National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Draft

Heavy menstrual bleeding (update)

Evidence tables

NICE guideline TBC Evidence reviews August 2017

Draft for Consultation

These evidence reviews were developed by National Guideline Alliance



Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the <u>Welsh Government</u>, <u>Scottish Government</u>, and <u>Northern Ireland Executive</u>. All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

Copyright

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2017. All rights reserved. NICE copyright material can be downloaded for private research and study, and may be reproduced for educational and not-for-profit purposes. No reproduction by or for commercial organisations, or for commercial purposes, is allowed without the written permission of NICE.

ISBN:

Contents

Diagnosis of heavy menstrual bleeding	5
What is the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound and hysteroscopy for investigation of women presenting with heavy menstrual bleeding?	5
What is the most clinically effective imaging strategy for diagnosing adenomyosis in women with heavy menstrual bleeding?	118
Management of heavy menstrual bleeding	174
What is the most clinically and cost-effective treatment (pharmacological/surgical) for heavy menstrual bleeding in women with: suspected or confirmed fibroids; suspected or confirmed adenomyosis; no identified pathology?	174

1 Diagnosis of heavy menstrual bleeding

2 What is the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound and hysteroscopy for investigation of women

3 presenting with heavy menstrual bleeding?

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments				
Full citation Dasgupta, S., Chakrabort	Sample size n=274 Only 252 patients	Tests Index test 2D	After thorough history 2 taking, clinical 9 examination and exclusion	Results 2D-TVUS versus h guided biopsy) a) Polyp	nistopatholog	y (hysterc	scopy-	Limitations QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:			
y, B., Karim, R., Aich, R. K., Mitra, P. K.,	analysed, 4 patients refused to undergo invasive procedure, 3	transvagi nal ultras ound scan (2D-	vaginal speculum & cervical Pap smear examination, informed written consent was taken from every eligible patient.	Polyp in index	Confirmed polyp 8*	No polyp 11*	Tot al	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or			
Ghosh, T. K., Abnormal uterine	patients didn't allow hysteroscopy and D&C report showed	TVUS)			TVUS) Referenc	Transvaginal ultrasonography was done followed by SIS in the same sitting. Endometrial	test No polyp in index test		210*	23	random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported)
bleeding in peri- menopaus al age: Diagnostic options and	inadequate sample in 9 patients. Ovarian neoplasm was detected in 6 patients during	e standard Histopat hology (hystero scopy	cavity was examined from internal Os to fundus in both saggital and coronal planes. On the following day, hysteroscopy	Total Sensitivity 25% (9 Specificity 95.2% (25 2	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes			

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and resu	ılts			Comments		
Obstetrics and Gynecolog	the investigation. These 22 patients were excluded from the result analysis. Characteristics Mean age of the	guided biopsy)	operator was unaware about the findings of the previous operators. All the tissue samples were examined by competent pathologists and the findings were recorded as	Negative likelihood ratio 0.78 (95% CI 0.63-0.96*) All the repetent Prevalence of polyps 12.3%				Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: The proportion of		
510607 Country/ie s where	study population was 46.2 years.		Transvaginal ultrasound &		Confirmed fibroids	No fibroids	Tot al	included patients with HMB is unclear. All included women had		
the study was carried	88.5% of the patients were	sonography: (Philips, image point-7.5 MHz endocavitary probe) Endometrial thickness –	sonography: (Philips, image point-7.5 MHz endocavitary probe)	image point-7.5 MHz endocavitary probe) Endometrial thickness –	Fibroids in index test	30*	5*	35	abnormal uterine bleeding but not specified further. The majority of women for	
out	multipara and 92% had history of				No fibroids in index test	16*	201*	21 7	a low socio-economic class where obesity and hypertension are	
Study type	normal delivery. 38.89%		basal layers of both anterior and posterior	Total	46	206	252	2 rare.		
Prospectiv e cohort study Aim of the study	Pathological Endometrial abnormalities: -4.76% Endometritis		differentiation - Homogenous, heterogeneous and cystic. Polyp - Intrauterine local	Sensitivity 65.7% (95% CI 49.8%-78.7%*) Specificity 97.4% (95% CI 94.4%-99.2%*) Positive likelihood ratio 25.3 (95% CI 11.02-65.51*) Negative likelihood ratio 0.35 (95% CI 0.24-0.53*)				Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Diagnostic accuracy of transvagin al sonograph y, saline infusion sonograph y and dilatation and curettage were compared with hysterosco pic guided biopsy to determine the etiology. Study dates September	-13.49% Simple Hyperplasia -7.14% Cystic Adenomatous hyperplasia -5.15% Atypical hyperplasia -12.30% Polyp -18.25% Fibroid Inclusion Criteria Patients belonging to the age group 40- 50 years with AUB of at least 3 months duration Exclusion Criteria 1) Uterus >12 weeks size		relative to myometrium but echogenicity similar to endometrium, connected to endometrial wall by a stalk or forms an acute angle with the underlying endometrium. Fibroid- Heterogeneous echo texture, hypo echoic relative to myometrium with a broad base or forms an obtuse or right angle with the endometrial wall. Abnormal / pathological TVUS or SIS – double layered endometrial thickness >=5mm or presence of polyp / fibroid. Hysteroscopy guided biopsy: (rigid 30-degree hysteroscope and diagnostic sheath of 5mm diameter, Storz Endoscopy)	Prevalence of fibroids 18.25% *Calculated by the NGA technical team Numbers for "abnormal uterine pathology" (AUP) also reported, however, the definition of AUP not defined clearly, however, does not seem to mean 'any abnormal finding'. Due to unclarity it was not included in the review.	Index Test A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: The experience of the gynaecologist not reported Are there concerns

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
2005- January 2008 Source of funding Not reported	 2) Hormone therapy within the last 6 months 3) Previous abnormal endometrial biopsy 4) +ve pregnancy test 5) cervical pathology on speculum examination 6) abnormal cervical pap smear 7) history/evidence suggestive of active pelvic infection 		 Hyperplasia - Thick hyper- vascular friable mucosa, mammilated or polypoid in appearance, further classified as simple or atypical by the pathologists. Polyp - Soft intra-cavitary formation, which was easily mobilized and covered by mucosa with endometrial gland and no distended vascular network. Fibroid - Firm intra- cavitary formation with thin endometrial lining and superficial large blood vessels. Endometritis - Irregular proliferation of glands and the presence of chronic inflammatory cells e.g. plasma cells, macrophages, and lymphocyte in the 		that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern Reference Standard A. Risk of Bias Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			endometrial stroma.		regarding applicability
			Dilatation & Curettage: Polyp- soft mobile intracavitary mass with narrow base and hyperplastic endometrium. Fibroid- firm immobile mass with broad base distorting the shape of endometrial cavity. Abnormal/ pathological D & C - presence of hyperplasia, polyp or fibroid.		Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes Were all patients included in the analysis? No, 22/274 dropped out but all were explained.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
								Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation	N=81	Index	Ultrasound	2D-TVUS versus	s histopathology	(hysteros	сору-	QUADAS-2 a quality
Alborzi, S.,	Characteristics	test	Transvaginal	guided biopsies)				assessment tool for
Parsanezh ad, M. E.,		2D	ultrasound (HS-2000,	a) Polyps				diagnostic accuracy studies:
Mahmoodi	Not reported.	transvagi	Honda-el., Toyohashi,		Confirmed	No		Patient Selection
an, N.,	Inclusion	nal ultrasou	Japan) was performed using a 7.5 MHz		polyp	polyp	Total	Palient Selection
Alborzi, S., Alborzi,	Criteria	nd scan	transvaginal transducer by					A. Risk of Bias
M., Sonohyste	uterine TVUS)	TVUS)	the first author. The midline echo	Polyp in index test	7*	3*	10	Was a consecutive or random sample of
rography versus transvagin	bleeding. Exclusion Criteria	Referenc	endometrial lining with well defined margins and without echo dense foci	No polyp in index test	25*	46*	71	patients enrolled? Unclear. (Not reported.) Was a case-control
al sonograph	Not reported.	e standard		Total	32	49	81	
y for screening of patients with		Histopat hological specime	was found. Polyps were defined as echogenic masses with	Sensitivity 21.9% Specificity 93.8%				design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments			
abnormal uterine bleeding, Internation al Journal of Gynaecolo gy & Obstetrics, 96, 20-3,		n from hysteros copy had a non homogenous texture. Location of myoma and its relation to endometrium and myometrium was detected. Histopathology		Positive likelihood ratio* 3.5 (95% CI 1.00-12.81*) Negative likelihood ratio* 0.8 (95% CI 0.68-1.01*) Prevalence of polyps 39.5%* b) Myomas			exclusions? Unclear. (No exclusions were reported. Inclusion criteria was not clearly defined either.) Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk.				
2007 Ref Id			During hysteroscopy the uterine cavity was evaluated and findings		Confirmed myoma	No myoma	Total	B. Concerns regarding			
400994 Country/ie			myomas and polyps were removed by a		myomas and polyps were	Myoma in index test	21*	2*	22	applicability: The proportion of	
s where the study was carried			resectoscope (Karl Storz GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany). In all patients	No myoma in index test	2*	56*	59	included patients with HMB is unclear. All included women had			
out			anterior and posterior wall	Total	23	58	81	abnormal uterine bleeding but not specified further.			
Study type Prospectiv			of the uterus was resected and sent to a pathologist for the diagnosis of adenomyosis.	 Sensitivity 90.9%# (95% CI 72%-99%) Specificity 96.6% (95% CI 88%-100%) Positive likelihood ratio* 26.7 (95% CI 6.74-103.93*) 				Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question?			
								patients do not n			

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
study					High concern.
Aim of the study				Prevalence of myoma 28.4%*	Index Test
То					A. Risk of Bias
compare the accuracy				*Calculated by the NGA technical team.	Were the index test results interpreted
of saline infusion				#Discrepancy in the reporting of sensitivity in the paper and according to the calculations made by the NGA technical team using the 2x2 reported in the	without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?
sonohyster ography (SIS) with				paper. The sensitivity for TVUS detecting myomas according to the 2x2 table is 91.3%	Yes
transvagin al ultrasound					If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified?
scan (TVUS) for the screening of causes					Yes. (Diagnostic criteria for polyp and myoma in the index test was defined.)
of abnormal uterine bleeding in outpatients					Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?
					Low risk.
Study					B. Concerns

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
dates June 2004 to November 2005. Source of					regarding applicability: The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or what was the level of experience of the
funding					person(s).
Not reported					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?
					Unclear concern.
					Reference Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition?
					Yes
					Were the reference standard results

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests?
					Yes
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias?
					Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question?
					Low concern.
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard?
					Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard?
					Yes.
					Were all patients included in the analysis?
					Yes.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias?
					Low risk.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	sults			Comments
								Other information Inclusion and exclusion criteria were not reported clearly. Characteristics of the included patients were not reported.
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation Abd Elkhalek, Y. I.,	n=50 Characteristics		Hysteroscopy was done using a panoramic hysteroscopy length of 25cm, diameter of 4mm,	Hysteroscopy (und (curettage of endo a) Endometrial pol	QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:			
Kamel, O. F., El- Sabaa, H., Compariso		copy (under	having an outer sheeth about 5.5mm and a fiber optic lens of 30 degrees.		Confirmed polyp or	No polyp or fibroid	Tota I	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias
n of 3 dimension al	80% multiparous		The proceedure was done in dorsal lithotomy position after evacuation of the	Polyp or fibroid in index test	28	0	28	Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?
sonohyster ography and hysterosco	15 patients were diabetic, 18 patients were	e standard	urinary bladder. The uterine cavity was systematically explored by the hysteroscopy in order	No polyp or fibroid in index test	4	18	22	Unknown (not reported) Was a case-control

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	sults			Comments
py in Premenop ausal women with abnormal uterine bleeding, Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine. (no pagination) , 2016, Date of Publication , 2016 Ref Id 510879 Country/ie s where the study was carried	hypertensive. 73% suffered from menorrhagia and 15% from menometrorrha gia and 12% from metorrhagia Inclusion Criteria Abnormal uterine bleeding in premenapausal women, along with normal endometrial lining on 2D transvaginal ultrasound. Exclusion Criteria Patients with	Histopat hological specime n from curettag e of endomet rium	to identify the anomaly in the uterine walls and/or the right and left tubal ostia. The shape, size as well as the site of any pathology intrauterine were detected, and histopathology was done by curettage of the endometrium. The histopathological results were compared individually with the 3D- SIS as well as the hysteroscopy results. All cases were done under general anesthesia.	Total Sensitivity 87.5% (Specificity 100% (Positive likelihood Negative likelihood Prevalence of poly *Calculated by the	95% CI 81.5%- ratio* Inf d ratio* 0.12 (9 ps or fibroids 6	100%*) 95% CI 0.0	50	design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: All women with abnormal uterine bleeding, however, the proportion of women with HMB not reported; all women already undergone TVUS with no abnormal findings. Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
out	bleeding				Index Test
Egypt	secondary to obvious pelvic				A. Risk of Bias
Study type	infection, cervical and adnexal				Were the index test results interpreted
Prospectiv e cohort study	pathologies were excluded.				without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?
Aim of the study					Yes If a threshold was used, was it pre-
Compare the diagnostic accuracy of 3D sonohyster					specified? Unclear (diagnostic criteria not reported for hysteroscopy only for SIS)
ography and hysterosco py in detection					Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Unclear risk
of intracavitar y uterine abnormaliti es in					B. Concerns regarding applicability: The paper did not report

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
premenap ausal women with abnormal uterine					who interpreted the index test or what was the level of experience of the person(s).
bleeding.					Are there concerns that the index test, its
Study dates					interpretation differ
December 2010- October					question? Unclear concern
2014					Reference Standard
Source of funding					A. Risk of Bias
None declared					Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
								reference standard? Yes
								Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes
								Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk
								Other information
Full citation	Sample size	Tests		Results				Limitations
Abe, M., Ogawa, H., Ayhan,	n=213Index test2D-TVUSCharacteristicsTransvaginal ultrasonography was			2D-TVUS versus histopathology (simultaneuous vacuum aspiration biopsy) a) Any endometrial abnormality				QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:
A., The use of non-three-	women 39 years (38.0 +	nal ultrasou	performed on all patients on the day of admission. If the admission was during		Confirmed abnormality	No abnormality	Total	Patient Selection
layer ultrasound in biopsy	7.7), with an age range of 17-49	nd scan (2D- TVUS)	the secretory phase of the cycle or the phase was unknown because of	Abnormality in index test	139	15	154	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample of
recommen dation for premenop	147 (69%) had an endometrila pathological	Referenc e standard	abnormal bleeding, the patient was requested to attend once again during	No abnormality in index test	8	51		patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported)

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
ica	cases of hyperplasia with or without atypia, 4 cases of polyp with atypia, 106 cases of endometrial polyp, 4 cases of endometritis and 13 cases of cell cycle discrepancy. Inclusion Criteria Premenopausal status, age <50 years and the presenting symptom of	Histopat hology (simultan euous vacuum aspiratio n biopsy)	the proliferative phase of her cycle or after withdrawal bleeding induced by progestin or estrrgen/progestin, to repeat the transvaginal ultrasonography examination. Target conditions defined as 'abnormal endometrium' were endometrial carcinoma, endometrial hyperplasia with or without atypia, endometrial polyps including atypical polypoid adenomyoma and polyps with atypia, endometriosis and dysfunctional uterine bleeding. All transvaginal ultrasonography examinations were carried out by one of the authors using Sonovista-C 3000 and SSD 4000 ultrasound machines. For examinations conducted during the proliferative	Total Sensitivity 94.6% Specificity 77.2% Positive likelihood Negative likelihood Prevalence of any *Calculated by the Further results str and secretory) we considered releva defined in the pro	(95% CI 67% I ratio 4.16 (95 d ratio 0.07 (9 e ndometrial a e NGA technic ratified by cycle ere reported by int for this revi	-87%) 5% CI 2.66-6.50 95% CI 0.04-0. abnormality 69 cal team e phase (prolife y the authors b	9 % erative ut not	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Unclear (Participants with indeterminate TVUS results were excluded.) Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: The proportion of included patients with HMB is unclear. All included women had abnormal uterine bleeding but not specified further. Are there concerns

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Aim of the study Evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of our defined abnormal transvagin al ultrasonog raphic criteria, based on echo patterns and line irregularitie s for selection of premenap ausal patients with abnormal	Exclusion criteria were the presence of cervical polyps or neoplasm, the use of hormone replacement therapies and cases of transvaginal ultrasonography with indeterminate results		 phase, a three-layer pattern of normal endometrium was defined as hypoechoic endometrium, lined by a triple-line appearance with bright lines of the central ad outer basalis layers. Accordingly, we have decided that an abnormal patter is of either diffuse or focal hyperechoic texture, regardless of a three-layer, three-layer- like, or non-laminar appearance, and linear (especially in the central line) irregularities. For patients in the secretory phase or unknown phase due to irregular bleeding, a normal endometrium phase was defined as <15mm, measured by double-layered thickness in the sagittal plane. As the triple line gradually 		 that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern Index Test A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes If a threshold was used, was it prespecified? Yes Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: Level of

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
uterine			disappears and the		experience of author
bleeding			endometrium becomes		who conducted the
for			hyperechoic and thickens		test not stated.
endometri			to between 10 and 14mm,		A
al biopsy,			the measurement of		Are there concerns
and to			thickness is easy and		that the index test, its
assess the			reproducible, however, the		conduct, or
proper			evaluation for texture is		interpretation differ
timing for			difficult during the		from the review
this			secretory phase. For that		question? Unclear
procedure.			reason, an abnormal		concern
04			pattern was defined as		Reference Standard
Study			>15 in our study without		
dates			evaluating the texture. For		A. Risk of Bias
January			each patient, the cyclic		
2005-2007			phase, endometrial		Is the reference
2000 2007			thickness, presence of a		standards likely to
Source of			three-layer pattern and		correctly classify the
funding			presence of a focal or		target condition? Yes
			diffuse hyperechoic		Were the reference
None			pattern were recorded,		standard results
declared			and the data were		interpreted without
			photographed.		knowledge of the
			Listen others w		results of the index
			Histopathology		tests? Yes (examined
			Together with TVUS, a		and reviewed by 2
			simultaneous vacuum		pathologists, where
			aspiration biopsy was		as investigations

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			taken using suresample type aspiration device.		were done by gynaecologists)
			The histopathologic results of endometrial biopsy served as the reference standard. All biopsies were histopathologically examined and reviewed by two pathologists, one of whom had special training in gynaecological pathology. The histopathologists were blinded to the results of the ultrasonography. The evaluation of all material from vacuum biopsy was based on multiple serial sections. Not only the presence or absence of malignancy, but also the dating and accuracy of diagnosis of the underlying disease causing abnormal uterine bleeding was attempted. Diagnosis of the polyp		Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes Did all patients

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
			was based on the presence of spindle stroma, abnormal vascularisation patterns and glandular distortion. Dysfunctional uterine bleeding included anovulation and abnormal folliculogenesis and histologically characterized by specific histologic described elsewhere.					receive the same reference standard? Yes Were all patients included in the analysis?Yes Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation Dasgupta, S., Sharma, P. P., Mukhorioo	n=100 (Only 83 were analysed. 17 excluded - 3 refused to	test 2D		2D-TVUS versus h guided biopsy) a) Any endometria		v (hysteroscop		QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies: Patient Selection
Mukherjee, A., Ghosh,	undergo	ultrasou	haemoglobin estimation,		abnormality	abnormality	Total	A. Risk of Bias
T. K., Ultrasound assessme nt of	transvaginal imaging, 5 women refused to undergo	(2D-	those fulfilling the inclusion criteria were sent for a transvaginal ultrasound. All sonological	Any abnormality in index test	40	13	53	Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
endometri al cavity in perimenop	hysteroscopy under local anaesthesia, in	Referenc e	The endometrial cavity	No abnormality in index test	14	16	30	Unclear (not reported)
	3 women SIS produced	standard Histopat	was examined from the internal os to the fundus in	Total	54	29	83	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes
	inadequate images, and an	hology (hystero	both sagittal and coronal planes. On the following	Sensitivity 74% (9	5% CI 61%-84	!%)		Did the study avoid inappropriate
ne for abnormal uterine	adnexal mass was detected in 6 women during	scopy-	autilitieu altu a	Specificity 55% (9				exclusions? Yes
bleeding: compariso	TVUS)	biopsy)	a guided biopsy from the endometrium or any	Positive likelihood	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?			
n of diagnostic	Characteristics		endometrial lesion was performed by a consultant	negative intellitoo	Unclear risk			
accuracy of imaging with	Age years: 46.7 (43.6-49.8) BMI: 23.2 (20.4-26.0)		gynaecologist blinded to the findings of the imaging study.The ultrasound was	Prevalence of any	B. Concerns regarding applicability:			
hysterosco py-guided	Mean Parity: 1.77		performed with an image point-7.5MHz endocavity	b) Polyp	The proportion of included patients with			
biopsy, The journal of	History of caesarean		probe. Endometrial thickness was measured by measuring the thickest		Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	HMB is unclear. All included women had abnormal uterine
obstetrics and gynaecolo	section: 15 History of		part between the basal layer of both anterior and posterior uterine walls.	Polyp in index test	5	6	11	bleeding but not specified further. The
gy research, 37, 1575	hormone use: 29		Hysteroscopy was done by a rigid 30 degree hysteroscope with a	No polyp in index test	6	66	72	majority of women for a low socio-economic class. All patients
	History of		diagnostic sheath of 5mm		L	JIJ		were on oral

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
Ref Id 511120 Country/ie s where the study was carried out India Study type Prospectiv e cohort study	diabetes: 15 History of hypothyroidism: 10 Clinically enlarged uterus: 17 Duration of hormone use: 26 days (+12) Dose of hormone use: Medroxyprogest erone (mg): 22.75 (+4.5)		diameter. Guided biopsy of abnormal endometrium or from any visible endometrial mass was taken and sent for histopathological examination. Comparison between the results of a test with the standard was done by defining normal and abnormal results for each as follows: -Abnormal TVUS was defined as a double- layered endometrial thickness > 10mm or the presence of an endometrial polyn or	Total Sensitivity 45% Specificity 92% Positive likelihoo Negative likelihoo Prevalence of po c) Submucosal t	(95% CI 83%-9 od ratio 5.45 (9 ood ratio 0.6 (9 olyp 13.2% fibroid	96%) 5% CI 0.76-7 5% CI 0.34-1 No submuc	.8)	hormones. Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concerns Index Test A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes
-	Norethisterone (mg): 20.4 (+6.4) Inclusion Criteria		endometrial polyp or submucosal fibroid -Abnormal hysteroscopy and guided biopsy was defined as the presence of hyperplasia (simple or atypical), an endometrial polyp or submucosal	Submucosal fibroid in index test No submucosal	submucosal fibroid 8	osal fibroid 8	al 16	If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low
ne on the accuracy	belonging to the 40- to 55-year		fibroid and the presence of infective changes on	fibroid in index test	5	62	67	risk B. Concerns

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments		
of imaging studies performed	age group (perimenopausa I age) with a		histopathology In cases where there was	Total	13	70	83	regarding applicability:		
to detect endometri al pathology	complaint of AUB and who had been on oral		a simultaneous presence of hyperplasia along with an endometrial polyp or submucosal fibroid, the final diagnosis was	Sensitivity 61% Specificity 88% Positive likelihoo	52)	Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review				
n to	progesterone therapy for at least 10 days were included in			Negative likeliho).87)	question? Low concern				
-	the study. Exclusion Criteria		the final diagnosis was given as an endometrial polyp or submucosal fibroid, but if the	Prevalence of su		Reference Standard A. Risk of Bias Is the reference				
	Women with a uterus larger than 12 weeks		hyperplasia was atypical then the diagnosis of atypical endometrial hyperplasia was given	Sub population (28.9%), atypical endometritis (2.4	olasia	standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes				
for abnormal uterine bleeding	gestation or a previous endometrial biopsy were excluded from the study.		precedence.	*Calculated by th #Discrepancy in submucosal fibro	reporting of pro	evalence of ostic accuracy		Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes		
Study dates 1 July	Women with a cervical lesion on speculum examination,			TVUS in detectin and in the table had submucosa the paper shows (15.7%), sensitiv	in the paper. To I fibroids (16.8% s that 13 wome	ext says 14 w %) whereas ta n had fibroids	omen Ible in	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
2008-30 June 2009	abnormal pap smear, active			reported in the paper correspond with the latter reporting.	have introduced bias? Low risk
Source of funding	pelvic infection, adnexal mass on clinical				B. Concerns regarding applicability
Not reported	examination or during ultrasound scan, and a positive pregnancy test were excluded from the study.				Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
								analysis? No, 17/100 dropped out but all were explained.
								Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk
								Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation Erdem, M., Bilgin, U., Bozkurt,	In a resultIn a resultIndexErdem, M., Bilgin, U., Bozkurt, N., Erdem, A.,IndextestIndex (only n=122 were analysed, no explanation of what2DIndex testtest	procedures were performed on all study	2D-TVUS versus hysteroscopy, or h a) Endometrial po	nysterectomy)	(D&C,		QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:	
N., Erdem, A., Compariso		what transvagi same session same investion same investion	same session by the same investigator with a 5.0-MHz vaginal probe.		Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	Patient Selection
n of transvagin al	patients no included)	nd scan (2D- TVUS)	No prophylactic antibiotics or analgesics were used	Polyp in index test	43*	6*	49	Was a consecutive or random sample of
ultrasonog raphy and (saline	Characteristics	Referenc	before the procedure. After informing all the	No polyp in index test	18*	55*	73	patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported)
infusion sonohyster ography in	Age range 44.5 + 7.3 years.	Patholog	procedure their uterus	Total	61	61	122	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
postmenop ausal women with abnormal uterine bleeding,	Inclusion Criteria	specime n	measured by TVUS, endometrial thickness of 8mm or less in the proliferative phase, 14mm or less in the luteal phase or premenopausal women, and 5mm or less in the postmenopausal period, and symmetric and	Sensitivity 70.49 Specificity 90.16 Positive likelihoo Negative likelihoo Prevalence of e	,	Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear Risk B. Concerns regarding applicability:		
2007 Ref Id 511194	Premenopausal women older than 35 years of age who suffered from abnormal uterine bleeding symptoms, such		flat endometrium were considered normal. Otherwise, and endometrial thickness that measured more than the above-cited figures without showing any	b) Submucosal	Tot al	The proportion of included patients with HMB is unclear. All included women had abnormal uterine bleeding but not specified further.		
the study	as menorrhagia, metorrhagia, menometrorrha gia, and		specific focal intracavitary lesions (i.e. endometrial polyps or sub-mucous fibroids) were considered abnormal. Lesions entirel	Submucosal fibroid in index test	14	2	16	Furthermore, includes 22% of postmenopausal women
out Turkey	polymenorrhea. Bleeding after a minimum of 1 year without any		within the uterine cavity and observed as hyperechogenic were considered abnormal. Lesions entirely within the	No submucosal fibroid in index test	5	101	10 6	Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
	menstrual bleeding was considered postmenopausa I bleeding.		uterine cavity and observed as hyperechogenic were considered to be endometrial polyps, where as those related to the myometrium, reaching the cavity by pushing the endometrium and being isoechogenic or hypoechogenic when compared with myometrium, were considered to be uterine fibroids. After the women were evaluated by TVUS and SIS, surgical proceedures were performed within 1 month. The pre-diagnosis achieved with pathological results of the specimens obtained with D&C, Hysteroscopy, or	Total Sensitivity 73.74 Specificity 98.14 Positive likeliho Negative likeliho Prevalence of s c) Abnormally e hyperplasia	19 % (95% CI 48. % (95% CI 93. od ratio 37.95 ood ratio 0.27 ubmucosal fib	2%-99.8%*) (95% CI 9.37-15 (95% CI 0.13-0.5	57*)	review question? High concern. Index Test A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns regarding
hysterosco py and hysterecto my.			Hysterectomy.	Abnormal endometrial thicness in index test	3	9	12	applicability: The paper did not report who interpreted the index

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results		Comments	
Study dates July 1999 - July 2002				No abnormal endometrial thickness in index test	110	test or what was the level of experience of the person(s) Are there concerns	
Source of funding				Total 4 118	122	that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ	
Not reported.				Sensitivity 75.0% (95% CI 19.4%-9 Specificity 92.4% (95% CI 86.0%-9		from the review question? Unclear concern	
				Positive likelihood ratio 9.83 (95% 0	Positive likelihood ratio 9.83 (95% CI 4.22-22.90*)		
				Negative likelihood ratio 0.27 (95%	CI 0.05-1.48*)	A. Risk of Bias	
				Prevalence of endometrial hyperpla	ısia 3.3%	Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Unclear	
				*Calculated by the NGA technical te	eam		
						Could the reference standard, its conduct,	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					or its interpretation have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Unclear (1 month interval, possible disease progression?)
					Did all patients receive the same

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					reference standard? Yes, however different methods of obtaining the histology samples, a mix of D&C, hysteroscopy, and hysterectomy was used as reference standard.
					Were all patients included in the analysis? No, 11/133 dropped out, no explanations for the dropouts were given.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? High risk
					Other information
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes an	d results			Comments
Fakhar,S., Mahmud,G ., Validity of	Original sample N=290 However, 21	Index test Hysteros	Evaluated in gynae OPD by detailed history and clinical examination. Investigation	Hysteroscopy histopathology a) Adenocarci	(direct curett			QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:
hysterosco py and histopathol ogy in	patients were excluded due to non availability of	copy (mostly outpatie nt)	s include complete blood picture, urine analysis, random blood sugar, renal function tests, hepatitis B		adenocarcin	No adenocarcin oma	Tot al	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias
patients with menstrual irregularity,	histopathology results. Furthermore, only n=223	Referenc e standard	& C screening and routine pelvic ultrasound. Hysteroscopy was performed mostly on out	Adenocarcin oma in index test	2	4	6	Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not
Journal of Ayub Medical College, Abbottaba	analysed for sensitivity and specificity, after excluding n=46 cases of fibroid	Histopat hology (sharp curettag e)	patient basis in a separate setting reserved for the procedure. A trained staff nurse was available for assistance and	No adenocarcin oma in index test	0	217	217	reported) Was a case-control design avoided? Yes
d: JAMC, 22, 129- 132, 2010	diagnosed at hysteroscopy and no match pf	-	instrumental care. After maintaining I/V line with lactated ringer, patient put	Total	2	221	22 3	Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes
Ref Id 152826 Country/ie s where	histopathology was available for them. Characteristics		in lithotomy position. Injection sosegon 10mg and phenergan was used for sedation. Hysteroscopy was performed by using rigid	Sensitivity 100 Specificity 989 Positive likelih	92-145.91)	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns		
the study was	Mean age of the		hysteroscope—Karl storz, with 30 degree tilt and	Negative likeli		regarding applicability:		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	sults			Comments
carried out Pakistan Study type	patients was 47.1 + 8.36 years, mean age at menarche was 13.3 + 1.66, and mode of parity		5mm diagnostic sheath(Olympus office system).Normal saline with Ashcroft pressure cuff or CO2 were used as distention medium with pressure between 50-	Prevalence of ader b) Retained produc		66.2% of patients with HMB Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the		
Prospectiv e cohort study	was 4. Various indications for hysteroscopy		75mmHg & flow rate 40- 60ml/min.After performing pelvic examination,		Confirmed RPOCs	No RPOCs	Total	review question? High concern
Aim of the study	included menorrhagia (39.4%),		anterior lip of cervix was held with tenacullum. Cervical dilatation upto	RPOCs in index test	5	1	6	Index Test A. Risk of Bias
The purpose of this study	polymonorrhagi a (26.8%), irregular		hegar 6 was usually required. Light source and distention media were	No RPOCs in index test	0	217	217	Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of
was to know the different	bleeding (25.3%) and postmenapausa I bleeding		attached to hysteroscope which was then introduced into the os. Further advancement was done	Total Sensitivity 100% (§	5	218	223	the results of the reference standard? Yes
pathologie s associated with	(8.6%). Inclusion Criteria		under direct vision to perform a systematic inspection of uterine cavity including fundus, ostia, all	Specificity 100% (§	95% CI 97.5%-	-100%*)	540)	If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Unclear
menstrual irregularity which can be diagnosed	35 years of age and above presenting with menorrhagia,		the four walls and cervical canal. Hysteroscopy was followed by sharp curettage and specimen sent for histopathology.	Negative likelihood Prevalence of RPC		Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Unclear risk		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	sults			Comments
by hysterosco py and curettage	polymenorrhagi a, irregular periods or post menopausal		Patients monitored in recovery room for 4–6 hours and discharged home on the same day if	c) Polyps				B. Concerns regarding applicability:
and, to know the sensitivity,	bleeding.		there was no complication. A predesigned proforma		Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	The paper did not report who
specificity, positive predictive	Criteria Patients		was filled at the same time with detailed record of hysteroscopic findings,	Polyp in index test	21	14	35	interpreted the index test or what was the level of experience of
value and negative predictive	unwilling for the proceedure, incomplete		which were later compared with histopathology reports.	No polyp in index test	3	185	188	the person(s). Are there concerns
value of hysterosco	follow-up, positive		nistopathology reports.	Total	24	199	223	that the index test, its conduct, or
py against histopathol ogy.	pregnancy test, recent cervicitis, vaginitis, endometritis,			Sensitivity 88% (95 Specificity 93% (95		,		-interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern
Study	pelvic infection and uterine			Positive likelihood	ratio* 12.44 (95%	% CI 7.34-2	21.07)	Reference Standard
dates Not	perforation were excluded from			Negative likelihood	d ratio* 0.13 (95%	6 CI 0.05-0	.39)	A. Risk of Bias
reported Source of funding	this study.			Prevalence of poly	ps 8.6%			Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
Not reported				d) Hyperplasia				Were the reference standard results

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and r	esults			Comments
					Confirmed hyperplasia	No hyperplasia	Total	interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes
				Hyperplasia in index test	20	16	36	Could the reference standard, its conduct,
				No hyperplasia in index test	12	175	187	or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk
				Total	32	191	223	B. Concerns
				Sensitivity 63% (Specificity 92% (Positive likelihood Negative likelihood Prevalence of hyp	95% CI 86.8%- d ratio* 7.46 (9 od ratio* 0.41 (9	95.1%*) 5% CI 4.35-12 95% CI 0.26-0.	•	regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias
					Confirmed endometritis	No endometritis	otal	Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and r	results			Comments
				Endometritis in index test	19	2	21	standard? Yes Did all patients receive the same
				No endometritis in index test	27	175	202	reference standard?Yes
				Total	46	177	223	Were all patients included in the
				Specificity 99% (9 Positive likelihood 95% CI) Negative likelihoo 95% CI)	(95% CI 27.00-56.77 95% CI*) 95% CI 95.98-99.86 95% CI*) d ratio* 36.55 (95% CI 8.83-151.30 od ratio* 0.59 (95% CI 0.47-0.76			analysis? No, 67/290 dropped out/were excluded from analysis, but all dropouts were explained (see below). Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk
				Prevalence of en	dometritis	s 20.1 %		Other information
				*Calculated by th	e NGA te	chnical team		With regards to inclusion of all patients in the analysis, 21 patients were excluded due to non availability of histopathology

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					reports. A further 46 patients were excluded from the analysis due to the discrepancy between the hysteroscopy and histopathology results (46 of uterine fibroids diagnosed at hysteroscopy for which histopathology results were normal endometrium 27 cases, hyperplasia 2 cases, endometritis 8 cases, and hormonal imbalance 9 cases) - 23% of the population at the start were not included in the analysis.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Mukhopad hayay, S.,	n=85 Characteristics	Index test	In this tertiary hospital, outpatient facilities for hysteroscopy and	1) 2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysteroscopy with D&C)	QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
Bhattachar yya, S. K., Ganguly, R. P.,	Age range 40- 55 years old. 38.9% of	2D transvagi nal ultras	endometrial biopsy are not available. Therefore, all selected patients were advised to get admission	a) Hyperplasia	1	Γ	1	studies: Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias
Patra, K. K., Bhattachar	population were in the age group	ound scan (2D-	one day prior to hysteroscopy. After admission a detailed		Confirmed hyperplasia	No hyperplastia	Total	Was a consecutive or random sample of
ya, N., Barman, S. C.,	of 40-43 years and 88.23% of population were		clinical history of each patient was taken and special emphasis was	Hyperplasia in index test	7	3	10	patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported)
Comparati ve evaluation	between para 1 and 4. TVUS finding	e standard Histopat	given on mestrual history, general, systemic and gynaecological	No hyperplasia in index test	9	66	75	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes
of	showed 68.23%	hology	examinations performed.	Total	16	69	85	Did the study avoid
perimenop ausal abnormal uterine bleeding	had normal myometrium and rest had some lesion in myometrium.	(hystero scopy followed by D&C)	Lab investigations like complete haemogram, postprandial blood sugar, urea, creatinine, bleeding time, coagulation time,	Sensitivity 43.75% Specificity 95.65%	% (95% CI 19.7 % (95% CI 87.8	75-70.12%*) 32-99.09%*)		inappropriate exclusions? Unclear. Patients with varicose veins were excluded, no explanation given.
by transvagin al sonograph	Those who had anatomical lesion in the myometrium, fibroid was most		platelet count, TSH, T3, T4 estimations were performed. TVUS was performed in the radiology department. Hysteroscopy	Positive likelihood Negative likelihoo	,		,	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?
y, hysterosco py and endometri			and dilatation and currettage (DC) operation for endometrial biopsy	Prevalence of hyp	perplasia 18.82	2%		Unclear Risk B. Concerns regarding
al biopsy, Journal of	myoperplasia (7.06%) and		were performed in the OT under IV sedation.	b) Polyp				applicability:

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and I	results			Comments
the Indian Medical Associatio	adenomyosis (3.53%) Histopathologic		Endometrial biopsy was taken from apparently unhealthy area under		Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	The proportion of included patients with HMB is unclear. All
n, 105, 2007	al report showed most of		direct vision by hysteroscope. Some cases where localised	Polyp in index test	1	9	10	included women had abnormal uterine
Ref Id 511700	the women had proliferative endometrium		lesions could not be detected by hysteroscopy, fractional currettage and	No polyp in index test	1	74	75	bleeding but not specified further. Are there concerns
Country/ie s where the study was carried	(47.06%), followed by secretory endometrium (23.53%) and hyperplastic		thorough endometrial currettage were performed. Specimen was preserved in formalin solution and sent for	Total Sensitivity 50% (Specificity 89.16		,	85	that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern
out India	endometrium (11.76%)		histopathological examination.	Positive likelihoo	,		,	Index Test
Study	Inclusion Criteria			Negative likeliho	od ratio* 0.56(95% CI 0.2	29-8.24*)	A. Risk of Bias
type Prospectiv e cohort study	AUB between ages 40-55 years			Prevalence of po	olyps 2.35%			Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?
Aim of the study	Exclusion Criteria			2) Hysteroscopy (hysteroscopy wi		hology		Yes If a threshold was
To evaluate	Patients with active bleeding							used, was it pre- specified? No (not

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
of	per vagina, atrophic			a) Hyperplasia	1	1	11	reported) Could the conduct or
uterine	vaginitis, carcinoma cervix, cervical				Confirmed hyperplastia	No hyperplasia	Total	interpretation of the index test have
perimenop ausal	polyp, bleeding following trauma,			Hyperplasia in index test	7	3	10	introduced bias? High Risk
	varicoise vein who did not give consent for the			No hyperplasia in index test	7	68	75	B. Concerns regarding applicability:
greatest diagnostic	study were excluded.			Total	14	71	85	The paper did not report who
accuracy with the				Sensitivity 50% ((95% CI 23.04	-76.96*)		interpreted the index test or what was the
least risk for patients				Specificity 95.78	% (95% CI 88	8.14-99.12%*)	level of experience of the person(s)
Study				Positive likelihoo	od ratio* 11.8	(95% CI 3.48	8-40.29*)	Are there concerns
dates				Negative likeliho	od ratio* 0.52	(95% CI 0.3	81-0.88 *)	that the index test, its
January 2005- May 2006				Prevalence of hy	/perplasia 16.4	47%		conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear
Source of								concern
funding				b) Polyp				Reference Standard
Not reported					Confirmed	Νο Τα	otal	A. Risk of Bias

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes an	d results			Comments
					polyp	polyp		Is the reference
				Polyp in index test	10	0	10	standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
				No polyp in index test	4	71	75	Were the reference standard results
				Total	14	71	85	interpreted without knowledge of the
				Sensitivity 71.4 Specificity 100 Positive likelih Negative likelih	% (95% CI 9 ood ratio*	94.94-100%*)	results of the index tests? Unclear (not reported) Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Unclear risk
				Prevalence of	polyps 16.47	7%		B. Concerns regarding applicability
				*Calculated by	the NGA teo	chnical team		Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk
					Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
citation	n=141	Index	Ultrasound	2D-TVUS versus histopathology (D&C)	QUADAS-2 a quality

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
Najeeb, R., Awan, A. S.,	Characteristics The mean age	test 2D transvagi		a) Polyps	Confirmed	No	Total	assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:
Bakhtiar, U., Akhter,	was 44 years (range 40-47	nal ultrasou	Logic Pro 100-GE USA. Endometrial thickness		polyp	polyp	TOTAL	Patient Selection
S., Role of transvagin	years). Inclusion	ns scan (2D-	was measured in postmenstrual period (7-	Polyp in index test	33	5	38	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or
al sonograph y in	Criteria Women of	TVUS)	10 days) at the thickest part of the endometrium 1 cm from the endometrial-	No polyp in index test	0	103	103	random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not
assessme nt of abnormal	perimenopausal age group presenting with	Referenc e	myometrial interface at the fundus in the longitudial plane as described.	Total	33	108	141	reported) Was a case-control
uterine bleeding in	abnormal uterine bleeding		Detection of a	Sensitivity* 100	,	,	,	design avoided? Yes
perimenop ausal age group,	Exclusion Criteria	hology of endomet rial	the endometrial layers was taken as suggestive	Specificity* 95.4 Positive likelihoo	,			Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes
Journal of Ayub	Women on any form of	currettin gs from	of endometrial pathology. Endometrial malignancy was suspected when	Negative likeliho	ood ratio* 0.0			Could the selection of patients have
Medical College, Abbottaba	hormonal treatment, known	D&C (dilatatio n and	echos were clearly dishomogenous and the	Prevalence of p	olyps 23.4%			introduced bias? Unclear risk
d : JAMC, 22, 2010	gynaecological malignancy or	curettag e)	endometriomyometrial interface was irregular.	b) Myomas				B. Concerns regarding
Ref Id 511707	endocrinological disorders were		Histopathology The thickness measured		Confirmed	No	Total	applicability: All women were

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	d results				Comments		
Country/ie	excluded.		included both the		myoma	myoma			premenopausal and all had abnormal		
s where the study was			endometrial layers and a cut off value of 8mm was taken, followed by an inpatient D&C.	Myoma in index test	6	15	21		uterine bleeding, however, the proportion of patients		
carried out			Histopathology of endometrial currettings	No myoma in index test	0	120	120		with HMB is not specified.		
Pakistan			was correlated with the sonographic features.	Total	6	135	141		Are there concerns that the included		
Study type				Sensitivity 100	% (95% CI 5	54%-100%*))	1	patients and setting do not match the		
Descriptive				Specificity 88.9	9% (95% CI 8	32%-94%*)			review question? High concern		
Aim of the study				Positive likeliho	ood ratio* 9.0) (95% CI 5	.59-14.5	50*)	Index Test		
Establish				Negative likelih	nood ratio* 0.	0			A. Risk of Bias		
the role of transvagin al sonograph y in the diagnosis of				Prevalence of I	myomas 4.3°	%			Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes		
abnormal uterine bleeding in perimenap				In addition, hyp table in the pap This result see doesn't provide than "shows th	per but a "fals ms to be an e further infor	se positive" anomaly an mation to cl	result of d the tex arify oth	f -6. kt ier	a. used, was it pre-		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
ausal women				caution as 6 cases were missed". No specificity of sensitivity results reported to calculate values in excel.	all the conditions, specified for endometrial
Study dates				*Calculated by the NGA technical team.	malignancy and classified all other
January 2006-April 2007					endometrial pathologies together rather than separating for polyps
Source of funding					and myomas.
None reported					interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability: The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or what was the level of experience of the person(s)
					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern
					Reference Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk
					Other information

