* or pharyn* or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#32	(((nose of nasa)) hears (block of calarity of congest of discharg of mucus of running or runny)) or rhinorrh2ea) ti ab
 #33 (((bronchill of bronchild of haryff of pharyff of shifthasar of shifts of torisit of trachea* or "upper airway*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#22	(((bronchi* or broncho* or longe* or phones or sinonasal or sinus* or tonsil* or
 (chronic or constant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 	#33	traches* or "upper sinvov*" or "upper respirat*") near/3 (infect* or inflam*)) and
 #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 		(chronic or constant or continue or "flare un" or frequent or history or persiste or
 #34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or 		periodic* or recur* or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or
#34 ((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or coryza or croup* or epiglott* or flu or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or		several)) ti ab
or grippe or influenza* or laryngit* or laryngotracheobronchit* or laryngotracheit* or	#34	((bronchit* or bronchiolit* or "common cold*" or corvza or croun* or epidlott* or flu
		or grippe or influenza* or larvngit* or larvngotracheobronchit* or larvngotracheit* or

ID Sear	Search	
parai or "so or co recur	nfluenza* or pharyngit* or rhinit* or rhinopharyngit* or rhinosinusit* or sinusit* ore throat*" or tonsillit* or tracheiti* or tracheobronchit* or URTI*) and (chronic nstant or continu* or "flar* up" or frequen* or history or persist* or periodic* or * or regular* or reoccur* or "re occur*" or repeat* or return* or several)):ti,ab	
#35 (eara or flu	che* or otalgia or otitis or ((ear? or otic) near/3 (ache* or aching or discomfort* id* or fullness or infect* or inflam* or neuralgi* or pain*))):ti,ab	
#36 (((bal equili or diz	anc* or vestibul*) near/3 (deteriorat* or difficult* or disorder* or disturb* or ibrium or impair* or los* or poor or problem*)) or clumsy or clumsiness or dizzy zziness or unstead* or vertigo):ti,ab	
#37 ((child or min "pre p schoo anxio delay or un	d* or baby or babies or boy? or girl? or infan* or juvenile? or kid? or kindergar* nor or minors or p?ediatric* or prepubert* or "pre pubert*" or prepubescen* or pubescen*" or preschool* or "pre school*" or preteen* or "pre teen*" or olchild* or "school age?" or toddler* or young) near/5 (attenti* or anxiet* or bus or behav* or cogniti* or comprehend* or comprehension or concentrat* or v* or develop* or education* or frustrat* or "head bang*" or irritab* or progress* iderstand* or understood or withdraw*)):ti,ab	
#38 (tinnit	t* or (ear? and (buzz* or ring* or roar* or puls* or click*))):ti,ab	
#39 (((aud distur sensi	ditory or aural or loudness or noise* or sound*) near/3 (discomfort* or dislik* or rb* or hyper?esthesia* or intoleran* or pain* or perception or recruitment or itiv* or uncomfortable)) or hyperacus*):ti,ab	
#40 (apat or sle	h* or drows* or exhaust* or fatigu* or lassitude or letharg* or tired* or sleepy eepiness or weary or ((lack* or los*) near/2 (energ* or vital*))):ti,ab	
#41 MeSł	H descriptor: [Signs and Symptoms] explode all trees	
#42 MeSt	H descriptor: [Symptom Assessment] this term only	
#43 (com symp	plain* or presentation or prevalen* or red flag* or sign or signs or otom*):ti,ab	
#44 ((clini findin	ical* or physical* or present*) near/3 (aspect* or characteristic* or feature* or ig* or history or manifest* or marker* or suspect* or suspicion*)):ti,ab	
#45 {or #2	29-#44}	
#46 #3 ar	1d #45	
#47 "conf	erence":pt or (clinicaltrials or trialsearch):so	
#48 #46 r 2022	not #47 with Cochrane Library publication date Between Jan 2000 and May	

Database: Epistemonikos

Date last searched: 17/05/2022			
# Searches			
	1	(title:(("glue ear" OR (("middle ear" OR "otitis media") AND effusion*) OR ome OR ((secretory OR serous) AND "otitis media"))) OR abstract:(("glue ear" OR (("middle ear" OR "otitis media") AND effusion*) OR ome OR ((secretory OR serous) AND "otitis media")))	
	2	(title:(complain* OR presentation OR prevalen* OR "red flag" OR "red flags" OR sign OR signs OR symptom*)) OR abstract:((complain* OR presentation OR prevalen* OR "red flag" OR "red flags" OR sign OR signs OR symptom*))	
	3	1 AND 2	
4 date limit: 2000-			
Database: International Network of Agencies for Health Technology			

Database: International Network of Agencies for Health Tech Assessment (INAHTA)

Date last searched: 17/05/2022

Searches

- 1 "Otitis Media with Effusion"[mhe]
- 2 (("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "otitis media") and effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) and "otitis media"))
- 3 1 OR 2 FROM 2000 TO 2022 AND (English)[Language]

Economic literature search strategy:

A global, population-based search was undertaken to find economic evidence covering all parts of the guideline.

Database: MEDLINE – OVID interface

Date last searched: 09/11/2022

Searches

- 1 otitis media with effusion/
- 2 (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab.
- 3 1 or 2
- 4 Economics/

4	Saarahaa
#	Searches Value of life/
6	value of lite/
7	avn Economics Hosnital/
8	exp Economics, Nedical/
9	Economics, Nursing/
10	Economics. Pharmaceutical/
11	exp "Fees and Charges"/
12	exp Budgets/
13	budget*.ti,ab.
14	cost*.ti.
15	(economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti.
16	(price* or pricing*).ti,ab.
17	(cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab.
18	(financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab.
19	(value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab.
20	or/4-19
21	exp models, economic/
22	*Models, Theoretical/
23	*Models, Organizational/
24	markov chains/
25	monte carlo method/
20	expluecision ineory/
27	(markov' or monte carlo).u,ab.
20	economi mouer .u.ab.
29	(decision add/ (nee of analy of model)).u,ab.
31	0/ or 30
32	3 and 31
33	(animals/ not humans/) or exp animals (aboratory/ or exp animal experimentation/ or exp models animal/ or exp
00	rodentia/ or (rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.
34	32 not 33
35	limit 34 to english language
35 36	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current"
35 36 Datab	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" base: Embase – OVID interface
35 36 Datab Date 1	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022
35 36 Datab Date 1 #	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches
35 36 Datab Date 1 #	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab.
35 36 Datab Date 1 # 1 2 3	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/
35 36 Datat Date 1 # 1 2 3 4 5 6	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/
35 36 Datat Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/
35 36 Datal Date l # 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/
35 36 Datak Date 1 4 5 6 7 8 9	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/
35 36 Datak 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab.
35 36 Datak 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti.
35 36 Data L Date L 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti.
35 36 Datat Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab.
35 36 Datat Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab.
35 36 Datat Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory of tis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or ot its media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 of tis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget/ funding/ budget/.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab.
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab.
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otits media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget/.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab.
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory oitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or oitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 oitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic aspect/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 - Current" base: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory ottis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or ottis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 ottis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget* ti,ab. cost* ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic aspect/ 18 and 19
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" pase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory ottis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic aspect/ 18 and 19 *theoretical model/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic amodel/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" pase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otilis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otilis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otilis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic aspect/ 18 and 19 *theoretical model/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current" pase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (cost* adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic apect/ 18 and 19 *theoretical model/ *nonbiological model/
35 36 Datab Date 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	limit 34 to english language limit 35 to yr="2000 - Current" Dase: Embase – OVID interface ast searched: 09/11/2022 Searches exp secretory otitis media/ (glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) adj2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) adj2 otitis media)).ti,ab. 1 or 2 health economics/ exp economic evaluation/ exp health care cost/ exp fee/ budget/ funding/ budget*.ti,ab. cost*.ti. (economic* or pharmaco?economic*).ti. (price* or pricing*).ti,ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)).ab. (financ* or fee or fees).ti,ab. (value adj2 (money or monetary)).ti,ab. or/4-16 statistical model/ exp economic aspect/ 18 and 19 *theoretical model/ *theoretical model/ decision theory/ decision tree/

- 27 (markov* or monte carlo).ti,ab.
- 28 econom* model*.ti,ab.
- 29 (decision* adj2 (tree* or analy* or model*)).ti,ab.
- 30 or/20-29
- 31 17 or 30
- 32 3 and 31
- 33 (animal/ not human/) or nonhuman/ or exp animal experiment/ or exp experimental animal/ or animal model/ or exp rodent/ or (rat or rats or mouse or mice).ti.

#	Searches

- 34 32 not 33
- 35 limit 34 to english language
- 36 limit 35 to yr="2000 -Current"

Database: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) – Wiley interface

Date last searched: 09/11/2022

ID	Search
#1	MeSH descriptor: [Otitis Media with Effusion] this term only
#2	(("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "otitis media") near/2 effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serious) near/2 "otitis media"))):ti,ab,kw
#3	#1 or #2
#4	MeSH descriptor: [Economics] this term only
#5	MeSH descriptor: [Value of Life] this term only
#6	MeSH descriptor: [Costs and Cost Analysis] explode all trees
#7	MeSH descriptor: [Economics, Hospital] explode all trees
#8	MeSH descriptor: [Economics, Medical] explode all trees
#9	MeSH descriptor: [Economics, Nursing] this term only
#10	MeSH descriptor: [Economics, Pharmaceutical] this term only
#11	MeSH descriptor: [Fees and Charges] explode all trees
#12	MeSH descriptor: [Budgets] explode all trees
#13	budget*:ti,ab
#14	cost*:ti
#15	(economic* or pharmaco?economic*):ti
#16	(price* or pricing*):ti,ab
#17	(cost* near/2 (effective* or utilit* or benefit* or minimi* or unit* or estimat* or variable*)):ab
#18	(financ* or fee or fees):ti,ab
#19	(value near/2 (money or monetary)):ti,ab
#20	{or #4-#19}
#21	MeSH descriptor: [Models, Economic] explode all trees
#22	MeSH descriptor: [Models, Theoretical] this term only
#23	MeSH descriptor: [Models, Organizational] this term only
#24	MeSH descriptor: [Markov Chains] this term only
#25	MeSH descriptor: [Monte Carlo Method] this term only
#26	MeSH descriptor: [Decision Theory] explode all trees
#27	(markov* or "monte carlo"):ti,ab
#28	(econom* next model*):ti,ab
#29	(decision* near/2 (tree* or analy* or model*)):ti,ab
#30	{or #21-#29}
#31	#20 or #30
#32	#3 and #31 with Cochrane Library publication date Between Jan 2000 and Apr 2022
Databa	ase: International Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment

(INAHTA)

Date last searched: 09/11/2022

Searches

- 1 ((("Otitis Media with Effusion"[mhe]) OR ((("glue ear" or (("middle ear" or "otitis media") and effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) and "otitis media")))
- 2 1 and FROM 2000 TO 2022 AND (English)[Language]

Database: NHS Economic Evaluation Database (NHS EED) – CRD interface Date last searched: 09/11/2022

Line Search for

 1
 MeSH DESCRIPTOR Otitis Media with Effusion EXPLODE ALL TREES

 2
 ((glue ear or ((middle ear or otitis media) and effusion*) or ome or ((secretory or serous) and otitis media))) IN NHS EED

 3
 #1 OR #2

Appendix C Diagnostic evidence study selection

Study selection for: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

Figure 1: Study selection flow chart



Appendix D Evidence tables

Evidence tables for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12

years?

Table 5: Evidence tables

Chantzi, 2006 Bibliographic Reference	Chantzi, F M; Kafetzis, D A; Bairamis, T; Avramidou, C; Paleologou, N; Grimani, I; Apostolopoulos, N; Papadopoulos, N G; IgE sensitisation, respiratory allergy symptoms, and heritability independently increase the risk of otitis media with effusion.; Allergy; 2006; vol. 61 (no. 3); 332-6
Study details	
Country/ies where study was carried out	Greece
Study type	Case-control study
Study dates	October 2002 - May 2004
Inclusion criteria	Case group:
	Children with OME (diagnosed based on clinical symptoms (bearing loss, stalgis, or irritability, 'plugged'

- Children with OME (diagnosed based on clinical symptoms (hearing loss, otalgia, or irritability, 'plugged' feeling or 'popping' in their ears, speech, or behavioural disorders, tinnitus, vertigo), otoscopy and tympanometry)
- Type B or C tympanograms
- Symptom duration of >1 month or a history of recurrent OME
- Attended the ENT department during the study period

Control group:

- Attended the ENT department for reasons other than OME during the study period
- No history of OME

