Economic plan

This plan identifies the areas prioritised for economic modelling. The final analysis may differ from those described below. The rationale for any differences will be explained in the guideline.

1 Guideline

Cerebral palsy: the diagnosis and management of cerebral palsy in children and

young people

2 List of modelling questions

| Review questions by scope area | In children and young people with cerebral palsy, what interventions are cost effective in managing poor saliva control (drooling)? |
|---|--|
| Population | Children and young people with cerebral palsy that have difficulties with saliva control that result in drooling |
| Interventions and comparators considered for inclusion | transdermal hyoscine hydrobromide (scopolamine hydrobromide); Botulinum toxin type A (Botox); Glycopyrronium bromide (Glycopyrrolate); Submandibular duct rerouting surgery. |
| Perspective | NHS and Personal Social Services (PSS) |
| Outcomes | Quality adjusted life years (QALYs) |
| Type of analysis | Cost-utility analysis |
| Issues to note | The results are presented in term of the QALY gain necessary to determine the additional (incremental) benefit that would be needed for each of the interventions to be considered as the most cost-effective option and in terms of incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICER) where effectiveness is informed by hypothetical health state utilities on a 9-point drooling score |

| Review questions by scope area | In children and young people with cerebral palsy, what interventions are cost effective in preventing reduced bone mineral density (BMD) and low-impact fractures? |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Population | Three populations were considered: |
| | children and young people with cerebral palsy at increased risk of reduced BMD; |
| | children and young people with cerebral palsy with proven osteoporosis; |
| | children and young people with cerebral palsy who use standing frames as part of their postural management programme. |
| Interventions and comparators | The interventions and comparators included in the model depend on the population. |

| considered for | Population 1: |
|------------------|--|
| inclusion | Active exercise; |
| | Weight bearing activity; |
| | Vitamin D; |
| | Vitamin D plus calcium; |
| | Vibration therapy; |
| | "No treatment". |
| | Population 2: |
| | Pamidronate disodium (bisphosphonate); |
| | Risedronate sodium (bisphosphonate) plus vitamin D; |
| | • Vitamin D; |
| | Vitamin D plus calcium. |
| | Population 3: |
| | • Standing frame; |
| | "No treatment". |
| Perspective | NHS and PSS |
| Outcomes | Quality adjusted life years |
| Type of analysis | Cost-utility analysis |
| Issues to note | Intermediate outcomes (BMD) are transformed into final outcomes (risk of fracture) associated with a treatment cost and disutility. Clinical effectiveness data was unavailable for calcium without |
| | vitamin D and for risedronate sodium without vitamin D. |