Appendix B Evidence tables

Critical appraisal and findings tables

Please note that the tables set out below have been group by review area, reflecting the way evidence was presented to and considered by the guideline committee.

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 1 of 434

Critical appraisal table

Table reporting impact study

Review Question 4: How do different approaches to assessment, care planning and support (including joint working) affect the process of admission to inpatient mental health settings from community or care home settings?

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • RCT	Follow-up • Yes	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-	For our purposes, it is difficult to separate the	Internal validity • +
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes. Suitable patients were identified on the acute medical admission unit and were randomly allocated between the specialist unit and standard care. Randomised patients were subsequently approached to take part in the study. This	 Dropout numbers Intervention dropouts MMHU: 199 of 310 were followed up (rest declined) Comparison dropouts Standard care – 199 of 290 patients agreed to be followed up, rest declined. Groups comparable on intervention completion? N/A Missing outcome data Intervention missing outcome data Comparison missing outcome data Groups comparable on available data? Yes 	 up? Yes. Given the primary outcome measure was only 90 days Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? No Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? Unclear Detection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of detection bias effect. 	effect of the whole episode from the admissions aspect. The intervention – the specialist ward offering physical and mental health support – is not well described, although we are told it featured staff with experience and understanding of dementia, so difficult to generalise results. Method of allocation generated some mismatch in samples at baseline. The method of allocation (as the authors note) could have introduced bias. It was not possible to blind investigators as the patients were on different wards. The control arm (TAU) could be a general or a	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
while enabling enough time for patients to be recruited ethically.	bias Likely direction of attrition bias effect	Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	design column, left).	the inpatient care – and we have very little detail of how the specialist unit
Adequate concealment of allocation? • Unclear	• Unclear			functioned or what it offered.
Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes				
Groups were generally well matched, but there was some mismatch at baseline in certain key variables (previous residence in care home 28% vs 21%, presence of delirium 53% vs 62%, history of hip fracture 14% v 7%, or hemiparesis 4% v 10%).				
Selection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of 				
Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk				

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
• Unclear				
Did both groups receive equal treatment (apart from the intervention)? • No				
The median length of the index stay was 11 days (interquartile range 5–22) in each group. Of the participants randomised to standard care, 204/290 (70%) were managed on geriatric medical wards and 86 (30%) on general medica wards. There were significant (p<0.05) differences between the specialist unit and standard care on 42/132 intervention process items, including more comprehensive assessment of mental state, function, collateral history, statement of a clear medical diagnosis, drug review, rehabilitation therapy, discussion with family	1			

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
carers, and referral to community rehabilitation and mental health services.				
Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention was allocated? • No. As they were on different wards – 1 a specialist ward for people with dementia or delirium – not possible that staff were blind to allocation. Were individuals who				
administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • Unclear				
Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias The authors note that the main weakness was the compromise in trial design that could have introduced				

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
bias. Recruiting participants				
after randomisation led to				
mismatches at baseline for				
some 'prognostically				
important variables', which				
were adjusted for in the				
statistical analyses. This				
esulted in differences				
between unadjusted and				
adjusted estimates of the				
ntervention effect and the				
possibility of residual				
confounding.				

Findings table Table reporting impact study

Review Question 4: How do different approaches to assessment, care planning and support (including joint working) affect the process of admission to inpatient mental health settings from community or care home settings?

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes (quantitative)	Internal validity
• RCT	 Older people 	Quality of life	• +
 Relevance to RQ RQ 4) ADMISSION. RQ 7) DEMENTIA. See link with RQ on admissions and effect size info. Study aim Some specialist delirium units or combined medical and mental health units have been established but there is a lack of robust evaluations. The authors developed a specialist medical and mental health unit for older people with suspected dementia or delirium as a model of best practice and evaluated it in an RCT. Their hypothesis was that the unit would improve outcomes, 	 People with dementia Carers/family members of people with MH conditions Sample characteristics Sample age Over 65, median age 85 Level of need/diagnosis Participants identified by physicians in the admissions unit as being "confused". This term used as there is significant overlap between delirium and dementia in this population, presentation to emergency care is usually with undifferentiated confusion as opposed to a specific diagnosis' (p2). Sample size Comparison numbers 290 standard medical ward. 	 Primary outcome: number of days spent at home over the 90 days after randomisation. Satisfaction Secondary outcomes: structured non-participant observations to ascertain patients' experiences; satisfaction of family carers with hospital care. Service outcomes Number of days spent at home (reflects fewer days in hospital). Findings – narrative RQ1 Service user views 'Patients randomised to the specialist unit had a significantly higher quality of hospital experience. They were more often in a positive mood or engaged (median 79% v 68%, equivalent to an additional 40 minutes per six hour observation), active (82% v 74%), or engaged in social interactions (47% v 39%) and less often in a negative mood (11% v 20%). They experienced more staff interactions that met psychological and emotional needs ("personal enhancers"). Noise levels were lower on the specialist unit, but disruptive vocalisation was more common' (p4). 	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes To some extent, but we are only interested in ADMISSIONS, and the effect of the inpatient stay is really the key intervention (not
Source of funding	Intervention	Family carers of patients randomised to the	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
• Government NIHR. Country • UK	 List interventions of interest specialist medical and mental health unit (MMHU) compared with standard care 	 specialist unit were significantly more satisfied with overall care, nutrition, dignity and respect, the needs of confused patients being met, and discharge arrangements. Most carers were very or mostly satisfied, but there was a tail of severe dissatisfaction in both groups, which was about twice as frequent in standard care' (p4). RQ4 Admissions Reflected in other narrative/effect findings. 	with the inpatient care – and we have very little detail of how the

Critical appraisal tables Table reporting views studies

Review Question 4: How do different approaches to assessment, care planning and support (including joint working) affect the process of admission to inpatient mental health settings from community or care home settings?

Bindman J, Reid Y, Szmukler G, et al. (2005) Perceived coercion at admission to psychiatric hospital and engagement with follow-up. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 40: 160–6

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Cross-sectional study Objectives of study clearly stated? • Yes Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes. As we are interested in service users' perceptions and experience of admission. Clearly specified and appropriate research design? • Yes Subjects recruited in acceptable way? • Unclear. Had a very high response rate (important to validity of findings) of 80%, but this could itself be a dependent on a feeling of coercion! Researchers did make it clear that they were independent of treatment teams (but it could be that perceived coercion is	Measurements and outcomes clear? • Yes Measurements valid? • Yes Setting for data collection justified? • Yes On ward, needed to be soon after admission. All important outcomes and results considered? • Yes	Tables/graphs adequately labelled and understandable? • Yes Appropriate choice and use of statistical methods? • Yes In-depth description of the analysis process? • Yes Are sufficient data presented to support the findings? • Yes Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on the subject and study objectives? • Partly Comments on practice (team clearly are clinicians) are especially valuable and support findings. Results can be generalised? • Yes Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	Sample is a little small and limited to 1 site.	Internal validity • + Sample is a little small and limited to 1 site. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Those admitted to hospital from community. Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Bindman J, Reid Y, Szmukle Psychiatry and Psychiatric E		eived coercion at admission to psy	chiatric hospital and engage	gement with follow-up. Social
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
understated).				
Sample representative of defined population? • Yes. All admissions were approached				

Campbell J (2008) Stakeholders' views of legal and advice services for people admitted to psychiatric hospital. The Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law 30: 219–32

	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Limitations	Overall assessment
• Mixed methods Are the sources of qualitative data (archives, documents, informants, observations) relevant to address the research question?	relevant to address the quantitative research question (quantitative aspect of the mixed- methods question)? • No – no info on survey responses or letters, or how	research questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed-methods question? • No	response rates to the survey of legal practitioners (30 of 300 contacted) and to its request by letter to hospital managers (asking for details of information given to detained patients).	to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest?
Is the process for analysing qualitative data relevant to address the research question? • Unclear. Not described	Is the sample representative of the population under study? • Unclear Unclear for all aspects of the	Not really mix of methods but there is triangulation. Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results) relevant to address the research question? • Partly	Could have been assessed as qualitative data, but would have done poorly there also due to lack of methodological detail. The study was conducted in	• Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant?

Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Limitations	Overall assessment
consideration given to how findings relate to the context, such as the setting, in which the data	Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)?	There does seem to be broad agreement from the different data sources, but methods are poorly reported.	different to that of England.	• Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +
were collected?Partly	• N/A Is there an acceptable	Is appropriate consideration given to the limitations		Unclear if this relates to England of 2015 but
Probably for necessary brevity, there is little explanation of how people were recruited to focus groups, etc.	response rate (60% or above)? • No	associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)? • No		the issues raised, especially lack of information and suppor to appeal to MHRT, may well do so.
Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; e.g., though their interactions with participants? • Unclear				
Not known (e.g. where and when inpatients' trajectories the focus groups took place)				

Chinn D, Hall I, Ali A, et al. (2011) Psychiatric in-patients away from home: accounts by people with intellectual disabilities in specialist hospitals outside their home localities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 50–60

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible But the scope is not well described: i.e. with the small sample, we have no idea how many specialist units they were living in. Is the context clearly described? • Unclear. Not clear how many specialist units the 17 participants lived in (as a broad guide to representativeness). Study approved by ethics committee? • No. It was decided to follow good ethical approaches (e.g. recruitment and consent is described) but not submit to an REC as considered a user consultation to support service development. How clear and coherent	unclear if their experience was different). Difficult to see how, as this was intended as a whole population funded as OAPs in these Boroughs, how it could have been improved. How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Were the methods reliable? • Somewhat reliable. It is not very clear what the content of the semi- structured interview outline was. The themes identified in the findings may not fully reflect the	Are the data 'rich'? • Mixed Is the analysis reliable? • Somewhat reliable Thematic, recorded interviews undertaken by 5 people using coding programme. Are the findings convincing? • Somewhat convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate. On the basis of limited information.	Small sample, but people with intellectual disability are more likely than those without to be placed in specialist out of area units. Content of semi-structured interview (prompts) is not clear.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant Small sample, but important group to consider.

Chinn D, Hall I, Ali A, et al. (2011) Psychiatric in-patients away from home: accounts by people with intellectual disabilities in specialist hospitals outside their home localities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 50–60

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	ethics? • Not stated	Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Not described			

-		1999) Mental health care for Asian, t after-care. Social Psychiatry and Psyc	•	
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Cross-sectional study Objectives of study	Measurements and outcomes clear? • Yes	Tables/graphs adequately labelled and understandable? • Yes	Validity of scales for different ethnic populations may be an	Internal validity • + As far as it goes!
clearly stated? • Yes	admission: within 1 week.	Appropriate choice and use of statistical methods?		Is the setting similar to the UK?
Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes		 Yes. Basic, SPSS count, small numbers. In-depth description of the 	(16 years since publication). There are therefore	• Yes But not the UK of 2016.
Clearly specified and	Behaviour Scale at	analysis process?	issues around generalisation – not least	Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes
 Partly 		Are sufficient data presented to	as the data relates to 1995–6. For example, most of the Asian sample	Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ?
Subjects recruited in acceptable way? • Yes	Measurements valid?	support the findings?PartlySamples are relatively small for	was not born in UK – this will have changed.	• Yes Are the outcomes relevant?

		1999) Mental health care for Asian, b fter-care. Social Psychiatry and Psyc		
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
and discharges of people who met criteria for inclusion. Sample representative of defined population? • Unclear But Birmingham is an ethnically diverse and relatively deprived population, and the sample appears to reflect that.	for different ethnic populations (some of whom did not speak English but were interviewed by bilingual researchers). Some of the measures, such as the Social Behaviour Scale, report higher levels of	Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on the subject and study objectives? • Partly This is a local study, and its findings are not inconsistent with those of others	Although the data is presented as integrated, it was supplemented by case notes (unclear whether patients' accounts took precedence over casenotes), and the SBS was completed by a clinician.	 Unclear Dated. Also (inevitably) determined by the measures used. External validity - Unclear, because the data is so old, and the samples small. It is to be hoped that services have improved, e.g. in supporting Black and Asian service users.

Commander M, Cochrane R, Sashidharan S, et al. (1999) Mental health care for Asian, black and white patients with non-affective psychoses: pathways to the psychiatric hospital, in-patient and after-care. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 34: 484–91

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	• Partly			
	For the scope of the paper, yes, although the admission measures are dominated by structured 'practitioner' driven measures of pathology, while the CAN used at discharge is much more focused on the SUs' views of the support received and thus possibly more informative for our purposes.			

Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: service user, carer and provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible SERVICE USERS The nature of the inquiry sought detail	carried out in an appropriate way? • Appropriate Participants were recruited from 2 areas in the UK (1 a large county, the other an inner-city	 Rich Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Initially, data from interviews with 	conducted but sample was small. Only 7 service providers agreed to take part in focus groups.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	-	participants were	levels of agreement		
	so individual interviews	identified via providers in	were found. Results		
	were chosen as the	intellectual disability	were analysed on a		
	most appropriate	services. Sample of 26	case by case basis, as		
	method for this part of	service users admitted to	opposed to comparing		
	the study.	mainstream inpatient	results across different		
	SERVICE	mental health services for	groups of participants,		
	PROVIDERS	duration of at least 1	which means that each		
	Focus groups with		case is about 1 person's		
	providers were	2 years were identified.	admission experience,		
	employed to enable in-	Overall, 42% (n=11) of	often from the viewpoint		
	depth discussion of	service users who fitted	of several people.		
	their experiences and		Focus group data were		
	views.	part or chose for their	analysed separately		
		carer to take part. Only 2	with the purpose of		
	Is the context clearly	service users declined	identifying common		
	described?	completely. Nine (35%)	themes among service		
	• Clear	were judged too unstable	provider experiences		
	Participants (users,		and views. Both		
	carers and providers)	could not be recruited	interviews and focus		
	were recruited from 2	within the study's	groups were recorded		
	areas in the UK – 1 a	timescale. Total of 22 out	and transcribed		
	large county including	of 26 (i.e. 85%)	verbatim and analysed		
		responded to invitation to	thematically in		
	the other an inner-city	take part.	accordance with the		
	area. Both used	How well was the data	principles and		
	mainstream, and 1	collection carried out?	processes of		
	occasionally specialist,	 Appropriately. After 	interpretative		
	mental health services.		phenomenological		

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	Seven service providers took part in focus groups; all of	the option to be interviewed on their own or accompanied by a relative or nurse who was familiar to them. Where service users wanted to be interviewed on their own, they were asked for permission to interview	were discussed stage by stage by all the 3 researchers and grouped according to themes. These themes were repeatedly reworked to make sure that they reflected the wording and meanings drawn from the raw data. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate		

D M..... 0 K (2010) Mai ما امه ᆔᆂᇉ المنصا مان a h : | : + : Р 41.0 ٤, л. ما م

	, Scior K (2010) Mainstrear s. Journal of Applied Rese			llectual disabilities:	service user, carer and
Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
		lists. Semi-structured interview schedules were designed and topics were divided into 3 broad sections covering events leading up to the admission, the admission itself and reflections on the experience after discharge. Open-ended questions were used in interviews and designed to allow for a general level of consistency in topics covered across all the interviews. The focus group guide asked service providers about their experiences of local mainstream admissions, factors that help and hinder their practice, and the impact of joint service agreements on service provision. Were the methods reliable? • Reliable. Service users			

Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: service user, carer and provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
		were given various options to participate in interviews, either independently, or accompanied by a carers or trusted professional. Interview methods seemed appropriate and allowed them to express their views and experiences quite openly. Interviews were bolstered by the findings from focus group discussions with providers. Where users did not want to, they asked for carers to stand			
		in as proxy in interviews. Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Not described			

Farrelly S, Brown G, Rose D, et al. (2014) What service users with psychotic disorders want in a mental health crisis or relapse: thematic analysis of joint crisis plans. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1609–17

		at service users with psych and Psychiatric Epidemiol		nental health crisis or re	elapse: thematic
Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Especially as part of RCT (where baseline characteristics of groups would be comparable). Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes How clear and coherent is the	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Appropriate via RCT How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Via JCP participants. Were the methods reliable? • Reliable Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described This is not an encounter with researchers as much as between service users, JCP facilitator and care staff.	Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable To ensure representativeness of the sample, statistical comparisons made between those who completed JCP and those who did not (this was part of a larger RCT), including those who were randomised to JCP but did not complete one (22%).	'tested' for use in actual admissions (though it may be in the RCT to be reviewed subsequently for RQ6).	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant Treatment options/choices are reported, but they are out of scope, and the main choice of SUs in their JCPs is not to be admitted.

/hat service users with psychotic disorders want in a mental health crisis or relapse: the ry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1609–17	ematic
developed. The framework was QA'ed by a third author, and independent dual analyses of 20% were carried out and discrepancies discussed. The final higher level categorisation has used	
service user quotes. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Convincing for this	
group, which represents a reasonably large sample across 4 localities, but within the sample, there is considerable variation (as would be expected of individuals).	
Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	

Hooff S van den, Goossensen A (2014) How to increase quality of care during coercive admission? A review of literature. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences 28: 425–34

Research aims	Study design/theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Study aim • To explore the literature on patients' and professionals' perspectives on involuntary admission The main question of this review is: 'Which quality themes are reported by patients and professionals during involuntary admission?' (p426). Country • Range of countries England, Ireland, New Zealand, Austria, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, US.	 addresses the RQ in part. Inclusion of relevant individual studies? Yes. All studies had to have the patient or health care professional's supervise of second patient. 	Adequate description of methodology? • Partly adequate Both authors identified and explored the emerging themes together until consensus was reached. Do conclusions match findings? • Partly	Although this has been included and assessed as a systematic review of qualitative literature, it is not clear that the 22 studies were assessed for quality, so it may be only a literature review. Only 2 papers were from England.	Overall assessment of internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Partly The review contained 2 papers from England. Other papers were from Ireland, Australia and Sweden which have similar healthcare systems to the UK. A clear focus on transition between inpatient MH setting and community or care home setting? • Yes Are the population groups relevant? • Yes Relevant to social care outcomes? • Unclear Does the review have a UK perspective?

Hooff S van den, Goossensen A (2014) How to increase quality of care during coercive admission? A review of literature. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences 28: 425–34

Research aims	Study design/theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	• Unclear			Unclear
	The 22 included articles are analysed and broken down into components but the authors do not mention quality assessment.			Overall assessment of external validity • +

Hunt I, Bickley H, Windfuhr K, et al. (2013) Suicide in recently admitted psychiatric in-patients: a case-control study. Journal of Affective Disorders 144: 123–8

Study design	Theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology Case-control study 	 Adequately addressed 	Statistical analysis • Cl provided	It is a stated limitation of the study that	Overall assessment of internal validity
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	group?	See effect sizes for Cis. Do conclusions match findings?	retrospective data collection from clinicians could be	• ++ Limitations include matching only on date,
Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Not directly relevant to admission itself, but clearly of great importance in that it appears to illustrate a poor and remediable understanding of risk of suicide at admission – and 'admission' may be understand to include the first	cases. • Controls Data was available on 107 of the	• Yes	flawed because they knew of the subsequent outcome of suicide.	clinicians not being blind to suicide, and exact timing of admission and death not known. Also, open verdicts assumed to be suicides.
	controls (89%). Comparison of participants? • Well covered Cases clearly defined? • Well covered Distinguishing of cases from controls?			Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes England. Is there a clear focus on population of interest?

Hunt I, Bickley H, Windfuhr K, et al. (2013) Suicide in recently admitted psychiatric in-patients: a case-control study. Journal of Affective Disorders 144: 123–8

Study design	Theoretical approach	approach Analysis & reporting		Overall assessment
3 days of arrival on ward.	Well covered			• Yes
Question appropriate and focused? • Adequately addressed	Measures to prevent knowledge of primary exposure?			Is the intervention in scope? • Yes
Comparable populations? • Adequately addressed. Matching of controls on date alone may not be very	• Adequately addressed It is a stated limitation of the study that retrospective data collection from clinicians could be flawed because they knew of the subsequent outcome of suicide.			Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • + See limitations to internal
	Exposure statusAdequately addressed			validity.
	Confounding factorsAdequately addressed			

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Study design (qualitative)	, , ,	Mixed methods component	Limitations	Overall assessment
				Internal validity
		5	small at 1-month	• ++
	quantitative research question		follow-up for	Is the setting similar to
qualitative data (archives,	(quantitative aspect of the	and quantitative research	convincing statistical	the UK?

documents, informants, observations) relevant to address the research question? • Yes Is the process for analysing qualitative data relevant to address the RQ? • Yes Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to the context, such as the setting, in which the data were collected? • Unclear Nine acute wards in 2 hospitals in East London – no more information given about the context or particulars of the hospitals.	 Partly Sample size of 58 people at 1- month follow-up has limited statistical power to detect significant associations. Is the sample representative of the population under study? Yes. 'All eligible patients and study participants were compared at baseline on age, gender and diagnosis to assess the representativeness of the participating sample' (p276). Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard 	or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed-methods question? • Partly Is the integration of	convincing.	Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • N/A Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • ++
Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; e.g., though their interactions with participants? • Partly Researchers are described	Is there an acceptable response rate (60% or above)? • Yes Eight out of 91 people who felt coerced did not complete baseline measures. A further 25 (out of 83 who completed baseline interview) (30%) were lost to follow-up at 1 month.			

as being 'independent from		
the patients' care' (p276) –		
no more information given.		

-	Katsakou C, Rose D, Amos T, et al. (2012) Psychiatric patients' views on why their involuntary hospitalisation was right or wrong: a qualitative study. Social Psychiatry And Psychiatric Epidemiology 47: 1169–79							
Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment			
Is the study clear	is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Is the context clearly described? • Not sure. A little unclear how the	Appropriate to get a diverse stratified sample – not necessarily representative. How well was the data collection	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Grounded theory, thematic analysis, inter-rater reliability assessed, etc. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	about how the sample was recruited and data collected.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Highly relevant			

Manktelow R, Hughes, P, Britton F, et al. (2002) The experience and practice of approved social workers in Northern Ireland. British Journal of Social Work 32: 44–61

		1		
Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Limitations	Overall assessment
Study design (qualitative) Methodology • Mixed methods Are the sources of qualitative data (archives, documents, informants, observations) relevant to address the research question? • Yes Is the process for analysing qualitative data relevant to address the research question? • Yes Is appropriate	Study design (quantitative) Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the quantitative research question (quantitative aspect of the mixed-methods question)? • Yes Is the sample representative of the population under study? • Yes. Survey was of all ASWs, 84% responded. Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)? • Yes.	Is the mixed-methods research design relevant to address the qualitative and quantitative research questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed-methods question? • Yes Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results) relevant to address the RQ? • Yes. Strong coincidence in	today, ASWs have been replaced by AMHPs and relatives did not have the powers they had in NI.	Overall assessment Internal validity • ++ Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Age and difference in legislation and process are problematic. Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes
consideration given to how findings relate to the context, such as the setting, in which the data were collected? • Yes Focus groups were arranged through community groups. Is appropriate	Factual questions, piloted before use. Is there an acceptable response rate (60% or above)? • Yes 84%.	findings. Is appropriate consideration given to the limitations associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)?		relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • + The major limitation to external validity and generalisability is the age of the study, and the fact that

consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; for example, though their interactions with participants? • Unclear	• Yes	it is governed by different NI procedures. In UK today, ASWs have been replaced by AMHPs and relatives did not use the powers they had in NI.
---	-------	--

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Specially designed, 17-item, non- standardised interview schedule. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear. The main areas explored in the interview were service users' views about why they had been admitted, perceptions about their current problems, what they thought they would gain from the admission and how	defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Is the context clearly described? • Clear. The study setting was all 4 acute admission wards in 1 mental health foundation trust in the West Midlands over 8 months in 2009. All those admitted during that period had spent at least 2 weeks on the	carried out in an appropriate way? • Appropriate The 44 participants were 18 men and 26 women, ranging in age from 18 to 71 years (mean age 41 years). This was broadly in keeping with the gender distribution on admission wards in which the study was carried out in the previous 5 years (58%	Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	clear whether the question designed for	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Highly relevant

Nolan P, Bradley E, Brimblecombe N (2011) Service users' beliefs about acute in-patient admission. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice 6: 142–9

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
the admission would help them in the future.	staff considered were eligible to be interviewed were invited to participate and 44 accepted (response rate of 49%). Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes Ethical permission to undertake the study was granted and all stages of the study were conducted in accordance with ethical governance. How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Clear	How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately. A pilot study was undertaken with 6 service users. This helped improve the design (e.g. fewer questions, and better sequencing, sensitive prompts) to elicit richer responses. Careful reading and re-reading of the transcripts led to the identification of 3 categories of participant. All interviews were audio- taped and transcribed verbatim by the first author. Were the methods reliable? • Reliable Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Unclear			

	iospital. Journal Of Mental Health 12: 119–30						
Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment		
Methodology • Qualitative study Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Is the context clearly described? • Clear Good range of teams in local authorities (both hospital and community-based) which were selected on account of their vastly different sectioning rates. Interpersonal contact between researcher and the patients and professionals involved in the assessments kept to a minimum. Study approved by ethics committee? • Not stated	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Somewhat appropriate How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Were the methods reliable? • Somewhat reliable Data only collected by 1 method. Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described Researcher adopted role of 'complete observer' while conducting fieldwork.	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich Is the analysis reliable? • Somewhat reliable However, principle researcher fed back observations to the multidisciplinary team throughout process to create hypotheses. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	relevance to present practice. The ASW role no longer exists in this capacity.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant The paper was published in 2003 which largely reduces its relevance.		

Quirk A. Lelliott P. Audini B. et al. (2003) Non-clinical and extra-legal influences on decisions about compulsory admission to psychiatric

Quirk A, Lelliott P, Audini B, et al. (2003) Non-clinical and extra-legal influences on decisions about compulsory admission to psychiatric hospital. Journal Of Mental Health 12: 119–30						
Study design	n Qualitative methods Data collection Analysis & reporting Limitations Overall assessm					
	How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated					

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
		Was the sampling carried out in an	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich		As far as can be ascertained from the
what it seeks to do?	design/methodology?	appropriate way?Somewhatappropriate	• Reliable. The whole team (8 peer researchers and 5	response rate was	the study conducted? • +
evaluate the implementation of the MHCT Act by exploring the experiences and perceptions of those who are subjected to it as well as professionals and advocates working with it. A previous	understanding of compulsion under the Act from service users' and professionals' perspectives interviews were felt to be the best design. Qualitative approach allows flexibility and is more likely to capture the variation in views	second mailing followed in order to	professional researchers, 1 of whom had mental health service experience) read through the transcripts to determine key topics and to collectively create a coding framework. Then 2 researchers coded all transcripts before the whole team reconvened to look at the results. Are the findings convincing?		Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • A bit relevant

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
2010) explores the carers' viewpoints.	huge variation. Is the context clearly described? • Clear Interviews took place in a time and location that suited the participant. A peer reviewer was present at all interviews who explained that they had experience as a mental health service user.	address the 10 key points of the Act. Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described	• Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate		

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	described? • Clear Study approved by	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Appropriate Given the intention to compare generic and specialist units. How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Were the methods reliable? • Reliable Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Not described	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich Not a lot is presented in terms of quotes, but there are also summary tables. Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	small sample across a large population (10 inpatient units), but appears to convey well some important general principles.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + It is a relatively small sample across a large population (10 inpatien units), but appears to convey well some important general principles. Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant The transition elements are intertwined with the entire inpatient experience.

Scior K, Longo S (2005) In-patient psychiatric care: what we can learn from people with learning disabilities and their carers. Learning Disability Review 10: 22–33

Sheehan K, Burns T (2011) Perceived coercion and the therapeutic relationship: a neglected association? Psychiatric Services 62: 471–6						
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment		
Methodology	Measurements and outcomes	Tables/graphs adequately labelled	There is no clarity	Internal validity		
 Cross-sectional study 	clear?	and understandable?	around precisely who	• +		
Objectives of study	• Yes	• Yes	the therapeutic	Is the setting similar		
clearly stated?	Measurements valid?	Appropriate choice and use of	relationship assessed	to the UK?		

Sheehan K, Burns T (2011) Perceived coercion and the therapeutic relationship: a neglected association? Psychiatric Services 62: 471–6					
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment	
• Partly. One of the study	require a patient's true or false response to statements about being admitted to the hospital, including perceived coercion, negative pressure and procedural justice. The Helping Alliance Scale is a measure of therapeutic relationship (adapted for hospital ward) examining whether and how	In-depth description of the analysis process? • Yes Are sufficient data presented to support the findings? • Yes Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on the subject and study objectives? • Yes Results can be generalised? • Partly See reservations re. representativeness of sample. Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	Alliance Scale) is adapted for use on the ward, the implication is that it is with hospital staff (rather than the person organising admission). The study took place in 1 setting, though on 5 acute wards.	Oxford, UK. Is there a clear focus on mental health	

Sheehan K, Burns T (2011) Perceived coercion and the therapeutic relationship: a neglected association? Psychiatric Services 62: 471–6					
Study design Data collection Analysis & reporting Limitations Overall assessment					
	• Yes				
	In relation to the aims of study.				

Smith V, Chouliara Z, Morris P, et al. (2014) The experience of specialist inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study from adult patients' perspectives. Journal of Health Psychology doi:10.1177/1359105313520336					
Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
 Qualitative study Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear 		 Appropriate. But from a single specialist inpatient unit. How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately Private, recorded interviews with fully informed and consented subjects. All recruits, however, came from a 	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Inductive, thematic analysis, with plentiful use of respondent validation. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	The reported data is not strictly limited to admissions, but the importance of transition for this population has meant it is worth including (and in findings for discharge question).	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant The issues on transitions are particularly striking for this group (as they might be, e.g., with substance misuse or other addictive behaviours), and although they 'merge' with treatment, it has seemed worthwhile including the study.

Smith V, Chouliara Z, Morris P, et al. (2014) The experience of specialist inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study from adult patients' perspectives. Journal of Health Psychology doi:10.1177/1359105313520336					
Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
		a group of 4 women who were like, but were not, study participants. A reflexive approach to data			

collection was taken, with the CI keeping a diary. Respondent validation of data analysis was carried out with all interviews.

• Clearly described. Yes, potential overlap of clinical

Is the role of the researcher clearly

and research staff discussed and avoided.

described?

Valenti E, Giacco D, Katasakou C, et al. (2014) Which values are important for patients during involuntary treatment? A qualitative study with	
psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Medical Ethics 40: 832–6	

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology	How	Was the sampling	Are the data 'rich'?	Staff may have had a	As far as can be
 Qualitative study 	defensible/rigorous	carried out in an	• Rich	different perspective,	ascertained from the
Is the study clear in	is the research	appropriate way?	Is the analysis reliable?	but this is implied and	paper, how well was
what it seeks to do?	design/methodology?		Reliable	not demonstrated. It is	the study conducted?
	 Defensible 	As secondary data	All interviews were audio-	difficult to distinguish	• ++

Study design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Clear	described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Clear Patient perspective is	not quite clear how the sample was identified. How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Were the methods reliable? • Reliable	selective coding was used and 2 researchers	whole hospital episode.	Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Highly relevant

Findings tables Table reporting views studies

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 41 of 434

Review Question 4: How do different approaches to assessment, care planning and support (including joint working) affect the process of admission to inpatient mental health settings from community or care home settings?

Bindman J, Reid Y, Szmukler G, et al. (2005) Perceived coercion at admission to psychiatric hospital and engagement with follow-up. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 40: 160–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Cross-sectional study Interviews with 100 of 118 people consecutively admitted to psychiatric ward – at admission (77% within 72 hours, 90% within a week), and again pre- discharge. Structured measures of experience and perceived coercion were	 Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission) Sample characteristics Sex 55 male (55%). Ethnicity 67% white, 21% black. Sample age 	Outcomes (quantitative) • Satisfaction • Service outcomes Findings – narrative • RQ4 Admissions Coercion 15 patients (15 %) believed their admission status at the time of interview was involuntary (or 'sectioned'), 81 (81%) believed it was voluntary or 'informal' (though in fact 15 of these were formally detained),	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)?
used. Supplemented by case records (post-discharge) to	Mean age 39. • Level of need/diagnosis 40 schizophrenia 33 affective		• Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes

Bindman J, Reid Y, Szmukler G, et al. (2005) Perceived coercion at admission to psychiatric hospital and engagement with follow-up. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 40: 160–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
study (views and	Sample size • 100	15 (23%) were unsure whether they would be allowed to leave if they wished. Only 22 (33%) thought they would could choose to leave hospital.	External validity • +
experiences) because is closest fit. Relevance to RQ • RQ 4) ADMISSION • RQ 6) REDUCING READMISSIONS	Intervention • Admission	As expected, none of the patients believing they were free to leave were rated as perceiving high coercion, 5 of the 15 (33%) who were unsure and 14 of the 29 (48%) who believed they would be detained were rated as perceiving high coercion (z=4.3, p<0.005, test for trend).	
This is only a single cohort study, so can only identify associations with		MHA was used to admit 19 patients, but a further 39 were detained later (e.g. after initially agreeing to voluntary admission).	
readmission (following from perceived coercion at		Objective coercion	
admission). Study aim • To study patient perceptions of coercion (not necessarily under MHA) in a		'Three types of objective coercion were considered: the legal status of the patient, police involvement in admission, and any other aspects of admission to hospital which involved the overt threat or use of force' (p162).	
sample of patients admitted to psychiatric hospital in inner London, hypothesising that non-whites may feel more coerced, and that		Police were involved in 24 cases and in 12 cases restraint or forced entry was used. Physical restraint, forcible medication or the use of seclusion were classified (by researchers) as experience of direct threat or actual use of force.	
perceived coercion may be associated with poor		Effect of perceived coercion on engagement with services after discharge	
engagement with follow-up care after discharge		Within (average) 10 month follow-up, 'Perceived coercion was not a significant independent predictor	

Bindman J, Reid Y, Szmukler G, et al. (2005) Perceived coercion at admission to psychiatric hospital and engagement with follow-up. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 40: 160–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Source of funding Government 		of any of the outcome variables at follow-up' (p163) (including time out of contact and readmissions).	
DH.		Conclusions	
• Country UK.		Use of MHA is highly associated with perception of coercion, but the study suggests that patients admitted 'voluntarily' also feel coerced, and may be uncertain of where they stand if they try to leave. This suggests their information needs are unmet. 'It is, in fact, the case that the threshold for detaining a patient in hospital is reduced once informal admission has been accepted, both because section 5 of the Act allows the immediate detention of a patient for up to 72h on the basis of a single professional opinion, and because, once a patient is in hospital, staff are likely to feel a greater degree of responsibility for controlling perceived risk than they would in the community' (authors, p166). There was no association shown between perceived coercion at admission and failure to comply with follow-up care offered.	

Campbell J (2008) Stakeholders' views of legal and advice services for people admitted to psychiatric hospital. The Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law 30: 219–32

Research aims	Population		Quality assessment
---------------	------------	--	--------------------

Campbell J (2008) Stakeholders' views of legal and advice services for people admitted to psychiatric hospital. The Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law 30: 219–32

Fairing Law 30. 219-32			•
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes (qualitative)	Internal validity
 Mixed methods 	 Adults (no specified age) with 	 What can be improved 	• +
Study is almost entirely	MH conditions	Information on how to appeal made readily available	Really doesn't deserve
qualitative interviews and	 Adults who had been detained (4) 	and accessible to all patients and to frontline carers	this methodologically, but
focus groups, except for:	focus groups)		this is probably because
1. A survey of legal	 Carers/family members of 	commented on the shoddy nature of the MHRT	of brevity of reporting.
practitioners (300 in NI), to	people with MH conditions	report, despite the comprehensive (inc. social worker)	
which only 30 responded (7	Family members of people		assessed as qualitative
saying they did not practise	detained (1 focus group).	of this kind may conflict with HRA (and are likely to	data, but would have
in this area).	 Professionals/practitioners: 	have changed since this study).	done poorly there also
2. A letter to hospital	Interviews with 4 lawyers	 Experiences described 	due to lack of
managers requesting	practising in this area, and 1 legal		methodological detail.
internation given to patients	tribunal member.	Findings RQ4 admission	However, findings are
about legal rights in relation	• Administrators, commissioners,	Experience of compulsory admission	convincing (within context of the time and
	managers: Total 3 managers of VS services	Family members at this time in NI had ability to	place).
responded.	trying to support patient advocacy	substitute for ASW and detain the patient with GP	, ,
Relevance to RQ	and information needs. Letters	involvement. Some carers felt nushed into this and	Is the setting similar to
• RQ 4) Admission.	sent to 9 hospital managers for	regretted it – 'I felt that I had 'put him away. It is much	the UK?
Study aim	info on what they provided; 4	better that this should be done by someone like an	• Yes
 'To explore the nature and 	responded.	AOW who can then take the plane (mother, pZZ_{T}).	Is there a clear focus
quality of information and	• People subject to the MHA	May not have realised how difficult it was to overturn	on population of
legal advocacy services	(involuntary admission)	the detention.	interest?
available to service users		Patients were denied dignity, explanations,	• Yes
and their carers during and	had been detained.	information and legal advocacy. Some patients did	Is the intervention
after compulsory admission	Sample characteristics	not know they were detained: she was going ' with	clearly within RQs and
to psychiatric hospital'	Not reported	my family for the weekend. I was in the car park with	scope?
(p220)	Sample size	my family leaving and a nurse came out and pulled	• Yes
Source of funding	Sample Size	me in, saying I was detained. This was in front of my	Are the outcomes

Campbell J (2008) Stakeholders' views of legal and advice services for people admitted to psychiatric hospital. The Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law 30: 219–32

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
• Not reported Country • UK Northern Ireland. As the legislation is slightly different, the DE will focus on the more general issues.	 44 users (who had been detained) and carers (categories not split) 4 lawyers, 1 MHRT legal member, 3 managers of advocacy services (23 solicitors surveyed; 4 of 12 hospital managers sent a letter gave some response). Intervention 	children and family she was saying I was detained' (p224). Information and advice following detention Health professionals, esp. frontline staff, brushed off people's questions about appeal or complaint ('Don't be silly, you don't need a solicitor' p225). People could be detained for long periods with no idea of their rights: 1 carer questioned the psychiatrist after 2 years and the detained relative was then told she was no longer detained. Staff did not know if or where there might be further information.	relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • + Unclear if this relates to England of 2016 – but the issues raised, especially lack of information and support to appeal to MHRT, may well do so.

Campbell J (2008) Stakeholders' views of legal and advice services for people admitted to psychiatric hospital. The Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law 30: 219–32

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		identified was the common withdrawal of detention as the MHRT was about to happen – suggesting the RMO could not justify it. Training in this area is not widespread among solicitors and could be improved.	
		Findings: RQ 5 discharge	
		Because appeal to an MHRT precedes discharge, the findings documented in RQ4 section may have some relevance to discharge – but in the scope of this study, discharge had not taken place.	

Chinn D, Hall I, Ali A (2011) Psychiatric inpatients away from home: accounts by people with intellectual disabilities in specialist hospitals outside their home localities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 50–60

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Findings – narrative	As far as can be
 Qualitative study 	 Adults (no specified age) with 	RQ4 Admissions	ascertained from the
Interviews	MH conditions	Reasons for admission	paper, how well was the
This does concern admissions, but some of the data reported will concern	 Learning disability All 17 People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission). Three quarters had been detained under mental health act legislation. People placed out of area 	Many informants felt they were in the unit for punishment, more than therapy, possibly related to actual or alleged aggression - and some would have	study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transition guideline • Somewhat relevant

Chinn D, Hall I, Ali A (2011) Psychiatric inpatients away from home: accounts by people with intellectual disabilities in specialist hospitals outside their home localities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 50–60

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
ID and MH needs are OOP). Over 80% of placements are in independent sector (not NHS). Study aim • The context concerns care for people with IDs and MH problems (particularly where individuals were said to have 'challenging behaviour'). Three London Boroughs identified, 26 people who	 13 of the 17 were male. Ethnicity Half had white British backgrounds, and the rest came from a variety of ethnic minority communities. Sample age Average age 34. Level of need/diagnosis 80% of interviewees were described as having a mild learning disability (no info on MH issues). 	Some expressed fear of other residents, some extreme boredom. There were reports of poor relations with particular staff: 'Experiences participants found particularly demeaning were: being verbally belittled by sarcasm, being called names, such as "idiot" or "nutcase"; being kept waiting for basic needs to be met for no apparent reason (some mentioned staff being preoccupied with paperwork or staff meetings); being treated unjustly or unfairly in comparison to other patients; being threatened and intimidated by staff. Participants used strong and vivid language – "worse than a dog"; "like a piece of shit on their shoes" – to describe feelings of being treated as less than human by staff. A number described what they perceived as punitive treatment by staff' (p55). Positive allusions to staff were rarer. (THESE EXTRACTS ARE INCLUDED HERE TO ILLUSTRATE POOR ACCESS TO FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIPS: OAPS may be more dependent on staff.) 'Staff also mediated contact with friends and family outside the unit. Participants often had to ask permission to use the telephone to talk to relatives, and staff controlled the frequency and timing of visits to see family members' (p56). Being out of home community Residents commented on inability to use their first	

Chinn D, Hall I, Ali A (2011) Psychiatric inpatients away from home: accounts by people with intellectual disabilities in specialist hospitals outside their home localities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 50–60

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
E. London NHS Foundation Trust.		(and family) languages, and engage in culturally familiar activities: 'I have to speak English all the	
Country		time. I used to speak Bengali loads of times when I was in London. But I don't do that [now] because	
UK Outcomes (qualitative) Experiences described.		nobody speaks it here' (Amir, resident, p56). This is an important point as they came from very ethnically diverse East London areas.	
See narrative findings RQ4.		Most said they would like to be closer to family and friends, or to local amenities (shopping centre, sports facilities) they knew and had enjoyed; 4 of the participants mentioned conflict and abusive relationships with family members. Some relatives, especially if old or infirm, could not visit, and residents did not mention any ability to leave the unit 'How are you feeling about that, that you couldn't visi your family?' (interviewer); 'A bit upset, inside, hurt inside, hurt inside, heart, heartbroken inside' (William p56).	t

Commander M, Cochrane R, Sashidharan S, et al. (1999) Mental health care for Asian, black and white patients with non-affective psychoses: pathways to the psychiatric hospital, in-patient and after-care. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 34: 484–91			
Research aims Population Findings Quality assessment			

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Cross-sectional study Relevance to RQs • RQ 4) Admission • RQ 5) Discharge Study aim • To compare pathways into the psychiatric hospital (admission) and the provision of inpatient and aftercare for Asian, black and white patients with non- affective psychoses. (For our purposes, only the admission and post- discharge experience is relevant.) Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK Four inpatient units in Birmingham	Participants • Adolescents with MH conditions Age range 16–60. • Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions Age range 16–60. • People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission) Sample characteristics • Sex Over 50% male in all groups (22– 29 of 40) except white patients discharged (16 of 40 male). • Ethnicity Equal proportions of Asian, black and white. All Asians significantly more likely to be born outside UK and be married. • Socioeconomic position Similar socioeconomic positions across all groups. • Previous admissions Admissions sample: no admission within previous month. Discharge sample: inpatient for at least 6 months. Most patients reported 1 or more previous admissions.	Outcomes (quantitative) • Admissions under MHA Asian and black patients more likely to be compulsorily admitted and to not see themselves as having psychiatric needs, and had lower levels of satisfaction. • Satisfaction Findings – narrative • RQ4 Admissions At admission black patients were rated significantly more highly on Destructive Behaviour, Hostility, Inappropriate Sexual Behaviour and Incoherent Speech. Asian patients were rated more frequently rated as having Socially Unacceptable Habits while white patients scored more highly on Depression and Panio Attacks and Phobias "Over half the black patients had some police involvement in the period leading to hospitalisation and a similar proportion were brought to hospital by the police. They were less likely to be accompanied by family or friends and more likely to be detained compulsorily. Asian patients, like black patients, followed a more circuitous route than white patients, with greater levels of police contact. Levels of involvement of mental health staff were similar across ethnic groups.	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear External validity • - Unclear, because the data is so old, and the samples small. It is to be hoped that services hav improved, e.g., in supporting black and Asian service users.

Commander M, Cochrane R, Sashidharan S, et al. (1999) Mental health care for Asian, black and white patients with non-affective psychoses	
pathways to the psychiatric hospital, in-patient and after-care. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 34: 484–91	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Research aims	 Sample size Researchers aimed to recruit the first 120 admissions who met the inclusion criteria, and the first 140 discharges (ditto), to the 4 participating inpatient units between April 95–Jan 96 (so quit old data). They were to be 40 in each of the racial groups (Asian, black and white). There is therefore an overlap of 64 people in the groups at different time points (i.e. some will be in both 	Asian and black patients were less likely to perceive e themselves as having a mental health problem and expressed greater dissatisfaction with the admission process (see Table 3). While the majority of white patients felt that they needed to come to a psychiatric hospital, this applied to only one-third of Asian and e black patients (see Table 3)' (p486). Black patients most likely to be sectioned, confined to ward, and in intensive care (after admission). Asian patients most likely to be visited by friends and	
		- welfare benefits (n=15 Asian, n=14 black and n=5 white). However, only the latter differed significantly by	
		ethnic group, Asian and black patients being more	

Commander M, Cochrane R, Sashidharan S, et al. (1999) Mental health care for Asian, black and white patients with non-affective psychoses: pathways to the psychiatric hospital, in-patient and after-care. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 34: 484–91

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		likely than white patients to complain of unmet need regarding welfare benefits ($P < 0.05$)' (p488).	
		In terms of measures of continued support and engagement after discharge, black patients were significantly less likely to have seen their GP since discharge, and were more likely to have discontinued medication (against medical advice), although most patients were on medication, and few had any psychological support. Most patients had a key worker, and three-quarters had seen a psychiatrist since discharge. Readmission was low for all groups.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study	 Participants People with a learning disability Carers/family members of 	inpatient admissions for people with intellectual	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was
Relevance to RQ • RQ 4) Admission Study aim	people with MH conditionsProfessionals/practitioners	While the admission was often seen as providing much needed respite for carers, and providing good	the study conducted? • ++
• The study aimed to firstly examine how service users with intellectual disabilities,	Sample characteristics Disability Ethnicity To maintain anonymity, the 		Relevance to the MH transition guideline • Somewhat relevant

Denner D. Mutter D. Color K (2010) Meinstreem in national mental health save for needle with intellectual dischilition as	milee week eekek end
Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: se	ervice user, carer and
	,
provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
their carers and service	ethnicity of participants from black	Key themes from interviews	
providers perceive mainstream inpatient mental health services, and secondly, to what extent	not revealed.	Respite In all except 1 of the cases, at least some feature of the admission was perceived as providing respite,	
their accounts are in line with key policy objectives. Country • UK Source of funding • Not reported Outcomes 1 (qualitative) •What works well • Experiences described	 between 30 and 55 years. Level of need/diagnosis With exception of 1 case that was not specified, rest of sample of 11 presented with schizophrenia (1 paranoid sch.) or mood disorder. Socioeconomic position Living alone, with family or in supported accommodation prior to 	either for the person with intellectual disability or more frequently the carer, e.g. the receipt of 'good basic care', i.e. a warm bed, suitable food and a sense of containment. Service users said the physical accessibility of staff helped them to feel supported, as did access to other patients who were also seen as a source of support. Some informal carers were put in touch with other services during the admission. Disempowerment	
 Outcomes 2 (quantitative) Clinical outcome Satisfaction Service outcomes 	 Sample size Sample size Overall, 42% (n=11) of service users who fitted the inclusion criteria took part or chose for their carer to take part. Seven service 	In all but 1 case, the inpatient experience was seen as disempowering, e.g. enforced compliance, such as being told that they either had to admit themselves voluntarily or face being sectioned under the Mental Health Act. Some said they were not even told why they were being taken to hospital. Often treatment was seen to be characterised by the principle that compliance was synonymous with recovery; for many this meant adhering to medication regimes. Service users were reluctant to complain about	
		anything for fear of attracting negative attention.	
		A daunting environment About half of the respondents reported examples of	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		violence committed by other service users against ward property, staff and patients. Illicit drug use and theft of personal belongings were also cited. Carers viewed the ward as 'depressing', 'intimidating' or 'frightening' and counter to promoting recovery.	
		Lack of 'real' treatment	
		Participants said that treatment options were limited and mainly focused on medication, with very little therapeutic options.	
		'For the 2 weeks she was in there she spent the majority of the time in her room just talking to herself wandering around the room and that was it. I can't say they did any more than that for her because they didn't' (C3).	
		Half of the participants felt well informed by ward staff. Ward reviews were seen as especially helpful, mainly as information was communicated directly by medical staff.	
		'The meetings with the doctors and then the social workers I have to say were good. The doctors did actually explain as much as they could to me, or as much as they understood' (C3).	
		But for many there was a striking absence of information-sharing, including about medication, rule and procedures on the ward. Carers found it difficult to know who to liaise with and often felt left out.	
		Inclusive versus excluding care: having a voice	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		versus not feeling heard	
		Some service users felt listened to by staff, and carers felt consulted at meetings, especially with regard to treatment, but other users and carers felt the opposite, e.g. some felt that had to 'fight' with staff to be heard.	
		The impact of having an intellectual disability	
		Participants felt that having an intellectual disability complicated the whole admission experience.	
		A barrier to access	
		The search for help was fraught with difficulty, often manifest in a lack of knowledge in the areas of mental health and intellectual disability by staff in primary care and accident and emergency services. was also felt that staff in mental health services were often hesitant about assessing someone with an intellectual disability.	
		Neglect	
		A striking issue for many participants was that ward staff did not accommodate or properly understand a person's intellectual disability. Some carers said that their loved ones were discharged without satisfactory assessment and that individual needs were not properly addressed. In general, it was overwhelmingly felt by users and carers that individuals with intellectual disabilities were seen as different both by professionals and other inpatients.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Results: focus groups with providers	
		Service providers said there were clear challenges as well as some examples of good practice and joint working between mental health and intellectual disability services. Communication was a major issue in terms of communication needs arising from the person's intellectual disability and poor communication between different agencies. Eight themes emerged from the focus groups as below.	
		 Lack of joint working 	
		This was seen as a key theme in terms of the failure by intellectual disability and mental health services to work together.	
		 Confusion over roles and responsibilities 	
		Different opinions of the roles and respective responsibilities of intellectual disability and mental health services during admission included not knowing who should conduct assessments and provide support on a day-to-day basis and during leave from the ward, and confusion about whose role it is to draw up a care plan under the Care Programme Approach. Confusion about roles seemed to be complicated by a lack of communication between them.	
		 Awareness of different pressures and resources 	
		Participants were very aware about the various demands faced by intellectual disability and mental	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		health services.	
		'In learning disability our staffing levels are much higher, people do get good 1-to-1 support. That isn't available in a ward setting.'	
		 'We've got one of yours' 	
		There was a sense of conflict between intellectual disability and mental health services, described in terms of clients who 'belonged' to a particular service as opposed to different services having complimentary roles to address the individual's needs.	
		 Everything is attributed to the intellectual disability 	
		Within the context of trying to secure help from mainstream services, participants often spoke about their frustration where staff blamed all problems on a person's intellectual disability, known as 'diagnostic overshadowing' (Mason and Scior 2004; Reiss and Syzszko 1983). 'The doctor kept saying "he's got learning disabilities, what do you expect?" (in response to nurse's efforts to focus mental health staff on depressive episodes).	a
		 What it means to have an intellectual disability 	
		Respondents voiced their concern about what they saw as a very narrow understanding by staff in mainstream inpatient settings about the implications of intellectual disabilities, e.g. failure to take account of additional support needs.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Different ethos	
		Participants described a different ethos in the 2 services, describing a lack of a person-centred approach in inpatient mental health services.	
		 Limited impact of policies 	
		There was a general view that awareness and commitment to policies and agreements aimed at improving joint working seemed significant among managers compared to staff directly involved in providing support to individuals. Participants emphasised continually that success stories were 'down to individual relationships that may have developed over time. It's about who you know.'	