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Inclusion criteria were not reported clearly. Characteristics of included patients except for age were not reported.
					In the results section, the findings regarding hyperplasia were not clear, a FP of -6 was reported. The text did not provide further guidance, other than stating that 6 cases were missed in the TVUS. Unable to calculate the results as reporting is unclear and no sensitivity results were reported.
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
citation Soguktas,	n=93	Index test	TVUS, SIS, hysteroscopy were	1) 2D-TVUS versus histopathology (D&C)	QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
S., Cogendez,	· · ·	2D	performed on all participants by different	a) Any endometria	al abnormality	/	1,1	diagnostic accuracy studies:
E., Kayatas, S. E.,	inadequate evaluation in any proceedure	transvagi nal ultrasou	physicians blindly. All women were examined by TVUS, using a 6.5 MHz		Confirmed abnormality	No abnormality	Total	Patient Selection
Asoalu. M.	were removed from the study, and the	nd scan (2D- TVUS);	vaginal probe (General Electric Logic 200) to visualize uterus in the	Any abnormality in index test	42*	12*	54	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample of
A., Compariso n of saline	remaining 89 patients underwent all	hysteros copy (under	sagittal and coronal planes. If endometrial thickness (double layer)	No abnormality in index test	5*	30*	35	patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported)
infusion sonohyster ography	procedures) Characteristics	general anaesth esia)	measured less than 15 mm and seemed regular by TVUS, it was	Total	47	42	89	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes
and	Mean age = 43.1 + 2.9 years (range 36-48)	Referenc e standard	considered a normal finding. A centrally placed echo-dense line within the uterus and a	Sensitivity 89.4% Specificity 71.4%	(95% CI 55.4	4%-84.3%)		Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes
of premenop ausal women	When endometrial biopsy was considered as	Histopat hology (D&C)	homogeneous endometrial lining with distinct margins to the myometrium were also	Positive likelihood Negative likelihoo			-	Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk
with abnormal uterine bleeding, European	the gold standard, no abnormal pathology (47.2%),		considered normal. Otherwise, if the measured endometrial thickness was thicker than 15 mm, it was considered	Prevalence of any b) Polyp	endometrial	abnormality 5	52.8%	B. Concerns regarding applicability: All were premenapausal
Journal of Obstetrics,	polypoid lesion (38.2%),		as endometrial hyperplasia. Irregular focal		onfirmed	No Tota	al	women with abnormal uterine bleeding,

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	l results			Comments
, ,	endometrial		endometrial thickenings were considered as		polyp	polyp		however, proportion of women with HMB
y, & Reproducti ve BiologyEur	hyperplasia (7.9%), submucosal myoma (4.5%),		In addition, deformations in the endometrial lining	Polyp in index test	22*	5*	27	not reported.
J Obstet Gynecol Reprod	endometrium carcinoma (2.2%) were		and absence of central echo dense line were also considered abnormal	No polyp in index test	12*	50*	62	that the included patients and setting do not match the
	found among the study		findings.	Total	34	55	89	review question? High concern
Ref Id	population.		SIS was performed shortly after TVUS. A 10 or 12 F	Sensitivity 64.7	% (95% CI 46	6.5%-80.3%	%)	Index Test
511952			catheter was inserted into the uterus following direct	Specificity 90.9	% (95% CI 8	0.0%-97.09	%)	A. Risk of Bias
Country/ie s where the study was	Premenapausal women with		inspection and then a vaginal probe was reintroduced in the posterior fornix of the vagina behind the	Positive likeliho Negative likelih	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference
carried out	abnormal uterine bleeding		catheter. About 10–30 ml sterile saline were injected	Prevalence of p	olyps 38.2%			standard? Yes
Turkey Study	such as menorrhagia, metrorrhagia,		into the catheter to expand the uterine cavity and the distended uterine	c) Myoma				If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes
type Prospectiv	menometrorrha gia and polymenorrhea		cavity was viewed in transverse and longitudinal planes by			No myoma	Total	(detailed diagnostic criteria included in the methods)
e cohort study	related to intracavitary pathology.		TVUS. Entire hyperechogenic lesions	Myoma in	3*	0*	3	Could the conduct or

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	l results			Comments
Aim of the	(no %		within the uterine cavity	index test				interpretation of the
study The aim of	breakdown recorded of different		were considered as endometrial polyp. Whereas, when compared with myometrium,	No myoma in index test	1*	85*	86	index test have introduced bias? Low risk
the study was to	conditions)		isoechogenic or	Total	4	85	89	B. Concerns regarding
compare the	Exclusion Criteria		hypoechogenic lesions having relation with myometrium and reaching	Sensitivity 75.0	% (95% CI 1	9.4%-99.4%))	applicability: The paper does not report
diagnostic effectivene ss of	Pelvic infection, pregnancy and		the uterine cavity by pushing the endometrium	Specificity 100%		5.8%-100%)		who interpreted the index test or the level
transvagin al	patients with who had		were considered as submucosal myoma.	Positive likeliho Negative likelih		25 (95% CI 0.	.05-1.36	of experience of the person(s).
sonograph y, saline infusion sonohyster ography (SIS), and	abnormal bleeding without intracavitary pathology.		Regular diffuse endometrial thickness was considered as endometrial hyperplasia. Irregular asymmetric focal endometrial thickness was considered as endometrial	Prevalence of n	nyoma 4.5%	,		Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear
diagnostic hysterosco			cancer. Findings at SIS	d) Endometrial				concern
py, with the pathologic			were defined according to criteria published by Parsons and Lense.			endometrial		Reference StandardA. Risk of Bias
specimen as a gold			Next day, diagnostic hysteroscopy was		hyperplasi a	hyperplasia		Is the reference standards likely to
standard diagnostic			performed under general anesthesia by a third	Endometrial	5*	12*	17	correctly classify the target condition? Yes

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	l results			Comments
method, in detecting			examiner ussing a rigid 30° hysteroscope with a	hyperplasia in index test				Were the reference standard results
endometri al pathology in premenap ausal			diagnostic sheath diameter of 5 mm. A rigid resectoscope was inserted through the cervix under direct visualization and the uterine cavity was	No endometrial hyperplasia in index test	2*	70*	72	interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes
women			distended with isotonic	Total	7	82	89	Could the reference standard, its conduct,
with abnormal uterine bleeding. Study dates Not Reported Source of funding None declared			sometimes vascularized. Pedunculated lesions not covered by endometrium	Negative likelih	% (95% CI 7 ood ratio 4.9 ood ratio 0.3 endometrial h	,	1.2)	or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
declared			were diagnosed as submucosal myomas. If a sulcus was found after pressure application to flat endometrium that had polypoid thickness,		Confirmed endometriu m carcinoma	No endometrium carcinoma	Tota I	Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias Was there an appropriate interval

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	l results			Comments
			diagnosis was considered as endometrial hyperplasia. If there was irregularity, necrosis, and	Endometrium carcinoma in index test	1*	6*	7	between index test and reference standard? Yes
			glandular and vascular disorganization in the endometrial surface, endometrial cancer was considered probable	No endometrium carcinoma in index test	1*	81*	82	Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes Were all patients
			diagnosis.	Total	2	87	89	included in the analysis? No (4
			Operative hysteroscopy was performed in women with endometrial polyp and submucosal myoma following diagnostic HS in same session. A dilatation	Sensitivity 50% Specificity 93.1 Positive likeliho	patients were excluded due to inadequate evaluation in any procedure)			
			and curettage was performed after diagnostic hysteroscopy under general anesthesia in the patients who had no	Negative likelih Prevalence of e		,		Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low (index and reference tests took place on different
			intracavitary mass. Histopathological specimens were evaluated by the pathology department. Proliferative, secretory	2) Hysteroscop (D&C) a) Any endome			opathology	days, however give the chronic nature of the disease it is unlikely to be detrimental)
			and atrophic endometria	, , ,				Other information

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
			were classified as other or normal findings. Polypoid lesion, submucosal myoma, endometrial		Confirmed endometrial abnormality	No endometrial abnormality	Total	
			hyperplasia and endometrial carcinoma were classified as abnormal pathological	Endometrial abnormality in index test	46*	3*	49	
			findings.	No endometrial abnormality in index test	1*	39*	40	
				Total	47	42	89	
				Sensitivity 97.9%	% (95% CI 88	.7%-99.9%)		
				Specificity 92.9%	% (95% CI 80).5%-98.5%)		
				Positive likelihoo	od ratio 13.7	(95% CI 12.5-15	.1)	
				Negative likeliho	od ratio 0.02	2 (95% CI 0.002-0	0.2)	
				Prevalence of ar	ny endometria	al abnormality 52	.8%	
				b) Polyp				

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
					Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	
				Polyp in index test	31*	1*	32	
				No polyp in index test	3*	54*	57	
				Total	34	55	89	
				Sensitivity 91.1 Specificity 98.2				
				Positive likelihoo	od ratio 50.2 (9	5% CI 44.9	9-56)	
				Negative likeliho	ood ratio 0.09 (95% CI 0.0	01-0.8)	
				Prevalence of p	olyps 38.2%			
				c) Myoma		1		
					Confirmed myoma	No myoma	Total	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results Comments
				Myoma in index test 4* 0* 4
				No myoma in o* 85* 85
				Total 4 85 89
				Sensitivity 100% (95% CI 39.8%-100%)
				Specificity 100% (95% CI 95.8%-100%)
				Positive likelihood ratio -
				Negative likelihood ratio 0.0
				Prevalence of myoma 4.5%
				d) Endometrial hyperplasia
				Confirmed endometrial hyperplasia
				Endometrial hyperplasia in 6* 2* 8

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results Comments
				index test
				No endometrial hyperplasia in index test 80* 81
				Total 7 82 89
				Sensitivity 85.7% (95% CI 42.1%-99.6%)
				Specificity 97.6% (95% CI 91.5%-99.7%)
				Positive likelihood ratio 35.1 (95% CI 25.9-47.6)
				Negative likelihood ratio 0.15 (95% CI 0.02-1.4)
				Prevalence of endometrial hyperplasia 7.9%
				e) Endometrium carcinoma
				Confirmed endometriu m carcinoma
				Endometrium 2* 3* 5

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	l results			Comments
				carcinoma in index test				
				No endometrium carcinoma in index test	0*	84*	84	
				Total	2	87	89	
				Sensitivity 100%	% (95% CI 15	5.8%-100%)		
				Specificity 96.4	% (95% CI 9	90.3%-99.3%))	
				Positive likeliho	od ratio 29.0	(95% CI 27.9	9-30.2)	
				Negative likelih	ood ratio 0.0	00		
				Prevalence of e	ndometrium	carcinoma 2.2	2%	
				*Calculated by	the NGA tech	nnical team		
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation	n=86	Index	Initially all cases were	Hysteroscopy (under GA, lo	cal anaesthes	ia or no	QUADAS-2 a quality

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and r	results			Comments			
Yildiz, A., Koksal, A.,	Characteristics 72 (89%)	Test Hysteros	evaluated with pelvic examination and transvaginal	anaesthesia) vers a) Any endometri				assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:			
Ates, P. F., Ivit, H., Keklik, A., Cukurova, K., Hysterosc	patients were in premenopausal period and 14 were in the postmenopausa	copy (under general anaesth esia, spinal/ce	ultrasonography (General Electric Logic 200 6.5 mHz). Then, D&C was performed in all cases. After a mean duration of 6.3 weeks (min. 3 weeks-			No endometrial abnormality	Total	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample of			
opy in the evaluation of intrauterin e cavity. Is	I period. Duration of AUB in premenopausal and	local anaesth esia, no anaesth esia) Referenc e	max. 7 weeks) following D&C office hysteroscopy was performed. All procedures were done by the same investigators.	Any endometrial abnormality in index test	66	0	66	patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported) Was a case-control			
it more valuable than dilatation	postmenopausa I women were 22.8 (min. 2 months, max.		Referenc e	Referenc e	Referenc e	Referenc	Reference e with operation indication	No endometrial abnormality in index test	4	16	20
and curettage?	10 years) and 7.7 (min. 1		collected data were recorded on standardized	Total	70	16	86				
, Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences, 29, 2009	month-max. 2 years) months. The bleeding pattern was menometrorrha gia in 65.1%,	hology (D&C)	hology forms. Hysteroscopies	Sensitivity 94% (Specificity 100% Positive likelihoo Negative likelihoo	95% CI 86.0 (95% CI 79. d ratio Inf	%-98.4%*) 4%-100%*)		Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding applicability:			
Ref Id 512149	metrorrhagia in 18.6% and		cm instruments, Storz, Germany) we re			(89% of patients were			

Study letails	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Country/ie swhere he study vas carried out Furkey Study ype Retrospect ve cohort study Aim of the study Compare D&C with office hysterosco by in the diagnosis of uterine bathologie s in vomen	16.3% 18.6% Normal 25.6% Myoma 18.6% Polyp 14.7% Adenomyosis 9.3% Polyp and Myoma 2.3%		performed in the operation room with intravenous or intratechal general anesthesia or spinal/cervical local anesthesia or without any anesthesia. Uterine cavity was distended with 0.9% NaCl solution. In case of electrocautery, 5% mannitol solution was used. Speculum or tenaculum was not used during the hysteroscopy process. During hysteroscopy vagina was entered with direct vision through the introitus, portio uteri was found and uterine cavity was entered along the endocervical canal. Endocervical canal, fundus, ostia, anterior and posterior walls were observed. Hysterescopies with total inspection of the endometrial cavity and endocervical canal were considered adequate.	Prevalence of any endometrial abnormality 81.4% *Calculated by the NGA technical team	premenopausal. 65% with menometrorrhagia. Inclusion criteria not clearly defined.Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern.Index TestA. Risk of BiasWere the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? YesIf a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? No. Not clearly defined for all the conditions.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
with abnormal uterine bleeding and to evaluate diagnostic and therapeutic advantage s of office hysterosco py Study dates June 2005- March 2006 Source of funding Not reported	Exclusion Criteria Genital malignancy or pregnancy		Hysterescopies in which no anatomical or endocervical pathology could be observed, were considered normal. Presence of adhesion, polyp, submucosal myoma, pressure effect or any other abnormality in the uterine cavity was considered abnormal hysteroscopy. Irregular shedding, proliferation, menstruation and secretion phase of endometrium were considered normal histopathologic findings in endometrial sampling performed by D&C. Presence of endometrial hyperplasia, myoma uteri and polyps were considered abnormal findings of D&C. Endoscopic biopsies were taken from all cases except myomas and polyps. Fifty-two cases		Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? High risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or what was the level of experience of the person(s), only that all investigations were carried out by the same investigator. Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern Reference Standard

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			underwent total abdominal hysterectomy after hysteroscopy. Their indications were menometrorrhagia resistant to medical therapy, myoma uteri and postmenopausal bleeding with adnexial cyst or polyp. Diagnostic values of D&C and office hysteroscopy were compared by calculation of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value (PPV and NPV) setting the tables separately. Statistical analysis was done with SPSS version 13.0. A p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.		 A. Risk of Bias Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes. Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Unclear Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk
					Other information
					Inclusion criteria not clearly defined

Study F details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
citation	•		Methods	Results	Limitations
Critchley, in H. O. D., Warner, P., Lee, A. G. J., Brechin, S., Guise, J., Graham, B., Evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding: Compariso n of three outpatient procedure s within cohorts defined by	n total in three groups according to risk of endometrial cancer: high risk: n=200 bostmenopausa women (not considered in this review); moderate risk: n=326 bremenopausal women either aged >=40 years, or aged <40 years but with specific risk factors for endometrial	usually in conjuncti on with abdomin al ultrasou nd or sometim es substitut ed by abdnomi nal ultrasou nd; Hysteros copy	Interventions Women in the moderate risk group were equally randomised to receive either 1) hysteroscopy + biopsy, 2) blind endometrial biopsy, 3) hysteroscopy + biopsy + ultrasound, 4) biopsy + ultrasound. All the biopsies included both Pipelle sampler and Tao brush in a random order (50% were allocated to receive Pipelle sampler first, the other 50% was allocated to have Tao brush first). All three interventions were outpatient investigations. It was considered important that the	Finding investigation 'markedly unpleasant' Proportion of women in the moderate risk group that found the investigation 'markedly unpleasant' (numerator is the number of women who answered the investigation to be markedly unpleasant and the denominator is the number of women who answered the question): Hysteroscopy + biopsy: 23/149=15% Ultrasound: 1/147=<1% Blind endometrial biopsy: 54/296=18% Intention to treat analysis (those who did not have investigation or who did not answer the question are imputed to have found the investigation unpleasant. Numerator is the women answered the investigation to be unpleasant and thre women who did not have the investigation and the denominator is the women randomised to receive the investigation): Hysteroscopy + biopsy: 40/166=24%	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk of bias Allocation concealment: Low risk of bias Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: High risk of bias (Due to the nature of the study, blinding was not possible in terms of the investigation for the investigator and the participants.)

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	ovarian syndrome, prior	biopsy the	methods was undertaken in a setting as close as	Ultrasound: 16/162=10%	Detection bias
Technolog	use of unopposed	Pipelle sampler	possible to normal clinic operation. For this reason,	Blind endometrial biopsy: 84/326=26%	Blinding of outcome assessment: High
y Assessme nt, 8, iii-77,	-	and/or Tao brush;	and to maximise clinician compliance with the study, a pragmatic design was	p=0.001	risk of bias (Outcomes of interest
2004	diabetes or family history of	Blind	used. After execution of the randomly assigned	Abdominal discomfort after the investigation	for this review were the participants' self-
Ref Id	endometrial cancer);	rial	investigations the clinician could continue	Proportion of women in the moderate risk group (all underwent a biopsy, N=280 answered the	report of experiences etc. after the investigation,
Country/ie	low risk: n=157 premenopausal	biopsy using the Pipelle	management of the patient unconstrained by the study, so that if further	questionnaire) that reported experiencing abdominal discomfort at home after the investigation:	therefore, it was not possible to do
the study was	women aged <40 years	sampler and/or	outpatient or inpatient investigations were	Hysteroscopy: 31.5%	blinding.) Attrition bias
carried	without specific risk factor (not	Tao brush	indicated they could be offered in the normal way.	No hysteroscopy: 26.3% Ultrasound: 31.6%	Incomplete outcome
UK	considered in this review because <2/3	(not of interest in this	For assigned ultrasound investigations the	No ultrasound: 26.6%	data: High risk of bias (69.5% of the eligible
- · ·	have HMB)	review).	transvaginal method would be used wherever	Hysteroscopy versus no hysteroscopy p=0.418	participants were recruited. Follow-up rate for questionnaire
Randomis	Characteristics		possible, but the investigation would be limited to abdominal if that	Ultrasound versus no ultrasound p=0.434	immediately after investigation was
controlled	Women in moderate risk group		was preferable for a particular woman.	Bleeding after the investigation	100%; follow-up rate for questionnaire one
010101	(considered in this review):		The recruiting research	Proportion of women in the moderate risk group (all	day after investigation was 91.4% [298/326];

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
study	Mean age: 45.2		assistants spoke with the	underwent a biopsy, N=280 completed the	follow-up rate for
То	(SD 0.26) years		women before they were	questionnaire) reporting experiencing abdominal	questionnaire 10 months after
compare	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		seen by their clinicians. If a woman consented to	discomfort at home after the investigation:	investigation was
three	Age:		take part in the study, the	Hysteroscopy: 21.5%	80.1% [261/326];
outpatient methods of	19-29y: 1%		next available randomisation envelope	No hysteroscopy: 10.8%	follow-up rate for questionnaire 24
endometri	30-34y: 2%		for the relevant	Ultrasound: 14.3%	months after
al evaluation	35-39y: 3%		stratification group (determined by age and	No ultrasound: 18.4%	investigation was 55.8% [182/326].)
in terms of performan	40-44y: 36%		menopausal status only) was attached to her	Hysteroscopy versus no hysteroscopy p=0.025	Reporting bias
ce, patient acceptabili	45-49y: 40%		recruitment forms. Before	Ultrasound versus no ultrasound p=0.445	Selective
ty and	50-54y: 17%		the woman was seen by the doctor, the recruiting		reporting: Unclear risk of bias (The
cost- effectivene	55-59y: 1%		research assistant described the study to the	Feelings about the clinic visit	paper does not report statistical analyses on
SS.	On oral		doctor, gave him or her an	Proportion of women in the moderate risk group (all	most of the outcomes
Study dates	contraception: 3%		information sheet, explained that the woman	underwent a biopsy, N=280 completed the questionnaire) expressing agreement with the	of interest. The paper also reports
January	Sterilised: 38%		had agreed to take part in the study, and gave the	statements about their feelings about the clinic visit(s):	outcomes for different subgroup
1999 and May 2001	On hormone replacement		doctor an eligibility/recruitment form.	1) I am more worried than before the clinic	stratification depending on the
Source of	therapy: 9%		This was to be completed by the doctor after he or	attendance	outcome.)
funding	Presenting		she had spoken to the	Hysteroscopy: 12.9%	Other bias
HTA	complaint:		woman. This form was used to obtain the	No hysteroscopy: 12.8%	Other sources of

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Programm e	Postmenopausa I bleeding: 1% Heavy periods: 68% Postcoital bleeding: 8% Intermenstrual bleeding: 22% Irregular periods: 47% Bleeding on tamoxifen: 0% Pain: 3% Long periods: 2% Frequent periods: 1% Other: 2% Inclusion Criteria		 clinician's consent and, since for premenopausal women under 40 years of age their group could be low or moderate risk, depending on specific clinical risk factors, to confirm the stratification/risk group. Randomisation Randomisation was undertaken to industry standard via a customised computer program. Allocation concealment Sealed "payslip style" envelopes were used containing the randomisation codes, shading in the inside of the slip ensured that the code could not be seen through with strong light. The slip was opened only if and when a clinician confirmed that the woman 	Ultrasound: 9.8% No ultrasound: 15.6% 2) I do not really understand what the doctor told me about my bleeding Hysteroscopy: 15.1% No hysteroscopy: 13.7% Ultrasound: 15.7% No ultrasound: 13.3% 3) I wish I had not bothered Hysteroscopy: 3.6% No hysteroscopy: 5.2% Ultrasound: 6.8% No ultrasound: 2.1% 4) I would have liked more investigations of my bleeding problem Hysteroscopy: 18.3% No hysteroscopy: 25.6%	bias: Low risk of bias Other information No p-values or other statistical measures were reported comparing different tests for most of the outcomes of interest for this review. All women underwent biopsy.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	All women referred to the		was eligible for the study (and the woman had	Ultrasound: 21.7%	
	gynaecology		consented).	No ultrasound: 22.0%	
	outpatient clinic at the Royal		Blinding	5) I feel reassured by the visit	
	Infirmary Edinburgh,		The nature of the interventions (their being	Hysteroscopy: 84.4%	
	Scotland, for abnormal		procedures undertaken by the clinician and	No hysteroscopy: 90.4%	
	uterine		undergone by the woman)	Ultrasound: 90.0%	
	bleeding, but only if the		meant that blinding was not possible.	No ultrasound: 85.2%	
	managing clinician		Outcomes	6) I am glad I had the investigation	
	consented to		Women's experiences of	Hysteroscopy: 90.6%	
	the woman being		endometrial evaluation were assessed	No hysteroscopy: 98.5%	
	approached about the study		prospectively by means of	Ultrasound: 94.0%	
	and the referral complaint of		report forms completed immediately after the appointment. For each	No ultrasound: 95.0%	
	abnormal		randomised investigation		
	bleeding had been verified by		undergone, which may have been on that day or	How worthwhile women considered the visit	
	that clinician.		later, a separate report	Proportion of women in the moderate risk group	
	Exclusion Criteria		was completed immediately afterwards, covering explanation	(intention to treat) Hysteroscopy + biopsy: 67%	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	Pregnancy; difficulty reading or writing English.		received, time taken and reaction to that investigation. At the end of the initial (recruitment) appointment the woman completed a questionnaire report on her experience of the clinic visit. This included rationale for consultation with the doctor, information received before clinic attendance, prior investigations for abnormal bleeding and time issues. Acceptability in the short term was assessed by means of: 1) rating the unpleasantness (or not) of the investigation 2) reporting postinvestigation on the after-effects, abdominal discomfort and bleeding	Hysteroscopy + ultrasound + biopsy: 62% Women's self-report of outcome and health at 10 months postevaluation Proportion of women in the moderate risk group (all underwent biopsy, N=261 completed the questionnaire) reporting on the following: 1) Symptoms much improved Hysteroscopy: 42% No hysteroscopy: 38% Ultrasound: 38% No ultrasound: 42% 2) Satisfied with care (very true) Hysteroscopy: 65% No hysteroscopy: 50%	
			3) reporting their feelings	Ultrasound: 62%	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			about the clinic visit (whether they are glad to	No ultrasound: 54%	
			have had the investigation, how	3) Reassured by clinic attendance (very true)	
			reassured they are, and whether they would have	Hysteroscopy: 64%	
			liked more investigation)	No hysteroscopy: 52%	
			4) ascertaining each	Ultrasound: 61%	
			woman's subjective judgement as to how	No ultrasound: 55%	
			worthwhile the clinic visit has been.	4) Glad attended clinic (very true)	
			In the clinic review	Hysteroscopy: 71%	
			questionnaire completed at home on the day after	No hysteroscopy: 65%	
			the last investigation,	Ultrasound: 70%	
			women were asked whether they had suffered	No ultrasound: 67%	
			from cramps, bleeding or discomfort at home after	5) Worthwhile attending ("very" or "extremely")	
			their clinic visit(s). The questionnaire asked for an	Hysteroscopy: 75%	
			answer overall for the	No hysteroscopy: 62%	
			clinic investigations, as where there had been	Ultrasound: 73%	
			multiple investigations (e.g. TVUS and	No ultrasound: 65%	
				6) Symptoms persisting (yes)	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			hysteroscopy plus biopsy) it would be impossible to attribute any after-effects to one or other investigation. A single abdominal discomfort variable has been created from the two after-effects of cramps and discomfort, being for each woman the more severe of the two	Hysteroscopy: 49% No hysteroscopy: 53% Ultrasound: 53% No ultrasound: 49% 7) Attendance failed to cure the problem (very true) Hysteroscopy: 27%	
			ratings given. The response choice was not at all, hardly any, some, a lot or severe. The latter two response categories were combined and are reported here as a binary outcome. Feelings about the clinic visit were ascertained on the day after the last	No hysteroscopy: 26% Ultrasound: 27% No ultrasound: 26% 8) Would have liked more investigation (fairly/very true) Hysteroscopy: 20% No hysteroscopy: 35% Ultrasound: 22%	
			randomised investigation by agreeing or disagreeing with the following six statements: -I am more worried than	No ultrasound: 32% Biopsy only*: 42%	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			before the clinic attendance	*Only reported on this outcome.	
			-I do not really understand what the doctor told me about my bleeding	Women's self-report of outcome and health at 24 months post-evaluation	
			-I wish I had not bothered	Proportion of women in the moderate risk group (all underwent biopsy, N=182 completed the	
			investigation of my bleeding problem	questionnaire) reporting on the following: 1) Symptoms much improved	
			-I feel reassured by the visit	Hysteroscopy: 60%	
			-I am glad I had the investigation	No hysteroscopy: 55% Ultrasound: 61%	
			The women were also asked to complete follow-	No ultrasound: 53%	
			up questionnaires, sent by mail, at 10 and 24 months.		
			In these they were asked to report whether they still had symptoms, whether,	No hysteroscopy: 53%	
			since their initial appointment, they had	Ultrasound: 67% No ultrasound: 60%	
			visited their GP or been a hospital day case or	3) Reassured by clinic attendance (very true)	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			inpatient for the bleeding problem, whether they had attended any hospital gynaecology clinic, how they felt about their care at the time of recruitment, and how they would feel if they required further investigations in the future.	Hysteroscopy: 57% No hysteroscopy: 49% Ultrasound: 61% No ultrasound: 46% 4) Glad attended clinic (very true) Hysteroscopy: 73% No hysteroscopy: 61% Ultrasound: 74% No ultrasound: 61% 5) Worthwhile attending ("very" or "extremely") Hysteroscopy: 71% No hysteroscopy: 62% Ultrasound: 68% No ultrasound: 65% 6) Symptoms persisting (yes) Hysteroscopy: 42% No hysteroscopy: 48%	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Ultrasound: 44%	
				No ultrasound: 46%	
				7) Attendance failed to cure the problem (very true)	
				Hysteroscopy: 27%	
				No hysteroscopy: 28%	
				Ultrasound: 29%	
				No ultrasound: 26%	
				8) Would have liked more investigation (fairly/very true)	
				Hysteroscopy: 16%	
				No hysteroscopy: 31%	
				Ultrasound: 17%	
				No ultrasound: 29%	
				Biopsy only*: 38%	
				*Only reported on this outcome.	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
Full citation Taylor, S., Jones, S.,	Sample size n = 219 (n=196	Tests Index Test	Methods Patients were seen at a "one-stop" clinic where, immediately before the	Results 2D-TVUS versu a) Polyps	s hysteroscopy	/		Limitations QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy
Dixon, A. M., O'Donova	analysed, 23 excluded:	2D transvagi nal	hysteroscopy, they were scanned by an ultrasonographer. This		Confirmed polyps	No polyps	Total	studies: Patient Selection
n, P., Evaluation of	8 women did not have a scan before the	ultrasou nd scan Referenc	involved a transabdominal scan, with a full bladder, followed by a transvaginal	Polyps in index test	11	8	19	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or
ultrasound in an outpatient	hysteroscopy 15 women did have a scan,	e Standard	scan, enabling a detailed assessment of i) the outline of the the uterine	No polyps in index test	23	154	177	random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not reported) Was a case-control design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Unclear (lack of information on exclusion criteria) Could the selection of patients have
hysterosco py clinic:	but did not have hysteroscopy	Hysteros copy	cavity; ii) endometrial thickness; iii)abnormal	Total	34	162	196	
Does it alter managem ent in premenop ausal women?, Gynaecolo gical Endoscopy	for the following reasons - 5 inappropriate referrals, 2 sx improved, 3 needed a laparotomy, 5 hysteroscopies unsuccessful		endometrial morphology; iv) myometrial pathology, such as fibroids >2cm diameter or possible adenomyosis, and v) adnexal abnormalities The ultrasound report was taken by the patient to the hysteroscopy suite where	Sensitivity 32.3 Specificity 95.0 Positive likeliho Negative likeliho Prevalence of p	6%*(95% CI 9 od ratio 6.55*(pod ratio 0.71*	0.5%-97.8 95% CI 2.8	%) 85-15.06)	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
, 10, 173- 178, 2001 Ref Id	cervical stenosis)		it was seen by the doctor before the hysteroscopy was started. In each case, the procedure was	b) Suspicious focal thickening				introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns
548456 Country/ie s where	Characteristics No details provided		the procedure was performed using a 2.5mm semirigid Storz fibreoptic scope with saline distention. The operator		Suspicious in reference standard test	Not suspicious	Total	regarding applicability: All were premenopausal women with abnormal
the study was carried	Inclusion Criteria		was either a consultant or a specialist registrar working under	Suspicious in index test	0	12	12	uterine bleeding, however, the proportion of women
out UK	Premenopausal women		supervision. Local anaesthetic was	Not suspicious in index test	6	178	184	with HMB not reported. Are there concerns
Study	with abnormal uterine bleeding		applied to the anterior lip of the cervix only, in order	Total	6	190	196	that the included
type Retrospect ive cohort			to enable the use of a tenaculum. In cases where an endometrial biopsy was taken, a pipelle sampling device was used. After the	Sensitivity 0%* (95% CI 0%-45.9%) Specificity 93.68%* (95% CI 89.2%-96.7%)				patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern
study Aim of the study	None specified			Positive likelihood ratio 0.00 Negative likelihood ratio 1.07* (95% CI 1.03-1.11)				Index Test A. Risk of Bias
To asses the role of ultrasound with respect to			ultrasound findings were discussed with the patient, and a management plan recorded.	Prevalence of su	uspicious focal	thickening 3.	1%.	Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
managem					Yes
ent decisions in premenop ausal wo				*Calculated by the NGA technical team	If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? No. Not clearly defined.
men with abnormal uterine bleeding at tending an outpatient					Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? High risk
hysterosco py clinic.					B. Concerns regarding applicability:
dates September 1996- October 1997					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Low
Source of funding					concern Reference Standard
Not stated					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards likely to

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? No
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? High risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? No, 23/219 dropped out, but explanations for all the dropouts were given.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
citation Vercellini, P., Cortesi, I., Oldani,	n=793 (n=770 analysed, 13	Index Test 2D	Ultrasonography was performed by gynaecologists independently of the	2D-TVUS versus a) Any abnormal		QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:		
S., Moschetta, M., De	cases hysteroscopy not completed	transvagi nal ultrasou	ohase of the cycle using Ansaldo AU 440 (Ansaldo, Genoa, Italy)or AU 580		Confirmed uterine abnormality	No uterine abnormalit y	Tota I	Patient Selection
Giorgi, O., Crosignani , P. G., The role of	as not tolerated, 10 complete visualisation of cavity prevented	nd scan (2D- TVUS) Referenc	synchronous (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) equipment and a transvaginal transducer of 6.5MHz.	Any abnormality in index test	426	44	470	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?
transvagin al ultrasonog	by interuterine bleeding, 15 cases biopsy	e Standard	The endometrial cavity outline was studied from the internal os to the	No abnormality in index test	19	281	300	Unclear (not reported)
raphy and outpatient	refused, 17 cases quantity	Diagnost ic	and coronal sections. The	Total	445	325	770	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes
diagnostic hysterosco py in the evaluation	of mucosa insufficient for pathologist to make diagnosis)	hysteros copy (with histopath	ultrasound finding was considered abnormal when the ultrasonographer	Sensitivity 96% (Specificity 86%		Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? No,		
ia, Human	Characteristics Mean age: 41.5 + 7.8		the cavity or when the maximum endometrial thickness measured in the	Positive likelihoo Negative likelihoo		excluded patients with IUD or hormone use, less generalisable		
Reproducti on, 12, 1768- 1771,	Nullipara: 148 (18.7%)		sagittal plane according to the technique of Fleischer et al was >14 mm. Doubtful sonograms with	Prevalence of abnormality 58%.				Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? High risk

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
1997			findings neither definitively	*Calculated by the NGA technical team.	B. Concerns
Ref Id			negative nor positive due to poor visualisation		regarding
548488	Inclusion		and/or difficult interpretation were	Sensitivity and enacificity without 05% CI reported by	applicability:
Country/ie s where the study was carried out			considered abnormal. Submucosal myoma was diagnosed at ultrasonography in the presence of a nodular formation with well defined	Sensitivity and specificity without 95% CI reported by the paper for submucosal fibroids, polyps, and endometrial hyperplasia, however, not enough data to form 2x2 table and calculate LR+ and LR	Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? Low concern.
	abnormal		margins, heterogenous		Index Test
Italy Study type Prospectiv e cohort study Aim of the	bleeding. All the women with uterine volume less than 12-week pregnancy, iron deficiency anaemia, and		structure and varying echogeneity, which displaced the endometrial lining. Hysteroscopy was performed in the same or subsequent menstrual cycle, preferably in the proliferative phase, wit ha rigid 30 degree		A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes
study To verify the reliability of transvagin al	menstrual score >100 and who underwent a complete physical examination, transvaginal ultrasonograpgy		hysteroscope and diagnostic sheeth of 5mm diameter. Thirty minutes before the proceedure, 0.5mg atropine was injected i.m. Hysteroscopy was always carried out in sterile conditions after		If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
ultrasonog	, and outpatient		careful cleansing of		risk
raphy in	hysteroscopy		external genitalia, vagina,		
diagnosis	with endometrial		and cervix iwth a		B. Concerns
of	biopsy, were		povidone-iodine antiseptic		regarding applicability
intrauterin	included in the		solution. The investigation		Are there concerns
е	study.		was postponed if an acute		that the index test, its
diseasean	E walwaian		cervico-vaginal infection		conduct, or
d in	Exclusion Criteria	was present. Only in		interpretation differ	
evaluation		women with a history of		from the review	
of the	Patients with an		previous pelvic		question?
operability	IUD, who had		inflammatory disease was		Low concern
of	received		a single prophylactic 2g		
submucos	hormonal		dose of cefoxitin injected		Reference Standard
al	treatment in the		before hysteroscopy.		A. Risk of Bias
myomas,	last 3 months (6		Normal saline or a		A. RISK OF DIdS
and to determine	months for		urological solution of 2.7% sorbitol and 0.54%		Is the reference
the	GnRh), or who		mannitol was used to		standards likely to
feasibility,	have already		dilate the uterine cavity,		correctly classify the
acceptabili	undergone D&C		infused by a pneumatic		target condition?
ty and	or diagnostic or		cuff under manometric		Yes.
validity of	operative		control at a pressure of		Mana the reference
hysterosco	hysteroscopy		100-120 mmHg. For		Were the reference
py in	were excluded		illumination, a cold light		standard results
menorrhag	from this		source of high intensity		interpreted without knowledge of the
ic women	analysis.		and fibre optic cable was		results of the index
			used. All the procedures		tests? Unclear
Study			were monitored using an		
dates			endoscopic single-chip		Could the reference

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
July 1991- July 1996			video camera and the images were projected onto a monitor visible to		standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced
Source of funding			both gynaecologist and patient. Paracervical anaesthesia was		bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns
Not stated			administered only for comparative clinical studies or at the specific request of the patient. During hysteroscopy the patients were constantly attended and encouraged by a nurse and the gynaecologist explained every manouvre performed and described the progress of the investigation, commenting on the images projected on the monitor. Hysteroscopic diagnosis of myoma was made from the presence of a firm intracavitary formation with thin or no endometrial covering and superficial large blood vessels. The intramural extension of		regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			sessile tumours was determined by hysteroscopically by observing the angle of the fibroid with the myometrium at the uterine wall attachment. An endometrial polyp was diagnosed when a soft intracavitrary formation was observed that was easily mobilised and covered by a mucosa with endometrial glands and no distended vascular network. Endometrial hyperplasia was defined as a thick, hypervascular, friable mucosa that was mamillated or polypoid. At the end of the proceedure an intrauterine biospy was obtained with a small cutting curette. Expert operators performed all the ultrasonographic and hysteroscopic procedures and reported the findings in detail on pre-printed		Were all patients included in the analysis? No, 2.9% (23/793) dropped out, but explanations for all the dropouts were provided. Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk Other information

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
			forms. Submucosal myomas were subdivided independently at ultrasonography and hysteroscopy into tumors with intramural extension <50% (operable endoscopically) or >50% (no operable endoscopically).					
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation	n = 50	Index	All patients had	2D-TVUS versus	QUADAS-2 a quality			
Nanda, S., Chadha,	Characteristics	test	undergone diagnostic endometrial curettage	a) Endometrial p	assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy			
N., Sen, J., Sangwan, K.,	N., Sen, J., Sangwan, hospitalised for Nospitalised for	transvagi nal	before admission. The		Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	studies: Patient Selection
Transvagin al sonograph	hysterectomy for benign gynaecological	(2D-	bleeding (23 Patients) and fibroid uterus (27 patients). TVUS was	Polyp in index test	2	0	2	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or
y and saline infusion	indications Inclusion Criteria	TVUS) Referenc	performed using a broad- band endovaginal probe of 7.5MHz.	No polyp in index test	1	47*	48	random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not
sonohyster ography in	Abnormal	standard	Each patient underwent	Total	3	47	50	reported)

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
the evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding, Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecolo gy, 42, 530-534, 2002 Ref Id	uterine bleeding Exclusion Criteria Not specified	Histopat hology (hystere ctomy)	hysterectomy within a week of TVUS and SIS. After being removed, the uterus was opened and the left margin and fundus, and any lesions present in the uterine cavity were noted. Specimens were subsequently examined histologically. The pathologist was unaware of the ultrasound results. The findings of the pathologist were compared with those obtained at TVUS and SIS.	Sensitivity 66.79 Specificity 100% Positive likeliho Negative likeliho Prevalence of p	% (95% CI 92. od ratio inf ood ratio 0.3 (olyps 6%	5%-100%*)	-1.65*) Tot al	Was a case-control design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Unclear, exclusions not specified in methods Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: All premenopausal women with abnormal
548500 Country/ie s where the study was carried				Submucosal fibroid in index test	14	1	15	uterine bleeding, however, the proportion with HMB not reported. Are there concerns
out India				submucosal fibroid in index test	5	30*	35	that the included patients and setting do not match the review

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments	
Study type				Total 19	31	50	question? High concern	
				Sensitivity 70% (95% CI	48.8%-90.9%	*)	Index Test	
Prospectiv e cohort study				Specificity 96.7% (95%			A. Risk of Bias	
				Positive likelihood ratio 2	Positive likelihood ratio 21.2 (95% CI 3.25-160.02*			
Aim of the study				Negative likelihood ratio	0.3 (95% CI	0.13-0.58*)	results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the	
To evaluate the				Prevalence of submucos	al fibroids 389	%	reference standard? Yes	
accuracy of transvagin al							If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? No, not clearly defined	
sonograph y and saline infusion sonohyster ography							Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? High risk	
(SIS) in diagnosing submucos al fibroids and endometri							B. Concerns regarding applicability: The paper did not report who interpreted the	

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
al polyps in the patients of AUB					index test or what was the level of experience of the person(s).
Study dates					Are there concerns that the index test, its
Not stated					conduct, or interpretation differ from the review
Source of funding					question? Unclear concern
Not stated					Reference Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes
					Could the reference

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and re	esults			Comments
								Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes
								Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk
								Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation Dueholm, M., Forman,	n = 452 included in whole study	Index Test 2D		2D-TVUS versus h hysteroscopy or hy a) Polyp or submu	ysterectomy)	(operative		QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:
A	(n = 189 underwent operative follow	nal	a 5-7.5 MHz transvaginal transducer. Measure ment of the endometrium		Confirmed polyp/myoma	No polyp/ myoma	Total	Patient Selection
Laursen, H., Kracht, P	up and this cohort was used as the reference	`	included both endometrial layers (double layer). The contours of the	Polyp/myoma in index test	108	27	82	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample of
Transvagin al	standard to TVUS)	Poforono	endometrial cavity were studied from the internal os to the fundus in the	No polyp/myoma in index test	10	44	107	patients enrolled? Unclear (not
у	Characteristics Mean age 44.2	e Test	longitudinal and transverse planes. The	Total	118	71	189	reported) Was a case-control

