1 Appendix D: Evidence tables – Management of treatment interruptions RQ Z

## A.1.1 Sharma, 2010

Bibliographic reference	Sharma SK, Singla R, Sarda P, Mohan A, Makharia G, Jayaswal A, Sreenivas V, Singh S (2010) Safety of 3 different reintroduction regimens of antituberculosis drugs after development of antituberculosis treatment-induced hepatotoxicity. Clinical Infectious Diseases 50(6): 833-9
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Study quality	Study limitations  Appropriate method of randomisation? yes — computer-generated random numbers  Adequate allocation concealment? yes — computer-generated random numbers were kept in sealed opaque envelopes; the envelopes were in the possession of an individual who was not involved in the conduct of study  Participants blinded? unclear  Individuals administering care blinded? unclear  Investigators blinded? unclear  Appropriate length of follow-up? unclear  Precise definition of outcome? yes  Valid and reliable method of outcome measurement? yes  Intent-to-treat principle adhered to? yes
	Inconsistency Groups comparable at baseline? yes Groups received the same care apart from the intervention(s) studied? yes Equal follow-up? unclear Groups equivalent for intervention completion? yes Groups comparable for availability of data? yes  Indirectness Population matches population of interest? yes, although initial antituberculosis regimen not explicitly stated (appeared to include some or all of isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide) Intervention matches intervention of interest? yes Outcomes match the outcomes of interest? yes
Number of patients	Recruited = 237 Exclusions:  • 4 died  • 11 alcoholics

Bibliographic reference	Sharma SK, Singla R, Sarda P, Mohan A, Makharia G, Jayaswal A, Sreenivas V, Singh S (2010) Safety of 3 different reintroduction regimens of antituberculosis drugs after development of antituberculosis treatment-induced hepatotoxicity. Clinical Infectious Diseases 50(6): 833-9					
	<ul><li>5 receiving hepatotoxic drugs</li><li>27 HIV-infected</li></ul>					
	Randomised = 175					
	• sequential reintroduction R→H→Z = 59					
	• sequential reintroduction H→R→Z = 58					
	• simultaneous reintroduction = 58					
Patient characteristics	Inclusion					
	Patients with a diagnosis of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity, as defined by the following criteria:					
	1) an increase ≥5 times the upper limit of the normal levels (50 IU/I) of serum AST and/or ALT on 1 occasion, or >3 times the upper limit of normal (>150 IU/I) on 3 consecutive occasions;					
	2) an increase in serum total bilirubin >1.5 mg/dl;					
	3) any increase in serum AST and or ALT level above pretreatment values together with anorexia, nausea, vomiting, and jaundice;					
	4) absence of serological evidence of infection with hepatitis A, B, C, or E virus; and					
	5) improvement in liver function test results (serum bilirubin level <1 mg/dl; AST and ALT level <100 IU/l) after withdrawal of antituberculosis drugs					
	Drug-induced hepatotoxicity was diagnosed if criteria 1, 2, or 3 were present in combination with criteria 4 and 5 Patients of either sex					
	Patients who were 16-65 years of age					
	Initial antituberculosis regimen: not explicitly stated, but appeared to include some or all of isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide					
	Exclusion					
	Serological evidence of acute viral hepatitis					
	Ultrasonographic evidence of chronic liver disease					
	HIV infection					
	Long-term alcoholism, defined as consumption of >48g of alcohol per day for at least 1 year					
	Concomitant consumption of other potentially hepatotoxic drugs (e.g. methotrexate, phenytoin, valproate, and fluconazole Pregnancy					