	 No frequent (>2 per year) episodes of OM No otoscopic findings of OM Type A tympanograms
Exclusion criteria	 AOM Perforations of the tympanic membrane Craniofacial anomalies Sensorineural hearing loss Chronic underlying diseases or under chronic pharmaceutical management (except asthma and anti- asthmatic medication) Children with underage mothers
Patient characteristics	Whole cohort: N=168 OME group: n=88 • Mean age (range): 4.5 (1-6.7) years • Sex (female/ male): 32/ 56 • Craniofacial anomalies: None Group without OME: n=80 • Mean age (range): 4.4 (1.3-6.7) years • Sex (female/ male): 27/ 53 • Craniofacial anomalies: None
Index test(s)	 Presenting features: 1. Adenoid hypertrophy (assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire) 2. History of adenoidectomy (assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire) 3. Immunoglobin E (IgE) sensitisation (skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass mix, weed mix, dust mite mix, olive, cat, alternaria, and egg white), using the appropriate positive and negative controls. SPT wheals >3 mm in diameter and greater than the negative control were considered positive. CAP-FEIA >class 2 was considered positive. A blood sample was also obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed)
	4. Any allergic disease (defined as a positive clinical history of asthma, rhinitis, eczema, or any combination of these)
--------------------------	---
	5. IgE-mediated allergic disease (atopy) (defined as the presence of any allergic disease, as above, combined with IgE sensitisation)
	6. Dyspnoea (assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire)
	7. Wheezing (assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire)
	8. Recurrent cough (assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire)
	9. Asthma (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions)
	10. IgE-mediated astrima (defined as astrima combined with IgE sensitisation)
	12 Nasal obstruction (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical delinitions)
	13. Rhinorrhoea (assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire)
	14. Paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching (assessed by a physician using a standardised guestionnaire)
	15. IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis (defined as rhinitis combined with IgE sensitisation)
	16. Conjunctivitis (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions) 17. Eczema (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions)
	18. IgE-mediated eczema (defined as eczema combined with IgE sensitisation)
	 19. Food reactions (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions) 20. Drug reactions (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions) 21. Urticaria (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions) 22. Anaphylaxis (assessed by a physician using a standardized questionnaire and strict clinical definitions) 23. Elevated eosinophil count (>4%) (A blood sample was obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed)
Reference standard(s)	Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms) with otoscopy, clinical symptoms (hearing loss, otalgia, or irritability, 'plugged' feeling or 'popping' in their ears, speech, or behavioural disorders, tinnitus, vertigo) and symptom duration of >1 month or a history of recurrent OME
Duration of follow-up	N/A
Sources of funding	Not reported
Other information	None

Presenting features associated with OME in children

AOM: acute otitis media; CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: 3. Immunoglobin E; N: number; N/A: not applicable; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion: SPT: skin-prick tests

Study arms

Reference standard positive (OME group) (N = 88)

Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department) (N = 80)

Outcomes

Adenoid hypertrophy		
Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: adenoid hypertrophy No of events	n = 59	n = 54
Index test negative No adenoid hypertrophy No of events	n = 29	n = 26
ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: numb	er; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with e	ffusion
History of adenoidectomy	,	
Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	 Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: history adenoidectomy	n = 6 of	n = 5

No of events

Outcome	Reference standard positiv (OME group), N = 88	 Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No history of adenoidecto	n = 82 pmy	n = 75
No of events		
ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: nu	mber; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with	n effusion
IgE sensitisation		
Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: IgE sensitisation	n = 28	n = 12
No of events		
Index test negative No IgE sensitisation	n = 60	n = 68
No of events		
ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: immunoglobin E; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion		

Any allergic disease

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: Any allergic disease	n = 53	n = 34
No of events		

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No allergic disease	n = 35	n = 46
No of events		

Atopy (IgE-mediated allergic disease)

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: atopy No of events	n = 21	n = 6
Index test negative No atopy No of events	n = 67	n = 74

ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: immunoglobin E; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Dyspnoea

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: dyspnoea	n = 26	n = 13

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
No of events		
Index test negative No dyspnoea No of events	n = 62	n = 67
ENT: ears, nose and throat; I Wheezing	N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media	with effusion
Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: wheezing	n = 25	n = 5
No of events		
Index test negative No wheezing	n = 63	n = 75
No of events		
ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion		

Recurrent cough

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: recurrent cough	n = 45	n = 35
No of events		

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No recurrent cough	n = 43	n = 45
No of events		

Asthma

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: asthma	n = 29	n = 14
Index test negative No asthma No of events	n = 59	n = 66

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

IgE-mediated asthma

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: IgE- mediated asthma	n = 11	n = 3
No of events		

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No IgE-mediated asthma	n = 77	n = 77
No of events		

ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: immunoglobin E; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Rhinitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: rhinitis No of events	n = 37	n = 22
Index test negative No rhinitis No of events	n = 51	n = 58

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Nasal obstruction

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: nasal obstruction	n = 80	n = 67

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
No of events		
Index test negative No nasal obstruction	n = 8	n = 13
No of events		

Rhinorrhea

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: rhinorrhea No of events	n = 56	n = 41
Index test negative No rhinorrhea No of events	n = 32	n = 39

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching	n = 35	n = 20
No of events		

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching	n = 53	n = 60
No of events		

IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: IgE- mediated allergic rhinitis No of events	n = 16	n = 4
Index test negative No IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis No of events	n = 72	n = 76

ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: immunoglobin E; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Conjunctivitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: conjunctivitis	n = 5	n = 6

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
No of events		
Index test negative No conjunctivitis	n = 83	n = 74
No of events		

Eczema

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: eczema No of events	n = 13	n = 3
Index test negative No eczema No of events	n = 75	n = 77

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

IgE-mediated eczema

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: IgE- mediated eczema	n = 7	n = 0

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
No of events		
Index test negative No IgE-mediated eczema	n = 81	n = 80
No of events		

ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: immunoglobin E; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Food reactions

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: food reactions No of events	n = 9	n = 3
Index test negative No food reactions No of events	n = 79	n = 77

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Drug reactions

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: drug reactions	n = 12	n = 8
No of events		

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No drug reactions	n = 76	n = 72
No of events		

Urticaria

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: urticaria No of events	n = 24	n = 16
Index test negative No urticaria No of events	n = 64	n = 64

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Anaphylaxis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: anaphylaxis	n = 1	n = 0
No of events		

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test negative No anaphylaxis	n = 87	n = 80
No of events		

Elevated eosinophil count (>4%)

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 88	Reference standard negative (group without history of/ current OME or frequent OM attending ENT department), N = 80
Index test positive Presenting feature: elevated eosinophil count (>4%) No of events	n = 20	n = 19
Index test negative No elevated eosinophil count No of events	n = 68	n = 61
ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion Critical appraisal - NGA Critical appraisal - QUADAS-2		

Section	Question	Answer
Patient selection: risk of bias	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?	High (Case-control study. Unclear if selection of patients consecutive or random, plus children with AOM, perforations of the tympanic membrane, sensoneural hearing loss, chronic underlying diseases or under chronic pharmaceutical management (except asthma and antiasthmatic medication) were excluded. It is unclear whether inclusion of children with these comorbidities would have influenced diagnostic test accuracy.)

Section	Question	Answer
Patient selection: applicability	Are there concerns that included patients do not match the review question?	Low
Index tests: risk of bias	Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?	Low (Unclear whether study physician collecting data on presenting features was aware of OME diagnosis, however this is unlikely to have influenced results as they were based on strict clinical definitions as referenced in previous literature, plus objective skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens with pre-specified thresholds.)
Index tests: applicability	Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?	Low
Reference standard: risk of bias	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias?	Low (Recruitment to study dependent on OME status but it is unclear at what point the reference standard was conducted. No information about whether reference standard was interpreted without knowledge of the index tests; however, reference standard was interpreted according to objective, pre- specified thresholds so unlikely that knowledge of results would introduce bias.)
Reference standard: applicability	Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?	Low
Flow and timing: risk of bias	Could the patient flow have introduced bias?	Unclear (No information about interval between index tests and reference standard)

AOM: acute otitis media; CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; OME: otitis media with effusion: SPT: skin-prick tests

Kwon, 2013

Bibliographic Reference	Kwon, Chul; Lee, Ho Yun; Kim, Myung Gu; Boo, Sung Hyun; Yeo, Seung Geun; Allergic diseases in children with otitis media with effusion.; International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology; 2013; vol. 77 (no. 2); 158-61
Study details	
Country/ies where study was carried out	Korea
Study type	Case-control study
Study dates	January 2007 - December 2012
Inclusion criteria	OME group: children aged 1-14 years, diagnosed with OME at ENT clinics
	Control group: children aged 3-12 years without OME or otitis media, who had undergone blood tests and multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay (MAST-CLA) because of ranula (cyst in your mouth caused by a blockage in the salivary gland), ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula (congenital external ear disease) or epistaxis (nose bleeds)
Exclusion criteria	Children with suspected head and neck abnormalities, congenital or acquired immunodeficiency, or systemic disease
Patient characteristics	 Whole cohort: N=470 OME group: n=370 Mean age (range): 7.5 (2-14) years Sex (female/male): 135/ 235 Craniofacial anomaly: not reported (study excluded children with suspected head and neck abnormalities) Group without OME: n=100 Mean age (range): 6.3* (3-12) years

	 Sex (female/male): 24/26 (However, it seems that this figure is not correct or incomplete as total number of participants should be 100) Craniofacial anomaly: not reported (study excluded children with suspected head and neck abnormalities) *Note that in table 1, the mean age of this group is reported as 5.9 years. It is unclear if this is an error.
Index test(s)	Presenting features:
	Assessed using MAST-CLA and participants' medical records: 1. Allergic rhinitis 2. Asthma 3. Atopic demartitis 4. Allergic conjunctivitis 5. Chronic rhinosinusitis Assessed using imaging: 1. Tonsil hypertrophy 2. Adenoid hypertrophy
Reference standard(s)	Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms on impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane on otoscopic examination)
Duration of follow-up	Not reported
Sources of funding	Industry funded
Other information	None

ENT: ears, nose and throat; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Study arms Reference standard positive (OME group) (N = 370)

Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA) (N = 100)

Outcomes

Allergic rhinitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive Presenting feature: allergic rhinitis	n = 125	n = 16
Index test negative	n = 245	n = 84
No allergic rhinitis		
No of events		

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Asthma

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive	n = 8	n = 8
Presenting feature: asthma		
No of events		
Index test negative No asthma	n = 362	n = 92

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100

No of events

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Atopic dermatitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive Presenting feature: atopic dermatitis No of events	n = 10	n = 9
Index test negative No atopic dermatitis No of events	n = 360	n = 91

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Allergic conjunctivitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive Presenting feature: allergic conjunctivitis	n = 2	n = 4
Index test negative	n = 368	n = 96
No allergic conjunctivitis		
No of events		

Presenting features associated with OME in children

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Chronic rhinosinusitis		
Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive Presenting feature: chronic rhinosinusitis No of events	n = 27	n = 4
Index test negative No chronic rhinosinusitis No of events	n = 343	n = 96

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Tonsillar hypertrophy (grade ≥2)

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive Presenting feature: tonsillar hypertrophy No of events	n = 197	n = 55
Index test negative Tonsillar size grade <2 No of events	n = 173	n = 45

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Adenoid hypertrophy (grade ≥2)

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME), N = 370	Reference standard negative (group without OME with symptoms necessitating blood tests MAST-CLA), N = 100
Index test positive Presenting feature: adenoid hypertrophy No of events	n = 264	n = 45
Index test negative Adenoid size grade <2	n = 106	n = 55

No of events

MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion Critical appraisal - NGA Critical appraisal - QUADAS-2

Section	Question	Answer
Patient selection: risk of bias	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?	High (Case-control study.)
Patient selection: applicability	Are there concerns that included patients do not match the review question?	Unclear (Study included participants up to the age of 14 in the OME group; number of children over the age of 12 not reported)
Index tests: risk of bias	Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?	Low (Index test is objective so unlikely that knowledge of results would introduce bias.)
Index tests: applicability	Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?	Low
Reference standard: risk of bias	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias?	Low (No information about whether reference standards were interpreted without knowledge of the index tests; however, tests are objective so unlikely that knowledge of results would introduce bias.)

Section	Question	Answer
Reference standard: applicability	Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?	Low
Flow and timing: risk of bias	Could the patient flow have introduced bias?	Unclear (No information about interval between index tests and reference standard)

OME: otitis media with effusion

Martines, 2011

Bibliographic Reference Martines, F; Bentivegna, D; Maira, E; Sciacca, V; Martines, E; Risk factors for otitis media with effusion: casecontrol study in Sicilian schoolchildren.; International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology; 2011; vol. 75 (no. 6); 754-9

Study details

Country/ies where study was carried out	Italy
Study type	Case-control study
Study dates	September 2006 - June 2007
Inclusion criteria	Children attending primary schools in the District of Sciacca during the study period
Exclusion criteria	 Skull-facial malformations Down's syndrome Perforated drums Ventilation tubes
Patient characteristics	 Whole cohort: N=2097 Mean age (SD): 9.9 (not reported) years

	Children with persistent (≥3 months) OME: n=143
	 Mean age (SD): not reported 5-6 years: 40 7-8 years: 32 9-10 years: 36 11-12 years: 20 13-14 years: 15* Sex (female/ male): 100/ 43 Craniofacial anomalies: not reported (children with skull-facial malformations were excluded)
	Children with no OME: n=1954
	 Mean age (SD): not reported 5-6 years: 270 7-8 years: 314 9-10 years: 526 11-12 years: 368 13-14 years: 476* Sex (female/ male): 1065/ 889 Craniofacial anomalies: not reported (children with skull-facial malformations were excluded) *Results not presented separately for participants over 12 years of age for any presenting features except atopy
Index test(s)	Presenting features:
	 Atopy/ allergy (skin prick tests for 12 common perennial and seasonal allergens: Alternaria, Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Penicillium, ragweed, grass mix, trees mix, cockroach, dust mites, Dermatophagoides farinae and Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, and cat and dog epithelium) Snoring (data collected via questionnaire answered by parents) History of AOM (data collected via questionnaire answered by parents) History of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) (data collected via questionnaire answered by parents) Allergy and history of URTI

Reference standard(s)	Tympanometry (presence of B or C tympanograms; absence of ipsilateral acoustic reflex) with otoscopy (documented persistent middle ear effusion for a minimum of 3 months) and a conductive hearing loss greater than 25 dB at any one of the frequencies from 0.25 kHz through 4 kHz
Duration of follow-up	N/A
Sources of funding	Industry funded
Other information	Results for degree of hearing loss of children with OME were also reported but these data were not extracted due to the potential for incorporation bias - hearing loss as an index test is part of the reference standard

AOM: acute otitis media; dB: decibels; kHz: kilohertz; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion; N/A: not applicable; SD: standard deviation; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection