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
with saline contrast sonohyster ography in evaluating the uterine cavity in premenop ausal patients with abnormal uterine bleeding,	22-55) years Inclusion Criteria Abnormal uterine bleeding (menorrhagia, metrorrhagia, and menometrorrha gia), were premenopausal (defined as being within 1 year of arrest of bleeding) and were below the age of 55 years. Patients on HRT and who	Histopat hology (via operativ e hysteros copy or hysterect omy)	midline echo was considered to be normal when a straight regular endometrial lining, with well-defined margins and without echodense foci, was found. When the midline echo was disturbed, polyps were defined as echogenic masses with a fairly homogenous texture without disruption of the myometrial-endometrial interface, while submucosal myomas had an inhomogeneous texture with possible continuity with the myometrium. Myomas disturbing the midline echo or exceeding a diameter of 15mm in the myometrium were counted. Submucosal myomas were classified according to the European	Sensitivity 92% (95% CI 85%-96%) Specificity 62% (95% CI 50%-73%) Positive likelihood ratio 2.41* (95% CI 1.78-3.26) Negative likelihood ratio 0.14* (95% CI 0.07-0.25) Prevalence of polyp/myoma 62% The paper reports also on "possible abnormality" in index test. In order to calculate diagnostic accuracy, "possible abnormalities" are grouped together with "abnormalities".	design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? No, participants with a IUD were excluded, less generalisable Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? High risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: All women premenopausal with abnormal uterine bleeding, however, the proportion of women with HMB not reported. Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
carried out	included when the duration of		Society of Gynaecologic Endoscopy classificatio:		question? High concern
Denmark	HRT was less than 3 years.		type 0 (pedunculated submucosal		Index Test
Study type	Exclusion Criteria		myomaswithout intramural extension), type I (sessile and with an intramural		A. Risk of Bias Were the index test
Prospectiv e cohort study	<35 years of age with a +ve chlamydia test,		part of less than 50%) and type II (with an intramural part of 50% or more).		results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the
Aim of the study	intrauterine contraceptive device,		The investigators classified the quality of the examinations as sufficient		reference standard? Yes
To evaluate whether	cardiopulmonar y disease, pregnancy, or		or insufficient for evaluation of the uterine cavity.		If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes
saline contrast sonohyster ography (SCSH)	infection-related bleeding disorders.		Operative hysteroscopy/hysterectom y		Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk
adds additional information to that obtained by transvagin			During operative hysteroscopy or hysterectomy the uterine cavity was described according to a standard form. The number of polyps and myomas was recorded and the mean		B. Concerns regarding applicability: The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or what

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
al			diameter of the largest		was the level of
sonograph			measured. Again myoma		experience of the
y for			were classified according		person(s)
prediciting			to the European Society of		
endometri			Gynaecologic Endoscopy		Are there concerns
al			classification. Operative		that the index test, its
abnormalit			hysteroscopy using a		conduct, or
y in			retroscope was performed		interpretation differ from the review
premenap			according to general		
ausal			guidelines. Three		question? Unclear
patients			experienced		concern
with			hysteroscopists performed		Reference Standard
abnormal			these procedures. The		
uterine			resected material was		A. Risk of Bias
bleeding			sent for pathological		
Study			examination and curettage		Is the reference
dates			was performed.		standards likely to
uales			At hysterectomy the		correctly classify the
January			presence and size of		target condition? Yes.
1st 1994-			abnormalities and the		res.
October			percentage of myomas in		Were the reference
1st 1995			the uterine cavity were		standard results
(centre 1)			described. The operative		interpreted without
,			procedures were		knowledge of the
March 1st			performed within 3 months		results of the index
1995-			of the sonographic		tests? Unclear,
October			examinations (abstract		interpreted by a
1st 1995			states 4 months -		pathologist, however
(centre 2)					

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Source of funding Not			discrepancy). Hysterectomy was performed in 74 patients, while 79 underwent		no documentation whether he was aware of the results or not
reported			hysteroscopic resection of polyps, myomas or endometrium.	f	Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					standard? No. The interval was 4 months between the tests.
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes, however method of retrieval was different in patients, hysteroscopy vs. hysterectomy. Were all patients
					included in the analysis? Yes, all patients who underwent surgical intervention were analysed.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? High risk
					Other information
					For TVUS vs histopathology, the paper reports a 3 x 3

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	I results			Comments
								table is used with an added indicator of "possible abnormality". Abnormalities and possible abnormalities combined under same indicator to calculate sensitivity and specificity.
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
citation	n = 100	Index	The assessment of the	1) 2D-TVUS ve	QUADAS-2 a quality			
Krampl, E., Bourne, T.,	(n = 88 for	test	uterine cavity consisted of 3 steps:	a) Thickened e	ndometrium			assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy
Hurlen-	analysis, as information on	2D transvagi	·		Confirmed			studies:
Solbakken, H., Istre, O.,	12 participants	transvagi nal ultrasou	scan		thickened	No thickened endometrium	Total	Patient Selection
Transvagin	extracted by	nd scan	Sonohysterography					A. Risk of Bias
al ultrasonog	one or more of the 2 methods)	(2D- TVUS;	Operative hysterography	Thickened endometrium	3	9*	12	Was a consecutive or random sample of
raphy	Characteristics	hysteros copy	TVUS	in index test				patients enrolled?
sonohyster ography	Р		Steps 1 and 2 were carried out in the	No thickened	6*	70*	76	Unclear (not reported)

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
and operative	remenopausal (n=89) Pos tmenopausal	Referenc e	outpatient clinic by the same operators.	endometrium in index test				Was a case-control design avoided? Yes
py for the evaluation	(n=11)		For transvaginal ultrasonography a 6 MHz	Total	9	79	88	Did the study avoid
of	Age 4 3.8y + 5.3	Histopat hology	transducer or a 7 5MHz	Sensitivity 33.39	% (95% CI 7.5%	%-70.1%)		inappropriate exclusions? Yes
uterine bleeding,	(range 29- 54 56.6y +	(via hysteros	uterine position was recorded. The	Specificity 88.69	,	,		Could the selection of patients have
Acta Obstetricia	7.4 (48-73) Hormonal	сору)	anterior/posterior diameter of the uterus, cavity length from the fundus to the	Positive likelihood ratio 2.93* (95% CI 0.96-8.88) Negative likelihood ratio 0.75* (95% CI 0.47-1.20)				introduced bias? Unclear risk
et Gynecolog	Tx 30.4%		isthmus and double layer endometrial thickness					B. Concerns regarding
ica Scandinavi	54.6%		were measured in the longitudinal plane as	Prevalence of the	nickened endor	applicability:		
ca, 80, 616-622, 2001	Inclusion Criteria		previously described. If polyps and fibroids were	b) Focal pathology (polyps/fibroids)#				No % breakdown of patients with AUB
	Abnormal		present, the largest					that have HMB, i.e. is the population >66%
Ref Id 548502	uterine bleeding Exclusion		diameters perpendicular to each other were measured.		Confirmed focal pathology	No focal patholog	Tota I	is unclear. Additionally, 11% of
Country/ie	Criteria		Sonohysterography was			y		population postmenopausal.
s where the study	An endometrial biopsy within		then performed. Endometrium:	Focal patholog	^y 5*	5*	10	Are there concerns
was carried out	the past year, large multiple fibroids causing		normal/abnormal. In premenopausal women, double-layer endometrium	No focal pathology	16*	62*	78	that the included patients and setting do not match the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	d results				Comments
Norway	discomfort and		thickness of less than	in index test					review
(collaborati on with	patients considered		12mm and single-layer endometrium thickness of	Total	21		67	88	question? High concern
U.K.)	medically unfit for general or		less than 6mm were arbitrarily considered to be	Sensitivity 23.5% (95% CI 6.8%-49.9%)					Index Test
Study	spinal anaesthesia.		normal, and thicker endometrium was	Specificity 93.0					A. Risk of Bias
type Prospectiv			classified as abnormal. In postmenopausal women	Positive likeliho	ood ratio 3.1	19* (95%	CI 1.02-9	9.96)	Were the index test results interpreted
e cohort study			4mm was used as a cut- off level to define	Negative likelihood ratio 0.82* (95% CI 0.64-1.06)				without knowledge of the results of the	
Aim of the study			normality. Irregularly thickened hyperechogenic endometrium was	Prevalence of focal pathology 24%				reference standard? Yes	
To evaluate			considered to be suggestive of endometrial carcinoma. If					If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Yes	
the diagnostic			endometrium was not	2) Hysteroscopa) Thickened e		•	logy		Could the conduct or
accuracy or transvagin al			clearly visible, the patient was excluded from analysis. Focal pathology:		Confirmed thickened endometriu	No th	iickened metrium	Total	interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk
ultrasonog raphy, sonohyster ography and			present/not present. Focal lesions of variable shape with an echo pattern similar to the endometrium	Thickened endometrium in index test	2	10*		12	B. Concerns regarding applicability: The test was conducted and
hysterosco			were classified as polyps. Well defined round	No thickened	7*	69*		76	interpreted by 2 of the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results				Comments
py in patients presenting			structures were classified as fibroids. The fibroid position were recorded.	endometrium in index test					authors in the paper, it is not clear the experience of the
with abnormal uterine			Hysteroscopy Operative hysteroscopy	Total Sensitivity 22.29	9 % (95% CI 2.8	79 3%-60.6%)	88		physicians at the time of publishing the paper in 2001.
bleeding.			was performed within 7 days in all cases. It was either performed or	ays in all cases. It was				۱ ۱	Are there concerns that the index test, its
dates Not reported			supervised by an	Positive likelihood ratio 1.76* (95% CI 0.45-6.79) Negative likelihood ratio 0.89* (95% CI 0.062-1.28)				,	conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unknown
Source of funding			the ultrasonography result. A 10mm restroscope was used.	Prevalence of abnormal endometrium 10%					concern Reference Standard
Not reported			The cavity was first evaluated visually. Focal	b) Focal pathology (polyps/fibroids)#					A. Risk of Bias
			lesions were completely removed and measured. Two large endometrial biopsies (depth 4mm)		Confirmed focal pathology	Ibatholo	Tot al		standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes.
			were taken by retroscope, one from the anterior wall and one from the posterior	Focal pathology	y 21*	9*	30		Were the reference standard results
			wall. Specimens obtained were immediately embedded in	No focal pathology	0*	58*	58		interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and I	results				Comments			
		formaldehyde and sent for	in index test					tests? Unclear,				
			histological examination at the department of Total 21 57 88		interpreted by a pathologist, however no documentation							
			gynaecological pathology. Endometrium: the endometrium was considered abnormal if						whether he was aware of the results or not			
			one or more of the	Positive likelihoo	d ratio 7.44* (9	5% CI 4.	.05-13	8.67)	Could the reference standard, its conduct,			
			following criteria were present: focal or diffuse increase of the endometrial thickness,	Negative likeliho	Vegative likelihood ratio 0.00*							
			irregularity of the endometrial surface, button-like proliferations,	Prevalence of focal pathology 24% ace,					B. Concerns regarding applicability			
			dilated glandular opening of yellowish colouror large superficial vessels. Friable necrotic areas and an irregular surface with irregular vascularisation were classified as endometrial carcinoma. Focal pathology: focal lesions, which were firm and round, were classified fibroids. Any pedunculated	standard was reported, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were reported. The total numbers and sensitivity and specificity were used to calculate the 2x2 table from which LR+ and LR- with 95% CI could be calculated. However, there is a discrepancy between the reporting of PPV and NPV compared to the calculations done by the NGA					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias Was there an			

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			not fulfil these criteria, was classified as endometrial	*Calculated by NGA technical team	appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
			polyp		Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? No, 12/100 patients were unable to be analysed, however text fully explains reasons for not being able to analyse.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					Other information
					For focal pathology, the results are not clear. The data that the paper reports is

Study details	Participants Tests Methods Outcomes and results							Comments
Full citation Cicinelli, E.,	Sample size n=52 Characteristics	Tests Index test	Before surgery, all of the patients underwent	Results 1) 2D-TVUS vers a) Myoma	ectomy)	Limitations QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for		
Romano, F.,	40-51 years old Premenopausal	2D transvagi nal	transabdominal sou sonohysteroscopy over a scan period of no more than 4 days. Diagnostic		Confirmed myoma	No myoma	Total	diagnostic accuracy studies: Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not
P. S., Blasi, N., Parisi, C.,	32 patients (67%) with	ultrasou nd scan (2D-		Myoma in index test	9	1	10	
Galantino, P., Transabdo	Menometrorrha gia No patients had	TVUS); hysteros copy	hysteros performed using a thin, copy rigid endoscope without	No myoma in index test	1	41	42	
minal sonohyster	pelvic	(outpatie nt)	any premedication. We obtained uterine distention	Total	10	42	52	reported)
ography, transvagin al sonograph	hy, agin disease or Pap smear abnormalities Abnormalities		dioxide with the	Sensitivity 90% (Specificity 97.6%		Was a case-control design avoided? Yes Did the study avoid		
y, and hysterosco py in the evaluation of	Inclusion Criteria Premenopausal women hospitalised for	Histopat hology (via hysterect omy)	using a 250-W cold light source. The ultrasound investigations consisted of conventional transvaginal	Positive likelihoo Negative likelihoo Prevalence of my	od ratio 0.1* (9	inappropriate exclusions? Unclear, no exclusions reported, inclusion criteria not well defined.		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
al hysterectomy myomas, for benign Obstet gynecologic GynecolO indications.			scanning followed by transabdominal sonohysterography, using an Aloka680 echograph	b) Polyp				Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?
bstetrics and gynecolog	Exclusion Criteria		equipped with a 3.5-MHz transabdominal convex probe and a 7.5-MHz		Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	Unclear risk B. Concerns
y, 85, 42- 7, 1995	None specified		transvaginal probe. All of the investigations	Polyp in index test	0	0	0	regarding applicability: Only 67% of the
Ref Id 557723			were performed by personnel unaware of the findings of the other	No polyp in index test	1	51	52	sample had HMB. Are there concerns that the included
Country/ie s where			examinations. The hysteroscopic examinations used	Total	1	51	52	patients and setting do not match the
the study was carried out			appropriate video equipment, and both the hysteroscopic and	Sensitivity 0.00 ^o Specificity 100%	,	,		review question? High concern Index Test
Italy			echographic images were recorded on video. All of the hysteroscopy and	Positive likeliho		95% CL 1 (0-1 00)	A. Risk of Bias
Study type			sonohysterography examinations were					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of
Prospectiv e cohort study			performed without holding the cervix uteri with a tenaculum.	Prevalence of p	olyp 1.92%			the results of the reference standard? Yes
Aim of the			Each patients underwent	2) Hysteroscopy	y (outpatient) ve	ersus histo	pathology	If a threshold was

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments	
study To assess			davs of her last	(hysterectomy) a) Myoma					
the usefulness of			received steroids or underwent dilation and curettage before		Confirmed myoma	No myoma	Total	Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have	
transabdo minal sonohyster			hysterectomy. After surgical removal, the uterus was cut in a frontal	Myoma in index test	10	0	10	introduced bias? Low risk B. Concerns	
ography in the diagnosis and			plane passing through the uterine cavity, and any lesions were described	No myoma in index test	0	42	42	regarding applicability: The paper did not report	
evaluation of submucos			carefully by a pathologist who was unaware of the clinical results. The	Total		42	52	who interpreted the index test or what was the level of	
al myomas.			pathologist was asked to measure the largest diameter of the myomas,	Sensitivity 100% Specificity 100%	,	,		experience of the person(s)	
Study dates			define their location, and calculate the percent of tumor intracavity growth.	Positive likelihoo Negative likeliho				Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or	
August 1993-April 1994 Source of			The specimens were then placed in a 10% formol saline solution for subsequent histologic confirmation of the	Prevalence of m	iyoma 19.23%			interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern	
funding Not			diagnosis of myoma. At hysteroscopy,	b) Polyp				Reference Standard A. Risk of Bias	

Study details	Participants	articipants Tests Methods O submucosal myomas and other endouterine abnormalities were 0	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
reported				Confirmed polyp	No polyp	Total	Is the reference standards likely to	
			distinguished according to the criteria published by Hamou et al. At	Polyp in index test	1	0	1	correctly classify the target condition? Yes Were the reference
			sonohysterography, myomas were distinguished from polyps	No polyp in index test	0	51	51	standard results interpreted without knowledge of the
			based on the complete endoluminal location of the polyp and its motility	Total	1	51	52	results of the index tests? Yes
			during fluid injection, the	Sensitivity 100%	6 (95% CI 2.5%	6-100%)		Could the reference
			less-echogenic nature of myomas in comparison with polyps or	Specificity 100%	6 (95% CI 93.0	,	1	standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced
			endometrium, and the	Positive likelihoo				bias? Low risk
			possibility of recognizing a continuity between a myoma and the	Negative likeliho	ood ratio 0.00			B. Concerns regarding applicability
			myometrium. These last criteria were also used for conventional transvaginal	Prevalence of p	olyp 1.92%			Are there concerns that the target
			sonography.	*Calculated by t	he NGA techni	cal team.		condition as defined by the reference standard does not
			myoma was defined on the basis of its level in relation to the uterine		match the question? Low concern			

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			cavity, the wall (anterior or posterior) and the side of implantation (right or left). The levels of the tumor sites were classified as follows: I, the lower half of the cervical canal; II, the upper half of the cervical canal; III, the supristhmic zone; IV, the corporal zone; and V, the fundal zone. The border between the corporal and fundal zones was used as an imaginary line passing through the tubal ostia. Care was taken to define the ingrowth of the myomas in the cavity, expressed as a percentage of the estimated size of the whole tumor.		Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes. Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk Other information
Full	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations

Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and r	results			Comments
, ,		All patients underwent 3 seperate studies: 1) routine vaginal probe	(hysteroscopy/hy		QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:		
complete study, 4 were lost to follow up, 2	nal ultrasou nd scan	2) hydrosonography,			No abnormality	Total	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias
scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy	ŤVUS)	hysterectomy. TVUS	Any abnormalit y in index test	8	2	10	Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled? Unclear (not
date of the study, 1 uterus	e Standard	received a routing vaginal probe ultrasonographic	No abnormality in index test	4	25	29	reported) Was a case-control
during a total	Histopat	sonographer who was	Total	12	27	39	design avoided? Yes
hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysteroscopy) Characteristics Mean age 38.5 years	Initiogy vsterectomy, ad 1 patient fusedInitiogy (via hysteros copy/hys terectom y)visualised and axially measurem myometria endometria Gross lesig myometria	visualised longitudinally and axially and a measurement of myometrial and endometrial thicknessand echogenicity was noted. Gross lesions of the myometrium, endometrium, and adnexa	Specificity 93% Positive likelihoo Negative likelihoo	Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk B. Concerns regarding			
	n=47 (n = 39 in analysis, 8 women didn't complete study, 4 were lost to follow up, 2 patients were scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy after the closing date of the study, 1 uterus was morcellated during a total vaginal hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysteroscopy) Characteristics Mean age 38.5	n=47Index Test(n = 39 in analysis, 82Dwomen didn't complete study, 4 were lost to follow up, 2transvagi nal ultrasou nd scan (2D- TVUS)patients were scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy after the closing date of the study, 1 uterus was morcellated during a total vaginal hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysteroscopy)Referenc e StandardReferenc e standardReferenc e standardWean age 38.5y)	n=47Index TestAll patients underwent 3 seperate studies:(n = 39 in analysis, 8 women didn't complete study, 4 were lost to follow up, 2 patients were scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy after the closing date of the study, 1 uterus was morcellated during a total vaginal hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysteroscopy)All patients underwent 3 seperate studies: 1) routine vaginal probe ultrasonography 2) hydrosonography, 3) either hysteroscopy or hysterectomy. TVUS)Notal abdominal hysterectomy and 1 patient refused hysteroscopy)Reference e StandardAll patients underwent 3 seperate studies: 1) routine vaginal probe ultrasonography 2) hydrosonography, 3) either hysteroscopy or hysterectomy. TVUSCharacteristicsNean age 38.5 yearsY)	n=47Index TestAll patients underwent 3 seperate studies:2D-TVUS versus (hysteroscopy/hy a) Any endometrianalysis, 8 women didn't complete study, 4 were lost to follow up, 2 patients were scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy after the closing date of the study, 1 uterus was morcellated during a total vaginal hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysterectomy)All patients underwent 3 seperate studies:2D-TVUS versus (hysteroscopy/hysis a) Potent in the participants first received a routing vaginal probe ultrasonographic examination by a sonographer who was blinded. The uterus was visualised longitudinally and axially and a measurement of myometrial and endometrial thicknessand echogenicity was noted. Gross lesions of the myometrium, endometrium, and adnexa2D-TVUS versus (hysteroscopy)No abnormality in index testNo abnormality in index testNo abnormality in index testNo abnormality in index testNo abnormality in index testSensitivity 67% (Specificity 93%	n=47 (n = 39 in analysis, 8 women didn't complete study, 4 were lost to follow up, 2 patients were scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy after the closing date of the study, 1 uterus was morcellated during a total vaginal hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysterectomy (na (2D- TVUS)All patients underwent 3 seperate studies: 1) routine vaginal probe ultrasonography 2) hydrosonography, 3) either hysteroscopy or hysterectomy. TVUS)2D- total abdominal hysterectomy. TVUS)2D- total abdominal hysterectomy. TVUS2D- total abdominal hysterectomy. TVUS2D- total abdominal hology binded. The uterus was visualised longitudinally and a xially and a measurement of myometrial and endometrial thicknessand endometrial thicknessand endometrial thicknessand endometrial mometrium, endometrium, and adnexa2D- TVUS versus histopatholo (hysteroscopy/hysterectomy) a) Any endometrial abnormalit y and 1 patient y)CharacteristicsNo and 28.5All patients underwent 3 second active	n=47Index TestAll patients underwent 3 seperate studies:2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysteroscopy/hysterectomy)analysis, 8 unalysis, 8 complete study, analysis, 8 women didn't transvagi nal were lost to follow up, 2 patients were scheduled for total abdominal hysterectomy after the closing date of the study, 1 uterus was morcellated during a total vaginal hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused hysterectomy, and 1 patient refused (N)All patients underwent 3 seperate studies:2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysteroscopy/hysterectomy)No all abnormality2D ultrasoungraphy, 3) either hysteroscopy or hysterectomy.No abnormalityNUS attraction by a sonographer who was binded. The uterus was visualised longitudinally and a valily and a measurement of myometrial and endometrial thicknessand ecogenicity was noted. Gross lesions of the myometrium, endometrium, and adnexa2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysteroscopy/hysterectomy)n=47 attracteristicsIndex tastAll patients underwent 3 seperate studies:2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysteroscopy or hysterectomy. TVUS)No attracteristicsReference examination by a sonographer who was binded. The uterus was visualised longitudinally and axially and a measurement of myometrium, endometrium, and adnexa2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysteroscopy)No abnormality1) routine vaginal complete study.No abnormalityNo abnormality2No abnormalityNo abnormality2No abnormalityN	n=47Index TestAll patients underwent 3 seperate studies:2D- seperate studies:2

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Ref Id	premenopausal		sonographer then recorded the findings on a		The proportion of
557724	8% postmenopausa		datasheet. Next, a physician who was	*Calculated by the NGA technical team.	patients with HMB is not specified, 92%
Country/ie s where			blinded performed the hydrosonography. This		premenopausal with abnormal uterine
the study	72% black, 23% white, and 5%		was either a 3rd or 4th year obstetrics-		bleeding.
was carried	hispanic		gynaecology resident		Are there concerns that the included
out U.S.A	Inclusion Criteria		physician who was supervised by an attending physician. After		patients and setting do not match the
Study	Abnormal uterine bleeding		an open-sided vaginal speculum was inserted,		review question? High concern
type	that had not responded to		the vagina and cervix were cleansed with an		Index Test
Prospectiv e cohort	appropriate medical therapy		antiseptic solution.		A. Risk of Bias
study Aim of the	Evolucion		Hysteroscopy		Were the index test results interpreted
study	Criteria		A diagnostic hysteroscopy was then performed		without knowledge or the results of the
To determine	Inability to undergo endovaginal		during the same visit in the case that no lesions		reference standard? Yes
whether the	ultrasonography , refusal to		were found during ultrasonographic studies. Diagnostic hysteroscopy		If a threshold was used, was it pre-
intrauterin e instillation	undergo hysteroscopy,		was performed with use of of a 5mm hysteroscope		specified? No (not reported)

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
al ultrasonog raphic imaging (hydroson ography) improves the	patients suspected as having anovulatory (dysfunctional) bleeding, and active menstrual bleeding.		with carbon dioxide gas insufflation. After preparing the cervix with an antiseptic solution, a paracervical block was placed. A 5mm hysteroscope was then advanced under direct visualisation into the uterus. Any masses found were characterised, measured, and recorded on a seperate data sheet. If masses were detected during the ultrasonographic studies, patients were scheduled for outpatient operative hysteroscopy, allowing confirmation of the diagnosis and removal of the masses at the same time.		Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? High risk B. Concerns regarding applicability: Sonographer performed the vaginal ultrasound, however experience not mentioned. Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern Reference Standard A. Risk of Bias Is the reference standards likely to

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
hysterecto my.					correctly classify the target condition? Yes
Study dates July 1, 1996- September					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Unclear
1, 1997 Source of funding Not reported					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Unclear risk
reported					B. Concerns regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern
					Flow and Timing A. Risk of Bias

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? No (either hysteroscopy or hysterectomy)
					Were all patients included in the analysis? No, 8/47 dropped out, but all accounted for in the text.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk
					Other information
					Diagnostic tests were aimed to be scheduled 2-3 days

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					after menses. Is this a true representation of clinical practice?

1

² What is the most clinically effective imaging strategy for diagnosing adenomyosis in women

3 with heavy menstrual bleeding?

4

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
Dakhly, D. M.	N=404 original	Index test	Ultrasound	2D-TVUS versu	s histopatholo	gy (hysterect	omy)	QUADAS-2 a
R., Abdel Moety, G. A. F., Saber, W., Gad Allah, S. H., Hashem, A. T., Abdel	sample N=292 included in analysis	2D transvaginal ultrasound scan (2D- TVUS)	2D-TVUS was performed for all participants by a single investigator using the 7.5- MHz vaginal transducer of the Medison Sonoace X6	Adenomyosis	adenomyosi s	No adenomyosi s		quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:
Salam, L. O.	Characteristic s		ultrasound machine (Medison Sonoace X6, South Korea).	in index test	136*	52*	*	Patient
E., Accuracy of Hysteroscopi c	0	Reference standard	Ultrasound was performed in the postmenstrual period for patients with menorrhagia,	No adenomyosis	26*	78*	104 *	Selection A. Risk of Bias

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
Endomyometr		Histopatholo	and when the bleeding was	in index test				Was a
	years (SD 3.3); in nonadenomyo	gical hysterectomy	minimal for patients with metrorrhagia. The uterus was scanned systematically. First,	Total	162	130	292	consecutive or random sample
, Journal of	sis group 44.77 years	specimen	it was examined in the longitudinal view. The	Sensitivity 84% ((95% CI* 77-8	39%)		of patients enrolled? Yes.
Invasive	(SD 3.93).		endometrial thickness was	Specificity 60% ((95% CI* 51-6	68%)		Was a case-
Gynecology, 23, 364-371,	Mean BMI in		measured at the widest point between the endometrial–	Positive likelihoo	od ratio* 2.10	(95% CI 1.68	-2.62)	control design avoided? Yes.
2016	adenomyosis group 29.07		myometrial interfaces. The uterine volume was obtained	Negative likeliho 0.39)	od ratio* 0.27	7 (95% CI 0.18	3-	Did the study
Ref Id	(SD 2.82); in nonadenomyo		by measuring the uterine	0.00)				avoid
510617	sis group		dimensions in 3 planes (length, width, and height),					inappropriate exclusions?
Country/ies where the	29.08 (SD 2.76).		and the volume was automatically calculated by	Prevalence of ac	denomyosis 5	5.5%		Yes.
study was	Mean parity in		the ultrasound machine.					Could the selection of
carried out	adenomyosis group 4.35		Adenomyosis was diagnosed	*Calculated by th	he NGA techr	nical team		patients have
Egypt	(SD 1.53); in		in the presence of ≥2 of the following 5 criteria:					introduced bias? Low risk.
Study type	nonadenomyo sis group 4.17		heterogeneous myometrial					B. Concerns
Prospective cohort study	(SD 1.15).		echo-texture; myometrial cysts; subendometrial echogenic linear striations;					regarding applicability:
Aim of the study	Clinical symptoms in adenomyosis		asymmetry of the anterior and posterior myometrium; and a					Not all participants had
To investigate	group: dysmenorrhea 54.3%,		poorly defined endometrial– myometrial junction. Heterogeneous myometrium					heavy menstrual

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
accuracy of	dyspareunia		was defined by the presence		bleeding, 64.2%
endomyometr	60.5%, chronic		of an indistinctly defined		had
ial biopsy	pelvic pain		myometrial area with		menorrhagia
obtained via	69.1%,		decreased or increased		(HMB) and
office	menorrhagia		echogenicity. Subendometrial		35.8% had
hysteroscopy	64.2%,		echogenic linear striations		menometrorrha
for the	menometrorrh		were defined by the		gia.
diagnosis of	agia 35.8%; in		appearance of echogenic		A no the and
adenomyosis.	nonadenomyo		lines fanning out from the		Are there
Study datas	sis		endometrial layer. Myometrial		concerns that
Study dates	group: dysmen		cysts were defined by the		the included
January 2015	orrhea 60.0%,		presence of variable-sized		patients and
to August	dyspareunia		nonvascularized cystic		setting do not match the
2015.	44.6%, chronic		anechoic spaces or lakes in		review
	pelvic pain		the myometrium. For the		question? High
Source of	66.2%,		diagnosis of myometrial		concern.
funding	menorrhagia		asymmetry, the ratio between		concern.
Not reported	55.4%,		the anterior and posterior wall		Index Test
Not reported.	menometrorrh		thickness was calculated. A		
	agia 43.1%.		ratio of approximately 1		A. Risk of Bias
	Inclusion		indicated that the myometrial		Were the index
	Criteria		walls were symmetrical, and a		test results
	ontonia		ratio >1or <1 indicated		interpreted
	Premenopaus		asymmetry.		without
	al women with				knowledge of
	clinical				the results of
	symptoms of		Histopathology		the reference
	adenomyosis,				standard? Yes.
	including		For the hysterectomy		
			specimens, 6 to 8 slides per		

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study details	Participants chronic pelvic pain, heavy menstrual bleeding (menorrhagia), menometrorrh agia, dysmenorrhea, and/or dyspareunia. Exclusion Criteria Postmenopaus al bleeding, pregnancy, refusal.		area were obtained from the fundus, anterior, posterior, and right and left lateral uterine walls, in addition to samples obtained from macroscopically abnormal areas of the myometrium. Adenomyosis was defined microscopically by the presence of ectopic endometrial glands and/or stroma in the myometrium, located .2.5 mm beyond the endometrial junction. Adenomyosis sometimes presented as a diffuse pattern affecting the whole myometrium or a focal pattern in whicha circumscribed nodular lesion mimicking an intramural myoma was seen. Adenomyosis was either	Outcomes and results	If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified? Yes. (Diagnosti c criteria of adenomyosis was defined.) Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk. B. Concerns regarding applicability: The paper does not report who interpreted the index test or the
			Adenomyosis was either superficial (affecting the inner one-third of the myometrium) or deep (affecting the outer		
			two-thirds of the whole myometrium).		Are there concerns that the index test,

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern.
					Reference Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards like to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard resul interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests Yes.
					Could the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern.
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patient receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? No. (112 women were excluded 64 women we given progesterone
					for dysfunctional uterine bleedi as proved by endometrial
					biopsy and th absence of other ultrasound
					abnormalities 17 women declined

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results				Comments
									hysterectomy; 31 women did not show up.)
									Could the patient flow have introduced bias? High risk.
									Other information
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results					Limitations
Abdel Hak, A.	N=50	Index test	Ultrasound	2D-TVUS ve	ersus histopa	athology (hy	stere	ctomy)	QUADAS-2 a
M., Accuracy of sonographic criteria for	Characteristic s Mean age	transvaginal ultrasound	All women underwent 2D transvaginal ultrasound examination using An Acuson			No adenomyo sis	Tot al		quality assessment tool for diagnostic
diagnosis of adenomyosis in	44.88 years (SD 2.84).	scan (2D- TVUS)	XP unit (Mountain View, California). All examinations were videotaped for further review by the same author,	Adenomyo sis	10	2	12		accuracy studies: Patient
perimenopau sal women	Mean gravidity 4.94 (SD	Reference	and representative images	in index test					Selection
with menorrhagia,	2.23).	standard	were stored on hard-copy films. During each 2D	No					A. Risk of Bias
Middle East Fertility Society	Mean parity 4.26 (SD 1.51).	Histopatholo gic specimen (hysterectom	transvaginal US examination, uterine size, endometrial thickness, and	adenomyo sis in index	5	33	38		Was a consecutive or random sample

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results				Comments
Journal, 15, 35-38, 2010	Inclusion	у)	subendometrial halo thickness were measured.	test]	of patients enrolled?
Ref Id	Criteria Perimenopaus		The diagnosis of adenomyosis was made when	Total	15	35	50		Unclear. (Not reported.)
369839	al women planned for		a poorly defined area of abnormal echo texture was	Consitivity *		/ 01 20 20 0	00 4 0	0()	Was a case- control design
Country/ies where the	hysterectomy for heavy		noted within the myometrium. Abnormal myometrial echo	Sensitivity*	,			,	avoided? Yes.
study was carried out	menstrual bleeding.		texture was defined if the myometrium demonstrated heterogeneity, decreased or	Positive like	,			,	Did the study avoid
Egypt	Exclusion Criteria		increased echogenicity, and/or the presence of cysts,	46.96) Negative like	elihood ratio	* 0 35 (95%		17-	inappropriate exclusions?
Study type	Women with		presence of linear striation, globular configuration of the	0.73)		0.00 (00 /0	010	/	Yes. (Although it is not clear
Prospective cohort study	chronic pelvic pain.		uterus. The exact location (ventral, dorsal, ventral and		<i>c</i>				whether or not the excluded
Aim of the study			dorsal, or diffuse) of the area suspicious for adenomyosis	Prevalence	of adenomy	osis 24.0%			women with chronic pelvic pain might have
To determine the accuracy			as well as the maximum depth of involvement (inner,	*Calculated	by the NGA	technical te	am		also had HMB.)
of transvaginal			middle, or outer third of the myometrium) were						Could the selection of
ultrasound in the diagnosis			documented for most patients.						patients have introduced
of uterine adenomyosis			Histopathology						bias? Unclear risk.
in perimenopau			All patients underwent a hysterectomy within of 7 days						B. Concerns regarding

Study details Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
sal menorrhagia. Study dates April 2008 to September 2008 Source of funding Not reported.		after undergoing endovaginal US. The initial histologic examination was performed by pathologists who were blinded to the findings at endovaginal US. The associated pathologic findings were documented for each patient. Histologic specimens were routinely taken from the anterior and posterior wall of each uterine section. Criteria used for the diagnosis of adenomyosis included the presence of endometrial glands and/or stroma greater than one high-power field deep to the endometrial– myometrial junction.		commentsapplicabilityAre there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? Low concern.Index TestA. Risk of BiasWere the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard? Unclear. (Not reported.)If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified? Yes. (Criteria

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					for TVUS diagnosis of adenomyosis was defined.)
					Could the conduct or interpretation o the index test have introduced bias? Unclear risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability:
					The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or the level of experience of the person(s).
					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					review question? Unclear concern.
					Reference Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards like to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard resu interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests Yes.
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern.
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes.
					Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk.
					Other information
					Sensitivity and specificity were incorrectly reported in the paper. The correct sensitivity and specificity were reported as positive and negative predictive

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes	and result	ts		Comments
								values while the correct positive and negative predictive values were reported as sensitivity and specificity.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
Botsis, D.,	N=194	Index test	Ultrasound	2D-TVUS v	versus histo	pathology	(hysterectomy)	QUADAS-2 a
Kassanos, D., Antoniou, G., Pyrgiotis, E., Karakitsos, P., Kalogirou,	Characteristic s The indication for surgery	transvaginal ultrasound scan (2D-	Ultrasound examination was performed using a Toshiba SSA-340 A ECCOCEE scanner (Toshiba Medical		Confirme d adenomy osis	No adenomy osis	Tot al	quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy
Adenomyoma and leiomyoma: differential	was an enlarged uterus with the following clinical	TVUS) Reference standard	Systems, Delft, The Netherlands) with a 5-MHz transvaginal probe. Five sonographic characteristics were evaluated: the location	Adenomy osis in index test	38*	14*	52*	studies: Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias
diagnosis with transvaginal sonography, Journal of Clinical	findings: menorrhagia and/or dysmenorrhea (172 patients), pressure or	Histopatholo gy (hysterectom y)	of the uterine mass, either anterior or posterior to the endometrium; the number of masses, 1, 2, or more than 2; the appearance of the margin of the mass, either distinct or	No adenomy osis in index test	10*	132*	14 2*	Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes	and resul	ts			Comments
Ultrasound, 26, 21-5, 1998	pain consistent with a mass lesion (5),		indistinct; the echogenicity, hyperechoic, hypoechoic, or of mixed echogenicity; and	Total	48	146	19 4		Unclear. (Not reported.)
Ref Id	dyspareunia (21),		the presence or absence of lacunae, with a lacuna	Sensitivity*	79% (95%	5 CI 65-90%	6)	-	Was a case- control design avoided? Yes.
434058	pollakiuria and nocturia (6),		defined as a hypoechoic area larger than 5 mm within the	Specificity*	90% (95%	5 CI 84-95%	6)		Did the study
	and rapid tumor growth (2). The mean		mass. The sonographic criteria for	Positive like 13.87)	elihood rati	o* 8.26 (95	% CI	4.91-	avoid inappropriate
carried out	age of the 206 patients		the diagnosis of adenomyosis were heterogeneous myometrial areas that were	Negative lik 0.40)	elihood ra	tio* 0.23 (9	5% C	0.13-	exclusions? Unclear. (Women with
Greece Study type	investigated was 46.7 years (range		not encapsulated and that contained anechoic lacunae	D. I.	f		0/		uterine nodules of less than 2
Prospective cohort study	35.7–51.8 years; SD		measuring 1–3 mm in diameter and an area characterized by irregular	Prevalence	of adenon	nyosis 24.7	%		cm in diameter were excluded [n=12] but it is
Aim of the study	3.82). The mean weight of the patients		cystic spaces measuring 1–7 mm in diameter (honeycomb	*Calculated	l by the NG	GA technica	l tear	n	unclear why.) Could the
To evaluate the capability of	was 70 kg (range 52–86 kg; SD 9.5). The mean weight of the uteri was 160		pattern) and disrupting the normal fine speckled echo pattern of the uterus. The sonographic examination was considered diagnostic of adenomyosis when at least 3						selection of patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk.
to differentiate	g (range 60– 370 g; SD 61.4). The mean duration		Parameters were positive. Histopathology A histopathologic diagnosis of						B. Concerns regarding applicability:

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
leiomyomas.	of		adenomyosis was made only		
Study dates	menstruation in this group		when endometrial glands and stroma were found within the		Not all women had HMB as a
1993 to 1994.	was 6.0 days (range 3–12		myometrium more than 1 high-power microscopic field		symptom 83% had HMB
Source of funding	days; SD 1.83).		below the basal endometrium. The severity of adenomyosis		and/or dysmenorrhea,
Not reported.	Inclusion Criteria		was graded as minimal when only the inner layer of the myometrium had been		the proportion with HMB was not reported.
	Women who underwent hysterectomy due to an enlarged uterus with clinical symptoms.		invaded, moderate when the middle layer had been penetrated, and marked or severe when all the layers were involved.		Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern.
	Exclusion Criteria				Index Test
	Uterine nodules less				A. Risk of Bias
	than 2 cm in diameter.				Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					the reference standard? Yes
					If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified Yes. (The diagnostic criteria of adenomyosis in the index test was defined.)
					Could the conduct or interpretation o the index test have introduce bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability:
					The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or th level of experience of the person(s).