Bibliographic reference	reintroduction regimens of antitub hepatotoxicity. Clinical Infectious			velopment (	or antit	uberculosis treatment-induced			
	Baseline characteristics  Arm I Arm II Arm III								
	Parameter	(n = 58)	(n = 59)	(n = 58)	P				
	Age, years	37.36 ± 12.75	33.68 ± 12.73	34.29 ± 13.19	.26				
	Female sex, %	41.38	57.63	55.17	.17				
	History of TB, %	12.07	15.25	6.90	.38				
	History of jaundice, %	5.17	6.78	0	.16				
	BMI	19.55 ± 3.29	19.28 ± 3.01	19.28 ± 3.06	.87				
	MAC, cm	21.93 ± 3.85	$22.12 \pm 3.58$	21.29 ± 2.66	.39				
	Distribution of DIH cases with respect to site of TB, %								
	Pulmonary TB	29.3	22.0	25.9					
	Extrapulmonary TB	56.9	55.9	53.5					
	Miliary/disseminated TB	13.8	22.0	20.7	.96				
	Moderately/far advanced TB on chest radiograph, %	29.3	25.4	27.5	.76				
	Serum bilirubin level, mg/dL	0.65 ± 0.12	0.69 ± 0.16	0.65 ± 0.14	.13				
	Serum protein level, g/dL	7.76 ± 0.60	7.57 ± 0.77	7.43 ± 0.64	.03				
	Serum albumin level, g/dL	4.03 ± 0.66	3.77 ± 0.60	3.75 ± 0.59	.03				
	AST level, IU/L	36.5 ± 10.14	35.6 ± 13.21	36.4 ± 10.78	.90				
	ALT level, IU/L	35.7 ± 12.01	32.4 ± 12.96	36.2 ± 12.73	.21				
	ALP level, IU/L	201.0 ± 103.8	178.1 ± 71.8	170.9 ± 50.3	.11				
	phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate amino	NOTE. Data are mean value (± standard deviation), unless otherwise indicated. Arms I, II, and III are defined in Table 1, ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters; MAC, mid-arm circumference; TB, tuberculosis.							
nterventions	Sequential reintroduction R→H→Z (a	arm II)							
	Treatment with the henatotoxic drugs	(isoniazid rif	amnicin and	d nyrazinami	de) was	s immediately stonned			
		Treatment with the hepatotoxic drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide) was immediately stopped							
	Patients were administered a modified antituberculosis drug regimen consisting of ethambutol, streptomycin and 1 of the								
	flouroquinolones								
	Patients were subsequently followed up at weekly intervals until clinical and biochemical parameters of acute liver injury								
	stabilized (i.e. absence of vomiting a	nd abdominal	pain, both A	ST and ALT	levels ·	<100 IU/l. and serum bilirubin level <			
	stabilized (i.e. absence of vomiting and abdominal pain, both AST and ALT levels <100 IU/I, and serum bilirubin level <1.0 mg/dl)								
	<b>5</b> ,								
	After stabilization of liver functions, drugs were administered in a manner similar to that recommended in the American								
	Thoracic Society guidelines for reintroduction:								
	rifampicin at a maximum dosage from day 1								
	• isoniazid at a maximum dosage from day 8								
	• pyrazinamide at a maximum dosage from day 15								
		~		- 6-11-	<b>.</b>	D. 40 17 05 "			
	Maximum dosage was determined a	ccording to bo	dy weight, a	s follows: H,	5 mg/k	g; R, 10 mg/kg; and ∠, 25 mg/kg			

