

Pneumonia: diagnosis and management (update) Committee meeting 9

Date: 07.11.2024

Location: Virtual (Zoom)

Minutes: Final

Committee members present:	
Tessa Lewis (Chair)	Present for notes 1 – 7
Sumiah Al-Azeib	Present for notes 1 – 7
Srini Bandi	Present for notes 4 – 7
Tom Bewick	Present for notes 1 – 7
Dan Furmedge	Present for notes 4 – 7
Alastair Hay	Present for notes 1 – 7
Jennifer Head	Present for notes 1 – 7
Marisa Lanzman	Present for notes 1 – 7
Paddy McMaster	Present for notes 1 – 7
Joy Okafor	Present for notes 1 – 7
Carole Pitkeathley	Present for notes 1 – 7
Alan Thomas	Present for notes 4 – 7
Kathryn Thomas	Present for notes 1 – 7
Avril Tucker	Present for notes 1 – 7
Caroline Ward	Present for notes 1 – 7
Dan Wootton	Present for notes 1 – 4

In attendance:		
Steph Armstrong	Senior Health Economist	Present for notes 1 – 6
Daniel Davies	Project Manager	Present for notes 1 – 6
Saoussen Ftouh	Senior Technical Analyst	Present for notes 1 – 6
Paul Levay	Senior Information Specialist	Present for notes 1 – 6
Edgar Masanga	Business Analyst, Resource Impact Assessment	Present for notes 1 – 6
Joanna Perkin	Senior Guidance Content Designer	Present for notes 1 – 6
Robby Richey	Topic Lead	Present for notes 1 – 6
Hannah Stockton	Technical Analyst	Present for notes 1 – 6

Michellie Young	Technical Analyst	Present for notes 1 – 6
-----------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Apologies:	
Saira Hague	Radiologist
Dan Horner	Consultant in Emergency Medicine & Intensive Care
Philip Howard	Pharmacist
Mitul Patel	Consultant Microbiologist (Paediatrics)
Martin Williams	Microbiologist
Adam O'Keefe	Project Manager, NICE
Eric Slade	Health Economics Adviser, NICE

1. Welcome and introductions

The Chair welcomed Committee members and attendees to the ninth meeting on Pneumonia: diagnosis and management (update). The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above. The minutes of the previous meeting were confirmed as a true and accurate record.

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matters under discussion were the questions of whether in babies, children, and young people presenting with a suspected lower respiratory tract infection or suspected community-acquired pneumonia in primary care, what is the most accurate and cost-effective outcome prediction tool to identify under 18s whose outcome will be improved by referral to hospital; and In people with suspected community-acquired pneumonia (presenting to an emergency care setting) what is the most accurate and cost-effective outcome prediction tool with or without the use of biomarkers or oxygen saturation monitoring, to stratify patients at first presentation according to who would be suitable for a particular care pathway. The Chair noted several previously declared interests which will be kept under review.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any new interests. The following new interest was declared:

Name	Job title	Declarations of Interest, date declared	Type of interest	Decision taken
Tessa	Committee	TL provided informal	Non-financial,	Specific
Lewis	Chair	comment to NHSE on	Professional	
		Prescribing incentive	and personal	Declare and
		schemes/QI work		

Ī		which included the use	interest	participate	
		of STARWAVe tool			

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer's team noted that due to a previously declared interest, committee member Alastair Hay was excluded from drafting recommendations for Review Question 1.1 but could remain present to clarify matters of factual accuracy. No other interests would prevent other attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

2, 3. Review Question 1.1: In babies, children, and young people presenting with a suspected lower respiratory tract infection or suspected community-acquired pneumonia in primary care, what is the most accurate and cost-effective outcome prediction tool to identify under 18s whose outcome will be improved by referral to hospital?

HS delivered a summary of the evidence for this review question for the group's consideration. The committee discussed the evidence presented but decided not to draft any recommendations at this stage.

4, 5, 6. Review Question 2.2: In people with suspected community-acquired pneumonia (presenting to an emergency care setting) what is the most accurate and cost-effective outcome prediction tool with or without the use of biomarkers or oxygen saturation monitoring, to stratify patients at first presentation according to who would be suitable for a particular care pathway?

MY delivered a summary of the evidence for this review question for the group's consideration. The committee discussed the evidence presented but decided not to draft any recommendations at this stage.

7. AOB and next steps

There was no other business. DD, Project Manager, outlined the next steps for the committee and gave a brief update on future meetings and timelines. The Chair thanked those present and closed the meeting.

Date of next meeting: GC meeting 10: 27 & 28 November 2024

Location of next meeting: Virtual