

Harmful Sexual Behaviour Committee meeting

Date: 24th November 2015

Location: Avonmouth House,

6 Avonmouth Street,

London SE1 6NX

Minutes: Confirmed

Committee members present:	
Sharon Hopkins	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Derek Ward	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Stephen Barry	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Ruiraidh Milne	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Chris Weston	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Tammy Banks	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Maeve Murphy	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
John Macleod	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Ann Nevinson	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Charles Penn	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Stuart Lines	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Abdullah Kraam	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Simon Hackett	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
David McDaid	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Jon Brown	(Present for notes 1 – 6)

In attendance:		
Rachel Kettle	Technical Lead	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Una Canning	Senior Technical Analyst	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Alastair Fischer	Economist	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Jennifer Heaton	Assistant Project Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Emma Belton	Senior Evaluation Officer, NSPCC	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Rowena Rossiter	Consultant Clinical Psychologist/Research	(Present for notes 1 – 6)

	Fellow, Tizard Centre	
Julie Henniker	AIM	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Clive Pritchard	Principal Economist, Optimity Matrix	(Present for notes 1 – 6)

Observers:	
Beth Shaw	(Present for notes 1 – 6)
Justine Karpusheff	(Present for notes 1 – 6)

Apologies:	
Rachel Chapman	Committee member

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the 5th meeting on harmful sexual behaviour. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

The Chair noted that there were 5 new members joining the committee as core members. These include:

- 1. Rachel Chapman Public Health Specialty Registrar (apologies given)
- 2. Ruaraidh Milne Professorial Fellow in Public Health
- 3. Charles Penn Independent Consultant
- 4. Derek Ward Professor of Public Health & Public Health Advisory Derbyshire CCGs
- 5. Chris Weston Consultant in Public Health

No members of the public asked to observe the meeting.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included:

- Hear additional expert testimony and agree whether this results in new recommendations or amendments to previous ones
 - There will be service user testimony in the afternoon and to enable the most comfortable environment this will be in a separate room with only a small number of attendees there will be a live telephone conference so that the committee can all hear the discussion.
 - The Chair asked 1 topic expert and 1 core member to volunteer to take part and possibly ask questions of clarity?
- Receive the final presentation on the economic modelling, and take account

- of that in agreeing recommendations
- Consider the evidence around the intervention recommendations as there is a
 mixture of opinion on the committee based on comments received and there
 needs to be a consensus. This includes considering the UK context and the
 matter of the in train RCT on some elements of the guideline interventions
- Review and update draft recommendations to date.

Introductions

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Harmful Sexual Behaviour.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the last meeting.

Name	Job title, organisation	Declarations of Interest, date declared	Type of interest	Decision taken
Derek Ward	Professor of Public Health & Public Health Advisor - Derbyshire CCGs	I work for an academic institution that may undertake research of relevance to NICE Public Health advice. My organisation could be involved in research or in making applications for grants related to topics that may be discussed.	Non specific. Non-personal financial	No action needed
Derek Ward	Professor of Public Health & Public Health Advisor - Derbyshire CCGs	As an advisor to the 4 Derbyshire Clinical Commissioning Groups I may be asked for professional advice in topics that may be	Non specific. Non-personal financial	No action needed

		discussed by the committee.		
Derek Ward	Professor of Public Health & Public Health Advisor - Derbyshire CCGs	As a researcher and public health physician, I advocate for improvements in health and reduction of health inequalities, including for children.	Non specific. Non-personal financial	No action needed
Derek Ward	Professor of Public Health & Public Health Advisor - Derbyshire CCGs	As a previous Director of Public Health I was responsible for commissioning aspects of sexual health services.	Non specific. Non-personal financial	No action needed
Simon Hackett	Principal/ Professor of Applied Social Sciences, Durham University	I am an active researcher and academic working in the field of child maltreatment, including the issue of HSB. I therefore have a professional stake, and publish research findings, in this field.	Non specific. Non-personal financial	No action needed
Simon Hackett	Principal/ Professor of Applied Social Sciences,	I am a member of the team contracted to provide the	Non specific. Non-personal financial	No action needed

PHAC F – Harmful Sexual Behaviour minutes – meeting 13 & 14

	Durham University	evidence review on HSB to the PHAC on HSB. I do not benefit financially from funding provided by NICE for the evidence review.		
Simon Hackett	Principal/ Professor of Applied Social Sciences, Durham University	I am elected Chair of the National Organisation for the Treatment of Abusers, a charity and professional association of 1200 members across the UK and ROI who work to prevent sexual abuse by improving professional responses and policy to sexual offenders, including children and young people with HSB. The work of the Committee is therefore of direct interest to NOTA as an organisation and to its members. My position as Chair of NOTA is unpaid and voluntary.	Non-specific. Non-personal financial	It was agreed that Simon would be able to comment on the review evidence, given his considerable academic subject expertise, but will not be able to formulate recommendations. He can suggest, advocate or support the evidence if not any given recommendation.

Stephen	Service		
Barry	Manager/Principal		
	Highly Specialist		
	Clinician, North		
	Bristol NHS Trust		
	Be Safe Service		

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer's team noted that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

3. Minutes of last meeting

The Chair asked the Committee if it wanted any changes made to the minutes of the last meeting. The Committee agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.

