

Antimicrobial Stewardship: changing risk-related behaviours in the general population Committee meeting

Date: 11/11/2015

Location: Prince of Wales Room, British Council Offices, Spring Gardens, London SW1A 2BU

Minutes: Final

| Committee members present: | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Susan Jebb (Chair) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Toby Prevost (Core member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Joyce Rothschild (Core member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Amanda Sowden (Core member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Lucy Yardley (Core member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Clodna McNulty (Topic member) | Present for items 3 – 17 |
| Jacqueline Sneddon (Topic member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Susan Walsh (Topic member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Chris Packham (Vice chair Core member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Simon Howard (topic member) | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Anna Sallis (topic member) | Present for items 1 - 17 |

| In attendance: | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Caroline Mulvihill | Technical lead, NICE | Present for notes 1 – 17 |
| Alastair Fischer | Health economist, NICE | Present for items 4 – 12 |
| Simon Ellis | Associate Director NICE | Present for items 1 – 6 , 12 - 17 |
| Patricia Mountain | Project manager NICE | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Karen Peploe | Senior technical analyst NICE | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Ian Wall | Assistant Project manager, NICE | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Gary Shield | Costing Lead, NICE | Present for items 1 – 17 |
| Anna Brett | Implementation lead, NICE | Present for items 1 – 5, 7 - 17 |

| | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Apologies: | |
| Alison Lloyd | Core committee member |
| Mireia Jofre Bonet | Core committee member |
| Gillian Orrow | Topic committee member |

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the 18th PHAC A meeting and the third on Antimicrobial stewardship. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

No members of the public had registered to attend this meeting.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received, and noted that these include topic members. These apologies are noted above.

This is the last PHAC A meeting that Amanda Sowden will attend as she has agreed to join a different PHAC committee. Alison Lloyd has resigned from the Committee. The Chair thanked both for their valuable contribution over the last 2 years.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included:

- To consider the comments submitted by stakeholders in response to the recent consultation on the draft guideline
- To consider an update to the Equality Impact Assessment, following stakeholder consultation
- To discuss the key issues to be addressed in updating the guideline following the consultation
- To revise the draft recommendations, research recommendations and other guideline sections
- To discuss issues relating to the implementation, costing and publication of the guideline with colleagues from the respective NICE teams

2. Confirmation of matters under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair asked all members to verbally declare any conflicts of interest that have arisen since the last meeting.

The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and a matter of public record.

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the

matter under discussion was antimicrobial stewardship: changing risk-related behaviours in the general population and members should declare any potential conflicts of interests in relation to 'Antimicrobial Stewardship' and any of the following areas under discussion:

- Interventions to reduce the misuse of antimicrobials, particularly antibiotics
- Interventions that are delivered at the population, community, organisation or individual level in any setting and by any mode of delivery
- Education for the general public about the type of healthcare they should ask for to prevent or treat infectious diseases
- Education for the general public about how to reduce the spread of antimicrobial resistance at home and in the community.

Lucy Yardley – non-personal financial specific interest

Development of web based PRIMIT intervention for handwashing.

This was a clarification of an earlier declaration made on a 2014 paper she was co- author for published in the Lancet 2014. The effect of web-based training in communication skills and an interactive patient booklet and the use of a CRP point of care test in acute respiratory tract infection (RTI): a multi-national cluster randomised factorial controlled trial. Lancet, 382 (9899) 1175-1182.)

The Chair and NICE agreed that the interests declared may prevent Lucy Yardley from fully participating in the meeting.

Previous declarations of interest can be viewed on the NICE website here <http://www.nice.org.uk/get-involved/meetings-in-public/public-health-advisory-committees>

No interests were declared by NICE staff.

3. Minutes of the last meeting.

These were agreed to be an accurate record of the meeting. All actions had been completed.

4. Equality impact assessment

The NICE equality scheme sets out how it meets its obligations under the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act 1998, under which NICE has a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations. NICE guidelines also address health inequalities arising from socioeconomic factors and inequities in access for

disadvantaged groups.

For all NICE guidelines, an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) form is completed. This exercise entails considering not just equality in relation to groups sharing the protected characteristics under the Equality Act, but also health inequalities arising from socioeconomic factors and with inequities in access to services or care for certain disadvantaged groups.

Karen Peploe, senior technical analyst for this guideline, summarised the stakeholder comments that were submitted during the consultation on the draft guideline that related to the above equality issues in order that PHAC could consider these when redrafting the guideline. There was time for questions and discussion.

5. Overview of stakeholder comments from consultation

Karen Peploe presented an overview of the stakeholder comments submitted in response to the consultation with registered stakeholders during 6 week consultation period 8th September 2015 to 20th October 2015.

This included: the type of organisation that commented and an overview of their comments. The Chair asked the PHAC to consider these comments when revising the recommendations and other sections of the draft guideline. There was time for questions and discussion.

6. Key issues to address in revising the guideline

The Chair asked the PHAC to discuss the overall implications of the consultation comments and the equality impact assessment.

There was time for questions and discussion.

7, 8. Revising recommendations

The PHAC worked through the draft recommendations in plenary session, considering the specific points made by stakeholders on the draft guideline and the Equality Impact Assessment, revising and refining the recommendations.

Action point: NICE team to revise the draft recommendations as advised by the PHAC and circulate for final comment

9. Overview of ongoing NIHR related research

For each guideline NICE receives a list of potentially related current National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) related research. Caroline Mulvihill informed the PHAC of the research that seems directly relevant to this guideline.

The Chair asked the PHAC to consider this information when agreeing the research

recommendations.

10. Revising research recommendations and evidence gaps

The PHAC reviewed the research recommendations and discussed and agreed the final revisions, in light of the stakeholder comments received.

Action: NICE team to revise the research recommendations as advised by the PHAC and circulate for final comment

11. Revising other sections of the guideline.

The PHAC reviewed the other sections of the guideline (Committee discussion; context and the glossary) and discussed and agreed the final revisions, in light of the stakeholder comments received.

Action: NICE team to revise the other sections of the guideline as advised by the PHAC and circulate for final comment

12. Implementation of the guideline

Anna Brett, implementation lead for this guideline asked for examples for the NICE Shared learning Database. In addition, NICE has an endorsement process for products that NICE endorses as helpful to the implementation of its guidelines. Two examples were discussed.

Action – Implementation to consider the 2 examples raised for the NICE endorsement process

Volunteers from the PHAC team were requested.

Action: Implementation to contact PHAC A topic members

13. Costing tool

Gary Shield, costing lead at NICE asked for examples of interventions that would inform the costings statement.

Action: Costings team to contact PHAC members for examples of interventions

14. Communications

The outline of the plans for the publication of the final guideline was tabled. Members of the PHAC were invited to volunteer.

Action: NICE communication lead to contact PHAC members

15. Summary of the day

The Chair summarised the main decisions and actions agreed.

16. Next steps

These dates are subject to change.

- Guidance executive signs off final guideline – 23rd February 2016
- Any changes to the guideline are made post Guidance Executive and last final edit
- Guideline published March 2016

8. Any other business

The Chair thanked the PHAC for all their contributions and work on this guideline.

The Chair thanked the NICE staff for all their work on this guideline, past and present.

Members were reminded that all expenses need to be submitted within 3 months

Date of next meeting of PHAC A: Wednesday 2nd December 2015

Location of next meeting: TBC