

Macular Degeneration Guideline Committee - development

Date: Wednesday 6th September 2017

Location: NICE offices, Manchester

Minutes: Final

| Committee members present: | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Waqaar Shah (Chair) | Present for all items |
| Katy Barnard (KB) | Present for all items |
| Nick Beare (NB) | Present for all items |
| Cathie Burke (CB) | Present for all items |
| Jennifer Evans (JE) | Present for all items |
| Alexander Foss (AF) | Present for all items |
| Mary Freeman (MF) | Present for all items |
| Carol McCletchie (CM) | Present for all items |
| Ellen Rule (ER) | Present from item 3 |
| Mary-Ann Sherratt (MS) | Present for all items |
| Cathy Yelf (CY) | Present for all items |

| In attendance: | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Mark Baker (MB) | Present for item 3 |
| NICE – Centre for Guidelines Director | Via telephone |
| Louisa Crossley (LC) | Present until item 6 |
| NICE – Senior Medicines Advisor | |
| Jamie Elvidge (JE) | Present for all items |
| NICE – Technical Analyst (HE) | |
| Rupert Franklin (RF) | Present from item 5 |
| NICE – Commissioning Manager | |
| Annette Mead (AM) | Present until partway |
| NICE – Editor | through item 6 |

| Leslie Hayes (LH) | Present for all items |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| NICE – Business Analyst | |
| Yolanda Martinez (YM) | Present for all items |
| NICE – Technical Analyst | |
| Vonda Murray (VM) | Present for all items |
| NICE – Project Manager | |
| Dave Nicholls (DN) | Present for all items |
| NICE – Information Specialist | |
| Gabriel Rogers (GR) | Present for all items |
| NICE – Technical Advisor (HE) | |
| Sue Spiers (SS) | Present for all items |
| NICE – Associate Director | |

| Observers: | |
|--|----------------------|
| Susannah Moon – NICE Programme Manager | Present until item 4 |
| Judith Hughes – PhD student | Present until item 5 |

| Apologies: | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Andy Charley | Committee member |
| Elizabeth Wick (EW) | Committee member |

Item 1 Introductions, apologies, minutes, DOI and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the post consultation meeting on age-related macular degeneration (AMD). The committee members, attendees and observers introduced themselves.

Apologies were noted, as recorded above. It was noted that RF, AM, ER,

and would join the meeting the meeting later in the day.

The Chair invited each attendee to declare any new conflicts since the previous meeting. The following conflicts were noted;

| Attendee | Declaration | Action |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Jennifer Evans (JE) | Will be presenting at the Glaucoma Society. No honorarium | Declare and participate |
| Mary-Ann Sherratt (MS) | Approached by Roche to be a dry AMD advisor on a committee, declined the offer | Declare and participate |

Having reviewed all previous and new declarations of interest it was agreed that all committee members were eligible to attend the committee meeting and contribute to the discussions and drafting of any recommendations.

The minutes were reviewed from GComm 13; 13th February 2017. It was noted that Joshua Pink had been omitted from the attendance list. The minutes were agreed to be an accurate record subject to the amendment noted above.

Update the attendance list to include Joshua Pink on the attendance list VM

The Chair provided an overview of the objectives for the day highlighting the information that would be discussed.

Item 2 Stakeholder consultation comments and committee discussion

GR lead a discussion with the committee on the stakeholder comments on anti-oxidants following consultation. The committee agreed the

responses to the stakeholder comments and any necessary changes to the guideline and / or recommendations.

Item 3

Discussion with Mark Baker

MB joined the committee to provide an overview of the process and decisions relating to the inclusion of recommendations relating to anti-VEGF treatment in the draft guideline submitted for consultation. He clarified that the initial recommendations which had been submitted by the committee had been reviewed by NICE's lawyers, and discussed with representatives from the Department of Health and the MHRA. Consequently, a number of changes were made to the recommendations to ensure that they accurately reflected the current legal position regarding bevacizumab.

MB further addressed concerns raised by individual committee members in responding to stakeholder consultation comments and explained the process which will be followed in the lead up to final approval of the guideline by NICE's Guidance Executive ahead of publication.

The committee then agreed the responses to the stakeholder comments and any necessary changes to the guideline and / or recommendations on anti-VEGF treatment

Item 4

Stakeholder consultation comments and committee discussion

GR and JE presented new health economic evidence on anti-VEGF treatment, and highlighted how this effects the economic model for macular degeneration.

LUNCH

Item 5

Stakeholder consultation comments and committee discussion

JE continued to present the health economic data on anti-VEGF treatment. The committee discussed the evidence.

GR led a discussion with the committee on the stakeholder comments on anti-VEGF following consultation. The committee agreed the responses to the stakeholder comments and any necessary changes to the guideline

and / or recommendations.

Item 6

Stakeholder consultation comments and committee discussion

GR led a discussion with the committee on the stakeholder comments in the following areas:

- time to referral
- switching anti-VEGF treatment
- PDT

The committee agreed the responses to the stakeholder comments and any necessary changes to the guideline and / or recommendations.

Item 7

Publication process

Due to time constraints, this item was rescheduled for a further postconsultation meeting.

Item 8

Summary and next steps

The Chair briefly summarised the discussions from the meeting, and thanked the committee members for their input.

The Chair consulted with the committee about the opportunity to have a further half day meeting to continue the discussion of the consultation comments. The committee agreed that this would be useful.

VM to arrange a half day post consultation meeting