### **Cataracts Guideline Committee – development**

**Date:** 19/10/2016 - 20/10/2016

Location: Derwent, NICE London

Minutes: Final



Committee members prese		
	Day 1	Day 2
Mike Burdon (Chair) (MB)	Present for all	Present for all
Keith Allman (KA)	Present for all	Present until partway through item 4
Kamal Bishai (KB)	Present for all	Present for all
Arthur Brill (AB)	Present for all	Present for all
Emily Lam (EL)	Present for all	Present for all
Yvonne Needham (YN)	Present for all	Present for all
Geoff Roberson (GR)	Apologies	Present for all
Paul Rosen (PR)	Present for all	Apologies
Gillian Rudduck (GR)	Present for all	Present for all
Nick Wilson-Holt (NWH)	Present for all	Present for all
Jennifer Yip (JY)	Present for all	Present for all

In attendance:		
	Day 1	Day 2
Andrew Gyton (AG)	Apologies	Present for all
Commissioning Manager		
Aimely Lee (AL)	Present for items 1-3	Apologies
Technical Analyst		
Adam O'Keefe (AO)	Present for all	Present for all
Project Manager		
Joshua Pink (JP)	Present for all	Present for all
Technical Advisor		
Stephen Robinson (SR)	Present for all	Present for all
Technical Analyst		
Gabriel Rogers (GR)	Present for all	Present for all
Technical Advisor (HE)		

Apologies:	
Janet Marsden (JM)	Committee member
Michael Glowala (MG)	Co-opted member
Ruth O'Dea (RO)	Co-opted member
Mary Russell (MR)	Co-opted member
Sue Ellerby (SE)	ICG – Consultant Clinical Adviser
Gareth Franklin (GF)	NICE – Senior Medicines Advisor
Chris Gibbons (CG)	ICG – Health Economist
Wes Hubbard (WH)	NICE – Information Specialist
Sue Spiers (SS)	ICG – Associate Director

#### Day 1

#### 1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to day one of the eleventh meeting on Cataracts.

Apologies were noted, as recorded above.

The Chair asked each attendee to declare any new conflicts. The following potential conflicts were declared:

Attendee	Declaration	Action
КВ	Member of NICE glaucoma guideline committee	Declare and participate
PR	Consultancy work undertaken for Thea Pharmaceuticals (Declared at a previous meeting)	Declare and participate

The Chair advised that neither of these declarations prevented the members from fully participating in the meeting. It was agreed that all committee members were eligible to attend the committee meeting and contribute to the discussions and drafting of any recommendations.

The minutes were reviewed from GComm 9 and agreed to be an accurate record.

The Chair provided a brief overview and objectives of the day highlighting the information that would be discussed.

#### 2. Accelerated guideline development

AO reminded the group that since the last meeting, development of the Cataracts guideline has been prioritised and will be subject to an accelerated timeline. AO introduced AL as an additional technical analyst assigned to the guideline and outlined the key dates of the development phase, including a reminder of the remaining committee meeting dates.

JP advised that a possible consequence of the accelerated timeline is that studies scheduled to be published that might have been included can now not be included. GR advised that where the ICG team are aware of such studies, data can be requested if available but recommendations cannot be made based on unpublished data.

3.

Review question 15: What is the effectiveness of sedation as an adjunct to local anaesthesia during cataract surgery?

Review question 16: What is the effectiveness of hyaluronidase as an adjunct to local anaesthesia during cataract surgery?

Review question 17: In what circumstances should general anaesthesia be considered in phacoemulsification cataract surgery?

SR provided a recap of the review protocols and presented the evidence for review questions 15 and 16 for the committee's consideration. There was no health economics evidence available for these questions but JP reminded the committee of their responsibility to consider NICE's resource impact assessment policy when drafting recommendations.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted two recommendations.

SR then provided a recap of the review protocol for question 17, informed the committee that no relevant evidence had been identified and provided an explanation for the reasons studies had been excluded. There was also no health economics evidence available for these questions.

The committee acknowledged that a lack of evidence in this area was to be expected. Given the lack of evidence the committee agreed that they were unable to draft any recommendations for review question 17.

## 4. Review question 14: What is the optimal type and administration of anaesthesia for cataract surgery?

This item was discussed at the beginning of the day.

KA provided a brief clinical introduction to the topic for the benefit of committee

members and attendees.

SR provided a recap of the review protocol and presented the evidence for question 14 for the committee's consideration. There was no available health economics evidence for the question.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted three recommendations.

#### 5. Health Economics update

GR presented the committee with an update on development of the proposed economic model for the guideline.

The committee discussed the economic model and agreed to review the preliminary outputs of the model at the next meeting.

#### 6. Next steps

The Chair thanked the group for their contributions and confirmed that Day 2 would commence at 09:30.

#### Day 2

#### 1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to day 2 of the eleventh meeting on Cataracts.

Apologies were noted, as recorded above.

The Chair asked each attendee to declare any new conflicts.

No new conflicts were declared. It was agreed that all committee members were eligible to attend the committee meeting and contribute to the discussions and drafting of any recommendations.

The Chair provided a brief overview and objectives of the day highlighting the information that would be discussed.

#### 2. Post GComm 9 discussion

The committee reviewed the recommendations drafted at the previous meeting in light of additional studies becoming available and edited some of the

recommendations as a result.

# 3. Review question 23: What is the effectiveness of interventions (for example, prophylactic laser surgery) to prevent retinal detachment in people with myopia undergoing cataract surgery?

This item was discussed at the beginning of the day.

NWH provided a brief clinical introduction to the topic for the benefit of committee members and attendees.

SR provided a recap of the review protocol for question 23. SR informed the committee that no relevant evidence had been identified and provided an explanation for the reasons studies had been excluded. There was also no health economics evidence available for this question.

The committee acknowledged the lack of evidence in this area was to be expected but discussed their own current clinical practice and drafted one recommendation based on their experience and expertise.

## 4. Review question 21: What is the optimal strategy to facilitate simultaneous distance and near vision following cataract surgery?

NWH provided a brief clinical introduction to the topic for the benefit of committee members and attendees.

SR provided a recap of the review protocol and presented the evidence for question 21 for the committee's consideration. There was no available health economics evidence for the question.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted three recommendations and one research recommendation.

#### 5. Next steps

The committee reviewed the list of recommendations drafted to date and briefly discussed the review questions scheduled for discussion at the next meeting.

The Chair thanked the group for their contributions and confirmed the next meeting will be held in Manchester.

**Date of next meeting:** Thursday 15 and Friday 16 December 2016

**Location of next meeting:** Day 1: NICE offices, Manchester; Day 2: Manchester

Central Library