Study arms Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME) (N = 143)

```
Reference standard negative (no OME) (N = 1954)
```

Outcomes

Atopy

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 128	Reference standard negative (no OME), N = 1478
Index test positive Presenting feature: atopy No of events	n = 80	n = 178
Index test negative No atopy No of events	n = 48	n = 1300

N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Presenting features associated with OME in children

Data only extracted from participants 12 years of age and under

Snoring		
Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 143	Reference standard negative (no OME), N = 1954
Index test positive Presenting feature: snoring No of events	n = 65	n = 347
Index test negative No snoring No of events	n = 78	n = 1607
N: number; OME: otitis media with eff	fusion	

History of AOM

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 143	Reference standard negative (no OME), N = 1954
Index test positive Presenting feature: history of AOM No of events	n = 14	n = 80
Index test negative No history of AOM No of events	n = 129	n = 1874
1014 must a stitle we die Neuwerk aus 014Es stitle we die with affection		

AOM: acute otitis media; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

History of URTI

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 143	Reference standard negative (no OME), N = 1954
Index test positive Presenting feature: history of URTI No of events	n = 57	n = 338
Index test negative No history of URTI No of events	n = 86	n = 1616

N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection

Allergy and history of URTI

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 143	Reference standard negative (no OME), N = 1954
Index test positive Presenting feature: allergy and history of URTI	n = 41	n = 48
Index test negative Participant does not have both allergy and history of URTI	n = 102	n = 1906
No of events		

N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection

Critical appraisal - NGA Critical appraisal - QUADAS-2

Section	Question	Answer
Patient selection: risk of bias	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?	High (Case-control study)
Patient selection: applicability	Are there concerns that included patients do not match the review question?	Low (491/ 2097 (23%) of participants are over 12, data not presented separately for these participants)
Index tests: risk of bias	Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?	Unclear (Unclear risk of bias for snoring, history of AOM, history of URTI, and composite index test allergy and history of URTI because data were collected via questionnaires to the children's parents, rather than based on clinical history. It is unclear if results of the index test were interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard, and data collected via questionnaire not sufficiently objective to discount potential for bias. However, low risk of bias for atopy because interpretation of skin prick tests pre-specified using objective thresholds so results for these unlikely to have been influenced by knowledge of reference standard results.)
Index tests: applicability	Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?	Low
Reference standard: risk of bias	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias?	Low (No information about whether reference standard was interpreted without knowledge of the index tests; however, reference standard was interpreted according to objective, pre-specified thresholds so unlikely that knowledge of results would introduce bias.)
Reference standard: applicability	Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?	Low

Section	Question	Answer
Flow and timing: risk of bias	Could the patient flow have introduced bias?	High (Only participants who had abnormal otoscopic results received tympanometry to confirm OME status. Participants who had normal otoscopic results were confirmed to have no OME without confirmation via tympanometry. Additionally, no information is given about the interval between completion of questionnaires and reference standard, although skin prick tests were performed at the same time as the reference standard)

AOM: acute otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection

Quaranta, 2013

Bibliographic	Quaranta, Nicola; Milella, Claudia; Iannuzzi, Lucia; Gelardi, Matteo; A study of the role of different forms of chronic
Reference	rhinitis in the development of otitis media with effusion in children affected by adenoid hypertrophy.; International
	journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology; 2013; vol. 77 (no. 12); 1980-3

Study details	
Country/ies where study was carried out	Italy
Study type	Cross-sectional study
Study dates	2009 - 2011
Inclusion criteria	Children aged 4-15 years with grade 3 or 4 adenoid hypertrophy (choanal obstruction greater than 75%)
Exclusion criteria	Not reported
Patient characteristics	 Whole cohort: N=81 Mean age (range): 6.9 (4-15) years Sex (male/female): 48/33 Craniofacial anomalies: not reported OME prevalence (number of children with OME/ without OME): 52/ 29

Index test(s)	Presenting features:
	 Allergic rhinitis (defined as positive skin prick test, nasal symptoms due to allergens and heterogeneous rhinocytogram) Infective rhinitis (defined as abundant bacteria that could be found in extracellular tissue and inside neutrophils due to phagocytosis) Non-allergic rhinitis (defined as negative skin prick test and the continuous presence of inflammatory cells in the nasal mucosa independently from the seasonality) Allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis
Reference standard(s)	Tympanometry and pure tone audiometry
Duration of follow-up	N/A
Sources of funding	Not reported
Other information	None

N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion; N/A: not applicable

Study arms

Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME and adenoid hypertrophy) (N = 45)

Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME) (N = 36)

Outcomes

Allergic rhinitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36	
Index test positive Presenting feature: allergic rhinitis	1	3	
NO OF EVENIS			
Index test negative No allergic rhinitis	44	33	
No of events			
N: number; OME: otitis media with ef	fusion		
Non-allergic rhinitis	-		
Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36	
Index test positive Presenting feature: non- allergic rhinitis	11	6	
No of events			
Index test negative No non-allergic rhinitis	34	30	
No of events			
N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion			
Infective rhinitis			
Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36	
Index test positive	14	10	

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36
Presenting feature: infective rhinitis		
No of events		
Index test negative No infective rhinitis	31	26
No of events		

N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36
Index test positive Presenting features: allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis No of events	2	0
Index test negative No allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis No of events	43	36
N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion		

Non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis

Outcome	Reference standard positive (diagnosed with OME), N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36
Index test positive	8	6

Outcome Refe (dia		Reference standard pos (diagnosed with OME), N	itive N = 45	Reference standard negative (diagnosed with adenoid hypertrophy but no OME), N = 36
Presenting features: non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis				
No of events				
Index test negative No non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis		37		30
No of events				
N: number; OME: otitis me Critical appraisal	dia with effusion - NGA Critical app	oraisal - QUADAS-2		
Section	Question		Answer	
Patient selection: risk of bias	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?		Low (Consecutive sample enrolled)	
Patient selection: applicability	Are there concerns that included patients do not match the review question?		Unclear (Study incluc over the age	led participants up to the age of 15; number of children of 12 not reported)
Index tests: risk of bias	Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?		Low (No informati knowledge o so unlikely th	ion about whether index test was interpreted without f the reference standard; however, test is objective nat knowledge of results would introduce bias.)
Index tests: applicability	Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?		Low	
Reference standard: risk of bias	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias?		Low (No informati	ion about whether reference standards were interpreted

Section	Question	Answer	
		without knowledge of the index tests; however, tests are objective so unlikely that knowledge of results would introduce bias)	
Reference standard: applicability	Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?	Low	
Flow and timing: risk of bias	Could the patient flow have introduced bias?	Unclear (No information about interval between index tests and reference standards)	
Palli 2011			
Bibliographic Reference	Ralli, Giovanni; Ruoppolo, Giovanni; Mora, Renzo; Guastini, Luca; Deleterious sucking habits and atypical swallowing in children with otitis media with effusion.; International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology; 2011; vol. 75 (no. 10); 1260-4		
Study details			
Country/ies where study was carried out	Italy		
Study type	Case-control study		
Study dates	March 2010 - February 2011		
Inclusion criteria	OME group: children aged 7-12 years with	OME for >3 months	
	Healthy group: children aged 7-12 years with	th no current or history of OME and normal otoscopic findings	
	Both groups:		
	Absence of temporomandibular jointPresence of healthy periodontal ligar	disorders nent, Angle's first molar class, and good dental arch symmetry	

Exclusion criteria	 Systemic diseases Uncooperative behaviour History of trauma, dental pain, or orthodontic treatment
Patient characteristics	 Whole cohort: N=125 OME group: n=65 Mean age (range): 9.2 (7-12) years Sex (female/male): 29/ 36 Craniofacial anomalies: not reported Healthy group: N=60 Mean age (range): 9.1 (7-12) years Sex (female/male): 22/ 38 Craniofacial anomalies: not reported
Index test(s)	 Presenting features: Deleterious sucking habits, such as finger- or dummy-sucking, and bottle feeding (assessed though parental interview. Parafunctional sucking habits and mode of mouth breathing were also recorded, though information about who recorded this/ how this was assessed is not reported) Atypical swallowing (defined as lip activity producing strong tension in the perioral musculature, and/or the tip of the tongue pushed/ placed against the anterior teeth when swallowing)
Reference standard(s)	Tympanometry, ENT examination using otoscopy, and medical history
Duration of follow-up	Not reported
Sources of funding	Not industry funded

Other information None

ENT: ears, nose and throat; N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion

Study arms Reference standard positive (OME group) (N = 65)

```
Reference standard negative (healthy group) (N = 60)
```

Outcomes

Deleterious sucking habits		
Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 65	Reference standard negative (healthy group), N = 60
Index test positive Presenting feature: deleterious sucking habits No of events	n = 28	n = 12
Index test negative No deleterious sucking habits No of events	n = 37	n = 48
N: number; OME: otitis media with effusion		

Atypical swallowing

Outcome	Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 65	Reference standard negative (healthy group), N = 60
Index test positive Presenting feature: atypical swallowing	n = 33	n = 16

Outcome Reference N =		Reference standard positive (OME group), N = 65		Reference standard negative (healthy group), N = 60
No of events				
Index test nega No atypical swal	tive lowing	n = 32		n = 44
No of events				
N: number; OME: otitis Critical appraisa	media with effusion al - NGA Critical a	ppraisal - QUAD	AS-2	
Section	Question		Answer	
Patient selection: risk of bias	Could the selectio have introduced b	n of patients ias?	High (Case-control study)	
Patient selection: applicability	Are there concern patients do not ma question?	s that included atch the review	Low	
Index tests: risk of bias	Could the conduct of the index test h bias?	t or interpretation ave introduced	Unclear (No information about whether of the reference standard, an sucking habits were assessed assessed atypical swallowing	er index tests were interpreted without knowledge d tests are somewhat subjective: deleterious d through parental interview; it is unclear who g criteria or how they were interpreted)
Index tests: applicability	ts: Are there concerns that the index lity test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?		Low	
Reference standard: risk of bias	Could the reference conduct, or its inte introduced bias?	ce standard, its erpretation have	Low (No information about whether knowledge of the index tests; knowledge of results would in	er reference standards were interpreted without however, tests are objective so unlikely that htroduce bias)

Presenting features associated with OME in children

Section	Question	Answer
Reference standard: applicability	Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?	Low
Flow and timing: risk of bias	Could the patient flow have introduced bias?	Unclear (No information about interval between index tests and reference standard)
Appendix E Forest plots

Forest plots for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

This section includes forest plots only for presenting feature which were investigated by multiple studies. Presenting features from single studies are not presented here; the quality assessment for such outcomes is provided in the GRADE profiles in appendix F.

Figure 2: Adenoid hypertrophy for OME



CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Figure 3: Atopy for OME



CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Figure 4: Asthma for OME



CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Figure 5: Allergic rhinitis for OME

Study	TP	FP	FN	TN	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
Chantzi 2006	16	4	72	76	0.18 [0.11, 0.28]	0.95 [0.88, 0.99]	-	
Kwon 2013	125	16	245	84	0.34 [0.29, 0.39]	0.84 [0.75, 0.91]	•	-
Quaranta 2013	1	3	44	33	0.02 [0.00, 0.12]	0.92 [0.78, 0.98]		

CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Figure 6: Non-allergic rhinitis



CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Figure 7: Eczema/ atopic dermatitis for OME



CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Figure 8: Conjunctivitis for OME

Study	TP	FP	FN	ΤN	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
Chantzi 2006	5	6	83	74	0.06 [0.02, 0.13]	0.93 [0.84, 0.97]	-	-
Kwon 2013	2	4	368	96	0.01 [0.00, 0.02]	0.96 [0.90, 0.99]		

CI: confidence intervals; FN: false negative; FP: false positive; TN: true negative; TP: true positive

Appendix F GRADE tables

GRADE tables for diagnostic evidence for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in

children under 12 years?