Farrelly S, Brown G, Rose D, et al. (2014) What service users with psychotic disorders want in a mental health crisis or relapse: thematic analysis of joint crisis plans. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1609–17

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH 		As far as can be ascertained from the
Study aim • To analyse the content – what service users want – of joint crisis plans made as part	conditions Sample characteristics • Sex: 51% male • Ethnicity: White 63%, Black 23%,	JCPs are analysed according to 2 categories: 'The first category "delivery of care" – addresses the manner in which treatment was delivered and includes aspects of interpersonal	paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Relevance to the MH transition guideline

Farrelly S, Brown G, Rose D, et al. (2014) What service users with psychotic disorders want in a mental health crisis or relapse: thematic analysis of joint crisis plans. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1609–17

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
CRIMSON trial) compared	Sample age	services. The second category describes the	 Somewhat relevant
with TAU for people with	Mean age 40	particular treatments/interventions that service	
osychotic disorders	 Level of need/diagnosis 	users' would/would not like in a crisis situation (e.g.,	
Source of funding	Diagnosis of a psychotic disorder,	medication and home treatment team)' (p1611).	
Funding councils	and contact with a CMHT, and not	Findings	
MRC.	an inpatient. Note: the respondents	There were 4 major themes around all delivery of	
Country		treatment: 'Treat me with respect'; 'understanding	
UK	(JCP) arm of the trial.	what is illness, and what is not';	
Four MH trusts, 1 rural.	Previous admissions	'continuity/consistency/clarity'; and 'control and	
Outcomes 1 (qualitative)	Admission within the past 2 years	involvement' (p1611). Treating with respect included	
What can be improved	Sample size	areas such as explaining what was felt to be wrong,	
Joint crisis plans (JCPs) are	 Sample size 	how medication might help, and any home treatment	t
analysed according to 2	221 JCPs were analysed	team making appointments to visit (rather than	
categories: 'The first category	Intervention	expecting the person to stay in all day and arriving	
'delivery of care" – addresses	• List interventions of interest	when it suited them). Understanding what illness is	
the manner in which	JCP. This specifically requires	and what it is not relates to knowing the person.	
reatment was delivered and	involvement of the treatment team	Although admission had been problematic in some	
ncludes aspects of	and a facilitator (unlike other	way for most of the sample, and there was strong	
nterpersonal	advance statements). Method is	support for being supported to stay at home, or	
nteraction/communication	then to encourage both user	staging interventions with hospitalisation as a last	
and the availability of	empowerment and shared	resort, there were also people who felt rapid	
services. The second	decision-making between	hospitalisation was essential when particular	
category describes the	stakeholders within a meeting –	symptoms were apparent. There was support for	
particular	but service users' views are	enabling other approaches and activities – e.g.	
reatments/interventions that	recorded verbatim (source of	yoga, talking therapies, or having a sympathetic and	
service users' would/would	analysis). The JCP has a	familiar person outside the family to talk to – but	
not like in a crisis situation	structured 'menu' through which	also for avoiding or using particular medication.	
(e.g., medication and home	options and preferences are raised	Many of the sample found hospitalisation	

Farrelly S, Brown G, Rose D, et al. (2014) What service users with psychotic disorders want in a mental health crisis or relapse: thematic analysis of joint crisis plans. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1609–17

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
treatment team)' (p1611).	and discussed. NOTE: some of the material recorded here is out of scope: e.g. which medications are preferred, inpatient treatment, even preference for not being admitted (as then no admission takes place). However, JCP has direct relevance to admissions processes.	problematic, making them feel 'bored, heavily medicated and trapped' (p1614), but only 8% (18/221) refused admission in their JCPs (half of these in any circumstances, and half in relation to particular wards or as an involuntary patient).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology Systematic review 	Participants People subject to the MHA 	Findings – narrative • RQ1 Service user views	Overall assessment of internal validity
Systematic search of published literature in peer-reviewed journals.	(involuntary admission) • Professionals	Inside perspective of the patient 'The two most commonly mentioned negative experiences reported by patients were	• + Is the setting similar to the UK?
Relevance to RQ • RQ 1) Service user views • RQ 3) Practitioners' views • RQ 4) Admission	Sample size Systematic reviews: number of studies, 22 included studies 	 powerlessness and humiliation.' (p427) Powerlessness Powerlessness consisted of not being understood, being ignored, feeling helpless or insecure. Patients 	• Partly The review contained 2 papers from England. Other papers were from Ireland, Australia,
Do all studies fulfil inclusion criteria? • No. 6 out of 22 papers		received a treatment they had not agreed to. They felt that they were not being listened to. 'Patients felt out of control during their hospitalisation	Sweden which have similar healthcare

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Research aims were published between before 1999 (earliest 1995) Study aim • To explore the literature on patients' and professionals' perspectives on involuntary admission. The main		due to not receiving sufficient information and not being involved in decisions' (p430). • Humiliation Patients felt that they were not treated as human beings or that they were not perceived as having the same human value as a healthy person (p.430).	Quality assessment A clear focus on transition between inpatient MH setting and community or care home setting? • Yes Are the population
question of this review is: Which quality themes are reported by patients and professionals during involuntary admission?' (p.426).		Being dependent on healthcare professionals and health care increased experiences of vulnerability. Patients felt like an inferior kind of human being' (p431). They were not involved in decision making and this made them feel their needs were not satisfied and	groups relevant? • Yes Relevant to social care outcomes? • Unclear
Source of funding • Other: Inholland University of Applied Sciences, the Netherlands Country • Range of countries Austria, Denmark, England,		 the process was meaningless; this caused feelings of disrespect and helplessness (p431). Patients' positive experiences Patients reported positive experiences when they felt they were guarded and seen. Patients wanted to feel safe, protected and cared for (p431). These feelings enhanced respect – an important primary ethical principle. 	Does the review have a UK perspective? • Unclear Overall assessment of external validity • +
Ireland, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, US. Outcomes (qualitative) • Experiences described		 The outside perspective on the patient Other literature measured themes which were important during admission. Themes that played a major role in this category were pressure, loss of autonomy and procedural justice. Pressure 	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		'Negative pressure consisted, for example, of treats, giving orders, deception and show of force. Positive pressure consisted of persuasion, promises, inducements, asking for a preference and using words to encourage individuals to make the "right" choice' (p431).	
		 Loss of autonomy 	
		Described as a loss of liberty, limited possibilities of moving and being dominated by others (p431).	
		 Procedural justice 	
		'Procedural justice concerns the fairness and the transparency of the processes by which decisions ar made. For patients, this means that their voice is heard and that they have the opportunity to express, explain and participate in the admission decision themselves' (p431).	
		'Considerable clinical skills are required to initiate aspects of procedural justice, to reconcile these situations and ameliorate perception of coercion. A great need mentioned is the identification of effective interpersonal communication and the teaching of these methods to all professionals who are liable to be involved in the admission process' (p431).	
		One study explained: 'the challenge is to try to exten to all patients, at the time of their admission, a demonstration in word and action that they are persons with opinions, desires, rights and dignity'	d

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		(Hiday 1997). Professionals should minimise patient feelings of coercion by a good explanation of the rationale for admission (Bonsack 2005). O'Donoghu (2011) suggests that the majority of patients reflect positively on their involuntary admission if they have greater insight into their illness (p431).	e
		 RQ 3 Practitioners' views 	
		 The inside perspective of the professional 	
		Themes in this category were: being stressed, being satisfied and connectedness.	1
		'Just three articles taught us something about the feelings, motives and attitudes of frustrations of the professional during involuntary admission' (p431).	
		Jepsen (2010) described that GPs were stressed an frustrated due to the uncertainty about what was going to happen, the time-consuming procedure and the fact that they had repeatedly admitted patients who never seemed to benefit from the admissions (p431).	
		Satisfaction and connectedness were positive experiences voiced by professionals.	
		Although this was in an older paper (Anderson 1995 clinicians pointed out 'the importance of being flexib and individualising the process according to patients needs and tolerance' (p431).	е
		 The outside perspective on the professional 	
		Within literature describing the outside perspective of	of

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		the professional, admission criteria come to the fore, e.g. the diagnostic and legal criteria. Additionally dangerousness, risk of harm and violence to others are criteria to take into account (p431).	
		 RQ 4 Admission 	
		See RQ1 (service users) and RQ 3 (professionals) respectively for views on compulsory admission.	
		Findings show that most experiences of patients can be traced back to 1 core experience that makes the difference:	
		'Am I being listened to?' If patients experience genuinely listening, they feel more respected as a human being and less emotionally abandoned. The challenge for professional carers is to explicitly pay attention and really listen empathically to patients' struggles while at the same time make the decision to admit the patient' (p433).	

Hunt I, Bickley H, Windfuhr k	Hunt I, Bickley H, Windfuhr K, et al. (2013) Suicide in recently admitted psychiatric in-patients: a case-control study. Journal of Affective				
Disorders 144: 123–8	Disorders 144: 123–8				
Research aims	Research aims Population Findings Quality assessment				

Hunt I, Bickley H, Windfuhr K, et al. (2013) Suicide in recently admitted psychiatric in-patients: a case-control study. Journal of Affective Disorders 144: 123–8

		L	
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Case-control study Relevance to RQ • RQ 4) Admission How do different approaches to assessment, care planning and support (including joint working) affect the process of admission to inpatient mental health settings from community or care home settings? Study aim • To identify characteristics, including risk factors, for suicide among inpatients within the first week of admission to a psychiatric ward. (Previous studies have not isolated cases of early suicide.) Source of funding • Government NPSA Country • UK	 Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions Sample characteristics Sample age Adults 65 and over were excluded from the study (as old age is thought to involve different triggers of suicide). Level of need/diagnosis All suicides who died within 7 days of admission to inpatient MH care (matched on admission date to 107 new admissions of people who did not commit suicide). Sample size Comparison numbers 107 controls matched on admission date. 	Findings – narrative • RQ4 Admissions The first few days of admission for people with specific characteristics (including recent adverse events, previous self-harm, and acute, but perhaps only recently diagnosed) mental illness, especially if male, are a time of acute suicide risk. Most suicides happen off the wards, with patients having absconded or on leave. More rigorous risk assessment and greater vigilance, and possibly less distressing ward environment, may lessen the risk. Staff should be aware of these statistical findings.	Overall assessment of internal validity? • ++ Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Hunt I, Bickley H, Windfuhr K, et al. (2013) Suicide in recently admitted psychiatric in-patients: a case-control study. Journal of Affective Disorders 144: 123–8

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Outcomes (qualitative) • what can be improved To reduce suicides within first week of admissions.	included in the suicide sample.		

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
 Mixed methods 	Participants Adults (no specified age) with 	Outcomes (quantitative) Satisfaction 	Internal validity • ++
Quantitative and qualitative. Relevance to RQ • RQ 1) Service user views	• People NOT subject to the MHA	RQ4 Admissions	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes
,	Sample size • Sample size	treatment	Is there a clear focus on population of
• 'To investigate whether specific socio-demographic	270 participants completed the quantitative part of the study (rating perceived coercion using	believed that they needed help for their mental health problem(s). However, they felt that alternative	
perceptions of coercion at admission among legally	the McArthur Perceived Coercien	beneficial. Those who felt coerced described hospital care as unhelpful, or harmful, on account of being confined and kept away from their families, having no	scope?
examine whether voluntary patients who feel coerced	 Legally voluntary admission 	They described hospital as a place where they were contained and given medication rather than as a	relevant?

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
into admission continue to feel coerced during hospital treatment. To identify factors associated with feelings of		therapeutic environment:	• Yes
		'I needed some sort of treatment, but I don't think to be locked up for 5 weeks is some sort of treatment' (participant 3).	Overall assessment of external validity • ++
coercion during treatment, and to explore what experiences – in the view of		 Not participating sufficiently in the admission and treatment process 	
the patients – lead to feelings of coercion both at		91 percent of coerced patients believed that they did not have control over their admission and treatment:	
admission and during treatment.' (p275/276).		'I didn't really decide, they decided for me I thought that if I didn't say yes then I would be	
Source of funding • Government European Commission.		sectioned, so really I did feel coerced it certainly didn't feel like I had a choice, so I got angry' (participant 15) (p278).	
Country • UK		'They didn't really tell me what was going on, they just sort of left me there then they said to me that I	
Outcomes 1 (qualitative) • what can be improved • experiences described		couldn't just go hey said that they would take me in a cab, to this hospital to see my doctor for 20 minutes and then go straight home in a cab again. So I didn't really know where I was, in terms of a hospita When I came here they said your bed's ready, and gave me pyjamas! I was like what, you know, I'm only here for 20 minutes, it was like all a big mistake!' (participant 22) (p280).	
		'It was like we were backed into a corner, my mum almost had to convince him to let me come in on the Saturday because otherwise he wanted me to come in then and there, that day, that night, so I hadn't no	

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		choice and obviously I didn't want to be sectioned you know, because that's going to be stuck with me for ever. So there was no choices like, we had no discussion, I was adamant that I didn't want to come in, but I didn't want to be sectioned, so I had no choice about it whatsoever' (participant 9) (p280).	
		'I mean my big problem is my lack of self-esteem that's where all my problems come from, and to be locked in hospital like that just exacerbates that problem, fuels all the insecurities I have, um, it seem like I had no power or control over what happens to myself, um which is precisely the sort of reason why took an overdose in the first place' (participant 30) (p280).	
		 Not feeling respected/cared for Even when information was presented to them, people who perceived coercion did not feel that they had adequate time to reflect on it, resulting in their hospital admission: 	
		'I was scared, feeling like I don't want to go and half of me wanted to go, but everything happened so quickly that day, that I didn't have time to say anything I just thought that I got pushed into doing it, it wasn't like I was asked for my consent, that was the whole problem In a way I wanted to face up to my problems but I felt really intimidated, pressured into going' (participant 2) (p278/279).	

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Over half (57%) of patients felt that the staff involved in their admission and treatment did not care about them and were often rude and abrupt.	
		'There's a whole team there and they don't listen to you; they TELL you it just made me feel like I wasn't human and nobody actually took my point of view into consideration' (participant 32) (p279).	
		'They are too professional and everything they've tolo me I don't understand, 'cause there's too many long words in there, and I feel embarrassed and shy, I fee demolished, I feel like an idiot asking them' (participant 28) (p279).	
		 Experiences not leading to perceived coercion 	
		Mirroring the previous findings patients who did not feel coerced believed that they needed hospital treatment, that they were included in the admission and treatment process, and that they felt respected and cared for.	
		Those who did not feel coerced perceived that hospitalisation offered them the intensive treatment they needed and helped them recover in a place of safety.	
		'I was feeling that people were following me, watching my every move. To me, the only safe place I felt was the psychiatric hospital' (participant 1) (p279).	
		 Participating in the admission and treatment 	

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		process	
		'They do talk to me and explain why I'm getting these things happening to me. I'm grateful, they've diagnosed me and told me what tablets they give me (participant 4) (p280).	
		'The duty psychiatrist spent like about an hour with me, asking various questions and then he started to advise me on what he thought was the best course of action for me. He sort of told me what he thought was the initial kick off of the problem, but as we got chatting and talking more came out, and he seemed to think that there was something more deep-seated in the problem. So he then advised me basically to come in here, until they can work out some sort of programme for me and I followed his advice. It was entirely my choice' (participant 29) (p280).	
		All patients who did not feel coerced believed that they either asked to be admitted themselves or were actively involved in their admission and treatment process.	
		'The mental health team said they'd visit me at home sometimes, but I thought it was better to come to hospital. The psychiatrist said that they couldn't do a lot for me unless I went to hospital. They were willing to do home visits but I thought it wasn't enough support' (participant 14) (p279).	
		 Feeling respected/ cared for 	

Katsakou C, Marougka S, Garabette J, et al. (2011) Why do some voluntary patients feel coerced into hospitalisation? A mixed-methods study. Psychiatry Research 187: 275–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		85% of patients who did not feel coerced appreciated the staff's involvement in their admission and treatment.	
		⁶ When you go through a dreadful breakdown, all you really crave for is somewhere safe and someone to understand and to just accept you, and, to actually treat me with dignity and just treat me as if I was my normal and here I've been treated very, very nicely' (participant 1).	

Katsakou C, Rose D, Amos T, et al. (2012) Psychiatric patients' views on why their involuntary hospitalisation was right or wrong: A qualitative study. Social Psychiatry And Psychiatric Epidemiology 47: 1169–79

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Study aim • 'To explore involuntary patients' retrospective views on why their hospitalisation was right or wrong' (p1169, (abstract). Source of funding	Participants • Adolescents with MH conditions • People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission) Sample characteristics • Sex: 58% male • Ethnicity: 63% white, 24% black, 10% Asian, 3% other • Sample age: mean age 37.7 (+/- SD 10.2)	Findings – narrative • RQ4 Admissions 28 patients were generally positive about IA (involuntary admission); 19 negative about IA (7 of whom had reflected on the necessity but decided it was wrong); and 12 were ambivalent. Some had	Quality assessment As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Relevance to the MH transition guideline • Highly relevant
DH.	Level of need/diagnosis	Experience to back this included taking an overdose, dramatic mood changes (distress, feeling frantic or plated), risky behaviour, and recognising themselves	

Katsakou C, Rose D, Amos T, et al. (2012) Psychiatric patients' views on why their involuntary hospitalisation was right or wrong: A qualitative study. Social Psychiatry And Psychiatric Epidemiology 47: 1169–79

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
UK 22 hospitals in England. Dutcomes (qualitative) Experiences described	affective disorder, 15% other • Previous admissions 79% had been hospitalised in past at least once Sample size • Sample size 59 of 69 asked agreed to be interviewed (from 22 hospitals). Patients interviewed between 3 months and 1 year after index admission, and always after discharge. Sample was purposive to reflect response to question applied 3 months after admission: 'Today, do you find it right or wrong that you were involuntarily admitted?' (p1170). Respondents stratified into positive (yes), negative (no) and ambivalent, and this, as well as other characteristics (gender, ethnicity, etc.) was used to get an inclusive sample. Intervention • Involuntary admission	as being aggressive or argumentative. A minority of the 59 (32% of the negative group) did not experience this sense. 92% felt out of control during hospitalisation: this entailed not being informed or involved in decision to section, their rights or treatment alternatives. 'They never told me why I was sectioned, it's like taking you and locking you up, never telling you why you are being locked up! I felt like a prisoner!' (participant 38, 'negative' group) (p1172–3). Many of this group recalled coercion, restraint and forced medication. 63% felt the need to avert risk and feel safe in hospital: this included most of the positive group, but others also recognised the 'need' for help with social welfare and housing. 53% felt the need for non-coercive treatment: although 89% of negative view holders believed they needed help, they did not see the need for such intensive and coercive treatment. 'I've had this mental illness for years. So I know I've had it all my life, but I prefer to do it within the bounds of my family, not in a hospital I realise that I needed help, but I would have preferred to have gone to my General Practitioner (GP), and say alter my medicine. Not be kept in hospital against my will' (participant 31, 'negative' group) (p1173). 42% felt an 'unjust infringement in autonomy': 74% of patients with negative view found the involuntary	

Katsakou C, Rose D, Amos T, et al. (2012) Psychiatric patients' views on why their involuntary hospitalisation was right or wrong: A qualitative study. Social Psychiatry And Psychiatric Epidemiology 47: 1169–79

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		admission had meant a huge and unnecessarily harsh interruption in their lives.	
		'I certainly didn't need to be sectioned. I was very anxious to get home, to find out where my dog was and to get my business running and get myself back on my feet I have lost so much money through being sectioned I lost all the trade over Christmas (participant 40, 'negative' group) (p1174).	
		In conclusion, though most involuntary patients recognised the need for some help, and felt unwell, i was the forced and coercive aspects of admission, and the failure to consider alternative options, that they objected to.	t

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Findings – narrative	Internal validity
 Mixed methods 	 Adults (no specified age) with 	RQ4 Admissions	• ++
• RQ 1) Service user views • RQ 2) Carers' views	People with MH conditionsProfessionals/practitioners	making an application for admission to hospital for an assessment (which was required in NI if the nearest relative objected); difficulties in contacting and	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Age and difference in legislation and process

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
included, but the mental health law is a little different in NI. However, ASW practice has in common the 'conflicting' tasks of detaining the patient and appearing to advocate for them and the least restrictive alternative (which rarely exists). Study aim • To explore the practice of ASWs in NI from the different perspectives of stakeholders, using survey data from ASWs, focus groups with users, carers and interviews with MH service managers Source of funding • Government DHSS in NI. Country • UK Outcomes (qualitative) • Experiences described	and over 50% aged 40–49. Two- thirds worked in field/community. and 14% in hospital settings. Sample size • Sample size Survey included 243 ASWs (84% of total) 2 focus groups included 17 service users, 12 of whom had been sectioned by ASW (1–5 times). A third FG included 13 people with LD and MH problems. Five mothers and 1 sister caring for a person who had experienced ASW detention formed a further focus group. (These 2 categories recruited through voluntary sector advocacy groups.) (MH managers from 11 community and MH Trusts interviewed, but reported in another paper.) Intervention	third had completed more than 10, and 10% 20 or more. More than half said the main difficulty in conducting the assessment arose from the person being too disturbed to engage, but a quarter also described concern for their own personal safety and another quarter use of drugs and alcohol as impediments. Only 14% of ASWs said the GP was present in 80– 100% of interviews, and 41% reported difficulty in contacting the GP. In NI, if a relative objects, as second ASW must be present. The GP presence is not mandatory, but ASWs reported wanting help in forming a judgement; 68% of experienced ASWs had at some time decided not to proceed to apply, but	are problematic. Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • + The major limitation to external validity and generalisability is the age of the study, and the fact that it is governed by different NI procedures. In UK today, ASWs have been replaced by AMHPs and relatives did not have the powers they had in NI.

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		of hours work (so conflicted with their daily caseload requirements), required collaboration with relatives, GPs and others which was difficult to arrange, and might (some felt) have little influence.	
		Findings from users	
		Reported different levels of understanding of the ASWs' role, but many felt 'the ASW tried to help' and 'waited with me for 2 hours until I decided I needed to go to hospital' (p455).	
		Most users expressed concern about the nearest relative's ability to get them 'put out of the way' (p456), and some suggested an advocate other than ASW was needed (as conflict of interests there). Some felt that this power of the relative interfered with their subsequent relationship. Some felt ASWs did not explain the situation adequately, while others felt they did make efforts to explain and involve the person. A lack of community alternatives to admission was recognised by users. Although ASWs said they usually came with and waited at the hospital with the person until they were medically examined, none of the users recalled this, and many said they were left alone for 2 hours or more, frightened and with no information or support. The service user that recalled being given information about rights to appeal said it was a complicated form, difficult to understand.	
		Carers: Carers felt strongly they should not have the	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		responsibility of having to sign the application, but were often forced to do so out of concern for their loved one. It was difficult to get a GP opinion, and even then, the GP said if you don't sign, you will wait a long time for an ASW to arrive. Carers wanted to be consulted, but not to make the final decision. ASWs played a role also in negotiating the return of the person to the home, but relatives felt very conflicted and guilty when they knew it could not work - they fel they should have a SW to advocate for their views. Again, carers deplored the lack of alternatives to hospital admission.	9
		Summary: Remarkable consistency across accounts. (Note that the arrangement whereby relatives can have a person compulsorily admitted, or where an ASW can do so without support from a clinician, may no longer apply in NI.)	r

Nolan P, Bradley E, Brimble Education and Practice 6: 1		iefs about acute in-patient admission. The Journal of M	ental Health Training,		
Research aims	Research aims Population Findings Quality assessment				

Nolan P, Bradley E, Brimblecombe N (2011) Service users' beliefs about acute in-patient admission. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice 6: 142–9

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	-		,
Methodology Qualitative study 	 Participants Adults (no specified age) with 	Findings – narrative • RQ1 Service user views and RQ 4 Admission	As far as can be ascertained from the
Specially designed, 17-item, non-standardised interview schedule.	• People subject to the MHA	The study found that participants' beliefs on	paper, how well was the study conducted? • +
Relevance to RQ • RQ 1) Service user views	Doonlo NOT subject to the MHA	and uncertain.	Relevance to the MH transition guideline • Highly relevant
 RQ 4) Admission Study aim The aim was to understand the beliefs respondents held prior to and during their admission to an inpatient setting and how these influenced their views of the experience of being in acute inpatient care and the decisions they made. Source of funding Not reported Country UK Outcomes (qualitative) What works well Experiences described 	 Sample characteristics Sample age 18 to 71 years (mean age 41 years). Previous admissions The participants varied in terms of their previous contact with mental health services: 22 had no previous admissions, 5 had 1, 8 had 2, 4 had 4, 2 had 8, 1 had 15, 1 had 27 and 1 had 33. Sample size 44 respondents (18 men and 26 women) 	 Positive beliefs In this category the consensus was that more could be done for patients in hospital than could be done elsewhere. This belief was reinforced by confidence from previous admission experiences for some who had connected with good and kind mental health staff and other patients experiencing similar issues, and recognition that admission would provide the best opportunity to rest. A woman with 3 previous admissions recalled: 'I felt so safe that I would readily comply with whatever was recommended. Perhaps, I was too compliant but at the time I was not in a position to analyse what was happening and I believed others knew more about me than I knew myself' (p145). Six participants said they were told that admission was the fastest way to get well. Eight said that they believed their mental health problems had had a harmful effect on their families and valued the respite that admission offered family members: 	

Nolan P, Bradley E, Brimblecombe N (2011) Service users' beliefs about acute in-patient admission. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice 6: 142–9

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		'I know now that I must have been a real worry to my wife and two children. What makes it worse is that I cannot be sure about what happened. I feel the live ir fear of it happening again' (male with 3 previous admissions) (p145).	
		A quarter of the participants thought that admission provided a chance to have a proper assessment of their condition and offered the most suitable treatment than was available in primary care.	
		 Negative beliefs 	
		12 respondents expressed unhappiness about being admitted, thinking that it was not in their best interests. A woman with 5 previous admissions said that every time she informed community staff that she was feeling well, admission was always the first option offered, so she had thought about not providing an honest assessment of her mental health	
		Negative beliefs were also reinforced by staff that were pessimistic, poor listeners and had little time to engage with service users. Four women resolutely believed that they would never be well again, despite the best efforts of staff. Their positive expectations of previous admissions had not been realised and now they felt cynical about interventions.	
		 Neutral/uncertain beliefs about admission 	
		About 11 participants said that they had no firm beliefs about the value or otherwise of admission and	

Nolan P, Bradley E, Brimblecombe N (2011) Service users' beliefs about acute in-patient admission. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice 6: 142–9

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		were not able to decide whether it was the right course of action. Some saw admission as an offer of help, but also worried that it might be detrimental being with people who were more ill than themselves Six women with more than 2 previous admissions stated that the benefit they received from being on the ward was outweighed by anxiety about what would happen to them after discharge in terms of having to return to the pressures at home.	
		The authors conclude that these findings suggest tha service users can be helped to reconsider their beliefs through genuine, consistent and empathic relationships, thereby improving the outcomes of admission.	t

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes (quantitative)	As far as can be
 Qualitative study 	Professionals	 Perceived coercion therapeutic relationship with 	ascertained from the
Relevance to RQ	 People subject to the MHA 	staff (1 or more)	paper, how well was
• RQ 3) Practitioners'	(involuntary admission)	Findings – narrative	the study conducted?
views	Sample size		• ++
 RQ 4) Admission. 	 Fieldwork was conducted on 5 	Non clinical and extra-legal factors that may influence	Relevance to the MH
Study aim	teams: 2 hospital-based social	the outcome of an MHA assessment.	transition guideline
To describe some of the	work teams in outer London, 2		 Somewhat relevant

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
non-clinical and extra-legal influences which affect the process and outcome of commitment decisions Source of funding • Government Department of Health. Country • UK Two London boroughs. Outcomes 1 (qualitative) • Experiences described	community mental health teams (CMHTs) and an out-of-hours emergency team in inner London. In-depth follow-up interviews with 4 of the 20 candidate patients and 1 carer (the others refused involvement, were too unwell or could not be traced). Intervention • The unit of analysis was the Mental Health Act assessment, as made under Part II of the Act. Follow-up OR time of interview • Time until follow-up interview not specified	Notable differences in how the teams were organised were identified to affect MHA assessments.	Published in 2003 which reduces its relevance to present practice. The ASW role no longer exists in this capacity.

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		(p124). They reported feeling unable to rely on community resources; lack of access to comprehensive services meant caring for a borderline client in the community was more risky.	
		The perceived lack of alternatives to inpatient care, and bed shortages, were seen to have a large influence on practice.	
		 Support of 'the team' 	
		'I'm much more likely to take a risk [and not section somebody] if I know that I've got the team behind me [And how would you know you've got that?] Well say with personality disorder we've talked a lot about it a a team and have developed clear guidelines' (approved social worker, inner London) (p125).	
		With support from a team (or a smaller team within a team; e.g. a psychiatrist and a community psychiatric nurse) a care plan can be developed, instead of detaining under the MHA. However, this may fall apart if they go on leave:	
		'What happens is that we work to keep people in the community, but often when I or [the consultant] go or holiday, you come back and find they've been sectioned by somebody else' (community psychiatric nurse, inner London) (p125).	ו
		The professional's knowledge of the person with mental health problems is key. An approved social worker from inner London commented: 'the best form	ı

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		of risk assessment is getting to know the client really well. It's a mixture of the two things – as you get to know a client better, you know you might be able to do, say, family dynamic work instead of them being sectioned.' (p125).	
		 Variations in local operational norms 	
		Level of peer support was found to be variable within and between professional groups.	
		Informal peer evaluation, such as discussing MHA assessments after they've taken place, is an important way to ensure that other options have been considered before committing patients.	n
		 Perceptions of conditions on the admission ward 	
		If professionals view the psychiatric ward they are sending someone to negatively then that also has a subtly but pervasive influence on their decision to commit someone.	
		'I'm not one of those who thinks you shouldn't section people, but it does help if you know the ward you're sending them to is good' (p126).	n
		Class can also influence decisions	
		'In fact I'm more embarrassed dealing with upper- middle class people locally, if I have to say "Look, I'n sorry this is the best we have to offer". It's different for rough sleepers who may actually have better living conditions on the wards. So yes, there are big class implications' (approved social worker, inner	ו

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		London) (p126).	
		Assessment decisions directly affect the ward population.	
		Chance	
		Everyday contingency factors – interpreters being booked for the wrong language, lack of phone reception - affect not only the process of assessmen but sometimes also its outcome.	t,
		Delays to the response of the referral mean that 'emergency' or 'crisis' may pass.	
		Conclusion	
		Factors which are likely to lower the threshold for compulsory admission:	
		 high work pressure and resource constraints which lead to a 'getting the job done' pragmatism, and a lack of alternatives to inpatient care (p128). 	
		Factors which are likely to increase the compulsory admission threshold:	
		 team support in decisions to care for someone in the community; team processes which encourage compulsory admission to be seen as a 'last resort' option (such as being asked by peers in a non- threatening way to justify decisions); high bed occupancy rates and concerns about quality of local acute psychiatric wards. 	

Ridley J, Hunter S (2013) Subjective experiences of co	ompulsory treatment from a qualitative study of early implementation of the Mental Health
(Care & Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003. Health & Soc	ial Care In The Community 21: 509–18

Ridley J, Hunter S (2013) Subjective experiences of compulsory treatment from a qualitative study of early implementation of the Mental Health (Care & Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003. Health & Social Care In The Community 21: 509–18

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		"continue to see Dr B", and "see your CPN". So I presume that's what they mean by an aftercare plan?' (female, F, short term order) (p514).	
		The ethos of the MHCT Act and its underpinning principles emphasise a person-centred and holistic approach, however treatment under compulsion is largely equated with drug therapies.	
		 RQ5 Discharge 	
		'On the whole, participants reported poor planning and lack of involvement in hospital discharge, especially those leaving acute wards Assumptions were made about the capacity of relatives to assume or resume care once the person left hospital, particularly when carers had been regular visitors, though they often did not receive advice or information about how to care for someone after a period of acute illness. In contrast, there were some positive experiences including for one individual a phased return to the community, spending a few nights in his new community flat before moving out permanently' (p515).	

Scior K, Longo S (2005) In-patient psychiatric care: what we can learn from people with learning disabilities and their carers. Learning Disability Review 10: 22–33

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
---------------	------------	----------	--------------------

Scior K, Longo S (2005) In-patient psychiatric care: what we can learn from people with learning disabilities and their carers. Learning Disability Review 10: 22–33

	Demosterile		0
Research aims	Population		Quality assessment
Methodology Qualitative study 	 Adults (no specified age) with 	RQ4 Admissions	As far as can be ascertained from the
hospitals, comparing experience on both generic psychiatric wards and on specialist psychiatric wards	 Learning disability Carers/family members of people with MH conditions People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission) People NOT subject to the MHA (voluntary admission) Sample characteristics Level of need/diagnosis 17 had schizophrenia, 6 schizoaffective disorders, 3 mood disorders. Sample size 29 service users 14 on generic wards, with 15–25 beds, 50% of whom were 	The responses from SUs about admission were often related to the environment and social network they were admitted into e.g for some, admission was a respite and offered more social alternatives (to home): 'I saw lots of people there. I talked to the nurses. I liked their drinks and food and everything. I wish I was back there again' (GenSU11) (p25). Within the generic ward, SUs appeared to find more (a wider range) of patients they could talk to (consistent with the fact that the specialist units had a	 paper, how well was the study conducted? + Is a relatively small sample across a large population (10 inpatient units), but appears to convey well some important general principles. Relevance to the MH transition guideline Somewhat relevant
Outcomes (qualitative) • What can be improved • Experiences described	specialist LD assessment and treatment units, 6 of whom were admitted under MHA, rest voluntary; 10 carers of users admitted to each setting (20 in	Carers reported feeling very frustrated when trying to get assessment for admission, with disputes about who was responsible and poor support from professionals (inc. GP). Carers of people in general hospital did not trust staff to understand, support or protect their loved one: they sometimes felt even medication was too freely used to keep the person	

Scior K, Longo S (2005) In-patient psychiatric care: what we can learn from people with learning disabilities and their carers. Learning Disability Review 10: 22–33

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	psychiatric wards	quiet.	
		Carers felt more involved in specialist units, and more 'welcome' to visit and help the person, and to be involved in discussion of care and treatment:	
		⁶ When we arrived they sat us down and explained everything. They explained to X that if she was going to behave like in the old placement, they would have to restrain her The only thing that I found difficult to accept was that they had to restrain her. But it had to be done. And they were very supportive of her' (SpC8) (p27). However, there were concerns here too that drugs were used for sedative purposes.	
		Some carers also noted that, perhaps unexpectedly, their loved one enjoyed the ward environment and sociability.	
		'She liked the social interaction. After she left she was always ringing the hospital to speak to some of the clients and staff. I think it [the admission] was helpful because it took her away from home for a while and gave her a new experience, meeting new people' (GenC12) (p28).	
		In terms of assessment at admission and in the early days of the stay, carers felt that in the generalist ward, insufficient attention was made to the daily physical needs they had supported (e.g. help to dress, ensuring the person ate all their food), and this was exacerbated by staff's lack of involvement of	

Scior K, Longo S (2005) In-patient psychiatric care: what we can learn from people with learning disabilities and their carers. Learning Disab	oility
Review 10: 22–33	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		carers. There was also a tendency noted in generalist settings to attribute LD patterns of behaviour to mental illness.	

Sheehan K, Burns T (2011) F	Perceived coercion and the therape	utic relationship: a neglected association? Psychiatric	Services 62: 471-6
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Research aims Methodology • Cross-sectional study Relevance to RQ • RQ 4) Admission Study aim • To investigate the relationship (if any) between therapeutic relationship (with whom is not quite clear!) and perceived coercion during psychiatric admission. Source of funding • Other Oxford University scholarship. Country • UK	 Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission) 36 of the 164 patients were involuntarily admitted. People NOT subject to the MHA 	Outcomes (quantitative) • Perceived coercion Therapeutic relationship with staff (1 or more) Findings – narrative • RQ4 Admissions Although perceived coercion was also associated with involuntary admission, the logistic regression analysis suggested that the quality of therapeutic staff relationships modified the perception of coercion, even among involuntary patients. Hospital admission was seen as more coercive when patients viewed the admitted clinician negatively. Other studies have suggested that involuntary admission causes poor relationships, but this study suggests that we cannot take for granted that involuntary admission will enhance perceived coercion (i.e. through causality). Improving	Quality assessment Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of
• UK	All needed admission to 1 of 5 acute adult wards at 2 psychiatric hospitals in Oxford, England.	coercion (i.e. through causality). Improving therapeutic relationships can mitigate perceived coercion, and may have an impact on patients'	Overall assessment of external validity • +

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	 Previous admissions 113 of the 164 had been admitted before. 	experience of treatment, adherence to treatment and even outcomes.	
	Sample size 164 consecutive admissions to the designated 5 wards		
	Intervention Perceived coercion during admission 		

Smith V, Chouliara Z, Morris P, et al. (2014) The experience of specialist inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study from adult patients' perspectives. The Journal of Health Psychology

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology Qualitative study 	 Participants Adolescents with MH conditions 		As far as can be ascertained from the
Relevance to RQ • RQ 1) Service user views	• Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions	even el centrel el al infece union nad gevented the	paper, how well was the study conducted?
• RQ 4) Admission • RQ 5) Discharge	Sample characteristics • Sex		• + Relevance to the MH
• To explore the experience of ADULT women undergoing specialist inpatient treatment for Anorexia Nervosa	 Sample age 18-41 years. Most research in this field concentrates on adolescents 	'You don't have to control it anymore and you can give over that control it feels as if you are in that stage where you can't make any decisions so it is nice to have other people take over' (participant 17)	transition guidelineSomewhat relevant
NB: have extracted aspects	and may include the whole range of EDs, so this is felt to be an	'It was very scary thinking if I come into treatment I	

Smith V, Chouliara Z, Morris P, et al. (2014) The experience of specialist inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study from adult patients' perspectives. The Journal of Health Psychology

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	unusual study. • Previous admissions 13 had at least 1 previous admission, and 8 had had none. Sample size • 21 (of 31 eligible to take part)	have to hand over all control the eating disorder gave me. That made me feel very unsafe' (participant 3) (p5). While interviewees realised the need for control – over food behaviours, meals, eating etc – it contravened their 'coping strategies', which were associated with control. Some felt they needed to be more 'in the loop', more involved in treatment review meetings. Many commented on the stress of transition from home: 'I had gone from a stress-free home, you are just living with your illness Then I came in here I had a headache for the whole first week because it was so much' (participant 11) (p6). Although these feelings arose during admission, they triggered great anxiety about discharge (see discharge narrative findings). Interviewees also commented on the quality of staff/patient relationships (variable), the value of being (supported to) separate themselves from the illness which took up so much of their energies and capacities as they recovered, and the positive and negative aspects of having peer support from other patients (as this might easily reaffirm negative eating behaviour, competitive body comparisons, etc). • RQ5 Discharge	

Smith V, Chouliara Z, Morris P, et al. (2014) The experience of specialist inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study from adult patients' perspectives. The Journal of Health Psychology

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Transitions	
		As in narrative findings on admission, the loss of control over condition, life and coping, triggered great anxiety about future discharge (see admission narrative findings).	
		'You become dependent on it you feel it is your safe place almost. I am almost afraid to be here now because I have become quite attached' (participant 6) (p6).	
		 Loss of control and relapse was anticipated at discharge 	
		'You go from being an inpatient in here to going out and maybe seeing someone once a week there is very little support out there' (participant 1).	
		'I worry about going home full time … I hear this voice saying … it will be you and me again' (Participant 3) (both p6).	

Valenti E, Giacco D, Katasakou C (2014) Which values are important for patients during involuntary treatment? A qualitative study with psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Medical Ethics 40: 832–6			itative study with
Research aims Population Findings Quality assessment			

Valenti E, Giacco D, Katasakou C (2014) Which values are important for patients during involuntary treatment? A qualitative study with psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Medical Ethics 40: 832–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study	 Adults (no specified age) with 	RQ4 Admissions	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was
Relevance to RQ • RQ 4) Admission. Study aim • To explore the views of people admitted involuntarily into psychiatric hospitals to determine what values matter to them in this situation, where moral and ethical judgements take precedence. Source of funding • Government DH funded the work on which this was based. Country • UK Outcomes (qualitative) • experiences described	 (involuntary admission) Sample characteristics Sex Sex 25 female (42%), 34 male (58%). Ethnicity 63% white, 24% black, 10% Asian, 3% other Sample age 37.7 (SD 10.2) Level of need/diagnosis 49% schizophrenia, 36% affective disorder, 15% other; 70% had been hospitalised in the past. All admitted under s2-4 of MHA. Sample size 59 people, discharged with 'index' admission between 3 and 12 months previously (mean length of stay this admission 68 days (SD 58.9) from 22 hospitals. Intervention Involuntary admission 	whether they relate to admission or inpatient stay, but as most patients talked about themselves as being in	• ++ Relevance to the MH transition guideline

Valenti E, Giacco D, Katasakou C (2014) Which values are important for patients during involuntary treatment? A qualitative study with psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Medical Ethics 40: 832–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		said they had had some involvement in decision making on treatment.	
		 Recognition of risk and need for treatment 	
		86% agreed they had been unwell on admission, with most agreeing they had needed treatment and 27% needing a place of safety; 56% agreed they had beer a risk to self or others, including their children.	
		 Lack of respect among staff 	
		Considering the quality of staff, respect, including willingness to listen to the patient, emerged as an important value. 'I found out that sometimes they ignored you, they weren't really listening to what you were saying, they didn't sort of like treat you with respect. I didn't think it was respect, some of them the way spoke to you' (participant 5, female, aged 42) (p834).	
		Restriction of freedom on the ward, and (by implication) dependence on staff, was associated with labelling and stigma from staff by 36% of interviewees. 'You're on your guard all times when it got quiet, that was when I had a huge panic attack, and I just woke up in just urine. I had wet myself, because I'd passed out, and I was knocking the door asking if I could have some water. Look, please can I have some water, and a slightly sort of bully [responded] 'oh leave her, she's only faking it anyway' (participant 40, female, aged 41) (p834).	

	Journal of Medical Ethics 40: 8	es are important for patients during involuntary treatment? A qua 332–6	
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Conclusion	
		Patient values of freedom, safety and respect may well conflict with staff values (though these were not demonstrated) of 'life and health' (p835).	

Critical appraisal tables

Tables reporting impact studies

Review Question 5: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions, components of care packages and approaches designed to improve discharge from inpatient mental health settings?

Chiverton P, Tortoretti D, LaForest M, et al. (1999) Bridging the gap between psychiatric hospitalization and community care: cost and quality outcomes. Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association 5: 46–53

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology	Did the comparison groups		0,7	Internal validity
• RCT	receive the same care and	appropriate length to	recruitment, is poorly	• +
Is the study clear in what it		follow-up?	described.	Is the setting similar
seeks to do?	intervention/s studied?	• No	The main outcome is service	to the UK?
• Mixed		Ideally the follow-up would		 Unclear
Does not demonstrate the appropriate adherence to				Is there a clear focus on population of

	prest M, et al. (1999) Bridging th rican Psychiatric Nurses Associa		nospitalization and community	care: cost and quality
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
RCT methods – seems mainly to want to demonstrate that funding the service is worthwhile. Study aim • To investigate differences in quality indicators for patients receiving transitional case management at discharge from psychiatric inpatient unit (included mental health status, patient and carer satisfaction and costs caused by utilisation of ED and readmissions to inpatient care). Country • USA Relevance to our review question(s)? • Mixed. Discharge and also RR, but the model may be of more interest than the findings. Appropriate randomisation? • Unclear Not clear how the sample was	 Unclear Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? Unclear Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of performance bias effect. Unclear Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? Unclear No indication given that the intervention group were not fully assessed on MH measures at follow-up (controls were not) Dropout numbers 	 Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? Yes Used validated MH appraisal tools. Cost outcomes less certain - unclear how calculated. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? Unclear Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? Unclear 	health improvement, the control group were only assessed at baseline. Consequently, as the authors state, improvements in mental health cannot be attributed to the intervention. The study appears too motivated to demonstrate that the cost of the service was recouped through reduction in time spent in hospital. Only those on the intervention were surveyed for satisfaction (by post, only 27% response rate).	Are the outcomes

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
selected from this 28-bed unit. 'Block randomisation' (p49) not described. Adequate concealment of allocation? • Unclear Comparable groups at baseline? • Unclear They say so, but no comparative info is offered. Selection bias appraisal • High risk of bias Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk Direction of bias effect • Unclear	 Intervention drop-outs None stated. Comparison drop-outs None stated. Groups comparable on intervention completion? Unclear Controls not followed up, except through hospital admissions records. Missing outcome data Intervention: missing outcome data Patient and carer satisfaction on a very limited sample. No indication that intervention group did not comply with MH ratings. Comparator missing outcome data None taken except hospital records. Groups comparable on available data? Unclear Attrition bias appraisal 	Likely direction of detection bias effect • Unclear Do conclusions match findings? • Yes Conclusions are really about cost.		

Chiverton P, Tortoretti D, LaForest M, et al. (1999) Bridging the gap between psychiatric hospitalization and community care: cost and quality outcomes. Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association 5: 46–53						
Study design	Theoretical approach & data Analysis & reporting Limitations Quality ass collection					
	Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of attrition bias effect Unclear					

De Leo D, Heller T (2007) Intensive case management in suicide attempters following discharge from inpatient psy	chiatric care. Australian
Journal of Primary Health 13: 49–58	

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
• To evaluate the impact of an intensive case management 12-month follow-up of adults being discharged from psychiatric inpatient wards deemed (on past history) to	receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Unclear Note that many 'left' services and that the telephone component suffered heavy loss in the ICM group. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the	appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Given that this is a transient group, 12 months was ambitious.	method and aspects of methods such as blinding.	• -

De Leo D, Heller T (2007) Intensive case management in suicide attempters following discharge from inpatient psychiatric care. Australian Journal of Primary Health 13: 49–58

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
 Clear Relevance to our RQs? Yes Appropriate randomisation? Unclear Adequate concealment of allocation? Unclear Comparable groups at baseline? Yes. No significant differences in age; age when first attempted suicide, history of admission, length of index admissions. Selection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias Was selection bias present? Unclear Unclear/unknown risk Direction of bias effect Unclear 	Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • Unclear Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of performance bias effect • Unclear Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts 16 appear to have dropped out – became uncontactable – during the early period (not stated at what point of ICM). At completion at 12 months, 14 were left (of 30) in the ICM group.	beneficial in assisting the post-discharge phase of high-risk psychiatric patients' (abstract). Not a very useful conclusion.		relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Study design	Theoretical approach & data	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	collection			
	given. Groups comparable on intervention completion? • No			
	 Missing outcome data Intervention missing outcome data This paper is very unclear on how many people are assessed at 6 months (e.g Table 5), though it is clear that full data is only available at 12 months for 14 and 8 patients respectively (table 6). Comparator missing outcome data See above. 	6		
	Groups comparable on available data? • Unclear			
	Attrition bias appraisal High risk of bias (also A5) 			
	Likely direction of attrition bias effect • Unclear			

 \mathbf{T} . . .

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To assess the effectiveness of a brief 3-month critical time intervention (B-CTI) in improving continuity of care when people (veterans) are discharged from inpatient psychiatric treatment. Country • USA Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Unclear Random assignment – method not described. Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes Neither patients or providers could be blinded, but	Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Yes Detection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Likely direction of detection bias effect • Unclear	Methods (recruitment randomisation) are not very clearly reported. It is not entirely clear who delivers the intervention, whether they are hospital- or community-based, and whether the person loses contact with the person 3 months after discharge.	Internal validity • + This could have been a ++ if methods, e.g. of randomisation, had been a bit clearer. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Dixon L, Goldberg R, Iannone hospitalization. Psychiatric Se				er psychiatric inpatient
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
investigators were. Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Recruitment is from 4 inpatient units, but it is not very well described. Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk Direction of bias effect • Unclear				

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	control group. All had notes reviewed at 6 months.			
	Groups comparable on available data? • Yes			
	Attrition bias appraisal Low risk of bias 			
	Likely direction of attrition bias effect • Unclear			

Ebert D, Tarnowski T, Gollwitzer M, et al. (2013) A transdiagnostic internet-based maintenance treatment enhances the stability of outcome after inpatient cognitive behavioral therapy: a randomized controlled trial. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics 82: 246–56

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To evaluate the effectiveness of trans diagnostic internet-based maintenance treatment (TIMT) when compared to	Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes The intervention and control group did not differ in TAU received (outpatient psychotherapy, standardised	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes	Heterogeneous sample of mental health inpatients, mostly diagnosed with affective, anxiety, stress and somatoform disorders. People with psychotic	Internal validity • ++ Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest?

	zer M, et al. (2013) A transdiagno ioral therapy: a randomized cont			
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
treatment as usual (TAU) Country • Germany Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes Conducted by blinded administrative staff not otherwise involved in study. Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias	group maintenance therapy, anti-depressant medication). However, participants in the intervention group were less likely to take sedatives (p<0.001). Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts Dropped out before first web session n=9 (4.5%) 3 months	Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes HEALTH-49 self-report instrument used widely in the German healthcare system. Symptom Checklist German versions. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • No Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Yes Detection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? • Yes		 Yes Although people with psychotic disorders were not included. Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? Yes Are the outcomes relevant? Yes External validity +

Ebert D, Tarnowski T, Gollwitzer M, et al. (2013) A transdiagnostic internet-based maintenance treatment enhances the stability of outcome after inpatient cognitive behavioral therapy: a randomized controlled trial. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics 82: 246–56

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	follow-up; discontinued intervention n=31 (15.5%); 12 months follow-up n=12 • Comparison dropouts Data not provided by 3 months n=30 (15%) 12 months n=54 (22%).			
	Groups comparable on intervention completion? • No			
	Missing outcome data • Intervention Lost to follow up at 12 months n=69 (34.5%). • Comparison Lost to follow up at 12 months n=54 (22%).			
	Groups comparable on available data? • Yes			
	Intention to treat analysis used. Attrition bias appraisal • Low risk of bias			

	Beers M, et al. (2008) Develop ards to shelters and 'no fixed ad			
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • Pilot RCT using a cluster design Study aim • To develop and test an intervention to prevent homelessness associated with discharge directly to no fixed address. Country • Ontario, Canada Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes. Participants selected an envelope with their allocation inside. Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes. No statistically	Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers No drop outs. Groups comparable on	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • No Six months is very short to measure this outcome – however this is a pilot study. Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Unclear Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Yes Detection bias appraisal	Very small sample size (as pilot). Results are not presented transparently, i.e no tables presenting results, just narrative. Some of the inclusion/exclusion criteria for the population of study (such as no recent history of substance abuse, and only including those with a stable source of income, with no further definition of what this means) considerably limit the generalisability of the study. Study highlights problems within current system (in terms of people at risk of being homeless being discharged without any support or advocacy provided by housing services).	clearly relevant to

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
significant differences between groups at baseline.	intervention completion? • Yes	• Unclear/unknown risk of bias		
Selection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias	data Information on primary outcome (housing status) was obtained from all 14 participants. • Comparison missing outcome data Groups comparable on available data? • Yes			
	Attrition bias appraisal Low risk of bias 			

	on P, Hurford MO (2014) A pilot randomi an Psychiatric Nurses Association 20: 3		transitional care model for acu	e psychiatric conditions.	
Study design Theoretical approach & data Analysis & reporting Limitations Quality assessmer collection					
Methodology • RCT	Did the comparison groups receive the same care and	Did the study have an appropriate length to	Very small samples, time frame insufficient, use of	Internal validity • -	
Study aim	support apart from the intervention/s studied?	follow-up? • Unclear	self-report bias, a very low threshold of statistical	Is the setting similar	

Hanrahan NP, Solomon P, Hurford MO (2014) A pilot randomized control trial: testing a transitional care model for acute psychiatric conditions. The Journal of American Psychiatric Nurses Association 20: 315–27				
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
 To implement a pilot RCT to consider effectiveness of a transitional care model (TCM) with people transferring from psychiatric hospital to home, who also have a physical health condition. Country US Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? Yes Appropriate randomisation? Yes Adequate concealment of allocation? No Comparable groups at baseline? Unclear Selection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 	As practitioners knew who was in which group, TAU discharge planning may have been adapted. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal • High risk of bias Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts: 18 patients in IG were analysed up to 12 weeks (of 20)	Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Unclear Self report used on service use – was said to be unreliable in some cases. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • No Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • No Detection bias appraisal		to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Direction of bias effect • Unclear	 patients from CG were followed up to 12 weeks Groups comparable on intervention completion? Unclear. Too small to generalise Missing outcome data Intervention missing outcome data Not reported Comparator missing outcome data Not reported Groups comparable on available data? Unclear Attrition bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of attrition bias effect Unclear 	 Unclear Do conclusions match findings? No Conclusion is that more staff with different specialties – social workers, more NPs and peer support workers – are needed to maximise impac of such a service. This is unproven. 		

Herman DB, Conover S, Gor discharge. Psychiatric Servic	oochurn P, et al. (2011) Randon es 62: 713–19	nized trial of critical time inte	ervention to prevent homeless	ness after hospital
Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To assess the effectiveness of a Critical Time Interventior (CTI) model in reducing homelessness for persons with severe mental illness who were discharged from inpatient psychiatric treatmer facilities. Country • New York, US Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation • Yes Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes. The administrator who oversaw randomisation process was not blind to treatment status during	 Yes. All patients received basic discharge planning services and access to psychiatric treatment. After discharge, participants in both conditions received a range of usual community-based services, depending on the individual's needs, preferences and living situation. In addition to the above, the experimental group received 9 months of CTI. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? No 	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Yes Detection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	to the CTI group did not receive all components of the intervention. One of the key points of the CTI model is that workers establish a working relationship with the client prior to their discharge to the community. Previously, 3 points of contact has been established as the threshold which is minimally sufficient for this purpose; 42 participants (56%) received 3 or more such contacts while 35 (44%) received 2 or fewer contacts.	Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
allocation but was not involved in any other part of the study.	 No Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 			
Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes	Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes			
Selection bias appraisal Low risk of bias 	 Dropout numbers Intervention dropouts 19 dropouts 11 refused and 8 lost. Comparison dropouts 14 drop outs 7 refused, 5 lost, 2 deceased. 	2		
	Groups comparable on intervention completion? • Unclear 75% of the CTI group completed the 18-month follow- up 80% of the control group completed the 18-month follow- up. Complete follow up data were obtained for significantly more males than females (85% of males vs 58% of females). Those with a substance			

Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	dependence diagnosis were more likely to complete follow- up (91% of substance dependant vs 61% of non- substance dependent).			
	 Missing outcome data Intervention missing outcome data same as dropout Comparison missing outcome data; same as dropout 			
	Groups comparable on available data? • Yes			
	Multiple imputation procedures were carried out to verify findings in light of missing data, including intention to treat (ITT) comparison testing.			
	Attrition bias appraisal Low risk of bias 			

Motto JA, Bostrom AG (2001) A randomized controlled trial of post-crisis suicide prevention. Psychiatric Services 52: 828–33				
, ,	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment

Motto JA, Bostrom AG (2001)	A randomized controlled trial of	post-crisis suicide preventio	n. Psychiatric Services 52: 82	8–33
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To test 3 hypotheses (final 1 most important?) in letter contacts to people at risk of suicide (following depressive or suicidal motive for hospitalisation): sense of isolation of person at risk of suicide would be reduced by some 'connection'; contact must make no demands on recipient; systematic programme would help prevent suicide in this group if they refuse assistance from MH services. Country • US Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes	Performance bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Likely direction of performance bias effect • Unclear Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Unclear Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear Detection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Likely direction of detection bias effect • Unclear Do conclusions match	systematic differences might exist between those accepting or not accepting formal therapy (which may be important as suicide rates are higher in those in treatment). Little is known about the characteristics of the groups at baseline.	Is the setting similar to the UK?

Motto JA, Bostrom AG (2001)	A randomized controlled trial of	· · ·		es 52: 828–33
Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Though not described.	Comparison dropouts	findings?		use modern means of
Adequate concealment of	None except those dying.	• Yes		communication.
allocation? • N/A	Groups comparable on intervention completion?			
Does not appear that subjects knew they were in a study.	• N/A Missing outcome data			
Comparable groups at baseline? • Unclear	 Intervention missing outcome data None as main data and 			
Only age and sex is given – not, e.g., diagnosis	outcome is death. • Comparison missing outcome			
Selection bias appraisal Low risk of bias 	data None as main data and outcome is death.			
Was selection bias present? • Low risk of bias Authors treated contact group as initiated throughout study so any effect might be minimised. However, there may be some systematic bias in those who refuse further treatment after discharge.	Groups comparable on available data? • Yes Attrition bias appraisal • Low risk of bias			
Direction of bias effect Unclear Possibly in favour of intervention. 				

Naji SA, Howie FL, Cameron IM, et al. (1999) Discharging psychiatric in-patients back to primary care: A pragmatic randomized controlled trial of a novel discharge protocol. Primary Care Psychiatry 5: 109–15

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Study design Methodology • RCT Study aim • To evaluate a novel aspect of discharge, improving communication with GPs to facilitate patient engagement with primary care services Country • UK Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Computerised.	collection Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • No Liaison with 19 patients in TAU group took place on clinical grounds. Calls to general practice took place in 86% of cases in intervention group, but the GP could not always be spoken to (not stated). Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated?	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • Unclear The immediate concern was rating post-discharge effect on symptoms – so 1 month is reasonable; 6 months is relatively short	This study has suggested some benefits to patients discharged to primary care in that the intervention group encouraged people to make (significantly) more use of GP services, which appear to be associated with a trend to fewer admissions. But the study is small, the intervention is not dramatically different from usual practice, and it may not be possible to implement this in practice when many GPs and psychiatrists consider it a potential	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes
Adequate concealment of allocation? • Unclear Researchers but not patients (after randomisation) or	 support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? No Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 	Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Yes		the intervention is not dramatically different from TAU and the findings are not significant. However,

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
clinicians by implication were blinded to allocation. Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk Uncertain whether appropriate characteristics were matched. Direction of bias effect • Unclear	 Dropout numbers Patients completed 	Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear Detection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Likely direction of detection bias effect • Unclear Do conclusions match findings? • Partly Perhaps more strongly stated that results justify.		this is consistent with study results that might be generalisable.

