1.0.7 DOC EIA

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

NICE guidelines

Equality impact assessment

Oesophago-gastric cancer: assessment and management in adults

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

- 1.0 Scope: before consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the draft scope for consultation)
 - 1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the draft scope, before consultation, and, if so, what are they?

During the scoping workshop, stakeholders identified people with Barratt's Oesophagus and South East Asian populations were identified as being at higher risk of developing oesophago-gastric cancer.

1.2 What is the preliminary view on the extent to which these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? For example, if population groups, treatments or settings are excluded from the scope, are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?

Whilst having Barratt's Oesophagus or being from a South East Asian population may increase a person's risk of developing oesophago-gastric cancer, once cancer has developed the treatment would not be any different for these groups. Therefore they do not need specific consideration during development of the guideline.

1.0.7 DOC EIA

Completed by Developer	John Graham
Date	11/11/2015
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead	Sarah Willett
Date	18/11/2015
2.0 Scope: after consultation (To be comp with the final scope)	eleted by the developer and submitted
2.1 Have any potential equality issues been so, what are they?	identified during consultation, and, if
No	
2.2 Have any changes to the scope been made potential equality issues?	de as a result of consultation to highlight
No – no equality issues were raised	

1.0.7 DOC EIA

2.3 Is the primary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disabilityrelated communication need?

If so, is an alternative version of the 'Information for the Public' document recommended?

If so, which alternative version is recommended?

The alternative versions available are:

- large font or audio versions for a population with sight loss;
- British Sign Language videos for a population who are deaf from birth;
- 'Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive impairment.

N I	_
11	$\boldsymbol{}$
1 1	

Updated by Developer Andrew Champion

Date 22/01/2016

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead Sarah Willett

Date 24/02/2016