Bibliographic reference	Sharma SK, Singla R, Sarda P, Mohan A, Makharia G, Jayaswal A, Sreenivas V, Singh S (2010) Safety of 3 different reintroduction regimens of antituberculosis drugs after development of antituberculosis treatment-induced hepatotoxicity. Clinical Infectious Diseases 50(6): 833-9
	Sequential reintroduction H→R→Z (arm III)  Treatment with the hepatotoxic drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide) was immediately stopped  Patients were administered a modified antituberculosis drug regimen consisting of ethambutol, streptomycin and 1 of the flouroquinolones  Patients were subsequently followed up at weekly intervals until clinical and biochemical parameters of acute liver injury stabilized (i.e. absence of vomiting and abdominal pain, both AST and ALT levels <100 IU/I, and serum bilirubin level <1.0 mg/dl)  After stabilization of liver functions, drugs were administered in accordance with British Thoracic Society guidelines:  • isoniazid at a dosage of 100 mg/day from day 1, maximum dosage from day 4  • rifampicin at a dosage of 150 mg/day from day 8, maximum dosage from day 11  • pyrazinamide at a dosage of 500 mg/day from day 15, maximum dosage from day 18  Maximum dosage was determined according to body weight, as follows: H, 5 mg/kg; R, 10 mg/kg; and Z, 25 mg/kg
Comparator	Simultaneous reintroduction (arm I)  Treatment with the hepatotoxic drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide) was immediately stopped  Patients were administered a modified antituberculosis drug regimen consisting of ethambutol, streptomycin and 1 of the flouroquinolones  Patients were subsequently followed up at weekly intervals until clinical and biochemical parameters of acute liver injury stabilized (i.e. absence of vomiting and abdominal pain, both AST and ALT levels <100 IU/I, and serum bilirubin level <1.0 mg/dl)  After stabilization of liver functions, isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide simultaneously at full dosage from day 1 Maximum dosage was determined according to body weight, as follows: H, 5 mg/kg; R, 10 mg/kg; and Z, 25 mg/kg
Length of follow up	
Location	New Delhi and Tirupati, India
Outcomes measures and effect size	<ul> <li>Adverse events – recurrence of hepatitis</li> <li>Number of patients to experience hepatitis during retreatment</li> <li>sequential reintroduction R→H→Z = 6 of 59</li> <li>sequential reintroduction H→R→Z = 5 of 58</li> <li>simultaneous reintroduction = 8 of 58</li> </ul> Sequential vs simultaneous reintroduction <ul> <li>sequential reintroduction = 11 of 117</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference	Sharma SK, Singla R, Sarda P, Mohan A, Makharia G, Jayaswal A, Sreenivas V, Singh S (2010) Safety of 3 different reintroduction regimens of antituberculosis drugs after development of antituberculosis treatment-induced hepatotoxicity. Clinical Infectious Diseases 50(6): 833-9
	• simultaneous reintroduction = 8 of 58
	• OR $(95\% \text{ CI})^1 = 0.65 (0.25 \text{ to } 1.71)$
	Sequential reintroduction R→H→Z vs simultaneous reintroduction
	• sequential reintroduction $R \rightarrow H \rightarrow Z = 6$ of 59
	• simultaneous reintroduction = 4 of 29
	• OR $(95\% \text{ CI})^1 = 0.71 (0.18 \text{ to } 2.73)$
	Sequential reintroduction $H \rightarrow R \rightarrow Z$ vs simultaneous reintroduction
	• sequential reintroduction $H \rightarrow R \rightarrow Z = 5$ of 58
	• simultaneous reintroduction = 4 of 29
	• OR $(95\% \text{ CI})^1 = 0.59 (0.15 \text{ to } 2.39)$
Source of funding	No details given
Comments	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Odds ratio and confidence interval calculated by reviewer

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CI, confidence interval; H, isoniazid; OR, odds ratio; R, rifampicin; Z, pyrazinamide

A.1.2 Tahaoğlu, 2001

Bibliographic reference	Tahaoğlu K, Ataç G, Sevim T, Tärün T, Yazicioğlu O, Horzum G, Gemci I, Ongel A, Kapakli N and Aksoy E (2001) The management of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 5(1): 65-9
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Study quality	Study limitations Appropriate method of randomisation? unclear Adequate allocation concealment? unclear Participants blinded? unclear Individuals administering care blinded? unclear

Bibliographic reference	Tahaoğlu K, Ataç G, Sevim T, Tärün T, Yazicioğlu O, Horzum G, Gemci I, Ongel A, Kapakli N and Aksoy E (2001) The management of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 5(1): 65-9
	Investigators blinded? unclear
	Appropriate length of follow-up? yes
	Precise definition of outcome? yes
	Valid and reliable method of outcome measurement? <i>yes</i>
	Intent-to-treat principle adhered to? yes
	Inconsistency
	Groups comparable at baseline? risk factors for hepatotoxicity (age, sex, alcohol consumption, hepatitis markers, radiological extension of the disease in the lungs, pretreatment serum albumin level, diabetes mellitus, additional hepatotoxic drug use, body weight and body mass index) were compared statistically to ensure that there was no increased susceptibility to hepatotoxicity in either group; however, reintroduction without Z group had more individuals with extensive disease (P = 0.001) and more individuals with hypoalbuminemia (P = 0.053)  Groups received the same care apart from the intervention(s) studied? yes  Equal follow-up? yes  Groups equivalent for intervention completion? yes  Groups comparable for availability of data? yes
	Indirectness
	Population matches population of interest? <i>yes</i>
	Intervention matches intervention of interest? yes
	Outcomes match the outcomes of interest? yes
Number of patients	n = 45
	• sequential reintroduction without Z = 20
	• simultaneous reintroduction of standard regimen = 25
Patient characteristics	Individuals with pulmonary tuberculosis or tuberculous pleurisy who had experienced drug-induced hepatotoxicity whilst receiving antituberculosis chemotherapy
	For the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis at least two positive sputum specimens for acid-fast bacilli by microscopy and/or culture positivity for <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> were required
	Tuberculous pleurisy was diagnosed by detection of caseating granulomas in histopathological examination of tissue specimens taken by parietal pleural needle biopsy