Study design	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	• Yes			
	Missing outcome data •Nos completing questionnaire at discharge: 132 (79%) of in and 133 (76%) of TAU group; at 1 month 106 (63%) in novel group and 111 (64%) in TAU group.			
	Groups comparable on available data? • Yes			
	Attrition bias appraisal Low risk of bias 			
	Likely direction of attrition bias effect • Unclear			

Omer S, Priebe S, Giacco D (2014) Continuity across inpatient and outpatient mental health care or specialisation of teams? A systematic review. European Psychiatry: The Journal Of The Association Of European Psychiatrists 30: 258–70				
Study design/theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment

	2014) Continuity across inpatien The Journal Of The Association			ams? A systematic
Study aim • To systematically appraise evidence comparing continuity of care vs specialisation across inpatient and outpatient MH settings. To be included, there has to be more than a follow-up appointment with consultant: needs to be 'continuous clinical responsibility across treatment settings'. Country • Range of countries Australia, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, UK, USA.	Methodology • Systematic appraisal using narrative synthesis Clearly focused question? • Yes Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Inclusion of relevant individual studies? • Somewhat relevant Rigorous literature search? • Partly rigorous Five bibliographic databases were searched and grey literature. Study quality assessed and reported? • Yes	Adequate description of methodology? • Yes Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	cohort studies) would not have met our own inclusion criteria. - The wide variation in the	Relevant to social care outcomes? • Yes Does the review have a UK perspective? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity

2014) Continuity across inpatient The Journal Of The Association (•	•	ams? A systematic
		validity raises concerns about what is causing the positive effect.	

Puschner B, Steffen S, Volker K, et al. (2011) Needs-oriented discharge planning for high utilisers of psychiatric services: multicentre randomised controlled trial. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences 20: 181–92

Study design/theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To test the effect of a needs- oriented discharge planning intervention on the number and duration of psychiatric inpatient treatment episodes (primary), as well as on outpatient service use, needs, psychopathology, depression and quality of life (secondary). Country • Germany Five psychiatric hospitals.	Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention?	on contextual factors – e.g. what constitutes 'usual care' for the control group.	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • No Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes

Study design/theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes. Conducted by independent unit. Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias	Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts Attrition total (loss between T0	 Unclear Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? Yes Detection bias appraisal Low risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? Yes 		relevant? • Unclear External validity • +

Rosen CS, Tiet QQ, Harris AH, et al. (2013) Telephone monitoring and support after discharge from residential PTSD treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Psychiatric Services 14: 13–20

Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting		Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To assess whether adding a telephone care management protocol to usual aftercare improved the outcomes of veterans in the year after they were discharged from residential treatment for PTSD Country • US Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Mixed Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes Efron randomisation by someone	support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes. Followed up at 4	appropriate length to follow-up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Unclear Self-report used in questionnaire form. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • No	patients who sought intensive residential treatment in the VA health care system, where aftercare was readily available. Telephone case monitoring might function differently in other treatment environments.	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear External validity • +

Rosen CS, Tiet QQ, Harris AH, et al controlled trial. Psychiatric Services		and support after discharge	e from residential PTSD t	reatment: a randomized
Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
blind to participant's treatment histories. Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias	 Intervention dropouts At 4 months telephone care: 20 discontinued intervention 4 deceased. Comparison dropouts 	Do conclusions match findings? • Yes		

Rosen CS, Tiet QQ, Harris AH, et al. (2013) Telephone monitoring and support after discharge from residential PTSD treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Psychiatric Services 14: 13–20

Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data Analysis & reporting collection	Limitations	Quality assessment
	analysis conducted, and similar numbers returned survey from each group: telephone completed either 4- month or 12-month survey, 75% (n=310) TAU completed either 4-month or 12-month survey, 77% (n=328).		
	Attrition bias appraisal Low risk of bias 		

Swanson AJ, Pantalon MV, Cohen k patients. The Journal of Nervous and		wing and treatment adheren	ce among psychiatric and d	ually diagnosed
	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting		Quality assessment
	baseline?	appropriate length to	No formal attention control group used to rule out	Internal validity • +
motivational interviewing intervention on outpatient treatment adherence among psychiatric and dually diagnosed inpatients. Country	There were no significant differences between the 2 groups on pre-treatment levels of motivation, nor were there statistically significant differences between the 2	 Unclear The study aimed to only assess attendance at first admission, but there is a need for longer follow-up of outcomes to assess 	effect of the intervention group could have resulted from extra attention given, as opposed to the motivational interviewing technique itself. The same	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes

Swanson AJ, Pantalon MV, Cohen I patients. The Journal of Nervous an		wing and treatment adheren	ce among psychiatric and d	ually diagnosed
Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Two inner-city private, not-for-profit hospitals. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes. Random number tables. Adequate concealment of allocation? • No	referred to the different outpatient sites ([chi]2=3.94, df=3, p=.268). Selection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who	Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Unclear Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear Detection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	the change assessment also assigned the allocations to the control or intervention groups using the random number table. Follow-up of outcomes did not go beyond attendance of the first aftercare appointment – longer-term outcomes needed. Funding not stated.	• Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity

Study design/ theoretical approach	Theoretical approach & data Analysis & reporting collection	Limitations	Quality assessment
	Yes Research assistants and onsite personnel checked databases to verify first aftercare appointment attendance.		
	Dropout numbers Intervention dropouts None. Comparison dropouts None. 		
	Groups comparable on intervention completion? • Yes		
	Missing outcome data Intervention missing outcome data None. Comparison missing outcome data None.		
	Groups comparable on available data? • Yes		
	Attrition bias appraisal • Low risk of bias		

Findings tables Tables reporting impact studies

Review Question 5: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions, components of care packages and approaches designed to improve discharge from inpatient mental health settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 126 of 434

Chiverton P, Tortoretti D, LaForest M, et al. (1999) Bridging the gap between psychiatric hospitalization and community care: cost and quality outcomes. Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association 5: 46–53

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To investigate differences in quality indicators for patients receiving transitional case management at discharge from psychiatric inpatient	with MH conditions Sample size	Satisfaction survey with likert scales developed for patients	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear
unit (included mental health status, patient and carer satisfaction and costs caused by utilisation of ED and readmissions to inpatient care).	Sample characteristics Sex Male: 68% of total sample (n=165) Sample age 	 Service outcomes Costs of service use measured (at 10 weeks post- discharge) Costs Patterns of service use RQ 5 - Discharge 	focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention
Source of funding • University of Rochester Medical Centre Country • USA	Of total sample, 65% had affective disorder, 18% schizophrenia, 6% dementia. Intervention • The intervention trialled is transitional case management	Findings – effect sizes • Between discharge and end of the project, those in the intervention group showed some improvement on the Beck Depression Inventory (p=.0001), but those in TAU group were not measured But the authors do say in discussion that this therefore cannot be attributed to the intervention. No effect size was	clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	 months. 'Case management is a purposeful interaction coordinated among multiple providers with the intention of meeting the client's needs (p47). Nursing actions included a minimum of 3 home (or care home) visits and 5 telephone contacts, based on patient need. Care plans designed for the project included medical stability, medication adherence, symptoms sufficiently controlled to maintain discharge, sleep, suicide risk and violence potential reduced, attendance of outpatient services. Nurses provided education to patient and family on how to implement the plan of care. Examples of admission avoidance were arranging food for a family 	given. Nine patients in the intervention group and 16 patients in TAU were readmitted during 10 weeks (for a total of 181 days costing \$125,000 for the int. group, and a total of 408 days costing \$320,000 for the TAU group). One patient in int. group, and 18 in the control group were seen in emergency dept. during the 10 weeks. Taking into account the case management cost (\$234 pp), the intervention group still showed savings of over \$175,000 in 10 weeks. Surveys (27% of intervention group sample responded) showed 96% of these were very pleased with the services received. 95% of Carers were very pleased. TAU group not surveyed. Findings – narrative • RQ5 Discharge In relation to the intervention (transitional case management for 3 months post discharge), there was evidence of mental health improvement (on BDI but not on MMSE), high patient and carer satisfaction and fewer readmissions and ED use within the 10 weeks from discharge. However, this is not a very rigorous study, and no comparative ratings were made on the first 2 outcomes above – TAU patients' records were investigated only for service use, so the other findings cannot be attributed to TCM. This is described as a 'demonstration project' and seeks to demonstrate it is worthwhile funding this service.	

Chiverton P, Tortoretti D, LaForest M, et al. (1999) Bridging the gap between psychiatric hospitalization and community care: cost and quality outcomes. Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association 5: 46–53

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	 Follow-up OR time of interview All measured on mental health at discharge, BUT it appears that only the int. group were measured again, at discharge from the case management intervention. Patients and their carers from the intervention group had satisfaction survey (posted): 27% only responded. Costs measured at 10 weeks, i.e. cost of service, 	,	

De Leo D, Heller T (2007) Intensive case management in suicide attempters following discharge from inpatient psychiatric care. Australian Journal of Primary Health 13: 49–58

Research aims	Population		Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes 2 (quant)	Internal validity
• RCT	• Adults (no specified age) with MH	Clinical outcome	• -
Study aim	conditions	• Quality of life	Is the setting
 To evaluate the impact o 	fSample size		similar to the
an intensive case	 Intervention number: 30 		UK?
management 12-month	Comparison number: 30	Service outcomes	• Yes
follow-up of adults being	Sample size: 60	Findings – effect sizes	Is there a clear
discharged from		• This is a very small study with high attrition rates, so these	focus on
psychiatric inpatient wards	• Sex	reported findings are condensed	population of

De Leo D, Heller T (2007) Intensive case management in suicide attempters following discharge from inpatient psychiatric care. Australian Journal of Primary Health 13: 49–58

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
deemed (on past history) to be at high risk of suicide. Source of funding • Government Country • Australia	Males only (higher suicide rate). • Sample age 18+. ICM group 34 (24-59). TAU group 37 (19-62). • Level of need/diagnosis Had a current admission at the local psychiatric ward due to severe suicidal ideation and/or attempt as reason for hospitalisation. • Previous admissions At least 1. Intervention • Intensive case management for 1 year including: weekly face-to-face sessions with CM, at home where appropriate; focus on problem- solving, improving help-seeking behaviour; empowering clients to function in community (e.g. accommodation and work); having same case manager throughout; access to telephone counselling service, consisting of 2 calls per week from trained counsellors. Other features include small	scores was observed from 7.50 at six months post-discharge to 4.43 at the end of the treatment in the ICM group [t(13)=2.26, p<.05] Quality of life improved from discharge to 12 months in the ICM group [t(13)=2.30, p<.05]. This was characterised by significant improvements in Physical Health over the treatment period [t(13)=2.17, p<.05] and in Psychological Quality of Life in the first six months post- discharge [t(13)= 2.19, p<.05] and the 12-month period [t(13)=2.40, p <.05]. Furthermore, ICM participants reported improvements in general quality of life [t(13)=2.74, p <.05] and satisfaction with health [t(13)=2.39, p <.05] over the 12-	to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

De Leo D, Heller T (2007) Intensive case management in suicide attempters following discharge from inpatient psychiatric care. Australian Journal of Primary Health 13: 49–58

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	building and empowerment; linkage and brokerage with other services; 14 participants in ICM group who completed had average of 49.75 weeks (range 23–62). Telephone appointments averaged 20, since it	satisfaction with the services they received in comparison to participants in the TAU group. This was in terms of overall satisfaction, professionals' skills & behaviour, and efficacy, but a trend was noted also for (better) information and access' (p55). Findings – narrative • RQ5 Discharge There were no suicides in either group. Levels of depression (BDI) and hopelessness improved significantly over the first 6 months, and levels of suicidal ideation dropped at 6 and 122 months in the ICM. Quality of life – physical, psychological and general improved over the 12 months in the ICM group. At 12 months after discharge, 12 people (86%) were still in contact with case manager, and only 2 (25%) of treatment group (receiving standard case management) were. Therapist-client relationships were significantly better on the Bond sub-scales at 6 and 12 months in the ICM group, and satisfaction with services (overall, professional skills, information, access and efficacy) were all significantly higher for ICM clients.	

Dixon L, Goldberg R, Iannone V, et al. (2009) Use of a critical time intervention to promote continuity of care after psychiatric inpatient hospitalization. Psychiatric Services 60: 451–8

Research aims	Population	•	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To assess the effectiveness of a brief 3- month critical time intervention (B-CTI) in improving continuity of care when people (veterans) are discharged from inpatient psychiatric treatment. Source of funding • Government Dept. of Veteran Affairs. Country • USA	 Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions Sample size Intervention number: 64 Comparison numbers: 71 Sample size: 135 Sample characteristics Sex Sample characteristics Sex Sample age Eligible if 18–70. Mean age overall 48 years, mean age in int. group 47, 49 in control group (SDs given). Level of need/diagnosis 50 of 135 total schizophrenia or psychotic illness (33% IG, 41% CG); rest had major depression/mood disorders. Intervention Brief critical time intervention (B-CTI). This is a brief 3-month intervention to integrate people discharged from psychiatric 	 Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome Quality of life QOLI, a 33 item scale, used. Satisfaction Service outcomes Findings – effect sizes Significant effect sizes Participants in the B-CTI group reported receiving more help in making and keeping appointments for mental healthcare: difference 7.15 @ 95 CI, 2.99–17.14, df 1, p=<.001. Participants in the B-CTI group reported receiving more help in making and keeping appointments for medical health care: difference 6.93 @ 95% CI, 3.04–15.78, df 1, p=<.001. Small differences in favour of B-CTI in making family contact (p=.003) and community connections (p=.006), and receiving information on prescribed medications p=.012). (Full details given, but uncertain what is clinically significant as we do not know the scales.) Compared with controls, the IG had significantly fewer days between discharge and first MH appointment: 3.5 vs 15 days (medians): 2.73 @ 95% CI, 1.80–4.15, df 1, p=<.001. A greater proportion of IG had 1 or more such appointments within 30 and 180 days (both given), and a greater number overall during that time (20.8 vs 10.08, effect size 3.24@ 95% CI 1.58–2.91, df 1, p=<.001). 	assessment Internal validity • + (This could have been a ++ if methods, e.g. of randomisation, had been a bit clearer.) Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant
	based care, social support and other services (based on a longer	Within the QOLI, IG members described significantly higher levels of satisfaction with legal and safety issues (5.30 +/-1.5	

Dixon L, Goldberg R, Iannone V, et al. (2009) Use of a critical time intervention to promote continuity of care after psychiatric inpatient hospitalization. Psychiatric Services 60: 451–8

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	intervention). The intervention is delivered by a trained nursing or social work practitioner (unclear if	vs 4.72 +/- 1.5, p+.026) and greater frequency of social contact (2.92 +/- 1.15 vs 2.40+/- 1.08, p=.013).	
	inpatient practitioner, although it does start with meeting up before discharge, to establish rapport,	Findings – narrative • RQ5 Discharge Overall satisfaction with services did not differ, participants	
	develop goals, identify barriers and plan case management. Overall aims are to bridge the transition and facilitate engagement with community-based services.	in the B-CTI group reported receiving more help in making and keeping medical and mental health appointments, making family contact and community connections, and receiving information on prescribed medications.	
	Systems coordination and psychiatric stabilisation, together with 2–3 other targets (from a list of	Compared with controls, those receiving the intervention had significantly fewer days between discharge and their first outpatient appointment, and a greater proportion had 1 or more such appointments within 30 and 180 days, and a	
		greater number overall during that time. Using the quality of life tool, members described significantly higher levels of satisfaction with legal and safety issues and greater frequency of social contacts. Their experience also reflected receiving significantly more help in making and keeping	
	months – SDs were given). Fidelity to the model was rated independently.	healthcare appointments (for mental and physical disorders). The study suggests that B-CTI targeted at point of inpatient discharge can help promote continuity of care. Changes to	
	 Follow-up OR time of interview Patient reported data collected at baseline and 3 months later (including satisfaction with 	mental health were not identified, but patients did appear to have more contact with community health services, which is a definition of continuity of care.	

Dixon L, Goldberg R, Iannone V, et al. (2009) Use of a critical time intervention to promote continuity of care after psychiatric inpatient hospitalization. Psychiatric Services 60: 451–8

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	services). Chart reviews from health records collected at 6 months post-discharge.		

Ebert D, Tarnowski T, Gollwitzer M, et al. (2013) A transdiagnostic internet-based maintenance treatment enhances the stability of outcome after inpatient cognitive behavioral therapy: a randomized controlled trial. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics 82: 246–56

Research aims	Population	Finding	gs						Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT	•							Internal validity ++	
Study aim • To evaluate the effectiveness of transdiagnostic internet- based maintenance treatment (TIMT) when	Inclusion criteria • At least 18 years old • Met criteria for a mental disorder according to ICD-10 -spoke German fluently • Had basic reading and writing	Follow-ups D=deteriorated S=stabilised						Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on	
compared to treatment as usual (TAU)	 Had access to a computer with as 	GPS	TIMT-	-TAU	TAU		X2	р	population of interest?
Country • Germany Intervention • Treatment as usual	internet connection (internet	T2- T3	D 9 (5.2)	S 164 (94.8)	D 31 (18.2 4)	S 139 (81.7)	14.14	<0.001	• Yes Although the population does not include

Research aims	Population	Finding	19							Quality
	ropulation	r manių	J 2							assessment
(TAU) Following inpatient treatment, all participants had unstructured access	 Acute alcohol or substance dependence Significant risk of suicide 	T2- T4	15 (11. 45)	116 (88.55)	43 (29. 5)	.4	103 (70.55)	13.52	<0.001	people with psychotic disorders. Is the
to outpatient psychotherapy and	Sample size • Intervention n=200 TAU n=200.	Remiss GPS	TIMT+	ollow-up TAU		TAU	I	X2	р	intervention
standardised outpatient	Total n=400.	T2- T3	95 (55	.23)		72(4	2.35)	5.68	0.02	to RQ(s)? • Yes
maintenance treatment. TIMT + TAU (intervention)	Sample characteristics • Sex Female (%) [.]	T2- T4	74(56	48)		54(3	6.98)	8.43	0.004	Are the outcomes
In addition to TAU the TIMT group had a 12-	Intervention 147 (73.5). TAU 151 (75.5).	Recove	ery at fo	low-up				I	1	relevant? • Yes
week TIMT. The main focus was to support	 Ethnicity Nationality: German(%) 	GPS	TIMT+	TAU		TAU		X²	р	External validity
patients in continual use of the skills acquired during		T2- T3								• +
inpatient treatment. TIMT works to help patients to	• Sample age Age (mean SD), years	T2- T4	61 (46	.56)		49 (3	33.56)	0.09	0.003	
identify activities which they found helpful and systematically integrate them into their daily routines. There are 5 core	F30-F39 mood (affective) disorders Intervention 108 (54)	original	paper	st primary or extens						
components: 1) Generation of a personal development	onents: eneration of a F40-F48 neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders The TIMT + TAU group was superior to the TAU-only group with regard to differences in change of general									

1.111 . . _ . . (----. •

Ebert D, Tarnowski T, Gollwitzer M, et al. (2013) A transdiagnostic internet-based maintenance treatment enhances the stability of outcome	٦
after inpatient cognitive behavioral therapy: a randomized controlled trial. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics 82: 246–56	

Research aims	Population	•	Quality assessment
they want to achieve during the intervention phase; implementation intentions, including	TAO4 (2)OtherIntervention 3 (1.5)TAU7 (3.5)Remission at dischargeIntervention 94 (47)TAU93 (46.5)	and 12-month follow-up. Those in the intervention group also showed less frequent symptom deteriorations and were more often in remission/recovery than controls. Significantly more patients of the intervention group were in remission at each follow-up than in the TAU-only group. Intervention patients were 68% more likely to be remitted at 3-month follow-up than TAU-only patients (odds ratio=1.68), and they were more likely to be in remission at 12-month follow-up (odds ratio=2.21). After having achieved remission, significantly more intervention participants were still remitted at 12-month follow-up and achieved recovery compared to TAU-only patients. Intervention participants were 73% more likely to be recovered at 12-month follow-up than TAU-only patients (odds ratio = 1.73).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
 B) Online peer suppor group. Sub-groups consisting of 3 to 6 pe are asked to give asynchronous online eedback to each othe heir web diaries. Coach support. We asynchronous written online feedback from a herapist regarding patient's web diaries. 	er on ekly a		
5) TIMT includes weel monitoring of psychopathological symptoms.	kly		

Forchuk C, MacClure SK, Van Beers M, et al. (2008) Developing and testing an intervention to prevent homelessness among individuals discharged from psychiatric wards to shelters and 'no fixed address'. Journal of Psychiatric Mental Health Nursing 15: 569–75							
Research aims Population Findings Quality assessment							
0,	Participants Adults (no specified age) with 		Internal validity • +				

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
design Study aim • To develop and test an intervention to prevent homelessness associated with discharge directly to no fixed address. Source of funding • Not reported Country • Ontario, Canada Intervention • Assistance and advocacy in finding affordable housing, a community housing advocate from the Canadian Mental Health Association (CMHA) came to the ward immediately. Normally, because of high caseload and backlog, only around half of referred patients are discharged before the housing advocate can see them. A streamlined process of obtaining community start- up funds to cover first and	Exclusion criteria • Refusing treatment recommendations against medical advice discharge • Recent history of drug or alcohol abuse within the past 3 months • History of violence Sample size • Intervention n=7 Control n=7 Total n=14	 Housing status Findings – narrative RQ5 Discharge All individuals in the intervention group attained independent housing prior to, or within 2 days of discharge and maintained housing when interviewed at the 3- and 6-month period. All but 1 individual in the control group did not attain housing and remained homeless at the 3- and 6-month period (Pearson c2, fisher exact, p<0.001). The exception joined the sex trade to avoid homelessness' (p573). The results of the pilot were so dramatic that it was deemed inappropriate to keep randomising to the control group and plans were made to start routinely implementing the intervention. 	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear External validity • -

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
ast months' rent, to attemp	• Sex		
o have this available in a	Female		
lay.	Intervention n=3 Control n=2		
	Male		
	Intervention n=4 Control n=5		
	• Sample age		
	Mean years (SD)		
	Intervention: 35 (15.2) Control: 31 (13.4)		
	Range		
	Intervention: 21–63		
	Control: 18–52		
	 Level of need/diagnosis 		
	Primary diagnosis (self-		
	reported).		
	Schizophrenia.		
	Intervention: 3 Control: 2.		
	Mood disorder intervention		
	Intervention : 4 Control: 2.		
	Anxiety disorder		
	Intervention: 2 Control: 0.		
	Other		
	Intervention: 1 Control: 0.		
	 Previous admissions 		
	Length of admission (weeks)		
	Mean (SD)		

Forchuk C, MacClure SK, Van Beers M, et al. (2008) Developing and testing an intervention to prevent homelessness among individuals discharged from psychiatric wards to shelters and 'no fixed address'. Journal of Psychiatric Mental Health Nursing 15: 569–75				
Research aims Population		Findings	Quality assessment	
	Intervention: 3.36 (5.6 1.67 (0.7)	i) Control:		
	Follow-up or time of ir • 3 and 6 months.	nterview		

Hanrahan NP, Solomon P, Hurford MO (2014) A pilot randomized control trial: testing a transitional care model for acute psychiatric conditions. The Journal of American Psychiatric Nurses Association 20: 315–27

Research aims	Population		Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT	Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH 		Internal validity
Study aim	conditions	Service Outcomes	Is the setting
• To implement a pilot RCT to consider			similar to the UK?
effectiveness of a transitional care model	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Findings – effect sizes	• Yes
(TCM) with people transferring from psychiatric hospital to home, who also have a physical health condition.	Sample characteristics	Both groups' scores indicate moderate disability at baseline, and for most domains, an increase of 5 or more points from baseline to 12 weeks (domains that improved \geq 5 points were physical functioning, role limitation, bodily pain, and	population of interest? • Yes
Source of funding • Voluntary/charity Country	African-American. • Sample age	higher (but not statistically significant) average baseline scores than the intervention group on physical functioning,	Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)?

Research aims	Population		Quality assessment
US	Selected for prominence in sample: psychiatric condition (some have more than 1): 65% in CG, 50% in IG, major depression; 40% and 30% schizoaffective disorder; 25% and 10 BPD; 10% and 25%	subscale scores at 12 weeks' (p320). No significant differences (say at p=.01) were demonstrated. On continuity of care:	• Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes
	schizophrenia. Medical condition (may be more than 1): 40% and 60 % endocrine condition (including diabetes); 55% and 40% hypertension; 30% and 10% reflux disease (partial reporting here). • Socioeconomic position Education and income show that short education, being on low income, and single were dominant	provider appointments documented on the discharge plan. Of the documented provider appointments at discharge, significantly fewer members of the intervention group were	External validity • +
	Intervention • IG received TAU plus the care of a psychiatric nurse practitioner (NP) for 90 days post discharge. The NP visited patient in hospital, and at home within 24 hours of discharge, and was then available to patient 24/7 via email and phone. NP focused on managing	The intervention group had statistically significant higher mean use of rehospitalization (56.6%) compared with the control group (23.5%; p=.025). Notably, the control group had no medical hospitalizations whereas 22.2% of the intervention group had medical hospitalizations (p=.042). The intervention group showed a slightly lower use of the emergency department (ED) for psychiatric (5.6%) and medical (22.2%) problems compared with the control group (5.9% and 29.4%, respectively), but these differences were	

Research aims	Population	-	Quality assessment
	risk of decline, problem behaviours, assessing and managing physical symptoms and preventing functional decline, promoting adherence to therapy and helping case managers understand	not statistically significant. Reasons for rehospitalization and emergency room use included unstable housing (33%); conflicts in relations with family, friends, or residential staff (35%); lack of insurance or treatment (22%); substance use (44%); psychiatric symptoms (65%); and medical problems (25%).' (p322–3).	
	integrated mental and physical care approach. She could also	Findings – narrative • RQ5 Discharge	
	prescribe (refills, generally). Follow-up OR time of interview • Six and 12 weeks after discharge (NP service spanned 12 weeks or 90 days).	Both groups showed some improvement in HRQOL, including in MH aspects, over the 12 weeks of the study, and the intervention group (the authors' suggest, but not convincingly) showed 'clinically significant improvement' in general health scores over the study.	
		The intervention group had significantly higher use of rehospitalisation (56%) compared with control group (23.5%); 22% of IG had hospitalisations for medical care, compared with none in the CG. The IG showed a slightly lower, non-significant use of the emergency department for psychiatric and medical problems.	
		It appears that the intervention increased readmissions – especially for medical care (non-psychiatric), though the numbers are too small to be conclusive. The limitations of a single nurse practitioner (NP) working alone suggest that potential benefits could not be realised, though there may be evidence here that better surveillance, especially of physical health, led to more hospitalisation. This may of course be a	

Research aims	Research aims Population Findings Quality				
conditions. The Journ	al of American Psychiatric	Nurses Association 20: 315–27			
Hanrahan NP, Solom	Hanrahan NP, Solomon P, Hurford MO (2014) A pilot randomized control trial: testing a transitional care model for acute psychiatric				

Research aims	Population	rindings	assessment
		demonstrate reduction in hospitalisation). Authors conclude that a team approach (including physical health specialists and a social worker) would be more beneficial.	

Herman DB, Conover S, Gorroochurn P, et al. (2011) Randomized trial of critical time intervention to prevent homelessness after hospital discharge. Psychiatric Services 62: 713–9

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
 RCT Study aim To assess the effectiveness of a critical time intervention (CTI) model in reducing 	 Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions People who had a diagnosis of a psychotic disorder and were homeless at the index 	 Homelessness (number of nights spent homeless and as binary outcome) 	Internal validity • ++ Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear
with severe mental illness who were discharged from inpatient psychiatric treatment facilities.	experienced an episode of homelessness 18 months preceding admission. - Recruited from transitional residencies located on the	 Total number of homeless nights for each group during the final 3 observation intervals. CTI: 6 nights, control: 20 nights. Poisson regression model adjusting for baseline 	Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the
	grounds of 2 state operated psychiatric hospitals in New York City.	at the p<.001 level. Owing to a change in policy not all members of the	intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)?

Herman DB, Conover S, Gorroochurn P, et al. (2011) Randomized trial of critical time intervention to prevent homelessness after hospital discharge. Psychiatric Services 62: 713–9

Research aims	Population	•	Quality assessment
 papers, but any additional outcome data are reported alongside Herman DB, Conover S, Gorroochurn P et al. (2011). Baumgartner J and Herman D (2012) Community integration of formerly homeless men and women with severe mental illness after hospital discharge (exploratory study with data from only 95 of 150 participants). The analysis standards for RCTs so it could not be included in this review. Tomita A and Herman DB (2012) The impact of critical time intervention in reducing psychiatric rehospitalization after hospital discharge (for reducing readmission outcomes). Tomita A, Lukens EP, Herman DB (2014) Mediation 	Sample characteristics • Sex Female Control (total=73) n=18 (25%) CTI (total=77) n=25 (34%) • Ethnicity African American Control (total=73) n=45 (62%) Intervention (total=77) n=48 (62%) Latino Control (total=73) n=12 (16%) Intervention (total=77) n=11 (14%) White Control (total=73) n=11 (15%) Intervention (total=77) n=14 (18%) • Sample age 18–29 Control (total=73) n=14 (19%) Intervention (total=77) n=19	logistic model, with homelessness during the last 3 intervals as the outcome, group assignment as the instrument, and receipt of 3 or more pre-discharge contacts as the treatment, while again adjusting for	• Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Herman DB, Conover S, Gorroochurn P, et al. (2011) Randomized trial of critical time intervention to prevent homelessness after hospital discharge. Psychiatric Services 62: 713–9

Herman DB, Conover S, Gorroochurn P, et al. (2011) Randomized trial of critical time intervention to prevent homelessness after hospital discharge. Psychiatric Services 62: 713–9

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
br more information). Phase 1 – Transition to the ommunity focuses on roviding intensive support nd assessing the resources hat exist for the transition of are to the community and to mplement a transitions plan. The worker provides pecialised support, actively ncourages participants to ommunicate, or resume ontact (when agreed to by he participant) with their amily. Phase 2 – try out levoted to testing and djusting systems of support hat were developed during hase 1. By this stage, ommunity providers will ave assumed primary esponsibility for delivering upport and services so the CTI worker can concentrate n assessing the degree to which this support system is working as planned. Phase 3	5 to 9 Control (total n=73) n=12 (17%) Intervention (total n=77) n=18 (24%) 10 or more Control (total n=73) n=10 (14%) Intervention (Total n=7&) n=10 (13%) Follow-up OR time of interview • Following discharge from the transitional residence, participants were interviewed every 6 weeks for 18 months.	service provider relationship: the proportion of study participants who experienced a change in their case manager/therapist was significantly lower in the CTI than the USO group at the 9-month assessment (USO, 41% vs. CTI, 23%; χ 2=4.0, p ≤ 0.05), but not at 18 months. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test indicated that the median lengths of working relationships with the same psychiatrist (p ≤ 0.05) and case manager (p ≤ 0.05) were significantly higher for those assigned to the CTI than the USO group at 9 months (but not at 18 months) based on a statistically different distribution and ranking of the outcome. Severity of instability patient-service provider relationship: the participants assigned to CTI had a significantly lower median number of changes in their case manager/therapist at 18 months (USO, 2 vs CTI, 1; z=2.5, p ≤ 0.01), but not at 9 months, based on the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The adjusted quintile regression analyses indicated that those assigned to CTI as a group had more favourable physician transition subscale ratings than did the USO group at the 9-month assessment (β=37.5, t=2.33, p=0.03), reflecting a more positive perception of dealing with changes in their treating psychiatrist. There was no significant difference between the groups in this outcome at 18 months. Continuity of care: 'Assignment to CTI was associated with a more favourable assessment of continuity across several domains, particularly during the first 9 months. Although improved COC ratings were observed in some measures over 18 months, these	

Herman DB, Conover S, Gorroochurn P, et al. (2011) Randomized trial of critical time intervention to prevent homelessness after hospital discharge. Psychiatric Services 62: 713–9

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
- transfer of care focuses on completing the transfer of esponsibility to community esources that will provide ong-term support. The CTI vorker reaffirms ongoing oles of support network nembers and develops and begins to set in motion plan or long-term goals (e.g employment, education).		 impacts were more limited. Several 9-month COC measures were significantly correlated with lower risk of homelessness and psychiatric rehospitalization at the study's endpoint, suggesting that some of the impact of CTI on reducing recurrent homelessness and psychiatric rehospitalization risk may be mediated by improved continuity.' (p67). RQ6 Reducing readmissions Taken from Tomita and Herman (2012) The impact of critical time intervention in reducing psychiatric rehospitalisation after hospital discharge. Psychiatric rehospitalisation was more frequent in the usual services group in all intervals apart from 1 (the 8th interval). Assignment to CTI was significantly associated with reduced odds of rehospitalisations (at least 1 night of psychiatric rehospitalisation) CTI group=1183 rehospitalisations Both the proportion (27% vs 18%, z=2.09, p<.05) and frequency of rehospitalisation nights (49 versus 31; df=1; p<.05) above median and significantly higher for the usual services group. Housing stability was associated with a reduced likelihood of rehospitalisation (OR=.96; CI=.9299). 	

 Table 1 Phases and activities of critical time intervention (CTI) used in Herman et al. (2011)

Phase	Transition	Try-out	Transfer of care
Timing	Months 1–3	Months 4–6	Months 7–9
Purpose	Provide specialised support & implement transition plan	Facilitate and test client's problem-solving skills	Terminate CTI services with support network safely in place
Activities	 CTI worker makes home visits Accompanies clients to community providers Meets with caregivers Supplements the role of caregivers when necessary Gives support and advice to client and caregivers Mediates conflicts between client and caregivers 	 CTI worker observes operation of support network Helps to modify network as necessary Intervenes when a crisis arises 	 CTI worker reaffirms ongoing roles of support network members Develops and begins to set in motion plan for long-term goals (e.g. employment, education, family reunification) Holds party/meetings to symbolise transfer of care
Mean number of face-to-face contacts	8	5	5

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT	ParticipantsAdults (no specified age) with MH	Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical Outcome 	Internal validity • ++
Study aim • To test 3 hypotheses (final 1 most important?) in letter contacts to people at risk of suicide (following depressive or suicidal motive for hospitalisation): sense of isolation of person at risk of suicide would be reduced by some 'connection'; contact must make no demands on recipient; systematic programme would help prevent suicide in this group if they refuse assistance from MH services. Source of funding • Funding councils	conditions Sample size • Intervention number Contact group 389. • Comparison numbers Non-contact group 454. • Sample size 843 participants were recruited and randomised to either contact or no contact. All had been part of a wider number of 3005 admissions between 1969 and 1974 to 9	Findings – effect sizes • In the analysis, those accepting treatment were also used as a comparator. At 5 years, the contact group had the lowest rate of suicides of all 3 groups (treatment 6.2%; contact 3.9% and no contact 4.6%). Plotting the 3 groups (total 2782 after removing non-suicidal deaths), the greatest advantage for the contact group is within the first 2 years after the intervention began – i.e the years most associated with suicidal death. This is illustrated in a table showing the cumulative suicides in the 2 study groups. The rates then converge at year 14 (6 years after cessation of the intervention). At 15 years, the study groups have converged (treatment 8.2%; contact 6.4% and no contact 5.7%), except that the suicide rate among those in treatment continues to exceed those in the trial (which authors suggest may indicate particular severity of conditions). Note that the reporting here follows that used in the paper.	Is the setting
Country • US		Discharge intervention also attracted some qualitative replies which suggested the letters were well received, e.g (from p831–2): 'About 25 percent of the patients in the	Generalisability i marked down: (a because not enough is knowr

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	in no contact (CG) group, 46% women. • Sample age 29% over 39 years in contact group, 28% over 39 years in non- contact group. • Level of need/diagnosis	feeling to know you are still interested," "After I threw the last letter out I wished I hadn't, so I was glad to get this one," "I really appreciate your persistence and concern," "Your note gave me a warm, pleasant feeling. Just knowing someone cares means a lot," and "You are the most persistent son of a bitch I've ever encountered, so you must really be sincere in your interest in me."" In the analysis, those accepting treatment were also used as a comparator. At 5 years, the contact group had the lowest rate of suicides of all 3 groups (treatment 6.2%; contact 3.9% and no contact 4.6%). Plotting the 3 groups (total 2,782 after removing non-suicidal deaths), the greatest advantage for the contact group is within the first 2 years after the intervention began – i.e. the years most associated with suicidal death. The rates then converge at year 14 (6 years after cessation of the intervention). At 15 years, the study groups have converged (treatment 8.2%; contact 6.4% and no contact 5.7%), except that the suicide rate among those in treatment continues to exceed those in the trial.	the data is quite old, and does not, e.g., use modern means o communication.

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	one. They were personalised as	far	
	as possible, and worded differen	itly,	
	but made no demands for action	or	
	information from the patient.		
	Contact letters were sent monthl	У	
	for 4 months; then every 2 month	hs	
	for 8 months and then every 3		
	months for 4 years – in total, 24		
	contacts over 5 years. Although		
	some were clearly no longer at the	he	
	same address, and contacts		
	ranged from 2–24, all those in		
	initial contact group, including		
	those accepting treatment during	3	
	follow-up, were analysed as		
	contact group (so any observable		
	difference would be conservative	-	
	analysed). Deaths were confirme	ed	
	through the official records.		
	Follow-up OR time of interview	v	
	 Follow-up is continuous 		
	Results primarily show cumulativ	/e	
	5 and 15 year outcomes, but		
	different intervals could be used.		

Naji SA, Howie FL, Cameron IM, et al. (1999) Discharging psychiatric in-patients back to primary care: a pragmatic randomized controlled	
trial of a novel discharge protocol. Primary Care Psychiatry 5: 109–15	

Research aims Popu	ulation		Quality assessment
 RCT Adu condition To evaluate a novel aspect of discharge, improving communication with GPs to facilitate patient engagement with primary care services Linked study Detail (name, date) of SR in which cited Cited in SRs, Steffen (2009) and Shepperd (2013) (neither reviewed as SRs, not on topic) Source of funding NHS Trust(s) Country UK Adu condition Sample admit wards Adu condition Sample admit wards Mathematical admit wards Mathematical admit wards Mathematical admit wards Interviewed as SRs, not on topic) Source of funding NHS Trust(s) Country UK 	ults (no specified age) with MH ditions apple drawn from patients itted to 3 acute psychiatric ds at hospital in Aberdeen ag a 12 month period. apple size ervention number: 168 mparison numbers: 175 mple size: 343 entered into – 168 to int group, 175 to TAU apple characteristics x: 52% male in each group mple age: mean age 40/41 rvention scharge by the novel procedure lved the hospital doctor nely phoning GP and informing n of discharge, and ideally ussing the patient. In addition, ppointment within 1 week of harge was arranged. A copy of harge summary was posted to and patient given a copy to yer to general practice asap	 Outcomes 1 (qualitative) What works well A sample of 16 GPs and 17 hospital psychiatrists were interviewed for views on novel approach. Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome Service outcomes Findings – effect sizes No significant differences between the 2 groups at baseline in symptoms: a slight higher median score among novel group on HADS observed at 1 month, but this was analysed to show it reflected more alcohol/opiate dependency at 1 month in intervention group. There were no significant differences between the arrival of discharge info to GP between the groups (2 days). The median number of GP appointments in the 6 months (apart from the initial 1) for MH related matters was significantly higher in int group (3.0 and 95% CI 1-5 versus 2.0 and 95% CI 0-4, p=0.016). A smaller proportion of intervention group patients attended at least 1 hospital appointment than was the case in TAU group (72 versus 82%, 95% CI for the different in proportions, p=0.032. 33 (19.6%) of novel discharge patients were readmitted in the 6 months following hospital discharge, vs 48 (27%) of the different in the following hospital discharge. 	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	require hospital doctor to phone GP, and patients were asked to deliver discharge summary asap and make appt with GP themselves. Both groups had 7 day supply of medication. Follow-up OR time of interview • Patients completed questionnaires at discharge (baseline) including symptom identification (BASIS-32) and HADS. One month post-discharge, repeated by post (requiring return in SAE). A comparative assessment of mental health was repeated at 6 months, when there was also assessment of readmission rates and time to readmission within the 6/12 period, efficient transfer of discharge info to GP, speed and frequency of contact between patients and primary care and continuation of medication. Nos completing questionnaire at discharge: 132 (79%) of in and 133 (76%) of TAU group; at 1 month 106 (63%) in	Findings – narrative • RQ5 Discharge Despite small numbers and little that is statistically significant, trend seemed to be for novel intervention to be associated with more use of GPs and less use of hospital outpatient appts, and fewer readmissions	different from TAU and the findings are no significant. However, this i consistent with study results that might be generalisable.

	ameron IM, et al. (1999) Dis rge protocol. Primary Care F	charging psychiatric in-patients back to primate Psychiatry 5: 109–15	ry care: a pragmatic randomized controlled
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	novel group and 111 (6 group.	64%) in TAU	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Systematic review Systematic appraisal using narrative synthesis.	 Participants Adults with MH conditions Professionals/practitioners 	Outcomes 2 (quant) • Satisfaction • Service outcomes Findings – narrative	Overall assessment of internal validity • +
Systematic reviews ONLY: Do all studies fulfill inclusion criteria? • No. 17 unique studies: 4 out of date range: Mellsop 1997; Systema et al. 1997; 1996; Le Bas et al. 1998; Perris et al. 1985; 1 excluded on country: Juven-Wetzler et al. 2012 (Israel); 71% are inside our range. There is only 1 cluster NON- randomised trial: most are	studies 21 articles (from 17 unique studies) were included in the review. No RCTS were identified; 13 non-randomised comparative studies which compared outcomes of		Is the setting similar to the UK? • Partly A clear focus of transition between inpatie MH setting and community or care home setting? • Yes

Omer S, Priebe S, Giacco D (2014) Continuity across inpatient and outpatient mental health care or specialisation of teams? A systematic	
review. European Psychiatry: The Journal Of The Association Of European Psychiatrists. 30(2): 258-70.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
 qual/quant surveys. Study aim To systematically appraise evidence comparing continuity of care vs specialisation across inpatient and outpatient MH settings. To be included, there has to be more than a follow-up appointment with consultant: needs to be 'continuous clinical responsibility across treatment settings. Source of funding Other No additional funding was required for this research. Country Range of countries Australia, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, UK, USA. 	Follow-up OR time of interview • From 6 months to 42 months Most average 12 months follow- up.	assessed participants' views on the change from a continuity to a specialisation system, and all found advantages to both systems. Key benefits for a specialisation system were cited as: The opportunity for a second opinion/perspective from another consultant; more dedicated and better inpatient care; shorter admissions and a faster discharge process; reduced consultant workload; improved specialisation and focused skills of staff; streamlining of Mental Health Act processes; improved access to doctors and specialist services; empowerment of nursing staff; and more supervision of junior doctors. The main concerns regarding a change from a continuity to specialisation system included poor continuity of care and problems arranging care after hospital discharge; disagreement between staff; a communication gap; a breakdown in therapeutic relationship or familiarity; repetition of the patient's history and concerns; frequent changes to treatment plans; uncoordinated admissions and discharges; staff dissatisfaction and increased community workload; and deskilling of specialists. A common finding was that key to the success of the specialisation system is communication and effective collaboration between separate teams. • RQ5 Discharge There are mixed findings regarding the number of	groups relevant? • Somewhat Relevant to social care outcomes? • Yes Does the review have a UK perspective? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Omer S, Priebe S, Giacco D (2014) Continuity across inpatient and outpatient mental health care or specialisation of teams? A systematic review. European Psychiatry: The Journal Of The Association Of European Psychiatrists. 30(2): 258-70.

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	hospitalisations in the specialist and continuity systems, though the 2 higher quality studies that meet the EPOC group's study design criteria (a non-randomised trial and a controlled before/after study) both suggest favourable outcomes in a continuity system.		
		There is more consistent evidence from the included studies to suggest that continuity systems are associated with lower lengths of hospital stay. Some preliminary evidence, from studies not meeting the EPOC groups study design criteria (cohort, cross-sectional, before and after and survey studies) also suggest a continuity system has faster and more flexible transitions between services, and may be preferred by both patients and staff.	ı
		Little evidence currently exists regarding other clinical, social or cost-effectiveness outcomes, or regarding the benefits of each system across different population sub- groups or settings.	

Puschner B, Steffen S, Volker K, et al. (2011) Needs-oriented discharge planning for high utilisers of psychiatric services: Multicentre randomised controlled trial. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences. 20: 181–92						
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment			
Methodology • RCT	Participants Adults with MH conditions 	Outcomes 2 (quant) Quality of Life 	Internal validity • +			

Research aims	ims Population Findings					Quality assessment	
Study aim	Aged 18-65 and currently	 Service outcom 	nes			Is the setting	
To test the effect of a	receiving psychiatric inpatient	Findings – effe	ct sizes			similar to the	
needs-oriented discharge planning intervention on the number and duration of	care. With a primary diagnosis of schizophrenia, bipolar affective	Service use outo	use n	%	Difference	UK? • No Is there a clear	
osychiatric inpatient reatment episodes primary), as well as on	disorder or major depressions. With previous high utilisation of psychiatric inpatient care which	Inpatient stays (a Intervention	-	64.3	v2/df=1)=0.17:	focus on population of	
putpatient service use, needs, psychopathology,	was defined as during the 2 years prior to current inpatient	Control	103	66.5	χ2(df=1)=0.17; p=0.68	interest? • Yes Is the	
depression and quality of life secondary).	1) At least 2 admissions with a	Outpatient visits Intervention	(at all) 165	98.2		intervention clearly relevant f	
Source of funding DFG	cumulative length of stay (LOS) exceeding 30 days or 2) At least 1 admission with a				χ2 (df=1)=1.3;	RQ(s)? • Yes	
German Research Foundation.	cumulative LOS of more than 50 days.	Control	149	96.1	p=0.25	Are the outcome relevant?	
Country Germany: 5 psychiatric lospitals.	Exclusion criteria: - primary diagnosis of substance abuse	** please refer to tables.	o original p	paper for	complete findings	 Unclear External validity + 	
	 learning disability or organic mental disorder insufficient command of 		_				
 Manualised needs-led discharge planning and monitoring intervention with 2 intertwined sessions administered at hospital 	mental disorder - insufficient command of	Findings – narr • RQ6 Reducing 'Intention-to-trea between interver	g readmis It analyses	s reveale	d no differences roups on neither		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Pre-discharge session: took place around 7 days before discharge. Participants were patient, inpatient clinician, carers if consented to by patient, and the intervention worker (IW). The IW had obtained the results of the needs assessment (using the Camberwell Assessment of Need). A structured discussion moderated by the IW on areas of need identified by the patient constituted the core part of the session. A standardised summary was entered into the NODPAM discharge plan that was signed by all participants. This plan had every single need discussed with a precise problem definition, objectives	 Sample age Years, mean (SD) Intervention: 41.2 (11.1), control: 41.4 (11.4) Level of need/diagnosis Camberwell Assessment of 		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
and the person(s) esponsible for mplementation. After discharge a version was se o the treating outpatient clinician and to the patient Both were instructed to discuss all relevant topics and to monitor progress of mplementation at every aftercare appointment. Post discharge session: 3 mont after discharge, the discharge monitoring took blace with patient, outpatie clinician, carer (if desired to batient) and IW. The sessi was based on current standardised needs assessment including the comparison with care need at baseline ('needs development'). A resumé was drawn of the course, critical problem areas and mplementation of the	Intervention: 2.9 (2.5) Intervention: 2.9 (2.5) Control: 2.8 (1.8) Cumulated length of stay during last 2 years, days St- Mean (S.D) hs Intervention: 129.8 (91.2) Control: 118.3 (81.4) • Not reported Work Full-time, n(%) Intervention: 39 (16.5%), control 47 (19.0%) Part-time		

Puschner B, Steffen S, Volker K, et al. (2011) Needs-oriented discharge planning for high utilisers of psychiatric services: Multicentre randomised controlled trial. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences. 20: 181–92					
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment		
discharge plan. Again the patient and clinician were asked to discuss and monito implementation of the plan.	Not working Intervention: 130 (55.1%), prcontrol: 123 (49.8%)				
	Follow-up or time of interview • Four measurement points: baseline (T0, at hospital discharge), 3 months (T1), 6 months (T2) and 18 months (T3) thereafter.				

Rosen CS, Tiet QQ, Harris AH, et al. (2013) Telephone monitoring and support after discharge from residential PTSD treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Psychiatric Services 14: 13–20

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • RCT	•	Outcomes 2 (quant) • Clinical outcome	Internal validity +
• To assess whether adding		 Quality of life subscale of the Veterans Affair Military Stress Treatment Assessment Service outcomes 	Is the setting similar to the UK?
management protocol to usual aftercare improved the	- cognitive impairment which	Findings – effect sizes Clinical outcomes of participants in telephone care management or treatment as usual 	 Unclear Is there a clear focus on

	H, et al. (2013) Telephone monite Sychiatric Services 14: 13–20	oring and supp	ort after	discharge	from resid	lential P	TSD tr	eatment: a	
Research aims	Population	Findings						Overall quality assessment	
discharged from residential	0	At 4-months fo	ollow up					population of	
treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).	days	Score	Teleph	ione	TAU		ES interest?	interest? • Yes	
Source of funding	- active duty military personnel were excluded because they		М	SD	М	SD	d	-ls the	
Government Office of Research and	receive aftercare outside the VA system.	PTSD (PCL score)	63.8	12.9	63.3	12.7	.04	intervention clearly relevant to	
Development, US Department of Veterans	• Telephone care n=412	Depression (CES-D)	37.7	10.1	37.2	10.8	.06	RQ(s) ? • Yes	
Affairs (VA), Veterans Health Treatment as usual n=425 Administration. And VA Total n=837	Treatment as usual n=425	th Treatment as usual n=425	Quality of life	3.2	1.1	3.2	1.1	-0.3	Are the outcomes relevant?
Center for Health Care Evaluation and the VA Palo Alto Health Care System. Country	Sample characteristics • Sex Female (%)	At 12 months	follow up)				 Unclear External validity + 	
• US	Telephone care n=357 (13) Treatment as usual n=368 (13)		Telephone		TAU		ES	1	
Intervention	• Sample age		М	SD	М	SD	d		
P Treatment as usual group Age M (SD) received standard referral to Telephone care 50.2 (0.62)	PTSD (PCL score)	63.9	13.0	63.4	12.5	.04			
outpatient counsellors, psychiatrists, or both. Participants in telephone	Treatment as usual 49.9 (0.86) • Level of need/diagnosis Co-occurring diagnosis (%)	Depression (CES-D)	38.0	10.1	38.4	10.4	-0.3	-	
care management received standard referral plus	Depression Telephone care: 328 (80)	Quality of life	3.3	1.1	3.3	1.1	.00		
biweekly telephone monitoring and support during the first 3 months after discharge. Telephone	telephone g and support e first 3 months after Telephone care: 123 (30) Treatment as usual: 349 (82) Anxiety (other than PTSD) Telephone care: 123 (30)	*Quality of life subscale of the Assessment. F	e Vetera	ns Áffairs	Military St	ress Tre	atmen		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
monitors briefly assessed the participant's outpatient treatment attendance; medication compliance; severity of symptoms; and coping related to PTSD, depression, and anger; substance use; suicidality; and risk of violence.	Substance use disorder Telephone care: 224 (54) Treatment as usual: 240 (57) Schizophrenia Telephone care: 20 (5) Treatment as usual: 18 (4) Bipolar Telephone care: 49 (12) Treatment as usual: 58 (14) • Previous admissions Outpatien.t mental health visits in prior year Telephone care 35.0 (2.1) Treatment as usual 38.6 (2.9) Length of stay in residential programme Telephone care 47.0 (1.2) Treatment as usual 45.9 (1.7) Follow-up OR time of interview • Four months and 12 months follow-up	higher scores indicating greater satisfaction. * Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Possible scores range from 0 to 60, with higher scores indicating more severe depression. Findings – narrative • RQ5 Discharge Participants in the telephone care and treatment as usual groups showed similar outcomes on all clinical measures. Time to rehospitalisation did not differ by condition. Participants in both telephone monitoring and treatment as usual completed a mental health visit an average of once every 10 days in the year after discharge.	

Е

Swanson AJ, Pantalon MV, Cohen KR (1999) Motivational interviewing and treatment adherence among psychiatric and dually diagnosed patients. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 187: 630–5

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To study the effect of a brief motivational interviewing intervention on outpatient treatment adherence among psychiatric and dually diagnosed inpatients. Source of funding • Not reported Country • US Two inner-city private, not- for-profit hospitals. Intervention • All patients were administered the University	 Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions Patients were psychiatric or dually diagnosed and were all or a voluntary status. Exclusion criteria – diagnosis of dementia or mental retardation – those who spoke no or little English – acutely psychotic, manic and/or hostile were initially excluded until their symptoms were reduced. Sample size Control (ST only)=57 Intervention (ST + MI)=64 Total=121 Sample characteristics Sex 	Outcomes • Service outcomes Findings – narrative The proportion of patients who attended their first outpatient appointment was significantly higher for the ST+MI group than for the ST group (47% vs 21%; [chi]2=8.87, df=1, p<.01) overall, and for dually diagnosed patients (42% vs 16%; [chi]2=7.68, df=1, p<.01). Although more non-substance-abusing psychiatric patients in ST+MI attended their first appointment than did those in ST, this difference did not reach statistical significance (63% vs 42%; [chi]2=1.20, df=1, p=.274). The study also assessed whether the 15-minute feedback session regarding the results of the URICA (given only to the ST+MI group) had an effect on attendance at inpatient activity (AT) and cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) group sessions during hospitalisation. Only non-dually	assessment Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)?
of Rhode Island Change Assessment Scale (URICA), a psychometrically sound instrument designed to measure readiness for, or stage of, change. Standard treatment (ST). ST patients received an	 Sex MALE ST n=36 (63%) ST +motivational Interview n=41 (64%) Sample age ST=34.87 ±8.90 ST + MI=32.87 ±9.03 Level of need/diagnosis 	diagnosed ST+MI patients showed a trend toward attending more CBT groups than those in ST (46% vs 17%, respectively; t=1.97, df=23, p=.061). Dually diagnosed patients in ST and ST+MI attended a comparable percentage of AT (64% vs 58%, respectively) and CBT groups (49% vs 44%, respectively) while hospitalised.	External validity • +

Swanson AJ, Pantalon MV, Cohen KR (1999) Motivational interviewing and treatment adherence among psychiatric and dually diagnosed patients. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 187: 630–5

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality
			assessment
intake assessment by a	Dually diagnosed		
multidisciplinary team,	ST n=45 (79%)		
resulting in an individualised	ST +MI n=48 (75%)		
treatment plan, which	Psychotic disorder		
identified psychiatric,	ST n=16 (31%)		
psychological, medical and	ST+MI n=21 (35%)		
social service needs.	 Length of stay (days) 		
Although patients in ST were	ST=12.98		
administered the URICA they			
were not given any feedback	Follow-up		
on the results.	• First aftercare appointment		
Standard treatment plus	post-discharge		
motivational interviewing			
(ST+MI). Patients in this			
group received ST plus a 15-			
minute session of feedback			
on their change assessment			
scores at the beginning of			
their hospitalisation and 1-			
hour motivational interview 1			
or 2 days before discharge.			
The hour-long motivational			
interview involved a more in-			
depth discussion regarding			
the patient's perception of			
his/her problem(s), and level			
of commitment to treatment			

Swanson AJ, Pantalon MV, Cohen KR (1999) Motivational interviewing and treatment adherence among psychiatric and dually diagnosed patients. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 187: 630–5

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
after discharge. Therapists			
attempted to elicit, from			
patients, motivational			
statements suggesting a			
desire to take some			
responsibility for their			
continued treatment while			
also encouraging the patient			
to follow through on such			
statements. Therapists drew			
on the 5 principles of MI: a)			
express empathy; b) note			
discrepancies between			
current and desired			
pehaviour; c) avoid			
argumentation; d) refrain			
rom directly confronting			
esistance; and f) encourage			
self-efficacy, or the patient's			
belief that he/she has the			
ability to change.			

