

1.0.7 DOC EIA

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

NICE guidelines

Equality impact assessment

Haematological cancers: improving outcomes

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

1.0 Scope: before consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the draft scope for consultation)

1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the draft scope, before consultation, and, if so, what are they?

No equalities issues were identified during development of the draft scope.

Consideration will be given to all age groups that are specified in the scope, and the NCC-C will ensure that the issues of co-morbidities and frailty are appropriately addressed.

1.2 What is the preliminary view on the extent to which these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? For example, if population groups, treatments or settings are excluded from the scope, are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?

The staffing and facilities (levels of care) needed to treat haematological cancers in children (under 16 years) is excluded from the scope.

The staffing and facilities (levels of care) needed to treat haematological cancers in children is already covered by the NICE Improving outcomes guidance in children and young people with cancer (2005).

1.0.7 DOC EIA

However, children (under 16) will be considered within the diagnostic pathway.

Completed by Developer

National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

Date 01.04.2015

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead Sarah Willett

Date 08.04.2015

2.0 Scope: after consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the final scope)

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during consultation, and, if so, what are they?

No equalities issues were identified during consultation on the draft scope.

2.2 Have any changes to the scope been made as a result of consultation to highlight potential equality issues?

No.

1.0.7 DOC EIA

2.3 Is the primary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disability-related communication need?

If so, is an alternative version of the 'Information for the Public' document recommended?

If so, which alternative version is recommended?

The alternative versions available are:

- large font or audio versions for a population with sight loss;
- British Sign Language videos for a population who are deaf from birth;
- 'Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive impairment.

Not applicable.

Updated by Developer National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

Date 11.05.15

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead Sarah Willett

Date 18.05.15

1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.0 Guideline development: before consultation (to be completed by the developer before draft guideline consultation)

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

3.2 Have any **other** potential equality issues (in addition to those identified during the scoping process) been identified, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

3.3 Were the Committee's considerations of equality issues described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?

3.4 Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.5 Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

3.6 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

Completed by Developer _____

Date _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____

Date _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA

4.0 Final guideline (to be completed by the Developer before GE consideration of final guideline)

4.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

4.2 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?

1.0.7 DOC EIA

4.5 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final guideline document, and, if so, where?

Updated by Developer _____

Date _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____

Date _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA

5.0 After Guidance Executive amendments – if applicable (To be completed by appropriate NICE staff member after Guidance Executive)

5.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:

--

Approved by Developer _____

Date _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____

Date _____