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias	-		-	evidence		
Standardis	ed physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms	Whole cohort: N=168 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.67 (0.56 to 0.77)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW	0.52	0.47
	attending ENT department for other reasons	n=88 Control group:	Specificity: 0.33 (0.22 to	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms	11-00	0.44)							
Imaging							_			
1 (Kwon 2013)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had	Whole cohort: N=470 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.71 (0.66 to 0.76)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.85	0.34
	undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula or epistaxis (nose bleeds)	n=370 Control group: n=100	Specificity: 0.55 (0.45 to 0.65)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)									

Table 6: Presenting feature: Adenoid hypertrophy for diagnosis of OME

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 7: Presenting feature: History of adenoidectomy for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Standardise	d physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Whole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Sensitivity: 0.67 (0.56 to 0.77) Specificity: 0.33 (0.22 to 0.44)	Serious ¹ Serious ¹	No serious No serious	No serious No serious	Serious ² No serious	LOW	0.55	0.48

Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or					
C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical					
symptoms					

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 8: Presenting feature: Nasal obstruction for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
Standardise	d physician questionnaire	participants		Dias				evidence		<u> </u>
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME	Whole cohort: N=168	Sensitivity: 0.91 (0.83 to 0.96)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW	0.54	0.62
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.16 (0.09 to 0.26)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 9: Presenting feature: Tonsil hypertrophy for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Imaging	•									
1 (Kwon 2013)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had	Whole cohort: N=470 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.53 (0.48 to 0.58)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.78	0.21
	undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula or epistaxis (nose bleeds)	n=370 Control group: n=100	Specificity: 0.45 (0.35 to 0.55)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)									

CI: confidence interval; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias				evidence		
Positive cli	nical history, SPT and/or CAP-FEIA, blood tests ²									
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms	Whole cohort: N=168 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.24 (0.15 to 0.34)	Serious ³	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.78	0.52
	attending ENT department for other reasons	n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.93 (0.84 to	Serious ³	No serious	No serious	Serious ⁴	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms	11-00	0.97)							
SPT⁵										
1 (Martines 2011)	Population: Case group: Children from selected schools with OME	Whole cohort: N=2097 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.63 (0.54 to 0.71)	Very serious ⁶	No serious	No serious	Serious ⁴	VERY LOW	0.85	0.34
	Control group: Children from selected schools without OME	n=143 Control group: n=1954	Specificity: 0.88 (0.86 to 0.90)	Very serious ⁶	No serious	No serious	Serious ⁴	VERY LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms with no stapedial reflex) with otoscopy (≥3 months middle ear effusion), absence of ipsilateral acoustic reflex and conductive hearing loss > 25 dB									

Table 10: Presenting feature: Atopy¹ for diagnosis of OME

CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; CI: confidence interval; dB: decibel; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Defined as IgE-mediated allergic disease in Chantzi 2006, and atopy/ allergy in Martines 2011

² Positive clinical history of asthma, rhinitis, eczema, or any combination of these, plus skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass mix, weed mix, dust mite mix, olive, cat, alternaria, and egg white). A blood sample was also obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed

³ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

⁴ 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

⁵ SPTs for 12 common perennial and seasonal allergens (Alternaria, Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Penicillium, ragweed, grass mix, trees mix, cockroach, dust mites,

Dermatophagoides farinae and Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, and cat and dog epithelium)

⁶ Very serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 11: Presenting feature: Immunoglobin E (IgE) sensitisation for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% Cl)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
SPT and/or	CAP-FEIA, blood tests ¹									
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME	Whole cohort: N=168	Sensitivity: 0.32 (0.22 to 0.43)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.70	0.53
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.85 (0.75 to 0.92	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		

Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or					
C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical					
symptoms					

CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: Immunoglobin E; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass mix, weed mix, dust mite mix, olive, cat, alternaria, and egg white). A blood sample was also obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed

² Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 12: Presenting feature: Any allergic disease (asthma, rhinitis, eczema, or any combination of these) for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Positive clin	nical history									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.60	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW	0.61	0.57
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.49 to 0.71)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.57 (0.46 to 0.68)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 13: Presenting feature: Asthma for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	blas				evidence		
Standardis	ed physician questionnaire									
1	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity:	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.67	0.53
(Chantzi	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	0.33 (0.23 to							
2006)	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms	Case group:	0.44)							
	attending ENT department for other reasons	n=88	Specificity:	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
		Control group:	0.82 (0.72 to							
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C	n=80	0.90)							
	tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									
MAST-CLA	and medical records								1	
1 (Kwon	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity:	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.50	0.20
2013)	Case group: Children with OME	N=470	0.02 (0.01 to							
,	Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had	Case group:	0.04)							
	undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of	n=370 '	Specificity:	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	ranula ankylodlossia (tonque-tie) preauricular fistula	Control group:	0.92 (0.85 to							
	or epistaxis (nose bleeds)	n=100	0.96)							
		l	/		l	l	1	l		

Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)					

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 14: Presenting feature: IgE-mediated asthma for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	d physician questionnaire, SPT and/or CAP-FE	EIA, blood tests ¹								
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.13	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.79	0.50
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.06 to 0.21)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.96 (0.89 to 0.99)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	Serious ³	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: Immunoglobin E; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire and strict clinical definitions, plus skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass mix, weed mix, dust mite mix, olive, cat, alternaria, and egg white). A blood sample was also obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed

² Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

³ 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 15: Presenting feature: Allergic rhinitis¹ for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Standardise	d physician questionnaire, SPT and/or CAP-FEIA, I	blood tests ²								
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms	Whole cohort: N=168 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.18 (0.11 to 0.28)	Serious ³	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.80	0.51
	attending ENT department for other reasons Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C	n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.95 (0.88 to 0.99)	Serious ³	No serious	No serious	Serious ⁴	LOW		
MASTICIA	and modical records								<u> </u>	L
1 (Kwon 2013)	Population: Case group: Children with OME	Whole cohort: N=470	Sensitivity: 0.34 (0.29 to 0.39)	Serious ³	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.89	0.26

	Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula or epistaxis (nose bleeds) Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)	Case group: n=370 Control group: n=100	Specificity: 0.84 (0.75 to 0.91)	Serious ³	No serious	No serious	Serious⁴	LOW		
Cytology, SI	PT and nasal sampling⁵									
1 (Quaranta 2013)	Population: Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid hypertrophy Reference standard: Tympanometry and pure tone	Whole cohort: N=81 Children with	Sensitivity: 0.02 (0.00 to 0.12)	No serious	No serious	No serious	No serious	HIGH	0.25	0.43
	audiometry	OME: n= 52 Children without OME: n= 29	Specificity: 0.92 (0.78 to 0.98)	No serious	No serious	No serious	Serious ⁴	MODERATE		

CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test ¹ Defined as IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis (rhinitis combined with IgE sensitisation) in Chantzi 2006, and allergic rhinitis in Kwon 2013 and Quaranta 2013 ² Assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire and strict clinical definitions, plus skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass

² Assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire and strict clinical definitions, plus skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass mix, weed mix, dust mite mix, olive, cat, alternaria, and egg white). A blood sample was also obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed

³ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

⁴ 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

⁵ Positive skin prick test, nasal symptoms due to allergens and heterogeneous rhinocytogram

Table 16: Presenting feature: Non-allergic rhinitis for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardised	physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.42	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.63	0.53
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.32 to 0.53)							
	Control group: Children with type A	Case group: n=88	Specificity: 0.72	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	tympanograms attending ENT department	Control group:	(0.61 to 0.82)							
	for other reasons	n=80								
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type									
	B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and									
	clinical symptoms									
Cytology, SP	T and nasal sampling ²		•		•		•	•		1
1 (Quaranta	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.24	No	No serious	No serious	No serious	HIGH	0.65	0.47
2013)	Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid	N=81	(0.13 to 0.40)	serious						
	hypertrophy	Children with	Specificity: 0.83	No	No serious	No serious	Serious ³	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry and pure	OME: n= 52	(0.67 to 0.94)	serious						
	tone audiometry	Children without	, ,							
		OME: n= 29								

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test ¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² Negative skin prick test and the continuous presence of inflammatory cells in the nasal mucosa independently from the seasonality ³ 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 17: Presenting feature: Infective rhinitis for diagnosis of OME

Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% Cl)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
and nasal sampling ¹							••••••		
Population:	Whole cohort: N=81	Sensitivity: 0.31	No	No serious	No serious	No serious	HIGH	0.58	0.46
hypertrophy	n= 52	Specificity: 0.72	No	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	MODERATE		
Reference standard: Tympanometry	Children without	(0.55 to 0.86)	serious						
e	Study details and nasal sampling ¹ Population: Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid hypertrophy Reference standard: Tympanometry and nure tone audiometry	Study detailsNo of participantsand nasal sampling1Population:Population:Whole cohort: N=81Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid hypertrophyChildren with OME: n= 52Reference standard: Tympanometry and pure tone audiometryChildren without OME: n= 29	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)and nasal sampling1Population:Whole cohort: N=81 Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid hypertrophySensitivity: 0.31 (0.18 to 0.47)Reference standard: Tympanometry and pure tone audiometryChildren without OME: n= 29Specificity: 0.72 (0.55 to 0.86)	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% Cl)Risk of and nasal sampling1Population: Children with grade 3/4 adenoid hypertrophyWhole cohort: N=81 Children with OME: 	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of Inconsistencyand nasal sampling1Population: Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid hypertrophyWhole cohort: N=81 Children with OME: 	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of InconsistencyIndirectnessand nasal sampling1Population: Children with grade 3/4 adenoid hypertrophyWhole cohort: N=81 Children with OME: 	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of InconsistencyIndirectnessImprecisionand nasal sampling¹Population: Children with grade 3/4 adenoid hypertrophyWhole cohort: N=81 Children with OME: 	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistencyIndirectnessImprecisionQuality of evidenceand nasal sampling1Population: Children with grade 3/4 adenoid hypertrophyWhole cohort: N=81 Children with OME: n= 52 Children without OME: n= 29Sensitivity: 0.31 (0.18 to 0.47)No seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousMoDERATE	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistency lnconsistencyIndirectnessImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVand nasal sampling¹Population: Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid hypertrophyWhole cohort: N=81 Children with OME: n = 52 Children without OME: n = 29Sensitivity: 0.31 (0.18 to 0.47)No seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousNo serious seriousMODERATE0.58 0.58

CI: confidence interval; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Assessed using SPT, cytology and nasal sampling, infective rhinitis was diagnosed when there were abundant bacteria that could be found in extracellular tissue and inside neutrophils due to phagocytosis

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 18: Presenting feature: Allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Cytology, SPT	and nasal sampling ¹									
1 (Quaranta 2013)	Population: Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid	Whole cohort: N=81 Children with OME:	Sensitivity: 0.04 (0.01 to 0.15)	No serious	No serious	No serious	No serious	HIGH	1.00	0.46
	hypertrophy Reference standard: Tympanometry and pure tone audiometry	n= 52 Children without OME: n= 29	Specificity: 1.00 (0.90 to 1.00)	No serious	No serious	No serious	No serious	HIGH		

CI: confidence interval; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Positive skin prick test, nasal symptoms due to allergens and heterogeneous rhinocytogram (allergic rhinitis), plus abundant bacteria that could be found in extracellular tissue and inside neutrophils due to phagocytosis (infective rhinitis)

Table 19: Presenting feature: Non-allergic rhinitis and infective rhinitis for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
Cytology, SPT	and nasal sampling ¹		01/	0100				evidence		
1 (Quaranta	Population:	Whole cohort: N=81	Sensitivity: 0.18	No	No serious	No serious	No serious	HIGH	0.57	0.45
2013)	Children with grade 3/ 4 adenoid	Children with OME:	(0.08 to 0.32)	serious						
	hypertrophy	n= 52	Specificity: 0.83	No	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry	Children without	(0.67 to 0.94)	serious						
	and pure tone audiometry	OME: n= 29								

CI: confidence interval; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Negative skin prick test and the continuous presence of inflammatory cells in the nasal mucosa independently from the seasonality (non-allergic rhinitis), plus abundant bacteria that could be found in extracellular tissue and inside neutrophils due to phagocytosis (infective rhinitis)

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 20: Presenting feature: Chronic rhinosinusitis for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias				evidence		1
MAST-CL/	A and medical records									
1 (Kwon 2013)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had	Whole cohort: N=470 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.07 (0.05 to 0.10)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.87	0.22
	undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula or epistaxis (nose bleeds)	n=370 Control group: n=100	Specificity: 0.96 (0.90 to 0.99)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)									

CI: confidence interval; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 21: Presenting feature: Eczema/ atopic dermatitis for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Standardis	ed physician questionnaire		(00)0 -1							
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympapograms	Whole cohort: N=168 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.15 (0.08 to 0.24)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.81	0.51
,	attending ENT department for other reasons	n=88 Control group:	Specificity: 0.96 (0.89 to	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms	11-00	0.99)							
MAST-CLA	and medical records									
1 (Kwon	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity:	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.53	0.20
2013)	Case group: Children with OME	N=470	0.03 (0.01 to							
	Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula or epistaxis (nose bleeds)	n=370 Control group: n=100	Specificity: 0.91 (0.84 to 0.96)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	ed physician questionnaire, SPT and/or CAP-FE	EIA, blood tests ¹								
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.08	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	1.00	0.50
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.03 to 0.16)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 1.00 (0.95 to 1.00)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

Table 22: Presenting feature: IgE-mediated eczema for diagnosis of OME

CAP-FEIA: College of American Pathologists fluorescence enzyme immunoassay; CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; IgE: Immunoglobin E; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test

¹ Assessed by a physician using a standardised questionnaire and strict clinical definitions, plus skin-prick tests (SPT) and/or CAP-FEIA to seven locally relevant allergens (grass mix, weed mix, dust mite mix, olive, cat, alternaria, and egg white). A blood sample was also obtained in which eosinophil counts and total IgE were assessed ² Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 23: Presenting feature: Food reactions for diagnosis of OME

	v								
Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
	participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
d physician questionnaire									
Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.10	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.75	0.49
Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.05 to 0.19)							
Control group: Children with type A	Case group:	Specificity: 0.96	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
tympanograms attending ENT department for	n=88	(0.89 to 0.99)							
other reasons	Control group:								
	n=80								
Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or									
C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical									
symptoms									
	Study details A physician questionnaire Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms	Study details No of participants I physician questionnaire Population: Case group: Children with OME Whole cohort: Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons Whole cohort: Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms Image: Control group: n=80	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)I physician questionnairePopulation: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasonsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsSensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% Cl)Risk of biasI physician questionnairePopulation: Case group: Children with OME tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasonsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)Serious1Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Serious1	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistencyI physician questionnairePopulation: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasonsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)Serious1No seriousReference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsReference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsNo seriousNo serious	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistencyIndirectnessIndirectnessImage: Application constraintsPopulation: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasonsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)Serious1No seriousNo seriousReference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsImage: Application of the series of the s	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistencyIndirectnessImprecisionImprecisionImprecisionImprecisionPopulation: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasonsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)Serious1No seriousNo seriousNo seriousReference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsSeriousSerious1No seriousNo seriousSerious2	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistencyIndirectnessImprecisionQuality of evidenceImprecisionPopulation: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasonsWhole cohort: N=168 Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.19)Serious1No seriousNo seriousMODERATEReference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptomsControl group: n=80Serious1No seriousNo seriousSerious2LOW	Study detailsNo of participantsEffect size (95% CI)Risk of biasInconsistencyIndirectnessImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVImprecisionImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVImprecisionImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVImprecisionImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVImprecisionImprecisionImprecisionQuality of evidencePPVImprecision<

