

Multiple Obstetric Guidelines Update Committee Meeting 29

Date: 9 and 10 June 2022

Location Virtual via Microsoft Teams

Minutes: Confirmed

Committee members present:

| Sarah Fishburn (Chair) | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| Maggie Blott (Topic Advisor) Present for notes 1 | | | |
| Samantha Russell Present for notes 1 | | | |
| Sarah Beswick – 10 th only | Present for notes 8 – 13 | | |
| Aung Soe – 10 th only | Present for notes 8 – 13 | | |
| Lisa Relton | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
| Philip Barclay | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
| Alena Chong | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
| Chimwemwe Kalumbi | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
| Charlotte Huddy | Present for notes 4 – 13 | | |
| Ashifa Trivedi | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
| Sophie McAllister | Present for notes 1 – 13 | | |
| Cornelia Wiesender | Present for notes 4 - 13 | | |
| Frances Rivers - 9 th only | Present for notes 1-7 | | |
| Sarah Griffiths | Present for notes 5 - 13 | | |

| In attendance: | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Hilary Eadon | NICE Guideline Lead | Present for notes 1 – 13 |
| Mike Maresh | NICE Clinical Advisor | Present for notes 1 – 13 |
| Hayley Shaw | NICE Project Manager | Present for notes 1-7 and 10-13 |
| Eva Gonzalez-Viana | NICE Senior Systematic Reviewer | Present for notes 1 – 13 |
| Agnesa Mehmeti | NICE Systematic Reviewer | Present for notes 1 – 13 |
| Rebecca Blackburn - 9 th only | NICE Assistant Systematic Reviewer | Present for notes 1 – 7 |
| Paul Jacklin | NICE Senior Health Economist | Present for notes 1 – 13 |
| Josie O'Heney | NICE Clinical Fellow | Present for notes 1 -13 |
| Gareth Haman | NICE Senior Medical Editor | Present for notes 1 – 13 |
| Clifford Middleton - 9 th only | NICE Guideline Commissioning Manager | Present for notes 1 – 7 |

| Apologies: | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Aung Soe – 9 th only | Committee member | | | |
| Sarah Beswick -9 th only | Lay member | | | |
| Frances Rivers – 10 th only | Committee member | | | |
| Claire McLeod | Senior Technical Analyst | | | |
| Rebecca Blackburn – 10 th only | Assistant Systematic Reviewer | | | |
| Stephanie Arnold | Information Scientist | | | |
| Benjamin Gregory | Business Analyst | | | |

Day 1 – 9th June 2022

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the committee members and attendees to day 1 of the 29th meeting of the multiple obstetric guidelines update.

The Chair informed the committee that apologies had been received as noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included reviewing guideline evidence, reviewing and deciding the action on the query regarding the OASI care bundle, making editorial changes to existing recommendations and reviewing current recommendations.

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matters under discussion were multiple obstetric topics including Intrapartum care (IPC).

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have risen since the last meeting. New interests were declared and noted below.

| Name | Role | Type of interest | Description of interest | Relevant dates | | | Comments |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | | Interest arose | Interest declared | Interest ceased | |
| Philip Barclay | Commi ttee Memb er | Direct, personal and professio nal | Elected as a member of the Board and Council of the Association of Anaesthetists | 19/05/2 022 | 09/06/202 2 | Ongoing | Declare and participate Agreed by: GL June 2022 Rationale: Not specific to guideline |



| Samantha Russell | Lay Memb er | Direct, financial | Patient and public contributor for the NIHR on a research project "Valuing Care Guidance Study: National Involvement Group: a study which seeks to understand how adult social care guidance published by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) is implemented locally, what the costs of implementatio n are and what value it can generate (including outcomes for people with social care needs and | 26/05/2 022 | 18/05/202 | Ongoing | Declare and participate Agreed by: GL May 2022 Rationale: Not specific to guideline. |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| Samantha Russell | Lay Memb er | Direct, financial | carers)" Lay member contribution to ARC West Midlands News Blog- Solution to a Devastating Injury Related to Childbirth? Discussion of research | May 2022 | 08/06/202 | May 2022 | Declare and participate Agreed by: GL May 2022 Rationale: Perineal care is is within the scope of the guideline update, but the article is a blog suggesting more research is need in this area and does not express views about the correct methods for perineal care, so is not a |

| | | | conflict with the guideline and is not likely to impact on the development of recommendatio ns. |
|--|--|--|---|
|--|--|--|---|

3. Minutes of last meeting

The Chair asked the committee to confirm the minutes of the last meeting, and the committee confirmed that no changes were required.

4. Morning presentations

The Chair introduced Agnesa Mehmeti, Systematic Reviewer, and Paul Jacklin, Senior Health Economist, who presented the evidence for IPC7.5: 'What is the effectiveness of prophylactic antibiotics for preventing postnatal infections in assisted vaginal birth?'

Clifford Middleton, Guideline Commissioning Manager, led a discussion on the OASI Care bundle.

Rebecca Blackburn, Assistant Systematic Reviewer, presented the evidence for IPC7.4: 'What is the effectiveness of perineal care in the second stage of labour (for example, massage, hands-on support, and warm compresses) for reducing perineal trauma and tears?'

5. Afternoon presentations

Hilary Eadon, Guideline Lead, presented editorial changes to the recommendations on assisted birth to clarify when analgesia is required, and when anaesthesia is required.

Hilary Eadon, Guideline Lead, led the committee through a review of IPC recommendations.

6. Questions and discussions

The committee discussed the evidence on the effectiveness of prophylactic antibiotics for preventing postnatal infections in assisted vaginal birth, and drafted recommendations.

The committee discussed the evidence on the effectiveness of perineal care in the second stage of labour for reducing perineal trauma and tears, and made changes to existing recommendations as well as drafting new recommendations.

The committee agreed editorial changes regarding when analgesia is required and when anaesthesia is required.

The committee reviewed and made relevant changes to IPC recommendations.

7. Any other business

The meeting was closed at 17:00

Day 2 – 10th June 2022

8. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the committee members and attendees to the 2nd day of the 29th meeting of the multiple obstetric guidelines update.

The Chair informed the committee that apologies had been received as noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included reviewing guideline evidence, making editorial changes to existing recommendations, reviewing the remifertanil health economic model and reviewing current recommendations.

9. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matters under discussion were multiple obstetric topics including Intrapartum care (IPC).

No new interests were declared.

10. Morning presentations

The Chair introduced Agnesa Mehmeti, Systematic Reviewer who presented evidence for IPC7.3: 'What are the benefits and risks of the different pushing techniques (immediate, spontaneous, delayed, directed) in the second stage of labour in women with and without regional analgesia?'

Hilary Eadon, Guideline Lead presented editorial changes to the current definitions for the duration of the second stage of labour and the definition of delay.

11. Afternoon presentations

Paul Jacklin, Senior Health Economist, presented the remifentanil health economic model to the committee.

Hilary Eadon, Guideline Lead, led the committee through a review of IPC recommendations.

12. Questions and discussions

The committee discussed the evidence on the benefits and risks of the different pushing techniques in the second stage of labour in women with and without regional analgesia, and drafted recommendations.

The committee agreed editorial changes regarding current definitions for the duration of the second stage of labour and the definition of delay.

The committee reviewed the remifentanil health economic model.

The committee reviewed and made relevant changes to IPC recommendations.

13. Any other business

The meeting was closed at 17:00.

Date of next meeting: 21st July 2022

Location of next meeting: Virtual via Microsoft Teams