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern.
					Reference Standard A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards like to correctly classify the target condition? Ye
					Were the reference standard resu interpreted without knowledge of the results of

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					the index tests? Yes.
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern.
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? No. (12 women were excluded due to uterine nodules less than 2 cm in diameter, reason unclear.)
					Could the patient flow have introduce bias? Unclear

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	results			Comments
								risk.
								Other information
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
Exacoustos, C., Brienza,	N=74 women fit inclusion	Index test	Ultrasound	1) 2D-TVUS ver (hysterectomy)	sus histopath	ology		QUADAS-2 a quality
L., Di Giovanni, A., Szabolcs, B., Romanini, M.	byanni, A., abolcs, B., manini, M. to morcellation	All patients underwent 2D, 3D and power Doppler TVUS of the pelvic organs in a single examination during the		Confirmed adenomyos is	No adenomyos is	Tota I	accuracy	
E., Zupi, E., Arduini, D., Adenomyosis : three-	of the uterus. N=72 included in analysis	TVUS menstrual cyc cluded months sis before surgery	menstrual cycle within 2 months before surgery. Each scan	Adenomyosis in index test	24*	4*	28*	studies: Patient Selection
dimensional sonographic findings of the junctional	Characteristic s The mean age	Reference standard Histopatholo	was performed by one of three expert sonographers, using an E8 (GE Healthcare, Zipf,	No adenomyosis in index test	8*	36*	44*	A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or
zone and correlation	of the 72 patients	gy (hysterectom	Austria) ultrasound machine equipped with a multifrequency 3D	Total	32	40	72	random sample of patients
with histology, Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology :	included in the analysis was 46.7 (range 38–52) years. Indications for	y)	volume endovaginal probe (2.8–10 MHz). Power Doppler was used to evaluate the vascularization of the myometrial tissue. All 2D and	Sensitivity* 75% Specificity* 90% Positive likelihoo) (95% CI 76-	97%)	9.4)	enrolled? Yes. Was a case- control design avoided? Yes.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	d results			Comments
the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics	surgery included menorrhagia or abnormal uterine bleeding in 55		3D ultrasound evaluations and measurements were done during the same examination period and by the same operator.	Negative likelih 2) 3D-TVUS ve (hysterectomy)	5-0.51)	Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes.		
and Gynecology, 37, 471-479, 2011	(76%) patients, uterine prolapse in		The 2D-TVUS examination included evaluation and measurement of the pelvic organs. The			No adenomyos is	Tota I	Could the selection of patients have introduced
Ref Id 370269	seven (10%) and ovarian pathology in		uterus, endometrium and adnexa were evaluated for any abnormalities. The	Adenomyosis in index test	29*	5*	34*	bias? Low risk. B. Concerns
Country/ies where the study was	10 (14%). Mean body mass index (BMI) in the		uterus and endometrium were measured and the uterine volume calculated by means of the ellipsoid formula	No adenomyosis in index test	3*	35*	38*	regarding applicability: Not all women
carried out	group of women with adenomyosis		(uterine longitudinal diameter ×	Total	32	40	72	included in the study had HMB. 81.3% of the
Study type	in histology was 24.3 (SD 3.3 and in the		transverse diameter × anteroposterior diameter × 0.532). Any	Sensitivity 91% Specificity 88%	women with histologically confirmed adenomyosis had HMB and			
Prospective cohort study	group of women without		myometrial lesions (myomas and signs of adenomyosis) were described	Positive likelihood ratio 7.3 (95% CI 3.2-16.6)				
Aim of the study	adenomyosis in histology was 24.5 (SD		adenomyosis) were described and measured. We determined the presence of	Negative likelihood ratio 0.11 (95% CI 0.03-0.31)				72.5% of the women without adenomyosis in
To correlate with	2.9). Mean gravidity was		certain TVS features associated with	Prevalence of adenomyosis 44.4%				histological examination

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			adenomyosis: myometrial		had HMB.
	the group with		cysts and heterogeneous		Are there
the	adenomyosis		areas, myometrial hypoechoic	*Calculated by the NGA technical team	Are there
adenomyosis-			linear striations, diffuse		concerns that
nduced	and 1.5 (SD		vascularity and asymmetry of		the included
morphological	,		the		patients and setting do not
	non-		myometrial wall.		match the
the outer	adenomyosis		Asymmetrical myometrial		review
,	group. Mean		walls were		question? High
and the	parity was 0.8		defined as a regular enlarged		concern.
,	(SD 1.0) in the		uterus with asymmetry		concern.
um	adenomyosis		unrelated		Index Test
	group and 1.2		to leiomyoma, heterogeneous		
zone', JZ)	(SD 0.9) in the		myometrium as an		A. Risk of Bias
	non-		indistinctly defined myometrial		Were the index
wo- (2D) and			area with decreased or		test results
	group. 81.3%		increased echogenicity,		interpreted
	of the women		myometrial hypoechoic linear		without
- /	in the		striations		knowledge of
ransvaginal	adenomyosis		as a pattern of thin acoustic		the results of
	group had HMB		shadowing not arising		the reference
maging	compared with		from echogenic foci and/or		standard? Yes
	72.5% in the		leiomyoma, and myometrial		
diagnostic			cyst as a round anechoic area within the myometrium.		If a threshold
accuracy for	non- adenomyosis				was used, was
	group. 84.4%		Overall diagnostic criteria of		it pre-specified
auenomyosis.	of the women		adenomyosis in the 2D-TVUS		Yes. (Diagnost
Study dates	in the		was based on the presence		c criteria for
	adenomyosis		of ≥2 of the following		adenomyosis i

		Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
~ · ·	group had		individual ultrasonographic		the index tests
September	dysmenorrhea		features: myometrial cysts;		were defined.)
2008 to	compared with		asymmetrical myometrial		
January	47.5% in the		cysts; hypoechoic striations;		Could the
2010.	non-		heterogenous myomerial		conduct or
Source of	adenomyosis		cysts.		interpretation of
funding	group.				the index test
unung			Power Doppler was		have introduced
Not reported.	Inclusion		performed using fixed		bias? Low risk.
•	Criteria		preinstalled		B. Concerns
	Premenopaus		settings: frequency, 6–9 MHz		regarding
	al women who		('normal'); pulse repetition		applicability
	had benign		frequency, 0.6–0.3 kHz; gain,		
	pelvic		-4.0; wall motion filter,		Are there
	pathology		'low 1' (40 Hz). If necessary,		concerns that
	(diagnosed by		power Doppler gain was reduced until all color artifacts		the index test,
	ultrasound or		had disappeared. This		its conduct, or
	office		modality was used to		interpretation
	hysteroscopy)		distinguish between a		differ from the
	and were		myometrial		review
	scheduled for		cyst and a vascular		question? Low
	hysterectomy.		component, and between		concern.
			leiomyoma		Reference
	Exclusion		and focal adenomyosis.		Standard
	Criteria		Localized adenomyosis and		Stanuaru
	Pregnant and		adenomyoma were		A. Risk of Bias
	postmenopaus		characterized by the presence		
	al women,		of rare,		Is the reference
	those with		diffuse vessels, while fibroids		standards likely

Study details Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
reproductive tract cancer, those on GnRH analog therapy or other hormonal therapy, and those with fibroids >8 cm in maximum diameter or more than three fibroids >5 cmin maximum diameter on ultrasound examination prior to surgery. Two patients were later excluded due to morcellation of the uterus.		 had flow aligned along the external myoma capsule, appearing on imaging as a vascular ring. Using 3D-TVUS, a volume of the uterus was then acquired in order to obtain the coronal view. Two to four static gray-scale volumes of the uterus were obtained from the sagittal plane and from the transverse plane. The volume acquisition technique was standardized according to the following criteria: frequency, 6–9 MHz; magnification of the uterus up to half of the screen; sweep angle, 120°; sweep velocity, adjusted from medium to maximum quality; 3D volume box exceeding the uterus by 1 cm on each side. Overall diagnostic criteria of adenomyosis in the 3D-TVUS was based on the presence of ≥2 of the following ultrasonographic 		to correctly classify the target condition? Yes. Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes. Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk. B. Concerns regarding applicability Are there concerns that the target condition as

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			features: JZmax ≥8		defined by the
			mm; JZmax – JZmin ≥4		reference
			mm; JZ ratio ≥50%; JZ		standard does
			alteration; myometrial cysts;		not match the
			asymmetrical myometrial		question? Low
			cysts; heterogeneous		concern.
			myometrial cysts.		
					Flow and
			Histopathology		Timing
			Hysterectomy was performed		A. Risk of Bias
			in a manner		
			appropriate for their clinical		Was there an
			condition (laparotomic,		appropriate
			laparoscopic or vaginal		interval
			hysterectomy). The entire		between index
			uterus		test and
			was sent to the pathologist,		reference
			except in cases in which		standard? Yes
			morcellation of the uterus had		
			occurred.		Did all patients
			Histopathological examination		receive the
			was performed by a single		same referenc
			pathologist, who was blinded		standard? Yes
			to the sonographic data		Were all
			and who had been specifically		patients
			asked to evaluate the JZ		included in the
			(innermyometrium) and the		analysis? No.
			outer myometrium.		(Two patients
			Histological		were excluded
					were exclude

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			sections encompassing the full uterine wall thickness, from endometrium to serosa, were used for the study. In each case, at least eight slices were obtained, with at least one being from each of the fundus and the anterior, posterior and lateral walls of the uterus. Samples were also obtained from macroscopically abnormal areas of the myometrium. Adenomyosis was defined histopathologically by the presence of endometrial glands and stroma in the myometrium, located >2.5 mm beyond the endomyometrial junction. In some cases it remained diffuse pathology and was evaluated by grade according to depth and number of endometrial		due to a morcellation of the uterus, however, due to the small number, unlikely to affect the findings.) Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk. Other information

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes ar	nd results			Comments
			islets in the myometrium. In others it was seen as a circumscribed nodular lesion mimicking an intramural myoma, which was defined as adenomyoma. For the purposes of statistical analysis in this study, only the presence or absence of adenomyosis was considered.					
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
Alborzi, S.,	N=81	Index test	Ultrasound	2D-TVUS ver	QUADAS-2 a			
Parsanezhad, M. E., Mahmoodian, N., Alborzi,	Characteristic s Not reported.	transvaginal ultrasound	Transvaginal ultrasound scan (HS-2000, Honda-el., Toyohashi, Japan)		Confirmed adenomyos is	No adenomyos is	Tota I	quality assessment tool for diagnostic
S., Alborzi, M., Sonohysterog raphy versus	Inclusion Criteria	scan (2D- TVUS)	was performed using a 7.5 MHz transvaginal transducer by the first author. The midline echo was	Adenomyosi s in index test	5*	8*	13	accuracy studies: Patient
transvaginal sonography for screening of patients with abnormal	Abnormal uterine bleeding. Exclusion Criteria	Reference standard Histopatholo gical	considered to be normal when a straight endometrial lining with well defined margins and without	No adenomyosi s in index test	4*	64*	68	Selection A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results				Comments
uterine bleeding, International	Not reported.	specimen from hysteroscopy	echo dense foci was found. The most common ultrasonic	Total	9	72	81		random sample of patients enrolled?
Journal of Gynaecology			finding of adenomyosis was simply diffuse uterine	Sensitivity 55 Specificity 88	,	,			Unclear. (Not reported.)
& Obstetrics, 96, 20-3, 2007			in echotexture and contour. Focal	Positive likelil	nood ratio* 5.	0 (95% CI 2.0		,	Was a case- control design avoided? Yes.
Ref Id			adenomyosis was diagnosed when a poorly defined area of abnormal	Negative likel 1.04)	ihood ratio**	0.50 (95% CI	0.24-		Did the study
400994 Country/ies where the			echotexture is present in the myometrium with increased or decreased	Prevalence o	f adenomyosi	s 11.1%			avoid inappropriate exclusions?
study was carried out			echogenecity. Histopathology	*Calculated b	w the NCA to	choicel team			Unclear. (No exclusions were reported.
Iran Otaala taraa			During hysteroscopy the uterine cavity was evaluated		y the NGA le	chincal team.			Inclusion criteria was not clearly defined
Study type Prospective			and findings were recorded. All						either.)
cohort study Aim of the			myomas and polyps were removed by a resectoscope (Karl Storz						Could the selection of patients have
study			GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany). In all patients						introduced bias?
To compare the accuracy of saline			a relatively deep specimen from the anterior and						Unclear risk. B. Concerns
infusion			posterior wall of the uterus was resected and						regarding

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
sonohysterog			sent to a pathologist for the		applicability:
raphy (SIS) with transvaginal sonography (TVS) for the screening of causes of abnormal			diagnosis of adenomyosis.		The proportion of included patients with HMB is unclear. All included women had abnormal
uterine bleeding (AUB) in out- patients.					uterine bleeding but not specified further.
Study dates June 2004 to November 2005.					Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the
Source of funding					review question? High
Not reported.					concern. Index Test
					A. Risk of Bias
					Were the index test results interpreted

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes.
					If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified Yes. (Diagnostic criteria for adenomyosis ir the index test was defined.)
					Could the conduct or interpretation o the index test have introduce bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability:
					The paper did not report who interpreted the index test or

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					what was the level of experience of the person(s).
					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Unclear concern.
					Reference Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the referenc standards likel to correctly classify the target condition? Yes
					Were the reference standard result

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests Yes.
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduce bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern.
					Flow and

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes
					Did all patients receive the same referenc standard? Yes
					Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes.
					Could the patient flow have introduce bias? Low risk
					Other information
					Inclusion and exclusion

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and	l results			Comments
								criteria were not reported clearly. Characteristics of the included patients were not reported.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results				Limitations
Darai, E., Rouger, J., Detchev, R., Uzan, S.,were divided into two groups:2D transvaginal ultrasound scan (2D- TVUS); 2DSonogra were per Ultrama HDI 300 Techno			Ultrasound Sonographic examinations were performed with an Ultramark HDI 3000 unit (Advanced Technology Laboratories,	1) 2D-TAUS ve (hysterectomy) Group 1 (women with re evidence of leic diseases on tra	QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:			
transvaginal with recurrent transabdo sonography for the agia but no ultrasound diagnosis of evidence of scan (2D-	nal ultrasound scan (2D-	Bothell, WA, USA). Pelvic TAUS was performed using a wideband 2- to 4-MHz transducer, and		Confirmed adenomyos is	No adenomyos is	Tota I	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias	
adenomyosis, with histopathologi	leiomyomata and endometrial	TAUS)	transvaginal examination with a wide-band 5- to 9-MHz transducer. Color Doppler	Adenomyosis in index test	12	1	13	Was a consecutive or
cal correlation, Ultrasound in Obstetrics	diseases on transabdomina I examination.	Reference standard Histopatholo	examination was performed using a pulse repetition frequency of 1000–1500 Hz, a wall filter	No adenomyosis in index test	9	1	10	random sample of patients enrolled? Yes.
and	Group 2 (n=106) all	gу	of 50 Hz, and a highpriority					Was a case-

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results			Comments
Gynecology, 20, 605-611,	other women.	(hysterectom	color setup. Each examination was interpreted in	Total	21	2	23	control design avoided? Yes.
2002	Characteristic s	y)	real time and videotaped by two investigators. The first	Sensitivity* 54	1		J	Did the study
Ref Id 369942	The indications for		investigator (M.B.) evaluated 79 patients,	Specificity* 50	0.00% (95%)	CI 1.26-98.74	4%)	avoid inappropriate
Country/ies	surgery were menorrhagia		and the second (J.R.) the remaining 50 patients. The	Positive likelił	nood ratio* 1.	14 (95% CI (0.27-4.8	0) exclusions? Yes.
where the study was carried out	and/or metrorrhagia (n = 92),		two investigators had, respectively, 8 and 3 years' experience in female pelvic	Negative likel 3.73)	ihood ratio* ().86 (95% CI	0.20-	Could the selection of patients have
France	endometrial carcinoma (n =		ultrasonography. During each sonographic	Group 2	,			introduced bias? Low risk
Study type	13), cervical intraepithelial		examination, the uterine	(all other won	nen)			B. Concerns
Prospective	neoplasia (n = 8), adnexal		borders (regular or irregular), uterine size, myometrial echotexture,		adenomyos	No adenomyos	Tota I	regarding applicability:
Aim of the study	masses (n = 12), and genital		and the presence of associated abnormalities	Adenomyos	is	IS		Not all of the included
To evaluate the diagnostic	prolapse (n = 13).		(including myomata) were noted.	is in index test	2	3	5	patients had HMB. 73.6% o the total samp
value of TAS and TVS for adenomyosis, and to identify factors	Mean age was 44.3 years (SD 4.8) in group 1 and 53.4 years (SD 11.1) in		Diagnostic criteria for adenomyosis by TAUS included an enlarged regular uterus with	No adenomyos is in index test		77	101	(100% in group 1 and 67.9% ir group 2) had menometrorrha gia.
influencing the sensitivity	group 2. Mean gravidity was		no evidence of leiomyoma and/	Total	26	80	106	Are there

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results			Comments
and specificity of these methods in symptomatic unselected women. Study dates January 1996 to April 1998. Source of funding Not reported.	3.1 (SD 1.4) in group 1 and 2.2 (SD 1.5) in group 2. Mean parity was 2.4 (SD 1.1) in group 1 and 1.6 (SD 1.3) in group 2. In group 1 1 out of 23 women was menopausal, and in group 2, 38 out of 106 were		or presence of myometrial cysts. Diagnostic criteria by TVUS were as follows: a globular and/or asymmetric uterus, a poorly defined focus of abnormal myometrial echotexture, distorted and heterogeneous myometrial echotexture, myometrial linear striations, and myometrial cysts. Globular and/or asymmetric uterus was defined as a regular enlarged	Sensitivity* 7 Specificity* 9 Positive likeli 11.61) Negative like 1.08) 2) 2D-TVUS (hysterectom Group 1 (women with	.69% (95% C 6.25% (95% hood ratio* 2 lihood ratio* (versus histop y) recurrent me	CI 89.43-99.3 .05 (95% CI 0 0.96 (95% CI pathology	22%) 0.36- 0.85- gia but no	concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern. Index Test A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without
	menopausal. In group 1, 8.7% of the women had pelvic pain and 100% had		uterus with possible myometrial asymmetry unrelated to leiomyoma. Heterogeneous myometrium was defined by the presence	evidence of le diseases on	eiomyomata a transabdomir	and endomet nal examinatio No	rial	knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes.
	menometrorrh agia, in group 2, 8.5% had pelvic pain and 67.9% had		of an indistinctly defined myometrial area with decreased or increased echogenicity. Myometrial hypoechoic linear striations were defined as a	Adenomyos is in index test	17	0	17	was used, was it pre-specified? Yes. (A diagnostic criteria for
	metromenorrh agia.		radiate pattern of thin acoustic shadowing not arising from	No adenomyos	4	2	6	adenomyosis in the index tests were defined.)

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results					Comments
	Inclusion Criteria		echogenic foci and/or leiomyoma. Myometrial cyst was	is in index test					Could the conduct or interpretation of
	Women scheduled for		defined as a round anechoic area of 1–7 mm diameter8,9. With the exception of diffuse	Total	21	2	23		the index test have introduced bias? Low risk.
	hysterectomy undergoing an		heterogeneous myometrium that appeared non-specific for	Sensitivity* 80	0.95% (95%	CI 58.09-94.	55%)		
	ultrasound examination		adenomyosis, the diagnosis	Specificity* 10	00.00% (95%	6 CI 15.81-10	00.00%	6)	
Exclusion Criteria Surgery cancelled,	beforehand.		Color Doppler was used to distinguish between a myometrial cyst and a vascular component, and between	Positive likelihood ratio* Not calculable (infinity)					applicability
	Criteria Surgery cancelled,	c lusion t eria gery celled,		Negative likelihood ratio* 0.19 (95% CI 0.08- 0.46) Group 2 (all other women)					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review
	myomectomy, endometrial resection.		leiomyoma and focal adenomyosis. Localized adenomyosis and adenomyoma were		Confirmed adenomyo sis	No adenomyo sis	Tot al		question? Low concern. Reference
		characterized by the absence of flow or by the presence of straight vessels traversing a	Adenomyos is in index test	10	2	12		Standard A. Risk of Bias Is the reference	
			hypertrophic myometrium. Adenomyosis was classified	No adenomyosi	16	78	94		standards likely to correctly classify the

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results			Comments
			according to its uterine	in index test				target
			location.]		condition? Yes
			Its extent was evaluated	Total	26	80	106	Were the
			according to inner, middle,					reference
			and	Sensitivity* 3	8.46% (95%	CI 20.23-59	.43%)	standard resul
			outer involvement by adenomyotic lesions. Finally,	Specificity* 9	7 50% (95%	CI 01 26-00	70%)	
			the location	opecificity 9	1.50 % (55 %	0191.20-99	.1070)	without
			and the number of myometrial	Positive likelil	hood ratio* 1	5.38 (95% 0	CI 3.60)- knowledge of
			cysts were recorded. All	65.74)				the results of
			these criteria were evaluated	Negative like	libood ratio*	0 63 (05% C	1046	the index tests
			by TVUS.	0.86)		0.03 (95 % C	10.40	Yes.
			Histopathology	,				Could the
								reference
			Histopathological examination	Overall preva	lence of ade	enomyosis 36	6.4%.	standard, its
			was performed by the same	· · ·	- · · · ·			conduct, or its
			pathologist, who was blinded	Prevalence in		.3%; prevale	ence ir	-
			to the sonographic data. Gross	Group 2 24.5	%.			have introduce bias? Low risk
			and microscopic					DIAS? LOW TISK
			histopathological					B. Concerns
			examinations were performed	*Calculated b	y the NGA t	echnical tear	n	regarding
			according to Molitor's method.					applicability
			Specimens were					Are there
			oriented by a fixed mark on					Are there concerns that
			the anterior uterine wall.					the target
			Uterus					condition as
			weight, macroscopic					defined by the
			appearance, and associated					reference

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			pathological		standard does
			abnormalities were recorded.		not match the
			Fundal, anterior, posterior,		question? Low
			right		concern.
			and left maximal uterine wall		Flow and
			thicknesses were measured.		Timing
					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
			Macroscopically,		A. Risk of Bias
			adenomyosis was diagnosed		Mas there on
			as an		Was there an
			enlarged uterus, a globular		appropriate interval
			and/or asymmetric uterus,		between index
			and a		test and
			dense anarchically		reference
			fasciculated unlimited		standard? Yes
			myometrium with		
			small cavities (0.5–10 mm).		Did all patients
			Focal adenomyosis was defined		receive the
			by the presence of		same reference
			adenomyotic lesions		standard? Yes
			restricted to one		Were all
			uterine wall (localized		patients
			adenomyosis). Adenomyoma		included in the
			was		analysis? No.
			defined as a circumscribed		(N=23 patients
			nodular lesion mimicking		from the origin
			intramural myoma. In other		sample were
			cases, adenomyosis was		excluded

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			defined as diffuse pathology.Block sections were taken from the fundal, anterior, posterior, right and left uterine walls, and from macroscopically abnormal areas. The number of slides ranged from five to 15 depending on myometrial thickness.Histopathological diagnostic criteria for adenomyosis included the presence of ectopic endometrial tissue within the myometrial junction. Smooth- muscle cells surrounding ectopic endometrial areas were noted. Adenomyosis was classified according to the uterine		because the surgery was cancelled [n=6]; they underwent myomectomy [n=6]; or they underwent endomterial resectomy [n=11]. Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Unclear risk. Other information

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			location, the depth of myometrial involvement, and the degree of involvement. Adenomyosis was graded according to the depth of myometrial involvement. Grades 1, 2, and 3 corresponded, respectively, to adenomyotic involvement of the inner third, two-thirds, and entire myometrium. Adenomyosis was also defined as mild, moderate, or severe according to the number of endometrial islets observed (one to three, four to nine, and ten or more foci, respectively).		
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Dueholm, M., Lundorf, E., Hansen, E.	N= 108 Characteristic	Index Test 2D	Two patients were excluded as their uteri were morcelated at hysterectomy	1) 2D-TVUS versus histopathology (hysterectomy)	QUADAS-2 a quality assessment

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes ar	nd results			Comments
S., Sorensen, J. S., Ledertoug, S., Olesen,	The indications for hysterectomy were abnormal	ultrasound scan (2D- TVUS); MRI	(laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy), and therefore, standard pathologic examination could not be			No adenomyos is	Tota I	tool for diagnostic accuracy studies:
F., Magnetic resonance imaging and transvaginal ultrasonograp	uterine bleeding in 51 patients (48%),	Reference Test Histopatholo	performed. Thus, 106 patients had MRI followed immediately by TVUS. Hysterectomy was completed within 2 weeks of these examinations. Findings	Adenomyosi s in index test	13	18	31	Patient Selection A. Risk of Bias
hy for the diagnosis of adenomyosis, Fertility and Sterility, 76, 588-594,	symptomatic myomas in 35 (33%), lower abdominal pain or endometriosis in 17 (16%),	gical specimen from hysterectomy	were compared with the findings at pathologic examination as the true value. MRI, TVUS, and pathologic examinations were performed independently and without	No adenomyosi s in index test	6	33	39	Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled? Yes. Was a case-
2001 Ref Id	and dysplasia or prior borderline		knowledge of the other investigators' findings and the findings were evaluated	Indefinite index test	3	33	36	control design avoided? Yes.
370238	ovarian tumor		consecutively.	Total	22	84	106	Did the study avoid
Country/ies where the study was carried out Denmark	in 3 patients (3%). Abnormal bleeding was present in 82 (77%) of the		MRI All MRI scans were evaluated by a single MRI specialist (EL). MRI was performed with 1.5- Tesla scanners (Signa,	Indefinite find following: Sensitivity* 59	ings included	as negative i	in the	inappropriate exclusions? Un clear. (Inclusion and exclusion criteria not very
Study type	patients. The mean age		General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI and Gyroscan ACS.NT, Philips).	Specificity* 78 Positive likelih	-		-	well defined.)
Prospective	(SD) was 44.7 years (SD 5.2;		We acquired 4-mm slices with				.0110	selection of

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results			Comments	
cohort study Aim of the study To compare the diagnostic	range 28–58 years), the mean parity 1.73 (SD 1.18; range 0–4), and the mean		1-mm spacing in the sagittal, coronal, and axial planes relative to the orientation of the uterine cavity, using T2- weighted fast (turbo) spin echo sequences (TR/TEef,	Negative like 0.87) 2) MRI versu				patients have introduced bias? Unclear risk. B. Concerns	
potential of magnetic resonance imaging	number of pregnancies 2.68 (SD 1.59; range 0–7).		3500–4000 mseconds/90 mseconds, echo train length 16) in all tree planes. We used surface coils (phase		Confirmed adenomyo sis	No adenomyo sis	Tot al	regarding applicability: Abnormal bleeding	
(MRI) and transvaginal ultrasonograp hy (TVS) in the diagnosis	The mean uterine volume was 298 (SD 271 mL; range 25– 1290 mL).		array pelvic coils) for data acquisition and completed the examination in 30 to 45 minutes. Junctional zone contours were described as	Adenomyo sis in index test	14	10	24	present in 77% of participants but unclear % of participants with HMB.	
of adenomyosis. Study dates September	Inclusion Criteria		uniform/not uniform in thickness. The thickness was measured at the thinnest (JZmin) and thickest (JZmax) part at the anterior and posterior wall in the sagittal	No adenomyos is in index test	6	63	69	Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not	
1998 to February 2000.	Premenopaus al women undergoing		slices. The difference between JZmax and JZmin (JZdif) was calculated for the	Indefinite index test	2	11	13	match the review question? High	
Source of funding	hysterectomy for benign		anterior or posterior border. The largest parameter, either anterior or posterior, was	Total	22	84	106	concern. Index Test	
Not reported.	disease. Exclusion		used in all calculations. Diffuse adenomyosis was thought to be present at	n all calculations. a adenomyosis was Indefinite findings included as negative in the following:					

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results			Comments
previous transcerv endomet resection malignan diagnosis acute or subacute indication	Patients with previous transcervical endometrial resection, malignant diagnosis or	JZmax .15 mm. For a JZ thickness of 12–15 mm, adenomyosis was thought to be present when one of the criteria was met, such as a nonuniform, thickened JZ or focal not well-demarcated high or low intensity areas in the myometrium (12–14). The presence or absence of each criterion was specified in lesions suspect for 3) M		Sensitivity* 6 Specificity* 8 Positive likeli 10.36) Negative like 0.72) 3) MRI & 2D- (hysterectom	Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes. If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified			
			Ultrasound TVUS was always performed by the same experienced gynecologist (MD). TVUS was performed in two perpendicular planes with a		Confirmed	No adenomyos is	Tota I	Yes. (Diagnosti c criteria for adenomyosis with each test were pre-
		was performed in two perpendicular planes with a commercially available scanner, Acuson 3.0 Sequoia 512 (Acuson Inc., Mountain View, CA) equipped with 5.0-, 6.0-, 7.0-, and 8.0-MHz transvaginal transducers and		Adenomyos is in index test	16	19	35	defined.) Could the conduct or
			No adenomyos is in index test	2	28	30	interpretation of the index test have introduce bias? Low risk B. Concerns regarding	
		transducers. Presence of focal areas with not well-		Indefinite	4	37	41	applicability

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results			Comments
			defined borders or abnormal	index test				
			echo texture was described.]		Are there concerns that
			When these areas were	Total	22	84	106	the index test.
			present, the following criteria		1		J	its conduct, or
			for adenomyosis were	Indefinite find	lings include	d as negative	in the	interpretation
			evaluated: presence of	following:				differ from the
			heterogeneity, increased or decreased areas of	Sensitivity* 7	90 27%	review		
			echogenicity, or presence of	Sensitivity /	2.7570 (9570	CI 49.70 /0 IC	09.2770)	question? Low
			myometrial cysts (13). Images	Specificity* 7	7.38% (95%	CI 66.95% to	85.80%)	concern.
			with measurements were	Positive likeli	hood ratio* 3	.22 (95% CI)	2.01 to	Reference
			taken, and a short digital	5.15)		(Standard
			video was recorded.	,				
			Histopathology	Negative like 0.70)	lihood ratio* (0.35 (95% CI	0.18 to	A. Risk of Bias
			All hysterectomy specimens	,				Is the reference
			were examined by a single					standards likel
			, ,	Prevalence o	f adenomvos	sis 21%		to correctly
			was evaluated without fixation					classify the
			and its volume and weight					target condition? Yes
			was measured within 2 hours	*Calculated b	w the NGA to	ochnical toam	,	
			after hysterectomy. It was cut			chinical lean	I	Were the
			primarily in the mid-sagittal					reference
			plane and histopathologic					standard result
			slices were obtained at 10-					interpreted
			mm intervals parallel to this					without
			plane on the left and right					knowledge of
			side. All abnormalities were					the results of
			recorded. Adenomyosis was					the index tests

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			classified as diffuse when		Yes.
			endometrial glands or stroma		Could the
			were distributed diffusely in		
			the myometrium, and focal		reference
			when circumscribed nodular		standard, its
			aggregates were seen. This		conduct, or its interpretation
			definition does not fully satisfy		have introduce
			the diagnostic criteria of		bias? Low risk.
			adenomyomas with		bids! LOW TISK.
			compensatory hypertrophy of		B. Concerns
			the surrounding myometrium		regarding
			(21). We described the		applicability
			presence of endometrial		
			glands or stroma deep in the		Are there
			endometrial-myometrial		concerns that
			junction and the diagnostic		the target
			criterion of adenomyosis was satisfied when it exceeded		condition as
			one medium power (3100)		defined by the
			field (i.e., ;2 mm deep into the		reference
			endometrial-myometrial		standard does
			junction) (22).		not match the
					question? Low
			Image Analysis		concern.
					Flow and
			The quality of the images was		Timing
			evaluated, and cases where		
			adenomyosis could not be		A. Risk of Bias
			unequivocally interpreted		Was there an
			were described as indefinite		was uiele all

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			findings. Myomas were identified as well- circumscribed uterine masses. For myomas and focal areas with adenomyosis, we established the largest diameter in two perpendicular planes, localization and myometrial involvement. Images mapping myomas and adenomyosis at the different examinations were matched with the findings at pathology. At the end of the study, hard copies and videos from patients with false-negative findings of adenomyosis were revised for the presence of the different criteria of adenomyosis.		appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes (Hysterectomy performed within 2 weeks of tests.) Did all patients receive the same reference standard? Yes Were all patients included in the analysis? No. patients received the tests but not pathology due to uterus being morcelated at time of surgery.) Could the patient flow

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes	and results	6			Comments
									have introduced bias? Low risk. (Only two patients omitted after initial recruitment.)
									Other information
									Indefinite reporting of diagnosis for MRI and TVUS, included as a negative result.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results					Limitations
Vercellini, P., Cortesi, I., De Giorgi, O., Merlo, D., Carinelli, S.	Characteristic s	Index test 2D transvaginal ultrasound	Ultrasound In the week prior to hysterectomy, all women underwent TVUS using	2D-TVUS v	Confirmed adenomy		Tot al	ectomy)	QUADAS-2 a quality assessment tool for diagnostic
G., Crosignani, P. G.,	Mean age: 46 +/- 6 years	scan (2D- TVUS)	Ansaldo AU 440 (Ansaldo, Genoa, Italy) or AU 580 synchronous (Hitachi, Tokyo,	Adenomy osis	24	24	48		accuracy studies:

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes	and results	S			Comments
Transvaginal ultrasonograp	Parity	Reference standard	Japan) equipment and a transvaginal transducer of 6.5	in index test					Patient Selection
in the diagnosis of diffuse	Parous: 86 Nulliparous: 16 Inclusion Criteria	Histopatholo gical specimen from hysterectomy	MHz. The sonographer diagnosed adenomyosis by presence of indistinctly demarcated heterogeneous myometrial areas with distorted echotexture.	No adenomyo sis in index test	5	49	54		A. Risk of Bias Was a consecutive or random sample
adenomyosis, Human Reproduction, 13, 2884	Premenopaus al patients undergoing		Myometrial echotexture was defined as distorted by the presence of abnormally decreased or increased	Total	29	73	10 2		of patients enrolled? Yes. Was a case-
Ref Id	hysterectomy for menorrhagia		echogenicity and/or round anechoic areas. Only one expert sonographer	Sensitivity* Specificity*					control design avoided? Yes.
512080 Country/ies where the study was carried out	and/or dysmennorrho ea; uterus < 12 week pregnancy.		interpreted the US examinations. In cases of doubtful interpretation at US,	Positive likelihood ratio* 2.52 (95% CI 1.74-3.64) Negative likelihood ratio* 0.26 (95% CI 0.11- 0.58)					Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? Yes.
Italy Study type Prospective	Exclusion Criteria Grossly distorted uterus due to		Histopathology After removal of the uterus, the uterus was opened by pathologist at the left margin	Prevalence					Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? Low risk.
cohort study Aim of the study	multiple or large leiomyomata; known		and fundus and four blocks of uterus wall were examined. A diagnosis of adenomyosis was made when the distance	*Calculated			lean		B. Concerns regarding applicability:

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
To assess the reliability of transvaginal ultrasonograp hy and uterine needle biopsy, used singly or in combination, in the diagnosis of diffuse adenomyosis. Study dates Not reported. Source of funding Not reported.	anomalies; received steroidal or gonadotrophin -releasing hormone agonist treatment in the preceeding 3 months.		between the lower border of the endometrium and the affected myometrial area was more than half of a low-power field. The pathologist was blind with respect to the sonographic diagnosis.		Proportion of women with HMB unclear (not reported). Are there concerns that the included patients and setting do not match the review question? High concern. Index Test A. Risk of Bias Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard? Yes.

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					was used, was it pre-specified? Yes. (Ultrasound criteria for diagnosis of adenomyosis defined.)
					Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding applicability
					Are there concerns that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question? Low concern.
					Reference

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Standard
					A. Risk of Bias
					Is the reference standards likely to correctly classify the target condition? Yes.
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index tests? Yes.
					Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Low risk.
					B. Concerns regarding

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					applicability
					Are there concerns that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the question? Low concern.
					Flow and Timing
					A. Risk of Bias
					Was there an appropriate interval between index test and reference standard? Yes (US completed in the week before surgery
					Did all patients receive the

1

Study details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					same reference standard? Yes.
					Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes.
					Could the patient flow have introduced bias? Low risk.
					Other information

Management of heavy menstrual bleeding

- 2 What is the most clinically and cost-effective treatment (pharmacological/surgical) for heavy
- 3 menstrual bleeding in women with: suspected or confirmed fibroids; suspected or
- 4 confirmed adenomyosis; no identified pathology?

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Abbott,J., Hawe,J., Hunter,D., Garry,R., A double- blind randomize d trial comparing the Cavaterm and the NovaSure endometri al ablation	n= 57 randomised (1 woman in each group withdrew after randomisation and before surgery) n= 55 available for analysis n=53 analysed at 6 months (cavaterm n=18 vs NovaSure n= 35) n= 54 analysed at 12 months (cavaterm n= 17 vs NovaSure= 37)	NovaSure versus Cavaterm Women in the Cavaterm group underwent a mechanical pretreatment by curettage in the operating room immediately before their surgery. This was according to the general directions for use from respective manufacturers.	Randomisation Imbalanced randomisation of 2:1, NovaSure:Cavaterm. Randomisation was performed using computer generated sequences in blocks of 5. Allocation Concealment Concealment was achieved by placing the randomisation code into an opaque envelope. The study allocation was revealed after entry had been met and informed consent obtained.	with patients being satisfied or very satisfied in 100% (18/18) vs 84% (31/37) of cases, respectively. 2 women (5%) in the NovaSure group were dissatisfied, and 1 woman	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk Allocation concealment: Low risk Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear
systems for the treatment	Characteristics	All ablation	Blinding Patients, nursing staff, and the	(3%) very dissatisfied at 6 months.	risk Blinding

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
of dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding, Fertility and Sterility, 80, 203- 208, 2003 Ref Id 98348 Country/ie s where the study was carried out United Kingdom Study type RCT Aim of the study	Baseline Characteristics Cavaterm (n=18) vs Novasure (n=37) Mean age, y (SD): 40.5 (8.1) vs 40.5 (6.0) Parity median (range): 2 (1- 4) vs 2 (0-4) Mean body mass index (SD): 22.9 (4.9) vs 26.9 (6.2) Inclusion criteria Women referred with abnormal uterine bleeding were invited to participate in the study if they had a pictorial blood loss assessment chart score >150, no intrauterine pathology demonstrated by inpatient or outpatient hysteroscopy, a normal endometrial biopsy, a uterine length of <12 cm, premenapausal	procedures were performed under general anaesthesia. Patients received a paracervical block of 10ml of 0.5% bupivicaine HCl and a single bolus of 1.2g I.V ampicillin and potassium clavulanate, unless they were allergic to peniccilin, in which case a third- generation cephalosporin was substituted.	procedure acceptability, HRQoL, sexual health, operative details, morbidity, and re-intervention in the 12-month follow-up period. Outcome measures: After the surgical procedure, the operative	At 12 months Women in the cavaterm group, were either satisfied or very satisfied in 83% of cases (15/18). For the NovaSure group, women were satisfied or very satisfied in 92% (34/37) of cases and dissatisfied in 5% (2/37) of cases. No difference in satisfaction rates between the 2 groups. Outcome: Patient Acceptability Both procedures were acceptable to patients using a semantic differential technique. Patients were also asked to complete a VAS at 4 hours post-op; NovaSure was found to be significantly less painful than Cavaterm (VAS median, 48 vs 78,	of Patients, nursing staff, and the patient's general practitioner were blinded as to the treatment arm, however operator not blinded, unclear if this impacts on performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: Low risk Blinding of outcome assessors was ensured (research nurse recording outcomes and patients themselves blinded) Attrition bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
To compare two second- generation endometri al ablation systems in women with dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding (DUB) who want conservati ve surgical treatment Study dates June 1999-May 2000 Source of funding	gonadotropin levels, normal pap smear, and if they had completed their family. Exclusion criteria Endometrial hyperplasia and malignancy, active pelvic inflammatory disease, palpable endometriosis, or full thickness uterine surgery.Novas		 detailed than an endometrial ablation had been undertaken, any complications that occurred, and what medications had been given in the operating room. Patients were asked to complete an acceptability questionnaire at 4 hours after their proceedure. This questionnaire included a visual analogue scalepain scored measured at rest and was not adjusted for analgesia. Women were discharged home the same day and reviewed in the research clinic at 6 and 12 months. Menstrual blood loss was also assessed pre-op and 6-12 months post-op using a pictorial blood loss assessment chart. Women compelted the validated QoL: EurQOL-5D, SF-12, and sexual activity questionnaire at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months. Statistics The sample size for this study 	p=0.01). Outcome: HRQoL EQ-5D Index Cavaterm original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -0.07 (-0.2, 0.23), NS NovaSure original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -0.14 (-0.2, -0.06), p= 0.001 Cavaterm vs Novasure at 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -0.11 (- 0.4, 0.27), NS EQ-5D vas Cavaterm original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -14 (-27, -1.14). p= 0.048 NovaSure original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -8.4 (-14.2, -2.5);	Incomplete outcome data: Low risk Low loss of follow- up (<20%) and ITT principles used Reporting bias Selective reporting: Low risk All outcomes reported Other bias Other sources of bias: - Other information Included in NMA only, Cavaterm not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Not disclosed			 was calculated based on an ammenorrhea rate of 34% for Cavaterm. At the time of the study being performed, no large-scale study had been performed using the NovaSure. Initial results from an uncontrolled study report an 80% amenorrhea rate for NovaSure. To detect a similar difference (34% vs 80% at 12 months), with 80% power and a 2-sided type 1 error rate of 5% using a 2:1 randomisation, 51 women were required in a ratio of 32:17 SPSS for windows was used for stat analysis. Dichotomous data were analysed using the x2 test with Fishers extract correction if indicated. Continuous parametric data were analysed by students t-test, and nonparametric data by the Wilcoxon rank sum test for paired data and the Mann-Whitney U-Test for independent data. Significance for all analyses was set at the 5% 	P=0.006 Cavaterm vs Novasure at 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -2.1 (- 5.9, 10.3); NS SF-12 PCS Cavaterm original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -4.2 (-9.4, - 0.88);NS NovaSure original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -7.1 (-9.6, -4.7); P=<0.0001 Cavaterm vs Novasure at 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -1.8 (- 7.3, 3.6), NS SF-12 MCS Cavaterm original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -3.4 (-11.3, -4.1), NS	pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			level.	NovaSure original vs 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -5.1 (-9.1, -1.1), P=0.016 Cavaterm vs Novasure at 12 months, mean difference (CI), P: -8.1 (- 15.7, -0.34), P=0.04	
Full citation Abdel Malak, K., Shawki, O., Managem ent of menorrhag ia with the levonorges trel intrauterin e system versus endometri	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
al resection, Gynecolog ical surgery, 3, 275-80, 2006					
Ref Id					
483324					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size N= 126	Interventions Ablation techniques	Details Follow-up	Results Outcome: Satisfaction at	Limitations Cochrane risk of
Bongers,M .Y., Bourdrez, P., Mol,B.W., Heintz,A.P ., Brolmann, H.A., Randomis ed controlled trial of bipolar radio-	Characteristics Bipolar group N= 83 Mean age= 42.6 (4.9) PBAC score median= 515 (range= 150-3401) Dysmenorrhea= 51/83 Balloon group	were performed by one gynaecologist. Patients received no medical pretreatment prior to surgery. Patients in both groups were treated in a day care program using spinal anesthesia or general. Novasure Ablation Technique	Patients were followed up at 3,6, and 12 month intervals after the initial treatment. Patients completed a PBAC and satisfaction, dysmenorrhea, clots and duration of menses were recorded. Those that went on to hysterectomy were recorded as not satisfied at all follow up visits. Statistics Analysis was performed according to ITT. Relative risk	Outcome: PBAC after treatment *reported graphically (values approximate) Bipolar group: Median= 5 (range 0-1000)	bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk, computer generated Allocation concealment: Low risk, opaque, sealed envelopes Performance bias Blinding: Low risk,
frequency endometri al ablation and balloon endometri al ablation, BJOG: An	N= 43 Mean age= 43.1 (3.8)	-generator and disposable device (novacept) -constant power output generator (maximum delivery	for hysterectomy was calculated using Cox regression analysis.	Balloon group: Median= 40 (range 0-2000)	patients were blinded to treatment allocation Detection bias Blinding: Low risk, assessors blinded

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Internation al Journal of Obstetrics and	PBAC score median= 660 (range= 188-3220)	of 180 W) -vacuum pump is contained within the radio-frequency			to treatment allocation Attrition bias Low risk, outcome
Gynaecolo gy, 111, 1095- 1102,	Dysmenorrhea= 29/43	generator -when suction applied the			data complete Reporting bias
2004 Ref Id	Inclusion criteria -menorrhagia as indicated by PBAC score > 150	endometrial lining is brought in contact with the electrode array			Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported
98525 Country/ie s where	to confirm a normal uterine	Thermal Balloon			Other information After 44 patients, a
the study was carried out	cavity with histologically benign endometrium and cavity length 6-11cm -normal pap smear	Ablation technique -consists of generator and balloon catheter			technical failure in the NovaSure generator was discovered.
The Netherland s	-negative chlamydia test	-latex balloon is filled with dextrose			Separate analysis reported for those women who were
Study type	-premenopausal FSH level less than 40 IU/L Exclusion criteria	-fluid temperature increased to 87 degrees Celsius for			randomized after the failure of defective Novasure generator device
RCT	-documented	8 minutes			had been

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To compare the effectivene ss of two second- generation ablation techniques , bipolar radiofrequ ency impedance -controlled endometri al ablation ablation ablation, in the treatment for menorrhag ia. Study	coagulopathies -patients treated with anticoagulants -prior uterine surgery (except low caesarean section) -desire to maintain fertility	-endometrial thinning was performed by aspiration curettage prior to the balloon treatment procedure			corrected. Same trial as Kleijn 2008. Included in the NMA. Compares to 2nd generation ablation techniques, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
dates					
November 1999 - July 2001					
Source of funding					
Novasure devices were free of charge and Thermach oice was discounted					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	N= 76	3 different	Follow-up	Outcome: Change in	Cochrane risk of
Bonnar, J., Sheppard,	Characteristics	treatments taken from day 1 of	Menstrual blood loss measured	mean menstrual blood loss (A-H method)	bias tool
B. L., Treatment	Mean age= 39 years (7)	bleeding for 5 days for three	by the Alkaline-Hematin method.	Ethamsylate group:	Selection bias
of menorrhag	Mean height= 162 cm (7)	consecutive menstrual cycles.	Statistics	Mean change= +8.0 mL (range 103 to 280) (n=27)	Random sequence generation: unclea risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Mean weight= 65 kg (10) Inclusion criteria -35 to 46 years complaining of heavy menstrual bleeding -organic causes excluded by gynaecological investigation (hysteroscopy, endometrial biopsy, pap swear) Exclusion criteria	Ethamsylate (not relevant for this review) 500 mg six hourly Mefenamic Acid 500 mg eight hourly Tranexamic Acid 1 gram six hourly	Paired and unpaired t tests used to compare blood loss in the three control and treatment cycles. Analysis was carried out using SAS.	Mefenamic acid group: Mean change= - 43.0 mL (range 82 to 179) (n=23) Tranexamic acid group: Mean change= - 89.0 (range 24 to 214) (n=26) Mean difference in change (95% CI) between mefenamic acid and tranexamic acid: -46 mL (-90 to -2 mL, p<0.05)	Allocation concealment: unclear risk Performance bias Blinding: unclear if done but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Detection bias Blinding: unclear if
Ref Id 483325 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Ireland Study	 -history of renal or hepatic impairment -previous thromboembolic disease -inflammatory bowel disease -peptic or intestinal ulceration -coagulation or fibronolytic disease 			Outcome: Treatment discontinuation- any reason Ethamsylate group: 11/27 Mefenamic acid group: 3/23 Tranexamic acid group: 4/26	done but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Attrition bias Outcome data complete Reporting bias Outcomes stated in the objective were reported