Critical appraisal tables

Table reporting views studies

Review Question 5: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions, components of care packages and approaches designed to improve discharge from inpatient mental health settings?

Bennewith O, Evans J, Donova pilot study. Archives Of Suicide		tervention for people recently discha	arged from inpatient psychiatric care: a
Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Overall assessment
 Methodology Mixed methods 1. DEVELOPMENT PHASE Qualitative interviews with 10 patients conducted to develop supportive letter based on a previous US model, but tailored to the needs of the intervention group in the study. Followed by questionnaire survey of two- thirds of inpatients in 4 psychiatric wards to further fine-tune intervention. STATISTICAL DATA COLLECTION A. Information collected from intervention wards on the number of (1) psychiatric readmissions, and (2) emergency department attendances=general hospital admissions for self-harm, during the 12 months post- discharge. B. Data collected on all admissions to wards A and B and other general adult acute inpatient psychiatric wards 	psychiatric inpatient units in SW England. Ward A (a 23-bed unit) serving an inner city, ward B (a 19-bed unit) serving a suburban area and ward C (a 22-bed unit) serving a mixed urban/rural population. Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument; and absence of contamination between groups when appropriate) regarding the exposure/intervention and outcomes?	design relevant to address the qualitative and quantitative research questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed-methods question? • Yes Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results) relevant to address the research question? • Yes Is appropriate consideration given to the limitations associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)? • No	Internal validity • + The quantitative evaluation (RR outcome) is based on a spurious before/after comparison, even though the authors admit there have been other context/policy changes; and the qualitative aspect says little about who is recruited and why they may or may not be representative. The author acknowledges that the findings of this pilot study do not appear to warrant a full RCT to test the feasibility of this intervention. There are also difficulties of trialling an intervention where such a high proportion (72.2%) of the study group are either ineligible or do not complete the intervention, due to opt-out or readmission to hospital. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes

pilot study. Archives Of Suicide	(<i>)</i>		charged from inpatient psychiatric care: a
Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Overall assessment
(wards X, Y, and Z) at the same hospitals for the 12- month period prior to and during the pilot study. C. Data on the number of community mental health service contacts in the 12 months after discharge. 3. QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWS to assess participant views on the usefulness of supportive letters Two descriptive accounts were produced at the mid and end points of the series of interviews. Are the sources of qualitative data (archives, documents, informants, observations) relevant to address the research question? • Partly DEVELOPMENT PHASE Qualitative interviews with 10 participants, who suggested that preferred modes of contact were by letter or telephone. Subsequent questionnaire	data in the 12 months after discharge were collected for a subset of participants (6 from each of wards A and B), to identify 'existing levels of community support=frequency of contact with services, to assess the role of the letter-based contacts in the context of the patients' overall		 'supporting letters' and is very context-specific. Wider applicability and outcome data will vary according to local circumstances and any changes in government policy. Are the outcomes relevant? Yes Overall assessment of external validity +

Bennewith O. Evans J. Donovan J et al. (2014) A contact-based intervention for people recently discharged from inpatient psychiatric care: a

Bennewith O, Evans J, Donovar pilot study. Archives Of Suicide	()	tervention for people recently disch	arged from inpatient psychiatric care: a
, ,	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Overall assessment
psychiatric supported this. IN- DEPTH INTERVIEW PHASE Examining patient views and experiences of mental health, contact with services and experience of supportive letter (s); 13 participants (12.7% of those in receipt of the intervention) were interviewed 14 weeks (median 8 weeks, range 2 weeks–11 months) after the last study letter was received. Interviews relevant to RQ 1(b) – user views/exp of discharge. Note however, there is little information about who is	(exposed vs non-exposed; with intervention versus without; cases vs controls), are the participants comparable, or do researchers take into account (control for) the difference between these groups? • No No description of participant data in the non-intervention wards and how differences are accounted for/controlled. Are there complete outcome data (80% or above), and, when applicable, an acceptable response rate (60% or above), or an acceptable follow-up rate for cohort studies (depending on the duration of follow-up)? • Yes		
 qualitative data relevant to address the research question? Yes Extensive summaries of each 	As this was a pilot study to assess the feasibility of undertaking a large-scale trial to assess the usefulness of supportive letters, the researchers restricted data collection on readmission to only participants from intervention wards A and B.		

Bennewith O, Evans J, Donovan J et al. (2014) A contact-based intervention for people recently discharged from inpatient psychiatric care: a pilot study. Archives Of Suicide Research 18: 131–43

Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component	Overall assessment
emerging themes and codes were compared within and across transcripts (Donovan and Sanders 2005; Miles and Huberman 1994).			
Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to the context, such as the setting, in which the data were collected? • No			
Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; for example, though their interactions with participants? • No			

Fahy GM, Javaid S Review Journal 18		community treatment: patient p	perspectives in two Merseyside mental	health teams. Mental Health
Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
•••		Describes what was measured, how it was	Basic data adequately described? • Yes	Internal validity
Objectives of the	•	la ser i ser a s		This study has significant

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
study design study clearly stated? • Yes Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Yes	 Partly Clear description of context? Yes References made to original work if existing tool used? N/A Reliability and validity of new tool reported? N/A Survey population and sample frame clearly described? Yes Representativeness of sample is described? Partly A list of patients subject to SCT was provided by Mental Health Act offices in the Merseyside area in November 2010 following local NHS trust approval. Population may not be representative but be 	outcomes? • Yes The authors designed a structured interview based upon information in patient leaflets provided by a local mental health trust. The interview included 14 questions based upon 4 main themes: involvement in planning of SCT, quality of provided information, awareness of SCT process and legal rights and outcomes and satisfaction. The questions referred to 'CTO' (community treatment order) as this was the term the authors believed would be recognised by patients in the survey population.	objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? • Yes Results internally consistent? • Yes Data suitable for analysis? • Yes Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? • Yes Methods appropriate for the data? • Yes A Likert scale was used for each interview question where 1=strongly	limitations. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to the review question? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	favour of SCT. Subject of study		Statistics correctly performed and interpreted?	
	represents full spectrum		• Yes	
	of population of interest? • Partly Primary diagnosis of participants and numbers as follows: schizophrenia (12), schizoaffective disorder (3), delusional disorder (1), mental disturbance due to drugs or		Response rate calculation provided? • No Methods for handling missing data described? • No Difference between non- respondents and respondents described?	
	alcohol (1). However low female and ethnic minority representation. Study large enough to achieve its objectives, sample size estimates performed? • No All subjects accounted for? • Yes All appropriate outcomes considered? • Yes Key outcome – hospital		 No Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on subject and study objectives? Yes Limitations of the study stated? Yes The authors state that a limitation of this study is that it is based upon a small sample size. The survey was conducted within 25 months of SCT being introduced in England and Wales and most patients had not been recalled to hospital. Those who were held considerably more negative views 	

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	readmission.		about SCT. The survey was	
	Ethical approval obtained? • N/A This was a service evaluation and as such the NHS trust waived the requirement for formal ethics approval. But an information sheet was developed clarifying the		retrospective and relied upon the ability of patients to recall events from up to 25 months ago. The bulk of questioning was about events that happened prior to discharge when a patient's cognitive function may have been poor due to acute or residual mental illness. There is likely to be significant recall bias in the study. Results can be generalised?	
	study aims and objectives and the voluntary nature of participation, as dictated by good practice. Measures for contacting non-responders? • No		 Partly Appropriate attempts made to establish 'reliability' and 'validity' of analysis? No 	

	Offord A, Turner H, Cooper M (2006) Adolescent inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study exploring young adults' retrospective views of treatment and discharge. European Eating Disorders Review 14: 377–87						
Study aims & suitability of design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment			

Study aims & suitability of design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews that included open questions and prompts. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible Semi-structured interview schedule which had open-ended questions and prompts was used flexibly in order to allow participants to determine direction of the interview. Data was coded and analysed thematically in accordance with the principles of interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA). Is the context clearly described? • Unclear All participants were white, British females. Participants were all from general adolescent psychiatric units (rather than specialised). Study approved by ethics committee?	out in an appropriate way? • Not sure Haphazard sampling method! Participants were recruited either from past inpatient records from general adolescent units (6 of total sample) or via face- to-face contact with clinicians in adult inpatient eating disorder units (1 from sample); 50 participants were invited to take part in the study and 7 opted in. All had received treatment for AN in a general adolescent inpatient setting, and all had been discharged from inpatient care 2–5 years	Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Primary researcher shared random pages of the interview transcripts with fellow qualitative researchers and compared analyses. An audit trail (from original coding to clustering of themes) was conducted. Member checking was conducted to verify participants' understanding of themes. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	As far as can be ascertained from the paper how well was the study conducted? • + The author acknowledges tha 1 of the limitations is the retrospective nature of the study which means that it ma allow less accurate reports of experiences. Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Highly relevant

Study aims & suitability of design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	• Yes	at either the participants'		
	How clear and coherent is the	home or at a local clinic.		
	reporting of ethics?	Were the methods		
	 Not stated 	reliable?		
		 Somewhat reliable 		
		Is the role of the		
		researcher clearly		
		described?		
		Unclear		

Owen-Smith A, Bennewith O, Donovan J, et al. (2014) 'When you're in the hospital, you're in a sort of bubble.' Understanding the high risk of self-harm and suicide following psychiatric discharge: a qualitative study. The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention 35: 154–60

Study aims & suitability of design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
clear in what it	How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Is the context clearly	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Appropriate How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately	 Are the data 'rich'? Rich Is the analysis reliable? Reliable Analytic procedures were enhanced by rigorous searching for 'negative cases,' 	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Although the

Study aims & suitability of design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
• Clear	described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Not stated How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	(n=10) who had recently been discharged from psychiatric hospital. Sampling was	where informants held particularly divergent views or whose experience did not follow standard patterns of events. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	conclusions that can be drawn from this study are limited by the small number of participants, this is a good quality study. Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Highly relevant

Owen Smith A. Bennewith O. Deneven I. et al. (2014) "When you're in the heapital you're in a part of hubble (Inderstanding the high rick of

self-harm and suicide following psychiatric discharge: a qualita	 u
	 u

Study aims & suitability of design	Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
		comparability between the interviews, and all interviews were digitally recorded and fully transcribed.		
		Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described		

Simons L, Petch A (2002) Needs assessment and discharge: a Scottish perspective. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 9: 435–45

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
Methodology • Survey The main study collected data from key players in the discharge process – including local authorities, health boards, hospital	original work if existing	measured, how it was measured and the outcomes? • Yes 'All patient assessments were completed face-to-face with each patient as part of a	readers to make personal	Internal validity • ++ Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes
staff, community- based staff and patients. The	tool used? • Yes Reliability and validity of new tool reported?	obtained from the discharge record and was that recorded	Data suitable for analysis? • Yes Clear description of data collection	Is the intervention clearly relevant to the review question? • Yes

Simons L, Petch A (2002) Needs assessment and discharge: a Scottish perspective. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 9: 435–45

Study design	,	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
study took place	Yes. The CAN has been	psychiatrist, classified	methods and analysis?	This study was published
	shown to be a reliable and	according to ICD-10	• Yes	in 2002 and based on 1999
1998 and	valid assessment, with	categories. Any diagnoses of	Methods appropriate for the data?	data. So though CAN still
	independent ratings from	schizophrenia, schizo-	• Yes	being relevant, this study should be considered in
This paper draws only on the data	both service users and staff (Phelan et al. 1995).	depression and puerperal	Statistics correctly performed and	the wider realm of more
	Survey population and	psychosis were considered a	interpreted?	recent policy changes.
and community-	sample frame clearly	psychotic diagnosis. All other	• Yes	Are the outcomes
	described?	diagnoses were considered	Response rate calculation	relevant?
collected using	• Yes	non-psychotic. Staff	provided?	• Yes
the CAN between	'A sample of patients	assessments were completed	• N/A	The CAN seeks to identify
November 1998	discharged from acute	with 98 personnel. When the	Methods for handling missing data	needs rather than provide
and September	psychiatric units was	patient had identified a key	described?	a detailed description and
1999.	interviewed at 6 weeks	community support worker,	• N/A	the views of both service
	post discharge. The	the patient was asked to give	Difference between non-	users and staff is critical.
study clearly		their permission for the	respondents and respondents	CAN assesses need in 22
stated?	discharge records (SMR04)	CAN assessment from this	described?	domains of daily living
• Yes	returned to the Information	staff member. In all cases	• Yes	(including accommodation,
	and Statistics Division of the Scottish Executive.	permission was given and all	Results discussed in relation to	food, looking after the
1(4(3):	Eight health boards were	staff members responded.	existing knowledge on subject and	home, self care, physical health, psychotic
• Mixed	chosen to reflect the	These were completed on a	study objectives? • Yes	symptoms, psychological
	urban/rural typology of	separate occasion, usually		distress and safety of
	Scotland These	over the telephone. The staff	Limitations of the study stated?	others).
	represented half the annual	designations were as follows:	• Yes	Overall assessment of
	discharges in Scotland in	71 community psychiatric	Results can be generalised?	external validity
		nurses, 12 day hospital	• Partly	• +
	discharged from each unit	nurses or other staff at day	Authors suggest this sample was not large enough, and the context/locality	
		hospital, six social workers,	and the context/locality	

Simons L, Petch A (2002) Needs assessment and discharge: a Scottish perspective. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 9: 435-
45

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	in the health board area over a specified time	three voluntary sector case workers and six others'.	too specific to make generalisable statements.	
	 period, usually 2 months in each area, who met the criteria were invited take part in the study. Inclusion criteria were to be aged 18–65 and to have been an inpatient for over 1 week but less than 6 months'. Representativeness of sample is described? No Subject of study represents full spectrum of population of interest? Yes Study large enough to achieve its objectives, sample size estimates performed? No Authors say that this study sample is relatively small. Furthermore, that as it is locality-specific results may not be replicated in a similar manner elsewhere. 	Measurements valid? • Yes Measurements reliable? • Yes Measurements reproducible? • Yes	Appropriate attempts made to establish 'reliability' and 'validity' of analysis? • Yes The CAN assesses need in 22 domains of daily living. For each of these domains, need is rated on a 3- point scale: 0 = 'no problem' (no need) 1= no/moderate problem because help is given (met need), 2 = 'serious problem' (unmet need). The distinction between these 3 ratings may be challenging to judge and care has to be taken to ensure accurate ratings are made by assessors. Patient and staff ratings may differ systematically. When a need is identified in any domain, further investigation of the help received (formal and informal) is carried out. The CAN Research Version 3.0 and accompanying manual was obtained from PRiSM for use in the study.	

Simons L, Petch A (2002) Needs assessment and discharge: a Scottish perspective. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 9: 435–45

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	All subjects accounted			
	for?			
	• Yes			
	Authors describe why they			
	think non-responders did			
	not take part. The initial			
	response rate was 46% of			
	the 377 patients invited to			
	take part, with 173			
	discharged patients			
	interviewed at 6 weeks			
	post-discharge.			
	Comparisons were made of	F		
	the non-responders and			
	responders on key			
	characteristics: gender,			
	length of stay, referral to			
	community support and			
	diagnosis. A key difference			
	was found in respect of			
	diagnosis, where more			
	patients with a non-			
	psychotic diagnosis had			
	responded than those with			
	a diagnosis of a psychotic			
	illness thus reflecting the			
	challenges of involving the			
	latter group in research.			

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	All appropriate outcomes considered? • Yes All 22 domains of the CAN were considered in the assessment of unmet and met need as identified by both patients and professionals.			
	Ethical approval obtained? • Yes Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Multi-Research Ethics Committee for Scotland and the 8 local research ethics committees of the individual health board areas.			
	Measures for contacting non-responders? • Yes Patients were invited to take in 2 ways: (1) when a patient was known to have contact with any community support			

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	services			
	(statutory/voluntary), as			
	described in the discharge			
	record, this service was			
	asked to support an			
	invitation to the patient to			
	take part in the study; (2)			
	for a patient not known to			
	have support from a			
	community service, contact			
	was by letter and a			
	subsequent home visit from			
	an interviewer at a			
	prearranged time. In both			
	scenarios if the patient was			
	not in at the arranged time,			
	1 further attempt was made			
	to establish contact before			
	being classed as a non-			
	responder.			

Findings tables

Table reporting views studies

Review Question 5: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions, components of care packages and approaches designed to improve discharge from inpatient mental health settings?

	onovan J, et al. (2014) A contact-ba iicide Research 18: 131–43	sed intervention for people recently discharged from inpatien	t psychiatric care: a		
Research aims	esearch aims Population Findings Overall quality assessment				
Methodology Mixed methods 	Participants Adults with MH conditions 	Outcomes 1 (qualitative)	Internal validity • +		

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 183 of 434

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
1. DEVELOPMENT PHASE Qualitative interviews with 10 patients conducted to develop supportive letter based on a previous US model, but tailored to the needs of the intervention	• Sample of 102 participants across 3 wards broken down as follows received intervention: Gender, n (%) ward A ward B ward C	 Experiences described Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome Service outcomes Costs No Findings – narrative 	The quantitative evaluation (RR outcome) is based or a spurious before/after comparison, even though the authors admit there have
group in the study. Followed by questionnaire survey of two-thirds of inpatients in 4 psychiatric wards to further fine-tune intervention. 2. STATISTICAL DATA COLLECTION A. Information collected from intervention wards on the number of (1) psychiatric readmissions, and (2) emergency department attendances=general hospital admissions for self-harm, during the 12 months post-discharge. B. Data collected on all admissions and	Total: 67 (65.7) Female 11 (29.7) 20 (46.5)4 (18.2) Total: 35 (34.3) For logistical reasons data on the incidence of self-harm were only obtained for participants discharged from wards A and B. i.e. sample size=80. Sample characteristics • Sex 67 male; 35 female. • Level of need/diagnosis Participants with the following range of MH conditions: . affective disorders • schizophrenia & other psychoses	The intervention was piloted on 3 psychiatric inpatient wards – on 2 wards a series of 8 letters were sent to patients over the 12 months and on the third ward 6 letters were sent over a 6 month period. A total of 102 patients discharged from the wards received at least 1 letter, but only 45 (44.1%) received the full series of letters. Whilst some did express dissatisfaction with mental health services and post-discharge support, in general, participants appeared to be supported well following discharge and made reference to a number of services and professionals. As the study sample comprised mainly long- term service users, most knew of services and numbers to call in a crisis situation, so certain aspects (i.e. contact numbers/ information) of the supportive letter intervention were barely used. 'Because if you do get into trouble, because you've already been in the ward, you know the people to ring up because like once you're admitted in, you work with the	been other context/policy changes; and the qualitative aspect says little about who is recruited and why they may or may not be representative. The author acknowledges that the findings of this pilot study do not appear to warrant a full RCT to test the feasibility of this intervention. There are also difficulties of trialling an intervention where such a high

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
readmissions to wards A and B and other general adult acute inpatient psychiatric wards (wards X, Y, and Z) at the same hospitals for the 12-month period prior to and during the pilot study. C. Data on the number of community mental health service contacts in the 12 months after discharge. 3. QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWS To assess participant views on the usefulness of supportive letters. Two descriptive accounts were produced at the mid and end points of the series of interviews. Study aim • 'The aim of the current pilot study was to assess the usefulness and feasibility of a contact- based intervention for people recently	 other. Intervention The intervention (supportive letters) was piloted on 3 psychiatric inpatient wards On 2 wards a series of 8 letters were sent to patients over the 12 months and on the third ward 6 letters were sent over a 6-month period. A total of 102 patients discharged from the wards received at least 1 letter, but only 45 (44.1%) received the full series of letters. Follow-up OR time of interview 	nurse] is, sort of, you know they give you all the numbers and things anyway'(p8) (41 years, female). Such participants felt that the intervention would be of more benefit to those new to the system (none of whom agreed to an interview) and those who did not have as much support as themselves. Despite some interviewees finding the initial letters and support and advice leaflets helpful and reassuring, a regular theme was that after a while these felt too frequent, and could function as 'a negative reminder of their hospitalization'. 'To the first timers, yeah, and, you know, they come out and they've got nowhere to turn, and obviously the information could be vital for them. So I'm not denigrating the information, I think the information was great' (p13) (38 years, male). Some participants perceived the letters to be impersonal. 'To me, they suggested that you'd written a draft, it was printed off on the computer, and sent to everyone If you're looking for a way of reducing self-harm or suicide after a hospital admission you need to have a sense of love. Don't you agree? You need to have this sense of actual human compassion instead of this computerized letter and a round robin of telephone numbers' (p5) (36 vears, male).	proportion (72.2%) of the study group are either ineligible or do not complete the intervention, due to opt-out or readmission to hospital. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes The study tested the feasibility of 'supporting letters' and is very context- specific. Wider applicability and outcome data will vary according to

Research aims Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
discharged from inpatient psychiatric care in the UK'. The purpose was to assess if supportive letters sent by psychiatrists to high-risk patients in the period after hospital discharge result in a reduction in suicide. Participant views on the usefulness of the intervention (supportive letters sent to discharged patients) collected via qualitative interview 2.5– 11 months following the index discharge. Questions included: previous experiences of mental health care and contact with mental health services since discharge; usefulness of the intervention; and the format, frequency, duration, source and stopping of the letters. Statistical data on	There was some misunderstanding and questioning over not being able to contact the ward, despite the letters coming from there. Participant accounts demonstrate that the letters add little to the experience of post-discharge support. • RQ5 Discharge Self-harm For logistical reasons data on the rate of self-harm were only obtained for patients discharged from wards A and B; 12 (15.0% 95% CI: 6% to 21%) of the 80 patients receiving the intervention on those wards attended a local emergency department for treatment after a self-harm incident in the 12 months after discharge; 8 (10%, 95% CI 3% to 17%) of these were admitted to a general hospital bed. One person who had not attended the emergency department for self-harm, died by suicide. Two persons self-harmed within 2 weeks of discharge, a further 8 within the first 6 months of discharge and 2 during the 6 to 12 months after discharge. Most (72.7%) of these participants were still receiving the letters at the time of self-harm. • Community mental health contacts Policy developments within the trust providing mental health services required that local crisis services initiated face-to-face contact with at least 70% of patients discharge and 6 such contacts within the first 2 weeks post-discharge. 11 of the 12 individuals, for whom data on	local circumstances and any changes in government policy. Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
readmission, contact v mental health services etc. also collected. Source of funding • Government National Institute for Health Research (NIH	5,	community mental health service contacts was recorded, had at least 2 follow-up contacts in the first week post- discharge. There was a mean number of 12 contacts (either face to- face or by telephone) during the first month after discharge. This number of contacts varied over the year after discharge and was lowest around 4 months after- inpatient discharge.	
Country ∙ UK		• RQ6 Reducing readmissions Total 33 (41.3%) of the 80 intervention patients discharged from wards A and B were readmitted to a psychiatric ward within 12 months of the index discharge. There was no clear evidence of a reduction in readmissions to the pilot wards (A and B) compared with other (non-pilot) wards (X, Y and Z).	
		For example, on the first hospital site (wards A, X, and Y) there was a 0.4% (95% CI 22%–17%) increase in readmissions in the intervention period for participating ward A whereas readmissions fell by 2.6% (95% CI 20%–15%) and 11.4% (95% CI 4%–28%) on the non-pilot wards.	
		There was no obvious decline in psychiatric readmissions – while 41% of participants discharged from the intervention wards A and B during the intervention period were readmitted within 12 months, nationally between 1 April 2004 and 31 March 2005, this figure was 24.7% (Gunnell et al. 2008).	

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • Survey (use 7. CA) Study aim • Aim of the study: This paper explores the views of patients subject to supervised community treatment (SCT) within 2 mental health teams in Merseyside. Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK	Sample size • 17 patients Sample characteristics • Sex Of the 17 patients who took part in the survey 14 (82 per cent) were male and 3 (18 per cent) female. • Disability	 patients were positive (41 per cent, n=7), others were indifferent (12 per cent, n=2) and a proportion saw this as damaging to their life (47 per cent, n= 8). Two patients who had been recalled to hospital conveyed strong dissatisfaction with SCT. A common theme identified was an overall restriction of a patient's liberty and anxiety that he or she would be detained if they did not adhere to the conditions of SCT. One patient said 'The CTO restricts my liberty. The police can come to my flat whenever they want. They own my life. I've got no liberty' (p162). Patients with positive responses included 'I believe that if I did relapse the doctor would be able to give me medication. I feel like a better person, more normal again' (p162). RQ5 Discharge 	focus on the population of interest? • Yes Is there a clear focus on the review questions? • Yes Are the questions relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	months to 25 months).	'it's not what I think, it's what the psychiatrist says' (p160).	
		'I just got told I was going on it. I had no say in it and if I wasn't going on it I wasn't leaving hospital' (p160).	
		'They make up their minds and the conditions and I've just got to abide by them. When you're in hospital you just agree to anything, don't you?' (p160).	
		There was a common misunderstanding that the patient must firmly abide by CTO to remain in the community, and there was a lack of awareness that recall was dependent on the 'harm criteria' (as set out in 'Section 17E (1) (a) (b) – namely the consideration of risk to the patient's own health or safety, or the safety of others'). The author posits that 'the effectiveness of CTO may be thought to be based on a perception that is fallacious'.	
		A significant proportion of patients lacked the motivation or ability to understand the verbal and written information affecting their legal rights at the time it was given, usually immediately prior to hospital discharge.	
		A regular theme -support or indifference to CTO if it did not impact significantly on the patient's life:	
		'I'm quite pleased with it. It doesn't infringe upon me too much' (p161).	
		'I wish I wasn't on it. But it's not too bad' (p161).	
		'It makes you attend your appointments more but it could put people in hospital who don't need to be in hospital.'	

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64					
Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment		
		(p162)			
		RQ6 Reducing readmissions See reducing readmission RQ6 below.			

	er M (2006) Adolescent inpatient tre tment and discharge. European Ea	atment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study exploring yo ting Disorders Review 14: 377–87	oung adults'
Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
 Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews that included open questions and prompts. Study aim To find out the views of young adults on the treatment they had received for anorexia nervosa whilst admitted to a general adolescent psychiatric unit. It also 	Sample characteristics Sex: all female Ethnicity White British.	Costs • No Findings – narrative • RQ1 Service user views Control and collaboration. Several participants reported that on admission an initial taking away of their control over eating was helpful and relieving: 'I felt I had to. And that kind of helped me because it was like, "It's not my decision, I'm not eating cos I want to be well, I'm not eating cos I deserve to eat, I'm eating cos they're telling me to", and that kind of lessened the guilt. Which is what I felt I needed' (Sophie) (p382). Failure to take a holistic approach that included the needs of other family members, and prioritising emotional or psychological needs were all seen to be unhelpful.	The author acknowledges that 1 of the limitations is the retrospective nature of the study which means that it

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
regarding their admission and discharge. Source of funding Not reported Country UK Not explicitly stated, but participants are all British and researchers are from UK institutions and they describe UK context of general adolescent psychiatric units.	(for treatment for anorexia nervosa). Follow-up OR time of interview • Participants had been discharged 2–5 years prior to the study.	outside world and positive relationships with fellow	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		remained stagnant:	
		' but I was very aware that they were getting on with their lives, erm, they were doing their "A" levels, they were gonna be going off to university at the end of the year, and that was really hard for me cos I had fears of everybody going and I'd never catch up it meant that I sort of stayed stuck because I didn't have much incentive to sort of move-on. I thought, well "this is it now" (Sarah; 1 admission lasting 6 months) (p379).	
		Creating an 'informal environment' and promoting normal life was regarded as important.	
		Several people felt that a 'normal' activity outside of the unit would have helped their transition following discharge and also served as an incentive to get well. Similarly, after discharge the key to successful readjustment for many involved having incentives such as a college course, new friends or a job which provided a motivation to stay well and distracted focus away from eating difficulties.	
		Contrasts in structure and support	
		Participants frequently commented that the contrast between high levels of structure and support in the unit and the lack of structure and support in the outside world, proved problematic. This often led to high levels of dependency and painful emotions on discharge.	
		Abrupt transitions were experienced as scary whilst those planned in a gradual and collaborative manner were	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		experienced more positively:	
		'everything, was slowly introduced, so we decided that in x amount of weeks I was going to leave and it was sort of slowly done, instead of saying, this weekend you're going home, next weekend you're going to school, week after you're gonna leave, it was done slowly' (Katie; 2 admissions, total length 15 months) (p380).	
		Several people reported that it would have been helpful to have received ongoing psychological/ emotional support from the practitioner they saw while in hospital. However others preferred support provided by local services, discharge marking a 'fresh start'.	
		It was important that the level of support reflected the individual's stage of recovery.	
		'For example, Katie viewed the support she received initially as too superficial and infrequent, commenting that this may have contributed to her relapse. When nearing complete recovery, having someone at a distance, although sometimes viewed as irritating, was regarded as necessary and helpful' (p380).	
		Preparing for discharge – handing back control	
		Participants often felt they had little control over their lives whilst in inpatient care and thus appropriate preparation for discharge was essential.	
		'Several participants talked about helpful unit practices that	

Offord A, Turner H, Cooper M (2006) Adolescent inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study exploring young adults' retrospective views of treatment and discharge. European Eating Disorders Review 14: 377–87				
Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment	
		enabled them to gradually build up their level of control, such as being given more freedom, having more control over decisions, and preparing one's own meals. In the absence of such careful preparation, participants often described finding the sudden availability of freedom following discharge unmanageable' (p383).		

Owen-Smith A, Bennewith O, Donovan J, et al. (2014) 'When you're in the hospital, you're in a sort of bubble.' Understanding the high risk	of
self-harm and suicide following psychiatric discharge: a qualitative study. The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention 35: 154	1–60

comes 1 (qualitative)	As far as san ha
hat can be improved periences described dings – narrative Q1 Service user views RQ5. Q5 Discharge ttitudes to discharge and the immediate post-discharge od at participants felt their period of hospitalisation had	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • ++ Although the conclusions that can be drawn from this study are limited by the small number of participants, this is a good quality study.
na pe dir 21 R 25 ttil od ttil od	t can be improved priences described ngs – narrative Service user views Q5. Discharge tudes to discharge and the immediate post-discharge participants felt their period of hospitalisation had

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
• UK	potential informants shortly before or after they were discharged.	user SU1, SU6, SU9) seemed unequivocally happy to have been discharged, and a further 3 (SU3, SU4, SU8) were pleased to have been discharged despite some ongoing anxieties about fitness to be out of hospital. The remaining 4 individuals had been disappointed to be discharged, and had not felt involved in the discharge decision.	Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Highly relevant
	Male 2, female 8 • Ethnicity White 9, other 1	All 4 informants who had not wanted to be discharged said they had had urges to harm themselves since discharge (2 had done so), and 3 reported experiencing suicidal feelings during this period. One had felt bad enough to check that she could still get access to a particular means for suicide.	
	 Sample age Age (years) <21=1 21-40=3 41-60=6 Level of need/diagnosis All had recently been discharged from psychiatric hospital, and 	'I said I hadn't felt like suicide since I've been home, [but] I think there's been twice when I've been back to the Internet and I have re-looked at that [tricyclic] drug I think it's like a safety net in a sense not really having the idea that I want to kill myself, but knowing that it's there and it's accessible if I want it' (SU6) (p156).	
	lengths of stay had varied from 5 days to 4 months. For 4 informants this had included detentions under the Mental Health Act (Department of Health 1983), although only 2 of them had been admitted	 2) Post discharge stressors For some, problems that had existed prior to admission and re-emeged on discharge, and difficulties that had been prompted or worsened by their inpatient stay. Re-emergence of pre-existing stressors Nearly all participants highlighted the safety offered by being in hospital, and for many 1 of the main advantages of 	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	primary diagnoses were reported, including a high proportion of personality disorders, and all	taken out of your environment, and quite sheltered [on	
	reported a history of self-harm. Intervention	discharge] you're back in the real world, and having to deal with the real world again' (SU4) (p156). • Stressors prompted or exacerbated by inpatient stays	
	 Psychiatric discharge 	Participants talked about a number of difficulties that had arisen as a result of, or had been made worse by, their stays in psychiatric hospital. Ironically, the provision of constant availability of support and reassurance while in hospital often contributed to feelings of vulnerability following discharge, principally for those who lived alone.	
		'It [the discharge] was hard to start off with … going through having someone I can talk to at any time to not having anybody … it is a shock to the system to suddenly have nobody around' (SU9) (p157).	
		Participants also spoke about coming to terms with the change in their health status following their stay in hospital, and for some (particularly those for whom this had been their first inpatient stay) this seemed to have resulted in a change to their sense of personal identity. This was exacerbated by concerns over the social stigma attached to	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		having been a psychiatric inpatient, and some were worried they would face discrimination on discharge.	
		Additionally, inpatient stays sometimes disrupted existing family relationships and social networks, making readjustment to home life more difficult.	
		'[Coming home] felt weird … the kids are distant now, they're not as loving' (SU5) (p157).	
		Unmet expectations of care were also a key stressor for some following discharge.	
		'Every hospital admission I've had things have been promised in care plan meetings and things never materialize It pisses me off, and I start getting really depressed again' (SU10) (p157).	
		3) Factors affecting the impact of stressors	
		All participants identified helpful factors that had offset the impact of difficulties they had faced since discharge, including preparation for discharge while they were inpatients and support from within the non-statutory and statutory sectors following their return home.	
		 Preparation for discharge 	
		Seven participants had had periods of home leave prior to discharge, and most had found this useful. However, 3 had found periods of leave unhelpful due to the continuous periods of readjustment.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		Despite the commonality of the stressors faced following discharge, participants did not recall any efforts made by staff to prepare them for the psychological impact of being discharged.	
		 Support within the non-statutory sector 	
		Familial support was identified by many as an important factor in helping them adjust to life outside of hospital, and many saw living alone as a risk factor for vulnerability after discharge.	
		'Some days I just want to stay in bed all morning if I was on my own with no one to motivate me – that would sink [me] into a deep depression' (SU1) (p157).	
		Wider networks of social support were generally of less significance, although ongoing relationships with other service users, were very important in helping manage their continuing symptoms of mental distress for some.	
		Half of the informants had accessed voluntary sector agencies for practical or emotional support.	
		 Support within the statutory sector 	
		Most had no ongoing contact with the ward nursing team apart from a post discharge telephone call, which is now part of recommended post discharge care throughout England (National Confidential Inquiry 2006). There were mixed views about this lack of contact, with some feeling excluded by the sudden cessation of support, and others having no desire to maintain any ongoing links with ward	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		staff.	
		 Community mental health care 	
		The most important source of post-discharge support within the statutory sector was community mental health services, and all had some links into this form of support, especially that provided by community psychiatric nurses (CPNs) or specialist social workers. Important aspects of the care provided included the regular contact with 1 professional, the flexibility to meet them at home, and attention to both clinical and social needs.	
		Some also received short daily visits from members of crisis teams immediately after discharge, but these were generally viewed as lacking clarity of purpose, which limited their usefulness. Additionally, 9 informants recollected being provided with a 24-hr crisis contact plan, which was generally felt to be reassuring, but there were concerns about the reliability of the service.	
		'I had home intervention coming in to start off with, but they'd only stay, like, about 5, 10 min. So it seemed a bit pointless them coming round' (SU9) (p158).	

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes (quant)	Internal validity
Survey	 Adolescents with MH conditions 	Quality of life	• ++
The main study collected	16–24 age group included with	Social care outcome	Is the setting
data from key players in	older age groups.	 22 CAN domains including accommodation, food, 	similar to the UK?
the discharge process –	 Adults with MH conditions 	psychotic symptoms, psychological distress, physical	• Yes
including local authorities,		health, transport, benefits and budgeting	Is there a clear
health boards, hospital	Professionals/practitioners		focus on population
	98 community staff identified as		of interest?
staff and patients. The	providing key support to 98 of the	Findings – narrative	• Yes
	patient sample.		Is there a clear
April 1998 and December 1999. This paper draws	Sample size		focus on the review
only on the data relating	• The sample consisted of 173		question(s)?
to patients and	patients recently discharged from	The mean number of needs identified by discharged	• Yes
community-based staff	acute psychiatric units in 8 health board areas of Scotland and 98	patients was 5.8 (range 0–17). The mean number of unmet	This study was
collected using the CAN	community staff identified as	needs was 2.5 (range 0–11). Comparing the average levels	published in 2002
between November 1998	providing key support to 98 of the	of need and unmet need for the sample on key	and based on 1999
and September 1999.	patient sample.	0	data. So though CAN
Study aim	r ·		still being relevant,
• 'The aim of this paper is	Sample characteristics Sex 		this study should be
to report on the needs of	Gender % no		considered in the
patients discharged from		with a diagnosis of a non-psychotic illness (n=112) reported	
acute psychiatric wards	Male 43 75		recent policy
as assessed by the	Female 57 98	of a psychotic illness (n=61). This is true for both total need	J. J
Camberwell Assessment	• Sample age		Are the questions
of Need (CAN)'. The	Age Less than 25 years 8% n=14 25–	Patient-rated help received at 6 weeks post-discharge	relevant?
needs and unmet needs	44 years 56% n=96	Patients were in receipt of assistance in all need domains	• Yes
as assessed by both	45 years and older 36% n= 63	(Table 4). Only 5 patients who identified fields were	The CAN seeks to
patients and mental	• Level of need/diagnosis		identify needs rather
health community staff on		The importance of informal help to the group of patients is	than provide a

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
the Camberwell Assessment of Need (CAN) are presented. By assessing needs of patients soon after discharge the authors aim to identify the key areas of need at this critical time. This will help assess how effective discharge policy and procedures have been in identifying and addressing patients' needs. Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK	3 5 Bipolar disorder	informal. Areas where the opposite is shown were information and benefits. Only 25% of patients were satisfied with the type of help and 26% satisfied with the amount of help with regard to information. The needs of the population discharged from acute psychiatric units are perhaps more complex than first	detailed description and the views of both service users and staff is critical. CAN assesses need in 22 domains of daily living (including, accommodation, food, looking after the home, self-care, physical health, psychological distress and safety of others). Overall assessment of external validity • +

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	experts and service users were consulted during the development of the instrument. It has been shown to be a reliable and valid assessment, with independent ratings from both service users and staff (Phelan et al .1995).	perceptions of need post-discharge are higher amongst those usually deemed as less severely ill. This is a time of vulnerability, indicating a need for service support in the initial period after discharge. More importantly, the staff ratings show that, even when non-psychotic patients have service support, the services appear less able to meet their needs than for patients with a diagnosis of psychotic illness.	
		The types of need repeatedly identified by the patients in the study have aspects in common with other studies. Need areas that stand out in this and other studies are psychological distress and psychotic symptoms; daytime activities and company; food and transport; budgeting and benefits. This important finding can help to highlight areas where services are failing to address needs, not only at discharge but at other points of contact with health services. These needs are being identified by mental health services users in a wide range of settings, inpatients, outpatients, day patients and those with a wide range of characteristics and illnesses. These findings are supported by the views of the community-based staff in this study, who identified a similar pattern of common needs in the sample.	
		Level of need identified by patients in each domain area of the CAN – all types of need – basic, health, social and services – were represented in the most commonly	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		identified needs. The rank order of the first 5 most commonly identified needs was psychological distress (n=102), daytime activity (n=98), company (n=73), information about condition and treatment (n=71), and being able to obtain and prepare food (n=68). Psychotic symptoms were reported less often (n=64), as would be expected with the proportionally fewer patients with psychotic diagnoses in the sample, and accurately reflects the sample composition with regard to diagnostic group.	
		No areas of unmet need were reported by 27% of users. The most commonly identified unmet needs followed a similar pattern to total need: psychological distress (n=48), company (n=48), daytime activities (n=45) and information (n=36) were the 4 most commonly reported unmet needs. The fifth most common unmet need was benefits (n=33) which reflects the high level of unemployment found in the sample. Examining the proportion of total needs which were unmet for each domain, again a variety of types of need are represented:	
		 Benefits had the highest proportion of unmet need, with only 17% of those users who identified benefits as a need reported the need as being met due to the help given. 	
		 Childcare had a high proportion of unmet need, with 70% of total need being reported as unmet. 	
		 66% of the sample who reported company as a need felt in to be unmet. 	t

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		 Budgeting 57% of the sample reporting their need as unmet. 	
		 56% per cent reported their transport needs as unmet. 	
		 Staff-rated needs at 6 weeks post-discharge 	
		The mean number of total needs identified by staff was 5.6 (range 0–12). The mean number of unmet needs was 2.9 (range 0–9). Staff and patient average scores for total and unmet need did not differ significantly. No difference was found with regard to diagnostic group for mean total need; however, staff rated nonpsychotic patients as having higher unmet needs than the psychotic group.	
		Staff rated needs in all domain areas and, similarly to patients, the most commonly identified needs encompassed the range of types of need. The top 5 ranked needs were: daytime activities (n=67), psychological distress (n=59), company (n=57), psychotic symptoms (n=37) and obtaining and preparing food (n=36). Information about condition and treatment was just out of the top 5 (n = 29). Staff-rated unmet needs again had some common areas with total needs: company (n=31), psychological distress (n=30) and daytime activities (n=30). However, childcare (n=15) and safety for self (n=12) featured more commonly in staff ratings of unmet need.	
		Staff perceptions about which needs were met differed from the patients perceptions. In no domain did staff rate all the need as met:	

Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment
		 Staff rated 97% of need for information about condition and treatment as met, as opposed to half of patients believing their need to be unmet. 	
		 High levels of unmet need were shown in education, sexual expression and drugs, although overall low levels of needs were identified. 	

Critical appraisal tables

Tables reporting impact studies

Review Question 6: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions and approaches delivered as part of discharge and admission processes in reducing or preventing readmissions to inpatient mental health settings?

Bach P, Hayes SC (2002) The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients: a randomized
controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 70: 1129–39Study design/theoretical approachData collectionAnalysis & reportingLimitationsQuality assessment

	Bach P, Hayes SC (2002) The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 70: 1129–39					
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment		
Study design/theoretical approach Methodology • RCT Study aim • To evaluate the impact of acceptance and commitment therapy, delivered in 4 sessions pre-discharge, on rehospitalisation of patients with psychotic symptoms. Country • US Single Nevada hospital. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?	Data collection Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes. But not all were included in different measures, e.g. the analysis based on previous hospital admissions (compared to those during the 4- month follow-up period). Not all records	Analysis & reporting Did the study have an appropriate length to follow- up? • Unclear Four months is a relatively short time to ascertain rate of re-admissions. Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes	Those asked to take part were already taking part in psychoeducational sessions, which may distinguish them from the total patient population. Small sample sizes. There are minor discrepancies in numbers (dropouts, those with no prior local hospitalisation, those without records) -	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes. Except that a wide range of psychological treatments appear to be available. Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to		
 Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? Yes Appropriate randomisation? Unclear Unclear how this was done. Adequate concealment of allocation? Unclear. Inpatient staff and outpatient staff except case managers were said to be 	Intervention dropouts: 40 (less 5 excluded, see 2012 paper). However, p1132 suggests rehospitalisation data was only available for 33 ACT and 30 TAU	discrepancies in available data, but the authors did attempt to validate the findings by 'objective' records (e.g. readmissions, medication compliance). Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • Unclear Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear	because the sample sizes are small, this may be a problem. Both groups had packages of services – before and after discharge – as TAU which could include individual psychotherapy, group rehabilitation, psychoeducation and assertive outreach services. Any 1 or combination of these might be responsible for	Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +		

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
likely to have known (during hospital episode). Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk Direction of bias effect • Unclear Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes. But this is a potentially complex package of care interventions and case management which may themselves be responsible for the outcomes. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • Yes. It is said that only case managers knew the person was in a	 was only available for 33 ACT and 30 TAU patients. Groups comparable on intervention completion? Yes Missing outcome data Intervention: 40 (less 5 excluded, see 2012 paper). However, p1132 suggests rehospitalisation data was only available for 33 ACT and 30 TAU patients. Comparison: 40 (less 5 excluded, see 2012 	 Yes. Fairly cautious, i.e. recognising the limitations of the sample size. 	the impact on rehospitalisation.	

Bach P. Haves SC (2002) The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients; a randomized

Bach P, Hayes SC (2002) The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 70: 1129–39

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
trial, and not their 'condition'.	Attrition bias			
Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of performance bias effect • Unclear	Likely direction of attrition bias effect			
	• Unclear			

Burns T, Rugkasa J, Molodynski A, et al. (2013) Community treatment orders for patients with psychosis (OCTET): A randomised controlled trial. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT OCTET is a non-blinded, parallel arm RCT.	Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Both groups followed	-	The number of protocol violations was high (42 violations in the CTO group and 46 in the section 17 group). This	Internal validity • ++ Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes
 Study aim To test the hypothesis that patients with a diagnosis of psychosis who are discharged from hospital on community treatment orders (CTOs) will have a lower rate of readmission than those discharged on Section 17 leave. 	up at 12 months. Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts: 1 withdrew on day 1, 41 not interviewed at 12 months (17 refused,	definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to	was because the trial was only lawful if each clinical decision after study randomisation was taken without reference to randomisation. Secondly, the setting up	Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)?

Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 2 Study design/theoretical approach	1	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Country • UK Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Random permuted blocks with lengths of 2, 4 and 6 and stratified for sex, schizophrenic diagnosis and duration of illness. Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes. Assignments stored in opaque	10 did not attend, 8 not contactable, 2 inadequate English, 1 team refusal, 3 deceased) 42 in total. • Comparison dropouts: 2 excluded on day 1 (1 already on a CTO, 1 on a section 17 too long), 51 not interviewed at 12 months (26 refused, 8 did not attend, 12 not contactable, 2 inadequate English, 2 deceased, 1 other reasons) 54 in total. Groups comparable on intervention completion? • Unclear. There were 11 more drop outs in the Section 17 group. 26 refused in Section 17 group (compared	determine readmission rates and length of time to readmission. Validated scales used for measuring social and clinical functioning. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention?	of CTOs at recruitment to that arm can take days or weeks. Thirdly, almost all the trusts were reorganising during the study, and patient care could be	Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • ++

Burns T. Rugkasa J. Molodynski A. et al. (2013) Community treatment orders for patients with psychosis (OCTET): A randomised controlled trial.

urns T, Rugkasa J, Molodynski A, et al. (2013) Community treatment orders for patients with psychosis (OCTET): A randomised controlled trial. sychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34					
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment	
 intervention/s studied? Unclear. Treatment in the community very difficult to monitor. In terms of receiving CTO or Section 17 as assigned by the trial the authors reveal there were 42 violations of the protocol in the CTO group and 46 in the Section 17 group. These violations came about due to the legalities of the trial. Clinicians could not be encouraged to continue with initial randomised assignment if they did not deem it appropriate. Also finalisation of CTO could take days/weeks after the point of randomisation for the trial. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? No Performance bias appraisal Low risk of bias Likely direction of performance bias effect 	As hospital records used no missing data on primary outcome (readmission). • Comparison missing outcome data Groups comparable on available data? • Yes Intention to treat analysis used. Attrition bias appraisal • Low risk of bias				

Е

Burns T, Rugkasa J, Molodynski A, et al. (2013) Community treatment orders for patients with psychosis (OCTET): A randomised controlled trial. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
• Unclear				

Dush DM, Ayres SY, Curtis C, et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric hospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional acute care. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology	Were all groups	Did the study have an	There were differences	Internal validity
• RCT	followed up for an	appropriate length to follow-	in the population	• +
Study aim	equal length of time?		entered into the study	Is the setting similar to
To demonstrate the potential of a	• Yes		and the possible 244	the UK?
		Although not stated in paper (!) 1 year for readmissions seems	consecutive admissions who might have taken	
9	timepoints, not all of which are reported.			Unclear if the rural, poor area, the ER service and
		Did the study use a precise	who had more	the participants are similar
presenting to the ER and having been	 Intervention 		problematic conditions	to those seen in England.
assessed and agreed as needing	dropouts: 'Regional	100	not approached at	Is there a clear focus on
admission.	hospitalization data	Was the method used to	request of CMH team.	population of interest?
Country	was available for	determine the outcome valid	These patients differed	• Yes
• US	100% of participants.		from participants in the study, 'with 55% longer	Is the intervention

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting		Quality assessment
Rural area with high levels of poverty. Appropriate randomisation? • Unclear Unclear how done, but it was done after people were admitted or agreed following ER assessment to be eligible for admission. Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes All assessors were blind to allocation, as was the patient at baseline assessment. Comparable groups at baseline? • Unclear. Although it is said there were no significant differences, characteristics not given. Authors do say that there were differences in the population entered into the study and the possible 244 consecutive admissions who might have taken part – e.g. 30 individuals not approached at request of CMH who had more problematic conditions. Selection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Was selection bias present?	clinical data were higher than expected, despite various counter measures. Complete data was collected for 138 (76%) of participants evaluated at 2-week follow-up, 96 (53%) located at 6-months and 71 (39%) at 1-year follow-up. Attrition rates were similar across groups—about 10% higher for controls at 2 weeks and 6 months, but higher for the experimental group at 1 year follow-up' (p31).	 confounding factors? Unclear Detection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 	(p32). Comparison of an intervention with routine care (which may itself be very variable) is not ideal, but failing to offer care would be unethical. Main impact on costs concerns people diverted from admission (which is not absolutely relevant to our RQ).	clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • + There were differences in the population entered into the study and the possible 244 consecutive admissions who might have taken part – e.g. 30 individuals not approached at request of CMH who had more problematic conditions.

Duch DM. Avros SV. Curtis C. et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric pospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional acute care. Revehiatric

Dush DM, Ayres SY, Curtis C, et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric hospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional acute care. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Unclear/unknown risk. The authors	higher than expected,			
do comment that refusers, and in	despite various			
particular the 30 that CMH advised not	counter measures.			
to recruit, might have higher levels of	Complete data was			
pathology so the eventual sample may	collected for 138			
not represent the general population	(76%) of participants			
admitted.	evaluated at 2-week			
Direction of bias effect	follow-up, 96 (53%)			
• Unclear	located at 6 months			
Did the comparison groups receive	and 71 (39%) at 1-year			
the same care and support apart	follow-up. Attrition			
from the intervention/s studied?	rates were similar			
• Yes	across groups—about			
Were the participants receiving care	10% higher for			
and support kept 'blind' to how the				
ntervention/s were allocated?	and 6 months, but			
• Unclear	higher for the experimental group at			
Unclear if they would have known what				
was usual care, esp. as some had no				
prior admissions.	Groups comparable			
Were individuals who administered	on intervention			
the care and support kept 'blind' to	<pre>completion? • No</pre>			
the intervention allocation?	-			
• No	Missing outcome			
	data			
Performance bias appraisal	Intervention missing			
Unclear/unknown risk of bias	outcome data, see			
Likely direction of performance bias	above			

Dush DM, Ayres SY, Curtis C, et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric hospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional acute care. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
effect	Compar. missing			
• Unclear	outcome data, see above			
	Groups comparable on available data? • Unclear			
	Attrition bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias			
	Likely direction of attrition bias effect • Unclear			

Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard A, et al. (2013) Treatment in a specialised out-patient mood disorder clinic v. standard out-patient treatment in the early course of bipolar disorder: Randomised clinical trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry 202: 212–9

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology		Did the study have an	The patients in the	Internal validity
• RCT			control group received	• +
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?	for an equal length of time?	up? • Yes	very different interventions –	Is the setting similar to the UK?
• Clear			community psychiatric	• Unclear
Relevance to our review question(s)?	were followed to the first event, a readmission to	definition of outcome? • Yes	characteria in neveriatry	Is there a clear focus on population of interest?
• Mixed	hospital, or to the date	Was the method used to	Data is not available on	• Yes

Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard A		• •		dard out-patient treatment
in the early course of bipolar disorder: F	Randomised clinical tria	 The British Journal of Psychiat 	ry 202: 212–9	
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
• To investigate whether treatment in a specialised mood disorder clinic (pharmacological treatment + group psychoeducation) early in the course of illness among patients discharged from their first, second or third admission to hospital for bipolar disorder reduces hospital	or to the end of the study on 31 December 2011, whichever came first. The follow up	and reliable?	group.	Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +
outpatient treatment. Country • Denmark Seven out of the 9 psychiatric wards in the Capital Region of Denmark.	dropouts: 4 One died and 3 emigrated.	other outcomes were assessed without masking to the intervention. Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important		
 Yes. Computer generated allocation/ block randomisation sequence stratified for psychiatric centre and previous number of admissions. Adequate concealment of allocation? Yes 	given on the 4 people who dropped out of the intervention group.	 confounding factors? Unclear Detection bias appraisal Low risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? Partly. Only readmission rates and cost outcomes are 		
• Yes Selection bias appraisal	0	presented in tables. Other outcomes are presented in narrative form so it is not possible to check for alignment		

Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard A in the early course of bipolar disorder: I				v. standard out-patient treatment
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Patients in the standard care group were treated at the local community mental health centre (n=49, 56.5%), by a private psychiatrist (n=21, 24.7%), by a local psychiatrist associated with the discharging ward (n=33, 15.3%) or by	primary outcome (redamissions). 57 (79.2%) of the 72 patients completed and returned the mailed questionnaire 1 or 2 years after	of reporting.		
intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal	outcome data No missing data on primary outcome (redamissions); 46 (53.5%) of the 86 patients in standard care completed and returned the mailed questionnaire 1 or 2 years after discharge from the index hospital admission. Groups comparable on available data? • Yes			
	Intention to treat			

Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard				v. standard out-patient treatmen	
in the early course of bipolar disorder: Randomised clinical trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry 202: 212–9					
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment	
	analysis used.				
	Attrition bias				
	appraisal				
	 Unclear/unknown risk 				
	of bias				
	No more information				
	given on the dropouts.				