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 24: Presenting feature: Drug reactions for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Standardise	ed physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME	Whole cohort: N=168	Sensitivity: 0.14 (0.07 to 0.23)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.60	0.49
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88	Specificity: 0.90 (0.81 to 0.96)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		

	Control group:				1
Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or	n=80				
C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical					
symptoms					

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 25: Presenting feature: Anaphylaxis for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	ed physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.01	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	1.00	0.48
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.00 to 0.06)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 1.00 (0.95 to 1.00)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 26: Presenting feature: Elevated eosinophil count (>4%) for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Blood tests										
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.23	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.51	0.47
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.14 to 0.33)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.76 (0.65 to 0.85)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value ¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 27: Presenting feature: Conjunctivitis¹ for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardis	ed physician questionnaire									

1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children with type A tympapograms	Whole cohort: N=168 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.06 (0.02 to 0.13)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.45	0.47
,	attending ENT department for other reasons Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C	n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.93 (0.84 to 0.97)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	Serious ³	LOW		
MASTOLA	tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									
MAST-CLA	and medical records	1	1		1	1	T	1	1	
1 (Kwon 2013)	Population: Case group: Children with OME Control group: Children without OME/ OM who had	Whole cohort: N=470 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.01 (0.00 to 0.02)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.50	0.20
	undergone blood tests and MAST-CLA because of ranula, ankyloglossia (tongue-tie), preauricular fistula or epistaxis (nose bleeds)	n=370 Control group: n=100	Specificity: 0.96 (0.90 to 0.99)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms using impedance audiometry) with otoscopy (amber-coloured tympanic membrane)									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; MAST-CLA: multiple allergosorbent test – chemiluminescent assay; NPV: negative predictive value; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Defined as conjunctivitis in Chantzi 2006, and allergic conjunctivitis in Kwon 2013

² Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

³ 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 28: Presenting feature: Urticaria for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	ed physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.27	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.60	0.50
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.18 to 0.38)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.80 (0.70 to 0.88)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value ¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 29: Presenting feature: Dyspnoea for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Standardise	d physician questionnaire		• • • •							

1 (Chantzi 2006)	Population: Case group: Children with OME	Whole cohort: N=168	Sensitivity: 0.30 (0.20 to 0.40)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.67	0.52
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.84 (0.74 to 0.91)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 30: Presenting feature: Wheezing for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	d physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.28	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.83	0.54
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.19 to 0.39)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.94 (0.86 to 0.98)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 31: Presenting feature: Recurrent cough for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	d physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.51	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW	0.51	0.51
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.40 to 0.62)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.51 (0.40 to 0.61)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value 1 Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

2 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 32: Presenting feature: Rhinorrhoea for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	d physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.64	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW	0.58	0.55
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.53 to 0.74)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.49 (0.37 to 0.60)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	Serious ²	LOW		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

² 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 33: Presenting feature: Paroxysmal sneezing/ nasal itching for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Standardise	ed physician questionnaire									
1 (Chantzi	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.40	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.64	0.53
2006)	Case group: Children with OME	N=168	(0.29 to 0.51)							
	Control group: Children with type A tympanograms attending ENT department for other reasons	Case group: n=88 Control group: n=80	Specificity: 0.75 (0.64 to 0.84)	Serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms), otoscopy and clinical symptoms									

CI: confidence interval; ENT: ears, nose and throat; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 34: Presenting feature: Snoring for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias				evidence		
Parent ques	stionnaire									
1	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity:	Very	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW	0.16	0.95
(Martines	Case group: Children from selected schools with OME	N=2097	0.45 (0.37 to	serious ¹						
2011)	Control group: Children from selected schools without	Case group:	0.54)							
-	OME	n=143	Specificity:	Very	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW		
		Control group:	0.82 (0.80 to	serious ¹						
		n=1954	0.84)							

Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C					
tympanograms with no stapedial reflex) with otoscopy					
(≥3 months middle ear effusion), absence of ipsilateral					
acoustic reflex and conductive hearing loss > 25 dB					

CI: confidence interval; dB: decibel; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value ¹ Very serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 35: Presenting feature: Deleterious sucking habits for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Parent interview and symptoms ¹										
1 (Ralli	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.43	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE	0.70	0.56
2011)	Case group: Children with OME for >3	N=125	(0.31 to 0.56)						-	
	months Control group: Children with no current or history of OME and normal otoscopic findings	Case group: n=65 Control group: n=60	Specificity: 0.80 (0.68 to 0.89)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	No serious	MODERATE		
	Reference standard: Tympanometry with otoscopy and medical history									

CI: confidence interval; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Finger- or dummy-sucking and bottle feeding were assessed through parental interview; parafunctional sucking habits and mode of mouth breathing were recorded (information about who recorded these symptoms not given)

² Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 36: Presenting feature: Atypical swallowing for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size (95%	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	CI)	bias				evidence		
Symptoms	1									
1 (Ralli	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity: 0.51	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	Serious ³	LOW	0.67	0.58
2011)	Case group: Children with OME for >3 months	N=125	(0.38 to 0.63)							
	Control group: Children with no current or history of OME and normal otoscopic findings Reference standard: Tympanometry with	Case group: n=65 Control group: n=60	Specificity: 0.73 (0.60 to 0.84)	Serious ²	No serious	No serious	Serious ³	LOW		
	otoscopy and medical history									

CI: confidence interval; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value

¹ Lip activity producing strong tension in the perioral musculature, and/or the tip of the tongue pushed/placed against the anterior teeth when swallowing

² Serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

³ 95% CI crosses 1 decision making threshold

Table 37: Presenting feature: History of AOM for diagnosis of OME

No of studies	Study details	No of participants	Effect size (95% CI)	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of evidence	PPV	NPV
Parent ques	stionnaire			•		•				

1 (Martines 2011)	Population: Case group: Children from selected schools with OME Control group: Children from selected schools without	Whole cohort: N=2097 Case group:	Sensitivity: 0.10 (0.05 to 0.16)	Very serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW	0.15	0.94
	OME Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C tympanograms with no stapedial reflex) with otoscopy (≥3 months middle ear effusion), absence of ipsilateral acoustic reflex and conductive hearing loss > 25 dB	n=143 Control group: n=1954	Specificity: 0.96 (0.95 to 0.97)	Very serious ¹	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW	-	

CI: confidence interval; dB: decibel; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value ¹ Very serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 38: Presenting feature: History of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias				evidence		
Parent ques	stionnaire									
1	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity:	Very	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW	0.14	0.95
(Martines	Case group: Children from selected schools with OME	N=2097	0.10 (0.32 to	serious ¹						
2011)	Control group: Children from selected schools without	Case group:	0.48)							
	OME	n=143	Specificity:	Very	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW		
		Control group:	0.83 (0.81 to	serious ¹						
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C	n=1954	0.84)							
	tympanograms with no stapedial reflex) with otoscopy									
	(≥3 months middle ear effusion), absence of ipsilateral									
	acoustic reflex and conductive hearing loss > 25 dB									

CI: confidence interval; dB: decibel; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection ¹ Very serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Table 39: Presenting feature: Allergy and history of URTI for diagnosis of OME

No of	Study details	No of	Effect size	Risk of	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Quality of	PPV	NPV
studies		participants	(95% CI)	bias				evidence		
Parent ques	stionnaire and SPT ¹									
1	Population:	Whole cohort:	Sensitivity:	Very	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW	0.46	0.95
(Martines	Case group: Children from selected schools with OME	N=2097	0.29 (0.21 to	serious ²						
2011)	Control group: Children from selected schools without	Case group:	0.37)							
	OME	n=143	Specificity:	Very	No serious	No serious	No serious	LOW		
		Control group:	0.98 (0.97 to	serious ²						
	Reference standard: Tympanometry (type B or C	n=1954	0.98)							
	tympanograms with no stapedial reflex) with otoscopy									
	(≥3 months middle ear effusion), absence of ipsilateral									
	acoustic reflex and conductive hearing loss > 25 dB									

CI: confidence interval; dB: decibel; NPV: negative predictive value; OME: otitis media with effusion; PPV: positive predictive value; SPT: skin prick test; URTI: upper respiratory tract infection

¹ Assessed using a questionnaire answered by parents, plus SPTs for 12 common perennial and seasonal allergens (Alternaria, Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Penicillium, ragweed, grass mix, trees mix, cockroach, dust mites, Dermatophagoides farinae and Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, and cat and dog epithelium)

² Very serious risk of bias in the evidence contributing to the outcomes as per QUADAS-2

Appendix G Economic evidence study selection

Study selection for: What presenting features are associated with OME in

children under 12 years?

A global search was undertaken to cover all the review questions considered in this guideline, but no economic evidence was identified which was applicable to this review question (see Figure 9).

Figure 9: Study selection flow chart



Appendix H Economic evidence tables

Economic evidence tables for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

No evidence was identified which was applicable to this review question.

Appendix I Economic model

Economic model for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

No economic analysis was conducted for this review question.

Appendix J Excluded studies

Excluded studies for review question: What presenting features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

Excluded diagnostic studies

Table 40: Excluded studies and reasons for their exclusion

Study	Code [Reason]
Abdullah, Baharudin; Hassan, Shahid; Sidek, Dinsuhaimi (2007) Clinical and audiological profiles in children with chronic otitis media with effusion requiring surgical intervention. The Malaysian journal of medical sciences : MJMS 14(2): 22-7	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1999-2001
Acke, Frederic R E, De Vriese, Casper, Van Hoecke, Helen et al. (2021) Twelve years of neonatal hearing screening: audiological and etiological results. European archives of oto- rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino- Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino- Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME diagnosed based on 'etiological investigations' not further defined
Al Anazy, F.H. (2011) The role of nasal allergy in otitis media with effusion. Bahrain Medical Bulletin 33(1)	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Saudi Arabia</i>
Al-Saab, Fahad, Manoukian, John J, Al-Sabah, Basel et al. (2008) Linking laryngopharyngeal reflux to otitis media with effusion: pepsinogen study of adenoid tissue and middle ear fluid. Journal of otolaryngology - head & neck surgery = Le Journal d'oto-rhino-laryngologie et de chirurgie cervico-faciale 37(4): 565-71	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Al-Salim, Sarah, Tempero, Richard M, Johnson, Hannah et al. (2021) Audiologic Profiles of Children With Otitis Media With Effusion. Ear and hearing 42(5): 1195-1207	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Alam, M M, Ali, M I, Habib, M A et al. (2015) Otitis media with effusion in children admitted for adenoidectomy. Mymensingh medical journal : MMJ 24(2): 284-9	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Bangladesh</i>
Alles, R, Parikh, A, Hawk, L et al. (2001) The prevalence of atopic disorders in children with chronic otitis media with effusion. Pediatric allergy and immunology : official publication of the European Society of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 12(2): 102-6	- Study conducted pre-2000 <i>Study conducted over the course of 2 years and</i> <i>was accepted for publication in 2000</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
AlSarhan, Haider; Mohammed, Ali Abed; T Yaseen, Ehab (2021) Reliability of the otoscopic tympanic membrane findings in the diagnosis of middle ear effusion. JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association 71(Suppl 8): 110- s112	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Conducted in Iraq</i>
Aqeel-ur-Rehman, H.; Abbasi, A.; Khan, F.A. (2017) Causes of conductive hearing loss in school going children. Medical Forum Monthly 28(7): 130-133	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Conducted in Pakistan</i>
Aydin, Emine, Tastan, Eren, Aydogan, Filiz et al. (2011) Role of nasopharyngeal reflux in the etiology of otitis media with effusion. Journal of otolaryngology - head & neck surgery = Le Journal d'oto-rhino-laryngologie et de chirurgie cervico-faciale 40(6): 499-503	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Aydogan, Barlas, Kiroglu, Mete, Altintas, Derya et al. (2004) The role of food allergy in otitis media with effusion. Otolaryngologyhead and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 130(6): 747-50	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1996-1999
Baggi, Elena, Semino, Margherita, Bianchini, Sonia et al. (2013) Middle ear problems in children hospitalised because of lower respiratory tract infections: a comparison between two cohorts in Burundi and Italy. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 77(12): 1984-6	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria Comparative study on prevalence of OME between two cohorts of children in Burundi and Italy
Bandyopadhyay, T and Raman, E V (2018) Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) in Urban Pediatric Population in a Tertiary Care Centre: A Clinical Study. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 70(2): 267-272	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Bemanian, Mohammad Hassan, Rezaei, Kazem, Atighechi, Saeid et al. (2020) The Relation of Allergy to Adenoid Hypertrophy and Otitis Media with Effusion: A Cross-sectional Study. Iranian journal of allergy, asthma, and immunology 19(5): 529-533	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Iran</i>
Boone, Ryan T; Bower, Charles M; Martin, Patti F (2005) Failed newborn hearing screens as presentation for otitis media with effusion in the newborn population. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 69(3): 393-7	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted between 1999-2001
Boudewyns, An, Declau, Frank, Van den Ende, Jenneke et al. (2011) Otitis media with effusion:	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
an underestimated cause of hearing loss in infants. Otology & neurotology : official publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology 32(5): 799-804	
Braun, T., Dreher, A., Dirr, F. et al. (2012) Pediatric OSAS and otitis media with effusion. HNO 60(3): 216-219	- Article not available in English
Cakabay, Taliye, Ustun Bezgin, Selin, Tarakcioglu, Mahmut Cem et al. (2019) Why do infants pull their ears?. Auris, nasus, larynx 46(5): 803-807	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria Non-comparative study: people without symptom (ear-tugging) not included and data for them not reported
Carr, M M, Poje, C P, Ehrig, D et al. (2001) Incidence of reflux in young children undergoing adenoidectomy. The Laryngoscope 111(12): 2170-2	- Study conducted pre-2000 Conducted between 1998-2000
Casselbrant, Margaretha L; Mandel, Ellen M; Doyle, William J (2016) Information on co- morbidities collected by history is useful for assigning Otitis Media risk to children. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 85: 136-40	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria Criteria for OME "three or more consecutive months of middle ear effusion if bilateral, six consecutive months of effusion if unilateral or three or more episodes of OM lasting for at least two months with at least one episode of OME or tympanostomy tube insertion in the year prior to entry and had not met the criteria for [recurrent acute otitis media]". Diagnostic criteria for OME not further defined
Caylan, Refik, Bektas, Devrim, Atalay, Cemalettin et al. (2006) Prevalence and risk factors of otitis media with effusion in Trabzon, a city in northeastern Turkey, with an emphasis on the recommendation of OME screening. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino- Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 263(5): 404-8	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Chalishazar, U K and Singh, V (2007) Correlation between a foreign body in the external auditory canal and otitis media with effusion. The Journal of laryngology and otology 121(9): 850-2	- Insufficient presentation of results Data on the association between a foreign body in the external auditory canal and OME not reported separately from association between a foreign body in the external auditory canal and eustachian tube disorder. Data on other presenting features (previous history of ear complaints, hearing loss) not reported in association with OME.
Chaudhry, Sadia, Ahmad, Zafar, Khan, Faraz Basharat et al. (2010) Frequency of otitis media in patients of nasal polypi. Journal of Ayub	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Pakistan</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
Medical College, Abbottabad : JAMC 22(2): 83- 5	
Chen, Judy L (2015) Newborn hearing screening may predict Eustachian tube dysfunction. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 79(12): 2099-103	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria "no otoscopy or tympanometry was performed to confirm middle ear status" p2102
Cheng, X, Sheng, H, Ma, R et al. (2017) Allergic rhinitis and allergy are risk factors for otitis media with effusion: A meta-analysis. Allergologia et immunopathologia 45(1): 25-32	- Systematic review, studies assessed for inclusion Non-comparative studies, studies conducted before 2000, and studies from non-OECD high- income countries were included
Chmielik, J., Chmielik, M., Zajac, B. et al. (2002) Vertigo and dizziness in children - Diagnostic problems. New Medicine 5(2): 67-70	- Article not available
da Costa, Sady Selaimen; Rosito, Leticia Petersen Schmidt; Dornelles, Cristina (2009) Sensorineural hearing loss in patients with chronic otitis media. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino- Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 266(2): 221-4	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Brazil</i>
Daval, Mary, Picard, Herve, Bequignon, Emilie et al. (2018) Chronic otitis media with effusion in chronic sinusitis with polyps. Ear, nose, & throat journal 97(8): e13-e18	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria <i>All participants over 15 years of age</i>
De Schrijver, L, Topsakal, V, Wojciechowski, M et al. (2019) Prevalence and etiology of sensorineural hearing loss in children with down syndrome: A cross-sectional study. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 116: 168-172	- Insufficient presentation of results Study investigates etiology of hearing loss in children with down syndrome but no association data for OME reported
Din, S., Khan, A.R., Khan, N.S. et al. (2005) Management of secretory otitis media in children. Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute 19(1): 106- 110	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Conducted in Pakistan</i>
Durmaz, Bengul, Abdulmajed, Olkar, Durmaz, Riza et al. (2021) Respiratory viruses in the healthy middle ear and middle ear with otitis media with effusion. Journal of medical virology 93(11): 6140- 6147	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Eftekharian, Ali, Sabeti, Shahram, Khajavi, Mahdi et al. (2012) Light microscopic histopathology of adenoid tissue in otitis media with effusion: is there any relation?. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 76(11): 1598-600	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Iran</i>
Engel, J, Anteunis, L, Volovics, A et al. (2000) Predictive value of parent-reported symptoms in the	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1990-1995

