

# NICE Collaborating Centre for Social Care

## Child abuse and neglect Guideline Committee Meeting 4

Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> May 2015  
SCIE Offices, Shared Meeting Space, 206 Marylebone Rd, London NW1 6AQ

### *Minutes*

<b>Guideline Development Group Members</b>	
<b>Name</b>	<b>Role</b>
Danya Glaser (DGI)	Vice Chair (Consultant child and adolescent psychiatrist)
Corinne May-Chahal (CM-C)	GDG Chair
Jade Blake (JBI)	Service user/carer
June Leat (JL)	Service user/carer
Kate McKay (KM)	Senior medical officer
Leila Stroud (LS)	Head of safeguarding
Linda Jenkins (LJ)	Named nurse for safeguarding children
Mark Anslow (MA)	Service manager
Vimal Tiwari (VT)	General Practitioner
Maureen Giles (MG)	Education consultant
Annie Soffe (AS)	Service user/carer
Debbie Eaton (DE)	Service manager

The NCCSC is a collaboration led by SCIE



Matthew Turner (MTu)	Local safeguarding children board coordinator
Stephen Briggs (SB)	Researcher

<b>Other invitees</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Role</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Beth Anderson (BA)	Senior Lead	NCCSC (SCIE)
Palida Teelucknavan (PT)	Project Manager	NCCSC (SCIE)
Hannah Roscoe (HR)	Lead Systematic Reviewer	NCCSC (SCIE)
Irene Kwan (IK)	Systematic Reviewer	NCCSC (EPPI)
Naila Dracup (ND)	Information Specialist	NCCSC (SCIE)
Ted Barker (TB)	Research Assistant	NCCSC (SCIE)
David Gough (DGo)	Topic Adviser	NCCSC (EPPI)
Jenni Beecham (JBe)	Economist	NCCSC (PSSRU)
Marija Trachtenberg (MTr)	Economist	NCCSC (PSSRU)
Jane Silvester (JS)	Associate Director	NICE

<b>Apologies</b>	
<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Corinne May-Chahal (CM-C)	GDG Chair
Gillian Finch (GF)	Service user/carer
John Devaney (JDe)	Social worker
Joanne Davies (JDa)	Care worker

<b>No</b>	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>NICE website</b>	<b>Action/Owner</b>
1.	<b>Welcome, introductions and declarations of interest</b>	<p>DGI was appointed Vice Chair to the Guideline Committee (GC) and chaired the meeting on behalf of C-MC.</p> <p>Maureen Giles joined the GC as a new member and was welcomed to her first meeting.</p> <p>Apologies were noted as above and there were no new declarations of</p>	

		interests.	
2.	<b>Minutes and matters arising</b>	<p>The committee reviewed the minutes of the last meeting and suggested that the police/legal representative (pg. 3) should be amended to read as: police and/or legal representative.</p> <p>PT informed the committee that the contract for the work on the young people's external reference group was awarded to Against Violence and Abuse (AVA). HR and PT will work with the contractor to plan the external reference group meetings.</p> <p>DGI informed the committee that a Police representative was appointed as a co-optee member to the Guideline Committee and the NCC will decide which meetings he will attend.</p> <p>The committee discussed having a legal representative on the group and it was agreed that it would be more beneficial to include this role as an expert testimony witness and/or another co-opted member. CM-C will discuss this further with the NCC.</p> <p>DGI also identified a gap in the role of a family justice representative and will forward a contact to the NCC.</p>	<p><b>Action 1: PT to amend minutes.</b></p> <p><b>Action 2: Clinical sub-group pending until evidence for review question is sought.</b></p> <p><b>Action 3: CM-C and NCC to discuss missing legal representation further and decide how this will be fulfilled (e.g. expert witness or co-opted member?).</b></p> <p><b>Action 4: DGI to forward contact details to NCC of a family justice representative.</b></p>
3.	<b>Review of the evidence: What is the impact of interventions aiming to provide early help to children and young people identified as at risk of child abuse and neglect? (Q.9)</b>	<p>HR presented the evidence (including evidence statements) on Early Help which included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included papers</li> <li>• Interventions identified</li> <li>• Quality of evidence</li> <li>• Considerations</li> <li>• Evidence statements</li> </ul>	
4.	<b>Economic evidence</b>	<p>MTr provided an overview of the:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Quality of economic evaluations</li> <li>2. Evidence statements</li> <li>3. Narrative summaries</li> </ol>	<b>Action 3: NCC to discuss with CM-C options for economic evaluation.</b>

		MTr proposed some options for carrying out the economic evaluations and the committee agreed that further discussions were needed around this before a decision could be made.	
5.	<b>Drafting recommendations</b>	<p>BA went over the process on how to draft recommendations.</p> <p>The committee then separated into 2 groups to draft recommendations based on the evidence statements.</p> <p>The drafted recommendations were then shared with the rest of the group in the plenary session.</p>	
6.	<b>Recommendations plenary</b>	<p>The facilitators from each group fed back the drafted recommendations and suggested edits to each recommendation.</p> <p>There will be an opportunity to re-visit and review drafted recommendations later on down the line at future meetings.</p>	
7.	<b>Gaps in the evidence &amp; expert witness</b>	The committee discussed the gaps in the evidence and suggested some contacts as expert witnesses. It was agreed that the committee will re-visit this again at the next meeting to discuss further.	
8.	<b>Ideas for implementation</b>	There were no suggestions for implementation at this stage.	
9.	<b>Young people's External Reference Group</b>	The committee suggested some useful topic areas that could be brought to the External Reference Group once convened.	
10.	<b>AOB</b>	None.	