Lay B, Blank C, Lengler S, et al. (2015) Preventing compulsory admission to psychiatric inpatient care using psycho-education and monitoring: feasibility and outcomes after 12 months. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 265: 209–17

are all Did the study have an		
ere all Did the study have an ved up appropriate length to follo	This was an open trial, w- with no blinding.	Internal validity • +
ength of up? • No. But this is an interim report on 'halfway' findings bers Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes 67% (80 Was the method used to determine the outcome values	Recruitment did not proceed as planned, with fewer consenting than expected, and the planned sample size of 400 was not possible. Gains made at 12 months may also under-	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to
	length of up? • No. But this is an interim report on 'halfway' findings Did the study use a precision definition of outcome? • Yes 67% (80 Was the method used to	length of up?up?Recruitment did not proceed as planned, with fewer consenting than expected, and the planned sample size of 400 was not possible.67% (80 tts tudy.Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable?Recruitment did not proceed as planned, with fewer consenting than expected, and the planned sample size of 400 was not possible.

Lay B, Blank C, Lengler S, et al. (2015 feasibility and outcomes after 12 month				ucation and monitoring:
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
 spent in hospital at 12 months (interim findings). Importantly, the programme starts at the interface of in- and outpatient care (discharge). Country Switzerland Appropriate randomisation? Unclear Method not given. Recruitment from 4 psychiatric hospitals. Adequate concealment of allocation? No Nature of the intervention did not permit blinding – this is an open trial. Comparable groups at baseline? Unclear. The major difference identified is that CG/TAU group had a higher rate of schizophrenia patients than did the intervention group, which had a higher number of patients with neurotic and stress-related disorders. Selection bias appraisal Unclear that there were not systematic differences in those consenting to take part, and those not, 	TAU CG (102 of 118) remained in study. Groups comparable on intervention completion? • No. Higher drop-out rate at 12 months in intervention group. Missing outcome data • Intervention Data on main outcomes was from inpatient records: available for all 67% remaining in study. • Comparison Data on main outcomes was from inpatient records: available for all 86% remaining in study. Groups comparable on available data? • Unclear Attrition bias appraisal	 Yes Hospital records. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? No Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? No Detection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of detection bias effect Unclear Do conclusions match findings? Partly. Though not shown to be harmful, the intervention's efficacy may be overstated. 	planned to run for 24 months). Analysis was of those remaining in treatment at 12 months. Results for those dropping out of treatment may be far less positive than for those remaining in treatment.	• Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

ay B, Blank C, Lengler S, et al. (2015) Preventing compulsory admission to psychiatric inpatient care using psycho-education and monitoring: easibility and outcomes after 12 months. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 265: 209–17				
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
as 756 inpatients were approached to take part (and 238 agreed).	of bias Likely direction of			
Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk	attrition bias effect Unclear 			
Direction of bias effect Unclear 	It is possible, for example, that the			
Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes	higher drop-out rate in IG represented unwillingness to proceed with treatment			
Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated?	(and this is a feasibility study).			
Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation?				
Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias				
Likely direction of performance bias effect • Unclear				

Papageorgiou A, King M, Janmohamed illness. Randomised controlled trial. Th				l with serious mental
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To evaluate whether use of advance directives by patients with mental illness reduces rates of compulsory readmission to hospital. Country • UK Is the study clear in what it seeks to	Were both groups followed up for an equal amount of time? • Yes Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts 20 lost to follow up • Comparison dropouts	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow- up? • Yes Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable?	The authors describe	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention
 • Clear • Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Block randomisation, sealed 	Groups comparable on intervention completion? • Yes Groups comparable on available data? • Yes. Where there were missing data a	 Yes Hospital records for readmission data and validated scales for secondary outcomes. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? No Were investigators kept 	Code of Practice, Advance Statements About Medical Treatment: advance directives (refusal) – 'Competent, informed adults have an established legal right to refuse medical	clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Unclear Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear See above. External validity • -
Adequate concealment of allocation? • Yes Comparable groups at baseline?	carried forward. Attrition bias appraisal • Low risk of bias	confounding factors? • Yes Detection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Do conclusions match findings?	procedures in advance.' The use of 'directive' emphasises the legally binding refusal of specific medical treatment or procedure, which is as valid as a decision made at the time treatment options	

Papageorgiou A, King M, Janmohamed illness. Randomised controlled trial. The				l with serious mental
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
control group. grouped median (min, max), intervention=94 (13,545), control =123 (13, 1546)			are being considered. Arguably the intervention was not	
Selection bias appraisal Low risk of bias 			substantially different from what should be	
Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Yes. All patients receive standard community psychiatric care			expected within good standard mental healthcare to have a marked effect.	
(coordinated care programme provided by multi-disciplinary community psychiatric team).				
Allocation – participants. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No				
Allocation – practitioners. Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No				
Performance bias appraisalUnclear/unknown risk of bias				

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 222 of 434

Pitschel-Walz G, Bäuml J, Bender W, e Psychosis Information Project Study. T			atment of schizophrenia:	results of the Munich
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
 Methodology RCT Prospective, randomised, multicentre study. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? Mixed. The whole range of outcome measures are not reported in this paper. Families emotion status, satisfaction with treatment and other subjective measure are only available in German. The main outcomes reported here relate to RQ6, reducing readmissions. Primary outcomes are hospital readmission, number of readmission, days in hospital and medication compliance (which is out of scope). Study aim Psychoeducation Information Project (PIP) study was set up to examine the 	groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Data was collected at the same time across both groups. Dropout numbers • Intervention drop- outs: 23% drop out rate • Comparison drop- outs: 20% drop out rate Groups comparable on intervention completion? • Yes Dropout patients did not differ from study completers in regard to sociodemographic or illness related	appropriate length to follow- up? • Yes Seven-year outcomes for TUM subsection reported in linked paper: Bäuml et al. (2007) Psychoeducation in schizophrenia: 7-year follow-up concerning rehospitalisation and days in hospital in the Munich Psychosis Information Project Study. The Journal Of Clinical Psychiatry 68(6): 8561. Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable?	aimed at both people being discharged from psychiatric hospital and their carers it isn't possible to isolate the effect of the intervention to either group (carer or patient) which received it. Other subjective measures (emotion status, satisfaction with treatment etc.) are available in a German language paper. The author has been contacted but as far as we can ascertain these results are not available	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Unclear Only looks at carers of people with schizophrenia. Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

tudy design/theoretical approachData collectionAnalysis & reportinging-term outcomes and benefits of sychoeducation; the study describes e effects of psychoeducational oups (intervention) in comparison ith routine care (control group) on ompliance and rehospitalisation rates.Missing outcome data • Compar. missing outcome data: Missing data on medication compliance reported for 3 members of'blind' to participants' exposure to the interventior • No Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear	Limitations	Quality assessment
 a effects of psychoeducational oups (intervention) in comparison th routine care (control group) on ompliance and rehospitalisation rates. b data c Compar. missing outcome data: Missing data on medication compliance reported e effects of psychoeducational outcome data: Missing outcome data: Missing data on medication compliance reported e effects of psychoeducational outcome data: Missing outcome data: Missing data on medication compliance reported e exposure to the interventior outcome data: Missing data on medication compliance reported e exposure to the interventior outcome data: Missing data on medication compliance reported 	n?	
 a) German psychiatric hospitals b) or thollocities b) or thollocities c) or tholocities <lic) or="" td="" tholoci<=""><td>IS</td><td></td></lic)>	IS	

Pitschel-Walz G, Bäuml J, Bender W, e Psychosis Information Project Study. T	· · · ·	•	e treatment of schizophi	renia: results of the Munich
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No				
Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • Yes				
Performance bias appraisal Low risk of bias 				

Sledge WH, Lawless M, Sells D, et al. (2011) Effectiveness of peer support in reducing readmissions of persons with multiple psychiatric hospitalizations. Psychiatric Services 62: 541–4

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology			Issues around	Internal validity
			intervention delivery.	• +
Study aim	equal amount of time?	up?	Around one-third (34%)	Is the setting similar
 To examine the feasibility and 	• Yes		of the members of the	to the UK?
	Dropout numbers			Unclear
(recovery mentors) to reduce	Intervention dropouts		not have any contact	Is there a clear focus
recurrent psychiatric hospitalisations.	8	100	with their peer mentor	on population of
Country	Comparison dropouts	Was the method used to	aurina the stuay period.	
• Not UK		determine the outcome valid	The authors do not relay	• Yes
		and reliable?	any more information	Is the intervention
	on intervention	• Yes		clearly relevant to
			information about	clearly relevant to

Study design/theoretical approach		, 1 0		Quality assessment
 Clear Relevance to our RQ(s)? Yes Appropriate randomisation? Yes Sealed envelopes and computer generated schemes. Adequate concealment of allocation? Yes Staff involved with the procedure were not directly linked to the study. Comparable groups at baseline? Yes. Apart from a difference of statistical significance in 1 category: Marital status Intervention: n=8 (21%) were married. Control: n=1 (3%) were married (p<.02). Selection bias appraisal Low risk of bias Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? Unclear 13 of the 38 patients (34%) who were assigned a peer mentor had no 	 Hospitalisation data available for all 74 patients Groups comparable on available data? Yes Attrition bias appraisal Low risk of bias 	 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? N/A Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? Yes Detection bias appraisal 	from 55% of the patients in the peer mentor group. Over 9 months, frequency of contact	RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Sledge WH, Lawless M, Sells D, et al. (2011) Effectiveness of peer support in reducing read	Imissions of persons with multiple psychiatric
hospitalizations. Psychiatric Services 62: 541–4	

Study design/theoretical approach Data collec	ion Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
contact with their mentor during the study period. Total hours of contact with the peer mentor ranged from 2 to 61 hours (mean 24.15 ±17.41).			
Allocation – participants. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No			
Allocation – practitioners. Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No			
Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 			

Steadman HJ, Gounis K, Dennis D, et al. (2001) Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. Psychiatric Services 52: 330–6

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology	Were all groups	Did the study have an	Limited generalisability	Internal validity
• RCT	followed up for an	appropriate length to follow-	of other outpatient	• +
Study aim	equal length of time?	up?	commitment	Is the setting similar to
			programmes because	3

Steadman HJ, Gounis K, Dennis D, et al. (2001) Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. Psychiatric Services 52: 330–6

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , ,		
 To evaluate the effectiveness of a 3- year outpatient commitment pilot program established in 1994 at Bellevue Hospital in NYC. Country US, New York Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Relevance to our review 	 Intervention dropouts For both groups: at 1 month 7% (n=10) At 5 months 7% (n=10) At 11 months 14% (n=17) Comparison dropouts For both groups: At 1 	Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable?	violence were not included in the population of study.	the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes
<pre>question(s)? • Yes</pre>		exposure to the intervention?		• Yes
 Appropriate randomisation? Yes Adequate concealment of allocation? Unclear Comparable groups at baseline? Yes Only significant difference was that subjects in the control group were more likely to have been homeless at 	on intervention completion? • Unclear Missing outcome data • Intervention missing outcome data Too impaired to participate in interview 1 month: 9% (n=12) 5	Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear Detection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? • Partly Not all effect sizes given.		External validity • +
the time of their index hospitalisation (33% vs 10%). Selection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Did the comparison groups receive	months: 7% (n=10) 11 months: 11% (n=13) Moved out of the NY area 1 month: 2% (n=3) 5 months: 9%			

Steadman HJ, Gounis K, Dennis D, et al. (2001) Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. Psychiatric Services 52: 330–6

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
the same care and support apart	(n=12) 11 months:			
rom the intervention/s studied?	10% (n=12)			
Yes	Groups comparable			
Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the	on available data? • Yes			
intervention/s were allocated? • No	Logistic regression analysis carried out.			
the intervention allocation?	Attrition bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk	<		
• No Parformanas bias approias!	of bias			
Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias				

Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR, et al. (1999) Can involuntary outpatient commitment reduce hospital recidivism?: Findings from a	
randomized trial with severely mentally ill individuals. The American Journal of Psychiatry 156: 1968–75	

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology	Were all groups	Did the study have an	Link of analysis to	Internal validity
• RCT	followed up for an		findings and effect sizes	• +
Is the study clear in what it seeks to	equal length of time?	up?	presented is not clear.	Is the setting similar to
do?	• Yes	• Yes	Original study design	the UK?
• Mixed	Dropout numbers			• Unclear
It departs from the original RQ and 2	 Intervention 	definition of outcome?	differences in length of	Is there a clear focus on
a departe ment the original rea and 2			OPCOs (though it could	

Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR randomized trial with severely mentally				: Findings from a
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
randomised groups. Study aim • Evaluate effectiveness of involuntary outpatient commitment orders (OPCOs) in reducing hospital admissions among adults with severe mental illness Country • US, North Carolina region Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Unclear No detail on how this was carried out. Adequate concealment of allocation? • No Neither subjects, providers or judges were blind to allocation. It is not clear whether – given the strict protocols, such as no use of OPCOs in the control group – allocation could have been affected. Comparable groups at baseline?	group Attrition was if person refused further interaction or could not be found. • Comparison drop- outs: 15.6% (n=21) in control group Groups comparable on intervention completion? • No The analysis of data was carried out with 2 important modifications: the length of OPCO was	 Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? Yes Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? No Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? Unclear. Investigators are not stated to be blind or otherwise - but no other participants were blind and the hospital records could have stated other detail, including assignation. Detection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of detection bias effect Unclear Do conclusions match findings? Partly The narrative findings are not 	whether randomisation could have been skewed by lack of	population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • -

Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR, randomized trial with severely mentally				ivism?: Findings from a
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Study design/theoretical approach • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Was selection bias present? • Unclear/unknown risk Direction of bias effect • Unclear Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? • Unclear Both groups had case management, but it is not clear they had the same amount or intensity of OP support. Were the participants receiving care and support kept 'blind' to how the intervention/s were allocated? • No Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of performance bias effect	particular the first condition – were part of the randomisation (although they might have been as the protocol for the use of OPCOs was quite tightly followed, e.g. none of the controls had an OPCO). Groups comparable on available data? • Unclear Attrition bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of attrition bias effect • Unclear	illustrated well by the effect sizes in the table: e.g. it is difficult to match the effect sizes to this statement: 'As shown in table 2, relative to control subjects, sustained outpatient commitment reduced mean admissions by roughly 57% and hospital use by 20 days. The proportion of subjects with any hospital admissions, although showing this trend, failed to reach statistical significance in these bivariate analyses' (p1971).		Quality assessment

Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR, et al. (1999) Can involuntary outpatient commitment reduce hospital recidivism?: Findings from a randomized trial with severely mentally ill individuals. The American Journal of Psychiatry 156: 1968–75					
Study design/theoretical approach Data collection Analysis & reporting Limitations Quality assessment					
• Unclear					

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To determine whether the drawing up of a JCP with people with severe mental illness and at least 1 admission would experience (compared with TAL group) 'fewer compulsory admissions (primary outcome); fewer psychiatric admissions; shorter psychiatric stays; lower perceived coercion; improved therapeutic relationships; and improved engagement' (from abstract) Country • UK Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our review question(s)?	Were all groups followed up for an equal length of time? • Yes Dropout numbers • Intervention dropouts Data for the primary outcome (admission to hospital under a compulsory section of the MHA) were missing (i.e. refused access) for 22 of the 569 participants (4%). Those with missing data for the primary outcome were similar to those with such data, except that the former had	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow- up? • Yes 18 months seemed reasonable as participants had all been admitted within past 24 months. Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Yes	'Limitations of the study include the fact that at least some of the community mental health teams were not adequately prepared to deliver the JCP intervention as separate from the CPA and had little sense of ownership in it' (p1640). Authors also state that their fidelity measures regarding the implementation were insufficiently sensitive.	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus or population of interest? • Yes

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, e psychosis: A randomised controlled tria			duce compulsory tre	eatment for people with
Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
Study design/theoretical approach • Yes Appropriate randomisation? • Yes After baseline assessment, participants stratified by site and randomly allocated to intervention or control group using permuted blocks of randomly varying block size, with equal allocation to the 2 groups. The allocation sequence was generated by the independent clinical trials unit at the study coordinating centre. Adequate concealment of allocation? • Unclear Participants and clinical staff were not masked to allocation – this would not	Data collection rated therapeutic relationship (WAIC) scores (18.6 vs 15.8, p=0.043) and were more likely to be in the intervention group (n=18, 6%) than in the control group (n=4, 1%)' (p1637). A total of 18 from the intervention group could not be followed up for primary outcome, and 46 were not available (refused, deceased, etc.) at follow-up • Comparison dropouts	Analysis & reporting from clinicians and patients in intervention group. Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Unclear since they would have accessed records (on admissions) with patient consent Detection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Likely direction of detection		Quality assessment
Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes Selection bias appraisal • Low risk of bias Randomisation was carried out independently of the clinicians, recruits were from 4 sites, there were few	was not available for 4 of the control group, and 19 people were not available for follow up measures.			

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical of	outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with
psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 10	634–41

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
 Low risk of bias See above. However, we do not know if the people approached and consenting to take part were representative of this population Direction of bias effect Unclear Did the comparison groups receive the same care and support apart from the intervention/s studied? Unclear 	intervention group did not receive it (for various reasons) although all the controls received TAU Missing outcome data • 'Those with missing data for the primary outcome were similar to those with such data, except that the former had significantly worse self- rated therapeutic relationship (WAIC) scores (18-6 vs 15-8, p=0.043) and were	and implementation' (p1640). Reasons for doubting full engagement are given in the discussion: The JCP challenges the ethos of the CPA because it calls for the clinician to share or cede significant power to the patient. Where the JCP meeting worked well, patients reported feeling respected, and clinicians talked about how the patient 'came alive' as an individual, indicating a more personalised approach to care.		Quality assessment
Were individuals who administered the care and support kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • No Performance bias appraisal	more likely to be in the intervention group (n=18, 6%) than in the control group (n=4, 1%). The degree of missing data varied across secondary outcome measures: 20% of participants were missing			

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
_ikely direction of performance bias	perceived coercion			
effect	score, 24% were			
Unclear	missing engagement			
	with care scores, and			
	22% were missing			
	WAIC and WAIT			
	scores at follow-up.			
	Participants missing			
	secondary outcomes			
	at follow-up were more			
	likely to come from the			
	intervention group for			
	all outcomes: 56%			
	perceived coercion,			
	60% service			
	engagement, 64%			
	WAIC, and 63% of			
	those missing WAIT.			
	Diagnosis was also			
	associated with			
	missing data in all of			
	the secondary			
	outcomes. Site was			
	associated with			
	missing data for			
	perceived coercion,			
	service engagement,			
	and WAIC.			

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 1634–41

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	Missing service			
	engagement data was			
	also associated with a			
	higher Global			
	Assessment of			
	Functioning score,			
	missing WAIC			
	associated with			
	number of previous			
	admissions, and			
	missing WAIT			
	associated with marital			
	status and Global			
	Assessment of			
	Functioning score'			
	(p1637).			
	'Data for			
	demographic,			
	therapeutic			
	relationship, and			
	patient engagement			
	were obtained from the	4		
	case managers or			
	other named clinicians			
	(care coordinators);			
	however, 35 (6%) of			
	569 of the care			
	coordinators did not			
	complete these at			

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 1634–41

Study design/theoretical approach	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Quality assessment
	baseline' (p1637). Not clear which data from which groups this corresponds to.			
	Groups comparable on available data? • Unclear			
	Attrition bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias			
	Likely direction of attrition bias effect • Unclear			

Findings tables

Tables reporting impact studies

Review Question 6: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions and approaches delivered as part of discharge and admission processes in reducing or preventing readmissions to inpatient mental health settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 238 of 434

Bach P, Hayes SC (2002) The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 70: 1129–39

Research aims	Population	-	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Study aim • To evaluate the impact of acceptance and commitment therapy, delivered in 4 sessions pre-discharge, on rehospitalisation of patients with psychotic symptoms. Linked study • This study did have a further paper published in 2012 which attempted to find a positive result for the sample at 12 months, bearing in mind previous admissions history, but with the small sample size, the number of projections and assumptions made and the fact that treatment finished before, at or within a few days of an index	 Intervention number 40 (less 5 excluded, see 2012 paper). However, p1132 suggests rehospitalisation data was only available for 33 ACT and 30 TAU patients. Comparison numbers 40 (less 5 excluded, see 2012 paper). However, p1132 suggests rehospitalisation data was only available for 33 ACT and 30 TAU patients. 	Findings – effect sizes • Of the 35 participants in the study in each condition, 7 of the ACT participants (20%) and 14 of the TAU participants (40%) were rehospitalised during the 4 months following release. ACT participants were hospitalised at a significantly lower rate than were TAU participants (at 0.05 significance): Wilcoxon's statistic (1, n=70) = 4.26 p <.05; ACT participants remained out of the hospital an average of 22 days longer than control participants during the 4 month follow-up period. This was felt to be associated with the treatment, as baseline measures on those who had been hospitalised at the Nevada State Hospital showed an average of 78.5 days in the ACT group and 75.3 in the TAU group, a nonsignificant difference, F(1, 61)=0.07, ns (i.e both groups were vulnerable to re- admissions). The difference between the 2 conditions in the number of days to hospitalization during follow-up was statistically significant, F(1, 60)	range of psychological treatments appear to be available. Is there a clear focus on population of interest?

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
emerged. The study reference is: Long-Term Effects of Brief Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for Psychosis (2012), Bach, Hayes and Gallop. It has been excluded on evidence type. Source of funding Government	substance-misuse psychosis or dementia or delirium – average frequency more than once a day. 90% of those volunteering to take part had previous admissions (range 1–58). Intervention • Acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT). 4 sessions were delivered once the inpatient was sufficiently well, spaced (drawing on 2012 description) approximately every 3 days, with the last one either 72 hours pre- or post- discharge. Sessions covered: 1. How patient currently experiences and copes with symptoms; trigger events; introducing idea that the way of handling them is more important than the symptoms; describes and tests ways of distancing them; role of medication; goals. 2. More on personal experience, letting go of the struggle and accepting the symptoms. 3. Review of the strategy for acceptance, focus on goals, focus on coping and carrying on regardless. 4	reductions across time), nor in medication compliance (which might have accounted for other effects). The frequency of reported symptoms was not significantly different between the ACT and TAU participants, whether at baseline, $F(1, 69)=2.12$, ns, or at follow-up, $F(2, 29) = 0.36$, ns. ACT participants were twice as likely as TAU participants to report symptoms at all, however, with 21 ACT participants (60%) and 11 TAU participants (31%) reporting symptoms at follow-up, a significant difference, $X^2(1$ n=70) = 5.76, p = .016. With a small sample (some discrepancies on numbers) and a high level of significance, ACT participants appeared to have fewer reshospitalisations in the 4 months after discharge, and remained out of hospital longer than the TAU group. There were no significant differences measured in the distress felt by individuals at baseline or follow- up (both groups showing similar measures and reductions across time), nor in medication compliance (which might have accounted for other effects). ACT respondents were more likely to report symptoms (which may for some patients have been an admission likely to lead to re-admission). Authors suggest that the ACT sessions made them	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	Review of acceptance, distancing, successful attainment of goals, continuing strategy, etc. Note that all those asked to consent to treatment already deemed eligible, and receiving, psychoeducational groups (which may mean they are not typical patients) and individual psychotherapy is also available and used by some of sample. After discharge, psychosocial rehab classes, psychotherapy and assertive community treatment were available (and 60% of the sample had 1 or more of these). Follow-up OR time of interview • 4 months. Data on rehospitalisation collected from the only state hospital (for nearly 200 miles). 35 patients in each arm.	 more aware of delusions and more accepting of them. 'ACT patients also seemed to show less likelihood of believing in their symptoms as reflecting reality016 One possible explanation is that higher levels of symptom reporting in the ACT condition was an indirect measure of acceptance, at least for those participants who still had active symptoms. If participants were more accepting of symptoms that occurred, they presumably would be more likely to acknowledge them rather than deny them' (p1133). Participants were asked about 'believability' – the extent to which they believed that the content of delusions or hallucinations represented reality. 'Believability decreased from a mean value of 78.7 at baseline to 40.7 at follow-up among ACT participants and from a mean value of 75.4 to 63.6 among TAU participants (see Figure 4). An analysis of covariance of follow-up believability ratings was conducted with the baseline ratings as a covariate. The difference in believability ratings between the two groups was statistically significant, F(1, 29)=4.36, p<.05' (p1134). Findings – narrative RQ6 Reducing readmissions 	

Bach P, Hayes SC (2002) The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 70: 1129–39

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		numbers) and a high level of significance, ACT participants appeared to have fewer rehospitalisations in the 4 months after discharge, and remained out of hospital longer than the TAU group.	
		There were no significant differences measured in the distress felt by individuals at baseline or follow- up (both groups showing similar measures and reductions across time), nor in medication compliance (which might have accounted for other effects).	
		ACT respondents were more likely to report symptoms (which may for some patients have been an admission likely to lead to readmission). Authors suggest that the ACT sessions made them more aware of delusions and more accepting of them. ACT patients also seemed to show less likelihood of believing in their symptoms as reflecting reality.	

Barrett B, Waheed W, Farrelly S, et al. (2013) Randomised controlled trial of joint crisis plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: economic outcomes PloS One 8(11): e74210

Research aims	Population	5	Overall quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes 2 (quant)	No rating given for

Barrett B, Waheed W, Farrelly S, et al. (2013) Randomised controlled trial of joint crisis plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: economic outcomes PloS One 8(11): e74210

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
• RCT.	Adults with MH conditions	Admissions under MHA	transparency (see
Thornicroft et al. (2013)	Adults over 16, psychiatric patients with	Primary outcome (18 months post JCP). (CTOs not	
will be appraised for the		mentioned as possible outcome.)	summaries and
RCT. Marija to complete	on Enhanced CPA (complex needs)		economic checklist
the economic evaluation	 People NOT subject to the MHA 		of Barrett 2013 for
of this paper.	(voluntary admission)	collected using AD-SUS, and at 18 months (end of	more information).
 ECONOMIC study 		study) same measure used for the prior 18 months.	
	1 admission in past 2 years, but not	Hospital and case records used to verify data	
Study aim	CURRENTLY subject to MHA (to reduce		
• To explore the relative	possible sense of coercion).	outcome)	
costs and cost-	Sample size	Costs	
effectiveness of drawing	Intervention number: 285 (64 did not	Economic evaluation – full or partial	
up a joint crisis plan	receive intervention, but seems to be an	• RQ 4 – Admission	
(with the service user) in	ITT analysis)	• RQ 6 – Reducing readmission	
conjunction with TAU (as	 Comparison numbers: 284 Sample size: Total 569 randomised 	Findings – effect sizes	
opposed to TAU alone),	-	 No significant effect on compulsory admissions, 	
, , ,	Sample characteristics	nor on societal cost per individual. However, for	
	• Sex	service costs, 80% likelihood JCP is cost-effective	
the Mental Health Act in	Two groups 50:50 male:female	(white and Asian groups) and 90% for black groups.	
a population with recurring psychotic	• Ethnicity 62% White; 22% Black; 10% Asian	Findings – narrative	
episodes. NB: title is a	• Level of need/diagnosis	• RQ4 Admissions	
bit misleading, as	All had major mental illness (74%	It is suggested here that JCP improved therapeutic	
0.	schizophrenia disorder spectrum; 26%	relationship (possibly especially with black service	
could cover CTOs, but	affective disorder) with at least 1 prior	users) • RQ6 Reducing readmissions	
they are not considered	admission, and were on Enhanced CPA	No significant effect on compulsory admissions, nor	
in this study.	(complex needs).	on societal cost per individual. However, for service	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
of joint crisis plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 381: 1634–41;		costs, 80% likelihood JCP is cost-effective (white and Asian groups) and 90% for black groups.	

Barrett B, Waheed W, Farrelly S, et al. (2013) Randomised controlled trial of joint crisis plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: economic outcomes PloS One 8(11): e74210

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
SUGGESTS MAY BE A PILOT.			
Source of funding • Government NIHR supplemented MRC funding. • Funding council MRC.			
Country ∙ UK			
Trusts participating: London, Manchester, Lancashire, Birmingham			

Research aims	Population	Findings		Overall quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes 2 (quant)		Internal validity
• OCTET is a non-	• Adults aged 18–65 with MH conditions	Clinical outcome		• ++
blinded, parallel arm randomised controlled	• People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission)	Brief Psychiatric Rating Sc Service outcomes 	ale	Is the setting similar to the UK?
trial	Sample size	Findings – effect sizes		• Yes
Study aim	•	• CTO (n=166)	Section 17 (n=167)	Is there a clear
• To test the hypothesis	Comparison numbers: 169			

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
will have a lower rate of readmission than those discharged on Section 17 leave. Linked studies Burns T, Molodynski A (2014) Community treatment orders: background and implications of the OCTET trial; Rugkasa et	Total n= 336 Sample characteristics • Age CTO (n=167) mean (SD) 39.8 years (11.2) Section 17 (n=169) mean (SD) 39.5 (11.7) • Ethnicity White CTO (n=167) 102 (61%) Section 17 (n=169) 104 (62%) Black CTO (n=167) 38 (23%) Section 17 (n=169) 40 (24%)	 59 (36%) 60 (36%) 1.0(0.75 to 1.33) * see whole table for more results Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions Primary, secondary, or clinical outcomes did not differ between groups. At 12 months, neither the number of extended 	focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • ++

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
outcomes. Source of funding Government National Institute of Health Research. Country UK	 Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) CTO (n=167) Median (IQR) 38 (30-48) Section 17 (n=169) 38 Median (IQR) (32-49) Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) CTO (n=167) Mean (SD) 38.3 (9.4) Section 17 (n=169)Mean (SD) 39.3 (10.2) Previous admissions Number of past psychiatric admissions CTO (n=167) Median (IQR) 6 (3-8) Section 17 (n=169) Median (IQR) 5 (3-9) Number of past involuntary admissions CTO (n=167)4 (2-7) Section 17 (n=169) 3 (2–8) 		
	 Intervention Community treatment order (CTO) Imposed when the responsible clinician (normally consultant psychiatrist) and an approved mental health worker consider a patient who is being discharged after a period of involuntary hospital treatment to be at risk of relapse and/or readmission. The CTO can stipulate, as a condition, that the patient must take medication outside of the hospital but the CTO does 		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	not authorise the clinicians to administer medication by force. Instead the clinician can recall the patient for up to 72 hrs to review treatment without formally readmitting them. A range of conditions can be imposed – including place of residence and attendance at assessments.		
	Section 17 Used for brief periods to assess suitability of a patient's recovery after and during a period of involuntary hospitalisation. The treatment order remains active and the patient can be immediately readmitted without additional legal processes. 'A legal opinion on the trial concluded that, although there are undoubtedly differences between the CTO and leave regimes, and area of genuine equipoise exists; is unclear whether either regime is more restrictive that the other and it is possible for a patient simultaneously to meet the criteria for both' (p1629).		
	Follow-up OR time of interview 12-month follow up in each group 		

Research aims	esearch aims Population Findings		Overall quality assessment
the costs of admissions, delivered to people already admitted, or	to acute MH ward, or those referred into	Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome Quality of life Service outcomes Social care outcome Costs Patterns of service use (no detail given) Findings – effect sizes 'Averting hospitalization with ER intervention for 12 participants produced the largest single contribution to outcome (p<.001). Of the total difference in 	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear if the rural, poor area, the ER service and the participants are similar to those seen in England Is there a clear
naving been assessed and agreed as needing admission. Source of funding • Voluntary/charity	sample (from which patients refused to	initial hospitalisation altogether for 12 of 17 participants treated initially in the ER. Among participants not averted (i.e. admitted for hospitalisation), average length of stay was 6.18 days for the experimental group (SD=6.18) versus 7.22 days for controls (SD=5.84)' (p31). 'Collectively, total days in the hospital averaged	focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes
demonstration (as clients are rural poor and Medicaid are funders of care) Country • US Rural area with high	of the eventual sample (IG and CG) are not given in paper. Intervention • Clinical team delivering an acute, intensive short-term transitional support programme, targeting people who might be at high risk of readmission, or	transitional acute care' (p31). 'The team intervened later during the study for participants who	Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • + There were

Dush DM Avres SY Curtis C, et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric hospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional acute care

Dush DM, Ayres SY, Curtis C, et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric hospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional ad	ute care.
Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34	

Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment	
levels of poverty	 hospital-based bridge to other resources' (p29). Support ended with transfer to CMH (at first follow-up appointment), though active treatment could be resumed. Elements varied, but typically were: assessment and treatment plan for stabilisation and transitional support; brief individual behavioural therapy, with family if possible, oriented to problemsolving and reassurance; an 8-session CB relapse prevention group was introduced after a few months; home care and home assessments; follow-up care in home, possibly psychological treatments and assistance with practical problems such as transport. Investigators flag the likely importance of home support, use of health aides for in-home 	readmission (25%), compared to 12 in the experimental group (13%). When they were readmitted, experimental participants spent an average of 2.46 days in the hospital (SD=9 01) versus 3 .17 days for controls (SD=6.62)' (p32). There were no significant differences between groups in relation to MH and functioning measures. Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions Although all participants, including those recruited in	population entered into the study and the possible 244 consecutive admissions who might have taken part – e.g. 30 individuals not approached at request of CMH who had more problematic conditions.	

Dush DM, Ayres SY, Curtis C, et al. (2001) Reducing psychiatric hospital use of the rural poor through intensive transitional acute care. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 25: 28–34					
Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment		
		outcomes at 2 weeks (which is what is reported). Overall, the service achieved substantial reductions in hospital use and relapses.			

Research aims	Population	Findings						Overall quality assessment
• Study aim To investigate whether treatment in a specialised mood disorder clinic	• Adults with MH conditions Patients aged 18 - 70 with a primary diagnosis of a single manic episode or		come	es g readmis t sizes				Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear
treatment + group psychoeducation) early in the course of illness among patients	misuse and other psychiatric disorders were allowed. Exclusion criteria: moderate/ severe dementia, poor understanding of Danish, those under commitment (compulsory treatment),	Treatment	N	Events*	Yrs of post- randomisation survival time, mean (95% CI)	Log ra test x ² (d.f)	ank P	focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the
	those not willing to give informed	Mood Disorder	72	26	4.1 (3.5-4.7)	4.49	0.034	intervention clearly relevant

Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard A, et al. (2013) Treatment in a specialised out-patient mood disorder clinic v. standard out-patient treatment in the early course of bipolar disorder: randomised clinical trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry 202: 212–9

Research aims	Population	Findings						Overall quality assessment	
admission to hospital for bipolar disorder reduces hospital readmissions and rates of relapse compared with standard psychiatric outpatient treatment. Country • Denmark Seven out of the 9 psychiatric wards in the Capital Region of Denmark. Source of funding • Pharmaceutical Lundbeck Foundation and by the Research Foundation of the Hovedstadens Sygehusfællesskab (The Capital Hospital Corporation).	Sample size • Mood disorder clinic (intervention) n=72 • Standard treatment (control) n=86 Total=158 Sample characteristics • Sex Female Intervention n=44 (61.1%) Control n=42 (48.8%) • Age at randomisation, years: median (quartiles) Intervention: 37.6 (27.3-48.2) Control: 35.2 (27.9-46.3) • Employed Intervention n=50 (69.4%) Control n=43 (50.0%) Unemployed Intervention n=22 (30.6%) Control n=43 (50.0%) • Previous admissions Patients with previous admission before index admission: Intervention n=31 (43.1%)	clinic vs 47 (were readmi 'The duration was shorter compared w difference w disorder clin (3.0–46.5) d Similarly, the decreased n randomisatic significant (p mean: 0.97 (The cumulat randomisatic mood disord vs standard	harra cing (36.1) 54.7° tted (a of fi for th th th as no c vs ays v a mod on, bu =0.1 s.d.= ed du on wa er cli care,	readmiss (%) patient (log rank to rst readmine ose in the e standard of statistical standard of s 22.0 (4.8 od disorde er of total no t the diffe 1; mood d 1.74) v. 1 uration of a is significal nic group median (o	3.2 (2.7-3.8) 3.2 (2.7-3.8) ts treated in the s treated with st est; p=0.034). ission following mood disorder d care group, ho ally significant (p care, median (q 8–54.8) days). r clinic group ex- readmissions for rence was not s isorder clinic vs 1.58 (s.d.= 2.57) all admissions for antly shorter for (p=0.01; mood of quartiles): 33.0 (days)' (p215).	andard (randomi clinic gro wever, t p=0.3, m uartiles): cperience llowing statistica standar blowing those in disorder	care isation oup the ood : 12.0 ed a Ily rd care, the clinic	to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External valio	

treatment in the ear Research aims		inical trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry 202: 212–9	Overall quality
			assessment
	Patients without previous admission before index admission:	Major Depression Inventory (MDI) 25 patients (35.1%) in the intervention group relapsed into a depressive episode compared with 37 patients (43.5%) in the standard treatment group, however this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.4).	
	• The 2-year intervention is a combination of evidence-based pharmacological treatment and group psychoeducation. A medical doctor evaluates all patients in the clinic as early as possible following discharge from an in-patient admission and no	Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ) Similarly, there was no statistically significant difference in relapse rates for a hypomanic or manic episode according to the MDQ. Intervention n=45, 62.9%, control n=49, 57.1% (p=0.6). Satisfaction with treatment showed a statistically highly significant difference between patients in the mood disorder clinic v the standard care group. (VSSS-A total score: 132.2 (s.d = 16.9) v 114.9 (s.d=31.6), unadjusted p=0.001, adjusted p=0.01).	
	Group 1: Settling in group for patients just discharged. The focus was on current clinical status and beliefs and experiences in relation to the recent admission. Patients stayed in this group until they were clinically stable and had remitted from depressive and		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	manic symptoms, at least in part (i.e typically 6 months).		
	Intermediary stage and group 3: once stable, the patients were transferred to the second and intermediary group, consisting of group psychoeducation. These were weekly 1.5 hr sessions held for 12 consecutive weeks, followed by 3 booster sessions. In both groups the focus was on knowledge and acceptance of having bipolar disorder, identifying depressive and manic symptoms from normal reactions, personal identity, risk situations, stress management, the need for sustained pharmacological maintenance treatment, and adverse effects of treatment and identification o individual early warning signs of upcoming depressive/manic episodes. CBT approaches were included, focussing on cognitive distortions in identity and behaviour and inter- individual conflicts.		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	patients were helped to prepare for re- referral either to a GP, a private psychiatrist or the community psychiatric centre. The aim was to help participants identify individual early warning signs and to communicate these to the relevant clinicians for an adequate behavioural response or pharmacological re-evaluation.		
	 Staff:patient ratio Six to 8 patients and 2 therapists (psychiatrists and psychologist or nurse) participated in each group. Follow-up or time of interview 'All patients were followed to the first event, a readmission to hospital, or to the date of death or emigration or to end of the study on 31 December 2011, whichever came first The follow-up period from the discharge date following randomisation was between 0 and 6 years with an average follow-up of 2.5 years' (p215). 		

individualised psychoeducational programme together with crisis cards and 24 month preventive month preventive who have been admitted as compulsory patients during the past 24 months. This paper reports on main outcome of reducing admissions – and time spent in hospital at 12 monthsFindings – effect sizes 67% and 86% of the initial samples remained at 12 months in the IG and CG respectively. Number of voluntary readmissions per patient did not differ significantly between groups (IG 1.4, SD 2.5; CG 0.9, SD 1.4), and the length of voluntary admissions sample characteristics • Sex: 60% of IG female, 52% of CG femaleFindings – effect sizes • Yes• YesSample characteristics • Sex: 60% of IG female, 52% of CG female• Sample age 41.5 IG, 43.4 CG. • Level of need/diagnosis • Sample age• Sample age 41.5 IG, 43.4 CG. • Level of need/diagnosis • Substance misuse 30% depressive or neurotic disorder. • Length of illness • Length of illnessFindings – effect sizes • 78• YesFindings – arrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions • C2 % of the intervention group and 85.7 % of the TAU group remained for a period of 12 months in the intervention programme and completed 12• Yes	Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
interface of in- and outpatient care (discharge)	Methodology • RCT Study aim • To evaluate an individualised psychoeducational programme together with crisis cards and 24 month preventive monitoring for adults who have been admitted as compulsory patients during the past 24 months. This paper reports on main outcome of reducing admissions - including compulsory ones – and time spent in hospital at 12 months (interim findings). Importantly, the programme starts at the interface of in- and	Participants • Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions • People subject to the MHA (involuntary admission) Swiss version of involuntary admission – at least 1 in past 24 months. Sample size • Intervention number: 119 • Comparison numbers: 119 • Comparison numbers: 119 • Sample size: 238 Sample characteristics • Sex: 60% of IG female, 52% of CG female • Sample age 41.5 IG, 43.4 CG. • Level of need/diagnosis IG: 34% schizophrenia/BPD 20% substance misuse 30% depressive or neurotic disorder. • Length of illness 15.6 years SD12.5 CG: 43% schizophrenia/BPD 19% substance	 Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome Admissions under MHA Service outcomes Findings – effect sizes 67% and 86% of the initial samples remained at 12 months in the IG and CG respectively. Number of voluntary readmissions per patient did not differ significantly between groups (IG 1.4, SD 2.5; CG 0.9, SD 1.4), and the length of voluntary admissions was also not significantly different. Number of compulsory readmissions per patient for IG group was 0.3 per patient (SD 0.8); vs 0.7 (SD 1.2) per patient in CG, p=0.04. The length of compulsory readmissions was shorter for the IG: 9.1 SD 21.8 days, vs 14.8 SD 31.2 days for CG, p=0.08. Findings – narrative RQ6 Reducing readmissions 67.2 % of the intervention group and 85.7 % of the TAU group remained for a period of 12 months in the intervention programme and completed 12 month assessments (most of dropouts could not be contacted). 52.5 % of the intervention group (56.9 % 	assessment Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the interventior clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Switzerland	 No. of compulsory admissions: 3.8 SD5.2. CG: no. of admissions: 9.3 SD14.4. No. of compulsory admissions: 4.8 SD8.5. Follow-up or time of interview Follow-up at 12 months for assessment, primarily of hospital admissions and compulsory hospital admissions. Intervention Intervention programme is based on individualised psycho-education focusing on behaviour prior to and during crisis, looking at individual needs, abilities, etc. Sessions delivered by same worker (implied but not stated they are not those that provided care on wards). Sessions ranged from 1–11, totalling 3–4 hours. It is implied these start at inpatient stage. Prior to discharge a checklist of personal risk factors for relapse, and info on who to contact, medications. This became a CRISIS CARD, and study participants used these in a variety of ways. After discharge, each person in IG was contacted every fourth week by telephone, for 24 months. Worker 	interim assessment is that treatment is having a positive effect on the number and length of compulsory admissions in the intervention group.	

Lay B, Blank C, Lengler S, et al. (2015) Preventing compulsory admission to psychiatric inpatient care using psycho-e	ducation and
monitoring: feasibility and outcomes after 12 months. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 265	: 209–17

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	reviewed MH status, crisis card and signs of escalating risk of relapse, offering support as needed. The approach attempts to support self- management as a supplement to TAU. TAU involves referring a psychiatric patient to outpatient care (CMHT type) or another healthcare professional for further treatment, and there is no further outreach if the person doesn't use it. In this study, CG were contacted at 3- month intervals to validate continuation ir the study.		

Papageorgiou A, King M, Janmohamed A, et al. (2002) Advance directives for patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious m illness. Randomised controlled trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science 181: 513–9				
Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment	
Methodology • RCT	Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH 	Outcomes quantitative Admissions under MHA 	Internal validity • +	
Study aim To evaluate whether 	conditions Sample size	SatisfactionService outcomes	Is the setting similar to the UK?	

Research aims	Population	Findings			Overall quality assessment
with mental illness reduces rates of	 Intervention group n=79 Control n=77 Total n=156 Sample characteristics Sex Male Intervention n=42 (53%) Control n=51 	 15 partic and 16 (2 to hospita 	1%) in the contro I under section w	ol group were readmitted vithin 1 year of discharge	• Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest?
to hospital. Linked study • Linked to Papageorgiou et al. (2004) Advance directives for patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious mental disorders: directive content and feedback from patients and professionals. See views papers for evidence tables	(66%) • Ethnicity White Intervention n=43 (54%) Control n=48 (62%) black Intervention n=22 (28%) Control n=24 (31%) other Intervention n=14 (18%) Control n=5 (6%) • Sample age Mean yrs (SD) Intervention 35.5 (11.3) Control 36.3 (12.6) • Diagnosis Psychosis Intervention n=50 (63%)	Interver 0 1 2 >2 Days on s	Inder Mental Hea Intion (n=79) 64 (81%) 9 (11%) 4 (5%) 2 (2%) Subsequent section Intion (n=79) 64 (81) 10 (13) 5 (6)	alth Act: Control (n=77) 61 (79%) 11 (14%) 5 (6%) 0 cons (%) Control (n=77) 61 (79) 14 (18)	 Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? Unclear Advance directives are relevant to our RQ – however the description here does not seem to align with current practice. The Menta Capacity Act 2005 gives people over
• NHS Trust National Health Service (NHS) Executive, London Research and Development	Control n=49 (64%) Depression/ bipolar disorder Intervention n=22 (28%) Control n=22 (29%) Other Intervention n=7 (9%) Control n=6 (8%) • Employment status Unemployed Intervention n=31 (39%)	admission		subsequent voluntary Control (n=77) 49 (64)	gives people over the age of 18 a lega right to refuse medical procedures in advance. For example, you may wish to say that you do not want electroconvulsive

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
• UK Two inner-London acu	Control n=29 (38%)	201-365 1 (1) 1(1)	therapy (ECT) if you become unwell.
psychiatric services.	Intervention n=34 (43%) Control n=39 (51%) Employed (full and part time) Intervention n=4 (5%) Control n=5 (6%) Household composition Lives alone Intervention n=11 (14%) Control n=7 (9%) Lives with partner Intervention n=16 (20%) Control n=12 (16%) Lives with parent Intervention n=20 (25%) Control n=41 (53%) Other Intervention n=20 (25%) Control n=17 (22%) Intervention • Advance directive provided in the form of a booklet 'Preferences for care'. It contained – name of GP, community psychiatric nurse, keyworker, consulting psychiatrist and social worker – 7 statements on future preferences for	Number of patients readmitted voluntarily 13 (16) 12 (16) Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions There was no significant difference between the groups in the numbers of subsequent compulse readmissions, numbers of patients readmitted voluntarily, or days spent in hospital. Neither we there any difference in self-efficacy at follow-u (advance directives grouped median 42.66; co arm grouped median 42.25). Analysis of covariance (controlling for baseline values) of Basis–32 and Hospital Service Satisfaction scores for those interviewed at baseline and at follow-up also showed no significant difference between the groups. Jus (13.5%) of the 59 patients in the intervention g who were interviewed at follow up found the advance directive useful. They reported that it helped them to evaluate their illness; reminded them of things they could do to make things be helped to inform other people that they had be and when they might be relapsing. Psychiatris whilst supportive, voiced concern that the advance	However, the authors state that the booklets indicated that professionals were not legally bound to cory comply with the preferences for vas care. As such this care. As such this study does not introl seem to be a fair representation of current advance directive use. Are the outcomes relevant? t 8 • Unclear roup See above. External validity • -

Papageorgiou A, King M, Janmohamed A, et al. (2002) Advance directives for patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious mental
illness. Randomised controlled trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science 181: 513–9

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
		directives were 'yet another administrative burden' (p516).	

Pitschel-Walz G, Bäuml J, Bender W et al. (2006) Psychoeducation and compliance in the treatment of schizophrenia: results of the Munich Psychosis Information Project Study. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 67: 443–52

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes 2 (quantitative)	Internal validity
• RCT	Adults with MH conditions aged 18–65	Quality of life	• +
Prospective, randomised, multicentre			Is the setting similar to the UK?
study. Study aim	Sample size Intervention n=125, control n=111 		Lindoon

Research aims	search aims Population Findings		Overall quality assessment		
 Psychoeducation nformation Project (PIP) study was set up to examine the long- term outcomes and benefits of psychoeducation; the study describes the effects of psychoeducational groups (intervention) in comparison with routine care (control group) on compliance and rehospitalisation rates pover a 24 month follow- up period. Details of any linked studies: Bäuml Joseph et al. (2007) Psychoeducation in schizophrenia: 7-year follow-up concerning rehospitalization and days in hospital in the Munich Psychosis 	Total=236 (from 3 different psychiatric hospitals LMU: Ludwig-Maximilians- University; BKH Haar: Community Hospital of Munich; TUM: Technical University of Munich). ** After a period of 2 years it was only possible to further treat the patients of TUM . The data of the 7-year follow up are based exclusively on this subsample. Total=101; Intervention n=51 Control n=50 Total received allocated treatment=82 Completers at 7 year follow up Intervention=24, Control=24, Total n=48 Sample characteristics • Sex Intervention 51% female, control 57% female **TUM Sample Completers at 7 years follow-up; intervention n=14 (58%); female control n=16 (67%) female • Sample age Mean, Y, intervention 33, control 34 **TUM Sample Completers at 7 years follow-up Mean, Y Intervention 33 Control 36 • Previous admissions Previous hospitalisations, mean intervention 3, control 4	Findings – effect sizes Survival analysis considering the time up to first rehospitalisation showed a significant difference in favour of the intervention group within the first year. (p=.009) and within 2 years (p=.015). The rehospitalisation rate at the 12 month follow- up for intervention group was 21% (n=17) compared to 38% (n=31) in the control group (p=0.25). At 24 months follow up, 41% (n=32) of the intervention group and 58% (n=43) of the control group had to be rehospitalised (P=0.36).	focus on population of interest? • Unclear Only looks at peopl with schizophrenia and their carers. Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +		

Psychosis Information P	Project Study. The Journal of Clinical Psychia		
Research aims	ch aims Population Findings		Overall quality assessment
Information Project Study. The Journal Of Clinical Psychiatry 68(6): 854–61. Seven-year outcomes from this study (**TUM sub-sample) are presented here to avoid double counting of evidence. Country • 3 German psychiatric hospitals	 up) Previous hospitalisations, mean intervention 4.4, control 3.2, duration of index hospitalisation, mean, D Intervention=97, SD=54.0, control=96, SD=73.9 Intervention Patients and their relatives were encouraged to attend 8 sessions of psycoeducational programmes over a period of 4 to 5 months – sessions were separate for patients and carers but consisted of similar material to help them 'speak the same language'. Sessions 1 to 4 took place weekly, mostly during the patients' inpatient stay (after reduction of acute symptoms), and sessions 5 to 8 took 	Intervention group mean (SD) 17(46.6) Control group mean (SD) 30 (54.4) p=.105 after 24 months Intervention group mean (SD) 39 (90.4) Control group mean (SD) 78 (127.2) p=.034 Rehospitalisation rates at 12 and 24 months, differentiated according to the number of previous psychotic episodes. At 12 months % Psychotic episodes	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment	
	drawn up. Relatives' sessions covered similar information to the patients' groups but also allowed relatives to discuss how they can better help the patient with schizophrenia and how they can obtain support and emotional relief for themselves.** For TUM sample only after the 2nd year, patients were encouraged to continue their medication but it was no longer possible to involve their relatives. After the 4th year outpatient treatment in the study centre had to be discontinued completely, and all patients were referred to general psychiatrists. Follow-up OR time of interview • Data recorded on study entry, on discharge, and at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months after discharge. **For TUM subsample Rehospitalisation and number of days spent in hospital were reconstructed from the information given by the patients and their relatives and by interviewing the patients and studying the documents of the hospitals involved.	BPRS (Brief Psychiatric Rating Score) total score Intervention = 32.7 (12.0) Control = 32.9 (10.4) p=.929 (no significant		

Pitschel-Walz G, Bäuml J, Bender W et al. (2006) Psychoeducation and compliance in the treatment of schizophrenia: results of the Munich Psychosis Information Project Study. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 67: 443–52

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		Quality of life score Intervention = 4.9 (1.9) Control = 5.3(1.2) p=.625 (no significant difference).	