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
type				Outcome: Treatment	Other information
RCT Aim of the study				discontinuation due to adverse event Ethamsylate group: 4/27	Ethamsylate arm not relevant to review but used in
To compare				Mefenamic acid group: 1/23	NMA to provide data for the network.
the efficacy and acceptabili ty of				Tranexamic acid group: 3/26	Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant
ethamsylat e, mefenamic				Outcome: Patient satisfaction	for the NMA.
acid and tranexamic acid for				Defined as those wishing to continue treatment at study end	
treating menorrhag				Ethamsylate group: 9/27	
ia.				Mefanamic acid group: 17/23	
Study dates NR				Tranexamic acid group: 20/26	
Source of					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
funding					
Health Research Board of Ireland and Pharmacia , Sweden.					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Characteristics				Other information
Brun,J.L., Raynal,J.,	Inclusion criteria				Included in NMA
Burlet,G., Galand,B., Quereux,C	Exclusion criteria				only, Cavaterm not an intervention of interest according to protocol,
., Bernard,P. , Cavaterm thermal balloon					therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.
endometri al ablation versus hysterosco					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
pic endometri al resection to treat menorrhag ia: the French, multicenter , randomize d study, Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecolog y, 13, 424- 430, 2006					
Ref Id					
98554					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Busfield,R.	N= 79 (LNG-IUS= 40, TBA= 39)	Treatments were performed in an	Follow-up Menstrual bleeding assessed	Outcome: Patient Satisfaction at 24 months	Cochrane risk of bias tool
A., Farquhar, C.M.,	Characteristics	outpatient setting during the first 10 days of menstrual	with PBAC and quality of life assessed with SF-36 at	Those who felt treatment was a success	Selection bias Random sequence
Sowter,M. C., Lethaby,A.	LNG-IUS group Age: 7 <40/ 21 40-44/ 14	cycle. Local anaesthetic (lignocaine) was	pretreatment, 3, 6, 12, and 24 months.	LNG-IUS group: 34/40	generation: Low risk, ccomputer
Sprecher,	45-49	injected into the cervix. All women	Standardized sanitary products used.	TBA group: 25/39	generated blocks Allocation
M., Yu,Y., Sadler,L.C .,	BMI mean (SD)= 28.8 (8) PBAC score: 490 (419)	underwent diagnostic hysteroscopy with 4	Statistics Chi-squared, t test and Wilcoxon test used for statistical analysis.	Outcome: Treatment discontinuation due to adverse events	concealment: Low risk, sealed, opaque envelopes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Brown,P., Johnson,N ., A randomise d trial comparing the levonorges trel intrauterin e system and thermal balloon ablation for heavy menstrual bleeding, BJOG: An Internation	TBA group Age: 13 <40/ 16 40-44/ 12 45-49 BMI mean (SD)= 29.7 (5.4) PBAC score: 502 (422) Inclusion criteria -self-described HMB	mm hysteroscope and 0.9% saline solution. Women who could not tolerate hysteroscopy were schedule to have procedure in theatres with general anaesthetic. TBA procedure Diclofenac given 1 hour before treatment. TBA used thermachoice as per manufacturers instructions.		LNG-IUS group: 8/40 (expulsion or removal due to pain) TBA group: NA Outcome: Post-op antibiotics for possible endometritis LNG-IUS group: NA TBA group: 5/39 Outcome: PBAC score at 24 months LNG_IUS group:	Performance bias Blinding: Unclear risk, blinding not possible Detection bias Blinding: High risk on subjective outcome, blinding not possible Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes
al Journal of Obstetrics	-completed their family -25-50 years	LNG-IUS Inserted as per manufacturers		Mean (SD)= 20.6 (28.8) TBA group:	stated in the objective were reported
and Gynaecolo gy, 113, 257-263,	-discrete episodes of bleeding occurring every 3- 6 weeks	instructions.		Mean (SD)= 75.4 (91.1)	Other information
2006	Exclusion criteria			Outcome: Quality of life -	Patients with certain types of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Ref Id	-ultrasound abnormalities			Overall SF-36 score	pain excluded.
98567	(submucosal fibroids, large			LNG-IUS group:	
	fibroids, endometrial polyps)			Baseline mean (SD)=	
Country/ie s where				63.7 (22.7)	
the study	-laboratory abnormalities			24 months mean (SD)=	
was	-hysteroscopic			77.5 (20.1)	
carried out	abnormalities			TBA group:	
	-incidental adnexal			Baseline mean (SD)=	
New Zealand	abnormality on ultrasound			63.7 (14.4)	
	-severe intermenstrual			24 months mean (SD)=	
Study	bleeding			74.9 (18.8)	
type	-severe dysmennorhea,				
RCT	premenstrual pain, chronic				
Aim of the	pelvic pain			Outcome: Expulsion	
study	-medical contraindications			LNG-IUS group: by 3	
То	-previous endometrial			months, 1 expulsion, by	
compare	surgery			12 months further 2 expulsions, by 24 months	
LNG-IUS and	-univestigated postcoital			further 1 expulsion	
thermal	bleeding			(reported narratively)	
ablation for	-untreated cervical cytology			TBA: N/A	
balloon ablation for the	-untreated cervical cytology			TBA: N/A	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding.					
Study dates					
March 1999 to July 2001					
Source of funding					
NR					
Full citation	Sample size Characteristics	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Cooper,J., Gimpelson ,R., Laberge,P. , Galen,D., Garza- Leal,J.G., Scott,J.,	Exclusion critoria				Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Leyland,N.					
Leyland,N. , Martyn,P., Liu,J., A randomize d, multicenter trial of safety and efficacy of the NovaSure system in the treatment of menorrhag ia, Journal of the American Associatio					
n of Gynecolog					
ic Laparosco pists, 9, 418-428, 2002					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Ref Id					
98673					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	Characteristics				Other information
Cooper,J. M.,	Inclusion criteria				Included only in the NMA, microwave

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Anderson, T.L., Fortin,C.A.	Exclusion criteria				ablation not an intervention of interest according
, Jack,S.A., Plentl,M.B.					to protocol, therefore not included in the pairwise analysis.
, Microwave					
endometri al ablation					
VS.					
rollerball electroabla					
tion for					
menorrhag					
ia: A					
multicenter					
randomize					
d trial,					
Journal of					
the					
American					
Associatio					
n of					
Gynecolog ic					
Laparosco					
pists, 11,					
394-403,					

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
2004					
Ref Id					
98675					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Characteristics				Other information
Cooper, K.	Inclusion criteria				Included only in the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
G., Bain,	Exclusion criteria				NMA, microwave ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore not included in the pairwise analysis.
Ref Id					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
483327					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2013	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Corson,S. L., A multicenter	Cochrane systematic review.				
evaluation	Characteristics				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
of endometri al ablation by Hydro ThermAbla tor and	Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria				
rollerball for treatment of menorrhag ia, Journal of the American Associatio n of					
Gynecolog ic Laparosco pists, 8, 359-367, 2001					
Ref Id					
98684					
Country/ie s where the study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2013	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Corson,S. L.,	Cochrane systematic review.				
Brill,A.I., Brooks,P.	Characteristics				
G., Cooper,J.	Inclusion criteria				
M., Indman,P. D.,	Exclusion criteria				

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details	•				
Liu,J.H.,					
Soderstro					
m,R.M.,					
Vancaillie,					
T.G., One-					
year					
results of					
the vesta					
system for					
endometri					
al ablation,					
Journal of					
the American					
Associatio					
n of					
Gynecolog					
ic					
Laparosco					
pists, 7,					
489-497,					
2000					
Ref Id					
98683					
Country/ie					
s where					
the study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	N=70	LNG-IUS	Follow-up		Cochrane risk of
Crosignani , P. G.,		releases 20 ug	Women had bi-monthly follow-up		bias tool
Vercellini, P.,	Characteristics	levonorgestrel per day; inserted within	visits.	12 months	Selection bias
Mosconi,	IUD group:	7 days of start of menstruation	Bleeding was assessed with PBAC.	LNG-IUS= 38.8 mL (37.1)	generation: unclea
P., Oldani, S., Cortesi,	Moon ago = 43.8 years (3.8)	TCRE	Quality of life was assessed with	Endometrial resection= 23.5 mL (32.6)	Allocation
I., De Giorgi, O.,	Mean BMI = 25 3 (4 4)	scheduled during	SF-36.		concealment: unclear

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Levonorge strel- releasing intrauterin e device versus hysterosco pic endometri al resection in the treatment of dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding, Obstetrics & Gynecolog y, 90, 257- 63, 1997 Ref Id 483328 Country/ie s where the study	PBAC score= 181.3 (59.4) Uterine volume= 181.3 mL (35.2) Endometrial resection: Mean age= 45.4 years (3.8) Mean BMI = 24.0 (3.0) PBAC score= 204.0 (82.9) Uterine volume= 122.4 mL (45.2) *All data mean (SD) Inclusion criteria -age 38 and over -referred to centre for hysterectomy for menorrhagia	the early proliferative stage of the cycle; roller-ball electrode used for the cornua and uterine fundus; 90- degree loop for the rest of the cavity Operations performed by one surgeon.		Outcome: Patient satisfaction at 12 months LNG-IUS: 29/34 satisfied or very satisfied TCRE: 33/35 satisfied or very satisfied Outcome: SF-36 at 12 months (mean (SD) Physical functioning LNG-IUS: 78.0 (22.4) TCRE: 9.2 (23.7) Role limitation (physical) LNG-IUS: 72.5 (33.7) TCRE: 74.2 (35.6) Bodily pain LNG-IUS: 58.9 (28.0) TCRE: 70.3 (23.3)	Performance bias Blinding: unclear risk, blinding not feasible due to the nature of the interventions but unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias Blinding: high risk, blinding not feasible due to the nature of the interventions, high risk of bias in subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
was carried out	-uterine volume less than 8- week pregnancy			General health perception LNG-IUS: 64.1 (18.6)	stated in the objective were reported
Italy	-negative pap smear in last 12 months			TCRE: 70.3 (15.1)	
Study type	-no evidence of atypical			Vitality	Other information
RCT	hyperplasia at endometrial biopsy			LNG-IUS: 56.3 (14.1)	
Aim of the	-no adnexal tumours			TCRE: 54.8 (20.7)	
study To	-normal uterine cavity at			Social functioning	
compare the effect	hysteroscopy Exclusion criteria			LNG-IUS: 69.8 (22.3) TCRE: 9.7 (24.1)	
of a LNG- IUD with	-pregnant			Role limitation (emotional)	
that of endometri	-breastfeeding -uncertain about wish for			LNG-IUS: 61.3 (35.6)	
al resection	future pregnancy			TCRE: 72.4 (36.8)	
on menstrual				Mental health	
bleeding, patient				LNG-IUS: 60.1 (18.2)	
satisfactio n, and quality of				TCRE: 59.6 (20.5)	

Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			Outcome: Partial expulsion LNG-IUS: 2/34 TCRE: N/A	
Sample size Please see Lethaby 2013 Cochrane systematic	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
	Please see Lethaby 2013	Please see Lethaby 2013 Cochrane systematic	Please see Lethaby 2013 Cochrane systematic	Sample size Interventions Details Results

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details Heppard, M. C., Soderstro m, R. M., Townsend, D. E., A randomize d study comparing endometri al cryoablatio n and rollerball electroabla tion for treatment of dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding, Journal of the	Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
American Associatio n of Gynecolog ic Laparosco					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
pists, 10, 17-26, 2003					
Ref Id					
483330					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
C., Goerzen,					Other information Only included in the NMA. Danazol not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
gy, 18, 553-5, 1998					
Ref Id					
483331					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details Endrikat, J., Shapiro, H., Lukkari- Lax, E., Kunz, M., Schmidt, W., Fortier, M., A Canadian, multicentre study comparing the efficacy of a	N=39 Characteristics LNG-IUS group: N=20 Mean age (SD)= 41.8 (4.3) Mean BMI (SD)= 24.3 (1.9) OC group: N=19 Mean age (SD)= 42.4 (4.4) Mean BMI (SD)= 22.6 (2.3) Inclusion criteria Participants were otherwise healthy women, aged 30 at entrty with a diagnosis of idiopathic menorrhagia	For women randomized to undergo treatment with the LNG-IUS, it was inserted into the uterus by a physician within seven days of the start of the last menstrual period for a treatment period of 12 months. The system releases up to 20 ug LNG per 24 hours. Women randomized to treatment with a combined oral	Follow-up The primary outcome measure was menstrual blood loss (MBL), and the secondary measures were treatment success (i.e., clinical outcome), hemoglobin concentration, and the menorrhagia severity score (to evaluate the effect of treatment on quality of life). In order to quantify baseline MBL, the pictorial blood loss assessment chart published by Higham was applied. Thereafter, MBL was quantified by pictorial blood assessment chart (PBAC).	Outcome: Median PBAC score LNG-IUS group: Baseline: 228 12 months: 13 OC group: Baseline: 290 12 months: 72 *uncertainty NR p=0.002; estimate for median difference –62; 95% CI–89 to –18 Outcome: Aberdeen Mean Menorrhagia Severity Score In subjects treated with LNG-IUS compared to subjects treated with OC1/20 was significantly	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: unclear, patients randomized in order of enrolment Allocation concealment: unclear Performance bias Blinding: unclear, blinding not possible but unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias Blinding: high risk, blinding not possible, high risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
menorrhag ia, Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecolo gy Canada: JOGC, 31, 340-7, 2009 Ref Id 483332 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Canada Study type RCT Aim of the	Exclusion criteria -Primary exclusion criteria were the contraindications for LNG-US and combined oral contraceptive use. -Further exclusion criteria included metabolic and endocrine diseases, diagnostically unclassified genital bleeding, and a history of liver or vascular diseases. -In addition, concomitant use of medications that could influence the study objectives, including sex steroids, any treatment for menorrhagia (including tranexamic acid and non- steroidal antiinflammatory drugs), drugs that could affect bleeding patterns (platelet aggregation inhibitors, anticoagulants)	one tablet daily over 12 months. In each 28-tablet blister pack, the first 21 tablets (days 1 to 21) contained 1 mg norethindrone acetate and 20 µg ethinyl estradiol, and the last 7 tablets (days 22 to 28) contained placebo.		lower (p= 0.045, unadjusted) in the LNG- IUS group at 6 months (estimate for difference - 6.37; 95% CI -12.61 to - 0.14), while at other time points no significant difference was seen. Data displayed graphically and unable to extract data at other time points. Outcome: Discontinuation due to adverse events LNG-IUS: 1/20 OC: 5/19	subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
trel- releasing intrauterin e system (LNG-IUS) compared with a combined oral	fibroids of mean diameter 4 cm or submucosal fibroids, adenomyosis, or endometrial abnormalities (e.g., polyps or hyperplasia, verified by saline infusion sonography or hysteroscopy) or who were perimenopausal (as evidence by serum FSH levels 50 IU/L and serum estradiol levels 100 pmol/L) were also excluded.				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
menstrual blood loss (MBL) in women with idiopathic menorrhag ia.					
Study dates					
NR					
Source of funding					
This study was supported by a grant from Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany. Heather Shapiro					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
and Michel Fortier were supported by Bayer for their participatio n as clinical investigato rs. Eeva Lukkari- Lax, Michael Kunz and Jan Endrikat are employees of Bayer Schering Pharma.					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Fraser,I.S., Romer,T., Parke,S., Zeun,S., Mellinger, U., MacHlitt,A. , Jensen,J.T ., Effective treatment of heavy and/or prolonged menstrual bleeding with an oral contracepti ve containing estradiol valerate and dienogest: A randomize d, double-	N=231 Characteristics E2V/DNG Group: N= 149 Mean age= 39.5 (6.6) N with HMB= 136 (91.3%) Placebo group: N=82 Mean age= 38.5 (7.5) N with HMB= 76 (92.6%) Inclusion criteria -age 18 and over -heavy, prolongue and/or frequent menstrual bleeding (confirmed with 90-day run in) -willing to use barrier	Placebo or E2V/DNG which was administered using an estrogen step-down, progesterone step- up program: -3 mg E2V Days 1-2 -2 mg E2V/ 2 mg DNG Days 3-7 -2 mg E2V/ 3 mg DNG Days 8-24 -1 mg E2V Days 25- 26 -Placebo Days 27- 28 -No tablet free days between cycles -Given for the 3 cycles (90 day efficacy phase)	Follow-up 90-day run in period used to establish baseline menstrual blood loss (MBL). MBL was quanitified using AH method. Primary endpoint was response to treatment (return to normal bleeding). Secondary endpoint was change in MBL volume. Adverse events were reported. Statistics All outcomes were analyzed based on the ITT population. SAS software was used.	Outcome: Mean difference in MBL (SD) Mean in treatment cycle - mean in run-in period Treatment group= 458 mL (410) Placebo gruop= 93 mL (268) Outcome: Patient satisfaction at study end Patients reporting an overall improvement in bleeding symptoms Treatment group: 77.9% Placebo group: 45.1% Outcome: Discontinuation Treatment group: 32/149 Placebo: 17/82	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk, computer- generated, permuted blocks Allocation concealment: unclear risk Performance bias Blinding: low risk, participants were blinded to treatment allocation Detection bias Blinding: low risk, investigators were blinded to treatment allocation Attrition bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
blind Phase III trial, Human Reproducti on, 26, 2698- 2708, 2011 Ref Id 287588 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Europe and Australia Study type RCT Aim of the	contraception and willing to use and collect sanitary protection -normal endometrial biopsy Exclusion criteria -the use of medication intended to relieve HMB (sex steroids, NSAIDs, tranexamic acid) -abnormal transvaginal ultrasound -abnormal labaratory investigation -history of endometrial ablation -D and C in last 2 months -any organic cause of bleeding disorder -BMI over 32 -Age 35 and over who			Outcome: Discontinuation due to adverse events Treatment group: 14/149 Placebo group: 5/82	Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
study	smoke cigarettes				
To investigate the efficacy and safety of estradiol valerate/di enogest (E2V/DNG) for the treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding without recognizab le organic pathology.					
Study dates					
February 2006 to May 2008.					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Bayer HealthCar e Pharmace uticals.					
Full citation Hawe,J., Abbott,J., Hunter,D., Phillips,G., Garry,R., A randomise d controlled trial comparing the Cavaterm endometri al ablation system with the	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Only included in the NMA. Laser ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Nd:YAG					
laser for					
the					
treatment					
of					
dysfunctio					
nal uterine					
bleeding,					
BJOG: An					
Internation					
al Journal					
of					
Obstetrics					
and					
Gynaecolo					
gy, 110,					
350-357,					
2003					
Ref Id					
99045					
Country/ie					
s where					
the study					
was					
carried					
out					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Higham, J. M., Shaw, R. W., A comparativ e study of danazol, a regimen of decreasing doses of danazol, and		Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information NMA only- Danazol

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
one in the					
treatment					
of					
objectively					
proven					
unexplaine					
d					
menorrhag					
ia, American					
Journal of					
Obstetrics					
&					
Gynecolog					
y, 169,					
1134-9,					
1993					
Ref Id					
483334					
Country/io					
Country/ie s where					
the study					
was					
carried					
out					
Study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Hurskaine	Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic				Other information
n, R.,	review.				
Teperi, J., Rissanen,	Characteristics				
P., Aalto, A. M.,	Inclusion criteria				
	Exclusion criteria				
A., Kuiopouu					
Kujansuu, E.,					
Vuorma, S.,					
S., Yliskoski,					

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
М.,					
Paavonen,					
J., Quality					
of life and					
cost-					
effectivene					
ss of					
levonorges					
trel-					
releasing intrauterin					
e system					
versus					
hysterecto					
my for					
treatment					
of					
menorrhag					
ia: a					
randomise					
d trial,					
Lancet,					
357, 273-					
7, 2001					
Ref Id					
483335					
Country/ie					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Hurskaine n, R.,	Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review.				Other information
Teperi, J., Rissanen,	Characteristics				
P., Aalto, A. M., Grooman	Inclusion criteria				
Grenman,	Exclusion criteria				

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
S., Kivela,					
A.,					
Kujansuu,					
E.,					
E., Vuorma,					
S.,					
Yliskoski,					
М.,					
Paavonen,					
J., Clinical					
outcomes					
and costs					
with the					
levonorges					
trel-					
releasing					
intrauterin					
e system					
or					
hysterecto					
my for					
treatment					
of					
menorrhag					
ia:					
randomize					
d trial 5-					
year					
follow-up,					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
JAMA, 291, 1456- 63, 2004					
Ref Id					
483336					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Irvine, G. A., Campbell- Brown, M. B., Lumsden, M. A., Heikkila, A., Walker, J. J., Cameron, I. T., Randomis ed comparativ e trial of the levonorges trel intrauterin e system and norethister one for treatment of idiopathic menorrhag	Baseline median MBL= 105 mL (82-780) Norethisterone group:	LNG-IUS fitted within first 7 days of start of period. Norethisterone was prescribed at a dose of 5 mg three times daily from day 5 to 26 of the cycle over 3 cycles.	Follow-up MBL assessed with alkaline- hematin method. Statistics Per-protocol and intention to treat analysis conducted. Wilcoxon rank sum test, Mann Whitney U test and t test planned to compare between groups.	Outcome: Discontinution due to adverse events LNG-IUS: 2/22 Norethisterone: 6/22 Outcome: MBL in ml (AH method) Baseline, median (range) LNG-IUS: 105 (82-780) Norethisterone: 120 (82- 336) p=0.74 At 3rd treatment cycle (3 months), median (range) LNG-IUS: 6 (0-284) Norethisterone: median= 20 (range 4-137) p=0.03	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: computer generated Allocation concealment: opaque, sealed envelopes Performance bias Blinding: unclear risk, blinding not feasible due to the nature of the interventions but unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias Blinding: high risk,

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia, British Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecolo gy, 105, 592-8,	Inclusion criteria -parous			Outcome: Satisfaction with treatment those reporting well or very well satisfied LNG-IUS: 14/22	blinding not feasible due to the nature of the interventions, high risk of bias for subjective outcomes
1998 Ref Id 483337 Country/ie s where the study was carried out UK	-age 18-45 -in good general health -normal pelvic exam -sound measurement <10 cm -negative cervical cytology -measured MBL > 80 mL Exclusion criteria			Norethisterone: 8/18 (those reporting at 3 months Outcome: Expulsion LNG-IUS: 1/22 (during the third cycle of treatment) Norethisterone: N/A	Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information
Study type RCT Aim of the study	-women treated with steroid hormones or anticoagulants within last 3 months -injectable hormones used within the last 12 months				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
compare the efficacy and acceptabili ty of the levonorges trel IUS and norethister one for the treatment of idiopathic menorrhag ia.					
Study dates					
NR					
Source of funding					
NR					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
citation Istre,O., Trolle,B., Treatment of menorrhag ia with the levonorges trel intrauterin e system versus endometri al resection, Fertility and Sterility, 76, 304- 309, 2001 Ref Id 226715 Country/ie s where the study was	 (30 in each arm) Characteristics LNG-IUS Mean age (SD)= 41.4 years (3.8) Uterine sound measure= 7.5 mm (1.1) TCRE Mean age (SD)= 41.9 years (3.8) Uterine sound measure= 7.7 mm (1.1) Inclusion criteria -premenopausal -30 to 49 years -regular uterine cavity 	LNG-IUS versus endometrial resection No pretreatment given to suppress the endometrium. Resection was performed without simultaneous laparoscopy. Cervical canal was dilated to Hegar 11 and a rigid resectoscope was passed into the uterine cavity. Glycine 1.5% was infused for irrigation. A diathermal current of 120 W was used for resection of fibroids and endometrium. 80 W was used for homeostasis.	Follow-up Menstrual blood loss was assessed using PBAC. Other symptoms were assessed using visual analogue scale. Statistics SAS program was used. Continuous variables assessed with t test or Wilcoxon rank sum test. Categorical variables were tested with Fisher's exact test.	Outcome: Discontinuation due to AE LNG-IUS: 6/30 TCRE: NA Outcome: Menstrual blood loss (mean PBAC score (SD)) LNG-IUS: Baseline= 420 (352) 12 months= 42 (99) TCRE: Baseline= 404 (480) 12 months= 7 (15)	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias High risk of bias- patients assigned to groups in order of enrolment Performance bias Blinding: unclear but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Detection bias Blinding: unclear but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding					
Supported by Leiras Oy, Turku, Finland					
Full citation Kaunitz, A. M., Bissonnett e, F., Monteiro, I., Lukkari- Lax, E., Muysers, C., Jensen, J. T., Levonorge strel- releasing intrauterin e system	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
or medroxypr ogesteron e for heavy menstrual bleeding: a randomize d controlled trial, Obstetrics and gynecolog y, 116, 625-32, 2010					
Ref Id					
483339					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Kittelsen, N., Istre,	Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review.				Other information
O., A randomize	Characteristics				
d study comparing	Inclusion criteria				
trel	Exclusion criteria				
intrauterin e system					
(LNG IUS)					
and transcervic al					
resection					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
of the endometri um (TCRE) in the treatment of menorrhag ia: Preliminar y results, Gynaecolo gical Endoscopy , 7, 61-5, 1998					
Ref Id					
483340					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Kriplani, A., Kulshresth a, V., Agarwal, N., Diwakar, S., Role of tranexamic acid in managem ent of dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding in	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
compariso n with medroxypr ogesteron e acetate, Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecolo gy, 26, 673-8, 2006					
Ref Id					
483341 Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates Source of					
funding					
Full citation Meyer, W. R., Walsh, B. W., Grainger, D. A., Peacock, L. M., Loffer, F. D., Steege, J. F., Thermal balloon and rollerball ablation to treat menorrhag	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2013 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia: a multicenter compariso n, Obstetrics & Gynecolog y, 92, 98- 103, 1998					
Ref Id					
483343					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
e randomize d trial on satisfactio n rate, American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecolog y, 187, 545-50, 2002					
Ref Id					
483345					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates Source of funding					
Full citation Perino, Antonio, Castelli, Antonio, Cucinella, Gaspare, Biondo, Andrea, Pane, Antonella, Venezia, Renato, A randomize d compariso n of endometri al laser	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Only included in the NMA. Laser ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
intrauterin					
e					
thermother					
apy and					
hysterosco					
pic					
endometri					
al					
resection,					
Fertility					
and					
sterility,					
82, 731-4,					
2004					
Ref Id					
483346					
Country/ie					
s where					
the study					
was					
carried					
out					
Study					
type					
Aim of the					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	N= 59	The endometrial	Randomisation	Outcome: Treatment	Cochrane risk of
Rauramo, Ilkka, Elo,	Characteristics	resections were performed under	This study was an open,	Discontinuation due to adverse event	bias tool
lina, Istre, Olav,	LNG-IUS group:	spinal anesthesia by the same surgeon	randomized 3-year trial. Patients with menorrhagia were assigned		Selection bias Random sequence
Long-term treatment of	Mean age (SD)= 41.4 years (3.8)	(O.I.) who also inserted all the	randomly to either the levonorgestrel intrauterine system (n = 30) or endometrial	TCRE: N/A	generation: using the SAS/PLAN
menorrhag	Weight= 73.4 kg (12.9)	levonorgestrel intrauterine	resection (n = 29).		procedure
ia with levonorges trel	Uterine sound measure median= 7.0 cm (range 5.2-	systems. The technique has been described in detail	Follow-up Pictorial blood loss assessment	Outcome: Median menstrual blood loss (PBAC)	Allocation concealment: sealed envelopes
intrauterin	10.0)	previously.	charts were used to measure	LNG-IUS:	used
e system versus endometri	TCRE group:		menstrual blood loss. A pictorial blood-loss assessment chart score exceeding 75	Baseline: 261.5 (60-1503)	Performance bias Blinding:
al resection,	Mean age (SD)= 42.1 years		(representing menstrual blood loss >=60 mL) was used to	3 years: 7.0 (0-101)	unclear risk,

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Obstetrics and gynecolog y, 104, 1314-21, 2004 Ref Id 483348 Country/ie s where the study was carried out	 (3.6) Weight= 70.4 kg (13.8) Uterine sound measure median= 8.0 cm (range 6.0-10.0) Inclusion criteria -aged from 30 to 49 years, -expressed no further desire for children, -had idiopathic menorrhagia needing treatment, -exhibited a normal uterine 		diagnosis the patient as having menorrhagia. Discontinuations and cases requiring repeat operations were evaluated. The patients were followed at the outpatient clinic, with visits scheduled at 6 weeks and at 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months after transcervical resection of the endometrium or insertion of the levonorgestrel intrauterine system. Statistical analysis The following nonparametric methods were used for analysis	TCRE: Baseline: 311.0 (81-2506) 3 years: 4.0 (0-182) Outcome: Post-procedure infection LNG-IUS: 5/30 (PID or endometritis) TCRE: 4/29 (PID or myometritis)	blinding not possible, unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias Blinding: high risk, blinding not possible, high risk of bias for subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete
Norway Study type RCT Aim of the study To compare the long-	-exhibited a normal uterine cavity, -They were not pregnant, breastfeeding, or menopausal, as evidenced by a follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) level not exceeding 30 IU/L and a serum estradiol (E2) level less than 20 nmol/L Exclusion criteria		methods were used for analysis: Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare differences between the groups at baseline and for analyzing the treatment by time interaction; Friedman's 2-way analysis of variance for repeated measures, and Wilcoxon signed rank test for intra-group comparisons. Serum ferritin and blood hemoglobin were tested in similar manner as menstrual blood loss. The alpha level was	Outcome: Expulsion LNG-IUS: 1/30 TCRE: N/A	Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information In the levonorgestrel intrauterine system

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
term efficacy of the LNG- IUS and transcervic al resection of the endometri um in the treatment of menorrhag ia. Study dates March 1993- October 1995 Source of funding Sponsored by Schering Ag, Berlin,	 -subserous or intramural fibroids (myomata) with a diameter more than 40 mm -submucosal fibroids confirmed by ultrasonography, -current genital infection or pelvic inflammatory disease within the last 6 months, -Pap test classified as cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 or higher, -manifest endometriosis or adenomyosis, -a history of or active thromboembolic disorder, -undiagnosed abnormal uterine bleeding, -acute liver disease or liver tumor, -breast cancer, -or use of injectable 		controlled at the overall level main effects and was set at P < .05. The treatment by time interaction for menstrual blood loss was performed using multiple pairwise comparisons between the groups. The Bonferroni procedure should have been applied and, consequently, for the 3 comparisons the significance level should have been set to 0.0167. The data program used was SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All analyses were based on the intent-to-treat population.		group, 19 of 30 women (63,3%) completed the 36- month follow-up. In the resection group, the procedure was effective during the 3-year study period in 22 of 29 women (75.9%).

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Germany	hormones during the preceding 12 months				
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
e trial of the levonorges trel intrauterin e system and	N= 51 (LNG-IUS= 25, mefenamic acid= 26) Characteristics There were no significant differences between the treatment groups in any of the baseline parameters measured. Mean age in the LNG-IUS group was 39.4 years (SD 4.4) and 38.5 years (SD 4.2) in the oral mefenamic acid group. Inclusion criteria -age 18–47 -good general health with regular, ovulatory, menstrual cycles of 21–35	Women were randomised to receive either oral mefenamic acid 500 mg three times daily for the first four d ays of the menstrual cycle or to have a LNG-IUS inserted for the study period of six cycles. The LNG-IUS comprises a T-shaped polyethylene frame and a levonorgestrel- containing cylinder covered with a membrane regulating the release of the hormone. The total	Follow-up To assess MBL and TMFL subjects were given Tampax super tampons and/or Kotex simplicity size two sanitary towels which had been individually weighed in a self - sealing plastic bag. Statistical analysis The primary outcome measure was compared between treatment groups at baseline, after three cycles and after six cycles using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Change in MBL between baseline and other time points (three cycles and six cycles) was tested between the treatment groups using the Wilcox on rank sum test. The	Outcome: Median menstrual blood loss (PBAC) LNG-IUS group: Baseline: 240 (range: 91- 545) 6 months: 25 (0-402) Mefenamic acid group: Baseline: 233 (range: 77- 469) 6 months: 159 (50-307) Outcome: Adverse event: Infection Chlamydial endometritis:	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: SAS/PLAN method Allocation concealment: opaque, sealed envelopes Performance bias Blinding: unclear risk, blinding not possible, unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia: a multiple analysis using total menstrual fluid loss, menstrual blood loss and pictorial blood loss assessme nt charts, BJOG : an internation al journal of obstetrics and gynaecolo gy, 112, 1121-5, 2005 Ref Id 483349 Country/ie s where	days -objective, idiopathic menorrhagia (MBL 80 mL). -Screening investigations included haemoglobin, ferritin, mid-luteal phase progesterone, mid-luteal endometrial biopsy to assess ovulation, thyroid and liver function tests, pelvic ultrasound and cervical smear Exclusion criteria -undiagnosed abnormal bleeding, -were anovulatory, -had submucosal fibroids or fibroids with a total volume of >5cm -a uterine sound of >10 cm, -abnormal cervical cytology, -untreated hypertension,	cylinder is 52 mg and its initial release rate is 20 Ag per 24 hours.	time effect was analysed using Friedman's two-way ANOVA separately for each treatment group. In case of statistically significant time effects the change from baseline to the other time points was tes ted using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. The median (Wilcoxon median) differences between the treatment groups for the difference between baseline and three cycles and correspondingly between baseline and six cycles were estimated together with 95% confidence intervals	LNG-IUS group: 1 case Mefenamic acid group: none Outcome: Adverse event: Expulsion LNG-IUS: 1 case Mefenamic acid group: none	Blinding: high risk, blinding not possible, high risk of bias for subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information First-line treatment only.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
the study was carried out UK Study type RCT Aim of the	-abnormal thyroid or liver function tests, -asthma, an IUCD in situ, -had been treated for menorrhagia or used hormonal contraceptives within the previous four months				
study					
To compare the efficacy and tolerability of the levonorges trel intrauterin e system (LNG IUS) with mefenamic acid in the					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
managem ent of objective idiopathic menorrhag ia.					
Study dates					
May 1996 to Decemb er 1998					
Source of funding					
The authors would like to thank Schering Oy, Finland, for funding of this study. Kimberly Clark for donating					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
sanitary protection.					
Full citation Sambrook, A. M., Cooper, K. G., Campbell, M. K., Cook, J. A., Clinical outcomes from a randomise d compariso n of Microwave Endometri	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Only included in the NMA. Microwave ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.
al Ablation with Thermal Balloon endometri					

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
al ablation for the treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding, BJOG : an internation al journal of obstetrics and gynaecolo gy, 116, 1038-45, 2009					
Ref Id					
483351					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Shaaban, Mamdouh M., Shabaan, Mamdouh	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
М.,	Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
releasing intrauterin e system compared to low dose combined oral contracepti ve pills for idiopathic menorrhag ia: a randomize d clinical trial, Contracept ion, 83, 48-54, 2011					
Ref Id					
483352					
Country/ie s where the study was carried					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Soysal, Mehmet, Soysal, Seyide, Ozer, Suzan, A	N= 72 Characteristics TBA group: Mean age= 44.1 (2.4) PBAC score= 417 (81.4)	Two monthly injected doses of GnRH analog goserelin acetate given prior to TBA. TBA performed under local	Follow-up PBAC score used to assess menstrual blood loss. Quality of life evaluated with SF-36. HADS used to measure anxiety and depression.	Outcome: Patient Satisfaction at 12 months Assessed by those who would recommend or highly recommend the treatment	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: computer
randomize d controlled trial of levonorges	Uterine volume= 111.3 mL (24)	intracervivcal and paracervical anesthesia supplemented with conscious sedation.	Statistical analysis Analysis was using SPSS. Student's t test, Mann-Whitney U test, Fisher's exact test, chi-	TBA: 26/35 LNG-IUD: 22/32	generated Allocation concealment: opaque envelopes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
trel releasing IUD and thermal balloon ablation in the treatment of menorrhag ia, Zentralblat t fur Gynakolog ie, 124, 213-9, 2002 Ref Id 483353 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Turkey	LNG-IUS group: Mean age= 43.8 (2.7) PBAC score= 408 (101) Uterine volume= 108 mL (21.7) Inclusion criteria -over 40 years of age -with no further desire for childbearing -dysfunctional menorrhagia (diagnosis of exclusion) -refused or did not respond to medical treatment All patients underwent complete physical examination and routine laboratory evaluation, transvaginal ultrasonography, diagnostic hysteroscopy, endometrial biopsy and pap smear.	Thermal balloon was introduced into the uterine cavity, instilled with 5% dextrose and temperature increased to 87 degrees celcius for 8 minutes. LNG-IUS was inserted during the first seven days of menstruation. Delivers 20 ug levnorogestrel to the endometrial surface. Nothing was administered to promote endometrial thinning to the group.		Outcome: Quality of Life at 12 months (median (IQR)) TBA N= 33, LNG-IUD N= 32 Physical functioning TBA= 75 (42.5-40) LNG-IUS= 72.5 (53.7- 91.2) Role limitation physical TBA= 50 (-25- 125) LNG= 25 (-25 - 75) Pain TBA= 51 (20-82) LNG= 51 (30-72) General health TBA= 47 (19.5-74.5) LNG= 52 (25.5-78.5)	Performance bias Blinding: unclear but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Detection bias Blinding: unclear but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details Study type RCT Aim of the study To compare the treatment of menorrhag ia either with a levonorges trel- releasing intrauterin e device or with endometri al thermal balloon	Exclusion criteria -patients with congenital and acquired uterine abnormalities -pelvic inflammatory disease -breast cancer -premalignant and malignant uterine diseases -any concurrent medical disorders			Vitality TBA= 45 (10-80) LNG= 45 (26.2-63.7) Social functioning TBA= 50 (12.5-87.5) LNG= 50 (3.7-96.8) Role limitation emotional TBA=33.3 (-33.3- 99.9) LNG= 33.3 (-58.3-124.9) Mental health TBA= 52 (22-82) LNG= 52 (25-79)	Comments
ablation. Study dates					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
August 1999 to November 2001					
Source of funding					
NR					
Full citation Van Zon-	Sample size Pleasee see Lethaby 2013 Cochrane systematic	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Rabelink, I. A., Vleugels,	review (van Zon-Rabelink 2003). Characteristics				
M. P., Merkus, H. M., De	Inclusion criteria				
Graaf, R., Efficacy and satisfactio	Exclusion criteria				
n rate comparing endometri					

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
al ablation					
by					
rollerball					
electrocoa					
gulation to					
uterine					
balloon					
thermal					
ablation in					
а					
randomise					
d					
controlled					
trial,					
European					
Journal of Obstetrics,					
Gynecolog					
y, &					
Reproducti					
ve Biology,					
114, 97-					
103, 2004					
Ref Id					
483354					
Country/ie					
s where					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size Characteristics	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Vercellini, P., Oldani, S., Yaylayan, L., Zaina,	Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria				Only included in the NMA. Compares two 1st generation ablation
B., De Giorgi, O., Crosignani					techniques, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
, P. G., Randomiz ed compariso n of vaporizing electrode and cutting loop for endometri al ablation, Obstetrics and gynecolog y, 94, 521- 7, 1999					
Ref Id					
483355					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Abu	N=95 original sample randomised (CVR n=48,	Patients were randomly allocated	Sample size calculation	Outcome: PBAC score (mean and SD)	Cochrane risk of bias tool
Hashim, H.,	norehisterone n=47)	(1:1) to contraceptive	Sample size was calculated based on an expected PBAC	At baseline	Selection bias
Alsherbini, W.,	N=95 women received treatment (CVR n=48,	vaginal ring (CVR) or norehisterone	score of 156.6 after 3 months of cyclical progestogens therap. A	CVR: 287.8 (77.4)	Random sequence
Bazeed, M.,	norehisterone n=47)	group. In CVR group,	total of 64 women (32 in each arm) were required to detect a	norehisterone acetate: 302.4 (84.6)	generation: Low risk
Contracept ive vaginal	N=95 women follow-up at 3 months (CVR n=48, norehisterone n=47)	patients received verbal and written	50-point difference in PBAC score between treatments, with a power of 90%, using a two-	At 3 months	Allocation concealment: Low
ring treatment	Characteristics	instructions on the use of the ring,	tailed unpaired Student's t test	CVR: 90.2 (24.4)	risk
	Age in years	including how and when they should	with a 5% significance level (Type I error).	norehisterone acetate: 92.3 (26.7)	Performance bias Blinding of
bleeding: a randomize	CVR: 27.8 (4.9), Norehisterone: 28.2 (4.4); p	insert and remove it. For the first cycle,	Randomisation and allocation concealment		participants and personnel: Unclear

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
d controlled trial with norethister one, Contracept ion, 85, 246-52, 2012 Ref Id 454593 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Egypt Study type Multicenter prospectiv e randomise	Norehisterone: 6 (12.8); p value .71 2> CVR: 14 (29.2), Norehisterone: 10 (21.3); p value .47 3> CVR: 29 (60.4)	women inserted the ring between Days 1 and 5 of the menstrual cycle, according to the instructions in the package insert. Treatment continued for three cycles. Each cycle consisted of 3 weeks of ring use followed by a 1- week ring-free period. Women were advised to apply the blue and white stickers at the end of the package insert on their calendar to remember when to insert and remove the CVR. Norehisteron e acetate tablets were prescribed at a dose of 5 mg three times	Women were randomized according to a computer generated random numeric table prepared by an independent statistician with concealment of treatment allocation by use of sealed opaque envelopes that were given to a third party (nurse) who assigned patients to study arms: Group A (CVR) or B (norethisterone acetate) Blinding The treatment was revealed to the patient because of the different nature of treatments. Outcome assessors, that is, those performing laboratory investigations and statistical analysis, were blinded to the treatment groups Follow-up The primary outcome measure was menstrual blood loss at the end of the study (Cycle 3) assessed by PBAC. Secondary	At baseline Self-rated health (≥ very good) (n%):	risk blinding was not possible due to the nature of the interventions, however, not clear if it can introduce performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: Low risk Blinding of outcome assessors was ensured (laboratory investigators and analyst were biased to treatment group) Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data:

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
efficacy of the CVR (contracep tive vaginal ring) and	Diastolic: CVR: 74.2 (5.1), Norehisterone: 72.7 (5.8); p value .43 Cycle length: CVR: 26.9 (3.7), Norehisterone: 27.2 (4.4); p value .61 Duration of menses (days) CVR: 8.8 (2.7), Norehisterone: 8.4 (2.6); p value .74 Hemoglobin (g/dl) CVR: 10.5 (1.3), Norehisterone: 10.7 (1.2); p value .72 Ferritin (mcg/dl) CVR: 18.4 (3.3),	daily from days 5 to 26 of the cycle over three cycles. Male condom was used for contraception during treatment.	outcome measures were duration of menses, hemoglobin, serum ferritin, HRQoL-4 questionnaire, presence of side effects and overall satisfaction with treatment at the end of the study. Patient in both groups were followed up monthly during the treatment period when PBAC score, duration of bleeding and any adverse effects were noted to assess the patients' response to treatment. To increase the reliability of the measurements, the participants were instructed on how to complete the PBAC, and all participants completed two menstrual cycles during the screening phase of the study. In addition, to optimize the accuracy of the PBAC assessment, the same sanitary pads were used to ensure uniform size and absorbency level. Blood was taken at the beginning and end of the study to measure hemoglobin and	norehisterone: 6.3 (2.3) At 3 months Self -rated health (\geq very good) (n%): CVR: 17 (35.4), norehisterone: 14 (29.7) Number of days feeling physically unwell (mean and SD) CVR: 3.3 (1.1), norehisterone: 3.5 (1.3) Number of days feeling mentally unwell (mean and SD) CVR: 4.7 (1.2), norehisterone: 5.1 (1.3) Number of lost days (no regular activity) (mean and SD) CVR: 1.7 (1.2), norehisterone: 2.6 (1.4)	Low risk No loss to follow up in both treatment group at 3 months Reporting bias Selective reporting: Low risk All outcomes reported Other bias Other sources of bias: Other information Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
during the fertile age.	Norehisterone: 17.1 (2.9); p value .42		serum ferritin levels. The Health- Related Quality of Life 4 (HRQoL-4) questionnaire was	Outcome: Patient satisfaction n(%)	
dates			administered at baseline and also at 3 months to assess	Very satisfied	
July 2008- September 2010	Inclusion criteria 1) HMB based on a PBAC		quality of life in the previous 30 days. The questionnaire includes the following four questions: health as self-	CVR: 34(70.8%) norehisterone: 20 (42.5%)	
Source of funding	score over 185 (mean of two control cycles), 2) parous women desiring		assessed, number of days feeling physically unhealthy, number of days feeling mentally		
No funding provided for this study.	contraception and willing to use a male condom if required,		unhealthy and lost days (defined as days when work or other daily activities are not possible). Also, at the end of the study (Cycle 3),		
CVR(NuvaRing) provided by Organon Egypt and sanitary pad by Procter &	3) aged between 20 and 35 years in good general health with a regular menstrual cycle with evidence of ovulation diagnosed when midluteal phase serum progesterone level was ≥5 ng/mL,		women's overall satisfaction with their treatment was assessed and rated on a four-level scale questionnaire (very satisfied, satisfied, uncertain and dissatisfied), and they were given the option of continuing with the treatment.		
Gamble, Egypt	4) a normal pelvic examination with a sound measurement of the uterus of <10 cm,		Statistical analysis Intention to treat used. Means were compared between the two		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 5) no pathology identified in pelvic ultrasound, 6) normal histology on endometrial biopsy, 7) negative cervical smear and no contraindication to either the CVR or norethisterone Exclusion criteria 1) pregnancy 2) age >35 years 3) obesity (body mass index >30 kg/m2) 4) smokers 5) current intrauterine contraceptive device users 6) abnormal uterine bleeding not fully investigated 7) hormone therapy or any medication that might affect 		study groups using the unpaired Student's t test, while proportions were compared using the $\chi 2$ test. Comparison inside each group was based on the change in mean using a paired t test for continuous variables and the McNemar test for categorical variables. P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details	 the menstrual blood loss within the previous 3 months (e.g., antifibrinolytics, steroid hormones or anticoagulants) 8) women who used injectable hormones for contraception during the previous 12 months 9) use of drugs that interfere with contraceptive hormone metabolism 				
	10) previous endometrial resection/ablation and other pathology (e.g., patients with fibroids of any size, adenomyosis, endometriosis, pelvic inflammatory disease, endometrial hyperplasia in the biopsy or incidental adnexal abnormality on ultrasound) or HMB of endocrine or systemic origin (e.g., thyroid disease and coagulopathies)				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	11) Patients unwilling to use contraception or medical management				
Full citation Athanatos, D, Pados, G, Venetis, Ca, Stamatopo ulos, P, Rousso, D, Tsolakidis, D, Stamatopo ulos, Cp, Tarlatzis, Bc, Novasure impedance control system versus microwave	Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Only included in the NMA. Microwave ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
endometri al ablation for the treatment of dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding: a double- blind, randomize d controlled trial, Clinical and experiment al obstetrics & gynecolog y, 42, 347- 51, 2015					
Ref Id					
549813					
Country/ie s where					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Clark, Tj,	Characteristics				Other information
Samuel, N,	Inclusion criteria				Included in the
Malick, S, Middleton, Lj, Daniels, J, Gupta, Jk, Bipolar radiofrequ	Exclusion criteria				NMA. Compares two 2nd generation ablation techniques, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ency compared with thermal balloon endometri al ablation in the office: a randomize d controlled trial, Obstetrics and Gynecolog y, 117, 109-18, 2011					
Ref Id					
549921					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
de Bruijn,	N=177 original sample randomised (UAE n=88,	Patients were randomly (1:1)	(Some of the information here taken from Hehenkamp 2005)	Outcome: Health-related Quality of Life	Cochrane risk of bias tool
A. M., Ankum, W. M., Reekers, J. A., Birnie, E.,	hysterestomy n=89) N=156 women received treatment (UAE n=81, hysterestomy n=75)	allocated to uterine artery embolization (UAE) or hysterectomy. UAE and	Randomisation Women were randomly assigned (1:1) to UAE or hysterectomy, using a computer-based	SF-36 mental component summary Change from baseline at 1 year follow-up	Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk
van der Kooij, S. M., Volkers, N.	N=131 women responded to follow-up questionnaire at 10 years post-treatment (UAE n=63, hysterestomy n=68)	hysterectomy were performed according to protocol and professional	minimisation sceheme ('balancing procedure') and stratified for study centre. The randomisation result was recorded electronically.	UAE: 6.33* Hysterectomy: 7.67* Change score between	Allocation concealment: Low risk
A.,	11-00)	and professional standards (details		Change score between groups (95% CI): 1.34 (-	Performance bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Hehenkam p, W. J., Uterine artery embolizati on vs hysterecto my in the treatment of symptomat ic uterine fibroids: 10-year outcomes from the randomize d EMMY trial, American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecolog yAm J Obstet Gynecol, 5, 5, 2016	UAE: 26.7 (5.6) Hysterestomy: 25.4 (4.0) Parity \geq 1, % UAE: 65.9 Hysterestomy: 77.5 Black ethnicity, % UAE: 27.3	described in another publication).	Allocation concealment Not reported but according Gupta et al., 2014 Cochrane Systematic Review including other publications from the EMMY trial, a telephone randomisation was used. Blinding Not possible due to the nature of the interventions. Follow up A questionnaire was mailed to the participants when the last included patient had reached 10 years of follow- up. The 10-year questionnaire evaluated the following subjects: additional interventions between 5-10 years of follow-up, health- related quality of live (HRQOL), urinary and defecation function, menopausal	2.3 to 5.32), p=0.505 Change from baseline at 2 years follow-up UAE: 5.80* Hysterectomy: 7.26* Change score between groups (95% CI): 1.47 (- 2.78 to 5.71), p=0.496 Change from baseline at 5 years follow-up UAE: 6.31* Hysterectomy: 6.87* Change score between groups (95% CI): -0.56 (- 5.07 to 3.95), p=0.806 Change from baseline at 10 years follow-up UAE: 4.41* Hysterectomy: 4.54* Change score difference	Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear risk, blinding was not possible due to the nature of the interventions, however, not clear if it can introduce performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: High risk, blinding not possible due to the nature of the interventions, therefore, there is a high risk of bias on subjective outcomes (quality of life and satisfaction). Attrition bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Ref Id	Other ethnicity, %		symptoms, menstrual characteristics	between groups (95% CI): 0.13 (-4.08 to 3.82),	Incomplete outcome data:
549973	UAE: 11.4		(bleeding symptoms since UAE or	p=0.947	Unclear risk, 74%
	Hysterestomy: 13.5		no symptoms due to successful UAE or		of the participants randomised and
s where the study	Marital status single, %		menopause), and satisfaction.	SF-36 physical component	84% of the participants
was carried	UAE: 18.2		Of these the following are of	summary	receiving treatment
out	Hysterestomy: 14.8		interest to this review: HRQOL and satisfaction.	Change from baseline at 1 year follow-up	had data at 10 years follow-up.
Netherland s	Married, %		Health status and HRQOL was	UAE: 7.32*	Reporting bias
	UAE: 62.5		evaluated		Selective reporting:
Study type	Hysterestomy: 61.4		using the Medical Outcome	Hysterectomy: 10.13*	Low risk
Multicentre	Divorced, %		Study Short Form (SF)-36. The SF-36	Change score between groups (95% CI): 2.81 (-	Other bias
RCT (EMMY	UAE: 13.6		generates 2 summary scores: The physical	0.59 to 6.21), p=0.104	Other sources of bias: -
trial)	Hysterestomy: 17.0		component summary (PCS) and the mental	Change from baseline at 2 years follow-up	Other information
Aim of the study	Unemployed, %		component summary (MCS).	UAE: 9.42*	Please see other
The	UAE: 22.7		scores range from 0-100 and	Hysterectomy: 9.32*	publications from
purpose of	Hysterestomy: 21.6		were validated for the Dutch population. Higher	Change score between	the EMMY trial: Hehenkamp et al.,
this study was to	Current smoker, %		scores represent better physical	groups (95% CI): -0.096 (-2.98 to 2.79), p=0.948	2005;, Volkers et al., 2007;
compare	UAE: 23.9		mental functioning.	(2.00 to 2.70), p=0.040	Hehenkamp et al.,

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
clinical outcome and health- related quality of life 10 years after uterine artery embolizati on or hysterecto my in the treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding caused by uterine fibroids in a randomize d controlled trial. Study dates	Hysterestomy: 25.8 Previous treatment, % None UAE: 12.5 Hysterestomy: 16.9 Hormonal UAE: 67.0 Hysterestomy: 66.3 Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs/tranexamic acid UAE: 51.1 Hysterestomy: 46.1 Iron supplement/blood transfusion UAE: 56.8 Hysterestomy: 58.4 Surgical procedures		Satisfaction was assessed by inquiring whether the patients would recommend the primary treatment to a friend and whether or not they would indeed have chosen the assigned treatment again if they would have the opportunity to do so. Finally, patients were asked to indicate how satisfied they were with the received treatment on a 7-point Likert scale: very satisfied, satisfied, fairly satisfied, not satisfied/not unsatisfied, fairly unsatisfied, unsatisfied, or very unsatisfied. Statistical analysis Differences in HRQOL between the groups were assessed with the	Change from baseline at 5 years follow-up UAE: 8.47* Hysterectomy: 7.20* Change score between groups (95% CI): 1.26 (- 2.16 to 4.70), p=0.468 Change from baseline at 10 years follow-up UAE: 7.31* Hysterectomy: 7.04* Change score difference between groups (95% CI): 0.26 (-3.93 to 4.46), p=0.900 *A statistically significant (p<0.05) change from baseline the within-group analysis.	2007; Hehenkamp et al., 2007; van der Krooj et al., 2010; Volkers et al., 2008.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
he Netherland s Organizati on for Health Research and Developm ent (grant	UAE: 19.3 Hysterestomy: 12.4 Symptoms, % Menorrhagia UAE: 100 Hysterestomy: 100 Dysmenorrhea UAE: 53.4 Hysterestomy: 56.2 Pain (not during menstruation) UAE: 17.0 Hysterestomy: 15.7 Anaemia UAE: 48.9 Hysterestomy: 47.2 Pressure symptoms		unpaired Student t tests. Repeated measurement analysis was used to evaluate longitudinal differences (MCS, PCS, UDI, DDI, and Wiklund scores) between the treatment strategies with time as a repeated factor (covariance structure: unstructured). P <.05 (2-sided) was considered statistically significant in all analyses.	Outcome: Patient satisfaction At 1 year follow-up Very satisfied UAE: 29/81 Hysterectomy: 48/75 Satisfied UAE: 21/81 Hysterectomy: 14/75 Moderately satisfied UAE: 18/81 Hysterectomy: 3/75 Not satisfied or unsatisfied UAE: 5/81 Hysterectomy: 3/75 Moderately unsatisfied	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
01-017) and supported by Boston Scientific Corp, The Netherland s.	UAE: 26.1 Hysterestomy: 28.1 Inclusion criteria (1) premenopausal status, (2) diagnosis of uterine fibroids by ultrasonography, (3) heavy menstrual bleeding as the predominant symptom, (4) no other treatment option than hysterectomy, and (5) no wish to conceive in the future. Exclusion criteria (From Hehenkamp et al., 2005) (1) preservation of the uterus was warranted for future pregnancy,			UAE: 3/81 Hysterectomy: 1/75 Unsatisfied UAE: 1/81 Hysterectomy: 1/75 Very unsatisfied UAE: 1/81 Hysterectomy: 0/75 Satisfied (combining very satisfied, satisfied and moderately satisfied and moderately satisfied)** UAE: 68/81 Hysterectomy: 65/75 At 2 year follow-up Very satisfied UAE: 34/81	
	(2) renal failure (creatitine				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 >150 mmol/L), active pelvic infection, or clotting disorders were clinically established, (3) they were allergic to contrast material, (4) uterine malignancy was suspected, (5) submucosal fibroids with 50% of their diameter within the uterine cavity or dominant pedunculated serosal fibroids were present. 			Hysterectomy: 45/75 Satisfied UAE: 29/81 Hysterectomy: 16/75 Moderately satisfied UAE: 11/81 Hysterectomy: 5/75 Not satisfied or unsatisfied UAE: 2/81 Hysterectomy: 3/75 Moderately unsatisfied UAE: 3/81 Hysterectomy: 0/75 Unsatisfied UAE: 1/81 Hysterectomy: 1/75	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Very unsatisfied	
				UAE: 0/81	
				Hysterectomy: 3/75	
				Satisfied (combining very satisfied, satisfied and moderately satisfied)**	
				UAE: 74/81	
				Hysterectomy: 66/75	
				At 5 year follow-up	
				Very satisfied	
				UAE: 37/81	
				Hysterectomy: 42/75	
				Satisfied	
				UAE: 27/81	
				Hysterectomy: 20/75	
				Moderately satisfied	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				UAE: 4/81	
				Hysterectomy: 4/75	
				Not satisfied or unsatisfied	
				UAE: 1/81	
				Hysterectomy: 3/75	
				Moderately unsatisfied	
				UAE: 3/81	
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	
				Unsatisfied	
				UAE: 3/81	
				Hysterectomy: 1/75	
				Very unsatisfied	
				UAE: 0/81	
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	
				Satisfied (combining very satisfied, satisfied and moderately satisfied)**	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				UAE: 67/81	
				Hysterectomy: 66/75	
				At 10 year follow-up	
				Very satisfied	
				UAE: 34/81	
				Hysterectomy: 32/75	
				Satisfied	
				UAE: 22/81	
				Hysterectomy: 24/75	
				Moderately satisfied	
				UAE: 5/81	
				Hysterectomy: 7/75	
				Not satisfied or unsatisfied	
				UAE: 2/81	
				Hysterectomy: 2/75	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Moderately unsatisfied	
				UAE: 0/81	
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	
				Unsatisfied	
				UAE: 0/81	
				Hysterectomy: 2/75	
				Very unsatisfied	
				UAE: 0/81	
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	
				Satisfied (combining very satisfied, satisfied and moderately satisfied)**	
				UAE: 61/81	
				Hysterectomy: 63/75	
				**Calculated by the NGA technical team.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Dickersin, K, Munro, Mg, Clark, M, Langenber g, P, Scherer, R, Frick, K, Zhu, Q, Hallock, L, Nichols, J, Yalcinkaya , Tm, Hysterecto my compared with endometri al ablation for dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding: a randomize d	Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
controlled trial, Obstetrics and Gynecolog y, 110, 1279-89, 2007					
Ref Id					
549993					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Ergun, B,	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Bastu, E, Kuru, O, Sen, S, Kilic, Y,	Characteristics				Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant
Dural, O, Compariso n of rollerball					for the NMA.
endometri al ablation and levonorges					
trel releasing intrauterin e system					
in the managem ent of abnormal					
uterine bleeding,					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Internation al journal of gynaecolo gy and obstetrics, 119, S672, 2012					
Ref Id					
550028					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Ergun, B, Kuru, O, Sen, S, Kilic, Y, Compariso n between	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ve Obstetrik Dernegi Dergisi, 8, 259-63, 2011					
Ref Id					
550030					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Ergun, B., Kuru, O., Sen, S., Kilic, Y., Bastu, E.,	Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria				Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant
Roller-ball endometri al ablation versus levonorges trel	Exclusion criteria				for the NMA.
releasing intrauterin e system in the managem					
ent of abnormal uterine bleeding, Gineco.ro, 8, 199-					
201, 2012 Ref Id					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
550031					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Crosgnani 1997	Dickersin 2007	Dickerson 2007	Comparison:	Quality of the
Fergusson , Rosalie J, Lethaby, Anne,	N=92	1) resectoscopic endometrial ablation	Design: RCT, multicentre, parallel group	Endometrial resection/ablation vs. hysterectomy	Cochrane SR: Systematic review
	Dickerson 2007	with el	Outcomes: Pain, bleeding and		assessed with

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Shepperd, Sasha,	N=237	ectrodesiccation/co agulation or	fatigue at one year; Other outcomes at different time	Outcome: PBAC	AMSTAR checklist. Total score: 11/11
Farquhar, Cindy,	Sesti 2011	vaporisation OR ablation with	points: QOL outcomes, sexual function, employment,	NMA outcome	
Endometri	N=68	```	housework, leisure activities,	Outcome: Satisfaction	Quality of the
	Zupi 2003	or second generation	out-of-pocket costs, h ealth provider visits, surgical	NMA outcome	individual studies:
and ablation	N=203	ablation/resection)	complications, additional surgery	Outcome: Blood transfusion (perioperative	Risk of bias
versus hysterecto	Dwyer 1993	2) vaginal, laparoscopic or	Sesti 2011)	assessment taken from Cochrane SR
	N=196	abdominal hysterectomy under	Design: RCT, single centre. parallel-group	Zupi 2003	(Cochrane risk of bias tool).
menstrual bleeding,		general or regional anaesthesia. In both	Outcomes: Menstrual bleeding	Ablation group: 0/89	Dickerson 2007
Cochrane	Characteristics	groups, women > 45	(PBAC score) at three, six, 12 and 24 months; Quality of life (S	Hysterectomy group: 2/92	
Database of	Crosignani 1997	y ears were allowed oophorectomy	F-36 score) at 24 months; Improvement in bleeding	Sesti 2011*	Random sequence generation: low risk
Systematic Reviews, 2013	Population: 92 Women 42 to 49 years of age, with menorrhagia not	Duration of trial: e nrolme nt was staggered, with	patterns (f requency and duration of bleeding) at three, six, 12 and 24 months;	Not observed in either group (narratively reported)	Allocation concealment: low risk
Ref Id	responding to medical treatment and requiring	some women having data for five	Haemoglobin le vels at three, six, 12 and 24 months; intensity	Dwyer 1993*	Blinding: high risk
550047	hysterectomy, recruited from an outpatient clinic	years	of postoperative pain; early postoperative complications	Resection: 2/99	Incomplete
Country/ie s where	Setting: Italy	Prior experience of the surgeon not	Zupi 2003	Hysterectomy: 6/97	outcome data: unclear (no
the study was	Dickerson 2007	mentioned	Design: RCT, single centre,	Outcome: uterine perforation (perioperative)	reasons given for dropouts)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
by any means	Population: 203 Women with mean age of 43 years	GnRHa one month before surgery, then	Dwyer 1993	Dickersin 2007	concealment: unclear
versus hysterecto	with menometrorrhagia	hysteroscopic endometrial	Design: Single-centre, parallel- group, no blinding,	Ablation group: 0/110	Blinding: high risk
my by any means for	unresponsive to medical treatment, recruited	resection	randomisation by sealed numbered envelopes in variable	Hysterectomy group: 3/118	Incomplete outcome data: low
the treatment	between March 1995 and February 1997	2) laparoscopic supracervical	blocks of 20, 30 and 50	Sesti 2011*	risk
of heavy menstrual	Setting: Italy	hysterectomy	Outcomes: Satisfaction with surgery at four months;	Not observed in either	Selective reporting: unclear (no prior
bleeding.	Dwyer 1993	Duration: two years (follow-up at three	Satisfaction with surgery at 2.8 years; Change in menstrual	group (narratively reported)	protocol identified)
Study dates	Population: 196 women with menorrhagia, mean	months, at one and two years)	blood loss after surgery (subjective) at four months;	Dwyer 1993, return to	other: low risk
Search	age of 40 years, recruited from an outpatient	All surgeons were	Change in menstrual blood loss	theathre within 24h Resection: none reported	Crosignani 1997
performed in 2013.	gynaecology clinic at a teaching hospital in Bristol,	proficient in both endometrial resection and	after surgery (subjective) at 2.8 years; Quality of life at 2.8 years; Postoperative complications;	Hysterectomy: 2/97	Random sequence generation: low risk
Source of funding	UK Setting: UK	laparoscopic hysterectomy	Duration of hospital stay (days); Duration of surgery (minutes);	Dwyer 1993, readmission within 4-6 weeks	Allocation concealment: low
Not	Inclusion criteria			Resection: 2/99	risk Blinding: high
reported.	Dickerson 2007	Crosignani 1997	within one year; Requirement for further surgery at 2.8 years;	Hysterectomy: 4/97	risk, not feasible for a comparison of
	18 years of age or older; premenopausal;	1) hysteroscopic endometrial	Total health service resource cost at four months; Total health	Outcome: Quality of Life (SF-36)	surgical techniques
	dysfunctional uterine bleeding for at least six	resection 2) vaginal	service resource cost at 2.8 years	NMA outcome	Incomplete outcome data: low

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	months (defined as one or more of excess duration, amount or unpredictability); refractory to medical treatment for at least three months Sesti 2011	hysterectomy Duration: two years of follow-up Prior experience of the surgeon not mentioned		Outcome: Duration of hospital stay Dickersin 2007 Ablation group: mean (SD)= 0.05 (0.25), N= 110	risk Selective reporting: unclear (no prior protocol identified, study did not measure adverse events)
	 PBAC score ≥ 100 (average of two consecutive cycles), completed family, normal smear, pelvic ultrasound scan and endometrial biopsy Zupi 2003 ception; normal endometrial histology and Pap smear within the previous six months; uterus not greater 	Dwyer 1993 1) transcervical endometrial resection, n = 99 2) abdominal hysterectomy, n = 97 Duration: four months of follow-up, 2.8 years of follow- up Prior experience of the surgeon not		(1st generation: 0.04 (0.19) N= 53, 2nd generation: 0.05 (0.29), N=57*) Hysterectomy group: mean (SD)= 1.86 (0.97), N=118 Zupi 2003 Ablation group: mean (SD)= 1.3 (1.1), N= 89	other: low risk Dwyer 1993 Random sequence generation: unclear risk, randomisation sequence not described Allocation concealment: low risk
	than 12 weeks of pregnancy in size; without submucosal fibroids, adnexal masses or endometriosis	mentioned		Hysterectomy group: mean (SD)= 1.6 (1.5), N=92 Dwyer 1993 Resection: median 2	Blinding: high risk, not feasible for a comparison of surgical techniques Incomplete
	Crosignani 1997			Resection: median 2 (range 1 to 8), n=99	Incomplete outcome da

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 ≤ 50 years, mobile uterus with volume < 12 weeks in gestational size and<380 mL on ultrasound, negative cervical smear, no evidence of a typical hyperplasia at endometrial biopsy, no adnexal tumours at clinical and ultrasound examination Dwyer 1993 < 52 years of age, complaint of menorrhagia that could not be controlled by conservative means, candidates for abdominal hysterectomy Exclusion criteria Dickerson 2007 postmenopausal; bilateral oophorectomy; pregnant; 			Hysterectomy: median 6 (5 to 10), n=97 Outcome: Infection (abdominal wound infection) Dickersin 2007* Ablation group: NA Hysterectomy group: 5/118 Outcome: Infection (urinary tract infection) Dickersin 2007* Ablation group: 2/110 (1st generation: 1/53, 2nd generation: 1/57*) Hysterectomy group: 6/118 Zupi 2003* Endometrial resection: 1/89	risk Selective reporting: unclear (no prior protocol identified) other: low risk Other information Studies not included in current review beause of incorrect PICO: Gannon 1991, Pinion 1994

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	wishing to retain fertilty; refusal to consider surgery			Hysterectomy: 1/92	
	Sesti 2011			Dwyer 1993*	
	previous endometrial resection/ablation, previous			Resection: 0/99	
	levonorgestrel intrauterine			Hysterectomy: 12/97	
	system, any uterine pathology on pelvic			Outcome: Infection (endometritis)	
	ultrasound scan or hyster oscopy, any pathology			Dickersin 2007*	
	whereby hy sterectomy was indicated, uninvestigated			Ablation group: 1/110	
	abnormal bleeding or postmenopausal bleeding			Hysterectomy group: NA	
	Zupi 2003			*data extracted from individual RCT	
	no further exclusion criteria reported			Outcome: Infection (pelvic infection)	
	Crosignani 1997			Dwyer 1993*	
	known PID or endometriosis, urinary			Resection: 2/99	
	stress incontinence, moderate/ severe genital			Hysterectomy: 5/97	
	prolapse, clotting disorders, use of IUD or drugs that			Outcome: Infection (wound infection)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	may affect MBL, unstable general conditions, submucosal myomas >3cm in diameter or >50% intramural extension Dwyer 1993 uterine size ≤ 12 gestational weeks,			Dwyer 1993* Resection: 0/99 Hysterectomy: 11/97	
	additional symptoms or other pathology, making hysterectomy the preferred treatment			original paper by the NGA technical team.	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Ghazizade h, S,	Randomised N =104 (TCRE= 52, LNG-IUS =52)	Patients were randomly allocated (1:1) to LNG-IUS or	Sample size calculation Sample of 52 patients each were	Outcome: PBAC score (Mean & SD)	Cochrane risk of bias tool
Bakhtiari,	Loss to follow up (TCRE= 5, LNG-IUS=7)	TCRE group	divided into two groups based on previous study from the	Baseline	Selection bias
F, Rahmanpo ur, H, Davari- Tanha, F, Ramezanz	Total at 1 year follow up= (TCRE= 47, LNG-IUS= 45)	In LNG-IUS group, LNG-IUS was inserted within 7 days of the start of menstruation by a	literature in which a 97% and 94% reduction in menstrual blood loss was reported in the LNG-IUS and TCRE groups, respectively, as well as	LNG-IUS: 595 (165) TCRE: 596 (185)	Random sequence generation: Low risk Allocation concealment: Low risk
adeh, F, A		single gynecologist,	differences > 0.09 SD between	At 6 months	Performance bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
550090 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Iran Study type Randomis ed controlled trial Aim of the study The aim of this study is to compare the efficacy, adverse effects,	Bleeding duration (day) LNG-IUS: 10.1 (4.2) TCRE: 14.5 (9.2) PBAC score LNG-IUS: 595 (165) TCRE: 596 (185) Menstrual interval (days) LNG-IUS: 25.6 (4.7) TCRE: 21.7 (6.6) Inclusion criteria 1) 35–45 years old 2) had heavy menstrual	with a 4-mm resection loop was passed into the uterine cavity. Glycine 1.5% was infused for irrigation with an infusion pressure of 100 mmHg. A mixed diathermy current of 120 W was used After the procedure, all patients were advised to keep a menstrual record including length of menstrual cycles, days of bleeding, number of stained towels in one day, amount of staining and note any adverse effects namely spotting, abdominal cramps and pains, breast	of patient satisfaction.	treatment LNG-IUS: 6 out of 45 5 for continued menorrhagia and 1 for unacceptable spotting and weight gain Outcome: Uterine perforation LNG-IUS: none TCRE: "1 case of uterine perforation with no haemorrhagic complication needed intervention"	Incomplete outcome data: High risk Data reported based on participants who completed 1 year follow up. No ITT or other post- hoc analysis done to adjust for missing data. No further information on missing participants. Reporting bias Selective reporting: High risk PBAC score reported at baseline and 6 month but not at 12 month.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
and rate of satisfactio n and acceptabili ty of LNG- IUS and TCRE in the treatment of menorrhag ia Study dates Not reported Source of funding Not reported	disease, pelvic disease, active genital tract infection, abnormal endometrial histology, abnormal cervical cytology, previous endometrial resection and ablation, or any other				Other bias Other sources of bias: Unclear Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
al resection in the treatment of menorrhag ia: A randomize d clinical trial, Journal of Gynecolog ic Surgery, 30, 215-8, 2014					
Ref Id					
550091					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Goshtaseb i, A., Moukhah, S., Gandevani	In the TA group 38 (82.6%) and in the MPA group 33 (71.7%) patients completed the 3-month follow-up. MPA group drop-outs 3 spotting, 7 irregular bleeding, 1 breast fibrocystic change	Medroxyprogestero ne acetate (MPA) 5mg every 12 hours, for 21 days from day 5 of menses Tranexamic acid (TA) 500mg every 6 hours for 5 days from day 1 of menses. During 3	Randomisation Parallel technique. Block randomisation was used. Allocation concealment No details Blinding No details Follow-up	Outcome: PBAC See NMA Outcome: Quality of life SF-36 See NMA Outcome: HRQoL - Condition-Specific HMB Questionnaire (Menorrhagia Questionnaire)	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Unclear risk, details not reported. Allocation concealment: Uncl ear risk, not reported.
randomize d controlled	TA group drop-outs 3 nausea and vomiting, 3 headache, 2 vertigoBaseli	consecutive menstrual periods	Data on clinical outcomes were obtained at the baseline of one control menstrual cycle, and 1,	TA (n=46) vs MPA (n=44) Before treatment Mean	Performance bias Blinding of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
trial of medroxypr ogesteron e acetate and tranexamic acid, Archives of Gynecolog y & Obstetrics, 288, 1055- 60, 2013 Ref Id 454606	31-40 years: 19 (41.3) vs 18 (40.9)		2, and 3 months after treatment. For symptom change as a result of therapy, several measurement tools were used. Statistical Analysis SPSS. Comparisons between groups were performed using t test, paired t test, x2, mann- whitney, wilcoxon signed-ranked test, and repeated measure analysis. Statistical significance level was set at 0.05.	40.1 (13.22) After treatment Mean (SD): 27.16 (14.69)* vs 29.41 (16.14)** *p<0.05 compared with TA before treatment	outcome data: Low risk
Country/ie s where the study was carried out Iran Study type	Parity n (%) <1: 20 (43.5) vs 21 (47.7) >2: 26 (56.7) vs 23 (52.3) p value= 0.68 Education n (%) 0-8 years: 16 (34.8) vs 15				Low loss of follow- up (<20%) and ITT principles used. Reporting bias Selective reporting: Low risk Other bias Other sources of bias: -

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details RCT Aim of the study This study aimed at comparing the efficacy of medroxypr ogesteron e acetate (MPA) and tranexamic	(34.1) 9-12 years: 19 (41.3) vs 22 (50) > 13 years: 11 (23.9) vs 7 (15.9) p value= 0.57 Occupation n (%) Student/employee: 12 (26.1) vs 19 (43.2) Housewife: 34 (73.9) vs 25	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments Other information Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.
al origin (HMB) Study dates January 2010 -	Inclusion criteria Aged 20-45 years, who complained of regular HMB with BMI (19-29 kg/m). Exclusion criteria				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
December 2011	Cases with organic causes of HMB.				
Source of funding	Women with iron deficiency anaemia.				
None declared	Previous thromboembolic disease.				
	History of chronic diseases known to interfere with menstural bleeding like leiomyoma, history of anticoagulant agents, oral contraceptive or other hormonal drug use, and woemn with an IUD in situ were excluded from the study				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Gupta, J. K., Daniels, J.	Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review.				Other information Included in NMA, this publication only

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
P., Middleton, L. J.,					reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.
Pattison,	Characteristics				IOI THE MINA.
H. M., Prileszky,	Inclusion criteria				Same trial as Gupta 2013.
G.,	Exclusion criteria				
Roberts, T.					
E., Sanghera,					
S., Barton,					
P., Gray,					
R., Kai, J., A					
randomise					
d controlled					
trial of the					
clinical					
effectivene					
ss and cost-					
effectivene					
ss of the					
levonorges					
trel- releasing					
intrauterin					
e system					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
in primary care against standard treatment for menorrhag ia: The ECLIPSE trial, Health Technolog y Assessme nt, 19, 1- 118, 2015					
Ref Id					
550121					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Gupta, Janesh K, Sinha, Anju, Lumsden, M A, Hickey, Martha, Uterine artery embolizati on for	EMMY 2010 N=177 randomised (n=88 UAE, n=89 hysterectomy) FUME 2012 N=163 randmised (n=82 UAE, n=81 myomectomy) Jun 2012 N=127 randomised (n=63 UAE, n=64 surgery)	EMMY 2010 1) UAE 2) hysterectomy Duration: Recruitment took place between March 2002 and February 2004 with follow-up of 5 years reported.	EMMY 2010 Design: RCT (Attending gynaecologist contacted the trial bureau by telephone, where the participant was registered and randomly assigned (1:1) to UAE or hysterectomy, using a computer-based minimization scheme ('balancing procedure'), and stratified for study centre. The randomisation result was recorded electronically.)	Outcome: Satisfaction with treatment up to 24 months Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy EMMY 2010 UAE: 68/81 Hysterectomy: 65/75 Pinto 2003	Quality of Cochrane SR: Systematic review assessed using AMSTAR checklist. Total score: 11/11 Quality of individual studies: Risk of bias assessment taken
symptomat ic uterine fibroids,	Mara 2008 N=121 randomised (n=58	FUME 2012	Outcomes: Evaluation of re- intervention rates at 5 years: menstrual characteristics,	UAE: 28/36 Hysterectomy: 15/17	from Cochrane SR (Cochrane risk of bias tool).

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Cochrane Database	UAE, n=63 myomectomy) Pinto 2003	1) UAE (performed by or supervised by	menorrhagia, quality of life measures	Ruuskanen 2010	EMMY 2010
of Systematic		same experienced	Patient satisfaction measured by	UAE: 24/27	Random sequence
Reviews, 2014	told of UAE and	interventional radiologist)	asking women whether they would undergo the same	Hysterectomy: 29/30	generation (selection
Ref Id	hysterectomy, n=19 told of hysterectomy only)	2) myomectomy (without	treatment again.	Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy or	bias): Low risk (Randomly assigned (1:1)
550123	REST 2011	preoperative		myomectomy	using a computer-
Country/ie	N=157 randomised (n=106	gonadotrophin	FUME 2012	Jun 2012	based minimization
s where	UAE, n=51 surgery)	releasing hormone agonists)	Design: RCT (Sealed opaque envelopes, random numbers	UAE: 52/62	scheme)
the study was	Ruuskanen 2010	Duration: Not	generated by computer. Blocks of 10.)	Hysterectomy or	Allocation concealment
carried out	N=57 ransomised (n=27	stated.	,	myomectomy: 45/62	(selection bias): Low risk
	UAE, n=30 hysterectomy)		Outcomes: Primary endpoint:	REST 2011	(Telephone
Study type	Characteristics	Jun 2012	quality of life measures at one year using the Uterine Fibroid	UAE: 84/95	randomisation)
Cochrane	EMMY 2010	1) UAE	Symptom and Quality of Life (UFS-QOL) questionnaire. Other	Hysterectomy or	Blinding (performance bias
systematic	The mean age was 44.6	2) surgery:	endpoints: evaluation of	myomectomy: 42/45	and detection bias)
review of RCTs	years (UAE group) and 45.4 years (hysterectomy	hysterectomy or	reintervention rates at 2 years, complications	Comparison: UAE	Objective
	group) . Participants	myomectomy ("The method of		versus myomectomy	outcomes: Unclear risk (No blinding,
Aim of the study	oanoroa nom monormagia	hysterectomy or		Mara 2008	but unclear how
-	for a median of 24 months. The majority of women had	myomectomy was	Jun 2012	UAE: 46/52	much this would
To review the	multiple fibroids. Fibroid	not specified; the choice between	Design: RCT (Randomisation	Myomectomy: 51/58	affect relatively objective outcomes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	volumes were higher in the hysterectomy group. Setting: the Netherlands FUME 2012 The mean age was 44 years (UAE group) and 43 years (hysterectomy group). The UAE group had slightly larger fibroid volumes.	Interventions these options depended on whether the patient wished to retain her uterus for fertility or other reasons." All the hysterectomies and myomectomies were performed through an abdominal incision.) Duration: Recruitment took place between October 2006 to September 2009 Mara 2008 1) UAE (bilateral) 2) myomectomy (laparoscopic or open, the type and	was performed in a 1:1 ratio according to a computer- generated schedule.) Outcomes: Primary outcome measure: quality of life (36-Item Short-Form General Health Survey (SF-36) and complications. The SF-36 scores were presented at 6 month follow-up while the complications	Outcome: Satisfaction with treatment at 5 years Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy EMMY 2010	(e.g. live birth, complications, re- intervention)) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) Subjective outcomes: High risk (No blinding which was likely to affect subjective outcomes (e.g. satisfaction rate, quality of life) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes: High risk (After randomisation, 92% of randomised women were analysed in the UAE group (81/88)
reported.	participants, 110 were symptomatic (90.9%), 66 were nulligravidae (54.5%),	route of access were left at the discretion of the	Mara 2008	Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy	and 84,3% in the hysterectomy group (75/89). At 5

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 35 were sterile (28.9%; 11 in embolization and 24 in myomectomy group; P < 0.05), 18 had miscarried in the past (14.9%) and 51 had another subfertility factor other than myoma (42.1%). Setting: Czech republic Pinto 2003 Women aged 35 to 57 years. Setting: Spain REST 2011 Women over the age of 18 	attending gynaecologist) Duration: Not reported in systematic review Pinto 2003 1) UAE 2) hysterectomy Duration: Recruitme nt took place between April 1999 to June 2001 with intended 2 years of follow-up	Design: RCT (Randomization was performed by means of a computer-generated random numbers. Patients with odd integers were placed into the embolization group and those with even numbers into the myomectomy group.) Outcomes: Early post-operative complications during the first 30 days; Symptomatic effectiveness; Post-procedural follicle stimulating hormone levels; Late complications after 30 days of the procedure; Reproductive outcome following both procedures	EMMY 2010 UAE: 0/81 Hysterectomy: 10/75 Pinto 2003* Intra- and postprocedural (within 30 days) blood transfusion UAE: 0/40 Hysterectomy: 6/20 Comparison: UAE versus myomectomy Mara 2008	years, there were further dropouts: 85% in the UAE group (75/ 88) and 78.7% in the hysterectomy group (70/89)) Selective reporting (reporting bias): Low risk (Protocol not available but all expected outcomes reported) Other bias: Low risk (No other potential source of bias identified)
	were enrolled. Setting: UK Ruuskanen 2010 All Caucasians. Setting: Finland Inclusion criteria	REST 2011 1) UAE 2) Surgery (n=43 hysterectomies, n=8 myomectomies). "The method of	Pinto 2003 Design: RCT (Method of randomisation: Zelen design which is random allocation prior to seeking consent. The randomisation was stratified 2:1 in favour of UAE and generated	UAE: 0/58 Hysterectomy: 2/63 Outcome: Adverse event - Unscheduled re- admission rate within 4-6 weeks	FUME 2012 Random sequence generation (selection bias): Low risk ("Women were randomised using the sealed opaque envelope

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 Inclusion criteria for the Cochrane review: All randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of uterine artery embolization versus other interventions. Women with symptomatic uterine fibroids, with either subjective or objective symptoms (expected to be predominantly heavy menstrual bleeding with or without intermenstrual bleeding, but also including pain and bulk-related symptoms), or both. Bilateral UAE using permanent embolic material versus any other surgical intervention as a primary treatment for symptomatic fibroids, for example myomectomy or hysterectomy. UAE was evaluated as a single therapy, not combined with 	hysterectomy or myomectomy was not specified; the choice between these options depended on whether the patient wished to retain her uterus for fertility or other reasons". All the hysterectomies and myomectomies were performed through an abdominal incision. Duration of trial: recruitment took place between November 2000 to May 2004 with long term follow-up of 5 years Ruuskanen 2010 1) UAE (Shortly after selective	by computer sealednumber envelopes.) Outcomes: Evaluation of efficiency: total length of hospital stay after UAE and hysterectomy; Evaluation of safety: complications resulting from both the procedures; Evaluation of effectiveness: cessation of bleeding after UAE Patient satisfaction measured by asking women whether they would undergo the same treatment again. REST 2011 Design: RCT (Randomisation was performed by means of a computer-generated schedule. Permuted blocks). This was stratified by centre and women were randomly assigned (2:1) to UAE or surgery (hysterectomy or moyomectomy). The method of surgery was not specified.	Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy EMMY 2010 UAE: 39/81 Hysterectomy: 19/76 Pinto 2003* UAE: 2/40 Hysterectomy: 1/20 Comparison: UAE versus myomectomy Mara 2008 UAE: 2/58 Myomectomy: 1/63 Outcome: Length of hospital stay in days, mean (SD) Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy	technique, using random numbers generated by computer") Allocation concealment (selection bias): Low risk ("Women were randomised using the sealed opaque envelope technique, using random numbers generated by computer") Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) Objective outcomes: Unclear risk (Unclear risk No blinding, but unclear how much this would affect relatively objective outcomes (e.g. live

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 surgery. We excluded trials of the occlusion of uterine arteries by any means other than embolization. Includion criteria in individual studies: EMMY 2010 the clinical diagnosis of uterine fibroids confirmed by ultrasonography; menorrhagia subjectively reported by the patient as increased or prolonged menstrual blood loss which caused dysfunction in daily life) was their predominant complaint, among other possibly fibroid-related signs and symptoms; they were premenopausal; and 	catheterization of both uterine arteries from right femoral artery access, embolization was performed with calibrated microsphere particles (550-700 µm; EmboSphere; BioSphere Medical, Louvres, France) until near-stasis was observed in the ascending segment of the uterine artery. In tortuous, small or spastic uterine arteries, catheterization was performed with a 2.1- French microcatheter to ensure free-flow embolization. An Angio-Seal closure device was routinely used. The same interventional	Outcomes: Primary outcome measure: quality of life (36-Item Short-Form General Health Survey [SF-36]). Secondary outcome measures: time until resumption of usual activities (we have used the data for when women started driving their car as a resumption to normal activities), satisfaction score, pain score at 24 hours, any complications and treatment failure. Ovarian failure has also been reported at 1 year. Pregnancy outcomes were reported at 5 year follow-up. The study was not set up or powered to assess this outcome and there were only 8 myomectomies in the surgical group of 51 women. The original target of 200 women was reduced to 150 because of difficulties in recruitment which reduced the power to 80%. The data were presented in median and	EMMY 2010 UAE: 2 (2.1) (n=81) Hysterectomy: 5.1 (1.3) (n=75) Pinto 2003 UAE: 1.71 (1.59) (n=38) Hysterectomy: 5.85 (2.52) (n=19) Ruuskanen 2010 UAE: 1.3 (0.4) (n=27) Hysterectomy: 3.5 (1.5) (n=26) Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy or myomectomy Jun 2012 UAE: 4.2 (2.7) (n=62) Hysterectomy or myomectomy: 7.6 (4.8) (n=62)	birth, complications, reintervention) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) Subjective outcomes: High risk (No blinding, which was likely to affect subjective outcomes (e.g. satisfaction rate, quality of life)) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes: High risk (After randomisation, 23% of randomised women excluded from analysis in the UAE group (19/82) and 27% in the myomectomy group (22/81))

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	scheduled for a hysterectomy	radiologist performed all interventions (HM, with 2 years'	interquartile ranges as the milestone data were very skewed. After contacting the authors they released the mean	REST 2011 UAE: 1.6 (0.8) (n=100)	Selective reporting (reporting bias): High risk (No
	FUME 2012 symptomatic uterine fibroids confirmed by	experience in UAE at the beginning of the trial). After the intervention, women	and standard deviations of the data on the understanding that these data are included in this review with this caveat.	Hysterectomy or myomectomy: 4.7 (1.9) (n=49)	suggestion of selective reporting. Fertility as an outcome was not
	ultrasonography > 3cm in diameter;	were observed in a recovery room for 4- 6 h, after which they	Ruuskanen 2010	Comparison: UAE versus myomectomy FUME 2012	collected as the ethics committee did not approve
	they were seeking treatment and treatment was considered justified by the physician,	were transferred to the gynaecology ward for further	Design: Single-centre RCT (Enrolled and assigned eligible	UAE: 2 (2.73) (n=63)	UAE for women who wished to conceive. Findings for QoL differed
	they wished to preserve their uterus,	care.) 2) hysterestomy (The type of	participants to UAE or hysterectomy using sealed envelopes (1:1 ratio). Recruitment and randomisation	Myomectomy: 6 (2.73) (n=59) Mara 2008	according to whether change scores or end
	and would otherwise have been offered myomectomy performed via open	hysterectomy and route of access were not standardised and	were performed at the same gynaecology outpatient clinic visit.)	UAE: 2.5 (1.3) (n=58) Myomectomy: 3.6 (1.7)	scores were used, but both were reported in the
	abdominal surgery	left to the discretion of the attending gynaecologist, in	Outcomes: The primary endpoint was improvement of symptoms; secondary endpoints were	(n=63)	review) Other bias: High risk (There were
	Jun 2012 women with fibroids (>4cm) that could be adequately	order to maintain the protocol as close to that of daily practice as possible.	procedural characteristics, major complications, time to discharge from hospital, length of sick leave, re-interventions required,	Outcome: Health-related Quality of Life (USF- QOL) at one year, mean (SD)	baseline differences between the groups in QoL and