Study	Code [Reason]
assessment of otitis media with effusion during infancy. Scandinavian journal of primary health care 18(1): 25-9	
Engel-Yeger, B; Golz, A; Parush, S (2004) Impact of middle ear effusion on balance performance in children. Disability and rehabilitation 26(2): 97-102	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Esteves, Sara Duarte Sena, Silva, Ana Pereira da, Coutinho, Miguel Bebiano et al. (2014) Congenital defects of the middle earuncommon cause of pediatric hearing loss. Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology 80(3): 251-6	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Case series</i>
Gan, R W C, Daniel, M, Ridley, M et al. (2018) Quality of questionnaires for the assessment of otitis media with effusion in children. Clinical otolaryngology : official journal of ENT-UK ; official journal of Netherlands Society for Oto- Rhino-Laryngology & Cervico-Facial Surgery 43(2): 572-583	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Gawron, Wojciech; Pospiech, Lucyna; Orendorz- Fraczkowska, Krystyna (2004) An evaluation of postural stability and the effects of middle-ear drainage on vestibulo-spinal reflexes of children with chronic otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 68(9): 1175- 9	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Gomaa, Mohammed A; Karim, Abdel Rahim Ahmed Abdel; Elsherbeny, Yaser Makram (2014) Role of immunoglobulin E and gastro-esophageal reflux disease in the development of otitis media with effusion. Otolaryngologia polska = The Polish otolaryngology 68(3): 119-23	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Egypt</i>
Gouma, Panagiota, Mallis, Antonios, Daniilidis, Vasilis et al. (2011) Behavioral trends in young children with conductive hearing loss: a case-control study. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto- Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 268(1): 63-6	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria Children with an episode of OME in the past 6 weeks were excluded from the study
Gravel, J S and Wallace, I F (2000) Effects of otitis media with effusion on hearing in the first 3 years of life. Journal of speech, language, and hearing research : JSLHR 43(3): 631-44	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study was conducted during the first 3 years of the lives of participants and was published in 2000
Gravel, Judith S, Roberts, Joanne E, Roush, Jackson et al. (2006) Early otitis media with effusion, hearing loss, and auditory processes at school age. Ear and hearing 27(4): 353-68	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
Grewal, Jeewanjot S, Cohn, Jason E, Burdett, Jacob et al. (2022) Otitis Media and Hearing Loss in Patients With Nonsyndromic Craniosynostosis: A Multicenter Study. The Cleft palate-craniofacial journal : official publication of the American Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Association 59(5): 652-658	- Insufficient presentation of results Study investigates whether craniosynostosis (congenital defect) is a risk factor for OME. Data are provided regarding presence of other symptoms, but information about the association between OME status and these presenting features are not given
Han, Honglei and Lv, Qiuping (2018) Characteristics of laryngopharyngeal reflux in patients with chronic otitis media. American journal of otolaryngology 39(5): 493-496	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
He, Zhaoping, O'Reilly, Robert C, Bolling, Laura et al. (2007) Detection of gastric pepsin in middle ear fluid of children with otitis media. Otolaryngology head and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 137(1): 59-64	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME diagnosed based on clinical history and otoscopic evaluation
Heo, Kyung Wook; Kim, Min Jae; Lee, Jun Ho (2018) Impact of nasal conditions on chronic otitis media: a cross-sectional study in Koreans. Acta oto- laryngologica 138(2): 116-121	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria Chronic otitis media diagnosed based on "otoscopic or endoscopic examinations" and pure tone audiometry; no data reported for OME
Hooper, Stephen R, Ashley, Timothy A, Roberts, Joanne E et al. (2006) The relationship of otitis media in early childhood to attention dimensions during the early elementary school years. Journal of developmental and behavioral pediatrics : JDBP 27(4): 281-9	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Humaid, Al-Humaid I, Ashraf, Abou-Halawa S, Masood, Khan A et al. (2014) Prevalence and risk factors of Otitis Media with effusion in school children in Qassim Region of Saudi Arabia. International journal of health sciences 8(4): 325-34	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Saudi Arabia</i>
Hunter, Lisa L, Davey, Cynthia S, Kohtz, Allison et al. (2007) Hearing screening and middle ear measures in American Indian infants and toddlers. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 71(9): 1429-38	- Study conducted pre-2000 <i>Participants (pregnant women) recruited between 1998-2001</i>
Hunter, Lisa L, Prieve, Beth A, Kei, Joseph et al. (2013) Pediatric applications of wideband acoustic immittance measures. Ear and hearing 34suppl1: 36s- 42s	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Narrative review</i>
Huyett, Phillip, Raz, Yael, Hirsch, Barry E et al. (2017) Radiographic Mastoid and Middle Ear Effusions in Intensive Care Unit Subjects. Respiratory care 62(3): 350-356	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME diagnosed based on head CT or MRI
Jang, Chul Ho and Jung, Jae Kwon (2003) Expression of mast cell tryptase in pediatric	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria

Study	Code [Reason]
otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 67(11): 1185-8	Study compares presence and level of mast cell tryptase concentration in MEE samples from children with and without allergy. Data for children without OME not provided.
Jastrzebska, I., Gorecka-Tuteja, A., Sladek, M. et al. (2012) Characteristics of laryngopharyngeal reflux as well as of gastroesophageal reflux in children with otitis media with effusion. Pediatria Wspolczesna 14(2): 69-73	- Article not available in English
Jin, Zhe, Cha, Sung Ho, Choi, Yong-Sung et al. (2016) Expression of CXCL4 and aquaporin 3 and 10 mRNAs in patients with otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 81: 33-7	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria Study compares culture results of MEE samples from children with OME who are and are not prone to otitis media. Data for children with and without OME not provided
Jung, Su Young, Kim, Sung Su, Kim, Young II et al. (2018) Expression of aquaporins mRNAs in patients with otitis media. Acta oto-laryngologica 138(8): 701-707	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Participants are adults</i>
Kaleida, Phillip H (2004) Evidence assessment of the accuracy of methods of diagnosing middle ear effusion in children with otitis media with effusion. The Journal of pediatrics 145(1): 138	- Conference abstract
Karyanta, Mahastini; Satrowiyoto, Siswanto; Wulandari, Dian Paramita (2019) Prevalence Ratio of Otitis Media with Effusion in Laryngopharyngeal Reflux. International journal of otolaryngology 2019: 7460891	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Indonesia</i>
Keles, Bahar, Ozturk, Kayhan, Gunel, Engin et al. (2004) Pharyngeal reflux in children with chronic otitis media with effusion. Acta oto- laryngologica 124(10): 1178-81	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Khan, A.A., Khan, A.R., Junaid, M. et al. (2020) Enlarged adenoids and allergy in otitis media-an experience at a tertiary care hospital. Journal of Medical Sciences (Peshawar) 28(1): 6-9	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Pakistan</i>
Khavarghazalani, B., Hosseini Dastgerdi, Z., Gohari, N. et al. (2022) Auditory temporal processinzg in children with history of recurrent otitis media with effusion. Hearing, Balance and Communication 20(1): 46-51	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Iran</i>
Khavarghazalani, Bahare, Farahani, Farhad, Emadi, Maryam et al. (2016) Auditory processing abilities in children with chronic otitis media with effusion. Acta oto-laryngologica 136(5): 456-9	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Iran</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
Kim, S.K., Park, IS., Hong, S.J. et al. (2022) Association Between Pneumonia and Chronic Otitis Media: A Nested Case-Control Study Using a National Health Screening Cohort. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 118: 54-61	- Insufficient presentation of results Results for OME not presented separately from results for other types of chronic otitis media
Klaudt, Monte R; Steinbach, William J; Sectish, Theodore C (2003) Clinical considerations in the diagnosis of otitis media. Current allergy and asthma reports 3(4): 313-20	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Narrative review</i>
Kocyigit, Murat, Cakabay, Taliye, Ortekin, Safiye G et al. (2017) Association Between Endocrine Diseases and Serous Otitis Media in Children. Journal of clinical research in pediatric endocrinology 9(1): 48-51	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Kocyigit, Murat, Ortekin, Safiye Giran, Cakabay, Taliye et al. (2017) Frequency of Serous Otitis Media in Children without Otolaryngological Symptoms. International archives of otorhinolaryngology 21(2): 161-164	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Kolkaila, E A; Emara, A A; Gabr, T A (2015) Vestibular evaluation in children with otitis media with effusion. The Journal of laryngology and otology 129(4): 326-36	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Egypt</i>
Korres, S, Nikolopoulos, Thomas P, Peraki, E E et al. (2008) Outcomes and efficacy of newborn hearing screening: strengths and weaknesses (success or failure?). The Laryngoscope 118(7): 1253-6	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria Study investigates number of children who fail hearing screening tests who have hearing loss. Association with OME not investigated
Kosmidou, Panagiota, Tzifas, Sotiris, Lygeros, Spyros et al. (2021) Newborn Hearing Screening: Analysing the Effectiveness of Early Detection of Neonatal Hearing Loss in a Hospital in Greece. Cureus 13(11): e19807	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Kostic, Mirjana, Ribaric Jankes, Ksenija, Trotic, Robert et al. (2015) Clinical and audiological findings in children with acute otitis media. Acta oto-laryngologica 135(7): 645-50	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria Study investigating children with AOM, data on the association between history of AOM and OME not reported
Kreiner-Moller, E, Chawes, B L K, Caye- Thomasen, P et al. (2012) Allergic rhinitis is associated with otitis media with effusion: a birth cohort study. Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology 42(11): 1615-20	- Insufficient presentation of results Only summary measures (adjusted odds ratios) reported and results not reported sufficiently to convert to diagnostic data
Krzyzak, Anna, Zagolski, Olaf, Pawelek, Michal et al. (2018) Paediatric otitis media with effusion is connected to deficits in music perception. Logopedics, phoniatrics, vocology 43(1): 42-46	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data