Sledge WH, Lawless M, Sells D, et al. (2011) Effectiveness of peer support in reducing readmissions of persons with multiple psychiatric hospitalizations. Psychiatric Services 62: 541–4

Research aims	Population	Findings			Overall quality assessment
• RCT	 Adults with MH conditions 	Findings – effect sizes Hospital admissions and hospital days following enrolment			Internal validity • +
• To examine the feasibility and effectiveness of using	>18 - experienced 2 or more psychiatric	In recovery me group hospitalis			Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear
mentors) to reduce recurrent psychiatric hospitalisations.	Index hospital admission. - have a diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, psychotic	Condition Subjects Mean	Mentor 38 .89 +/- 1.35	36 1 53 +/- 1 54	Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes
• Eli Lilly and Company (Dr Sledge, principal investigator), the	major depressive order - willing to accept random assignment to a peer recovery mentor with usual care	aguarad 04	•	=.042 (1 tailed partial eta	Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes
Connecticut Department of Mental Health and		Subjects	38	36	Are the outcomes

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Addiction Services, and the George D. and Esther S. Gross Professor of Psychiatry endowment. Country • US, Yale, New Haven	 inability to give consent inability to speak English imminent incarceration primary diagnosis of substance abuse or dependence (patients with cooccurring diagnosis of included conditions were allowed). Sample size Intervention n=46, control n=43 Total n=89 Sample characteristics Sex Male Intervention: n=17 (45%) Control: n=38.7 (58%) Sample age Mean +/- SD Intervention: 42.4 +/-11.5 Control: 38.7+/-8.4 Level of need/diagnosis Mood disorder Intervention: n=12 (32%) Control: n=11 (31%) Psychotic disorder Intervention: n=26 (68%) 	Mean 10.08 +/- 17.31 19.08 +/- 21.63 Statistic F=3.63, df=1,71 p=.03 (1 tailed) partial eta squared =.05 Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions Participants allocated to the recovery mentor group had significantly fewer admissions than those in usual care (.89±1.35 and 1.53±1.54 admissions; F=3.07, df=1 and 71, 1 tailed p=.042; partial η 2=.04) and significantly fewer hospital days (10.08±17.31 and 19.08±21.63 days; F=3.63, df=1 and 71, 1 tailed p<.03; η 2=.05). A sub-analysis of the intervention group data showed that there was no significant association between the number of mentor contacts and hospitalisation outcomes. It was also investigated whether there was a differential effect based on diagnostic group. Those with a psychotic diagnosis were compared against those with a non-psychotic diagnosis in an effort to determine if there was a difference in hospitalisation experience through an interaction between diagnosis and the treatment condition. There is a trend for an effect of diagnosis on hospital days with non-psychosis patients having	

Sledge WH, Lawless M, Sells D, et al. (2011) Effectiveness of peer support in reducing readmissions of persons with multiple psychiatric hospitalizations. Psychiatric Services 62: 541–4

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	Control: n=25 (69%) • Previous admissions Mean number of hospitalisations in prior 18 months: Intervention: 3.76 +/-1.08, control: 3.94 +/-1.31 Intervention	fewer hospital days and episodes when compared to patients with a psychotic condition. However this does not reach trend levels of probability.	
	 Recovery mentors were recruited via formal job postings and once recruited, 8 mentors received training and ongoing weekly supervision sessions from PRCH (Program for Recovery and Community Health). The mentors were instructed not to aim for any specific goal other than to support their participant partners in a partnership-relationship. They were trained to use their own first hand 		
	experiences as a basis from which to provide support. The frequency of contact was determined by the mentee in collaboration with his/her mentor. Follow-up OR time of interview • Nine months		

Steadman HJ, Gounis K, Dennis D, et al. (2001) Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. Psychiatric Services. 52: 330–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Findings						Overall quality assessment
 RCT Study aim To evaluate the effectiveness of a 3-year outpatient commitment pilot programme 	• Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions People with 2 previous involuntary hospitalisations in the 18 months before the index admission, with a history of noncompliance to treatment who agreed	Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome Quality of life Service outcomes Arrests Findings – effect sizes Psychiatric hospitalisations in the outpatient commitment and control groups during follow-up (follow-up was 11 months for most subjects). 				Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest?			
NYC Linked study • Detail (name, date) of	Hospital. Exclusion criteria: no pick-up order procedures for noncompliant subjects in the court-ordered group were	Hospitalisation(s)	Outpa	atient nitment	Control group (n=60)	•	Tota	al 130)	• Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to
This was cited in Kisely	the New York City police to transport noncompliant subjects and bring them to	At least 1	N 36	% 51	N 25	% 42	N 61	% 47	RQ(s)? • Yes
therefore ordered and should be included in RR question	the hospital. Persons with a history of violence were excluded from the programme.	Multiple *see table in	19	21	8	13	27	21 gs	Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes
Source of funding Not reported Country US, New York 	• Outpatient commitment n=78 Control group (enhanced-service package) n=64 Total n=142	Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions On all major outcome measures, no statistically significant differences were found between the 2 groups; 18% of the court-ordered group and 16% of					2	External validity • +	
	Not reported	the control gr	oup we	ere arres	sted at le	east o	nce.	The	

Steadman HJ, Gounis K, Dennis D, et al. (2001) Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. Psychiatric Services. 52: 330–6

Research aims	Population	-	Overall quality assessment
	comparable. They did not differ significantly in gender, race, age, and median length of stay. Fifty-four patients (69 percent) in the experimental group were men, compared with 40 (62 percent) in the control group. The numbers by race were 25 (32 percent) and 27 (42 percent) Caucasian, respectively; 30 (39 percent) and 23 (36 percent) African American; and 16 (21 percent) and nine (14 percent) Latino. The mean ±SD ages were 41± 11 years for the experimental group and 41±12 years for the control group. The median lengths of stay for the index hospitalization were 53 days and 51 days, respectively' (p.331).	about the same for both groups – 51 and 42%, respectively. The groups did not differ significantly in the total number of days hospitalised during the follow-up period. Participants' perceptions of their quality of life and level of coercion were about the same.	
	 Intervention One group received court-ordered treatment, which included the enhanced services and the other group received enhanced services only (no court involvement). Enhanced services included: an inpatient assessment, a comprehensive person-centred post- discharge treatment plan, arrangements for ongoing case management, and 		

Steadman HJ, Gounis K, Dennis D, et al. (2001) Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. Psychiatric Services. 52: 330–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	continued oversight of the patient by the outpatient commitment coordinating team. For the group that received court- ordered treatment, the outpatient treatment plan was formalised by a court proceeding and an explicit judicial order.		
	Follow-up or time of interview Follow up at 1, 5 and 11 months 		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment	
Methodology • RCT	Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH 	Outcomes 2 (quant) Clinical outcome 	Internal validity	
Study aim	conditions	Service outcomes	Would need a	
 Evaluate effectiveness of involuntary outpatient commitment orders (OPCOs) in reducing hospital admissions among adults with severe mental illness Linked studies Details of any linked 	•	 Findings – effect sizes Results were divided as follows: control (n=135); OPCO <180 days (n=82) and OPCO <180 days (n=47). Groups shown here as 1, 2, 3 as above. Total psychiatric admissions in 12 months: (1) 1.4 mean, SD 1.55; (2) 0.91, SD 1.23; (3) 0.45, SD 0.80. Summary X2 6.27, df2, p=0.04. Total hospital days: (1) 27.92, SD 51.05; (2) 37.66, SD 61.37; (3) 7.51, 	statistician to assess the finding Is the setting similar to the UK • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest?	

Swartz MS, Swanson JW, Wagner HR, et al. (1999) Can involuntary outpatient commitment reduce hospital recidivism? Findings from	na
randomized trial with severely mentally ill individuals. The American Journal of Psychiatry 156: 1968–75	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
al. (2001) – we should not double count. This paper is used as it has full details for methods, baseline data, and effect	discharge (OPC order). This is permitted (through courts at clinician's request) in	SD 15.90. Summary X2 8.51, df2, p=0.01. Results solely for those with non-affective psychotic disorders: Results were divided as follows: controls (n=83); OPCO <180 days (n=60) and OPCO <180 days (n=35). Total psychiatric admissions in 12 months: (1) 1.23 mean, SD 1.73; (2) 0.95, SD 1.28; (3) 0.34, SD 0.80. Summary X2 11.81, df2, p=0.003. Total hospital days: (1) 32.84, SD 55.72; (2) 40.08, SD 61.67; (3) 4.57, SD 12.96. Summary X2 14.29, df2, p=0.001. Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions 'As shown in table 2, relative to control subjects, sustained outpatient commitment reduced mean admissions by roughly 57% and hospital use by 20 days. The proportion of subjects with any hospital admissions, although showing this trend, failed to reach statistical significance in these bivariate analyses' (p1971). However, the summary table 2 does not show the advantage to have been as great as implied here. The authors also state that 'When we refined the above analyses on two clinical subgroups stratified by diagnoses, we found the effect of sustained	Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • -

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
PUS, North Carolina region	 (under study protocol) to be put on an OPCO, but any of the sample could be rehospitalised. Follow-up OR time of interview Interviews were conducted with subject, carer and case manager at 4,8 and 12 months - but the content of these is not described. Data on use of community-based treatment services, outpatient commitment proceedings and psychiatric admissions were collected at 12 months (i.e patient use of services was followed up for 12 months) and reported here. Study also measured global functioning 	outpatient commitment on hospital outcomes occurred primarily among study subjects with non- affective psychotic diagnoses (schizophrenia, schizoaffective, or other psychotic disorder), where mean admissions were significantly reduced approximately 72% and mean hospital stays by 28 days. Psychotic subjects in the sustained outpatient commitment group were also admitted less than half as often as those in the control group' (p1971). No such effect was seen in the sub-sample with affective disorders (i.e in mean admissions or hospital bed days). The authors conclude that there may be an effect attributable to longer periods of outpatient commitment, AND that the intensity of outpatient treatment may also have an effect in reducing readmissions: but it is a major limitation of the study that neither of these were under experimental control. Further modelling was carried out within the psychotic group in relation to the number of outpatient visits they had attended. This claims to conclude that the beneficial fall in hospital admissions for psychotically disordered subjects occurred because they received higher levels of services. Having more OP appointments was associated with lower cumulative hospital appointments across the 12 months, particularly for those with more than 180 days' OPCO (as the	

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 272 of 434

	n JW, Wagner HR, et al. (1999) Can involunta severely mentally ill individuals. The America	ry outpatient commitment reduce hospital recidivisi n Journal of Psychiatry 156: 1968–75	m? Findings from a
Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		controls and <180 days converged at around 6 .months). (This is illustrated by a graph which is difficult to replicate here.)	

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 1634–41

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT	Participants Adults (no specified age) with MH 	Outcomes 1 (qualitative) • what works well Interviewe undertaken with completed participants	Internal validity • +
Study aimTo determine whether	conditions Sample size	Interviews undertaken with sample of participants from intervention group	Is the setting similar to the UK?
the drawing up of a JCP with people with severe mental illness and at least 1 admission would experience (compared with TAU group) 'fewer compulsory admissions (primary outcome); fewer psychiatric admissions; shorter psychiatric stays; lower perceived coercion; improved	 Intervention number: 285 Comparison numbers: 284 Sample size: 569 Sample characteristics Sex: 51% male Ethnicity: 62% white, 10% Asian or British Asian, 22% black or black British Sample age: mean 39.8 years, eligible if over 16 Level of need/diagnosis SMI, at least 1 psychiatric admission in past 2 years, on enhanced Care 	 Outcomes 2 (quant) Admissions under MHA This is primary outcome (formal admissions). Also, fewer psychiatric admissions; shorter psychiatric stays; lower perceived coercion; improved therapeutic relationships; and improved engagement. Service outcomes Fewer formal and (all type) psychiatric admissions; shorter psychiatric stays; lower perceived coercion; improved therapeutic relationships; and improved engagement. 	 Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ(s)? Yes Are the outcomes

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 1634–41

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
of JCPS Economic	Programme Approach. • Previous admissions One or more within past 2 years Intervention • Joint Crisis Plan: 'The JCP is a negotiated statement by a patient of treatment preferences for any future psychiatric emergency, when he or she might be unable to express clear views.' Follow-up or time of interview • 18 months	 scales used at follow up were: For the therapeutic relationship (self and clinician rated Working Alliance Inventory [WAIC and WAIT] adapted for use in community settings), perceived coercion (MacArthur Perceived Coercion Scale, self-rated), and engagement (Service Engagement Scale, clinician-rated). Costs Economic evaluation – full or partial Reported in Barrett et al. (2013). RQ 6 – Reducing readmission Findings – effect sizes No significant treatment effect was seen for the primary outcome, compulsory or formal admission (56 [20%] sectioned in the control group and 49 [18%] in the JCP group; odds ratio 090 [95% Cl 0.58-1.39, p=0.63]). Mean duration of 20.6 (SD 73.4) days in the control group and 22.3 (72.0) days in the JCP group. For any admission (compulsory or voluntary), the mean durations were 26.4 (76.2) days in the control group and 29.5 (75.7) days in the JCP group. No significant effect seen within other secondary measurable outcomes, with the exception of an improved secondary outcome of therapeutic relationships (173 [76] vs 160 [71]; 	

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 1634–41

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		adjusted difference -1.28 [95% CI -2.56 to -0.01, p=0.049]).	
		Findings – narrative • RQ6 Reducing readmissions	
		The intervention (JCP) had no significant impact on formal admissions, any psychiatric admissions, LOS, perceived coercion or improved engagement. There was a small improvement in therapeutic relationships, which is consistent with the qualitative data. Qualitative data (p1638–9) suggested improvement in patients' views of the therapeutic relationships and suggested that JCPs could make patients feel respected and more understood by clinicians, feeling 'listened to'. Some clinicians seemed to gain a wider understanding of how patients felt when unwell. However, some patients did not recall the JCP being discussed as it did not stand out from the general CPA process and meetings, and it seemed that there had been poor engagement in the majority of cases by clinicians with the process, who did not think the JCP plannin- was a worthwhile intervention which added anything to CPA, nor that it needed to be patient-led. (This is not consistent with the authors' reporting of high fidelity with the intervention, see p1637, but the nurse facilitators may have found it difficult to 'steer psychiatrists, who are of higher rank). In addition,	9

Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. (2013) Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 381: 1634–41						
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment			
		⁶ many patients complained that the agreements in the JCPs were not honoured in practice and only 5 of the 28 care coordinators reported referring to or using the JCP during the follow-up period (p1639).				

Critical appraisal tables

Table reporting views studies

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 276 of 434

Review Question 6: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions and approaches delivered as part of discharge and admission processes in reducing or preventing readmissions to inpatient mental health settings?

Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting		Overall assessment
MethodologyIn depth qualitative interviews	1 5		Representativeness of sample is questionable, as	As far as can be ascertained from
seeks to do?	 Inappropriate Purposive sampling. Patients were invited from the OCTET 	• Reliable	were recruited through	the paper, how well was the study

Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
 Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible Is the context clearly described? Clear Participants were from a range of settings in the UK: Northwest, South West, South East, East, East and West Midlands and London. Study approved by ethics committee? Yes. Staffordshire NHS Research Ethics Committee How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? Not stated 	RCT sample, as were psychiatrists from the pool of those used for OCTET. Family carers were recruited via carer organisations and health trusts. (NB Tom Burns, author of the OCTET trial RCT is a co-author of this paper). How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Were the methods reliable? • Somewhat reliable Data collection was just by 1 method, but findings discussed among team and in relation to other studies. Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described	Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	been criticised for not including patients with higher risk profiles, or more serious conditions (clinicians have to deem patients suitable to be randomised to s17 leave of CTO). Not all professionals involved in administering CTOs were interviewed – notably AMHPS were absent from the study. The overwhelming majority of carers interviewed were parents (n=22/24) and the views and experiences of this group may differ from those of family carers who were e.g. spouses or siblings.	MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
Study design Methodology • Survey Objectives of the study clearly stated? • Yes Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes	Study methods Research design clearly specified and appropriate? • Partly Clear description of context? • Yes References made to original work if existing tool used? • N/A Reliability and validity of new tool reported? • N/A Survey population and sample frame clearly described? • Yes Representativeness of sample is described? • Partly A list of patients subject to CTO was provided by Mental Health Act offices in the Merseyside area in November 2010 following local NHS trust approval. Population may not be representative but be	Describes what was measured, how it was measured and the outcomes? • Yes The authors designed a structured interview based upon information in patient leaflets provided by a local mental health trust. The interview included 14 questions based upon 4 main themes: involvement in planning of CTO, quality of provided information, awareness of CTO process and legal rights and outcomes and satisfaction. The questions referred to 'CTO' (community treatment order) as this was the term the authors believed would be recognised by patients in the survey population.	Basic data adequately described? • Yes Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? • Yes Results internally consistent? • Yes Data suitable for analysis? • Yes Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? • Yes Methods appropriate for the data?	Internal validity • - This study has significant limitations. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to the review question? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	favour of SCT. Subject of study	• Yes	Statistics correctly performed and interpreted? • Yes	
	represents full spectrum of population of interest? • Partly		Response rate calculation provided? • No	
	Primary diagnosis of participants and numbers as follows: schizophrenia		Methods for handling missing data described? • No	
	(12) schizoaffective disorder (3) delusional disorder (1) mental disturbance due to drugs or		Difference between non- respondents and respondents described? • No	
	alcohol (1). However low female and ethnic minority representation. Study large enough to		Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on subject and study objectives?	
	achieve its objectives, sample size estimates performed? • No		 Yes Limitations of the study stated? Yes The authors state that a limitation of 	
	All subjects accounted for? • Yes		this study is that it is based upon a small sample size. The survey was conducted within 25 months of SCT being introduced in England and	
	All appropriate outcomes considered? • Yes Key outcome – hospital		Wales and most patients had not been recalled to hospital. Those who were held considerably more negative views about SCT. The survey was	

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	readmission.		retrospective and relied upon the	
	Ethical approval obtained? • N/A This was a service evaluation and as such the NHS Trust waived the requirement for formal ethics approval. But an		ability of patients to recall events from up to 25 months ago. The bulk of questioning was about events that happened prior to discharge when a patient's cognitive function may have been poor due to acute or residual mental illness. There is likely to be significant recall bias in the study.	
	information sheet was developed clarifying the		Results can be generalised? • Partly	
	study aims and objectives and the voluntary nature of participation, as dictated by good practice.		Appropriate attempts made to establish 'reliability' and 'validity' of analysis? • No	
	Measures for contacting non-responders? • No			

Papageorgiou A, Janmohamed A, King M, et al. (2004) Advance directives for patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious mental	
disorders: directive content and feedback from patients and professionals. Journal of Mental Health 13: 379–88	

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
•••	Research design clearly specified and	Describes what was measured, how it was	Basic data adequately described? • Partly	Internal validity
	Specifica alla	incusurcu, now it was	T aluy	

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Overall assessment
	Yes Patients in the intervention group completed a		independently and inter-rater reliability was calculated by using Cohen's kappa coefficient (k=0.88).	
	questionnaire about their experience of the advance directive and how to improve it. At 12 months follow-up consultant		Methods appropriate for the data? • Partly Structured interview format does not allow for fuller exploration of key themes.	
	psychiatrists and keyworkers were asked by questionnaire about their awareness of, and use of the 'existence of the		Statistics correctly performed and interpreted? • N/A Mainly based on views data.	
	preference for care booklet', and how it could be improved.		Response rate calculation provided? • No	
	All subjects accounted for? • No		Methods for handling missing data described? • No	
	80 patients were randomly allocated to the intervention group but 79 advance directives were analysed.		Difference between non- respondents and respondents described? • No	
	One unaccounted. All appropriate outcomes considered? • Yes		Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on subject and study objectives? • Yes	
	Ethical approval		Limitations of the study stated?	

Study design	Study methods	Data collection	Data collection Analysis & reporting	
	obtained?		• No	
	• No		Results can be generalised?	
	Measures for contacting		• Partly	
	non-responders?		Appropriate attempts made to	
	• No		establish 'reliability' and 'validity' of	
			analysis?	
			Unclear	

Stroud J, Banks L, Doughty K (2015) Community treatment orders: learning from experiences of service users, practitioners and nearest relatives. Journal of Mental Health 24: 88–92

Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting		Overall assessment
Methodology Qualitative study 	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way?			As far as can be ascertained from
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	There was an amendment to the original recruitment strategy of	users, nearest relative,	number of services users, and as a result, amended	the paper, how well was the study
Same study different paper		accommodation providers.	strategy. Response rates	conducted? • +
Stroud et al. (2013) An exploration of service user and	retrospective sample from the year prior to the study period. Due to challenges in recruiting	 Somewhat reliable Data from interviews was analysed using thematic 		Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat

Stroud J, Banks L, Doughty K (2015) Community treatment orders: learning from experiences of service users, practitioners and nearest relatives. Journal of Mental Health 24: 88–92

Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
(presents full findings of qualitative interviews and discussion of the misunderstandings of the power of CTOs). How defensible/rigorous is the research	users the final sample was drawn from CTO records from November 2008, when CTOs first became available, to December 2012. Response rates Response rate for service users	how many researchers were involved, or how disagreements were resolved. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions	higher at 15%.	relevant
design/methodology? • Defensible Qualitative research is appropriate to identify significant issues and good practice in relation to CTO. A research group of 4 paid service users was involved in designing interview schedules, conducting some of the interviews, reviewing findings and data analysis and in other aspects of the research process.	 was roughly around 12% Response rate for nearest relative = 15% Response rate for service providers = 50% How well was the data collection carried out? Somewhat appropriately Interviews took 30–60 minutes and were digitally recorded. Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable Is the role of the researcher 	adequate? • Adequate		
Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes	clearly described? • Unclear			

Stroud J, Banks L, Doughty K (2015) Community treatment orders: learning from experiences of service users, practitioners and nearest relatives. Journal of Mental Health 24: 88–92

Qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Ethics and governance approval was received from the University of Brighton's Faculty of Health and Social Science Research Ethics and Governance Committee (sponsors of the research); NHS Research Authority NRES Committee South East Coast – Surrey; the SPFT; Brighton and Hove County Council; West Sussex County Council and East Sussex County Council. How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Clear				

Findings tables Table reporting views studies

Review Question 6: What is the effectiveness or impact of interventions and approaches delivered as part of discharge and admission processes in reducing or preventing readmissions to inpatient mental health settings?

Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 287 of 434

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
interviews. Study aim • To examine participants' experiences of the mechanisms via	 Carers/family members of people with MH conditions Professionals Sample size Psychiatrists n=25 Patients n=26 Carers n=24 including 3 couples (cared for n=21) Sample characteristics Sex Male Psychiatrists (n=25): 19 patients (n=26): 18 Carers (n=24) : 7 Ethnicity Psychiatrists Patients Carers White 18 14 21 Black 1 7 0 Others 7 5 3 Level of need/diagnosis Primary diagnosis (/of cared for) Patient Carer Schizophrenia 18 (21) 	 FINDINGS – NARRATIVE RQ1 SERVICE USER VIEWS For patients CTOs were synonymous with their conditions. Most patients described 'having to' take medication, which was not always appreciated. 'They just want me to take the medication but I think the mental health services rely too much on medication and not enough on other means of solving people's problems' (p1876/7). Despite this, some expressed confusion about whether their CTO included conditions at all and others referred to them without being prompted. None of the patients interviewed distinguished between mandatory and discretionary conditions. Patients' responses to conditions were very varied – some rejected them outright and others greeted them with matter-of-fact acceptance. Some patients questioned the need for compulsion: 'I'm not a criminal; I don't know why I have to report everything I do' (p1877). Medication ties, travel restrictions and conditions around accommodation were view as patients as restricting their freedom; some expressed feeling 'trapped' or 'imprisoned'. One or 2 however, welcomed the security offered by the CTO: 'On the surface [the CTO] does seem freer It was better than being on a section because you would end up in hospital being away from everybody' (p1877). RECALL Patients were very aware of what the perceived to be the 'threat' of recall 'hanging over them'. Only 2 patients used the term 'recall' unprompted and some could not remember if they had ever been 	As far as can be ascertained from the paper how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant

Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	History of violence not known (12) • Previous admissions • Not reported Relationship to cared for: Carers Parent 22 Spouse 1 Sibling 1 Intervention • Community treatment order Time of interview • CTO status at interview Patient Carer Ongoing CTO 13 (14) Revoked 4 (2) Ended for unknown reason 8 (0)	 recalled. In contrast to the psychiatrists, patients generally believed that recall had a relatively low threshold and could be used for non-compliance with conditions, one-off refusal of medication, or simply 'on a whim'. A few patients who had experience of recall said the power could be used if they showed signs of illness: 'All I know is that if I don't take my Depot and they think – and my CPN or my doctor thinks I'm not very well then they can put me back into hospital without me having a say in it really' (p1878). Patients expressed that there was little difference between recall and being involuntarily detained: both led to unwanted hospitalisation. However, some preferred the recall procedure because it meant being recalled by letter, rather than 'everybody coming round', being transported to hospital in a car rather than ambulance, and being admitted more quickly. LEGAL CLOUT Most seemed to understand that adherence to the conditions of the CTO was a 'legal requirement'. They saw the CTO as tantamount to being forced to take medication. 'If it was free choice I would say, "No, I don't want it'' (p1879). IMPRESSIONS OF CTOS EFFECTIVENESS Some patients described feeling well and enjoying greater stability since being on a CTO. However, not many thought that they had spent less time in hospital or had fewer readmissions. 'I'm the sort of person who is in and out of hospital like a Yo–Yo with 	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		CTOs or without them' (p1879).	
		A few patients acknowledged that the CTO had improved their treatment adherence and said that they preferred the way things were under the CTO. One patient identified the use of depot medication as helping with treatment adherence.	
		Close monitoring of medication (for e.g., checking that pills were swallowed) was seen by some as unnecessarily intrusive and disempowering. They felt it got in the way of them living a 'normal life' including social life and the ability to work. • RQ2 CARERS' VIEWS Carers perspectives of CTOs	
		(The Mental Health Act Code of Practice states that patients and their families should be consulted about the CTO, its conditions and the need to recall.)	
		Carers described conditions as a helpful mechanism because the CTO carried legal authority that carers did not or could not apply themselves - at least not without risk of jeopardising their relationship with the person they cared for.	-
		As with the patients, medication adherence and keeping in contact with services were the most frequently mentioned conditions.	
		Many, but not all, had been informed and/or seen formal documentation of what conditions applied.	
		Even so some carers struggled to distinguish the conditions of the CTO from other restrictions/conditions imposed on the person they cared for, for example, parole conditions or curfew imposed by supported housing. Carers reported that the mental health team also appeared uncertain	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		about how CTO conditions could be used.	
		For example, some said that they had been told the depot medication was a necessary CTO condition. One carer reported being told that abstinence from alcohol could not constitute a CTO condition.	
		Some carers expressed disappointment about the limited scope of the conditions and mentioned that they would have liked the conditions to have included social engagement.	
		'C: If the team were active and found something for this intelligent man to do then I would see a way of recovery in terms of being included ir things and going out and getting fresh air.	n
		I: Would he do it do you think if [the team] came knocking on the door?	
		C: If he had to.	
		I: OK, so it would have to be made conditional?	
		C: Absolutely. If it meant that rather than hospital he would [do it]' (p1877).	
		RECALL	
		Family carers described the power of recall and the 'threat' or 'fear' of readmission as instrumental in increasing medication adherence.	
		Knowing that their relative could be returned to hospital without full relapsing allayed some carers' concerns about patient well-being and, ir some cases, their own safety.	ו
		One carer explained that the power of recall meant she was 'not the nervous wreck [she] was' (p1878).	
		Those who had experience of recall said that helpfully, it had happened without delay:	

Canvin K. Bugképa I. Singlair, I. Burna T. (2014). Detiant, psychiatriat and family agree avantianage of community treatment orders; gualitative
Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative
study. Osciel Development d Development Englandiale no. 40, 4070, 00
study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		'He wouldn't take his medication when he relapsed and that was the reason they could take him back into hospital. So we would have perhaps a fortnight of it instead of 4 months It makes such a difference. It saves us all so much distress' (p1878).	
		A couple of carers described situations where they had intervened with decisions about recall – 1 of which was considered premature and the other was when the carer found out there weren't any beds available locally. However, not all family members reported having that level of influence.	
		Many carers believed that recall would occur automatically if a condition (especially medication related) was breached. Others were able to explain that other signs of deterioration would also be necessary. Many expressed confusion around the exact criteria for recall.	
		LEGAL CLOUT Like patients, family carers also largely described the rationale of the CTO as obliging patients to take medication.	
		They described their relative's respect for the law and/or the power of recall as the key mechanism for achieving adherence. Legal clout was viewed as more effective than pressure from friends or family.	
		IMPRESSIONS OF CTOs EFFECTIVENESS Most carers reported unprecedented adherence during and beyond the CTO period; several said that their relative had not been back to hospita (either voluntarily or by recall) under the CTO.	I
		Carers spoke of improvements in social functioning, such as 2 patients gaining part-time employment, and 2 forming romantic relationships. Some carers attributed these outcomes to the CTO working as it should,	

Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Research aims	Population	Findings (
		while others were mindful that other factors may have been involved.		
		Lack of support beyond medication was a serious concern shared by all carers.		
		'He's simply being held by a drug really. A drug he needs, but that isn't all that he needs I'd like to see compliance strengthened by really good CMHT. Some people say well he has more freedom on a CTO because he takes the medication. But I don't see that. I see that he doesn't have more freedom because it doesn't address the other issues' (p1880).		
		The focus on medication means that CTOs did not address lack of motivation or desire to engage socially (and focussed instead on dealing with symptoms such as hearing voices, hallucinations). Failing to address social issues was seen as insufficient for recovery or 'having a life'.		
		Many family carers saw that their involvement and communication with mental health teams contributed to the effectiveness of the CTO.		
		Some described being in continuous communication with clinicians. Others said they were ignored or excluded from decision making, while others welcomed the opportunity to step back. As the CTO moved the onus on to services rather than individual carers, the CTO relieved pressure for some carers.		
		• RQ3 PRACTITIONERS' VIEWS CTO CONDITIONS		
		Many described inserting only 'minimal' conditions, the 'usual' ones being medication adherence and contact with the mental health team.		
		Achievability and enforceability were the main drivers guiding the design		

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		of conditions. None of the psychiatrists interviewed described including conditions related to work or social activities as they were seen as 'unworkable and pointless'.	
		RECALL	
		Psychiatrists perceived the power of recall to be the mechanism that gave CTO traction. They believed that adherence was driven by patient's belief that non-adherence would lead directly to hospitalisation via recall. There were varying interpretations of the law and the Code of Practice relating to the use of recall. Most – but not all – understood the Code of Practice as requiring evidence of deterioration in the patient's mental health for recall. For some, this set the threshold for recall disappointingly high and limited the utility of CTOs in practice.	
		Others admitted that they purposefully withheld information from the patient:	
		'The patient is not always entirely clear what happens and I don't always clarify exactly what happens either' (p1877).	
		Others expressed concern over the ethics of reminding non-compliant patients about the potential for recall, asking 'Is it blackmailing when you say "recall or injection"?' (p1877).	
		Overall the view of recall was positive, but many described the procedure as too complex and time-consuming.	
		Following limitations were mentioned:	
		 72h assessment period deemed inadequate insufficient availability of beds limits use of recall 	
		- lack of clarity about out-of-hours or out-of-area procedures had caused	

Capvin K. Bugkåsa, J. Singlair, J. Burns T. (2014) Datient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: gualitative
Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative
study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality
			assessment
		logistical difficulties in several cases.	
		LEGAL CLOUT	
		The main purpose of the CTO for psychiatrists was legally obliging patients to engage with services and adhere to medication regimes.	
		'I think a bit of the law actually helps because they worry, "Oo, better keep going with it. This is the law. The doctor says it's the law so I'll stick with it" (p1879).	K
		IMPRESSIONS OF CTO'S EFFECTIVENESS	
		Most psychiatrists reported examples of where they thought the CTO had been effective for achieving adherence to medication and readmissions, and those where it had not.	
		One assertive outreach psychiatrist commented that in his experience if he had 15 to 20 patients on CTOs:	
		'One-third or so have a major beneficial response. There's another third who it makes some difference but it's not the entire answer – and it's never going to be- and the other third it doesn't make a shadow of difference' (p1879).	
		Some said that the numbers were too small to judge, and it would only be possible to draw a conclusion based on 100s of cases. Psychiatrists were also reluctant to attribute changes in outcomes directly to the CTO.	
		None of the psychiatrists said that CTO patients received more or less preferential treatment than those not on CTOs. Even so, some referred to benefits which the CTO brought with it, such as a period of stability and structure.	
		RQ6 REDUCING READMISSIONS	

Canvin K, Rugkåsa J, Sinclair J, Burns T (2014) Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: qualitative
study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49: 1873–82

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		All 3 groups perceived the main purpose of the CTO to be enforcement of medication, and that the legal clout was instrumental in achieving medication adherence.	
		Even so, all 3 groups also acknowledged that the CTO was only effective for certain patients and a range of shortcomings were identified	
		- The focus on medication – to the exclusion of additional support of service provision - was considered a major flaw by carers, and one that impeded their relative's recovery.	
		 In contrast, psychiatrists emphasised that CTOs were not treated preferentially, perhaps because they wanted to emphasise that there were no perverse incentives (such as additional support), for placing a patient on a CTO. 	
		The way that the CTOs' mechanisms worked in practice could be influenced by people's understanding of those mechanisms. Participants' understanding of how those mechanisms worked varied drastically.	
		All groups expressed uncertainty over the enforceability of discretionary conditions, and the exact criteria for recall.	
		A wide range of experiences was identified in each group, implying that there is no such thing as a definitive patient, psychiatrist or carer experience of CTOs.	

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment
Methodology • Survey (use 7 CA) Study aim • This paper explores the views of patients subject to supervised community treatment (SCT) within 2 mental health teams in Merseyside Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK	Participants • Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions Sample size • 17 patients Sample characteristics • Sex Of the 17 patients who took part in the survey 14 (82%) were male and 3 (18%) female • Disability In total, 12 (70.6%) subjects had a primary diagnosis of schizophrenia, 3 (17.6%) with schizoaffective disorder, 1 (5.9%) with delusional disorder and 1 (5.9%) with a mental and behavioural disorder secondary to alcohol. • Ethnicity White British • Sample age ranged from early 20s to mid-60s	 FINDINGS – NARRATIVE RQ1 SERVICE USER VIEWS Generally, there was mixed satisfaction with SCT. Some patients were positive (41%, n=7), others were indifferent (12%, n=2) and a proportion saw this as damaging to their life (47%, n= 8). Two patients who had been recalled to hospital conveyed strong dissatisfaction with SCT. A common theme identified was an overall restriction of a patient's liberty and anxiety that he or she would be detained if they did not adhere to the conditions of SCT. One patient said 'The CTO restricts my liberty. The police can come to my flat whenever they want. They own my life. I've got no liberty'. Patients with positive responses included 'I believe that if I did relapse the doctor would be able to give me medication. I feel like a better person, more normal again' (p162). RQ5 DISCHARGE 	
	15.6 months (range 2 months to	'It made me speak to the doctor more. I have more contact with the CPNs. I'm on an injection – I can't not take it. It made me take my injection more' (p162).	

Fahy GM, Javaid S, Best J (2013) Supervised community treatment: patient perspectives in two Merseyside mental health teams. Mental Health Review Journal 18: 157–64

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		However, some patients believed other changes would prevent readmission rather than SCT – such as depot medication and lifestyle choices.	
		There was a common misunderstanding that the patient must strictly adhere to the conditions of their SCT to stay in the community, and there was a lack of knowledge about the harm criteria and the responsible clinician's ability to recall a patient to hospital even if they abided by the conditions. There was a common misunderstanding that the patient must firmly abide by the conditions of their SCT to remain in the community, and there was a lack of awareness that recall was dependent on the 'harm criteria' (as set out in 'Section 17E (1) (a) (b) – namely the consideration of risk to the patient's own health or safety, of the safety of others'). The author posits that 'the effectiveness of SCT may be thought to be based on a perception that is fallacious'.	
		A significant proportion of patients lacked the motivation or ability to understand the verbal and written information affecting their legal rights at the time it was given, usually immediately prior to hospital discharge.	

Papageorgiou A, Janmohamed A, King M, et al. (2004) Advance directives for patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious mental disorders: directive content and feedback from patients and professionals. Journal of Mental Health 13: 379–88

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • Survey Study aim • 'To examine the content of advance directives used in psychiatric care and both patients' and professionals' views concerning their content, implementation and usefulness' Linked study • Details of any linked study (or studies) There is also a 2002 Papageorgiou paper, which is the RCT. Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK	Participants • Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions • Professionals/practitioners Sample size • 161 inpatients, who were due for discharge from a hospital commitment order, were recruited between October 1997 and October 1998 from 2 psychiatric units in North London in the RCT. This views study was focused on only the intervention group of 80 individuals Sample characteristics • Sample age 18–65. Intervention • Advance directives – the content of the directives was analysed along with patient and staff views regarding their use. Follow-up OR time of interview with patients	 No FINDINGS – NARRATIVE RQ1 SERVICE USER VIEWS In terms of content of the preference for care booklet, patients' fundamental preferences concerned reduced coercion or enhanced human rights, the increased availability of alternative therapies, counselling, psychotherapy, better hospital facilities (e.g. 'my own room') and staff contact with their families. Patients did not use the directives as a chance to refuse all treatment as is a misconception. Patients said if they became ill again they would like various options including: more talking therapies 	rate of compulsory readmission over 12 months. Overall assessment of external validity • +

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		A small percentage found advance directives useful mainly as a therapeutic tool to help them evaluate their condition, or as a way of seeking care and engaging themselves in activities that might improve their condition and quality of life. While over 40% reported that they would want to use the directives again or would recommend them to others, the authors suggest that a similar number did not find the current advance directives useful because the professionals involved in their care did not refer to, or acknowledge them in subsequent care. • RQ3 PRACTITIONERS' VIEWS The majority of psychiatrists could not recollect the existence of the patient's directive (71%) or find it useful in the management of that patient's care (61%). Only psychiatric consultants who returned questionnaires said the directives were worthwhile as it gave them more insight into their patients' values and subjective experiences and saw its potential for patients' empowerment. Briefing of health professionals about the directives, sending a copy for each patient to them and placing a further copy at the front of the medical notes, did not promote the awareness or use of the directives. Those staff who did not find it useful, said that it was not integrated into the patient's care plan or they were not involved in the procedure of drawing up the booklet. The authors suggest embedding the advance directive into the CPA might improve compliance,	

Papageorgiou A, Janmohamed A, King M, et al. (2004) Advance directives for patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious mental disorders: directive content and feedback from patients and professionals. Journal of Mental Health 13: 379–88				
Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment	
		as may the integration of advance directives into relapse prevention programmes. • RQ6 REDUCING READMISSIONS See RQ1 and RQ3		

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Study aim • To explore the experiences of services users, care coordinators, responsible clinicians (RCs), approved mental health professionals (AMHPs) service providers and nearest relatives with the aim of identifying significant issues and good practice in relation to CTOs.	 Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions Carers/family members of people with MH conditions Professionals/practitioners Sample size 21 service users 35 practitioners (16 care coordinators; 10 responsible clinicians and 9 AMHPs). Seven nearest relatives 9 service providers (housing) Sample characteristics Ethnicity 	Nearest relatives (NRs) and housing providers, who often felt unsupported by services and saw themselves placed in the 'front line' of care provision, valued the reassurance of the safety net provided by the CTO. They felt reassured by the regular support provided to the service user and the compulsion to take medication. In particular they appreciated having clear contacts to call and that an	the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Linked study • Same study, different paper Stroud et al. (2013) An exploration of service user and practitioner experiences of community treatment orders (presents full findings of qualitative interviews and discussion of the misunderstandings of the power of CTOs). Country • UK Source of funding • NIHR School of Social Care research.	than 'white British' (19%) were well represented in comparison with the overall population (18%). • Sample age Service users included those from the youngest (18–25) to the oldest age group (over 70) with a mean age of 36. • Level of need/diagnosis Diagnoses included schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder and bipolar affective disorder. 12 (57%) of the service users interviewees were on a CTO at the time of interview, while for the remaining 9 (43%) it had been discharged. Intervention • Community treatment order (CTO) is the legislative power by which patients with mental health difficulties who are treated involuntarily in hospital can be discharged into the community but still remain subject to compulsory	Responsible clinicians Responsible clinicians were often ambivalent about the usefulness of the CTO framework and it legal powers. More frequently than other professional groups, they referred to the CTO as having 'no real teeth' in relation to administering medication in the community and recall to bospital. Many RCs found the CTO process	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		 Early and speedy recall. (However, in some respects these are also benefits associated with s17 leave). 	
		Many RCs recognised that CTOs were in part a result of pressures from the bed management policy, and were issued as a way to discharge inpatients and reduce inpatient stays. Opinions about the CTO varied greatly amongst the clinicians. The opinion of those who were positive about them was commonly tempered by the cumbersome paperwork. Some clinicians deemed that the CTO would be potentially effective for service users on depot medication (when the medication is given by injection and slowly released into the body over a number of weeks). Service users can be monitored and there is no doubt as to whether they are taking their medication.	
		AMHPs Many AMHPs felt there was a lack of any clear guidelines in relation to CTOs and that they had to learn through experience. AMHPS voiced concern about the increasing use of CTOs in the place of s17 leave. Many AMHPs were strongly critical of CTOs and concerned about the lack of understanding and input on the part of the service users. Some AMHPs argued that the reason that CTOs are not properly explained is because 'it would put a spanner in the works' if service users objected to it. In particular, AMHPs were critical of the implied threat that a breach of	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		conditions always meant instant recall to hospital – rather than it being explained that they would only be recalled if there was a significant deterioration in their mental health.	
		AMHPs thought that information given to patients about advocacy and independent mental health advocacy (IMHA) service was insufficient, and sometimes non-existent. It was felt that such information should be built into the system in a more solid way.	
		Care coordinators (CCs) CCs often felt the ethically complex terrain around choice and compulsion was often something that more directly affected their relationship with the service user, in comparison to other professional groups. The potential effect of CTOs on therapeutic relationships makes for a complex picture, with CCs giving both negative and positive comments.	
		Service providers (SPs) Similarly to NRs, SPs were largely positive about CTOs. Having a direct telephone number to a named coordinator, e.g., was highly valued. However, SPs did still identify constraints within the system, and there was still a varying degree to which SPs were informed or involved in the CTO process – i.e being made aware of the conditions, being invited to review meetings. Although this was variable across the board – other SPs described a good relationship	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		with the CC and consultant and were kept well informed.	
		RQ6 REDUCING READMISSIONS Four common themes emerged: - A CTO provides a legal recognition of the need for care. - A CTO provides a structure and containment for the 'right' user. - Care is defined as predominantly medical. - There are misunderstandings surrounding the power and conditions of the CTO.	
		 STRUCTURE AND SUPPORT AS REASSURANCE Across all groups the CTO was seen as providing a valuable 'safety net'. Specific advantages being: 1) The speed with which recall could be issued. 2) That a new mental health assessment was not needed upon admission. 3) That the service user could come into hospital for 72 hours and then be discharged back into the community on the same CTO. 	
		The legal recognition of the need for care and support was associated with stability and containment. One clinician (RC) described the CTO as: 'A bit like an agreement that provides boundaries. And boundaries are very containing to somebody who suffers from psychosis so, you refuse to come to your appointment, we take you to hospital, make sure that you	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		get your injection: not a big deal I think that in itself has a calming effect' (Stroud et al. 2015, p89).	
		Some practitioners considered that this sense of structure was only useful to certain users, but not all. One AMHP said that CTOs were most appropriate for 'people who would benefit from a sense of structure, they know that things will kick into place quickly if they get unwell' (Stroud et al. 2015, p89/90).	
		Although, under s117 of the MHA, support should be provided according to need, service users equated being on a CTO with receiving higher levels of support and were keen to stay on it as a result. The conditions attached to the CTO may surpass definitions of need, ensuring that practitioners provide greater opportunities for engagement:	
		'It makes it incumbent on the team to provide, by law, certain basic treatments for the patientsyou also have to be available to provide them more, you are duty bound to do so. So it works for the patients as well in that sense. When they are no longer on the CTO, then it is purely on the need basis' (Stroud et al. 2015, p90).	
		Capacity for quick recall was also seen as a benefit of the CTO. One housing service provider explained to a patient: 'I'd more or less said it to him, 'Look this is really about your care in a sense and this is about, you know, if you come back to us, if you have a CTO, you can go back to hospital immediately. Whereas, you know, generally in admission you could be waiting days on end, they might	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		not have a bed available' (Stroud et al. 2015, p90).	
		RESPECT FOR THE AUTHORITY OF THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK	
		Practitioners expressed how for a CTO to 'work', service users needed to agree with the CTO process and respect its legal authority. Whilst some service users experience the CTO as punitive and restrictive, others experience it as reassuring or helpful as it shifts an element of responsibility away from themselves as individuals and onto services. Some service users explained that the CTO gave them extra motivation, primarily related to a desire to avoid rehospitalisation.	
		One interviewee spoke of how the CTO influenced her to adhere to treatment and engage with services, even when she was feeling unwell and unmotivated:	
		'There were times when I was feeling more paranoid and the voices were worse, then I didn't want to come in, but having that CTO in place sort of gave me the motivation of 'if I don't go and get it [medication] I will end up in hospital' (Stroud et al. 2015, p90).	
		However, where respect for the authority of the CTO was absent, practitioners felt the CTO as unlikely to achieve any positive impact. One care coordinator opined:	
		'where people don't buy into it and don't accept the authoritythen you can recall them, you can try to enforce	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		it but it's not therapeutic, it's not building their relationship of trust' (Stroud et al. 2015, p90).	
		 Whether or not a CTO is successful or not depends on a range of factors including: 1) Motivation to get well and/or progress to independence. 2) Find structure and/or legal recognition of need for care reassuring. 3) Respect for legal power and/ or regard recall to hospital as a deterrent. 4) (Grudging) acceptance that conditions of the CTO are in their best interest (although this often comes retrospectively). 	
		ETHICAL ISSUES The issue of service users needing to accept the authority of the CTO raised ethical concerns, with a sense of unease among practitioners (particularly AMHPs) that legal powers were weaker than presented. While service users often believed, mistakenly, that to break a condition would automatically result in recall. Practitioners were not incentivised to ensure that users were fully informed, for fear that is would lessen the respect for the power of the CTO.	
		ACCEPTANCE AND UNDERSTANDING OF CONDITIONS Service users did not tend to perceive conditions positively, however those who benefited from being on a CTO	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		expressed an understanding and 'grudging' acceptance that they were in their best interests. Service users spoke about difficult relationships with medication, but retrospectively agreed that compliance had been beneficial, enabling them to continue living in the community.	
		One service user said:	
		'You need to agree on the terms of the doctors and in turn you get your freedom instead of going in and out of hospital all the time, which takes time, a bit of while to get used to the medication and understand that and realise that medication helps. It takes a long time, a very long time to understand that but I believe that everyone who wants to get on with their lives will see a CTO as a beneficial thing' (Stroud et al. 2015, p91).	
		Many practitioners felt that before a CTO is made, the service users need to have some insight into their condition.	
		One AMHP explained:	
		'In terms of the service users that most benefit, those who have had lots of admissions to hospital and are likely to be admitted again but have a certain level of understanding of their mental health issues, are able to reflect on the circumstance that brought them to hospital, maybe when they're well, less when they're unwell but there's a beginning of understanding and sort of collaboration with	

Research aims	Population	-	Overall quality assessment
		services' (Stroud et al. 2015, p91).	
		CONDITIONS	
		CTOs were most effective when conditions were kept to a minimum and included on the essential stipulations about	
		complying with medication, allowing access to care staff or residing in a specific place if appropriate, and engaging	
		with services. More specific conditions around substance abuse or restricting people they were allowed to see were found to be difficult to opforce and magningless when a	
		found to be difficult to enforce and meaningless when a breach cannot automatically result in a recall to hospital.	
		CONCLUSION	
		Experiences and legal interpretations affecting practice are diverse. CTOs can be considered most useful when service	
		users are accepting of their authority, but potentially counterproductive for those antagonised by it. CTOs often	
		facilitated increased support, owing to the associated legal obligations on the part of the practitioners and the	
		increased motivation of services users to comply with medication. However, this increased support for those on	
		CTOs may affect those who are not on CTOs who have similar mental health conditions – especially in the context	
		of serious resource constraints. There is also ethical concern surrounding service users' misunderstanding the	
		powers of CTOs and professionals' views that these misperceptions support the efficacy of CTOs. Still, CTOs	

	oughty K (2015) Community Vental Health 24: 88–92	treatment orders: learning from experiences of service users, practition	ers and nearest
Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment
		may be used beneficially for a restricted group of 'revolving door' patients with certain needs and perceptions for whom other options have been unsuccessful. CTOs were found to be more successful when they were carefully planned interventions, rather than where they were made almost as a matter of course.	

Critical appraisal tables

Table reporting impact studies

Review Question 8: What is the effectiveness or impact of specific interventions to support children and young people during transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Fontanella CA, Pottick KJ, Wa psychiatrically hospitalized add		medication management and dis I Health 8: 117–33	charge planning on earl	ly readmission of
Study design Theoretical approach Analysis & reporting Limitations Overall assessment				

	rner LA, et al. (2010) Effects of r blescents. Social Work in Mental	nedication management and dis Health 8: 117–33	charge planning on ear	ly readmission of
Study design	Theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
 Secondary data study Addresses a clearly focused issue? Yes Good case made for chosen approach? Yes. This is the first study to systematically examine the effects of multiple clinical stabilisation strategies on early readmission. Direct comparison provided for additional frame of reference? No 	collection also providing a service to the user group? • Yes Appropriate methods used to select users and clearly described? • Yes. Users were selected from Medicaid register consecutively admitted to 3 private psychiatric hospitals in Maryland over a 1- year period. The sampling strategy involved firstly, each hospital providing a computerised list of all adolescents (n=1595) admitted between 1 July 997 and 30 June 1998. 545 adolescents met the following inclusion criteria: (1) covered by Medicaid at admission; (2) aged 11 to 17.99; and (3) resident in Maryland. 23 cases were excluded on the following criteria: (a) discharged against medical advice (n=17), (b)	 Response rate and sample representativeness Selection based on pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Reliable data collection instrument/method? Yes. Trained coders collected data using a standardised data abstraction form that was tested beforehand at the 3 hospitals. Coders were trained thoroughly with an extensive coding manual and data collection began as soon as 		Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to the RQ? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Fontanella CA, Pottick KJ, Warner LA, et al. (2010) Effects of medication management and discharge planning on early readmission of psychiatrically hospitalized adolescents. Social Work in Mental Health 8: 117–33

Study design	Theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	or (c) case records missing (n=1) leaving a sample of 522. Five further cases were excluded where psychotropic medications were not prescribed for pregnant adolescents, leaving a final sample of 517 adolescents.	 Yes Limitations in methodology identified and discussed? No Conclusions based on honest and objective interpretation? Yes Results can be applied to other service users? Partly 		

Kyriakopoulos M, Ougrin D, Fra Psychology and Psychiatry 20:	aser C, et al. (2015) Emergency mental h 8–19	ealth admissions for child	ren: A naturalistic study.	Clinical Child
Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component		Overall assessment
evaluation. Retrospective, naturalistic study. Are the sources of gualitative data (archives.	 question (quantitative aspect of the mixed-methods question)? Yes. Sample of all cases of children discharged from Acorn Lodge Unit over a 3-year period from October 2009 and October 2012 were retrospectively included in this study. First UK sample 	research design relevant to address the qualitative and quantitative research questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of	consider the improvement of the emergency admissions (EA) group's Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) in light of the fact that EA group	nonulation of

Study design (qualitative)	Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods component		Overall assessment
given to how findings relate to the context, such as the setting, in which the data were collected? • Yes Both the parent score (7 items) and the total score (9 items) of the questionnaire were comparable to the CGAS change (r=0.316, p=0.006 and	Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)? • Yes Hypotheses tested planned admissions with emergency admissions where children in latter group would: a) show no significant differences in terms of global functioning and mental health needs at admission; b) demonstrate no significant difference in the meaningfulness of the admission as measured by functioning on discharge; c) be no more likely to be linked to significant violent/risk incidents on the	 address the research yes ls appropriate consideration given to the limitations associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)? No 	admission than the planned admission group: EA=23; PA =30 in the first place. This lower score potentially could have allowed greater room for improvement in this group. The authors do	• Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Psychology and Psychiatry 20:	aser C, et al. (2015) Emergency men 8–19 Study design (quantitative)	Mixed methods	Limitations	Overall assessment
•	(60% or above)? • Retrospective data analysis of secondary data			

Findings tables

Table reporting impact studies

Review Question 8: What is the effectiveness or impact of specific interventions to support children and young people during transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 317 of 434

Fontanella CA, Pottick KJ, Warner LA, et al. (2010) Effects of medication management and discharge planning on early readmission of psychiatrically hospitalized adolescents. Social Work in Mental Health 8: 117–33

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Effect sizes	Internal validity
 Secondary data study 	 Adolescents with MH 	A significantly greater proportion of youths were placed in	• +
Study aim	conditions	residential treatment centres at discharge (11.6% vs 4.6%;	Is the setting similar to
 This study aimed to 	Sample		the UK?
understand the	characteristics	versus 1.9%%; c2=35.20, df=1, p<.0001) than at admission.	• Yes
medication and	• Sex		Is there a clear focus on
discharge planning	53.4% female (n=276)	Children and young people	mental health
strategies employed by	46.6% male (n=241)		transitions?
psychiatrists and social	Sample age	The number of youths placed in out-of-home settings at admission and discharge rose from 39.1% to 49.7%.	• Yes
workers in inpatient	Mean age at admission	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Is the intervention clear
nospitals for	14.3 years (1.70 SD).	At discharge, a significantly greater proportion of youths were	relevant to the RQ?
adolescents, and to	Sample size	placed in residential treatment centres.	• Yes
describe their impact on	 517 adolescents 	26.9% of youths changed living arrangement from admission to	Are the outcomes
preventing early readmission. Medication	Intervention	discharge. The majority (78.4%) of the placements were in more	relevant?
is not reviewed here as it	• Inree variables were	restrictive settings, 18.7% in equally restrictive settings, and	• Yes
is out of scope	used to measure	2.9% had moved to less restrictive settings.	Overall assessment of
-	discharge planning	Reducing readmission	external validity
Source of funding Not reported 	practices and aftercare:	Discharge planning had a strong impact on readmission. Change	• +
•	placement at discharge,	in living arrangement at discharge reduced the risk of	
Country	change in living	readmission by 82%. Type of aftercare arrangement was also	
US		significantly linked with readmission; the rate of readmission was	
	a partial hospitalization	3.45 times more for youths placed in group homes at discharge	
	program' (p.121)	compared to those placed with their families and 2.84 times	
		greater for those receiving aftercare in a partial hospitalisation	
		programme.	

Kyriakopoulos M, Ougrin D, Fraser C, et al. (2015) Emergency mental health admissions for children: A naturalistic study. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry 20: 8–19

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Mixed methods Service evaluation. Retrospective, naturalistic study. Study aim • Emergency mental health admissions (EA) for children under 13 years are not routinely offered in the UK, which may be related to misconceived ideas about their safety, suitability and acceptability. The study aim was 'To evaluate routinely offered Emergency Admission (EA) of children in a national unit over a three- year period' (p8). Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK Acorn Lodge Children's Unit.	Participants (tick all that apply) • Children and young people with MH conditions Children below the age of 13. • Carers/family members of people with MH conditions Sample characteristics • Disability From the sample of 82 children, 7 children (8.5%) had global learning disability (including moderate and severe learning disability with or without additional specific learning difficulties) and 8 children (10%) had	 FINDINGS – EFFECT SIZES Data were analysed with chi-square and t-tests for categorical and continuous variables respectively (emergency admission) EA and Planned Admission (PA) were compared on demographic and clinical characteristics, outcome measures, length of stay (LOS), significant risk-related incidents and children and parent satisfaction. Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) change was the main outcome measure – these scores provide specific information about the outcome of child psychiatric patients. 1. OUTCOME MEASURES EA differed from PA in the main outcome measure (mean CGAS change in EA: 36, mean CGAS change in PA: 25; t=2.595, df=80, p=0.011). Mean CGAS also differed between EA and PA on admission (EA: 23, PA: 30; t=-2.296, df=80, p=0.024) but not discharge 	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention clearly within RQs and scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • +

Kyriakopoulos M, Ougrin D, Fraser C, et al. (2015) Emergency mental health admissions for children: A naturalistic study. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry 20: 8–19

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	• 47 emergency	where 1 was 'very unhappy' and 5 'very happy'. The mean	
		dquestionnaire score for parents in (EA) was 31.1 and in (PA) was	
		28.3 (t=2.119, df=73, p=0.037), which translates to an average	
	•	score of 4.42 in EA and 4.06 in PA (both between 'happy' and	
	of 13 (who were	'very happy' on the scale).	
	discharged between	Comparing both the EA and PA groups on different items, a	
	October 2009 and	statistically significant difference favouring EA was noted in the	
	October 2012)	following items:	
	Intervention	Item 1 – reflecting the speed of the unit's response (EA: 4.75,	
	Acorn Lodge is the	PA: 4.06; t=3.276, df=73, p=0.002)	
	first children's unit in	Item 6 – giving parents information about prognosis (EA: 4.43,	
	the UK to routinely	PA: 3.90; t=2.036, df=73, p=0.045)	
	offer emergency	Item 7 – reflecting continuity of care (EA: 4.61, PA: 4.16; t=2.190,	
	admissions, including out of hours. This	df=73, p=0.032).	
		Generally, satisfaction levels did not differ between children with	
	attempt to provide a	EA and with PA (EA: 7.64, PA: 6.68; t=1.588, df=56, p=0.118).	
	and responsive service	Both the parent score (7 items) and the total score (9 items) of	
	for children who	the questionnaire correlated with Children's Global Assessment	
	require inpatient	Scale (CGAS) change (r=0.316, p=0.006 and r=0.268, p=0.042	
	treatment. Emergency	respectively).	
	admissions (EAs) were	FINDINGS – NARRATIVE	
	defined by the unit's	CARENS VIEWS	
	decision to admit the	Satisfaction data suggest that parents were not averse to	
	child on the basis of	emergency admission. Parents were at ease with the rapid	
	the information	response from the unit. Prior thorough discussion with the	
	included in the referral	clinician making the referral, phone contact with the unit, access	
		to information from the unit's comprehensive website, and the	

Kyriakopoulos M, Ougrin D, Fraser C, et al. (2015) Emergency mental health admissions for children: A naturalistic study. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry 20: 8–19

Research aims	Population Findings		Quality assessment	
	letter without a pre-	choice to stay in the unit's family flat for the first days of their		
	admission	child's admission may have reassured families that the inpatient		
	assessment. Planned	option was suitable for their child.		
	admissions (PAs) were			
	defined by the	EA children (n=47) did not differ from PA children (n=35) in age,		
	presence of a pre-	length of admission, medication treatment, significant risk related		
	admission	incidents, functioning at discharge, access to education at		
	assessment.	discharge and satisfaction levels. EA children had lower		
		functioning and were less likely to have been out of education on		
		admission. Evidence shows that EA for children are not linked		
		with increased numbers of inappropriate admissions, are safe		
		and more accepted by families compared to PA, and also		
		demonstrating the value of outcome measurement in service		
		development.		