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	visualized with the use of magnetic resonance imaging causing symptoms of menorrhagia or pelvic pain and pressure which justified surgical treatment Mara 2008 1) age up to 40 years; 2) planned pregnancy; 3) ultrasound verified intramural fibroids of at least 4 cm in greatest diameter (in the case of more fibroids, the largest being at least 4 cm); 4) serum concentration of FSH under 30 IU/L (on the third day of the menstrual cycle) Pinto 2003	Hysterectomy was performed as an abdominal hysterectomy, vaginal hysterectomy or laparoscopic- assisted hysterectomy. General anaesthesia was used in all operations.) Duration: Not reported in the systematic review	and satisfaction with treatment at 2 year follow-up The following symptoms were recorded: duration and severity of menstrual flow (no periods,mild,moderate,severe;wi thmoderateor severeindicating menorrhagia), dysmenorrhoea, pressure symptoms of the bladder, bowel, or back, increased urinary frequency, urinarystressincontinence, andnon- menstrualrelatedlowerabdominal pain.Menstrual flowwasrecordedseverewhenitpr eventedeverydayactivities,cause danaemia,andextra large pads or tampons (change every 1 to 2 h) were needed. Complete blood count, ferritin, haematocrit, follicle-stimulating hormone and estrogen levels were ordered. Patient satisfaction measured by asking women whether they would undergo the same treatment again.	UAE: 72.9 (24.9) (n=63) Myomectomy: 86.3 (20.1) (n=59) USF-QOL Change scores FUME 2012 UAE: 32.3 (28.8) (n=63) Myomectomy: 39.9 (27.3) (n=59)	although these were reported as not statistically significant, these do represent high risk) Jun 2012 Random sequence generation (selection bias): Low risk ("Patients were randomly assigned to study groups according to a computer- generated schedule") Allocation concealment (selection bias): Unclear risk (No details provided) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) Objective

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	women with bleeding			year, mean (SD)	outcomes: Unclear
	uterine fibroids who were			Jun 2012	risk (No blinding, but unclear how
	candidates for hysterectomy			UAE: 68.4 (6.1) (n=62)	much this would affect relatively
				Surgery: 60.1 (5.5) (n=62)	objective outcomes
	REST 2011			REST 2011	(e.g. live birth, complications, re-
	women with fibroids (>2cm)			UAE: 92 (14) (n=106)	intervention))
	that could be adequately visualized with the use of			Surgery: 89 (20) (n=51)	Blinding (performance bias
	magnetic resonance imaging causing symptoms			Physical function at 5 years, mean (SD)	and detection bias) Subjective outcomes: High
	of menorrhagia or pelvic pain and pressure which justified surgical treatment.			REST 2011 (from Moss 2011)*	risk (No blinding, which was likely to
				UAE: 90 (18) (n=96)	affect subjective outcomes (e.g.
	Ruuskanen 2010			Surgery: 87 (24) (n=48)	satisfaction rate, quality of life))
	women's subjective symptoms, which had to be			Social function within 1 year, mean (SD)	Incomplete outcome data
	severe enough to warrant consideration of			Jun 2012	(attrition bias) All
	hysterectomy, and only			UAE: 63 (10.2) (n=62)	outcomes: Low risk (After
	women agreeing to hysterectomy, if necessary, were included in the study			Surgery: 55 (11.2) (n=62)	randomisation, 98.4% (62/63) were

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria			REST 2011	analysed in the UAE group and
	Exclusion criteria for the individual studies:			UAE: 84 (23) (n=106)	96.9% (62/ 64) in the surgical group)
				Surgery: 87 (26) (n=51)	Selective reporting
	EMMY 2010 1) preservation of the			Social function at 5 years, mean (SD)	(reporting bias): Low risk (Protocol
	uterus was warranted for future pregnancy;			REST 2011 (from Moss 2011)*	not available but all expected outcomes
	2) renal failure(creatinine >150 mmol/L),active pelvic			UAE: 86 (23) (n=96)	reported) Other bias: Unclear
	infection, or clotting disorderswere clinically			Surgery: 85 (29) (n=48)	risk (Power calculations not
	established;			Mental health within 1	carried out)
	3) they were allergic to			year, mean (SD)	Mara 2008
	contrast material;			Jun 2012	Random sequence
	 4) uterine malignancy was suspected; 			UAE: 71.9 (6.2) (n=62)	generation (selection bias):
				Surgery: 57.9 (8.9) (n=62)	
	5) submucosal fibroids with 50% of their diameter within			REST 2011	marked with odd integers were
	the uterine cavity or dominant pedunculated			UAE: 76 (17) (n=106)	placed into the E group
	serosal fibroids were present			Surgery: 76 (21) (n=51)	(embolization) and
	present			Mental health at 5 years,	patients given even numbers by the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	FUME 2012 fibroids attached to the uterus by a narrow pedicle, or the whole fibroid mass being so large that it extended beyond the level			mean (SD) REST 2011 (from Moss 2011)* UAE: 76 (17) (n=96) Surgery: 74 (24) (n=48) Emotional role within 1 year, mean (SD)	computer were located into the Mgroup (myomectomy). In other words, a random number hasbeengenerated anew for every new patient; none of the researchers could
	of the umbilicus, or documented allergy to radiographic contrast medium,			Jun 2012 UAE: 69.6 (6.7) (n=62) Surgery: 58.5 (6.8) (n=62)	therefore either know or predict the next number (there was no pre-created
	or a history of recent or ongoing pelvic inflammatory disease. Women also were excluded if they were not prepared to accept surgery as a treatment option, if they were pregnant, or if they were actively planning or trying to conceive.			REST 2011 UAE: 81 (35) (n=106) Surgery: 87 (30) (n=51) Emotional role at 5 years, mean (SD) REST 2011 (from Moss 2011)*	Allocation concealment (selection bias): Low risk ("Patients marked with odd integers were placed into the E group (embolization) and patients given even
				UAE: 82 (35) (n=96) Surgery: 85 (34) (n=48)	numbers by the computer were located into the

Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Jun 2012 contraindication to MRI, severe allergy to iodinated contrast media, recent or ongoing pelvic inflammatory disease, pregnancy and any contraindication to surgery			Vitality within 1 year, mean (SD) Jun 2012 UAE: 66.2 (6) (n=62) Surgery: 55.3 (9.8) (n=62) REST 2011 UAE: 62 (21) (n=106) Surgery: 67 (22) (n=51)	Mgroup (myomectomy). In other words, a random number hasbeengenerated anew for every new patient; none of the researchers could therefore either know or predict the next number (there was no pre-created list of numbers).")
Mara 2008 1) type 0 and type 1 submucosal myomas and subserous myomas; 2) size of largest fibroid greater than 12 cm in greatest diameter on ultrasound or a uterus greater than the 4th month of pregnancy on palpation; 3) previous surgical or medical treatment:			Vitality at 5 years, mean (SD) REST 2011 (from Moss 2011)* UAE: 63 (22) (n=96) Surgery: 63 (25) (n=48) Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy EMMY 2010 (from Hehenkamp 2008)*	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) Objective outcomes: Unclear risk (No blinding, but unclear how much this would affect relatively objective outcomes (e.g. live birth, complications, re- intervention)) Blinding
si 2 9 0 3) size of largest fibroid reater than 12 cm in reatest diameter on ltrasound or a uterus reater than the 4th month f pregnancy on palpation;	ubmucosal myomas and ubserous myomas;) size of largest fibroid reater than 12 cm in reatest diameter on ltrasound or a uterus reater than the 4th month f pregnancy on palpation;) previous surgical or	 binucosal myomas and ubserous myomas;) size of largest fibroid reater than 12 cm in reatest diameter on litrasound or a uterus reater than the 4th month f pregnancy on palpation;) previous surgical or) type 0 and type 1 ubmucosal myomas and ubserous myomas;) size of largest fibroid reater than 12 cm in reatest diameter on Itrasound or a uterus reater than the 4th month f pregnancy on palpation;) previous surgical or KEST 2011 (from Moss 2011)* UAE: 63 (22) (n=96) Surgery: 63 (25) (n=48) Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy EMMY 2010 (from Hehenkamp 2008)*

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	4) suspected uterine sarcoma;			summary change score from baseline at 6 weeks, mean	(performance bias and detection bias) Subjective
	5) significant illness that would contraindicate pregnancy;			UAE: 2.65 Hysterectomy: 2.78	outcomes: High risk (No blinding, which was likely to
	6) lack of consent			p=0.953 Physical component	affect subjective outcomes (e.g. satisfaction rate, quality of life))
	Pinto 2003 wish to retain fertility;			from baseline at 6 weeks, mean	Incomplete outcome data
	fibroids larger than 10 cm in diameter,			UAE: 3.09 Hysterectomy: -5.96	(attrition bias) All outcomes: Low risk (After
	any contraindication to surgery;			p<0.0001	randomisation, 100% (58/58) were analysed in the
	sensitivity to iodine-based contrast material			Mental component summary change score from baseline at 6 months, mean	UAE group and 98.4% (6263) in the myomectomy group. At 12
	REST 2011			UAE: 7.03	2 further dropouts in the UAE group
	Contraindication to MRI, severe allergy to iodinated contrast media, subserosal			Hysterectomy: 7.09 p=0.976	giving a follow-up rate of 96.6%)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	pedunculated fibroids, recent or ongoing pelvic inflammatory disease and any contraindication to surgery			Physical component summary change score from baseline at 6 months, mean UAE: 8.05	Selective reporting (reporting bias): Low risk (Protocol not available but all expected outcomes
	Ruuskanen 2010			Hysterectomy: 10.21	reported)
	suspected genital tract			p=0.192	Other bias: Low risk (No other
	malignancy,			Mental component	potential source of bias identified)
	adnexal pathological features (suspected tumour or sactosalpinx),			summary change score from baseline at 18 months, mean	Pinto 2003
	acute pelvic inflammatory			UAE: 7.01	Random sequence generation
	disease,			Hysterectomy: 7.09	(selection bias): Low risk
	fertility preservation,			p=0.969	("The random
	uterovaginal prolapse requiring treatment,			Physical component summary change score	patient assignments were
	previous reactions to contrast media,			from baseline at 18 months, mean	generated by computer and kept in sealed.
	renal impairment,			UAE: 7.94	numbered envelopes")
	and leiomyomas suitable for hysteroscopic			Hysterectomy: 10.45	Allocation

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details	myomectomy (single leiomyoma over 50% in the cavum uteri and 5 cm or less in size)			p=0.131 Mental component summary change score from baseline at 24 months, mean UAE: 5.80 Hysterectomy: 7.26 p= 0.496 Physical component summary change score from baseline at 24 months, mean UAE: 9.42 Hysterectomy: 9.32 p=0.948	concealment (selection bias): Low risk ("The random patient assignments were generated by computer and kept in sealed, numbered envelopes") Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) Objective outcomes: Unclear risk (No blinding, but unclear how much this would affect relatively objective outcomes
				Outcome: Adverse event - Infection Comparison: UAE versus myomectomy	(e.g. live birth, complications, re- intervention)) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Mara 2008*	Subjective outcomes: High
				Need for antibiotics within 30 days post-procedure	risk (No blinding, which was likely to affect subjective
				UAE: 8/58	outcomes (e.g.
				Myomectomy: 6/63	satisfaction rate, quality of life))
				FUME 2012 (from Manyonda 2012)*	Incomplete outcome data
				Urinary tract infection	(attrition bias) All outcomes: High
				UAE: 0/63	risk (The analysis is different for
				Myomectomy: 8/59	different outcomes.
				Pneumonia	Per protocol analysis used)
				UAE: 0/63	Selective reporting
				Myomectomy: 1/59	(reporting bias): Low risk
				Sepsis	(Protocol not
				UAE: 1/63	available but all expected outcomes
				Myomectomy: 1/59	reported)
				Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy	Other bias: Low risk (No other potential source of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Pinto 2003*	bias identified)
				Urinary tract infection within 30 days post- procedure UAE: 2/40 Hysterectomy: 2/20 Vulvovagitinis within 30 days post-procedure UAE: 1/40 Hysterectomy: 0/20 Surgical wound abscess within 30 days post- procedure UAE: 0/40 Hysterectomy: 3/20 Intra-abdominal abscess within 30 days post- procedure UAE: 0/40 Hysterectomy: 1/20	REST 2011 Random sequence generation (selection bias): Low risk (randomly assigned [2:1] using a computer generated schedule) Allocation concealment (selection bias): Low risk (remote telephone randomisation) Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) objective outcomes: Unclear risk (no blinding, but unclear how much this would

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				EMMY 2010 (from Hehenkamp 2005)*	affect relatively objective outcomes [e.g live birth,
				Urinary tract infection during hospital stay	complications, re- intervention])
				UAE: 0/81	Blinding (performance bias
				Hysterectomy: 3/75	and detection bias)
				Urinary tract infection up to 6 weeks post- discharge	subjective outcomes: High risk (no blinding, which was likely to
				UAE: 5/81	affect subjective outcomes (e.g.
				Hysterectomy: 2/75	satisfaction rate, quality of life)
				Endometritis during hospital stay	Incomplete
				UAE: 0/81	(attrition bias) all
				Hysterectomy: -	outcomes: Low risk (after
				Endometritis up to 6 weeks post-discharge	randomisation, 89.6% [95/106] were analysed in
				UAE: 2/81	the UAE group and
				Hysterectomy: -	88.2% [45/51] in the surgical group)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Pneumonia during hospital stay	Selective reporting (reporting bias):
				UAE: 0/81	Low risk (protocol not available but all
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	expected outcomes reported)
				Pneumonia up to 6 weeks post-discharge	Other bias: low risk (no other potential
				UAE: 1/81	source of bias
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	identified)
				Intra-abdominal infection during hospital stay	Ruuskanen 2010
				UAE: 0/81	Random sequence
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	generation (selection bias):
				Intra-abdominal infection up to 6 weeks post- discharge	Unclear risk (Insufficient details reported, states "The same
				UAE: 0/81	gynaecologist discussed
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	treatment options
				Sepsis during hospital stay	with the patient and enrolled and assigned eligible

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				UAE: 0/81	participants to UAE or hysterectomy
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	using sealed envelopes (1:1
				Sepsis up to 6 weeks post-discharge	ratio).")
				UAE: 1/81	Allocation concealment
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	(selection
					bias): Unclear risk (Insufficient details
				Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy	reported, states "The same
				REST 2011 (from Edwards 2007)*	gynaecologist discussed
				Wound infection (during hospital stay)	treatment options with the patient and enrolled and
				UAE: N/A	assigned eligible participants to UAE
				Surgical group: 2/51	or hysterectomy using sealed
					envelopes (1:1 ratio).")
				Outcome: Adverse event - Venous thrombosis	Blinding (performance bias
				Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy	and detection bias) Objective

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Pinto 2003*	outcomes: Unclear risk (Carried out in
				Deep venous thrombosis	same gynaecology outpatient clinic)
				UAE: 1/40	Blinding
				Hysterectomy: 1/20	(performance bias and detection bias)
				EMMY 2010 (from Hehenkamp 2005)*	Subjective outcomes: High
				Thrombosis during hospital stay	risk (No blinding, which was likely to affect subjective
				UAE: 0/81	outcomes (e.g. satisfaction rate,
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	quality of life))
				Thrombosis up to 6 weeks post-discharge	Incomplete outcome data
				UAE: 0/81	(attrition bias) All outcomes: Low
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	risk (After randomisation,
				Pulmonary embolism	96.35 (26/27) were analysedintheUAE
				during hospital stay	groupand96.7%(29
				UAE: 1/81	/ 30) in the
				Hysterectomy: 1/75	hysterectomy group. One patient

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Pulmonary embolism up to 6 weeks post- discharge UAE: 0/81 Hysterectomy: 0/75 Comparison: UAE	from the UAE group withdrew consentforfollow- up1dayafterUAE,an done patient from the hysterectomy group died from cerebral infarct 13 months after the
				versus myomectomy FUME 2012 (from	hysterectomy) Selective reporting
				Manyonda 2012)*	(reporting
				Pulmonary embolus	bias): Low risk (Protocol not
				UAE: 0/63	available but all expected outcomes
				Myomectomy: 1/59	reported)
				Outcome: Adverse event - Long-term complications	Other bias: Unclear risk (Power calculation not carried out)
				Comparison: UAE versus hysterectomy	
					Other information
				Ruuskanen 2010*	EMMY 2010
				Urinary stress incontinence at 2-year	references included

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				follow-up	in the Cochrane systematic review
				UAE: 7/27	relevant for the
				Hysterectomy: 13/30	current review: Hehenkamp 2005; Hehenkamp 2008; van der Kooij 2010;
				Outcome: Adverse event - Death	Volkers 2007.
				Comparison: UAE	FUME 2012
				versus hysterectomy	reference included in the Cochrane
				EMMY 2010 (from Hehenkamo 2005)*	systematic review relevant for the current review:
				UAE: 0/81	Manyonda 2012
				Hysterectomy: 0/75	REST 2011 reference included in the Cochrane
				*Data extracted from the original paper by the NGA tehcnical team.	systematic review relevant for the current review: Edwards 2007; Moss 2011)
					Studies included in the SR that are not

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					relevant to the current review:
					REST 2011 (Rashid 2010), as there are no outcomes of interest
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Please see Gupta 2014				Other information
	Cochrane systematic				
p, W. J., Volkers, N.	review.				
A., Birnie, E.,	Characteristics				
Reekers,	Inclusion criteria				
J. A., Ankum, W.	Exclusion criteria				
M., Symptoma					
tic uterine					
fibroids: treatment					
with					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
uterine artery embolizati on or hysterecto myresults from the randomize d clinical Embolisati on versus Hysterecto my (EMMY) Trial, Radiology, 246, 823- 32, 2008					
Ref Id					
550146					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Jain, P., Rajaram, S., Gupta, B., Goel, N.,	N=40 n=20 TBA; n=20 vaginal hysterectomy (VH) Characteristics Age in years, mean \pm SD (range): TBA - 44.25 \pm 3.41 (40-50); VH - 43.95 \pm 1.95 (40-47) Parity, mean \pm SD (range): TBA - 2.85 \pm 1.2 (1-7); VH -	Thermal Balloon Ablation (TBA) versus vaginal hysterectomy (VH) Both TBA and vaginal hysterectomy were performed under spinal anesthesia in the postmenstrual phase of the cycle.	Sample size calculation A sample size of 40 was considered adequate assuming that 40% of women in the vaginal hysterectomy group and 8% in the TBA group would experience adverse effects (minor and major), and a reduction in the PBAC score of 342 in women undergoing TBA, with	Outcome: UFS-TS (Uterine Fibroid Symptom Transformed Score) At baseline TBA: 60.43% VH: 61.85% At 6 months post-surgery TBA: 7.79% VH: 2.02%	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk Allocation concealment: Unclear risk, not reported.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
balloon ablation versus vaginal hysterecto my for leiomyoma -induced heavy menstrual bleeding, Internation al Journal of Gynaecolo gy & Obstetricsl nt J Gynaecolo gy & Obstetricsl nt J Gynaecol Obstet, 135, 140- 144, 2016 Ref Id 550189 Country/ie s where the study	Symptom severity score at baseline, mean \pm SD (range): TBA - 27.4 \pm 3.3 (23-37); VH - 27.8 \pm 2.6 (23-32) HRQoL score at baseline, mean \pm SD (range): TBA - 102.9 \pm 9.4 (80-114); VH - 106.9 \pm 5.3 (87-114)	using the LiNAMenotreat system (LiNA Medical, Glostrup, Denmark), which consists of a reusable Menotreat system controller and a singleuse Menotreat balloon set with an inflatable silicon balloon catheter. Thorough curettagewas performed to reduce the endometrial thickness before TBA. The balloon was inflated with normal saline at 85°C ± 3°C with the pressure maintained at 200 ± 10 mm Hg for 11 minutes ± 5 seconds. The maximal uterine cavity length for	at a 5% level of significance. Randomisation Participants were randomly allocated into two groups (TBA and vaginal hysterectomy) in a 1:1 ratio using computer-generated random number tables. Allocation concealment Not reported Blinding Participants, investigators, and data analysts were not masked to group assignment. Examinations before interventions A detailed history was obtained from all participants. A physical examination was also performed, alongwith PBAC scoring. All requisite preoperative investigations were	Difference in mean change: 7.18% (95% CI 1.29 to 13.07, p=0.018) Outcome: Increase in mean HR-TS HR-TS (Health-related Transformed Score) from baseline to 6 months post-surgery TBA: 58.17% ± 9.06% VH: 64.04% ± 3.63% Difference in mean change: -5.87 (95% CI - 10.29 to -1.45, p=0.011) Outcome: Adverse events - Blood transfusion TBA: 0/20 VH: 12/20 p<0.001	Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear risk, blinding was not possible due to the nature of the interventions, however, not clear if it can introduce performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: High risk, blinding not possible due to the nature of the interventions, therefore, there is a high risk of bias on subjective outcomes (quality of life) but low risk of bias in objective outcomes such as adverse events.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details was carried out India Study type RCT Aim of the study To compare the efrficacy of thermal balloon ablation (TBA) with that of vaginal hysterosco py in the treatment of	15.2 (82.0-146.0); VH - 101.9 \pm 13.9 (84.0-137.0) Leiomyoma size in cm, mean \pm SD: TBA - 2.74 \pm 0.84; VH - 3.86 \pm 0.94 No. of leiomyomas, mean \pm SD (range): TBA - 1.35 \pm 0.1 (1-2); VH - 1.45 \pm 0.6 (1-3) Endometrial thickness in mm, mean \pm (range): TBA - 7.81 \pm 3.09 (4-17.8); VH - 8.31 \pm 2.30 (4-15) Inclusion criteria Women older than 40 years of age who had no desire for future childbearing; heavy menstrual bleeding (pictorial blood loss	TBA was 12 cm as recommended by the manufacturer. Vaginal hysterectomy was performed using the standard technique.	undertaken, including hemoglobin tests, cervical smear tests, ultrasonography, endometrial histologic examinations, and pre- anesthetic evaluation. Follow-up The Uterine Fibroid Symptom and Quality of Life (UFS-QOL) questionnaire was used to assess the quality of life before and after the procedures. The UFS-QOL consists of the symptom severity score (SSS) and the health- related quality of life (HR-QOL) score. SSS includes questions pertaining to severity of symptoms, and the HR-QOL score includes questions pertaining to concern, energy, activities, control, self-	Outcome: Adverse events - Internal organ injury "No cervical lacerations, uterine perforations, vessel injuries, or injuries to viscera (enterotomy, ureteric injusry, cystotomy) were noted in either group." Outcome: Adverse events - Length of hospital stay	Attrition bias
-induced	uterocervical length of ≤12		consciousness,	Outcome: Adverse events	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
12th 2012	endometrial malignancy], atypical endometrial hyperplasia, and		and sexual functions. The SSS and HR-QOL score were applied to formulas to obtain corresponding transformed scores indicating severity (Uterine Fibroid Symptom Transformed Score [UFS-TS]) and quality of life (Health-Related Transformed Score [HR-TS]), respectively, in terms of percentages. Intraoperative variables— including blood loss, duration of surgery, need for blood transfusion, complications, and technical difficulty— were compared in both groups. Duration of hospital stay, and early and late postoperative complications—including infection, fever, endometritis, pneumonia, thromboembolism, hematoma, cellulitis, and abscess formation—were noted and compared in both groups. The frequency of	- early or late complications "There were no early or late complications, such as urinary tract infections, fever, endometritis, pneumonia, thromnoemolism, haematoma, or cardiorespitaroty arrest, in either group."	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			adverse events such as hematometra and postablation tubal sterilization syndrome was noted in women who underwent TBA.		
			Follow-up was performed at 1, 3, and 6 months after surgery to assess menstrual blood loss (PBAC score) in women in the TBA group and hemoglobin levels in both groups. Six months after		
			surgery, improvement of symptoms and UFS-QOL scores (SSS and HR-QOL scores) was assessed in allwomen.Women in the TBA		
			groupwere also assessed at 12 and 24 months after surgery for recurrence of HMB. The primary outcome measure was the number of women with HMB in the TBA group 6 months after surgery for uterine leiomyomas.		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			 were improvement in hemoglobin levels, intraoperative and postoperative events, and UFS- QOL scores in both groups. Statistical analysis Statistical analyses were by intention to treat. The χ2 test was used to study baseline variables and symptoms; the unpaired t test was used to compare changes in UFS-QOL. The McNemar test was used to compare symptom scores. P values and mean differences with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to determine significance. P<0.05 was considered significant. 		
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	N=95 original sample	The women in the	Sample size calculation	PBAC score (mean & SD)	Cochrane risk of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Khajehei, M, Abdali, K, Tabatabae e, H, The effect of mefenamic acid and naproxen on heavy menstrual bleeding: A placebo- controlled study, South African journal of obstetrics and gynaecolo gy, 19, 31- 4, 2013 Ref Id 550227 Country/ie	Randomised (Mefenamic acid=40, Naproxen=40, Placebo=40) Loss to follow up in 3 months (Mefenamic acid=8, Naproxen=7, Placebo=12) Analysed at 1 month (Mefenamic acid=37, Naproxen=36, Placebo=37) Analysed at 2 month (Mefenamic acid=35, Naproxen=35, Placebo=32) Analysed at 3 months (Mefenamic acid=32, Naproxen=33, Placebo=28) Characteristics Baseline characteristics not reported except as a narrative summary. The mean age of those who	first group received tablets containing 250 mg mefenamic acid 4 times a day, those in the second group tablets containing 250 mg naproxen 4 times a day, and those in the third group placebo tablets 4 times a day. The placebo, mefenamic acid and naproxen tablets were identical in appearance and their packages were coded according to the content by a person who was not in the research team, so they could not be identified by either the researchers or the participants until after completion of the study and	Not reported Randomisation and allocation concealment The nominated women were randomly allocated to one of the three study groups in the following way: first, each questionnaire was assigned a number. Then three numbers were selected randomly in order to designate the first person in each group. After that, the 117 remaining questionnaires were divided into 39 groups consisting of three questionnaires in each group. Next, we randomly assigned each of these three questionnaires to one of the three study groups. At the end, there were three groups of 40 participants. Blinding The placebo, mefenamic acid and naproxen tablets were identical in appearance and their	Placebo: 115.8 (8.6) At 2 month follow up Mefenamic acid: 68.2 (8.5) Naproxen: 47.4 (4.9) Placebo: 110.7 (6.5)	 bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: High Risk Allocation concealment: High Risk Participant were allocated to one of the group based on the judgment of clinician (list of random numbers) although it was stated that allocation was completely random. Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Low Risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
s where the study was carried out Iran Study type Randomis ed placebo controlled trial Aim of the study To compare the efficacy of mefenamic acid and naproxen in reducing heavy menstrual bleeding	deviation (SD)±1.6 years; range 19 - 43 years). Socio-demographic data (age, education, job, marital status, gravidity) were evaluated at baseline, and there were no statistically significant differences in any baseline parameters between the groups. Inclusion criteria 1) age 20 - 45 years 2) normal findings on cervical smear test 3) normal ovulatory cycles 4) no history of renal or hepatic impairment, thromboembolic disease, inflammatory bowel disease, peptic or intestinal ulceration, or coagulation or	statistical analysis, when the codes were broken. All participants completed the PBAC prospectively during the intervention cycles, and they were asked to record any adverse effects. The participants were advised to take the tablets with food and a sufficient amount of water, and to use the pads that had been provided during both the control and intervention cycles. They were visited between cycles to make sure that they were not having any serious problems and to answer their	was not in the research team, so they could not be identified by either the researchers or the participants until after completion of the study and statistical analysis, when the codes were broken. All participants completed the PBAC prospectively during the intervention cycles, and they were asked to record any adverse effects.	At 3 month follow up Mefenamic acid: 63.4 (7.2) Naproxen: 43.2 (4.0) Placebo: 113.1 (5.6)	Pills were identical in appearance and their packages were coded according to the content by a person who was not in the research team, so they could not be identified by either the researchers or the participants until after completion of the study and statistical analysis, when the codes were broken Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: Low risk Blinding of outcome assessors was ensured as

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates 2008-2009 Source of funding Deputy for Research of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences	 5) normal results for blood tests (including prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time and thyroid- stimulating hormone) 6) not taking any hormones or NSAID Exclusion criteria 1) infertility 2) being overweight or obese (body mass index (BMI) >25 kg/m2) or underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m2) 3) polycystic ovarian syndrome 4) vaginitis and/or pelvic inflammatory disease 5) uterine polyps and/or fibroids 6) use of the ICUD 7) being peri-menopausal 	questions. After completion of the 3 intervention cycles, all the participants were met for a final visit and to collect the questionnaires.	follow-up; and in the placebo group 8 did not proceed due to the drug's ineffectiveness and 4 were lost to follow-up. However, the primary intention-to-treat analysis was based on data from 120 women Outcome measure: The primary outcome measure was menstrual blood loss at the end of the study assessed by PBAC. Stistical analysis One-way ANOVA to compare menstrual blood loss in the three groups before and during the intervention. Descriptive statistics were used to summarise demographic data and adverse events. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.		stated previously Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data: High risk Loss to follow up was approximately 17.5% for mefenamic acid, 20% for naproxen and 30% for placebo. No further information on differential follow up. Study states that ITT was used but reviewer is unclear on whether the reported data is based on ITT Reporting bias Selective reporting: High risk Outcomes were not

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	(increased serum follicle- stimulating hormone levels indicating the approach of menopause)				clearly reported in methodology. No data for one of the outcome (Hemoglobin conc entration) Other bias Other sources of bias: High risk Serious consideration on the quality of the data. Researchers were also unable to control use of the pads provided or adherence to the medications, so had to rely on information given by the participants.
					Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	N= 84 randomised	Patients recruited	Sample size calculation	Outcome: PBAC scores	Cochrane risk of
Kiseli, M Kayikciogl u F		to one of the following 3 groups: oral NETA (5 mg 3	A sample size was claculted (minimum 60) to detect at least	(median and interquartile range)	bias tool Selection bias
Evliyaoglu O Haberal	Tranexamic acid=28	times daily, total dose 15 mg/day) for	a 57.0 difference in PBAC scores between any of the 2	Baseline	Random sequence
A, Compariso	LNG-IUS=28	10 days between the 14th and 23rd	groups with a power of 95% at the 5% significance	NETA, 290 (87.50)	generation: Unclear
n of		day of menstrual	level. Sample size estimation was performed using NCSS and	Tranexamic acid, 300 (174)	Allocation
Therapeuti c	Follow up at 6 months	l'anchaine aciù (i g	PASS 2000 software	LNG-IUS, 300 (91.75)	concealment: Unclear
Efficacies of	N= 62	4 times daily, total dose of 4 g/day) for	Randomisation and allocation	At 1 month	Inadequate
-	NETA (norehisterone acid)=		concealment	NETA, 245 (115)	information to make judgment for
Tranexami c Acid and	Tranexamic acid=22	IUS releasing 20 µg levonorgestrel per	Randomization was performed with computer-generated codes.	Tranexamic acid, 235 (131.25)	either high or low risk of bias
Levonorge	LNG-IUS=20	day, which was	Blinding	LNG-IUS, 208 (190)	Performance bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details carried out Turkey Study type Randomis ed controlled trial Aim of the study To compare the therapeutic efficacies of	NETA: 290 (87.5) Tranexamic acid: 300 (174) LNG-IUS: 300 (91.8) Body weight NETA: 72.5(16.8) Tranexamic acid: 71.5 (15.3) LNG-IUS: 70.5 (20.0)	Interventions 'no' if they were satisfied or not satisfied with the treatment. The first month of treatment was defined as the period of 1 month beginning from the application of LNG- IUS	Methods QOL evaluation was performed according to the World Health Organization Quality of Life- Short Form, Turkish version (WHOQOL-BREF TR), which consists of 26 questions. The participants were asked 7 questions regarding their physical health, 6 about their psychological status, 3 about their social support and 8 relating to their environment. The Turkish version has an additional national item contributing the environmental domain of the scale. Each facet of the WHOQOL-BREF TR is measured using a 5-point Likert scale about the respondents' feelings over the	Outcomes and Results NETA: 12.94±3.46 Tranexamic acid: 12.68±2.57 LNG-IUS: 12.46±2.42 Post-treatment NETA: 14.17±2.11 Tranexamic acid: 14.88±2.92 LNG-IUS: 14.14±2.27 Psychological domain Pretreatment NETA: 12.43±2.52 Tranexamic acid: 12.03±2.83	reported in all three groups. No analysis performed to account for loss to follow up. Final value was based on participants which completed the study Reporting bias Selective reporting: Low All outcomes were reported Other bias Other sources of
one acid (NETA), tranexamic acid and levonorges trel- releasing	complaints of regular but		previous 2 weeks. The range of scores was between 1 and 100, with higher scores indicating better QOL. Forms were filled out by the patients privately, with the	LNG-IUS: 12.43±2.76 Post-treatment NETA: 12.93±2.41 Tranexamic acid:	bias: Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
intrauterin e system (LNG-IUS) in treating idiopathic heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB) Study dates Not reported Source of funding Authors declare no financial support re ceived for this trial	 periods Patients with fibroids smaller than 2 cm and not distorting the endometrial cavity were accepted Exclusion criteria 1) Malign cervico-vaginal cytology 2) severe anemia 3) contraindications to current therapies 4) systemic diseases like hypertension, diabetes, thyroid diseases or coronary artery diseases 5) and history of previous medication for menorrhagia 		assistance of trained research assistants before treatment and after 6 months. Statistical analysis Continuous data were shown as mean ± SD or median ± interquartile range. No ITT performed.	13.15±2.39 LNG-IUS: 13.60±2.39 Social domain Pretreatment NETA: 13.07±3.42 Tranexamic acid: 13.52±2.86 LNG-IUS: 14.60±2.85 Post-treatment NETA: 13.73±3.75 Tranexamic acid: 14.06±3.13 LNG-IUS: 13.87±2.68 Environmental domain Pretreatment NETA: 12.73±2.38 Tranexamic acid: 13.39±2.15	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				LNG-IUS: 13.08±2.09	
				Post-treatment	
				NETA: 12.75±2.57	
				Tranexamic acid: 14.02±1.79	
				LNG-IUS: 12.95±1.71	
				Environmental domain- TR	
				Pretreatment	
				NETA: 13.00±2.24	
				Tranexamic acid: 13.49±2.00	
				LNG-IUS: 13.16±1.93	
				Post-treatment	
				NETA: 13.00±2.42	
				Tranexamic acid: 13.86±1.82	
				LNG-IUS: 13.11±1.81	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Outcome: Patient Satisfaction NETA: 14 (70%) Tranexamic acid: 14 (63.6%) LNG-IUS: 17 (77.2%)	
Full citation Kleijn, Jh, Engels, R, Bourdrez, P, Mol, Bw, Bongers, My, Five- year follow	Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Same trial as Bongers 2004. Included in NMA. Compares two 2nd generation ablation techniques,

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
up of a randomise d controlled trial comparing NovaSure and ThermaCh oice endometri al ablation, BJOG : an internation al journal of obstetrics and gynaecolo gy, 115, 193-8, 2008					therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.
Ref Id					
550241					
Country/ie s where the study					

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Barrington 2003	Barrington 2003	Barrington 2003	Comparison: LNG-IUS	Quality of
Lethaby, Anne, Hussain,	N=50 randomised (n=25 LNG-IUS; n=25 ablation)	1) Levonorgestrel- releasing	Design: RCT, Parallel group study in single centre	vs. any other medical treatment	Cochrane SR: Systematic review
Munawar, Rishworth, Josephine	Busfield/Brown 2006 (TALIS trial)	intrauterine system (LNG IUS, Mirena)	Outcomes: PBAC score at 6 months, Improvement in	Outcome: menstrual blood loss (AH method)	assessed using AMSTAR checklist Total score: 11/11
R, Rees,	N=83 randomised	2) Thermal balloon ablation after pre-	bleeding, Requirement for further treatment (surgical)	Kaunitz 2010*	
Margaret C,	Crosignani 1997	operative	Busfield/ Brown 2006	Change from baseline at 3 months (mid study),	Quality of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Progestero ne or progestog	N=70 randomised	with gosarelin one month prior	Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group	mean (95% CI) IUS group: -108.3 (-125.4	individual studies:
en-	De Souza 2010	Duration: 6 months	Outcomes: PBAC score; Quality	to -91.2)	Risk of bias assessment taken
intrauterin	N=58 randomised	Busfield 2006/ Brown 2006	of life (SF36); Satisfaction rates at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months;	Progestogen group: -21.2 (-38.1 to -4.3)	from Cochrane SR
e systems for heavy	Ergun 2012	1) LNG IUS	'Failure' r ates	Change from baseline at	(Cochrane risk of bias tool).
menstrual	N=58 randomised	(Mirena)	(expulsion/removal of LNG IUS or alternative therapy, initiation	6 months (end of study),	Barrington 2003
bleeding, Cochrane	Gupta 2015	2) Balloon ablation	of medication or alternative surgery f or TBA); Amenorrhoea;	mean (95% CI)	Random sequence
Database of	N=571 randomised	(Thermachoice I)	Duration of bloodings advarage	IUS group: -114.7 (-144.2 to -85.1)	generation: unclear
Systematic Reviews,	Hurskainen 2001	Crosignani 1997	Crosignani 1997	Progestogen group: -39.0	Allocation concealment:
2015	N=236 randomised	1) Levonorgestrel- releasing (20	Design: RCT, Parallel group	(-68.2 to -9.8)	unclear
Ref Id	Irvine 1998	ug/day) intrauterine contraceptive	study in single centre	Shabaan 2011*	Blinding of participants and
550298	N=44 randomised	system inserted within seven days of	Outcomes: Menstrual blood loss	At baseline, mean±SD	personnel: high risk
Country/ie s where	Kaunitz 2010	menstruation	by PBAC at 6 and 12 months follow-up, Hb and serum Fe at 6	IUS group: 300.0±150.1 (n=56)	Blinding of
the study	N=165 randomised	2) Endometrial	and 12 months, Participant satisfaction (very satisfied,	COC group: 274.3±142.6	outcome assessors: high
was carried	Kittelsen 1998	resection in the early proliferative	satisfied, uncertain, dissatisfied),	(n=56)	risk
out	N=60 randomised	phase using a rollerball and a 90	Quality of Life Assessment Short	At 12 months, mean ±SD	Incomplete outcome data:
Study type	Abdel Malak 2006	degree loop. All the	Form 36 Italian version, release 1.6), Proportion of women with	IUS group: 44.4±34.9 (n=48)	unclear
type	N=60 randomised	resections were			

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Cochrane systematic review	Ozdegirmenci 2011 N=86 randomised	performed by the same surgeon Duration: 12	amenorrhoea at 12 months, Proportion of women with side effects	COC group: 118.2±75.0 (n=47)	Selective reporting: unclear
	Reid 2005 N=51 randomised	months. Follow-up assessments at 6 and 12 months	De Souza 2010 Design: RCT, Parallel group study in single centre	Outcome: PBAC score Shabaan 2011*	other bias: high risk (Preoperative menstrual bleeding was significantly
To determine the	Sayed 2011 N=58 randomised	De Souza 2010 1) Levonorgestrel-	Outcomes: Menstrual blood loss (PBAC score), Other bleeding	At baseline, mean±SD IUS group: 306.7±131.8 (n=56)	higher in the thermal balloon group compared to
effectivene ss, acceptabili ty and	Sesti 2012 N=72 randomised	releasing IUS (Mirena) 2) Thermal balloon	outcomes (amenorrhoea, decreased bleeding) , Hb levels, Quality of life (Psychological General Wellbeing Index),	COC group: 323.8±97.3 (n=56)	the LNG IUS group. Bias is likely as menstrual
safety of progestero ne or	Shabaan 2011 N=112 randomised	ablation (Thermachoice) under general anaesthesia	Failure of treatment, Satisfaction rates Assessed at 1, 6 and 12 months after the procedures and	At 12 months, mean ±SD IUS group: 31.6±35.1 (n=48)	bleeding was measured postoperatively without adjustment
progestog en- releasing intrauterin	Shaw 2007 N=66 randomised	Both procedures initiated during the	additionally at 5 years Ergun 2012	COC group: 273.0±238.4 (n=47)	for higher scores.) Busfield 2006/Brown 2006
e devices in	Soysal 2002 N=72 randomised	first 15 days of a menstrual cycle	Design: RCT, Parallel group study in single centre	Outcome: satisfaction	Random sequence generation: low risk
achieving a reduction in heavy menstrual	Tam 2006 N=44 randomised	Ergun 2012 1) LNG IUS inserted within first 15 days	Outcomes: PBAC scores, Further surgical treatment, Failure of treatment, Amenorrhoea and	Outcome: Quality of Life (SF-36)	Allocation concealment: low
bleeding.	Characteristics	of menstrual cycle	hypomenorrhoea,	NMA outcome	risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates Search up to Janurary 2015. Source of funding • NHS Executive Anglia and Oxford Region R & D Programm e, UK. • Health Research Council, Auckland, New Zealand.	Of relevant studies: Barrington 2003 Population: 50 women with menorrhagia refractory to medical treatment referred by GPs to gynaecology clinic in district hospital Setting: UK Busfield/Brown 2006 population: 83 women complaining of HMB (mean age 41-43) Setting: NZ Crosignani 1997 Population: 70 women aged 38-53 years, all referred for a hysterectomy because of heavy menstrual bleeding Setting: Italy De Souza 2010	 2) Rollerball endometrial ablation undertaken by obstetrics and gynaecology specialist Duration: 12 months Gupta 2015 1) Levonorgestrel- releasing IUS 2) Usual medical treatment (mefenamic acid, tranexamic acid, tranexamic acid, norethindrone, combined oestrogen- progesterone-only oral contraceptive pill, medroxyprogestero ne acetate injection, chosen by the physician and 	Satisfaction, Hb levels Gupta 2015 Design: RCT, parallel group, multicentre Outcomes: Patient reported score on the Menorrhagia Multi- Attribute Scale (MMAS), General health-related quality of life (measured on SF36, EQ-5D descriptive system and EQ-5D visual analogue scale, Sexual activity scale (Sexual Activity Questionnaire), Further requirement for surgery, Adverse events Hurskainen 2001 Design: RCT, multicentre, parallel group Outcomes: Quality of life measured by EQ-5D, Quality of life measured by Rand 36, Anxiety scale, Becks depression scale, McCoy sex scale • Costs, Hospital services (operations,	Outcome: Quality of life (HRQoL-4) Shabaan 2011* Self-rated health very good or excellent Baseline IUS group: 3/56 COC group: 3/56 At 12 months IUS group: 15/56 (ITT) COC group: 13/56 (ITT) No. of days in the previous 30 days feeling physically unwell Baseline IUS group: 7.4±2.7 (n=56) COC group: 7.5±2.6 (n=56)	Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: unclear (more loss to follow up in TBA group) Selective reporting: low risk other bias: low risk Crosignani 1997 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding of