Study	Code [Reason]
Kubba, Haytham; Swan, Iain R C; Gatehouse, Stuart (2004) How appropriate is the OM6 as a discriminative instrument in children with otitis media?. Archives of otolaryngologyhead & neck surgery 130(6): 705-9	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Kucur, Cuneyt, Simsek, Eda, Kuduban, Ozan et al. (2015) Prevalence of and risk factors for otitis media with effusion in primary school children: case control study in Erzurum, Turkey. The Turkish journal of pediatrics 57(3): 230-5	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Lack, Gideon; Caulfield, Helen; Penagos, Martin (2011) The link between otitis media with effusion and allergy: a potential role for intranasal corticosteroids. Pediatric allergy and immunology : official publication of the European Society of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 22(3): 258-66	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Narrative review</i>
Lechien, Jerome R, Hans, Stephane, Simon, Francois et al. (2021) Association Between Laryngopharyngeal Reflux and Media Otitis: A Systematic Review. Otology & neurotology : official publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology 42(7): e801-e814	- Systematic review, studies assessed for inclusion Non-comparative studies, studies using diagnostic methods not including tympanometry, and studies investigating participants with other types of OM (not OME) were included
Leo, G., Piacentini, E., Incorvaia, C. et al. (2007) Sinusitis and Eustachian tube dysfunction in children. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 18(suppl18): 35-39	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria Study investigates association between sinusitis and eustachian tube dysfunction, not specifically OME
Lieu, Judith E C; Muthappan, P Ganesh; Uppaluri, Ravindra (2005) Association of reflux with otitis media in children. Otolaryngology head and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 133(3): 357-61	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Lipson, S., Wang, A., Corcoran, M. et al. (2020) Severe motion sickness in infants and children. European Journal of Paediatric Neurology 28: 176-179	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria Diagnostic criteria for OME not defined; diagnostic results report OME together with AOM under 'Eustachian tube dysfunction' ("Eustachian tube dysfunction was defined as either chronic otitis media with effusion for at least 3 consecutive months and/or recurrent acute otitis media with at least 4 or more infections within a year"). Results not presented separately
Lou, Zhengcai (2017) Assessment of laryngopharyngeal reflux and the shape of the Eustachian tube should be considered in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Commentary</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
chronic otitis media. European archives of oto- rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino- Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino- Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 274(12): 4265-4266	
Magliulo, Giuseppe, Iannella, Giannicola, Granata, Guido et al. (2016) Otologic evaluation of patients with primary antibody deficiency. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino- Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 273(11): 3537-3546	- Insufficient presentation of results Study investigates whether common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) is a risk factor for OME. Data are provided regarding association between OME status and presence of symptoms, but information about what the symptoms are is not given
Mandour, Y.M., Shendy, M., Ramadan, S.A. et al. (2021) Vitamin d level in children with secretory otitis media. Otorhinolaryngology Clinics 13(1): 18-22	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Egypt</i>
Maris, M, Wojciechowski, M, Van de Heyning, P et al. (2014) A cross-sectional analysis of otitis media with effusion in children with Down syndrome. European journal of pediatrics 173(10): 1319-25	- Insufficient presentation of results Data cannot be compared between two groups as both cohorts include children with OME. Number of children with OME in comparison group not reported.
Marseglia, Gian Luigi, Pagella, Fabio, Caimmi, Davide et al. (2008) Increased risk of otitis media with effusion in allergic children presenting with adenoiditis. Otolaryngology head and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 138(5): 572-5	- Insufficient presentation of results Study only includes participants presenting with acute upper-airway infection. Results for which participants have acute rhinosinusitis, adenoiditis, or both are reported for the OME group only. Insufficient comparative data to extract for the purpose of converting to diagnostic data because which kind of acute upper-airway infection the non-OME group have is not reported
Martines, F, Martinciglio, G, Martines, E et al. (2010) The role of atopy in otitis media with effusion among primary school children: audiological investigation. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino- Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) : affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino- Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 267(11): 1673-8	- Reports same study/population as Martines 2011, no additional data reported
Martines, Francesco, Bentivegna, Daniela, Di Piazza, Fabiola et al. (2010) The point prevalence of otitis media with effusion among primary school children in Western Sicily. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) :	- Reports same study/population as Martines 2011, no additional data reported

Study	Code [Reason]
affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino- Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 267(5): 709-14	
Martines, Francesco, Martines, Enrico, Sciacca, Vincenzo et al. (2011) Otitis media with effusion with or without atopy: audiological findings on primary schoolchildren. American journal of otolaryngology 32(6): 601-6	- Reports same study/population as Martines 2011, no additional data reported
McCormick, D P, Baldwin, C D, Klecan-Aker, J S et al. (2001) Association of early bilateral middle ear effusion with language at age 5 years. Ambulatory pediatrics : the official journal of the Ambulatory Pediatric Association 1(2): 87- 90	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1984-1989
McCormick, David P; Johnson, Dale L; Baldwin, Constance D (2006) Early middle ear effusion and school achievement at age seven years. Ambulatory pediatrics : the official journal of the Ambulatory Pediatric Association 6(5): 280-7	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1984-1989
Melake, Nahla A; Shaker, Ghada H; Salama, Magdy A (2012) Incidence of Helicobacter pylori infection and their clarithromycin-resistant strains in otitis media with effusion regarding phenotypic and genotypic studies. Saudi pharmaceutical journal : SPJ : the official publication of the Saudi Pharmaceutical Society 20(4): 345-53	- Insufficient presentation of results Study investigates presence of H. pylori in culture results of MEE samples from children with and without OME, but no data reported about presenting features
Minter, K R, Roberts, J E, Hooper, S R et al. (2001) Early childhood otitis media in relation to children's attention-related behavior in the first six years of life. Pediatrics 107(5): 1037-42	- Study conducted pre-2000
Minto, H. and Hogan, A.D. (2013) Allergic rhinitis is associated with otitis media with effusion: A birth cohort study. Pediatrics 132(suppl1): 29-s30	- Reports same study/population as Kreiner- Moller 2012, no additional data reported
Miura, Mauricio Schreiner; Mascaro, Miguel; Rosenfeld, Richard M (2012) Association between otitis media and gastroesophageal reflux: a systematic review. Otolaryngology head and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 146(3): 345-52	- Systematic review, studies assessed for inclusion Studies investigating participants with other types of OM (not OME), and studies from non- OECD high-income countries were included
Monsanto, Rafael da Costa, Kasemodel, Ana Luiza Papi, Tomaz, Andreza et al. (2018) Current evidence of peripheral vestibular symptoms secondary to otitis media. Annals of medicine 50(5): 391-401	- Systematic review, studies assessed for inclusion Non-comparative studies, studies conducted before 2000, studies investigating participants with other types of OM (not OME), studies including adults, and studies from non-OECD high-income countries were included

Study	Code [Reason]
Morita, Shinya; Suzuki, Masanobu; lizuka, Keiji (2010) Non-organic hearing loss in childhood. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 74(5): 441-6	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Diagnostic criteria for OME not defined</i>
Nair, Surendran; Kumar, Madhumita; Nair, Prathapan (2012) Role of GERD in children with otitis media with effusion. Indian journal of pediatrics 79(10): 1328-32	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Nery, C de Gois, Buranello, F Stefanato, Pereira, C et al. (2010) Otitis media with effusion and dental occlusion: is there any relationship?. European journal of paediatric dentistry 11(3): 132-6	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Brazil</i>
Nguyen, Lily H P, Manoukian, John J, Sobol, Steven E et al. (2004) Similar allergic inflammation in the middle ear and the upper airway: evidence linking otitis media with effusion to the united airways concept. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 114(5): 1110-5	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Nguyen, Lily H P, Manoukian, John J, Tewfik, Ted L et al. (2004) Evidence of allergic inflammation in the middle ear and nasopharynx in atopic children with otitis media with effusion. The Journal of otolaryngology 33(6): 345-51	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Norhafizah, S; Salina, H; Goh, B S (2020) Prevalence of allergic rhinitis in children with otitis media with effusion. European annals of allergy and clinical immunology 52(3): 121-130	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Malaysia</i>
O'Reilly, Robert C, He, Zhaoping, Bloedon, Esa et al. (2008) The role of extraesophageal reflux in otitis media in infants and children. The Laryngoscope 118(7part2suppl116): 1-9	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME diagnosed based on clinical history and otoscopic evaluation, not tympanometry
Paradise, J L, Dollaghan, C A, Campbell, T F et al. (2000) Language, speech sound production, and cognition in three-year-old children in relation to otitis media in their first three years of life. Pediatrics 105(5): 1119-30	- Study conducted pre-2000 <i>Study began in 1991</i>
Parietti-Winkler, Cecile, Baumann, Cedric, Gallet, Patrice et al. (2009) Otitis media with effusion as a marker of the inflammatory process associated to nasal polyposis. Rhinology 47(4): 396-9	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Adults</i>
Park, Chul-Won, Han, Jang-Hee, Jeong, Jin- Hyeok et al. (2004) Detection rates of bacteria in chronic otitis media with effusion in children. Journal of Korean medical science 19(5): 735-8	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
Parmar, Suchina, Davessar, Jai Lal, Singh, Gurbax et al. (2019) Prevalence of Otitis Media with Effusion in Children with Hearing Loss. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 71(suppl2): 1276-1281	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Passali, D., Damiani, V., Passali, G.C. et al. (2005) The impact of persistent allergic rhinitis on the middle ear – Data from the observation of 100 children. Allergy and Clinical Immunology International 17(3): 114-116	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Passali, Desiderio, Passali, Giulio C, Lauriello, Maria et al. (2014) Nasal Allergy and Otitis Media: A real correlation?. Sultan Qaboos University medical journal 14(1): e59-64	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Pavic, Ivan, Babic, Irena, Matijasic, Nusa et al. (2018) Combined multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring should be used to diagnose reflux-related otitis media with effusion in children. Acta paediatrica (Oslo, Norway : 1992)	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Pereira, Priscila Karla Santana; Azevedo, Marisa Frasson de; Testa, Jose Ricardo (2010) Conductive impairment in newborn who failed the newborn hearing screening. Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology 76(3): 347-54	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Brazil</i>
Petinou, K C, Schwartz, R G, Gravel, J S et al. (2001) A preliminary account of phonological and morphophonological perception in young children with and without otitis media. International journal of language & communication disorders 36(1): 21-42	- Study conducted pre-2000 <i>Study received for publication in 1999</i>
Polka, L. and Rvachew, S. (2005) The impact of otitis media with the effusion on infant phonetic perception. Infancy 8(2): 101-117	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Pucher, B., Szydlowski, J., Sroczynski, J. et al. (2014) The most common reasons for referral to pediatric ENT outpatient clinic in Poznan. Family Medicine and Primary Care Review 16(3): 282- 284	- Article not available in English
Rehagen, Sonia Kim; Valente, Maureen; Lieu, Judith E C (2020) Vestibular Screening in Pediatric Patients with Otitis Media. Journal of the American Academy of Audiology 31(3): 209- 216	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME confirmed through medical charts. No further details given
Ren, Dong-dong and Wang, Wu-qing (2012) Assessment of middle ear effusion and	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country

Study	Code [Reason]
audiological characteristics in young children with adenoid hypertrophy. Chinese medical journal 125(7): 1276-81	Study conducted in China
Revai, Krystal, Patel, Janak A, Grady, James J et al. (2008) Tympanometric findings in young children during upper respiratory tract infections with and without acute otitis media. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 27(4): 292-5	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Rezes, Szilard, Soderlund-Venermo, Maria, Roivainen, Merja et al. (2009) Human bocavirus and rhino-enteroviruses in childhood otitis media with effusion. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 46(3): 234-7	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Robb, P J and Shahab, R (2001) Infrared transtympanic temperature measurement and otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 59(3): 195-200	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Diagnostic criteria for OME not defined</i>
Roberts, J E, Burchinal, M R, Jackson, S C et al. (2000) Otitis media in childhood in relation to preschool language and school readiness skills among black children. Pediatrics 106(4): 725-35	- Study conducted pre-2000 <i>Article received for publication in 1999</i>
Roberts, Joanne E; Burchinal, Margaret R; Zeisel, Susan A (2002) Otitis media in early childhood in relation to children's school-age language and academic skills. Pediatrics 110(4): 696-706	- Study conducted pre-2000 <i>Children enrolled in study pre-2000 (see</i> <i>Roberts 2000 above)</i>
Roberts, Joanne E; Rosenfeld, Richard M; Zeisel, Susan A (2004) Otitis media and speech and language: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Pediatrics 113(3pt1): e238-48	- Systematic review, studies assessed for inclusion Studies conducted before 2000 and studies using diagnostic methods not including tympanometry were included
Roddey Jr., O.F.; Hoover, H.A.; Earle Jr., R. (2003) Physical examination for otitis media [4]. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal 22(7): 673	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Commentary</i>
Roditi, Rachel E; Veling, Maria; Shin, Jennifer J (2016) Age: An effect modifier of the association between allergic rhinitis and Otitis media with effusion. The Laryngoscope 126(7): 1687-92	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Diagnostic criteria for OME not defined</i>
Rozmanic, Vojko, Velepic, Mitja, Ahel, Vladimir et al. (2002) Prolonged esophageal pH monitoring in the evaluation of gastroesophageal reflux in children with chronic tubotympanal disorders. Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition 34(3): 278-80	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME diagnosed based on medical history and ENT examination
Sabo, Diane L, Paradise, Jack L, Kurs-Lasky, Marcia et al. (2003) Hearing levels in infants and	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1991-1995
Study	Code [Reason]
--	--
young children in relation to testing technique, age group, and the presence or absence of middle-ear effusion. Ear and hearing 24(1): 38- 47	
Saes, S.D.O.; Goldberg, T.B.L.; Montovani, J.C. (2005) Secretion of middle ear in infants - Occurrence, recurrence and related factors. Jornal de Pediatria 81(2): 133-138	- Article not available in English
Saki, Nader, Samarbaf Zadeh, Ali Reza, Sheikhpour Jonaky, Reza et al. (2014) The Prevalence Rate of Helicobacter pylori Infection in, Chronic Otitis Media With Effusion Patients. Jundishapur journal of microbiology 7(3): e15694	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Iran</i>
Samuels, Tina L, Khampang, Pawjai, Espahbodi, Mana et al. (2022) Association of Pepsin With Inflammatory Signaling and Effusion Viscosity in Pediatric Otitis Media. The Laryngoscope 132(2): 470-477	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Diagnostic criteria for OME not defined</i>
Sanli, Arif, Tasdemir, Omer, Eken, Mehmet et al. (2014) Prevalence of otitis media with effusion among primary school age-children and etiopathogenic examination. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 66(suppl1): 95-8	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Sanyelbhaa Talaat, H.; Hasn Kabel, A.; Qatanani, E. (2009) Paediatric speech intelligibility (PSI) in normal hearing children with history of recurrent otitis media with effusion (OME). Audiological Medicine 7(2): 112-119	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Jordan</i>
Sarkar, Saurav, Sadhukhan, M, Roychoudhury, A et al. (2010) Otitis media with effusion in children and its correlation with foreign body in the external auditory canal. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 62(4): 346-9	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Serbetcioglu, Bulent, Ugurtay, Ozgur, Kirkim, Gunay et al. (2008) No association between hearing loss due to bilateral otitis media with effusion and Denver-II test results in preschool children. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 72(2): 215-22	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Shaikh, Nader, Hoberman, Alejandro, Kaleida, Phillip H et al. (2011) Otoscopic signs of otitis media. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 30(10): 822-6	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria Study investigates the association between individual tympanic membrane findings by otoscopists and diagnosis of OME (via

