# **Cataracts Guideline Committee – development**

**Date:** 26/04/2016 – 27/04/16

Location: Derwent, NICE London

Minutes: Final



Committee members present:	
Mike Burdon (Chair) (MB)	Present for all
Kamal Bishai (KB)	Present for all
Emily Lam (EL)	Present for all
Yvonne Needham (YN)	Present for all
Geoff Roberson (GR)	Present for all
Paul Rosen (PR)	Present for all
Jennifer Yip (JY)	Present for all
Arthur Brill (AB)	Present for all
Janet Marsden (JM)	Present until item 4 day 2
Gillian Rudduck(GR)	Present from item 3
Nick Wilson-Holt (NWH)	Present for all
Mary Russell (MR)	Present for all
Keith Allman (KA)	Present for day 2 from part way through item 2

In attendance:		
Chris Gibbons (CG)	ICG – Health Economist	Present for all
Wes Hubbard (WH)	NICE – Information Specialist	Present for all
Daniel Davies	ICG – Project Manager	Present for all
Joshua Pink (JP)	ICG – Technical Advisor	Present for all
Sue Spiers	ICG – Associate Director	Present for all

Observing:	
None	

# 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

Apologies:	
Ruth O'Dea	Co-opted member
Michael Glowala	Co-opted member
Gabriel Rogers (GR)	ICG – Technical Advisor (HE)

#### 1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the seventh meeting on Cataracts. The committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

Apologies were noted, as recorded above.

The Chair asked each attendee to declare any new conflicts.

• YN declared an interest regarding her presenting of a session on revalidation for nurses for Bayer on three study days.

No other new conflicts were declared. It was agreed that all committee members were eligible to attend the committee meeting and contribute to the discussions and drafting of any recommendations.

The minutes were reviewed from GComm 6 and agreed to be an accurate record.

The Chair provided a brief overview and objectives of the day highlighting the information that would be discussed.

2. Queries and Clarifications - Review Question 6: What are the most appropriate formulae to optimise intraocular lens biometry calculation?

JP clarified with the committee some aspects of the recommendations that have been made by the committee, and provided feedback from the editor. The committee made and agreed alterations.

# 3. Review Question 3: What are the indicators for referral for cataract surgery?

SR recapped the search protocol and presented the evidence for Review Question 3 for the committee's consideration.

4. Review Question 4: What are the optimal clinical thresholds in terms of severity and impairment for referral for cataract surgery?

SR recapped the search protocol and presented the evidence for question 4 for the committee's consideration.

# 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

# 5. Review Question 3 & 4 - Health Economic modelling discussion

CG updated the committee on the health economic model, and the committee discussed the options for moving this forward.

Following the evidence presentations and health economic discussion the committee drafted a recommendation, and two draft research recommendations.

# 7. Next steps

The NICE team agreed to circulate the day's presentations to the committee

The committee agreed to begin the next day's session at 09.30 instead of 10.00.

# 1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to day two of the seventh meeting on Cataracts.

The Chair provided a brief overview and objectives of the day highlighting the information that would be discussed.

# 2. Review Question 24: What is the effectiveness of bilateral simultaneous (rapid sequential) cataract surgery compared with unilateral eye surgery?

JP recapped the search protocol and presented the evidence for Review Question 24.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted two recommendations.

3. Review Question 25: What is the appropriate timing of second eye surgery, taking into account issues such as refractive power after first eye surgery?

JP noted that limited clinical evidence had been available to present for the meeting.

CG provided information on the health economics behind the question.

The committee agreed that there was not enough evidence to make a recommendation at this point, and it was agreed to discuss Review Question 25 at a later meeting. The committee asked the NICE team to modify the protocol for RQ 25 to include never performing second eye surgery, and have thereby asked for evidence to be presented on the effectiveness of ever performing second eye surgery.

# 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

#### 4. Review Protocols

Protocols for the following review questions were agreed:

- RQ 35: Who and in what setting should carry out the postoperative assessment?
- RQ36: What issues should be considered when organising postoperative care?
- RQ37: What is the appropriate time to assess outcomes in the postoperative period?
- RQ38: If the postoperative assessment and care are undertaken outside of the hospital, how should outcomes between surgical units and these providers be effectively communicated?

The NICE team agreed to send the full list of Review Protocols to the committee for information.

#### 5. Next steps

The committee asked for the full list of meetings dates and venues to be circulated, to ensure all dates were correct.

CG informed the committee about proposed Health Economic training held by NICE. Dates would be circulated with the post-meeting papers for committee members to express an interest against.

The chair thanked the group for their contributions and confirmed the next meeting will be held in Manchester.

Date of next meeting:	Monday 6 June 2016, 10.00am-5.00pm
Location of next meeting:	NICE offices, Manchester