The Chair confirmed that all matters arising had been completed or were in hand.

4. Presentations

The Chair introduced Emma Belton, NSPCC, who gave a presentation on NSPCC preliminary results of evaluation study.

Emma Belton gave a presentation on the preliminary results of the NSPCC Turn the page manualised programme evaluation study in those who display harmful sexual behaviour.

The Committee then were given an opportunity to ask for points of clarification. The Chair thanked Emma for her presentation.

The Chair introduced Rowena Rossiter, Tizzard Centre, University of Kent, who gave a presentation on children and young people with learning difficulties who display harmful sexual behaviours.

Rowena Rossiter gave expert testimony on children and young people with learning disabilities who display harmful sexual behaviour.

The Committee then were given an opportunity to ask for points of clarification. The Chair thanked Rowena for her presentation.

The Chair introduced Julie Henniker, AIM, who gave a presentation on the AIM Project.

Julie Henniker gave a presentation on the use of AIM on children and young people

who display harmful sexual behaviour.

The Committee then were given an opportunity to ask for points of clarification. The Chair thanked Julie for her presentation.

The Chair gave the opportunity for PHAC members to ask questions on the presentations they had just heard.

The PHAC noted any areas they wish to revisit in discussion later in the day or the following day for revising draft recommendations or areas where they may wish to make new recommendations based on the testimony

The Chair introduced Alastair Fischer, NICE, who gave a presentation on economic issues.

Alastair re-iterated the approach to economic evaluation, in the context of the information we currently have for this topic

The Chair thanked Alastair for his presentation

The Chair introduced Clive Pritchard, Optimity Matrix, who gave a presentation on the final economic model.

The Committee then were given an opportunity to ask for points of clarification. The Chair thanked Clive for his presentation.

The Chair introduced a former service user who talked about their experience of using HSB services. The service user spoke to the Committee via teleconference.

The Chair thanked the service user for their contribution.

The Chair introduced Paul Murtagh who is the service user advocate who works with will now testimony on HSB services from his perspective.

The Chair thanked Paul for his contribution.

5. Questions and discussion

The Committee reviewed recommendations and PHAC comments received and focused on interventions i.e. MST, CBT and other interventions.

The Committee then revised and made recommendations.

6. Other issues

The Chair summarised the discussions and agreed actions from the day and areas for consideration in advance of the following day's meeting

Date of next meeting: 25/11/2015

Location of next meeting: Avonmouth House,

6 Avonmouth Street,

London SE1 6NX

Harmful Sexual Behaviour Committee meeting

Date: 25th November 2015

Location: Avonmouth House,

6 Avonmouth Street, London SE1 6NX

Minutes: Draft

Committee members present:		
Sharon Hopkins	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Derek Ward	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Stephen Barry	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Ruiraidh Milne	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Chris Weston	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Tammy Banks	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Maeve Murphy	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
John Macleod	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Ann Nevinson	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Charles Penn	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Simon Hackett	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	
Jon Brown	(Present for notes 1 – 8)	

In attendance:		
Rachel Kettle	Technical Lead	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Una Canning	Senior Technical Advisor	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Jennifer Heaton	Assistant Project Manager	(Present for notes 1 – 8)
Joanna Perkins	Pathway Editor	(Present for notes 1 – 8)

Observers:	
Nick Staples	(Present for notes 1 – 8)

Apologies:	
Rachel Chapman	Committee member

Stuart Lines	Committee member
Abdullah Kraam	Committee member
David McDaid	Committee member

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the 5th meeting on harmful sexual behaviour. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

No members of the public asked to observe the meeting.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included:

- Consider the evidence around the intervention recommendations as there is a
 mixture of opinion on the committee based on comments received and there
 needs to be a consensus. This includes considering the UK context and the
 matter of the in train RCT on some elements of the guideline interventions
- Review and update draft recommendations to date.

Introductions

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Harmful Sexual Behaviour.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the last meeting.

No new interests were declared.

3. Revising and making recommendations

The Committee continued their discussion of some of the recommendations, focussing on interventions again, to enable a focus and further consideration of the tiered response and approach needed for a holistic service.

The Committee revised and made recommendations as part of their discussion.

4. Presentations

The Chair introduced Joanna Perkins who gave a brief presentation on the pathways and editing process.

Joanna asked the Committee for volunteers to help with the pathways work. Rachel highlighted this at the last meeting

ACTION: NICE to contact volunteers regarding the details of the pathways

The Chair gave the opportunity for PHAC members to ask questions on the pathways and editing process.

5. Reviewing other sections of the guideline

Due to time constraints, it was agreed that the Committee would discuss other sections of the guideline via email.

ACTION: NICE to send an email to the Committee regarding the gaps for prioritisation for research recommendation.

6. Summary of day

The Chair summarised the discussions and agreed actions from the day.

7. Next Steps - PHAC comments and consultation questions

Rachel Kettle briefly covered the key dates in the timeline and also asked for guidance on and volunteers to review the registered stakeholders and to identify missing organisations and to help formulate questions for service user consultation.

8. Close

The Chair thanked the Committee and the NICE team for their contribution over the 2 day meeting.