Critical appraisal tables

Tables reporting views studies

Review Question 8: What is the effectiveness or impact of specific interventions to support children and young people during transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Bobier C, Dowell J, Craig B (2009) Yo psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Child			lischarge letter written t	o older adolescent
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Survey Seven multiple choice questions and 3 open-ended questions which requested ideas on how to improve the discharge letters. Objectives of the study clearly stated? • Yes Relevance to our RQ(s)? • Mixed Research design clearly specified and appropriate? • Yes Clear description of context? • Yes References made to original work if existing tool used? • N/A Reliability and validity of new tool reported? • No Survey population and sample frame clearly described? • Yes. Youth inpatient unit – 8-bed tertiary mental health non-secure facility for youth aged 16–18 who	Describes what was measured, how it was measured and the outcomes? • Yes Measurements valid? • Partly Measurements reliable? • Partly Measurements reproducible? • Yes	described? • Yes Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make	hospital (and their respective	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • No Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to the review question? • Mixed Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear Overall assessment of external validity • -

Bobier C, Dowell J, Craig B (2009) Youth-, family-, and professional-rated utility of a narrative discharge letter written to older adolescent psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 22: 182–8

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
have a severe psychiatric disorder.		59% of 65 surveys were		
Representativeness of sample is		returned.		
described?		Methods for handling		
• No		missing data described?		
Subject of study represents full		• Partly		
spectrum of population of		Authors indicate where a		
interest?		question was left blank but		
• Unclear		no action taken.		
Young people, their families and		Difference between non-		
mental health professionals based in		respondents and		
outpatient services.		<pre>respondents described? • No</pre>		
Study large enough to achieve its				
objectives, sample size estimates		Results discussed in		
<pre>performed? • No</pre>		relation to existing knowledge on subject and		
		study objectives?		
All subjects accounted for? • Yes		• Yes		
		Limitations of the study		
All appropriate outcomes considered?		stated?		
• Partly		• No		
Ethical approval obtained?		Results can be		
• Partly		generalised?		
All data collection conducted in		Partly		
accordance to the National Ethics		Appropriate attempts		
Advisory Committee (2006) ethical		made to establish		
guidelines for audits and related		'reliability' and 'validity' of		
activities.		analysis?		

Bobier C, Dowell J, Craig B (2009) Youth-, family-, and professional-rated utility of a narrative discharge letter written to older adolescent psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 22: 182–8

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Measures for contacting non- responders? • Yes		• Unclear		
Follow-up included a phone call at 2 weeks and a second posting after 1 month.				

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology	Was the sampling carried			As far as can be
 Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews. 		While job titles are	barriers and facilitators	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	Professionals were	to qualitative data, there could be more helpful	health professionals	the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible	from inpatient clinicians. As there was a much smaller pool of suitable inpatient	the findings. Especially as the sample was chosen from	through hospital to school transitions – no	transitions guidelineSomewhat relevant
Is the context clearly described? • Clear	sampling was applied.	and roles.	input from young people, or their parents.	
Efforts were made to maximise representativeness of findings. The sample was heterogeneous in	 collection carried out? Somewhat appropriately 	Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable. Thorough process involving consensus and discussion	μαι σι ιιδ.	

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
 professional identity and work setting (4 different psychiatric hospitals and 4 different schools across 3 different states), whilst ensuring all participants had recent experience with adolescents who were undergoing hospital to school transition. Study approved by ethics committee? Not stated How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? Not stated 	who had developed an 8	throughout. An outside auditor also checked data analysis and gave objective feedback. Coding comparison query used for anything with less than 95% agreement. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate		

Geraghty K, McCann K, King R (2011) Sharing the load: parents and carers talk to consumer consultants at a child and youth mental health inpatient unit. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing 20: 253–62				
Study design	Theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology	Were those involved in data	Response rate and sample	Study has major	Internal validity

	Geraghty K, McCann K, King R (2011) Sharing the load: parents and carers talk to consumer consultants at a child and youth mental health npatient unit. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing 20: 253–62					
Study design	Theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment		
 Secondary data study Addresses a clearly focused issue? Yes Good case made for chosen approach? Partly The consultant records used for retrospective qualitative analysis were only summaries. Direct comparison provided for additional frame of reference? No 	potential bias in the analysis and interpretation of data. Two independent auditors minimised this risk of bias. Appropriate methods used to select users and clearly described? • Partly Study states: 'records of 26 consultancy sessions provided over a 2-year period were available for analysis'. Although it is not clear whether this represents all available records.	consumer consultants (which was a minority of all families of children admitted to the unit during the study period). As such the sample does not represent the experience of all	were only summaries (context may have been lost). Two researchers who analysed data were consumer consultants who were part of the same service being analysed.	 Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to the RQ? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear Overall assessment of external validity • + 		

Study design	Theoretical approach	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
		analysis easy to interpret? • Yes		
		Limitations in methodology identified and discussed? • Yes		
		Limitations discussed – sample only represents those who chose to use service. Records examined were only summaries (important information may have been lost). Possible that there was selective recording or omission of themes. Data analysed by 2 members of the consumer consultant team.		
		Conclusions based on honest and objective interpretation? • No		
		Results can be applied to other service users? • Partly		

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study based on semi- structured, in-depth interviews Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible Qualitative research design was deemed appropriate as studying a difficult-to-engage study population and it seemed the best way to understand the subtleties of the treatment process. Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Clear	 collection carried out? Appropriately Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable Health of the Nation Outcome Scores for 	 Rich Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Inter-rater reliability of coding was checked by a colleague with experience of qualitative research methods. This exercise indicated broad areas of consensus in relation to emergent themes. New themes identified by the independent coding were discussed and amalgamated into the coding framework. Are the findings convincing? Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate 	espouses a behavioural model which encourages children to become active collaborators in their own treatment. Treatment aims are explained to children at a pre-admission planning session. Both these measures	

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	games or break times would have enhanced their trust when responding to questions.			
	Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described			

Offord A, Turner H, Cooper M (2006) Adolescent inpatient treatment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study exploring young adults' retrospective views of treatment and discharge. European Eating Disorders Review 14: 377–87

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews that included open questions and prompts. Is the study clear in what it seeks to	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Inadequately reported Authors give very little	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Primary researcher shared random pages of the	The approach to sampling was questionable in terms of risk for introducing bias to the study. Authors give no	Overall assessment As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline
Somewhat defensible	units from which the sample was taken. Participants were recruited either from 'past inpatient	fellow qualitative researchers and compared analyses. An audit trail (from original coding to clustering of themes) was conducted	from which the sample was recruited and	 Highly relevant

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
which had open ended questions and prompts was used flexibly in order to allow participants to determine direction of the interview. Data was coded and analysed thematically in accordance with the principles of interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA). Is the context clearly described? • Unclear All participants were white, British females. Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	sample) or via face-to-face contact with clinicians in adult inpatient eating disorder units (1 from sample). Fifty participants were invited to take part in the study and 7 opted in. How well was the data collection carried out? • Somewhat appropriately Interviews were between 60 to 90 minutes and conducted at either the participants' home or at a local clinic. Were the methods reliable? • Somewhat reliable Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Unclear	conducted to verify participants' understanding of themes.	as an extra way of recruiting participants. The retrospective nature of the interviews could potentially result in misremembered details, although the length of time is arguably necessary in order to allow for reflection on the suitability of services.	

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
--------------	-----------------	----------------------	-------------	--------------------

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Study design Methodology • Qualitative study Interviews alongside observations. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes Institutional review board. How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Somewhat appropriate Data from 2 different units were used. Views of relationships were considered from parents', staff members' and researcher's point of view. How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately	, , ,	Continued contact with units for participant observation aspect may have introduced bias due to over-	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted?

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	gain an understanding of the culture, build trust, and make persistent observations.			

Turrell SL, Davis R, Graham H, et al. (2005) Adolescents with anorexia nervosa: multiple perspectives of discharge readiness. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 18: 116–26

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Study design Methodology • Qualitative study Open ended questionnaire. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Somewhat appropriate. The study only included adolescents admitted for the first time to 1 eating disorder unit. Arguably the data would have been	Are the data 'rich'? • Mixed Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Three independent researchers undertook content analysis of the data. Inter-rater reliability is presented for each item and	Pilot study. Small homogenous sample from 1 unit in Canada.	Overall assessment As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant
• Somewhat defensible This is only a pilot study and the sample was homogeneous. The authors based the study on family systems approach and in keeping with this view – which advocates the connectedness and interrelation of the family and healthcare team – perspectives of multiple informants were ascertained, specifically	richer if repeat admissions were included in the study, in addition to sampling from across more than 1 unit. How well was the data collection carried out? • Somewhat appropriately The questionnaire	there are no items for which at least 2 of judges did not agree. Are the findings convincing? • Somewhat convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Somewhat adequate		

Turrell SL, Davis R, Graham H, et al. (2005) Adolescents with anorexia nervosa: multiple perspectives of discharge readiness. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 18: 116–26

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
atients with AN, their parents, and hursing staff. s the context clearly described? Clear Study approved by ethics committee? Not stated Researchers always approached barents for consent first. If the barents agreed, then the adolescent was approached to participate in study.	contained just 1 open- ended question: 'As a teenager who has been hospitalized with anorexia nervosa, what conditions should exist for you to feel ready for discharge, to continue your recovery at home?' This is useful way to start preliminary research (as a pilot study) and it allowed for diverse responses, however it severely limits the generalisability of the findings. Data collection also took place over a long time period (between September 1997 and May 1999). Were the methods reliable? • Somewhat reliable Responses were written down within the space for 5 answers on each questionnaire Is the role of the			

Turrell SL, Davis R, Graham H, et al. (2005) Adolescents with anorexia nervosa: multiple perspectives of discharge readiness. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 18: 116–26

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment	
	researcher clearly described? • Unclear				
	Only info given is that 1 researcher worked part time, hence slow data collection!				

Findings tables

Tables reporting views studies

Review Question 8: What is the effectiveness or impact of specific interventions to support children and young people during transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 336 of 434

Bobier C, Dowell J, Craig B (2009) Youth-, family-, and professional-rated utility of a narrative discharge letter written to older adolescent psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 22: 182–8

Research aims	Population	Findings					Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	EFFECT SIZE	S				Internal validity
• Survey	 Adolescents with MH 	• 32 (84%) res	pondents s	aid they had	read the letter af	ter	• +
Seven multiple-choice	conditions	discharge. Mo	st responde	ents liked the	format of the lett	er or	Is the setting similar to
questions and 3 open-	 Carers/family 	thought it was	OK (n=28,	73%). Althou	ugh family membe	ers	the UK?
ended questions which	members of people with	preferred the f	ormat over	youth and he	ealth professional	ls	
requested ideas on how	MH conditions	(Kruskal–Walli	s test, c2=1	10.03, p=.007	7). Similarly, fami	ly	• No
to improve the discharge	 Professionals 	members and	health profe	essionals fou	Ind the language	and style	Is there a clear focus on
letters.	Sample size	of the letter mo	ore useful th	han the youn	g people did (Kru	ıskal–	mental health transitions
Study aim	 16 young people, 	Wallis test, c2:	=7.653, p=.	022).			• Yes
 To gain insight and 	11 family members and	The following I	esponses ((%) were give	en to the questior	n: overall,	Is the intervention clearly
assess the usefulness of	11 professionals	was the narrat	ive letter us	seful for you?)		relevant to the review
narrative discharge	completed the survey		Youth	Family	Professionals	All	question?
letters* written to	Intervention		n=16 (%)	n=11 (%)	n=11 (%)	n=38 (%)	
adolescent psychiatric	 Narrative discharge 	Yes	7 (43.5)	9 (82)	8 (73)	24 (63)	Are the outcomes
inpatients as rated by	letter	No	3 (19)	1 (9)	2 (18)	6 (16)	relevant?
the patients, family	On admission each	Don't know	6 (37.5)	1 (9)	1 (9)	8 (21)	
members and	patient is allocated a	NARRATIVE	. ,	. (0)	. (0)	0 (21)	• Unclear
professionals who	case manager and a			ad the most	benefit, apprecia	ting the	Overall assessment of
received them.	primary nurse.				anguage and style		external validity
*As an alternative to a	Depending on the staff				the ability for refle		• -
copy of the professional	member's relationship				the letters' ability		
discharge letter.	with the patient and				oth youth and the		
Source of funding	availability, either the	unit' (p187).				5 inputiont	
Not reported	case manager or the	(pror).					
Country	primary nurse initiated the discharge letter	• What works v	well				

	 , and professional-rated utility of a narrative discharge letter writte scent Psychiatric Nursing 22: 182–8 	en to older adolescent
Population	Findings	Quality assessment
writing process. All letters were written with input from the core team and completed in collaboration with the patient. Writing of the letter began up to a week before discharge, with a draft form being discussed with the youth a few days before discharge. Letters contained an overview of the admission, progress, difficulties, successes, including recommendations for healthy coping strategies that had been displayed on the unit. The primary aim for the content of the letter was to be supportive and reflective, as well as objective. Follow-up or time of	Many respondents appreciated that the narrative letters were written using language which was easy to understand: 'Easy to understand – no medical jargon that's hard to understand. Not threatening in style – user friendly. Demystifies mental illness which is scary enough on its own' (family member) (p185). 'Mental illness can be scary enough on its own – so informal style is very reassuring' (family member) (p187). Respondents said that the narrative letters conceptualised the youth's experience, progress, and aided future plans. By mapping their journey young people and their family gained insight and empowerment: 'It helped me see what I was like when I first went to hospital, and how much I changed' (youth) (p185). 'Liked the way it told the story, helped me when out to know what to do next' (youth) (p185). 'The letter also focuses on the progress the client has made, so positives are really important in changing a client's negative	
	Population writing process. All letters were written with input from the core team and completed in collaboration with the patient. Writing of the letter began up to a week before discharge, with a draft form being discussed with the youth a few days before discharge. Letters contained an overview of the admission, progress, difficulties, successes, including recommendations for healthy coping strategies that had been displayed on the unit. The primary aim for the content of the letter was to be supportive and reflective, as well as objective. Follow-up or time of interview	PopulationFindingswriting process. All letters were written with input from the core team and completed in collaboration with the patient. Writing of the letter began up to a week before discharge, with a draft form being discussed with the youth a few days before discharge. Letters contained an overview of the admission, progress, difficulties, successes, including recommendations for healthy coping strategies that had been displayed on the unit. The primary aim for the content of the letter was to be supportive and reflective, as well as objective.Many respondents appreciated that the narrative letters were example and the match on medical jargon that's hard to understand – no medical jargon that's hard to understand. Not threatening in style – user friendly. Demystifies mental illness which is scary enough on its own – so informal youth a few days before discharge. Letters contained an overview of the admission, progress, difficulties, successes, including recommendations for healthy coping strategies that had been displayed on the unit. The primary aim for the content of the letter was to be supportive and reflective, as well as objective.Many respondents said that the narrative letters conceptualised the youth's experience, progress, and aided future plans. By mapping their journey young people and their family gained insight and empowerment: the helped me see what I was like when I first went to hospital, and how much I changed' (youth) (p185).Liked the way it told the story, helped me when out to know what to do next' (youth) (p185).The letter also focuses on the progress the client has made, so positives.Follow-up or time of

esearch aims	Population	Findings		
svchiatric inpatients	Journal of Child and	Adolescent Psychiatric	rsing 22: 182–8	
Bobier C, Dowell J, Craig B (2009) Youth-, family-, and professional-rated utility of a narrative discharge letter written to older adolescent				

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Research aims	Letters were sent	Narrative letters helped parents to feel prepared for the future: 'It made me more aware of the problems and anxiety that was feeling before admission and so made me aware of what to look out for in the future' (family member) (p186). 'That it was clearly formatted about's experience, so and family can reread and have a reference to the situation' (family member) (p186). Outpatient professionals appreciated the narrative letter's ability to support working in partnership with the young person and across services: 'Summarised issues in a way client could understand, the personal plan was very clear, her responsibilities and actions to take was clear and precise; since everyone had a copy everyone could support with the same approach; guidelines for intervention following discharge enabled continuity of care'	
		(health professional) (p186). 'Think it will be an excellent tool particularly in the community team to reflect with (youth), to refocus and remember what happened to prepare for what ifs. Much better therapeutic tool than clinical notes' (health professional) (p186).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		 What can be improved Young people remarked that the letters could be improved by being made 'less boring' (p187). Suggestions included using colour and not using abbreviations, for example using 'deliberate self-harm' as opposed to DSH. 	
		Some responses showed that the young people did not feel represented in their letter:	
		'Don't think the information was a real or true indication of my personal account/circumstances. The discharge letter was more an overview of the staff's views and opinions. It to a point left out my own observations and view points' (youth) (p187).	
		Some family members felt that they could have benefitted from more information with a systemic focus:	
		'Personally, I would like a copy for parents with maybe more detail than the narrative from a professional standpoint also' (family member) (p187).	
		' Could I suggest a discharge letter with a more family focus might be more helpful & have a less blaming feel & may encourage a broader consideration by all (age appropriate) family members' (health professional) (p187).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology Qualitative study Semi-structured nterviews. Study aim To explore mental nealth professionals' views on the barriers and facilitators to	Participants • 14 mental health professionals who provide support to adolescents who are transitioning from a short-term psychiatric hospitalisation back to their previous school	NARRATIVE • Review Question 3: PRACTITIONERS' VIEWS SCHOOL-BASED FACTORS Coordination among school personnel Professionals explained that coordinating with teachers about lessening workloads, arranging extensions on deadlines, and supplying relevant notes can all benefit students returning to school. Support and understanding in schools	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant
adolescents' successful school reintegration after psychiatric hospitalisation. Source of funding • Not reported Country • US	setting Sample characteristics • Sex: 13 females 1 male • Ethnicity: African American n=3 Caucasian n=10 No ethnicity given n=1	A state of the state of the second state of the state of	
	 Level of qualification Licensure counsellor n=4; school counsellor n=5; psychologist n=1; social worker n=2; unlicensed n=2 Professionals' setting Mental health professionals working 	Having an initial planning meeting with teachers, parents, and the student that includes time to follow-up and evaluate is helpful. When they come back you always set a follow-up date saying, 'Okay, we're going to meet again in two weeks,' or whatever it is, so that that follow–up date actually happens. For evaluation, we do a couple a different things. The kid does a self-assessment, like a daily self-assessment We have a standard form, but we usually individualize it to that student' (p207).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	in: inpatient setting n= 4; outpatient setting n=4; school n=6 Total n=14 Intervention • School re-entry after psychiatric inpatient stay		
		Student factors Student investment in recovery Lack of investment was seen as a barrier – with adolescents they haven't usually lost a great deal so are blasé about substance abuse etc.	,
		Student experience of symptoms Side-effects of medication can be really difficult to manage. Concentration issues are common with students with depression.	
		FAMILIAL FACTORS Parental investment in recovery When parental investment is low reintegration becomes more	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		difficult.	
		'Consistent parent involvement I think is the key, and that's the piece that sometimes kind of gums up the works – when it doesn't happen' (p208).	
		Parents' response to hospitalisation and re-entry	
		Direct and honest parental responses to students and the school about the hospitalisation are assets during reintegration process.	
		Parents' understanding of resources	
		An outpatient counsellor, Gene, described the importance	
		of parents' understanding of resources:	
		'I think they really need to be aware of what the schools can or cannot do A lot of parents don't know what their rights are I think it's important that they know what the rights of their children are' (p209).	
		This is especially important as parents tend to be the main advocates for getting children back in to school.	
		MENTAL HEALTH CARE SYSTEM	
		Continuity of care and attention to school re-entry	
		Planning for potential challenges and ensuring the student has support are facilitators of successful re-entry.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Systemic factors	
		Communication was a category that came up across all cases.	
		Participants spoke at length about the importance of communication.	
		An inpatient counsellor explained the overall importance of communication:	
		'If the parents will allow us to talk to the school, I think that's been helpful we've had some really good school counsellors that actually will come and meet with myself or the other counsellor on the unit to try to figure out what's the best plan for us to be able to help this kid I think when the parents are on board with that, and the kid is on board, for us to be able to talk to the school, I think that's what's most helpful because we can provide them some insight into maybe what they haven't talked about at school but obviously they've admitted here that it's an issue for them' (p209).	
		The re-entry coordinator serves as the communication hub for all stakeholders. They have the responsibility to ensure that all information disseminated is guided by the parent/guardian and student and reflects legal and ethical requirements for transmitting confidential information. Their role should be seen as a facilitator of a multidisciplinary team rather than the person solely responsible for facilitating the reintegration process.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	NARRATIVE	Internal validity
 Secondary data study 	 Carers/family 	 EMOTIONAL RESPONSE TO THE CHILD'S ADMISSION 	• _
Study aim • To investigate how parents have used a	members of people with MH conditions Sample	their experience of their child being admitted to a mental health	Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear
consumer consultant support service provided		the most common emotions were:	Is there a clear focus on mental health
in the inpatient unit of a Queensland child and youth mental health service	 26 consultancies (over a 2-vear period) to 50 	Anxiety and worry (appeared in 29% of the records)	transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to the RQ?
Source of funding Not reported 	children who were	Loss and grief (appeared in 15% of the records)	• Yes Are the outcomes
Country • Australia	youth acute mental	Guilt and blame	relevant? • Unclear
Outcomes • What can be improved • Experiences described	Intervention • Consumer consultancy service	Feelings of guilt were associated with either concern that they	Overall assessment of external validity • +
	One hour peer support service which took place near the child and youth mental health unit every other Tuesday.	responsibility, but reports of concern that others – staff, neighbours and family members – held them accountable for the child's illness. Illustrative examples	
	Friendly, informal	'A mother of an eating disorder patient thought staff would think they are weak parents and must just let her do what she likes' (p257).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	as parents and carers of children with severe mental health problems.	'A dad said his daughter's eating disorder was the hardest thing he has ever coped with. He said he wondered why this had happened and if it the result of his divorce a while back' (p257).	
		Stigma and shame	
		'The parents of a girl with anorexia nervosa spoke about how other relatives and friends just say: "It's simple; just make her eat!"' (p257).	
		Her daughter had panicked out when she saw the sign 'mental health' on the door into the unit and had been pleading with her mum to get her out: 'She didn't belong here with all these psychos' (p257).	
		Loss and grief	
		The themes of grief and loss were less prominent, but still featured in a few records. Some parents described feeling like they had lost the child that they had raised because they had changed in an irrevocable way.	
		'As they were leaving the ward to go home, they could hear their son crying and begging them not to leave him. Both parents were very upset at leaving him in this condition, and his mother was crying as well' (p257).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		COPING WITH CHALLENGES	
		Family challenges (appeared in 35% of records)	
		Analysis of records suggests that admission is a very disruptive event for many families. Challenges included:	
		- concerns about disruption on other children in the family	
		 isolation brought about by lack of wider family support 	
		 difficulties managing extra demands on top of normal parental role. 	
		'Both parents are finding the admission to be a very stressful time for their family. They have three other children. The younge girls had been in trouble at school with acting-out behaviours. The children had been arguing more, and both parents were feeling very stretched' (p258).	r
		Practical difficulties (appeared in 29% of records) included:	
		- economic cost associated with admission	
		- transport, parking, accommodation	
		 difficulties finding somewhere to stay during the admission (especially for parents located out of the town) 	
		- having to take time off work.	
		NEED FOR INFORMATION	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		This was the least prominent domain, present in only 36% of the records.	
		The most frequently occurring theme was need for information about child's mental illness (present in 24% of records).	
		Parents described being unclear as to the causes and effects of symptoms, e.g., being unsure if sleep deprivation led to the illness, or if it was the illness that had exacerbated sleeping problems. Certain terms also caused confusion:	
		One mother heard the term "medically compromised", but did no understand what this meant' (p258).	t
		Need for information about treatment options and	
		need for information about support and research both featured in 6% of records.	
		Appreciation of the consumer consultancy service was detected in 53% of the themes. Parents appreciated the opportunity to discuss their concerns with non-clinical people who had been through similar experiences.	
		'V thanked us for being so open, and said that by speaking to someone who had lived with this, was given some hope' (p256).	
		NB The peer support service was only taken up by a minority of the overall cohort of eligible parents, and the records can only	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		speak on behalf of those who took it up.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
 Methodology Qualitative study based on semi-structured, in- depth interviews Study aim - To describe how children saw the nature of 'the problem' that led to their admission - To explore children's explanation of the treatment process (what was or was not beneficial) - To investigate children's perceptions of how (and to what extent) 	Participants • Children and young people with MH conditions. Children aged over 8 years old (up to 13 years old) who were consecutively admitted to the unit during 1 year recruitment period. Sample characteristics • Sex 11 boys, 7 girls • Sample age	Clinical outcomes • Health of the Nation Outcome Scores for Children and Adolescents (HoNOSCA) as rated by clinicians at admission and discharge The mean change in HoNOSCA between admission and discharge was -10.4.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant
their views were taken into account by staff in clinical decision-making	Between 8 and 13 years old. Sample size	11 children described their difficulty as having 'temper problems'. Some blamed the provocations of others as the cause of their	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		do is watched' (child M) (p568).	
		She went on to explain that she was so 'annoyed' that she	
		increased her self-harming behaviour as a result.	
		SOCIAL STIGMA OF INPATIENT ADMISSION	
		Children strove to protect their social identity with a number of inventive strategies that legitimised their illness but promoted the social admission and reinvented psychiatric treatment as 'cool'.	
		Strategies included:	
		 limiting who was told about the admission, only telling trusted close friends 	
		 controlling others' impression of the unit, either by normalising the unit by describing it as a school or glamorising it by describing it as a 'big brother' type institution with 'cool' equipment. 	
		CHILDREN'S PERCEPTIONS OF HOW (AND TO WHAT EXTENT) THEIR VIEWS WERE LISTENED TO IN CLINICAL DESCISION-MAKING	
		At Phase I 6 children reported feeling that admission plans had been rushed through without them having time to respond or think about the proposed plan.	
		Accounts of pre-admission visits suggested they had not informed the children of the nature of the therapeutic work undertaken on the unit or how they might play an active role.	
		At Phase II children explained that they would have liked more	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		'say' in decisions about medication and goal setting. One child explained that he would have liked the chance to be able to explain why he didn't want to take his medicine (which was because of the stigma associated with taking a 'chill pill').	

Offord A, Turner H, Cooper M (2006) Adolescent inpatient treat	ment for anorexia nervosa: a qualitative study exploring young adults'
retrospective views of treatment and discharge. European Eatir	ng Disorders Review 14: 377–87

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews that included open questions and prompts. Study aim	Participants • Seven adolescents with MH conditions Sample characteristics • Sex All female • Ethnicity	away of their control over eating was helpful and relieving: 'I felt I had to. And that kind of helped me because it was like, "It's not my decision. I'm not eating cos I want to be well. I'm not	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
To find out the views of young adults on the reatment they had received for anorexia hervosa while admitted to a general adolescent bsychiatric unit. It also aimed to elicit barticipants' views regarding their admission and discharge. Source of funding Not reported Country UK Not explicitly stated, but barticipants are all British and researchers are from JK institutions and they describe UK context of general adolescent bsychiatric units.	discharge from general adolescent psychiatric unit (for treatment for anorexia nervosa) Follow-up or time of interview • Participants had been discharged 2–5	and that kind of lessened the guilt. Which is what I felt I needed"' (Sophie) (p382). Failure to take a holistic approach that included the needs of other family members, and prioritising emotional or psychological needs were all seen to be unhelpful. 'Creating individualised, empowering and collaborative approaches to care, which encourage connections with the outside world and positive relationships with fellow inpatients, may serve to minimise some of the otherwise negative effects of hospitalisation. The findings also suggest that it is important to provide adequate preparation prior to discharge, rather than abrupt discharge following achievement of target weight' (p386). With hindsight, many participants agreed on the importance of receiving a relatively high level of support from services following discharge, even though they may not have wanted continued contact at the time. Having rules in place over portion sizes and diet plans was often seen as helpful during the admission, but unhelpful when trying to eat more normally following discharge. Removal from normality vs connecting with the outside world The majority of participants experienced a pervasive sense of being removed from the outside world upon admission. This brought with it a sense that their development was temporarily suspended.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		This caused problems in terms of their emotional wellbeing and sense of self, and posed a challenge to subsequent readjustment to the 'real world' following discharge.	
		Many participants felt that they were actively discouraged from engaging in real-world activities – even those not linked to exercise or their eating disorder. This added to the feeling that life moved on for their peers whilst theirs remained stagnant:	
		' but I was very aware that they were getting on with their lives, erm, they were doing their A levels, they were gonna be going off to university at the end of the year, and that was really hard for me cos I had fears of everybody going and I'd never catch up it meant that I sort of stayed stuck because I didn't have much incentive to sort of move-on. I thought, well "this is it now" (Sarah, 1 admission lasting 6 months) (p379).	
		Creating an 'informal environment' and promoting normal life was regarded as important.	
		Several people felt that a 'normal' activity outside of the unit would have helped their transition following discharge and also served as an incentive to get well. Similarly, after discharge the key to successful readjustment for many involved having incentives such as a college course, new friends or a job which provided a motivation to stay well and distracted focus away from eating difficulties.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		CONTRASTS IN STRUCTURE AND SUPPORT	
		Participants frequently commented that the contrast between high levels of structure and support in the unit and the lack of structure and support in the outside world, proved problematic. This often led to high levels of dependency and painful emotions on discharge.	
		Abrupt transitions were experienced as scary while those planned in a gradual and collaborative manner were experienced more positively.	
		'everything, was slowly introduced, so we decided that in x amount of weeks I was going to leave and it was sort of slowly done, instead of saying, this weekend you're going home, next weekend you're going to school, week after you're gonna leave, it was done slowly' (Katie, 2 admissions, total length 15 months) (p380).	
		Several people reported that it would have been helpful to have received ongoing psychological/emotional support from the practitioner they saw whilst in hospital. However others preferred support provided by local services; discharge marking a 'fresh start'.	1
		It was important that the level of support reflected the individual's stage of recovery.	5
		[•] For example, Katie viewed the support she received initially as too superficial and infrequent, commenting that this may have contributed to her relapse. When nearing complete recovery,	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		having someone at a distance, although sometimes viewed as irritating, was regarded as necessary and helpful' (p380).	
		Preparing for discharge – handing back control	
		Participants often felt they had little control over their lives while in inpatient care and thus appropriate preparation for discharge was essential.	
		'Several participants talked about helpful unit practices that enabled them to gradually build up their level of control, such as being given more freedom, having more control over decisions, and preparing one's own meals. In the absence of such careful preparation, participants often described finding the sudden availability of freedom following discharge unmanageable' (p383).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Interviews alongside observations.	Participants • Carers/family members of people with MH conditions	 CARERS' VIEWS Parents' perspective on admission Brief admission period sets the tone for the whole hospitalisation 	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted?
Study aim	12 parents	period. After the first 48 hours the parents started to understand	• +

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Voluntary/charity The American Nurses Foundation (ANF) and the Robert O. Gilbert Foundation. Country US	 13 nursing staff of child psychiatric unit. Sample characteristics Sex 10 female 1 male (1 unknown) 	All parents found the process of admitting their child distressing, and described feeling a sense of failure, fear or frustration. In particular 1 parent described having to leave the ward while their child was crying as 'a major trauma' (p731). Some parents with prior experience of psychiatric care had expectations of being judged or blamed and this made them feel on edge. One mother said: 'I think it is important for the staff to understand what parents are going through. You know they're going through the guilt and feel like everything they have done and are doing is	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	no official follow-up	As 1 nurse commented:	
	after interviews	'Admission is very important because it is the initial contact that you have with a parent and that's the primary exchange of information time. We find a natural fact, they form a bond, usually if things are going well with the person who did that admission' (p733).	,
		Nurses also described admission as the time to offer orientation to the programme and explaining expectations of the parents. Many nurses routinely encouraged parents to call the unit with any questions and to maintain a close involvement with their child's progress:	
		'We tell them when groups are, parents' group. We tell them the general game plan of how things run around here. They are told visiting hours. We have pretty open visiting, and that they can visit any time except certain times of groups, and that's always encouraged. I think the expectation's right from the beginning that you can visit, we'd like you to visit and be here and to work through this with us If you [the parent] ever want information on how your child is doing, you can call the nurses any time' (p733).	
		Admission was a critical time for information-sharing. However, expectations and perceptions of either parents or staff could affect the development of the relationships during this phase.	
		One nurse described a difficult situation where she wasn't able to determine if the biological mother or grandmother was the primary caregiver to a child. As the family were withholding	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		information from her it made it difficult for the nurse to make informed decisions about their (grand)son's care.	
		 ADMISSIONS Admission was identified as a critical time in relationship-building between parents and nursing staff (especially in light of decreasing lengths of stay). 	
		Nurses described situations where they had heard reports about parents from other nurses, and – especially when they were negative as a result of a difficult admission – this influenced all staff and their interactions with the parent.	

Turrell SL, Davis R, Graham H et al. (2005) Adolescents with anorexia nervosa: multiple perspectives of discharge readiness. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 18: 116–26

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
• Qualitative study Open-ended	Adolescents with MH	• The following 4 themes were identified by all 3 groups as being important to discharge readiness:	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted?
• Study aim • To explore the perspectives of adolescent patients with	members of people with MH conditions • Professionals Sample characteristics	Medical stability	 + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline Somewhat relevant

Turrell SL, Davis R, Graham H et al. (2005) Adolescents with anorexia nervosa: multiple perspectives of discharge readiness. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 18: 116–26

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
about the conditions	Patients: all 14 were	The adolescent participants described wanting 'to know how to	
necessary for those	female	manage our meals if we are more active at home' (p120).	
involved to be ready for	Parents: 12 female, 2	Both parents and the nurses thought it was important for	
discharge	male	adolescents to have a clear understanding of meal plans – how	
Source of funding	 Ethnicity Patients (n=14) 13 	much to eat and when; and the outpatient process, goals and	
 Not reported 	white, 1 Asian	expectations.	
Country	Parents (n=14) 14	Psychological changes	
• Canada	white registered	Used as an overarching term that included cognitive, emotional	
	nurses (n=14) 13	and behavioural changes.	
	white, 1 African	Adolescents commented that they would have to be able to 'eat	
	Canadian	without supervision' (p121).	
	 Sample age 	The majority of parents' responses also identified psychological	
	Patients' mean age =	changes for their child to make, e.g., 'having decreased anxiety	
	14.6	about food and eating' and a 'better understanding of body	
	Sample size	image, less distortion' (p121).	
	• 14 adolescents with	Parents also responded by saying they wanted compliance from	
	anorexia nervosa (AN)	their adolescent with regards to following food plans.	
	(first time admission for AN to the inpatient		
	eating disorders unit),	While nurses suggested that psychological changes would be	
	14 parents and	necessary for both parents and adolescents, parents did not	
	14 registered nurses	identify this need for themselves.	
	(RNs)	Nurses suggested that the 'family needs to know how to help	
	Intervention	kids with eating problems, to supervise meals, and to understand	
	Discharge readiness	their child will not be cured right away' (p121).	
	from eating disorders		
	unit	Community resource planning	

Turrell SL, Davis R, Graham H et al. (2005) Adolescents with anorexia nervosa: multiple perspectives of discharge readiness. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing 18: 116–26

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	interview • Questionnaires were completed following the first pass home from the inpatient unit as it 'afforded the family an opportunity to identify needs through in vivo experience of caring for their child' (p118) Adolescents were asked to complete their questionnaires on their return to the hospital.	Responses under this theme identified a need for follow-up care, beyond meal and exercise plans. Adolescent responses included: 'to be given as much warning as possible, so we can be ready,' and wanting 'individual counselling near our home' (p121). Parents sought coordination of follow-up with local family doctor; continuing counselling; and a hotline for urgent problems. Both nurses and parents identified a need for a plan for school re-integration. Nurses also identified the need for 'for planned community involvement, such as social activities, after school groups, and peer support network' (p121). Themes unique to adolescents: support Although this is alluded to other themes, support was identified as a specific element of discharge readiness only by adolescents. In particular they wanted to make sure they had 'a trustworthy person for all meals and snacks, someone who can help you get through this' (p121). Themes unique to registered nurses: parents as a member of treatment team Nurses described wanting parents to agree about the severity of the illness and to be a part of the treatment team; to 'take on real parental responsibilities, using their relationship with their child's nurse to problem-solve' (p121).	

Critical appraisal tables

Table reporting impact studies

Review Question 9: What is effective in supporting carers of people in transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 362 of 434

Cassidy E, Hill S, O'Callaghan E (2001) Efficacy of a psycho-educational intervention in improving relatives' knowledge about schizophrenia and reducing rehospitalisation. European Psychiatry 16: 446–50

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & Reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Study design Methodology • Case-control study Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our review question? • Yes Relevant to readmissions; not clear when (in relation to admissions or discharges) it was delivered. Question appropriate and focused? • Well covered Comparable populations? • Well covered	Same exclusion criteria? • Not reported Participation rate for each group? • Cases Unclear. Remark in discussion suggests that not all carers referred did undertake the intervention (or attended all sessions). Not reported. • Controls 28 matched from hospital records, so no dropout. Comparison of participants? • Well covered Distinguishing of cases from controls? • Well covered Measures to prevent		Unfortunate that there were only 28 matched controls, so the outcomes for readmission use a very small sample, and the results may not be generalisable. The data is old.	Overall assessment of internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK?
	 knowledge of primary exposure? Not reported Exposure status 			

Cassidy E, Hill S, O'Callaghan E (2001) Efficacy of a psycho-educational intervention in improving relatives' knowledge about schizophrenia and reducing rehospitalisation. European Psychiatry 16: 446–50

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & Reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	Not reported			
	Assumption is relatives of control had no intervention			
	Confounding factors Adequately addressed 			

Macdonald P, Rhind C, Hibbs R, et al. (2014) Carers' assessment, skills and information sharing (CASIS) trial: a qualitative study of the experiential perspective of caregivers and patients. European Eating Disorder Review 22: 430–8

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology	-	Did the study have an		Internal validity
• RCT Two arm multi-centre group RCT. Prospective comparison study using qualitative data.	Self-report and interview assessments at admission,	up? • Yes	different treatment	• + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes
Country ∙ UK	month post-discharge	definition of outcome? • Unclear	Moreover, the skills	Is there a clear focus on population
15 inpatient or day-patient hospital centres.	 Intervention dropouts 	providing qualitative data.	available for the	of interest? • Carers of people
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear	the ECHO group 37 out of	determine the outcome valid	and a few members of	with anorexia nervosa only. Some members of sample receiving
' To explore the patients' (with anorexia nervosa) perceptions of their relationship and involvement	group. • Comparison dropouts 18 out of 89 caregivers in	• Onciear Were investigators kept	their responses that thev have also	day hospital treatment. Is the intervention

Macdonald P, Rhind C, Hibbs R, et al experiential perspective of caregivers			CASIS) trial: a qualitativ	e study of the
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
 with their caregiver/patient following inpatient care, and to examine whether the quality of this differs as a result of their parents having obtained the intervention' (p437). Relevance to our RQ(s)? Mixed Condition specific, but looks at caregiver/patient relationships post discharge. The study population are those are receiving intensive inpatient as well as day-patient treatment (day-treatment patients are out of scope). Appropriate randomisation? Yes. Web based system used to randomise participants. Adequate concealment of allocation? Yes Database programmer who prepared the web-based system was independent from the trial team. Comparable groups at baseline? Yes Selection bias appraisal Low risk of bias 	in ECHO. Groups comparable on intervention completion? • Yes Missing outcome data • Intervention missing outcome data 141 out of 178 caregivers returned their feedback questionnaires (ECHO n=70; TAU n=71).	Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? • Yes Detection bias appraisal • Unclear/unknown risk of bias Do conclusions match findings? • Partly It's not possible to draw definite conclusions from the	affecting the reliability of the TAU group as a reliable comparator. The sample of patients was taken from those admitted for both intensive inpatient and day-patient treatment (day-patient treatment is out of scope).	clearly relevant to RQ(s)? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear External validity • +

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Was selection bias present? • Low risk of bias	 Low risk of bias 			
Did the groups receive equal treatment aside from the intervention? • Unclear. Those not receiving the intervention had treatment as usual, although no more information on this is given. As the sample was recruited across 15 study sites it is unlikely that TAU constituted the same in all sites.				
Were the participants receiving care kept 'blind' to how the intervention was allocated? • N/A				
This would not be possible.				
Allocation – practitioners • N/A				
Performance bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 				

Pitschel-Walz G, Bäuml J, Bender W, et al. (2006) Psychoeducation and compliance in the treatment of schizophrenia: results of the Munich Psychosis Information Project Study. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 67: 443–52

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • RCT Prospective, randomised, multicentre study. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear Relevance to our review question(s)? • Mixed The whole range of outcome measures is not reported in this paper. Families emotion status, satisfaction with treatment and other subjective measure are only available in German. The main outcomes reported here relate to RQ6, reducing readmissions. Primary outcomes are hospital readmission, number of readmission, days in hospital and medication compliance (which is out of scope). Appropriate randomisation?	 Follow-up Yes Yes Data was collected at the same time across both groups. Dropout numbers Intervention dropouts 23% drop out rate. Comparison dropouts 20% drop out rat Groups comparable on intervention completion? Yes Dropout patients did not differ from study completers in regard to sociodemographic or illness related variables. Missing outcome data Comparison group missing outcome data Missing data on medication compliance reported for 3 	Did the study have an appropriate length to follow- up? • Yes 24 months. Majority of trials would only cover 6 months post discharge. Did the study use a precise definition of outcome? • Yes Was the method used to determine the outcome valid and reliable? • Unclear No information given on method used to capture outcome data. Were investigators kept 'blind' to participants' exposure to the intervention? • N/A This wouldn't be possible.	Some outcome criteria – such as satisfaction with treatment, families' expressed emotion – were not reported in this study and we are unable to obtain these findings in English. Patients in the intervention group also received psycho- education sessions, so it's not possible to attribute all positive effects to the caregiver intervention alone. The intervention group had a greater amount of patients who were experiencing their first admission (intervention n=28;	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Unclear. Only looks at carers
of scope). Appropriate randomisation? • Yes Eight to 12 patients from each study centre were block randomised to either group. Adequate concealment of allocation?	Missing data on medication	 N/A This wouldn't be possible. Were investigators kept 'blind' to other important confounding factors? Unclear Detection bias appraisal Unclear/unknown risk of bias 	admission	• +

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	 Unclear/unknown risk of 	findings?	n=3, control n=4).	
Randomisation lists were generated by computerised random sampling.	bias	• Yes		
Comparable groups at baseline? • Yes				
Selection bias appraisal Low risk of bias 				
Was selection bias present? • Low risk of bias				
Did the groups receive equal treatment aside from the intervention? • Yes				
Were the participants receiving care kept 'blind' to how the intervention was allocated? • N/A				
They would have to know in order to receive the psycho-education sessions.				
Were individuals who administered the care kept 'blind' to the intervention allocation? • Yes				
Performance bias appraisal Low risk of bias 				

Findings tables

Table reporting impact studies

Review Question 9: What is effective in supporting carers of people in transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 369 of 434

	aghan E (2001) Efficacy of a sation. European Psychiatry 1	psycho-educational intervention in improving relatives' knowledge a	bout schizophrenia
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Case-control study Study aim • To establish if a brief psycho-educational intervention for relatives is effective in increasing their knowledge about schizophrenia and reducing hospitalisation Source of funding • Not reported Country • Irish Republic	 Participants Carers/family members of people with MH conditions 101 relatives (mostly parents) of 55 patients with psychotic illness Sample characteristics Sample age, sex, illness duration Copied from sample section note, edit: 28 matched patient cases (because only relatives of 28 of the 55 relative sets that completed the intervention) could be followed up over the 2-year follow-up period. Matched on age (mean 27), illness onset (age 24), gender (21 male, 7 female), illness duration (16 <2 years, 3 2–5 years, 9>5 years). Sample size Comparison numbers 28 matched patient cases. Note that control group was from computerised records, 	 Outcomes Service outcomes Other: 28 cases followed up to 2-year outcomes (i.e. number of hospitalisations; and length of time (median survival time with readmission of as the terminal event). Also increase in knowledge before/after educational programme FINDINGS – EFFECT SIZES Carer knowledge 'The carers made significant (P < 0.0001) gains in their knowledge about schizophrenia with a mean total knowledge gain of 22.4%, SD 13.6; 95%CI: 18.6, 26.1. Carers made significant gains in knowledge about schizophrenia in all areas tested (table 1). The greatest gains in knowledge were about treatment: 27.8%, SD 19.1; 95% CI: 22.6, 33; P < 0.0001' (p447). Areas tested included aetiology, demography, course, symptoms, treatment, coping. Rehospitalisation 'After the intervention, controls (17/28) were significantly more likely to be readmitted than cases (8/28) at 2-year follow-up (OR = 3.86, 95% CI: 1.3, 11.8; P = 0.03). The median survival time (with readmission as the terminal event) was longer (figure 1) for cases (730 days) than controls (593 days) at 2 years after the intervention (Wilcoxon-Gehan statistic 4.813; P < 0.05). Analysing the data by year showed that while cases spent significantly (P< 0.01) fewer days in hospital (6 days, SE 2) than 	Overall assessment of internal validity • + Unfortunate that there were only 28 matched controls, so the outcomes for readmission use a very small sample. Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Yes Is the intervention in scope? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes Overall assessment of external validity • + However, with small sample, cannot be

Cassidy E, Hill S, O'Callaghan E (2001) Efficacy of a psycho-educational intervention in improving relatives' knowledge about schizophrenia and reducing rehospitalisation. European Psychiatry 16: 446–50

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	under the same consultant's	controls (31 days, SE 10) in the first 12 months following the intervention, the effect was not statistically significant at 24 months (cases 16 days, SE 6; controls 39 days, SE 9)' (p448).	generalised with certainty.
	Intervention • Psycho-educational Carers Education Programme (delivered 1995-8). 7x2-hour weekly group sessions with therapists. See effect sizes for the range of topics covered. Multiple choice questionnaire administered before first session, and at		

Cassidy E, Hill S, O'Callaghan E (2001) Efficacy of a psycho-educational intervention in improving relatives' knowledge about schizophrenia and reducing rehospitalisation. European Psychiatry 16: 446–50

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	end of final session.		
	Follow-up or time of interview • 28 cases followed up to 2- year outcomes (i.e. number of hospitalisations; and length of time) (median survival time with readmission of as the terminal event). Matched cases selected for comparison from hospital records.		

Macdonald P, Rhind C, Hibbs R et al. (2014) Carers' assessment, skills and information sharing (CASIS) trial: a qualitative study of the experiential perspective of caregivers and patients. European Eating Disorder Review 22: 430–8

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Patient themes (52 ECHO/ 49 TAU)	Internal validity
• RCT	 Adults (no specified age) 	PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CAREGIVER STYLE (positive	• +
	with MH conditions	changes)	Is the setting similar
group RCT	 Carers/family members of 	Greater understanding, awareness and coping abilities.	to the UK?
Prospective comparison	people with MH conditions	Number of patients who report experiences belonging to this	• Yes
study using qualitative	Sample characteristics	theme:	Is there a clear
data.	• Sex		focus on population

Macdonald P, Rhind C, Hibbs R et al. (2014) Carers' assessment, skills and information sharing (CASIS) trial: a qualitative study of the experiential perspective of caregivers and patients. European Eating Disorder Review 22: 430–8

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Study aim To explore the patients		ECHO n=32: TAU n=21 Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme:	of interest? • Unclear Anorexia nervosa
	 Ethnicity ECHO white British/Irish/Other: 97.9% TAU white British/Irish/Other: 94.2% Sample age Mean age (SD) 26.88 	ECHO n=76: TAU n=32 'I have noticed especially my dad has become more understanding and patient with me. He seems more knowledgeable of how to deal with me when I had tough times (ECHO)' (p433). REDUCED CRITICISM, OVERPROTECTION AND ANXIETY	patients only, some receiving intensive day-patient treatment (as well as inpatient care).
result of their caregiver having obtained the intervention.	(10.03) • No. of previous admissions:	Responses indicate a decrease in hostility, criticism and over- protective behaviours, as well as a greater sense of calmness in the family.	clearly relevant to RQs? • Yes
Carers' assessment,	ECHO (n= 52) 0 admission n=21 (50.0%) 1 admission n=13 (33.3%)	Number of patients who report experiences belonging to this theme: ECHO n=16: TAU n=6	Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear
skills and information sharing: theoretical framework and trial	2 admissions n=3 (7.1%) 3 admissions n=0 4 admissions n=1 (2.4%) 5 admissions n=3 (7.2%)	Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme: ECHO n=31: TAU n=8 IMPROVED COMMUNICATION AND RELATIONSHIPS	External validity • +
protocol for a randomised controlled trial evaluating the	Missing n=7 (14.3%) TAU (n=49) 0 admission n=18 (37.5%)	A higher number of patients in the intervention group reported improved communications and relationships	
efficacy of a complex intervention for carers of inpatients with anorexia	1 admission n=11 (22.9%) 2 admissions n=8 (16.7%)	Number of patients who report experiences belonging to this theme: ECHO n=24: TAU n=12	
nervosa. European Eating Disorders Review 21, 60–71. (Protocol contained additional	3 admissions n=5 (10.4%) 4 admissions n=2 (4.2%) more than 5 admissions n=4 (8.4%)	Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme: ECHO n=44: TAU n=26 'Communication is more direct, honest, clearer and more supportive We also know when a certain communication	

		assessment, skills and information sharing (CASIS) trial: a qualitativ uropean Eating Disorder Review 22: 430–8	e study of the
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
information on intervention)	U	method isn't working/ appropriate and so we will try other methods which we wouldn't have done before (ECHO)' (p433).	
Source of funding • Government National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Country • 15 inpatient or day- patient hospital centres across the UK Outcomes 1 (qualitative) • Experiences described This is the qualitative arm of the study	 From 178 caregiver/patient dyads, the study analysed feedback from: Patients TOTAL n=101 ECHO n=52 TAU n=49 Primary caregivers TOTAL n=115 ECHO n=55 TAU n=60 Intervention (ECHO) Experienced Carers Helping Others guided self-help skills training intervention developed to address unmet needs, alleviate distress and provide skills training to carers of people with severe or chronic anorexia nervosa. Carers are encouraged to reflect on their responses to the illness and to build 	Notably patients in the ECHO group also reported feeling 'overprotection and anxiety' as an unhelpful strategy with more frequency than the TAU group (ECHO n=30; TAU n=20). However, the frequency of utterances of this theme within the TAU group is slightly greater (ECHO n=39; TAU n=42). Caregiver themes (55 ECHO/ 60 TAU) SERVICE PROVISION AND CAREGIVER SUPPORT Negatives perceptions of care, services post-discharge support. Number of caregivers who report experiences belonging to this theme: ECHO n=27: TAU n=46 Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme: ECHO n=54: TAU n=97 Negative experiences included: problematic inpatient care and or transition; post-discharge support deemed unacceptable, inadequate or absent; frustration at level of care from the medical profession; or conflict with the specialist team. PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENTS IN SITUATION Reports of acceptable functioning in sufferer Number of caregivers who report experiences belonging to 'acceptable functioning in sufferer' theme: ECHO n=33: TAU n=22 Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme:	
	awareness of now they can	raumber or times references are made to particular sub-theme.	