Bibliographic reference					G, Gemci I, Ongel A, Kapakli N and Aksoy E (2001) oxicity. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung
	<ul> <li>treatment was given daily</li> <li>drug dosages: H: 300 mg/day</li> <li>Drug-induced hepatotoxicity wand at least one of the followin</li> <li>a rise to five times the norma</li> <li>a rise in the level of serum to</li> <li>any increase in AST and/or A</li> </ul>	sisting of HR y; R: 600 mg as defined as g criteria: I levels (40 L tal bilirubin c	/day; Z: 1500 s normalisati J/L) of serum over 1.5 mg/o	on of liver on AST and	ed by a continuation phase of 7 months consisting of HR  E: 1500 mg/day; S: 1000 mg/day  functions after withdrawal of all antituberculosis drugs,  //or ALT  ether with anorexia, nausea, vomiting and jaundice
	Baseline characteristics  Risk factors	Group I (n = 20) n (%)	Group II (n = 25) n (%)	P	
	Age >50 Female sex Alcohol use Extensive disease Hypoalbuminemia Diabetes mellitus Low body weight Low BMI Additional hepatotoxic drugs Paracetamol Chloropropamide	7 (35) 3 (15) 3 (15) 9 (45) 13 (65) 2 (1) 11 (55) 7 (35) 2 (1) 1	6 (24) 11 (44) 1 (4) 0 9 (36) 1 (4) 13 (52) 8 (32) 3 (12) 2	0.418 0.036 0.223 0.001 0.053 0.415 0.841 0.832 0.608	
	All patients were HIV-negative				
Intervention	Sequential reintroduction without When drug-induced hepatotoxi After hepatotoxicity-related synantituberculosis treatment was day 1, S 1000 mg/day and E	city was dete nptoms had reintroduced	disappeared d as follows:		osis drugs were withdrawn ratory findings had returned to normal levels,

Bibliographic reference	Tahaoğlu K, Ataç G, Sevim T, Tärün T, Yazicioğlu O, Horzum G, Gemci I, Ongel A, Kapakli N and Aksoy E (2001) The management of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 5(1): 65-9
	• day 3, S 1000 mg/day, E 1500 mg/day and H 100 mg/day
	<ul> <li>day 6, S 1000 mg/day, E 1500 mg/ day and H 200 mg/day</li> <li>day 9, S 1000 mg/day, E 1500 mg/day and H 300 mg/day</li> </ul>
	• day 12: S 1000 mg/day, E 1500 mg/day, H 300 mg/day and R 150 mg/day; day 15, S 1000 mg/day, E 1500 mg/day, H 300 mg/day and R 300 mg/day
	• day 18, S 1000 mg/day, E 1500 mg/day, H 300 mg/day and R 450 mg/day
	All of the patients were hospitalised for at least the first 2 months for retreatment
Comparison	Simultaneous reintroduction of standard regimen
	When drug-induced hepatotoxicity was detected, all antituberculosis drugs were withdrawn
	After hepatotoxicity-related symptoms had disappeared and laboratory findings had returned to normal levels, antituberculosis treatment was reintroduced with the same drug regimen as previously: H 300 mg/day, R 600 mg/day, Z 1500 mg/day and E 1500 mg/day, with no change
	All of the patients were hospitalised for at least the first 2 months for retreatment
Length of follow up	For the duration of retreatment
Location	Istanbul, Turkey
Outcomes measures and effect	Adverse events – recurrence of hepatitis
size	Number of patients to experience hepatitis during retreatment
	• sequential reintroduction without Z = 0 of 20
	• simultaneous reintroduction of standard regimen = 6 of 25
	• OR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup> = 0.07 (0.00 to 1.39)
	Cure  Number of patients to be cured, defined as a sputum smear-positive patient who is smear-negative at completion of treatment
	• sequential reintroduction without Z = 20 of 20
	• simultaneous reintroduction of standard regimen = 20 of 25
	• OR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup> = 1.24 (0.02 to 65.4)
Source of funding	No details given
Comments	
<sup>1</sup> Odds ratio and confidence inter	rval calculated by reviewer

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CI, confidence interval; E, ethambutol; H, isoniazid; OR, odds ratio; R,

	Tahaoğlu K, Ataç G, Sevim T, Tärün T, Yazicioğlu O, Horzum G, Gemci I, Ongel A, Kapakli N and Aksoy E (2001) The management of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung
Bibliographic reference	Disease 5(1): 65-9

rifampicin; S, streptomycin; Z, pyrazinamide