Study	Code [Reason]
	myringotomy) in part 1, and diagnostic accuracy of otoscopists according to tympanometric membrane features in part 2
Shaikh, Nader, Hoberman, Alejandro, Rockette, Howard E et al. (2012) Development of an algorithm for the diagnosis of otitis media. Academic pediatrics 12(3): 214-8	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria Diagnosis of OME not defined (possibly by otoscopy: "We developed a decision tree by using data from a previously conducted cohort study (of pneumococcal colonization) in which a convenience sample [] were followed for one respiratory season via the use of serial pneumatic otoscopic examinations" p215)
Sharifian, M.R., Mahmoudi, M., Pourmomenarabi, B. et al. (2019) Correlation between allergic rhinitis and otitis media with effusion. Iranian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology 31(4)	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Iran</i>
Sharma, K.; Mehan, R.; Arora, A. (2015) Clinico- audio-radiological and operative evaluation of otitis media with effusion. Indian Journal of Otology 21(3): 174-178	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Sharma, K, Pannu, M S, Arora, A et al. (2016) Preventive Audiology: Screening for Hearing Impairment in Children Having Recurrent URTI. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 68(2): 163-6	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Shin, II Ho, Park, Dong Choon, Kwon, Chul et al. (2011) Changes in taste function related to obesity and chronic otitis media with effusion. Archives of otolaryngologyhead & neck surgery 137(3): 242-6	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Shinogami, Masanobu and Ishibashi, Toshio (2004) Presence of human herpesviruses in young children with acute otitis media. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 68(2): 205-10	- Study conducted pre-2000 Conducted between 1999-2001
Shriberg, L D, Friel-Patti, S, Flipsen, P Jr et al. (2000) Otitis media, fluctuant hearing loss, and speech-language outcomes: a preliminary structural equation model. Journal of speech, language, and hearing research : JSLHR 43(1): 100-20	- Study conducted pre-2000 Participants were a subsample from the Dallas Project, conducted in 1990
Shriberg, Lawrence D, Flipsen, Peter Jr, Kwiatkowski, Joan et al. (2003) A diagnostic marker for speech delay associated with otitis media with effusion: the intelligibility-speech	- Study conducted pre-2000 Participants were a subsample from the Dallas Project, conducted in 1990

Study	Code [Reason]
gap. Clinical linguistics & phonetics 17(7): 507- 28	
Shriberg, Lawrence D, Kent, Raymond D, Karlsson, Heather B et al. (2003) A diagnostic marker for speech delay associated with otitis media with effusion: backing of obstruents. Clinical linguistics & phonetics 17(7): 529-47	- Study conducted pre-2000 Participants were a subsample from the Dallas Project, conducted in 1990
Sogebi, Olusola Ayodele; Oyewole, Emmanuel Abayomi; Ogunbanwo, Olatundun (2021) Asymptomatic Otitis Media With Effusion in Children With Adenoid Enlargement. Journal of the National Medical Association 113(2): 158- 164	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Nigeria</i>
Sommerfleck, Patricia Alejandra, Gonzalez Macchi, Maria Emilia, Weinschelbaum, Romina et al. (2016) Balance disorders in childhood: Main etiologies according to age. Usefulness of the video head impulse test. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 87: 148- 53	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Argentina</i>
Souter, Melanie Anne, Mills, Nicola Anne, Mahadevan, Murali et al. (2009) The prevalence of atopic symptoms in children with otitis media with effusion. Otolaryngologyhead and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 141(1): 104-7	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Diagnostic criteria for OME not defined</i>
Spiric, Sanja, Spiric, Predrag, Vranjes, Dalibor et al. (2011) Effects of changes in dynamic characteristics of the middle ear on transient- evoked otoacoustic emissions. Medicinski pregled 64(910): 439-42	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Bosnia and Herzegovina</i>
Sridhara, Shankar K and Brietzke, Scott E (2012) The "Spoke Sign": An otoscopic diagnostic aid for detecting otitis media with effusion. Archives of otolaryngologyhead & neck surgery 138(11): 1059-63	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria OME diagnosed based on myringotomy as the reference standard
Swanepoel, De Wet; Eikelboom, Robert H; Margolis, Robert H (2014) Tympanometry screening criteria in children ages 5-7 yr. Journal of the American Academy of Audiology 25(10): 927-36	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Takagi, Dai, Nakamaru, Yuji, Maguchi, Shiroh et al. (2002) Otologic manifestations of Wegener's granulomatosis. The Laryngoscope 112(9): 1684-90	- Study conducted pre-2000 Conducted between 1992-2001
Tasker, Andrea, Dettmar, Peter W, Panetti, Marguerite et al. (2002) Reflux of gastric juice	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria Non-comparative study

111

Study	Code [Reason]
and glue ear in children. Lancet (London, England) 359(9305): 493	
Tasker, Andrea, Dettmar, Peter W, Panetti, Marguerite et al. (2002) Is gastric reflux a cause of otitis media with effusion in children?. The Laryngoscope 112(11): 1930-4	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria Non-comparative study
Tewfik, Ted L and Mazer, Bruce (2006) The links between allergy and otitis media with effusion. Current opinion in otolaryngology & head and neck surgery 14(3): 187-90	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Narrative review</i>
Thakur, J S, Chauhan, Ishan, Mohindroo, N K et al. (2013) Otoacoustic Emissions in Otitis Media with Effusion: Do They Carry any Clinical Significance?. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 65(1): 29-33	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Timmerman, Angelique; Anteunis, Lucien; Meesters, Cor (2005) First psychometric evaluation of a disease-specific questionnaire for children's behavior related to otitis media with effusion. Psychological reports 97(3): 819- 31	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Tozar, Mesut, Comert, Ela, Sencan, Ziya et al. (2020) Video head impulse test in children with otitis media with effusion and dizziness. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 129: 109783	- Insufficient presentation of results The comparative groups mean there are insufficient data reported to extract for the purpose of converting to diagnostic data. It is unclear from the text but cohort groups seem to be patients with OME and dizziness vs patients without OME or dizziness: "Group 1 consisted of 30 patients [] who had OME without a history of AOM in the last 3 months and admitted to the clinic with the complaint of dizziness [] Group 2) included 30 healthy children [] who had normal otoscopic and tympanometric evaluation with no history of AOM in the last 3 months"
Umapathy, Dolores; Alles, Roshini; Scadding, Glenis K (2007) A community based questionnaire study on the association between symptoms suggestive of otitis media with effusion, rhinitis and asthma in primary school children. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 71(5): 705-12	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1994-1995
Ungkanont, Kitirat; Charuluxananan, Somrat; Komoltri, Chulaluk (2010) Association of otoscopic findings and hearing level in pediatric patients with otitis media with effusion. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 74(9): 1063-6	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Thailand</i>

Study	Code [Reason]
van Balen, F A and de Melker, R A (2000) Persistent otitis media with effusion: can it be predicted? A family practice follow-up study in children aged 6 months to 6 years. The Journal of family practice 49(7): 605-11	- Study conducted pre-2000 Study conducted 1992-1993
Villa, Priscila Cruvinel and Zanchetta, Sthella (2014) Auditory temporal abilities in children with history of recurrent otitis media in the first years of life and persistent in preschool and school ages. CoDAS 26(6): 494-502	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria Study group included children with a history of OME but did not report if they currently had OME, or what the diagnostic criteria for OME were: "The criteria for inclusion in these groups were the following: having the occurrence of the first episode of otitis, in the first year of life, documented in medical records, as well as at least four other episodes over a period of 12 months, under the age of three" (all included children were between the ages of 7-10 years)
Waldron, M N H; Matthews, J N S; Johnson, I J M (2004) The effect of otitis media with effusions on balance in children. Clinical otolaryngology and allied sciences 29(4): 318-20	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Walker, N. and Wigglesworth, G. (2001) The effect of conductive hearing loss on phonological awareness, reading and spelling of urban aboriginal students. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Audiology 23(1): 37-51	- Insufficient presentation of results Continuous data not possible to dichotomise for the sake of converting to diagnostic data
Walker, Rebecca E, Bartley, Jim, Flint, David et al. (2017) Determinants of chronic otitis media with effusion in preschool children: a case- control study. BMC pediatrics 17(1): 4	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria Cases were children "who had a recent medical history of COME and/or signs of COME confirmed by an otorhinolaryngologist during surgery" but study does not report how many in group have current OME at time of study. Additionally, diagnostic criteria for OME not reported
Wang, Mao-Che and Lee, Guo-She (2007) Vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in middle ear effusion. Acta oto-laryngologica 127(7): 700- 4	- Population does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Participants are adults</i>
Weichbold, Viktor; Nekahm-Heis, Doris; Welzl- Mueller, Kunigunde (2006) Universal newborn hearing screening and postnatal hearing loss. Pediatrics 117(4): e631-6	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Worley, G.A. (2000) Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of tympanometry in predicting a hearing impairment in otitis media with effusion. MRC multi-centre otitis media study group. CME Bulletin Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery 4(3): 112-113	- Article not available
Wu, Zeng-Hong, Tang, Yun, Niu, Xun et al. (2021) The Relationship Between Otitis Media	- Systematic review, studies assessed for inclusion

Study	Code [Reason]
With Effusion and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Meta-analysis. Otology & neurotology : official publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology 42(3): e245-e253	Studies including adults, and studies from non- OECD high-income countries were included
Xenellis, John, Paschalidis, John, Georgalas, Christos et al. (2005) Factors influencing the presence of otitis media with effusion 16 months after initial diagnosis in a cohort of school-age children in rural Greece: a prospective study. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 69(12): 1641-7	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Non-comparative study</i>
Yeo, Seung Geun, Park, Dong Choon, Eun, Young Gyu et al. (2007) The role of allergic rhinitis in the development of otitis media with effusion: effect on eustachian tube function. American journal of otolaryngology 28(3): 148- 52	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>
Yilmaz, H Baki (2015) Allergic rhinitis in children with adenoidal hypertrophy and otitis media with effusion. American journal of otolaryngology 36(4): 617-8	- Study design does not meet inclusion criteria <i>Commentary</i>
Yilmaz, Mustafa Deniz, Aktepe, Orhan, Cetinkol, Yeliz et al. (2005) Does Helicobacter pylori have role in development of otitis media with effusion?. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology 69(6): 745-9	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Yilmaz, Taner, Ceylan, Mehmet, Akyon, Yakut et al. (2006) Helicobacter pylori: a possible association with otitis media with effusion. Otolaryngologyhead and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery 134(5): 772-7	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Yukkaldiran, Ahmet; Erdogan, Osman; Kaplama, Mehmet Erkan (2021) Neutrophil- lymphocyte and platelet-lymphocyte ratios in otitis media with effusion in children: Diagnostic role and audiologic correlations. International journal of clinical practice 75(3): e13805	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Yuksel, Fatih, Dogan, Mansur, Karatas, Duran et al. (2013) Gastroesophageal reflux disease in children with chronic otitis media with effusion. The Journal of craniofacial surgery 24(2): 380-3	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in Turkey</i>
Zhao, Fei, Wada, Hiroshi, Koike, Takuji et al. (2003) Transient evoked otoacoustic emissions in patients with middle ear disorders. International journal of audiology 42(3): 117-31	- Diagnostic method for OME does not meet inclusion criteria The definition/ diagnostic criteria given for OME do not specify whether tympanometry is used:

114

Study	Code [Reason]
	"The middle ear is under negative pressure caused by Eustachian tube dysfunction, resulting in a certain degree of tympanic membrane retraction and fluid in the middle ear cavity" (p 130)
Zingade, N D and Sanji, R R (2009) The prevalence of otological manifestations in children with cleft palate. Indian journal of otolaryngology and head and neck surgery : official publication of the Association of Otolaryngologists of India 61(3): 218-22	- Study not conducted in OECD high-income country <i>Study conducted in India</i>

AOM: acute otitis media; CT: computed tomography; COME: chronic otitis media with effusion; CVID: common variable immunodeficiency; ENT: ear, nose and throat; H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori; MEE: middle ear effusion; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; OM: otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion

Excluded economic studies

No economic evidence was identified for this review.

Appendix K Research recommendations – full details

Research recommendations for review question: What presenting

features are associated with OME in children under 12 years?

No research recommendations were made for this review question.