Macdonald P, Rhind C, Hibbs R et al. (2014) Carers' assessment, skills and information sharing (CASIS) trial: a qualitative study of the experiential perspective of caregivers and patients. European Eating Disorder Review 22: 430–8

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	 the person they are caring for. They are encouraged to engage in self-care adaptive coping and emotional intelligence. Follow-up or time of interview Self-report assessment by post at admission to treatment hospital, discharge from hospital and 6 and 12 months post- 	significant separation in the family, she has volunteered, started an apprenticeship as a gardener and started a horticulture course. For the first time since the start of her illness, she will have the kind of future most of us regard as a norm (ECHO)' (p436). IMPROVED COMMUNICATION AND RELATIONSHIPS Just under half of the sample reports a noticeable improvement in communication and relationships within the family – especially between patient and caregiver this was mentioned with a higher frequency in the ECHO group. Number of caregivers who report experiences belonging to the 'improved communication' theme: ECHO n=31: TAU n=23 Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme: ECHO n=58: TAU n=26	
		¹ let her make the decisions rather than me telling her what to do and we are much better at communicating with each other without it becoming an argument (ECHO)' (p436). PERCEIVED CAREGIVER CHANGES	
		Nearly a third of the participants mention that they had noticed reduced high emotion in terms of their approach to the illness. They perceived a greater sense of calm, less anxiety and a less controlling/ directive relationship with their loved one.	
		Number of caregivers who report experiences belonging to the 'reduced anxiety' theme:	

Macdonald P, Rhind C, Hibbs R et al. (2014) Carers' assessment, skills and information sharing (CASIS) trial: a qualitative study of the	
experiential perspective of caregivers and patients. European Eating Disorder Review 22: 430-8	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		ECHO n=21: TAU n=14	
		Number of times references are made to particular sub-theme: ECHO n=34: TAU n=20	
		22 caregivers report a greater understanding and knowledge about the illness (TAU n=13; ECHO n=9)	
		CONTINUED DIFFICULTIES AND CHALLENGES	
		Over two-thirds of the sample report continued struggles and burden signifying high emotion, despair, doubts, uncertainty and problematic coping.	
		The number of caregivers who mentioned this theme is slightly higher in the TAU group: ECHO n=34: TAU n=41	
		However, the number of actual references to struggles throughou the feedback forms occurred with much more frequency within the TAU group, possibly indicating a greater experiential meaning for the caregiver: ECHO n=63: TAU n=115	9
		'I have stopped looking forward to my retirement. I will be 60 next year and often feel that death for me would be a slow release from watching B slowly destroying herself. I have let her go, and she's in God's hands, that's how I rationalise it now, she will either recover or have a short life expectancy, which is say to say or a premature death' (TAU) (p436).	n
		CONCERN FOR THE FUTURE	
		There was a noticeable difference between the groups reports of concern for the future:	

-		Carers' assessment, skills and information sharing (CAS tients. European Eating Disorder Review 22: 430–8	SIS) trial: a qualitative study of the
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		ECHO n=3: TAU n=13	
		There were 15 reports of readmission to hospit ECHO n=5: TAU n=10	al:

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • RCT Prospective, randomised, multicentre study. Study aim • Psycho-education Information Project (PIP) study was set up to examine the long- term outcomes and benefits of psycho- education; the study describes the effects of psycho-educational groups (intervention) in comparison with routine care (control	 Adults (no specified age) with MH conditions 18–65 Carers/family members of people with MH conditions Sample characteristics Sex Intervention 51% female Control 57% female Sample age Mean years intervention 33 Control 34 Previous admissions Previous hospitalisations, mean 	Effect sizes Survival analysis considering the time up to first rehospitalisation showed a significant difference in favour of the intervention group within the first year (p=.009) and within 2 years (p=.015). The rehospitalisation rate at the 12 month follow up for intervention group was 21% (n=17) compared to 38% (n=31) in the control group (p=0.25). At 24 months follow up, 41% (n=32) of the intervention group and 58% (n=43) of the control group had to be rehospitalised (p=0.36). In the intent-to-treat analyses with all dropouts assessed as re- hospitalisations (worst-case scenario), the differences were not statistically significant (n=194; 1 year follow up; 37% vs 45%, p=.301; 2 year follow up: 54% vs. 66%, P=.107) Number of rehospitalisations after 12 months: Intervention group mean (SD) 0.3 (0.7) Control group mean (SD) 0.6 (0.8) p=.086	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Unclear Is there a clear focus on population of interest? • Unclear. Only looks at carers of people with schizophrenia. Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQs? • Yes

Research aims	Population	Findings							Quality assessment
group) on compliance and rehospitalisation rates over a 24-month follow-up period. Country • 3 German psychiatric hospitals	Intervention 28% Control 18%	Control group p=.31 Number of of Intervention Control group after 24 mo Intervention Control group p=.034 Rehospitalis	a group mean (up mean (SD) days in hospita group mean (up mean (SD) nths: group mean (SD) sation rates at	1.1 (1.4) al after 12 SD) 17(4 30 (54.4) SD) 39 (9 78 (127.2) 12 and 24 sychotic e ation rates	month 6.6) 90.4) 2) 4 mont pisode	hs, differentiat	ation rates	U	Are the outcomes relevant? • Yes External validity • +
	programmes over a period of 4 to 5 months – sessions were separate for patients and carers. Sessions 1 to 4 took place	previous psychotic episodes	Intervention group 15	Control group	р 1.00	Intervention group 37	Control group 39	р 1.00	
	weekly, mostly during the								4
	patients' inpatient stay	2–5	18	47	.006	34	65	.008	1
	(after reduction of acute symptoms), and sessions 5 to 8 took place monthly,	6 or more • Narrative 1	38 findings	36	1.00	63	58	1.00	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
	Information was given to patients about symptoms, etiology, acute treatment, relapse prevention, and psychological treatment of schizophrenia; adequate coping strategies were discussed; and individual crisis plans were drawn up. Relatives' sessions	At 1 and 2 years from index discharge, the patients in the control group had on average nearly twice as many rehospitalisations as the patients in the intervention group. The number of days spent in hospital was almost twice as great in the control group when compared with the intervention group. * The study states that subjective outcome measures, such as gain in knowledge changes in illness concept, families expressed emotion status, satisfaction with treatment are available elsewhere. However, these findings are reported in German language reports. The team have contacted the author directly to ask if information is available in English.	

Critical appraisal tables

Table reporting views studies

Review Question 9: What is effective in supporting carers of people in transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 380 of 434

Clarke D, Winsor J (2010) Perception and we're going to drown real soon'. I			iatric hospitalization: 'we	e're all on this little island
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible Sample is very small (10) and recruitment was through a support group and 'snowball sampling' from people known to those in support group (possible bias in agreement, as issues may have been discussed there) Is the context clearly described? • Not sure Recruitment is a little unclear, i.e. influence of support group experience. Study approved by ethics committee? • Not stated How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	haphazard and via support groups (which may not	Are the data 'rich'? • Rich Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate	recruited from support groups. This is a limitation, as people who attend such groups may well have already discussed their experience (and modified it with others), and may not be representative of all carers (7 out of 10 had university degrees). The interviews commenced in 2004 so not current.	the study conducted? • + Despite small sample, this is convincing, but the major limitation may be the apparently high educational status of the parent participants (not

Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: service user, carer and provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
events leading up to the admission, the admission itself and reflections on the experience post-discharge. The focus group guide asked service	 Appropriate Participants were recruited from 2 areas in the UK (1 a large county, the other an inner-city area). Service user participants were identified via providers in intellectual disability 	 Rich Is the analysis reliable? Reliable Initially, data from interviews with several participants were checked for levels of agreement. High levels of agreement were found. Results were analysed on a 	specific to answering RQ9 question about what is effective in supporting carers of people in transition. The sample is based on a small group of carers (9), which may mean that findings are	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + However, with small sample, cannot be generalised with certainty. Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible	How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately. After consent was obtained, service users were given the option to be interviewed on their own or accompanied by a relative or nurse who was familiar	groups of participants, which means that each case is about 1 person's admission experience, often from the viewpoint of several people. Focus group data were analysed separately with the purpose of identifying		

provider experiences. Journal of Appl <mark>Study design</mark>	1	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
broviders were employed to enable n-depth discussion of their experiences and views. (Is the context clearly described? • Clear. Participants (user, carers and broviders) were recruited from 2 areas in the UK – 1 a large county ncluding urban and rural areas; the other an inner-city area. Both used mainstream, and 1 occasionally specialist mental health services for beople with intellectual disabilities. Seven service providers took part in focus groups; all of them were members of specialist community ntellectual disability teams. Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes. The study was granted ethical approval by an NHS research ethics committee How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	permission to interview their carers separately. If agreed, researchers contacted the carer by telephone and explained the nature of the study and information was sent to those who wanted to take part. All interviews were digitally recorded and based either in participants' own homes or at a day centre and lasted between 30 and 90 mins. Invitations were sent to all learning disability and mental health staff through the participating trusts' email lists. Semi-structured	researchers and grouped according to themes. These themes were repeatedly reworked to make sure that they reflected the wording and meanings drawn from the raw data. Are the findings convincing? • Convincing Are the conclusions adequate? • Adequate		

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	Open-ended questions were used in interviews and designed to allow for a general level of consistency in topics covered across all the interviews. The focus group guide asked service providers about their experiences of local mainstream admissions, factors that help and hinder their practice, and the impact of joint service agreements on service provision.			
	Were the methods reliable? • Reliable			
	Service users were given various options to participate in interviews, either independently, or accompanied by carers or a trusted professional. Interview methods seemed appropriate and allowed			

Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: service user, car	er and
provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25	

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	them to express their views and experiences quite openly. Interviews were bolstered by the findings from focus group discussions with providers. Where users did not want to, they asked for carers to stand in as proxy in interviews.			
	Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Not described			

Gerson R, Davidson L, Booty A, et al. (2009) Families' experience with seeking treatment for recent-onset psychosis. Psychiatric Services 60: 812–6

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible			Again, a very small US sample, with issue of insurance cover limited	ascertained from the
Somewhat small sample, not clear why. Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics	'Sample of convenience' (p2). Inpatients were asked to identify appropriate	 Is the analysis reliable? Not sure/not reported 	ethnic groups is an advantage. Age of the data, convenience	 the study conducted? + Comments Age of the data, convenience sampling

Gerson R, Davidson L, Booty A, et al. (2009) Families' experience with seeking treatment for recent-onset psychosis. Psychiatric Services 60: 812–6

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
committee? • Yes How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	consent to them being contacted. Unclear why on families of 13 were interviewed. Interviews done in 1999–2002 so unlikely to represent current practice.	 Convincing But may be out of date. Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate 	sample prevent this study having higher rating and relevance.	and small sample prevent this study having higher rating and relevance. Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant
	How well was the data collection carried out? • Somewhat appropriately. Sample was 'a sample of convenience' (p2). No information on who carried out the interviews.			
	Were the methods reliable? • Not sure			
	Seems a straightforward approach but not much description of recruitment or context of interviews.			
	Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Not described			

Jankovic J, Yeeles K, Katsakou C, et al. (2011) Family caregivers' experiences of involuntary psychiatric hospital admissions of their relatives – a qualitative study. PloS One 6(10): e25425

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible The study aimed to better understand the experiences of family caregivers so a qualitative design was deemed appropriate. Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes UK Multicentre Research Ethics Approval. How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Clear	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? • Somewhat appropriate Purposive sampling across 12 different hospitals to maximise the range of views and relationships between caregiver and patient (i.e parents, siblings, children, partners). How well was the data collection carried out? • Appropriately Family caregivers helped to design the list of topics for interview. Participants were asked to describe events relating to the index admission of their relative (the one which resulted in them being involved in the study) to help them focus on this admission	Are the data 'rich'? • Mixed Qualitative data attributed to person in terms of their relationship with patient (i.e wife, brother etc.), and also whether it was the patients' first admission or not. Is the analysis reliable? • Reliable Two researchers devised a coding frame and this was developed at team meetings which included a family caregiver (not an interviewee). Disagreements in coding were settled by discussion involving both coders and other members	Only 50% of all eligible patients who had been involuntarily admitted to the participating hospitals during the study period agreed to	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • +

Jankovic J, Yeeles K, Katsakou C, et al. (2011) Family caregivers' experiences of involuntary psychiatric hospital admissions of their relatives – a qualitative study. PloS One 6(10): e25425

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	involuntary treatments more generally. Interviews were mostly conducted at interviewee's home.			
	Were the methods reliable? • Somewhat reliable			
	No triangulation, but findings are discussed alongside other studies.			
	Is the role of the researcher clearly described? • Clearly described			

Wilkinson C, McAndrew S (2008) 'I'm not an outsider, I'm his mother!' A phenomenological enquiry into carer experiences of exclusion from acute psychiatric settings. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing 17: 392–401

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	Was the sampling carried		Very small sample size	
 Qualitative study 			(4 participants) from a	
Is the study clear in what it seeks			specific area of the	
to do?	 Inappropriate 			the study conducted?
• Mixed	How well was the data	Just 1 researcher.	are supported by other	• _

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
 research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible Is the context clearly described? Clear Study approved by ethics committee? Yes As the research did not involve direct contact with any NHS users and did not take place on NHS premises, the school ethics committee advised that the local research ethics committee's approval would not be required. However, the School of Healthcare ethics committee did ensure that ethical issues, such as doing no harm, informed choice, and confidentiality had been addressed. (p394). How clear and coherent is the 	 Somewhat appropriately Individual in depth interviews. Some pre- planned questions to ensure focus on phenomenon in question. Researcher kept a reflexive journal during interviewing process. Were the methods reliable? 		studies and are 'rich'.	 Comments Carer only perspective (no interviews with relevant professionals of service users). Very small sample size (4 participants) from a specific area of the UK. Relevance to the MH transitions guideline Highly relevant UK study. All carers had to have a loved one who was admitted to a menta health institution within the previous 2-year period, and there is a focus on the admission process (as well as general principles on how information is shared with carers, care involvement and using the carer as a resource)

Findings tables

Table reporting views studies

Review Question 9: What is effective in supporting carers of people in transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Clarke D, Winsor J (2010) Perceptions and needs of parents during a young adult's first psychiatric hospitalization: 'we're all on this little island and we're going to drown real soon'. Issues in Mental Health Nursing 31: 242–47

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology	Participants	Outcomes	As far as can be
 Qualitative study 	 Learning disability 	Clinical outcome	ascertained from the
Semi-structured	 Carers/family 	Satisfaction	paper, how well was the
interview schedules	members of people with	Service outcomes	study conducted?
using open-ended	MH conditions	FINDINGS – NARRATIVE	• +
questions. Topics were	 Professionals 	RQ9 carer support	Relevance to the MH
divided into 3 broad	Sample		transitions guideline
sections: events leading	characteristics	Study concerns carers' perspectives, largely on transitional	 Somewhat relevant
up to the admission, the	 Ethnicity 	points during first MH inpatient admission. These included:	
admission itself and	To maintain anonymity,		
reflections on the	the ethnicity of	Relief at admission (due to inability to cope with or understand	
experience post-	participants from black	the young adult's behaviour).	
discharge. The focus	and minority ethnic		
group guide asked	groups was not	Disbelief and shock (especially when leaving the person on	
service providers about	revealed.	a locked unit)	
their experiences of loca	^I • Sample age	,	
mainstream admissions	All service users were	Feeling alone and stigmatised: this was influenced by several	
and the impact of joint	aged between 30 and	factors – public perception of mental illness, lack of	
service agreements on	55 years.	acknowledgement or support from the inpatient staff (relatives felt ignored, invisible); lack of understanding among friends; the	
services.	Level of	need to hide the fact that their child had a mental illness from	
Study aim	need/diagnosis	friends and family. 'He said, I do not want you to tell anyone I am	
The study aimed to	With exception of 1	here' (p244).	
firstly examine how	case that was not	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
service users with	specified, rest of	Grieving for lost prospects/future	
intellectual disabilities,	sample of 11 presented	Feeling excluded at discharge (by inpatient staff). 'Every	
their carers and service	with schizophrenia (1	interviewed parent told a discharge story that expressed the	
providers perceive	paranoid scn.) or mood	feeling of being excluded from the process even when they	
mainstream in-patient	disorder.	had been invited to the discharge meetings. Parents wanted to	

Clarke D, Winsor J (2010) Perceptions and needs of parents during a young adult's first psychiatric hospitalization: 'we're all on this little island and we're going to drown real soon'. Issues in Mental Health Nursing 31: 242–47

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
mental health services, and secondly, to what extent their accounts are n line with key policy objectives. Source of funding • NHS Trust. The study was commissioned by North Essex Mental Health Foundation Trust and North East Essex Primary Care Trust. Country • UK Dutcomes • what works well • experiences described	or in supported accommodation prior to the admission. Sample size • Overall, 42% (n=11) of service users who fitted the inclusion criteria took part or chose for their carer to take part. Seven service providers took part in focus groups.	be heard when they expressed their concerns or asked questions about discharge and wanted to know what and what not to do next. Receiving an invitation to a discharge meeting on the day of discharge did not allow sufficient time for the family to adjust' (p244). There was no collaborative discussion or even notice given. Carers wanted: Inpatient staff to acknowledge the family, make a connection; Offer sympathy and coping mechanisms, e.g. recommend a support group. Provide comprehensive and timely involvement at/before discharge. Instil hope. They also said that staff 'hid behind' the patient's lack of consent to share information – but even if true, this did not preclude support for the carer. Authors conclude: 'Because parents need to be active members of the caregiving 'team' as their child heads out on the road to recovery, early engagement between parents and mental health professionals is crucial. Furthermore, health care providers must recognize that 'just another admission' for them is a profound life- changing crisis for the patient and their family' (p247).	

Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: service user, carer and provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study. Semi-structured interview schedules using open-ended questions. Topics were divided into 3 broad sections: events leading up to the admission, the admission itself and reflections on the experience post- discharge. The focus group guide asked service providers about their experiences of loca mainstream admissions and the impact of joint services.	 Carefs/ramity members of people with MH conditions Professionals Sample characteristics Disability Ethnicity To maintain anonymity, the ethnicity of participants from black and minority ethnic groups was not revealed. Sample age All service users were aged between 30 and 	A key theme for the carers was that the admission provided them with much needed respite. Even when carers were unconvinced about the benefits of the admission, they were nonetheless grateful that the person was in hospital. Carers who were put in touch with other services during the admission felt that this vastly improved their situation on discharge. Carers found it difficult to know who to liaise with and often felt left out. 'I really had to find out what was happening through X. No one would tell me anything there and again I found that hard to comprehend. It's like when I left I was really none the wiser' (C1) (p219).	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • + Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • Somewhat relevant
Study aim • The study aimed to firstly examine how service users with intellectual disabilities, their carers and service	• Level of need/diagnosis With exception of 1	A barrier to access Carers described that the search for help was often fraught and strewn with difficulties. Mental health service staff were frequently perceived as reluctant to assess someone with an intellectual disability. Carers described the situation as 'fighting a	

Donner B, Mutter R, Scior K (2010) Mainstream in-patient mental health care for people with intellectual disabilities: service user, carer and provider experiences. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 23: 214–25					
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment		
mainstream in-patient mental health services, and secondly, to what extent their accounts are in line with key policy objectives. Source of funding	with schizophrenia (1 paranoid sch.) or mood disorder. • Socioeconomic position Living alone, with family or in supported	At times carers also felt devalued and judged by the people they			
Health Foundation Trust		were seeking help from. One carer reported that a doctor responded: "'Relatives love looking after people'. I said, 'Yeah, they might if there's about half a dozen of them but when there's just one you just can't do it'" (C11) (p220). Qualitative data from the focus groups was not attributed to service user/carer/professional, however the following is relevant to supporting carers across transitions:			
		Participants emphasised repeatedly that success stories were 'down to individual relationships that may have developed over time. It's about who you know'. 'It's so much easier once you have that relationship to go back, even for another client. Also for staff in the in-patient service,			

esearch aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		they get to know that we're not just going to leave the person there but are actually going back' (p222).	
		A striking issue was that ward staff did not accommodate or properly understand a person's intellectual disability. Some carers felt that service users were discharged without adequate assessment and that individual needs were not properly accounted for (p220).	

Gerson R, Davidson L, Booty A, et al. (2009) Families' experience with seeking treatment for recent-onset psychosis. Psychiatric Services 60: 812–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment		
Methodology	Participants	FINDINGS – NARRATIVE	As far as can be		
 Qualitative study. 	Carers/family	• RQ2 CARERS' VIEWS	ascertained from the		
Interviews	members of people with	Not much reporting of family experience – much of paper is	paper, how well was the		
Study aim	MH conditions	discussion and call for change.	study conducted?		
 To understand the 	Sample characteristics	Calers accessed freatment in directent ways – if they they to set	• +		
experience of families	• Sex	up appointments with outpatient providers, they might find it hard	Comments		

Gerson R, Davidson L, Booty A, et al. (2009) Families' experience with seeking treatment for recent-onset psychosis. Psychiatric Services 60: 812–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
support for young people with recent-onset psychosis (bearing in mind that it can take years to get help). Source of funding • Government National Institute for Mental Health. • Voluntary/charity Award from research charity. Country • USA (NY state) Outcomes (qualitative) • what can be improved	brother, 1 aunt (14 because in 1 case, M and F interviewed together) • Ethnicity Inpatient characteristics: 5 Caucasians; 4 Hispanic, 3 Afro- American, 1 East Asian • Sample age Family members interviewed about 13 inpatients, 16–24, mean age 20.7 years • Level of need/diagnosis All inpatients (whose families were interviewed) had first been admitted for treatment for psychosis within the past year. Sample size	For most, involuntary admission happened, described by most as 'traumatic but necessary' (author) (p3). 'I just felt I couldn't handle it anymore, and I saw that instead of getting better, he was just getting worse. So I said that's it' (family member) (p3). Two-thirds of Afro-Americans had police involvement in admission – 1 teenage girl locked herself in bathroom and family's 911 call was answered by SWAT team who handcuffed her. After trauma of involuntary admission, stigma of psychotic illness was strongly felt by carers (described as 'shame'): 'this is a dirty word I'm going to be dealing with it for the rest of my life' (family member) (p4). The attitudes and statements of staff and social workers confirmed that the illness would have a very negative impact on the person and would be lifelong. One mother waited weeks before the psychiatrist 'the big cheese' (p4), even spoke with her, and that was at the point of discharge. No	

Gerson R, Davidson L, Booty A, et al. (2009) Families' experience with seeking treatment for recent-onset psychosis. Psychiatric Services 60: 812–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		kind of conversation, provide some kind of information and	
	Follow-up or time of interview • All family carers	education? Some therapy, something?' (family carer) (p4). Family carers also thought 'patient confidentiality' was used as a shield to avoid having conversations with them.	
	interviewed within 1 year of the first	People who did get some useful information about their loved one, or how to help them, were very grateful.	
	inpatient admission of the person cared for (though some were in for a subsequent episode).	In the US context, families also struggled to match services to their insurance cover. This was a problem for ongoing community support and also in the event of relapse, and it was not confined to poorer families.	,
		Much of this paper is the use of material for campaigning for political and service change.	
		• RQ9 CARER SUPPORT	
		Similar to carer views findings	
		Carers' commentary on their experience showed they needed:	
		Less traumatic ways of seeking treatment (i.e before the first onset of psychosis accelerated into a crisis), bearing in mind that the person might not want to attend a psychiatric clinic.	
		Greater recognition from staff on inpatient ward that they were	

Gerson R, Davidson L, Booty A, et al. (2009) Families' experience with seeking treatment for recent-onset psychosis. Psychiatric Services 60: 812–6

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		under great stress, and needed both support and reassurance as well as information and involvement in assessment, treatment and discharge planning.	
		Information, education and dialogue about how to manage and support the person after discharge.	
		Support to find providers for ongoing care that insurance would cover.	
		Less negativity and more positive encouragement to face the future of their child.	

Jankovic J, Yeeles K, Ka a qualitative study. PloS	· · · ·	amily caregivers' experiences of involuntary psychiatric hospital ad	Imissions of their relatives -
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment

	i opulation	i indingo	
• To explore how family caregivers of patients who were involuntary	 Carers/family members of people with MH conditions Family caregiver defined as: 1) a partner or relative who lives 	DELAYS IN GETTING HELP Frustration with delays in getting help from services n=18 Many caregivers said that mental health services had acted with a delay in the lead up to the admission, and they didn't know who they could contact for help. Caregivers believed the delay contributed to the deterioration of their relatives' condition and	
admitted to psychiatric hospital experience the admission (and	visits/meets him/her at	made the involuntary admission inevitable. Some thought services responded to adverse events rather than	 Highly relevant

Jankovic J, Yeeles K, Katsakou C et al. (2011) Family caregivers' experiences of involuntary psychiatric hospital admissions of their relatives a qualitative study. PloS One 6(10): e25425

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
subsequent hospital treatment of their relative). Source of funding • Government Policy Research Programme of the Department of Health. Country	active role in the care of the patient Sample characteristics • Sex 12 male 19 female • Ethnicity Patient's ethnicity: white n=21 (72%) Asian n= 4 (14%) black n=1 (3%) mixed n= 2 (7%)	trying to prevent them. 'The mental health system was good at reaction, but hopeless at pro-action, and unless there was some serious incident, like the self-harming, or the time when she wouldn't get out of bed, to which they could respond with sort of blue lights flashing and so on, they were absolutely useless' (caregiver to a wife, 1st admission) (p4). Caregivers reported that they did not know who they could contact to ask for help when their relative was becoming ill, and that this caused a lot of stress. They were often directed from 1 service to another, without any clear guidance. This issue was	
 • UK 12 NHS hospitals across England. Outcomes 1 (qualitative) • experiences described 	On discharge patients were diagnosed with: schizophrenia n=8 bipolar affective disorder n=6	more pronounced in caregivers of patients who had not experienced a previous admission and had no familiarity with the mental health service. 'I mean on day he had me in tears, I had to walk out of the house and I just walked into a police station and I spoke to somebody on the desk, and they gave me a little bit of advice and they told me who to contact and stuff, and the next day I rang. I actually spoke to somebody but even that was a long process I said like, I need help now not like tomorrow or next week. I think like they got back to me three months later, it was really, really, hard to get any kind of help to start with' (caregiver to a brother, 1st admission) (p4). BEING GIVEN THE BURDEN OF CARE Being given the burden of care by services (n=8) Family caregivers felt that too much responsibility for their relative's care was placed on them. They also reported they had	

Jankovic J, Yeeles K, Katsakou C et al. (2011) Family caregivers' experiences of involuntary psychiatric hospital admissions of their relatives a qualitative study. PloS One 6(10): e25425

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		not been fully consulted on decisions about their relative's treatment, but they were still implicitly expected to take responsibility for further care. Not accepting responsibility usually meant that their relative was subjected to more restrictive options, e.g. longer stay within the hospital.	
	previously. 12 patients had not had previous admission. Data was missing for 2 patients.	Family caregivers also reported believing their relative was more unwell that clinicians would judge them to be, and that they felt they needed more support than they got from both clinicians and services than they received.	
	• 31 family caregivers of	Family caregivers commonly believed that their relative should have been admitted earlier or should have been discharged later; this concern was voiced most frequently by caregivers of patients who had previous admissions.	
	partner n=7; sibling n=4; children n=2; grandmother n=1; elderly relative n=1 Intervention • Involuntary admission	'I've been begging them to go and section him and they've gone in and assessed him and they've said that he's perfectly alright, and it's been absolute rubbish I'm put through hell for like 2 weeks, and eventually he is so ill he's sectioned and if they'd only listened to me, like a fortnight beforehand, they could have had him in hospital, done something for him rather than keep calling people out to check him and getting phone calls 'no sorry in our opinion he's not sectionable, and then 10 days later they section him' (caregiver to a son, not 1st admission) (p4).	
		ISSUES AROUND CONFIDENTIALITY	
		Difficulties with confidentiality (n=7)	
		Family caregivers raised problems relating to lack of information	

Jankovic J, Yeeles K, Katsakou C et al. (2011) Family caregivers' experiences of involuntary psychiatric hospital admissions of their relatives a qualitative study. PloS One 6(10): e25425

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		and issues surrounding confidentiality.	
		They understood that confidentiality was a delicate issues, but they also pointed out that they had a need to know certain information if they were expected to provide care.	
		'Before they will talk to me about anything, they always say is it alright if I talk to your mother which is fine because it's patient confidentiality. But you know, when I'm the one that's at risk, I expect a bit of a say in it. That's fine if you've got him in a safe place and he's being looked after, but when he's out in the community with me, then I expect a bit of a say in what goes on'	
		(caregiver to a son, not 1st admission) (p4).	
		Also relating to the issue of confidentiality, family caregivers wanted to provide important information to the clinicians but were concerned that the patient would be told about it.	è.

a second since Denselection Finalism	
cute psychiatric settings. International Journal of Mental Healt	ursing 17: 392–401
/ilkinson C, McAndrew S (2008) 'I'm not an outsider, I'm his n	er!' A phenomenological enquiry into carer experiences of exclusion from

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
 Qualitative study Study aim 	 Carers/family 	All of this is relevant to RQ9, carer support, but fits more with carer views on admission as it is not a specific intervention.	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted?

		ider, I'm his mother!' A phenomenological enquiry into carer exper f Mental Health Nursing 17: 392–401	iences of exclusion from
Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
the perspective of informal carers, what experiences they had when their relative was admitted to an acute psychiatric inpatient setting' (p394). Source of funding • Not reported Country • UK Outcomes (qualitative) • What works well • What can be improved • Experiences described		 into hospital. 'It's like as soon as he enters the ward they (professionals) take over; it's like I give my son up to their care he's at their mercy.' Mary expressed similar views: 'I went from doing everything for him (husband) to doing nothing for him it felt like somehow I wasn't needed anymore because he had the nurses to look after him and I was just in the way' (p395). As these quotes suggest, participants experienced a divide between themselves and the healthcare professionals in the wards. 	institution within the previous 2-year period, and there is a focus on the admission process (as well as general principles on how information is shared with carers, carer involvement and using the carer as a resource).

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		meetings for example, the overriding sense is that they were passive rather than active in their loved one's care.	
		This was highlighted by Rebecca:	
		'I felt that the decisions were made without us [carer and son], and then we'd be invited into the ward round as a matter of courtesy to let us know their [professionals] plans. It's like when they said they were going to put him back on olanzapine. I knew it wouldn't work for him because it hasn't in the past, but I didn't say anything because the decision had been made and nothing I said would change that' (p396).	
		Participants who are looking after a loved one in hospital are particularly at risk of becoming ill and suffering emotionally themselves.	
		James said: 'She [wife] was in hospital and I felt lost and helpless, and well I just felt useless. I hated myself. I was a failure' (p396).	
		Feeling isolated	
		The admission of a loved one into hospital was a time of confusion and distress for the carers and a time when they needed support to understand and deal with what was happening. However, their experience was one of being ignored by healthcare professionals, which fostered a sense of isolation.	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Mary stated:	
		'I cried when I came home from the hospital that first night. I felt so alone I had wanted to speak to someone about what was happening, but when I tried I was told by the nurse that she couldn't speak to me, I should visit my doctor' (p396).	
		A further example of this is demonstrated by Rebecca:	
		'As soon as he was admitted to the ward I became a nobody, an outsider, but I'm not an outsider, I'm his mother!' (p396).	
		Despite wanting to learn more about their loved one's illness participants felt ignored.	
		Jean stated: 'Nobody ever spoke to me about the illness and nobody ever explained anything to me. I didn't understand what was going on' (p396).	
		James stated that: 'It got to a point where I just gave up trying to speak with the nurses. They were always too busy to talk to me and I just couldn't see the point in pushing it. It wouldn't have done any good anyway' (p396).	
		The participants found it difficult to build a relationship with healthcare professionals, particularly nursing staff, and felt that they used confidentiality as a means of avoiding engagement with carers (p396).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		Participants understood that there may be some information which had to remain private between the patient and professional; however, it was felt there was still a great deal more which could and should be shared with them.	
		Mary stated: 'If I rang the ward, I was told that his care can't be discussed over the phone because of confidentiality they said I could be anyone ringing up but I didn't want to know all the details, I didn't want to know what he (husband) discussed in confidence, I just wanted to know that he was safe. I needed peace of mind because I just felt so away from everything' (p396).	
		Often decisions made during the hospital admission impacted upon the whole family, and consequently, the participants felt that family should be involved at the decision-making level. Unfortunately, this was not the case.	
		Rebecca said: 'I wasn't involved, I was an afterthought no one told us anything, no one rang to keep us up to date with the plan of care. I only found out that he [son] had been started on an injection when he rang to tell me that he'd had a needle in his bum How can I look after him at home if I don't know what I'm supposed to be doing?' (p397).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		A need to be recognised and valued	
		All of the participants said they needed to be recognised and valued by healthcare professionals so as to feel involved throughout their loved one's admission.	
		Jean expressed: 'As a family, we went through a really traumatic experience leading up to the crisis and afterwards and nobody ever acknowledged this.'	
		Rebecca stated: 'No one seemed to appreciate the impact his hospitalization had on me. I just wanted someone to recognize the hell that I'd gone through, to feel that someone cared about me' (p397).	
		The participants felt that their emotional problems and stress would negatively impact upon their loved one's mental health, and they looked to healthcare professionals to offer them suppor and advice.	t
		The participants also expressed the need to be recognised and valued as being a source of knowledge.	
		When participants expressed their point of view, they felt they were not valued as a resource.	
		Mary stated: 'They (professionals) should appreciate me for who I am. I'm his wife. I've lived with him for 30 years. I know him better than anybody. I'm not questioning what they do, I'm not complaining, I'm just trying to help make it easier for everybody' (p397).	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		This brought him a sense of relief and appeared to give him a feeling of value and self-worth.	
		James explains: 'The turning point for me was when "M" [community psychiatric nurse (CPN)] visited the ward. He spent ages with me and it gave me the chance to ask all the questions I'd wanted to ask since my wife first went into hospital It was like a dam had been building inside me and M had knocked it down. It was such a relief. I had so many fears and they just came flooding out, but it felt good and I felt so much better afterwards' (p397).	
		A desire for partnership	
		Despite feeling a sense of powerlessness and isolation, all of the participants expressed a desire to work in partnership with healthcare professionals.	
		It was felt that this would improve the carer experience of acute psychiatric hospitals and increase their sense of involvement in the care package.	
		Jean explained: 'It's about working together, the team knowing that I have valuable things to contribute and vice versa, because we all want the same at the end of the day' (p397).	
		It was not currently felt that professionals did work in partnership with them.	
		Rebecca stated: I'd like to be valued as someone who can contribute to my son's care. For that to happen, the attitude of	

Wilkinson C, McAndrew S (2008) 'I'm not an outsider, I'm his mother!' A phenomenological enquiry into carer experiences of exclusion from acute psychiatric settings. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing 17: 392–401

Research aims	Population	Findings	Quality assessment
		the nurses and doctors has to change from "they know best". I have so much to contribute, but it's as though by asking me what I think it's challenging their knowledge and know how and it's just silly. They're professionals in mental health. I'm a professional about my son. It needs to be about working together. It shouldn't be about us and them.'	
		James stated: 'I'd like to see more people on the ward like the CPN, more staff with his attitude. He encouraged me to be involved. I think nursing staff on the wards should be more proactive in involving family, like not waiting for people to approach them, but being more positive when talking to carers' (p398).	

Critical appraisal table

Table reporting impact study

Review Question 10: What is the impact of learning, development and training for mental health and social care staff and others involved in transitions between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Transition between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings [August 2016] 409 of 434

Ellis HA (2014) Effects of a crisis intervention team (CIT) training program upon police officers before and after crisis intervention team training. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing 28: 10–6

Ellis HA (2014) Effects of a crisis intervention team (CIT) training program upon police officers before and after crisis intervention team training. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing 28: 10–6

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
consented to participate in the study, and 25 (89%) completed both the training post-test measures. The sample was only taken from 3 municipalities out of a potential 35. (Racial/ethnic representation would have been more diversified the greater the number of municipalities.) Notably 100% of the sample had experience of people with mental illness, and 80% had arrested someone with mental illness prior to the training indicating a high rate of previous experience in this area.	within the construct of their self- efficacy. The Mental Illness Attitude Questionnaire (MIAQ), a 4-item, 4- point Likert Scale questionnaire was developed by Compton et al. (2006) and was operationally designed to measure officers' attitudes toward someone with mental illness within the construct of	Do conclusions match findings? • Yes	training intervention could have demonstrated the impact.	

Ellis HA (2014) Effects of a crisis intervention team (CIT) training program upon police officers before and after crisis intervention team training. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing 28: 10–6						
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment		
perception scores.						

Findings table

Table reporting impact study

Review Question 10: What is the impact of learning, development and training for mental health and social care staff and others involved in transitions between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Ellis HA (2014) Effects of a crisis intervention team (CIT) training program upon police officers before and after crisis intervention team training. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing 28: 10–6

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
a crisis intervention team (CIT) training would have on police officers' knowledge, perceptions, and attitudes towards people with mental illness from pre-CIT training	Participants •Professionals/practitioners Sample size • 28 police officers from 3 municipalities. Sample characteristics • Sex F % Female 5 20 Male 20 80	 Perception Mental Illness Perception Questionnaire (MIPQ); Attitude Mental Illness Attitude Questionnaire (MIAQ); Knowledge Mental Illness Knowledge Questionnaire (MIKQ). The MIKQ also contains 3 sub-scales measuring personal knowledge, inconsistent knowledge, and external knowledge. FINDINGS – EFFECT SIZES Results of knowledge, perception and attitude pre- and post-training intervention 	Internal validity • + External validity • + This is a US study but generalizable to UK context where police are involved in crisis Intervention.
• Not reported Country • Florida, US	• Ethnicity F % Black/African American 2 8 Hispanic/Latino 18 72 White/Caucasian 4 16 Missing 1 4 • Highest level of education F % Completed grade 12 2 8 Some college 10 40	MSDtp η^2 dKnowledgePre30.4614.982.841.009.25170.80Post37.0216.20916.2016.20PerceptionPre15.333.813.900.001.39811.13Post18.793.153.153.153.4181.00AttitudePre7.502.043.456.002.34181.00Post9.333.003.00Mean knowledge component scores comparison between pre- and post-training.Knowledge type MSDtp η^2 dPersonalSDtp η^2 d	

Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment
	Graduate training after collegeCurrent rankF%Police1872Sergeant520Lieutenant14Commander14Years as police officerF F %1 to 510405 to 1052010 to 151415 to 20312More than 20624Intervention•Crisis intervention team(CIT) is a systematic response intervention requiring the use of 	 7 0.551 12 d 39 0.434 70 0.628 70 0.628 scored as t-training 7.02, SD=16.2 Perception ior to the oved, M=18.79 ior to the 9.33, SD=3.00, ion to the 9.33, SD=3.00, ion to the scores all after the scores al	assessment

Ellis HA (2014) Effects of a crisis intervention team (CIT) training program upon police officers before and after crisis intervention team training

Ellis HA (2014) Effects of a crisis intervention team (CIT) training program upon police officers before and after crisis intervention team training. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing 28: 10–6

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
	communicating with mental health providers. The behavioural health crisis management techniques taught in CIT is a core requirement of psychiatric nurses. *See Table 1 for more details of an example of a standard crisis intervention team (CIT) 40-hour course. Follow-up • Outcomes captured before and after intervention (but no		
	more information given around times)		

*Table 1: Standard crisis intervention team (CIT) 40 – hour course

Summary of standard CIT 40 –	Summary of standard CIT 40 – hour
hour	course content model
class didactics model	
 Mental health disease processes Signs & symptoms of mental illness De-escalation techniques Situational role play scenarios Film vignettes Live testimonials from cit officers & consumers/families Field trips to local jails Field trips to local psychiatric facilities 	 Signs & symptoms of mental illness Schizophrenia and psychotic disorders Mood – depressive & bipolar disorders Cognitive disorders Substance abuse & co-occurring disorders Anxiety & other brain disorders – PTSD Disorders in children & adolescents Risks to self & others Psychotropic medications Involuntary treatment Community resources Community resources Needs of mental health consumers Community perspective Resiliency for the officers on how to prevent PTSD Cultural sensitivity & mental illness

Critical appraisal tables

Table reporting views studies

Review Question 10: What is the impact of learning, development and training for mental health and social care staff and others involved in transitions between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Study design & qualitative methods		Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Mixed This is a research note, rather than a fully-fledged study. How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Defensible Looks to explore multi- disciplinary perspectives so qualitative study is fitting. Is the context clearly described? • Clear Study approved by ethics committee? • Not stated How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated	Convenience sample. Researchers argue that it was preferable to work with volunteers who were willing to act as informants, as opposed to randomly selected	• Mixed Is the analysis reliable?	constituted 1 element of the interview questions. The study is	Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • A bit relevant

	et al. (2013) Integrating an evidental Health Nursing 20: 584–94	ence-based intervention in	to clinical practice: tran	sitional relationship model.
Study design & qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Qualitative data in the form of field notes, monthly summaries of significant events and changes, ward minutes of meetings, progress summary (every 6 months). Focus groups. The study was developed and implemented as action research. It used a 'delayed implementation control group design' – a program evaluation design which uses randomisation and both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? • Clear How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? • Somewhat defensible Detailed ethnographic approach was used to	 Appropriate How well was the data collection carried out? Somewhat appropriately Data was collected through various methods (focus groups, progress reports, summaries) 	 Mixed Is the analysis reliable? Reliable Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate 	The study evaluates contextual factors around development and implementation of a transitional care intervention in Canada which includes – as 1 element – educational factors, but it does not reveal the impact of this training on patient outcomes in the community. Findings are not clearly attributed to either staff or service users, even though the authors state services users were involved in the focus groups.	Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • A bit relevant

Forchuk C, Martin ML, Jensen et al. (2013) Integrating an evidence-based intervention into clinical practice: transitional relationship model. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 20: 584–94					
Study design & qualitative methods	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment	
examine strategies for introduction of best practice relating to implementing TRM in psychiatric settings. There are no measures of the impact of the training itself, but some views are given in relation to the educational modules and other aspects of staff development in relation to the TRM intervention.					
Is the context clearly described? • Clear					
Study approved by ethics committee? • Yes					
University of Western Ontario and St Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton and other research ethics boards.					
How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics? • Not stated					

	SJ, Hall C (2014) Evaluating the lursing & Mental Health Services		oport of peer support wo	orkers in the United Kingdom.
Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
Methodology • Before/after cross-sectional study Objectives of study clearly stated? • Yes Relevance to our review question(s)? • Yes Clearly specified and appropriate research design? • Yes Subjects recruited in acceptable way? • Yes Sample representative of defined population? • Partly Potential participants had to pass 2 stages of a selection process (if 'defined population' means potential peer support workers, then yes).	 Unclear The co-occurring recruitment process for the peer support roles introduced a risk of bias when responding to questions about their own qualities and their ability to perform many of the skills required for effective peer support (as people will tend to report themselves as having higher readiness to provide support if they want the role). Measurements valid? No The Nottingham Peer Support Training Evaluation Tool (NPSTET) is not a validated tool. Setting for data collection justified? Partly Pre-intervention outcomes may have been skewed as people 	adequately labelled and understandable? • N/A Appropriate choice and use of statistical methods? • Yes In-depth description of the analysis process? • Yes Quantitative data were analysed using SPSS	provided support). Recruitment for peer support worker positions occurring concurrently to data collection introduced strong risk of bias. The high pre-test scores are likely to be influenced by the participants' desire to show their suitability	Internal validity • + Is the setting similar to the UK? • Yes Is there a clear focus on mental health transitions? • Yes Is the intervention clearly relevant to RQ? • Yes Are the outcomes relevant? • Unclear External validity • +

Simpson A, Quigley J, Henry SJ, Hall C (2014) Evaluating the selection, training, and support of peer support workers in the United Kingdom	
The Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services 52: 31–40	

Study design	Data collection	Analysis & reporting	Limitations	Overall assessment
	wanted to demonstrate their suitability to peer support work.	organised and explored with NVivo 6 software.		
	Post-intervention data collection happened on final day of training with peers and trainers present – the mood may have been celebratory and affected the accuracy of their responses. All important outcomes and results considered? • Partly	Are sufficient data presented to support the findings? • Partly Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on the subject and study objectives? • Yes		
		Results can be generalised? • Partly		
		Do conclusions match findings? • Yes		

Findings tables

Table reporting views studies

Review Question 10: What is the impact of learning, development and training for mental health and social care staff and others involved in transitions between inpatient mental health settings and community or care home settings?

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
Methodology • Qualitative study Semi-structured interviews. Study aim • Multidisciplinary reflections on assessment for compulsory admission that discusses the views of approved social workers, GPs, ambulance crews, police, community psychiatrion nurses and psychiatrists. One element covered skills and training. Source of funding • Joint Research Board of St Bartholomew's Hospital, London. Country • UK One NHS trust in London. Ar area of social deprivation and ethnic diversity. Psychiatric services are provided by 4 sectorised, multidisciplinary community	Convenience sample, interviewees were volunteers. Doctors n=8; approved social workers n=5*; community psychiatric; nurses n=5; ambulance personnel n=5; police n=8 Total n=31 * Note that this role (ASW) no longer exists. Since 2007 approved mental health professionals (AMHPs) have taken over this role and the responsibility of assessments for compulsory admission. Sample size • 31 professionals Sample characteristics • Not reported Intervention	 RQ10 TRAINING SKILLS AND TRAINING Skills used by the 8 doctors to secure a smooth admission can be broadly grouped into team skills (working together with the ASWs); assessment skills (e.g. risk); building relationships (with family and patient) and using persuasion. Given that the ASW sets up the assessment for compulsory admission it is not surprising that 4 ASWs spoke of organisational skills first – asking people to attend, briefing them prior to the assessment and keeping them informed throughout. Four of the ASWs spoke of the need for 'clear and honest communication' (p965) with the patient. 'Explaining what you're doing and why' (p966). Ambulance crews used reassurance, patience, explaining what is going to happen, building trust (through eye contact and negotiation). WHERE THE SKILLS ARE LEARNT Medical school training on assessment skills were seen as being very poor. Communication skills workshops were largely dismissed: 'Teaching on communication courses was very helpful – in how not to do it!' (p966). Three doctors spoke of the skills as being part of their personality, another explained that he applied the principles of treating others as he would like to be treated himself. Most 	As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • - Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • A bit relevant

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
mental health teams and their acute wards, spread over 2 hospitals.	for compulsory admission.	of the doctors explained that there was 'nothing like experience', 3 suggested that doctors should shadow more experienced staff so they could learn by watching and modelling. ASWS learned by watching and observation. The majority felt that they learned by experience: 'On the job, no question' (p966). Observing how others managed assessment and sharing stories with other staff were seen as a successful way of learning techniques.	
		Three community psychiatric nurses (CPNs) felt that their training had not prepared them well for real situations, with 1 saying 'the CPN course didn't train me for this' (p.966) and another explaining that previously they would not have needed to know about this process (prior to the creation of multidisciplinary teams). However, these 3 CPNs also felt that training could never prepare you completely. Another suggested nurses observing compulsory admissions as ASW trainees do.	
		All 5 ambulance crew felt there was very little training on mental health issues in the basic training. Three remembered a session on the MHA. 'A nurse came and gave a brief chat – law stuff'(p966), another commented that learning was mostly experiential.	
		Police officers reported having almost no formal training in dealing with mentally ill people. Instead they use 'tact, persuasion, officer safety' to try and ensure as smooth a	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		section and transportation as possible' (p967). One officer said he learnt a lot from talking to doctors and nurses, and knew to turn his radio off and take his hat off when dealing with someone with mental health problems.	
		DISCUSSION Although the required skills were clearly identified by all groups, formal training for managing assessment was seen to be absent or rated as poor by nearly all respondents. Interprofessional training and observing others with more experience were suggested modes of learning about the process during training. (NB although 1 drawback is that a doctor commented that they avoided overcrowding during the process.)	

Forchuk C, Martin ML, Jensen, et al. (2013) Integrating an evidence-based intervention into clinical practice: transitional	relationship model.
Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 20: 584–94	

Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment
Methodology	Sample size	Outcomes 1 (qualitative)	As far as can be
• Qualitative study Qualitative data in the form of field notes, monthly summaries of significant events and changes, ward	adopted the intervention in	Educational modules	ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted? • +

Research aims	Population	•	Overall quality assessment
study was developed and implemented as action research. It used a 'delayed implementation control group design' - a programme evaluation design which	with the implementation strategies suggestion by the A wards); 10 C wards (implemented last, with the implementation strategies suggested by the B wards). Variety of wards participated: admission, addictions, dual diagnosis and forensics. 200 people took part in the focus groups (staff and patients) Intervention • Implementation of the Transitional Relationship Model (TRM) during psychiatric hospital stay the hospital clinical staff member who has a therapeutic relationship with the client remains involved following hospital discharge until the client establishes a therapeutic relationship with a community care provider. Peer support is also offered	faster implementation with successive sets of wards. Education modules developed based on feedback from A and B wards: HOSPITAL STAFF TRAINING TOPICS - Introduction to transitional relationship model and best practices - Therapeutic relationships - Bridging and peer support specialists - Therapeutic boundaries - Transitional discharge planning - Telephone practice - Bridging safely - Bridging and crisis intervention - Partners and resources	Relevance to the MH transitions guideline • A bit relevant

Forchuk C, Martin ML, Jensen, et al. (2013) Integrating an evidence-based intervention into clinical practice: transitional relationship model.	٦
Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 20: 584–94	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
- What are the facilitators	health services who is	off the wards for a full day workshop.	
and barriers to implementing TRM? Source of funding • Funding councils The Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). Country • Canada	 community and who has specialised training in peer support. The 3 basic assumptions of TRM are: People heal in relationships (including staff and peer relationships). Transitions in care are vulnerable periods for individuals with mental illness. A network of relationships provided during transitional periods assists in recovery (p585) 	As a result full day workshops and online modules were recommended to the C wards.	
		At 1 C ward hospital staff complained of 14 annual online modules which they deemed too much. This highlights how quickly modes of learning can become unfeasible or unwarranted depending on other circumstances. Interactive workshops continued to be valued.	
		On-ward champions	
		A wards recommended having on-ward champions - designated people whom staff could go to with questions or concerns. Having a key person to help staff with negotiating for resources, staffing changes to cover a home visit, and offer assistance to help with the process was seen as a major focal point for implementation.	
		B and C wards went on to include champions as part of their implementation strategy and staff comments confirmed their importance.	
		Consistent factors of focus groups	
		- Importance of developing and maintaining multiple relationships	
		- Ensuring meaningful participation throughout the process	
		- Working with consumer groups about how to find sources	

Forchuk C, Martin ML, Jensen, et al. (2013) Integrating an evidence-based intervention into clinical practice: transitional relationship model. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 20: 584–94

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
		for the peer support	
		 Workload and work environment issues 	
		Focus groups differences between wards.	
		Strategies that each of the wards found useful varied depending on the specific ward environment.	
		• What can be improved Drowning, swamped, overwhelmed staff described being on 'educational overload' with the number of mandatory educational programs and the introduction of new projects which were introduced in addition to the study. They felt overwhelmed by the amount of paperwork and described feeling 'burnt-out' (p590).	

Simpson A, Quigley J, Henry SJ, Hall C (2014) Evaluating the selection, training, and support of peer support workers in the United Kingdom.	
The Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services 52: 31–40	

Research aims	Population		Overall quality assessment
	Participants		Internal validity
 Cross-sectional study 	 Peer Support Workers 	The Nottingham Peer Support Training Evaluation Tool	• +
Study aim	Sample size	(NPSTET) 27 Likert-style items requiring respondents to	External validity
To report the findings of an		reflect on their own qualities and assess their ability to perform	• +
evaluation of a peer support	completed training. All had	many of the skills required for effective peer support. There	

Simpson A, Quigley J, Henry SJ, Hall C (2014) Evaluating the selection, training, and support of peer support workers in the United Kingdom. The Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services 52: 31–40

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
workers (PSWs) were ecruited to provide support alongside conventional aftercare to service users discharged from acute osychiatric units in London. _inked study Simpson et al. (2014) Results of a pilot randomised controlled trial to measure he clinical and cost effectiveness of peer support n increasing hope and quality of life in mental health patients discharged from	inpatient mental health care and a variety of relevant experience from informally supporting friends and family, attending support groups, specific mentoring, befriending, and support work. Due to problems with criminal record checks and people becoming unwell 8 PSWs provided support to service users as part of the study. Sample characteristics	are also 6 open-ended questions. FINDINGS – EFFECT SIZES • NPSTET Scores "pre-training scores on the adapted NPSTET were high, with an average of 6 (of a possible 7) across all questions, indicating that even before the training, trainees tended to 'agree' with most statements. There was no change post-training; the average score remained 6 of 7, indicating that trainees still tended to 'agree' with most statements. Eight peer support trainees' overall scores increased (although none significantly), whereas 5 peer support trainees' overall scores decreased (although none significantly). Five trainees obtained significantly different scores between the pre- and post-training questionnaires and another almost reached significance, but the overall difference between pre- and post-training scores was not significant across trainees, t(12) = -0.508, p=0.620)" (p6).	
the Discharge review area, however as it scored (-/-) it was not presented to the GC. Source of funding National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its	men n=9 women n=4 • Ethnicity 5 White British 4 Black Caribbean 3 Black British 3 'other white' 2 British Bangladeshi • Sample age Of the 13 trainees who	Qualitative findings • What works well The PSWs reported very positive experiences, with the combination of training and working boosting their self-esteem and confidence. The quality of relationships with their service user peers varied but most experienced productive, rewarding peer support interactions. Numerous examples of supportive emotional and	

Simpson A, Quigley J, Henry SJ, Hall C (2014) Evaluating the selection, training, and support of peer support workers in the United Kingdom.	٦
The Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services 52: 31–40	

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
RfPB) Programme Country UK East London.	Ages ranged from 32 to 55 (mean age of 42 (SD = 6.71)). Intervention • Peer support training was delivered over 12 weekly 1 day sessions from April to July 2010. The aim of the training was to prepare and support individuals to support people being discharged from hospital with their recovery through providing practical and emotional support and promoting hope during the transitional period from psychiatric hospital to home. Peer support was provided	practical therapeutic relationships emerged alongside evidence of constructive developments on the part of their peers. PSWs described an increased understanding of their own recovery processes and positive effects on their wellbeing. However, many expressed their frustration that the 6 week training period was too short. Various aspects of the training were mentioned and recalled positively and many people spoke of it providing them with confidence. Role-plays in particular were seen as 1 of the most useful parts of training. PSWs were positive about the support they received from the peer support coordinator, and the importance of a supportive, proactive PSC was recognised by all. Many PSWs reported feeling that the PSC created a safe environment: 'Supervision covered everything – that was really important'; 'She made you feel wanted, valuable' (p7). What can be improved Many of the PSWs did not believe they had been adequately prepared for the strong emotions they would experience generally, and particularly in relation to the ending of the peer support relationship after 6 weeks. 'I found ending the relationship terribly hard, more help on endings and how to deal with people' (p6).	

Simpson A, Quigley J, Henry SJ, Hall C (2014) Evaluating the selection, training, and support of peer support workers in the United Kingdom. The Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services 52: 31–40

Research aims	Population	Findings	Overall quality assessment
	personal development – developing key skills and preparation for peer support role including communication training, and active, attentive listening. Each session began and ended with a brief check in to establish how the participants were feeling (relating to the training or otherwise). This helped to develop a sense of containment and safety. *See Table 2 for more information on training programme.		

Table 2: Peer supporter training programme outline

Session	Торіс
1	Exploring peer support
2	Tree of Life*

3	Recovery and personal recovery plans	
4	Recovery and personal recovery plans (continued)	
5	Confidentiality, information-sharing, exploring boundaries	
6	Active listening skills	
7	Social inclusion	
8	Appreciating differences	
9	Responding to distressing situations	
10	Revisiting boundaries and difficult situations – participants' choice	
11	Preparing to be a peer supporter	
12	Endings and celebrations	
* The Tree of Life method. The training sessions were experiential, drawing on participants' individual and shared experiences of mental distress, service use, and recovery. This included using the Tree of Life methodology, a narrative approach that enables people to speak about their lives in ways that make them stronger. It involves people drawing their own 'tree of life' in which they get to speak of their 'roots' (where they come from), their skills and knowledge, their hopes and dreams, as well as the special people in their lives. The participants then join their trees into a 'forest of life' and, in groups, discuss some of the 'storms' that affect their lives and ways they respond to these storms and protect themselves and each other (p4).		