Study Participants details	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
detailsPopulation: 58 Women recruited between January 2005 and March 2007, with mean age 42 and 44 years 	and desire to avoid hormone therapy) Women are permitted to change treatments, as well as between groups or could discontinue treatment - to replicate usual practice	blood loss (measured by alkaline haematin method), Satisfaction, Adverse effects (urinary symptoms, bone mineral density, cardiovascular risk factors, ovarian cysts, lower abdominal pain, back pain) Irvine 1998 Design: RCT, single centre parallel group Outcomes: Menstrual blood loss (alkaline haematin method) at 3 months follow-up, Hb and serum Fe at pretreatment and 3 months (or sooner if premature termination), Participant symptom/side effect questionnaire at pretreatment, 1 and 3 months, Participant satisfaction categorised as liking treatment very well, well, moderately, poorly, Women were asked how their periods	(n=56) At 12 months IUS group: 6.7±3.1 (n=48)	participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: low risk Selective reporting: low risk other bias: low risk De Souza 2010 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: unclear Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 Specialist clinic complaining of regular heavy menstrual bleeding Setting: UK Kaunitz 2010 Population: 165 Women with mean age 38 or 39 years Setting: USA, Canada and Brazil Kittelsen 1998 Population: 60 women 	contraceptive system inserted within seven days of menstruation 2) Norethisterone 5 mg three times daily taken on Day 5-26 of the menstrual cycle for three cycles Duration: 3 months Kaunitz 2010 1) LNG IUS (placed within 7 days of the onset of menstruation) (only 1 attempt at replacement could be made 2)	Proportion of women with amenorrhoea, Proportion of women with specified side effects, Withdrawal from treatment because of adverse events relating to treatment, Acceptability of treatment (willingness to continue). Kaunitz 2010 Design: RCT, multicentre, parallel group Outcomes: Primary: Absolute change in menstrual blood loss from baseline to end of study, Proportion of women in which the treatment was successful (defined as menstrual blood loss < 80 mL at end of study and >/= 50% reduction in HMB from baseline), Adverse events	Baseline IUS group: 6.8±2.6 (n=56) COC group: 7.0±2.7 (n=56) At 12 months IUS group: 1.6±2.4 (n=48) COC group: 6.7±2.2 (n=47) Outcome: Withdrawal due to adverse events NMA outcome Outcome: Infection (Vaginitis) Kaunitz 2010	outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: unclear Selective reporting: unclear other bias: low risk Ergun 2012 Random sequence generation: unclear Allocation concealment: unclear Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk
	Setting: Norway Abdel Malak 2006	Medroxyprogestero ne acetate (MPA)	Kittelsen 1998	IUS group: 9/80 Control group: 3/82	Blinding of outcome
	Population: 60 Women scheduled to undergo	10mg once per day for 10 consecutive days of the cycle	Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group	Outcome: Infection (urinary tract)	assessors: high risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	hysterectomy for treatment of excessive uterine bleeding with or without dysmenorrhoea, with mean age 46 and 47 years Setting: Egypt Ozdegirmenci 2011 Population: 86 Women with clinical suspicion of adenomyosis complaining of menorrhagia and/or dysmenorrhoea and with confirmed adenomyosis, with mean age 44 and 46 years Setting: Turkey Reid 2005 Population: 51 women. Women were either referred by GPs or self referred after ads in the local press Setting: UK	starting on day Follow-up 3, 6 months Kittelsen 1998 1) Levonorgestrel- releasing intrauterine system (LNG IUS) (Mirena) inserted within 7 days of the start of menstruation. 2) Transcervical resection of the endometrium (TCRE) performed regardless of day of menstrual cycle. Duration: 20 months, 3 years. Abdel Malak 2006 1) LNG IUS inserted following menstruation	Outcomes: PBAC score 12, 24 and 36 months after treatment, Menstrual pain, Adverse events, Failure of treatment (further surgery or removal of IUS), Discontinuation from study Abdel Malak 2006 Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group Outcomes: Women's decision to continue treatment (satisfaction), Menstrual blood loss - amenorrhoea or hypomenorrhoea, PBAC score at 12 months, Treatment success (defined as PBAC score at 12 months, Treatment failure (PBAC score > 75, removal of the LNG IUS in the LNG IUS group or resurgery for any reason in the ER group), Adverse events, Quality of life (EQ VAS score) Ozdegirmenci 2011	Kaunitz 2010 IUS group: 6/80 Control group: 3/82 Outcome: Expulsion (partial or complete) Kaunitz 2010* IUS group: 4/80 Control group: N/A Outcome: Quality of life (Menorrhagia Multi- Attribut Scale, MMAS), summary score, mean±SD Gupta 2015* Baseline IUS group: 42.5±20.5 (n=280) Control group: 39.2±21.3 (n=269) At 6 months	Incomplete outcome data: high risk (substantial drop out with no reason given) Selective reporting: unclear other bias: unclear Gupta 2015 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Sayed 2011	2) Endometrial resection (ER)	Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group	IUS group: 74.9±22.5 (n=222)	outcome data: low risk
	Population: 58 Participants recruited from outpatient gynaecology clinics of	under general anaesthesia	Outcomes: Quality of life (WHO Quality of Life - Short Form,	Control group: 61.0±25.1 (n=212)	Selective reporting: low risk
	Assiut University, mean age 37 years	Ozdegirmenci 2011	Turkish Version (WHOQOL- BREF TR) at 12 months,	At 12 months	other bias: low risk
	Setting: Egypt	1) LNG IUS	Oligomenorrhoea, Side effects, Hb levels	IUS group: 78.8±25.0 (n=218)	Hurskainen 2001 Random sequence
	Sesti 2012	2) Hysterectomy (abdominal)	Reid 2005	Control group: 61.5±26.3	generation: unclear
	Population: 72 women- Participants were women	Reid 2005	Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group	(n=216) At 2 years	Allocation concealment: low
	with HMB unresponsive to medical treatment with mean age 47 years	1) Levonorgestrel- releasing intrauterine system	Outcomes: HMB (measured by alkaline haematin method), Total menstrual fluid loss (TMFL),	IUS group: 81.0±23.2 (n=225)	risk Blinding of participants and
	Setting: Italy	2) Mefenamic acid	PBAC score.	Control group: 66.8±28.5 (n=208)	personnel: high risk
	Shabaan 2011 Population: 112 women	500 mg 3 times daily for first 4 days of cycle.	Sayed 2011 Design: RCT, single centre,	At 5 years	Blinding of outcome assessors: high
	recruited from gynaecology outpatient clinics of Assiut	Duration: 3 cycles	parallel group	IUS group: 83.1 +24.4 (n=208)	risk
	University Hospital, with mean age 39 years	and 6 cycles Shabaan 2011	Outcomes: Reduction of HMB (%) (PBAC and alkaline	Control group: 87.1 +22.1	Incomplete outcome data: low
	Setting: Egypt	1) Levonorgestrel-	haematin assessment) at 12 months, Hb and ferritin levels,	(n=216)	risk Selective reporting:
	Shaw 2007	releasing	Quality of life (HRQoL),	Comparison: IUS	colocitive reporting.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	ParticipantsPopulation: 66 Women with idiopathic menorrhagia in whom prior medical oral treatment had failed: mean 	intrauterine system 2) Low-dose combined oral contraceptives 30 µg of ethinyl estradiol and 150 µg levonorgestrel Shaw 2007 1) LNG-IUS (Mirena) inserted in the uterine cavity just following menstruation 2) Thermal balloon ablation (Menotreat) - undertaken under general anaesthesia post menstruation without routine pretreatment Soysal 2002	Treatment failure Sesti 2012 Design: RCT, single centre, parallel-group	Outcomes and Resultsversus endometrial ablationOutcome: PBACNMA outcomeOutcome: SatisfactionNMA outcomeOutcome: Quality of Life (SF-36)NMA outcomeOutcome: Infection (endometritis)Kittelsen 1998IUS group: 3/19Ablation group: 0/22Outcome: Infection (myometritis)	low risk other bias: low risk Irvine 1998 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: unclear risk
	Barrington 2003	1) LNG-IUS inserted	Shaw 2007	Kittelsen 1998	Selective reporting: low risk
	NR in SR	in the uterine cavity	Design: RCT, single centre,	IUS group: 0/19	other bias: low risk
	Busfield/Brown 2006	within first seven	parallel group	Ablation group: 1/22	Kaunitz 2010

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	completed family; age 25 to 50 years; regular cycle of	days of menstruation	Outcomes: PBAC scores at 12 months, PBAC scores at 3, 6	Outcome: Infection (vaginitis)	Random sequence generation: low risk
	menstruation, self described HMB	2) Thermal balloon ablation with 2	and 9 months, Changes in Hb and ferritin concentrations	Abdel Malak 2006	Allocation
	Crosignani 1997	months of pre- treatment with	between baseline and 6 months, Patient satisfaction, Continuance	IUS group: 4/30	concealment: low risk
	> 80 mL/cycle loss (as	GnRH analogues	of the method at 2 years,	Ablation group: 2/30	Blinding of
	measured by > 100 points on pictorial charts).	to thin the endometrium)	Hysterectomy rates at 2 years, Teatment failure (additional	Outcome: Expulsion	participants and personnel: high risk
	Negative smear within 12 months. Endometrial	Tam 2006	medical treatment required, expulsion or removal of LNG	Tam 2006*	Blinding of
	pathology excluded by transvaginal ultrasound,	1) LNG-IUS inserted following diagnostic	IUS or total abdominal hysterectomy)	IUS group: 2/18	outcome assessors: high
	diagnostic hysteroscopy	hysteroscopy	Soysal 2002	TBA: N/A	risk
	and endometrial biopsy. Uterine size less than 8 weeks.	2) Thermal balloon endometrial ablation (Thermachoice)	Design: RCT, single-centre, parallel group	Comparison: IUS versus hysterectomy	Incomplete outcome data: low risk
	De Souza 2010 clinical HMB refractory to	performed 6 weeks after	Outcomes: Reduction in menstrual bleeding; increase in	Outcome: PBAC	Selective reporting: low risk
	medical treatment (OC, HT, NSAIDs), 3-month washout	thinning with GnRH analogue or oral	Hb, Quality of life (SF36, HADS; Side effects (including pain),	NMA outcome	other bias: low risk
	period, regular menstrual	danazol	Patient satisfaction.	Outcome: Quality of life	Kittelsen 1998
	cycles, age > 35 years, menstrual blood loss > 80		Tam 2006	NMA outcome	Random sequence
	mL (as measured by PBAC), negative pregnancy		Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group	Outcome: Quality of life at 10 years	generation: low risk Allocation

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	test, uterine volume < 200 mL (as measuredbytransvaginal sonogram), negative PAP smear within pastyear,nointracavity abnormalities, pelvic inflammatory disease, suspected endometrial pathology, abnormal endometrial histology, previous endometrial resection and ablation, or any other pathology for which hysterectomy would be appropriate. Women were also required to have completed their families Ergun 2012 > 35 years of age, regular menstrual cycle, score of 100 on PBAC Gupta 2015 aged between 25 and 50 years, presenting to primary care physicians with		Outcomes: Menstrual bleeding (amenorrhoea, hypomenorrhoea and normal rates of bleeding); Side effects; HB and iron status; Health status function (SF36)	Hurskainen 2001 (data from Heliovaara-Peippo 2013)* EQ-5D change from baseline to 10-year follow-up, mean (95% CI) (scale range 0-1) IUS group: -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.03) (n=110) Hysterectomy group: - 0.01 (-0.05 to 0.03) (n=111) p=0.94 RAND-36 change from baseline to 10-year follow-up, mean (95% CI) (scale range 0-100) General health IUS group: -2.3 (-5.8 to 1.2) (n=110) Hysterectomy group: -4.5 (-8.3 to -0.8) (n=111)	concealment: low risk Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: high risk (11/30 (36.7%) in LNG group had discontinued treatment by 36 months. 7/29 (24. 1%) in TCRE group discontinued (4 because of treatment failure) in the study by 36 months) Selective reporting: low risk other bias: low risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	menorrhagia involving at least 3 consecutive			p=0.39	Abdel Malak 2006
	menstrual cycles			Physical functioning	Random sequence
	Hurskainen 2001 menorrhagia, still			IUS group: -3.4 (-7.5 to 0.8) (n=110)	generation: unclear Allocation
	menstruating, family completed, eligible for hysterectomy			Hysterectomy group: -3.8 (-8.0 to 0.4) (n=111)	concealment: unclear
	Irvine 1998			p=0.88	Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk
	>80mL/cycleloss(asmeasur			Emotional well-being	
	edbyalkalinehaematinmeth od),parous (1 or more children), normal pelvic			IUS group: 5.7 (1.3 to 10.1) (n=110)	Blinding of outcome assessors: high
	examination, negative cervical cytology, regular			Hysterectomy group: 3.2 (-0.7 to 7.0) (n=111)	risk Incomplete
	menstrual cycle, good general health, uterine			p=0.40	outcome data: unclear
	cavity sound length less than 10 cm.			Social functioning	Selective reporting:
	Kaunitz 2010			IUS group: 7.9 (2.3 to 13.4) (n=110)	low risk
	parous women aged 18 yearsor more with			Hysterectomy group: 1.8 (-3.3 to 7.0) (n=111)	other bias: unclear (There was a significant
	idiopathic heavy menstrual bleeding (menstrual blood			p=0.12	difference in parity status between the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	loss >/= 80 mL per cycle (assessed by alkaline			Energy	2 randomised groups)
	haematin method) desiring intrauterine contraception and willing to use barrier			IUS group: 6.0 (1.7 to 10.3) (n=110)	Ozdegirmenci 2011
	contraception Kittelsen 1998			Hysterectomy group: 5.3 (0.6 to 10.0) (n=111)	Random sequence generation: low risk
				p=0.83	Allocation
	premenopausal (FSH > 40 mLU/mL and 17B oestradiol < 0.2 nmol/ mL), score of >			Pain	concealment: unclear
	100 on PBAC with a regular uterine cavity			IUS group: 4.4 (-0.4 to 9.2) (n=110)	Blinding of participants and
	Abdel Malak 2006			Hysterectomy group: 4.0 (-2.1 to 10.0) (n=111)	personnel: high risk
	age between 40 and 50 years, regular uterine cavity			p=0.91	Blinding of outcome
	< 10 cm in length as measured by ultrasound, no			Physical role functioning	assessors: high risk
	wish for further pregnancy			IUS group: 8.2 (-0.53 to 16.9) (n=110)	Incomplete outcome data: High
	Ozdegirmenci 2011 not specifically reported- women with adenomyosis			Hysterectomy group: 3.2 (-5.7 to 12.2) (n=111)	risk (Substantial lost to follow-up from the
	by sonogram and MRI			p=0.40	hysterectomy group (26%) and
	Reid 2005			Emotional role functioning	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Aged 18 to 47 years; with good general health; regular ovulatory menstrual cycles 21-35 days and HMB measured by alkaline haematin method >/= 80mL. Sayed 2011 heavy menstrual bleeding, requested contraception, regular cycle, between 20 and 50 years of age at initial assessment, lived sufficiently close to hospital for follow-up, fibroid(s) detected from pelvic ultrasound Sesti 2012 presence of HMB, reproductive age 35 to 50 years, completed family, failedappropriate first line oral medical therapy,			IUS group: 9.1 (-1.4 to 19.6) (n=110) Hysterectomy group: 4.9 (-5.1 to 14.1) (n=111) p=0.57 Outcome: Menstrual blood loss in ml (AH method) Hurskainen 2001* Baseline, mean (SD) IUS group: 130 (116) (n=119) Hysterectomy group: 128 (116) (n=117) At 1 year follow-up, mean (SD) IUS group: 13 (23.4) (n=25) Hysterectomy group: N/A	IUS group) Selective reporting: low risk other bias: low risk Reid 2005 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: low risk
	normal PAP smear, no pelvic pathology at			Outcome: Urge urinary	Selective reporting: low risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Participants ultrasound, normal endometrial biopsy, PBAC >/= 100 (average of 2 consecutive cycles) Shabaan 2011 self described HMB, requested contraception, 20 to 50 years old at initial assessment, regular cycle, living close to hospital for follow-up Shaw 2007 previous LNG IUS, previous endometrial resection/ablation, abnormal uterine bleeding not fully investigated, other pathology where hysterectomy was indicated, submucosal fibroid identified on scan or		Methods	Outcomes and ResultsincontinenceHurskainen 2001IUS group: 11/68Hysterectomy group: 34/153Outcome: stress urinary incontinenceHurskainen 2001IUS group: 23/68Hysterectomy group: 74/153Outcome: Wound infectionHurskainen 2001IUS group: 21/17Hysterectomy group: 2/117Hysterectomy group:	Comments other bias: unclear (no table presented of baseline characteristics) Sayed 2011 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: high
	hysteroscopy, uterine cavity < 7 cm or > 11 cm Tam 2006			12/115 Outcome: Infected pelvic haematoma	risk (Substantial loss to follow-up and treatment failure- bleeding
				Hurskainen 2001	outcomes only

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	uterus >10 weeks gravid			IUS group: 9/117	measured in 20/58 (PBAC) and 22/58
	uterine size, presence of submucosal fibroids or endometrial polyps, any			Hysterectomy group: 6/115	(alkaline haematin))
	contraindications for progestogen use or an			Outcome: Peritonitis	Selective reporting: low risk
	intrauterine device, evidence of cervical or			Hurskainen 2001	other bias: low risk
	endometrial malignancy			IUS group: 0/117	Sesti 2012
	Exclusion criteria			Hysterectomy group: 1/115	Random sequence generation: low risk
				Outcome: Bladder perforation	Allocation concealment: low
	Barrington 2003			Hurskainen 2001	risk
	Cavity < 12 cm, subserous			IUS group: 0/117	Blinding of
	fibroids, malignant or pre- malignant pathology (from endometrial biopsy)			Hysterectomy group: 3/115	participants and personnel: high risk
	Busfield/Brown 2006			Outcome: Bowel	Blinding of outcome
	fibroids, polyps, FSH > 40,			perforation	assessors: high risk
	endometrial pathology,			Hurskainen 2001	TISK
	previous endometrial sx, bleeding, suggested			IUS group: 0/117	Incomplete outcome data: low
	endometriosis			Hysterectomy group:	risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	 Crosignani 1997 Abnormal uterine cavity, fibroids greater than 3 cm, or atypical hyperplasia. Pregnancy, breast feeding or uncertainty about future fertility. Recent use of oestrogens or progestogens (within 3 months), GnRH (within 6 months), any medication affecting menstrual blood loss, concomitant illness, Hb < 10 g/dL De Souza 2010 No additional reported Ergun 2012 ongoing pregnancy, pelvic infection, abnormality in the uterus, uterine cavity and/or suspicious endometrial histology (screened by TVUS), abnormal cervical or endometrial histology, 			1/115Outcome: Thromboembolic diseaseHurskainen 2001IUS group: 1/117Hysterectomy group: 0/115Outcome: Vesicovaginal fistulaHurskainen 2001IUS group: 0/117Hysterectomy group: 	Selective reporting: low risk other bias: low risk Shabaan 2011 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk Incomplete outcome data: high risk (Substantial loss to follow-up and bleeding outcomes measured in only

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	pathology that might require a hysterectomy, contraindication to administration of			*Data extracted from original paper by the NGA technical team.	64/112 at 12 months (because of treatment failure))
	anaesthetic agents, desire to preserve fertility				Selective reporting: low risk
	Gupta 2015				other bias: low risk
	intention to become pregnant over the next 5				Shaw 2007
	years, taking hormone therapy or tamoxifen, intermenstrual bleeding,				Random sequence generation: low risk
	post coital bleeding, findings suggestive of fibroids or other disorders,				Allocation concealment: low risk
	contraindications to or a preference for either the LNG IUS or usual medical treatments, heavy irregular				Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk
	bleeding Hurskainen 2001				Blinding of outcome assessors: high
	submucosal fibroids;				risk
	endometrial polyps; ovarian tumours or cysts; cervical disease; urinary or bowel symptoms or pain due to				Incomplete outcome data: high risk (Substantial

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	fibroids; lack of indication for hysterectomy; history of				attrition from trial by 12 months)
	cancer; menopause; severe depression; metrorrhagiaas main complaint; previous				Selective reporting: low risk
	treatment failure with LNG IUS; severeacne; uterine				other bias: low risk
	malformation				Soysal 2002
	Irvine 1998 abnormal pelvic				Random sequence generation: low risk
	examination, recent use of oestrogens, progestogens or anticoagulants (within 3 months), injectable				Allocation concealment: low risk
	hormones for contraception (within 12 months)				Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk
	Kaunitz 2010				
	changes in menstrual irregularity, hot flushes, sleeping disorders,				Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk
	changes in mood within the 3 months before the study, breastfeeding, congenital or acquired uterine				Incomplete outcome data: low risk
	abnormality, including fibroids if they distorted the				Selective reporting:

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	uterine cavity or cervical canal, history of organic				low risk
	causes of abnormal uterine bleeding, use of LNG IUS				other bias: low risk Tam 2006
	or a copper IUS during the 30 days before the study, history of vascular or				Random sequence generation: low risk
	coagulation disorders, concomitant use ofmedication or presenceofanunderlying				Allocation concealment: low risk
	disease/condition knowntoaffectthemetabolis m orpharmacokineticsofthestu				Blinding of participants and personnel: high risk
	dy medication, bodymass index > 35k g/m2 Kittelsen 1998				Blinding of outcome assessors: high risk
	hormone treatment in past 3 months, previous history of DVT, thromboembolism or liver disease, uncertain				Incomplete outcome data: high risk
	about future wish for pregnancy, pregnancy or				Selective reporting: unclear
	breastfeeding, fibroids, endometrial pathology, congenital or acquired				other bias: low risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	uterine anomaly, current infection or PID within last 6 months, endometriosis or adenomyosis				
	Abdel Malak 2006				
	one fibroid > 3cm in diameter or > 3 uterine fibroids as assessed by ultrasonography, history or current clinical evidence or suspicion of malignancy or current liver disease, adnexal tumours or cysts or pelvic inflammatory disease within the previous 12 months				Other information 2 studies in SR not relevant to review question: Cameron 1987 not relevant to review due to short follow- up time and unlicensed (old) IUS;
	Ozdegirmenci 2011 endometrial pathology, submucosal fibroids, intramural or subserous fibroids > 2 cm, postmenopausal status, pelvic inflammatory disease, malignancy or suspicion of malignancy, thromboembolism, desire to				Kilic 2009 not relevant population (Women taking anticoagulant therapy after cardiac valve replacement);

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	become pregnant, cardiac or hepatic disease, use of oral progestogen during previous 3 months, contraindications to MRI				
	Reid 2005				
	Undiagnosed abnormal bleeding; anovulatory; submucosal fibroids or fibroids>5cm3intotalvolume (US);uterinesound >10cm;abnormal cervical cytology; untreated hypertension; abnormal thyroid or liver function tests; asthma; IUCD in situ; previous treatment for menorrhagia; hormonal contraceptives in previous 4 months				
	Sayed 2011				
	pregnancy, history of ectopic pregnancy, puerperal sepsis, pelvic inflammatory disease, evidence of defective				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	coagulation, abnormalities on ultrasound (including submucosal fibroids of any size distorting the cavity of the uterus or intramural or subserous fibroids > 5 cm in diameter), history of malignancy or evidence of hyperplasiaintheendometria I biopsy, incidental adnexal abnormality onultrasound, previous endometrial ablation/resection, uninvestigated postcoital bleeding, untreated abnormal cervical cytology, contraindication to COCs				
	Sesti 2012 previous endometrial resection/ablation, previous insertion of LNG IUS, any uterine pathology on scan or hysteroscopy, any pathology where hysterectomy was indicated, not fully investigated abnormal				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	uterine bleeding, postmenopausal bleeding				
	Shabaan 2011				
	pregnancy, history of ectopic pregnancy, puerperal sepsis, pelvic inflammatory disease, evidenceof defective coagulation, history or evidence of malignancy or hyperplasia in the endometrial biopsy, incidental adnexal abnormality on ultrasound, contraindications to COC, previous endometrial ablation/resection, uninvestigated postcoital bleeding, untreated abnormal cervical cytology, fibroids of any size				
	Shaw 2007				
	previous LNG IUS, previous endometrial resection/ablation, abnormal uterine bleeding				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	not fully investigated, other pathology where hysterectomy was indicated, submucosal fibroid identified on scan or hysteroscopy, uterine cavity < 7 cm or > 11 cm				
	Soysal 2002				
	congenital and acquired uterine abnormalities; PID, breast cancer; pre malignant or malignant uterine disease; concomitant uterine disorders except iron deficiency anaemia; uterine volume > 8 weeks pregnancy or > 190 mL; pathologies (intramural or subserous fibroids > 2 cm); abnormalities on hysteroscopy				
	Tam 2006				
	uterus >10 weeks gravid uterine size, presence of submucosal fibroids or				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	endometrial polyps, any contraindications for progestogen use or an intrauterine device, evidence of cervical or endometrial malignancy				
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation Lethaby,	Irvine 1998	Irvine 1998	Irvine 1998	Comparison: Progestagen therapy	Quality of Cochrane SR:
Anne,	N= 44	1) Norethisterone	Design: RCT, single centre,	vs. LNG-IUS	
Irvine, Gill A,	Characteristics	(NET) 5mg daily from day 5 to 26 of	parallel-group	Outcome: Menstrual	Assessed using AMSTAR checklist.
Cameron,	Irvine 1998	the cycle.	Outcomes: MBL (alkaline haematin method); proportion	blood loss (A-H method)	Total score: 11/11
lain T, Cyclical	Population: 44 Patients	2) Levonorgestrel intrauterine system	with no improvement in qual ity of life; proportion who found the	NMA outcome	
progestog ens for heavy menstrual	aged 30 to 45 years with a complaint of heavy regular pe r iods recruited from gynaecology outpatient	(Mirena) fitted into the uterus within 7 days of the onset of	treatment unacceptable; Adverse events		Quality of individual studies:
bleeding,	clinics in the UK	a menstrual period.			Risk of bias
Cochrane Database	Inclusion criteria	Duration: 3 menstrual cycles.			assessment taken from Cochrane SR
of Systematic	Irvine 1998				(Cochrane risk of bias tool).
Reviews,	parous, aged 18 to 45				Bonduelle 1991

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
2008	years, in good health,				
Ref Id	regular menstrual cycle, normal pelvic exam and				Random sequence generation: unclear
550299	uterine measurement <10 cm, negative cervical				Allocation
Country/ie s where	cytology and MBL>80 ml.				concealment: unclear
the study					Blinding: high risk
was carried out	Exclusion criteria				Incomplete outcome data: unclear (no
Study type	Irvine 1998				intention-to-treat analysis)
Cochrane review	treatment with steroid hormones or anticoagulants in the previous 3 months,				Selective reporting: unclear
Aim of the study	treatment with injectable hormones for contraception in the previous 12 months				Other bias: low risk
The					Higham 1993
primary objective of this review was					Random sequence generation: high risk (sequential order)
to investigate the effectivene					Allocation concealment: low risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ss of oral progestog					Blinding: high risk
en therapy taken either during the luteal					Incomplete outcome data: low risk (intention-to- treat analysis)
phase or for a					Selective reporting: unclear
longer course of					Other bias: low risk
21 days in achieving					Irvine 1998
a reduction in menstrual					Random sequence generation: low risk
blood loss in women of					Allocation concealment: low risk
reproductiv e years					Blinding: high risk
with heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB).					Incomplete outcome data: low risk (intention-to- treat analysis)
Study dates					Selective reporting: unclear

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Search up to April 2007					Other bias: low risk
Source of funding					Other information
Internal sources Departmen t of Obstetrics and Gynaecolo gy, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.					4 studies excluded due to short treatment times (Cameron 1987, Cameron 1990, Preston 1995, Pinion 1994). Buyru 1995 excluded as Turkish language. Bonduelle 1991 and Higham 1993 relevant to NMA only (Danazol)
External sources					
• Health Research					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Council, Auckland, New Zealand.					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	Meyer 1998	Meyer 1998	Meyer 1998	Comparison: 1st	Quality of the SR:
Lethaby,A nne,	N=275 randomised	1) Rollerball ablation	Design: RCT, multicentre,	generation vs. 2nd generation ablation	Assessed using AMSTAR checklist.
Penninx,Jo sien,	van Zon-Raebelink 2003	2) Balloon ablation	parallel group	Outcome: PBAC	Total score: 11/11.
Hickey,Ma	N=139 randomised	(Thermachoice) Duration: 12 months	Outcomes: Satisfaction rate; Improvement in dysmenorrhoea	NMA outcome	
rtha, Garry,Ray,	Duleba 2003	follow up	symptoms; Proportion with PMS	Outcome: PBAC	Quality of
Marjoriban ks,Jane, Endometri	N=279 randomised	van Zon-Rabelink 2003	after treatment; Inability to work; PBAC score; Complication rate; Duration of surgery	score ≤75 at 12 months follow-up	individual studies:
al		1) RBE	van Zon-Rabelink 2003	Duleba 2003*	Extracted from the
resection and ablation	Characteristics	hysteroscopic rollerball electrocoagulation	Design: RCT, single centre, parallel group	Cryoablation group: 132/156	Cochrane SR (Cochrane risk of bias tool).
techniques for heavy	Meyer 1998	(n=62)	Outcomes: Technical safety	Rollerball group: 64/72	Abbott 2003
menstrual bleeding, Cochrane	Population: 275 women aged 29 to 50 years recruited from 12	2) UBT non- hysteroscopic uterine balloon	aspects; reduction in menstrual bleeding; success rate (PBAC<185); satisfaction	Outcome: Satisfaction NMA outcome	Random sequence generation: low risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Database of Systematic Reviews, -, 2013 Ref Id 327783 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Study type Cochrane Systematic Review Aim of the study To compare the efficacy,	investigative centres setting: US and Canada van Zon-Raebelink 2003 Population: 139 women with unreported ages recruited from a teaching hospital Setting: Netherlands Duleba 2003 Population: 279 women aged 30-50 years Setting: university and private medical centres in the USA Inclusion criteria Meyer 1998 30 years or more and premenopausal; normal Pap smears; normal endometrial biopsies within last 6 months; history of 3 months of excessive uterine	thermal ablation ThermachoiceTM (n=77) Duleba 2003 1) Endometrial cryoablation (n=193) 2) Rollerball electroablation (n=86)	Duleba 2003 Design: RCT, multicentre, parallel group Outcomes: Menstrual diaries 1 cycle before and 12months after; PBAC, bleeding, pain,mood, PMS; QOL - Dartmouth COOP assessment questionnaire, anaesthesia, adverse outcomes, satisfaction; those randomised to cryoablation had significantly worse menorrhagia	Outcome: endometritis Meyer 1998 Balloon group: 3/125 Rollerball group: 1/114 Corson 2001* Hydrotherm endometrial ablation group: 2/184 Rollerball group: 1/85 Outcome: Infection Duleba 2003* Cryoablation group: 0/193 Rollerball group: 1/86 Outcome: UTI Meyer 1998	Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding: low risk Incomplete outcome data: low risk Selective reporting: low risk Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding) Bhattacharya 1997 Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding: high risk Incomplete

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
techniques to reduce heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB) in premenop ausal women. Study	therapy; uterine cavity nor mal (by either hysterosalpingography, hysteroscopy or TSS) and with a r ange between 4 and 10 cm; no desire for future fertility; willing to continue current contraception van Zon-Rabelink 2003 menstrual blood loss score = 185 pt in 2 periods due to dysfunctional uterine bleeding according to ultrasound and diagnostic			Balloon group: 0/125 Rollerball group: 1/114 Corson 2001* Hydrotherm endometrial ablation group: 5/184 Rollerball group: 2/85 Duleba 2003* Cryoablation group: 0/193 Rollerball group: 1/86 Outcome: Cervical laceration Corson 2001*	outcome data: high risk (different numbers of participants provided data for different outcomes) Selective reporting: low risk Other bias: unclear (recruitment occurred over 2 different time periods- 2 groups differed in baseline characteristics) Bongers 2004
dates Searches complete up to June 2013 Source of funding External	hysteroscopy Duleba 2003 menorrhagia due to benign causes, good general health, documented history of excessive uterine bleeding for at least 3 months, failed traditional therapy, did			Hydrotherm endometrial ablation group: 0/184 Rollerball group: 2/85 Outcome: Uterine perforation Duleba 2003 *	Random sequence generation: low risk Allocation concealment: low risk Blinding: low risk Incomplete outcome data: low

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
sources • UK NHS, Not	not desire future fertility, PBAC>150 Exclusion criteria			Cryoablation group: 0/193 Rollerball group: 1/86	risk Selective reporting: low risk
specified. The update in 2009 was funded by Dept of Health (England) Incentive	Meyer 1998 Exclusion criteria: submucosal fibroids; suspected genital tract infection or malignancy; previous endometrial ablation			*Data extracted from the original paper by the NGA technical team.	Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding) Brun 2006 Random sequence generation: low risk
Scheme 2008	van Zon-Rabelink 2003				Allocation concealment: low risk
	Duleba 2003				Blinding: high risk
	uterine volume greater than 300 ml, uterine cavity sounding more than 10 cm, clotting deficit or bleeding disorders,				Incomplete outcome data: high risk (withdrawals unbalanced between groups)
	active pelvic inflammatory disease,				Selective reporting: low risk
	abnormal cervical cytology within 1 year; history of				Other bias: high

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	gynaecologic malignancy within 5 years, intramural myomas>2 cm, submucosal				risk (differences in baseline menstrual blood loss between groups)
	myomas or endometrial polyps; septate				Clark 2011
	uterus; previous endometrial ablation or other surgery in which				Random sequence generation: low risk
	thinning of uterine wall may occur; malignant pathology or hyperplasia;				Allocation concealment: low risk
	pregnancy				Blinding: unclear risk (women not told of allocation but unclear how it was maintained)
					Incomplete outcome data: high risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: low risk
					Cooper 1999

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: low risk
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding)
					Cooper 2002
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Incomplete outcome data: unclear risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding)
					Cooper 2004
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					(authors employed by medical equipment company)
					Corson 2000
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: low risk
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: unclear (reasons for loss of follow up not given)
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding)
					Corson 2001

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: unclear (loss of follow up uneven between groups)
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding)
					Duleba 2003
					Random sequence generation: unclear
					Allocation concealment:

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: unclear (reasons for loss of follow up not given)
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (differences in PBAC scores at baseline)
					Hawe 2003
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: low risk
					Blinding: low risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (medical equipment company provided funding)
					McClure 1992
					Random sequence generation: unclear
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: low risk
					Meyer 1998

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear risk (funding provided by medical company)
					Pellicano 2002
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Incomplete outcome data: unclear
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear risk (funding provided by medical company)
					Penninx 2010
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: low risk
					Blinding: Unclear risk (patients blinded; surgeons not blinded.)
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					Selective reporting: unclear
					Other bias: low risk
					Perino 2004
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: low risk
					Sambrook 2009
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					concealment: low risk
					Blinding: Unclear risk (patients blinded; investigators not blinded.)
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: low risk
					van Zon-Rabelink 2003
					Random sequence generation: unclear
					Allocation concealment: unclear
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (numbers in randomized groups differed)
					Vercellini 1999
					Random sequence generation: low risk
					Allocation concealment: low risk
					Blinding: high risk
					Incomplete outcome data: low risk
					Selective reporting: low risk
					Other bias: unclear (numbers in

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					randomized groups differed)
					Other information
					Studies outside protocol: Onoglu 2007 (quasi- experimental); Romer 1998 (German language); Thabet 2010 (2 types of curettes compared); Boujida 2002 (no outcomes for NMA); Soysal 2001 (no relevant outcomes)
					Studies relevant to NMA only (comparison or intervention not of interest to review): Abbott 2003, Bhattacharya 1997 Bongers 2004, Brun 2006, Clark 2011, Cooper

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
					1999, Cooper 2002, Cooper 2004, Corson 2000, Corson 2001, Hawe 2003, McClure 1992, Pellicano 2002, Penninx 2010, Perino 2004, Sambrook 2009, Vercellini 1999
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	For Barrington 2003,	Ghazizadeh 2014	Ghazizadeh 2014	Comparison: Surgery	Quality of SR:
Marjoriban ks, Jane,	Crosignani 1997, de Souza 2010, Ergun 2012,	1) hysteroscopic	Design: RCT	versus oral medication	Assessed using
Lethaby, Anne,	Hurskainen 2001, Malak 2006, Sesti 2012, Shaw	endometrial resection.	Outcomes: Treatment success	Outcome: HRQoL SF-36	AMSTAR checklist. Total score: 11/11
Farquhar,	2007, Soysal 2002 please	Endometrial	(according to decreased blood loss and less interaction	Cooper 1997	
Cindy, Surgery	see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic	resection was done by monopolar loop	between bleeding and normal	Physical function:	Quality of
versus	review.	resection with a	activity) - measure unclear, data not used in analysis,	Surgical mean change vs Medical mean change	Quality of individual
medical therapy for	Ghazizadeh 2014	depth of 3 mm to 5 mm, and rollerball	Complications (data not used as	4 months: + 10.16 (SD	studies:
heavy menstrual	N=110 randomised	resection with superficial	totals unclear), Resurgery, Satisfaction	16. 51) vs + 4.84 (SD 16.72) - P value < 0.05	Risk of bias taken from the Cochrane

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
bleeding, Cochrane	Istre 1998	cauterisation was applied to the	Istre 1998	2 years: + 5.00 (SD 18.97) vs + 3.73 (SD	systematic review (Cochrane risk of
Database of	N=60 randomised	cornual region (n = 32)	Design: RCT	17.19) - P value = 0.65 5 years: + 7.75 (SD	bias tool).
Systematic Reviews,	Kupperman 2004	2) bipolar	Outcomes: Primary outcome: treatment success (defined as a	16.39) vs + 1.06 (SD 23.81) - P value = 0.10	Ghazizadeh 2014
2016	N=63 randomised	electrocauterisation (NovaSure)	PBAC subjective bleeding score	Social function: Surgical	Random sequence generation: unclear
Ref Id	Cooper 1997	endometrial ablation $(n = 30)$	≤ 75 at 12 months, no re-surgery in TCRE group, no removal of	mean change vs Medical mean change	Allocation
447030	N=187 randomised		device in LNG-IUS group) menorrhoea/oligomenorrhoea	4 months: + 17.44 (SD	concealment: unclear
Country/ie s where		Medical arm: Mirena	rates (bleeding diary)	16. 51) vs + 7.57 (SD 26.26) - P value < 0.05	Blinding: high risk
the study was	Barrington 2003, Crosignani 1997, de Souza 2010, Ergun 2012,	(n = 48)	Genital he alth: defined by the trialist as an "overall feeling of	2 years: + 10.59 (SD 26. 52) vs + 3.94 (SD 25.26) -	Incomplete outcome data: low
carried out	Hurskainen 2001, Malak 2006, Sesti 2012, Shaw	Actual treatment received: appears to	lower abdominal health") Quality of life on a VAS : hot	P value = 0.10 5 years: + 10.24 (SD 24.	risk
Study	2006, Sesti 2012, Shaw 2007, Soysal 2002	be as above	flushes, sweating, sleeping problems, dyspareunia (pain on	49) vs + 2.96 (SD 27.22) - P value = 0.10	Selective reporting: unclear (adverse
type Cochrane	See Lethaby 2015	Istre 1998	intercourse), vaginal dryness,	Physical role: Surgical	events not reported adequately)
systematic	Ghazizadeh 2014		urinary frequency, nervousness, depression, oedema, libido	mean change vs Medical mean change	Other bias: high
review Aim of the	Population: 110 women 35- 45	1) endometrial	Additional treatment received	4 months: + 32.26 (SD	risk (study reports contradictory
study	Istre 1998	resection with diathermy loop	Adverse effects	38. 23) vs + 15.32 (SD 46. 78) - P value < 0.01	statements about menorrhagia)
To compare	Population: 60 premenopausal women	(regardless of day of menstr ual		2 years: + 18.60 (SD 45. 73) vs + 12.95 (SD 44.	Istre 1998

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ss, safety	aged 30 to 49 years who had sought medical attention for heavy	cycle) under spinal block or general anaesthesia	Kupperman 2004	58) - P value = 0.42 5 years + 31.62 (SD 33. 15) vs + 15.14 (SD 39.	Random sequence generation: low risk
and acceptabili ty of surgery	menstrual bleeding, referred by general practitioner for surgery to gynaecological outpatient	2) levonorgestrel- releasing	Design: Multicentre RCT	77) - P value = 0.06 Emotional role: Surgical mean change vs Medical	Allocation concealment: low risk
versus medical	clinic in Oslo specialising in operative hysteroscopy	intrauterine device inserted within 7 days of star t	Outcomes:	mean change 4 months: + 31.54 (SD	Blinding: high risk
therapy for heavy	Kupperman 2004	of menstruation	Health-related quality of life, measured by a range of	45. 94) vs + 8.96 (SD 49.93) - P value < 0.01	Incomplete outcome data: high risk (large number
menstrual bleeding.	Population: 63 women who failed on cyclical MPA		instruments, the primary one being	2 years: + 22.48 (SD 50. 47) vs + 11.25 (SD 45.	of withdrawals in LNG-IUS group)
Study dates	Setting: USA	Kupperman 2004	the mental component summary of SF-36 but also including	17) - P value = 0.13 5 years: + 33.81 (SD 34. 11) vs + 14.35 (SD 40.	Selective reporting: low risk
Search up to January	Cooper 1997 Population: 187 women	1) Abdominal or vaginal	(among others) 12 items from the MOS mental health	61) - P value = 0.02 Mental health: Surgical	Other bias: unclear
2016 Source of	referred to gynaecologists at Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Scotland for	hysterectomy as decided by	inventory, 2 from a health distress scale and complete	mean change vs Medical mean change	Kupperman 2004 Random sequence
funding Internal	treatment of clinically diagnosed dysfunctional	gynaecologist. Prophyl actic oophorec-	sleep problems, 4-item body attitudes	4 months: + 15.01 (SD 19. 00) vs + 4.78 (SD	generation: low risk
sources	uterine bleeding (i.e. uterus < 10 weeks' pregnancy size	tomy discouraged.	questionnaire, 5 sexual functioning scales	16.69) - P value < 0.01	Allocation concealment: low
• University of	and normal endometrial pathology)	2) As decided by participating	SF-36 phy sical component summary	2 years: + 9.98 (SD 19.14) vs + 7.17 (SD 19.20) - P value = 0.35	risk Blinding: high risk

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments	
Auckland, New Zealand	Inclusion criteria Ghazizadeh 2014	gynaecologist, who was told that "preferred" treatment was	Overall health, measured by EuroQol VAS and single-item global health question	5 years: + 13.26 (SD 16. 94) vs + 3.62 (SD 18.21) - P value = 0.01	Incomplete outcome data: Low risk	
	consecutive women with menorrhagia. Patients were candidates for hysterectomy. They had all been treated with hormonal therapy for at least 6 months and had shown no	a combination of low-dose oral contraceptives with 21 active days and 7 placebo days	Single-item ratings of symptom resolution and symptom satisfaction Symptom resolution Satisfaction	Energy/fatigue:Surgical mean change vs Medical mean change 4 months: + 20.53 (SD 20. 76) vs + 7.07 (SD 20.23) - P value < 0.01	Selective reporting: low risk Other bias: low risk	
	response to this therapy Istre 1998 Required to have a PBAC	Cooper 1997	Resource use over 2-year f ollow-up (inpatient and outpatient services, including all	2 years: + 14.58 (SD 21. 96) vs + 10.06 (SD 19. 57) - P value = 0.17 5 years: + 17.31 (22.35)	Cooper 1997 Random sequence generation	
	score > 75 for 2 months before randomisation. Family complete	gonadotrophin- releasing hormone analogue followed 5 weeks later by transcervical resection of endometrium using rollerball coagulation to fundus and cornua	diagnostic and therapeutic procedures), using Diagnosis- Related Groups, relative value	vs + 10.62 (SD 18. 79) - P value = 0.07 Pain: Surgical mean	(selection bias): Low risk (Computer randomisation)	
	Regular uterine cavity ≤ 10 cm in length		weeks later by transcervical Procedural Terminology code	units associated with Current Procedural Terminology codes: the se assign relative weights	4 months: + 21.62 (SD (selection bi	concealment (selection bias):
	Kupperman 2004 Premenopausal women aged 31 to 49 with abnormal uterine bleeding (> 7 days of flow each		and values to services, based on estimated average resource use	31. 33) vs + 8.84 (SD 26.39) - P value < 0.01	Low risk (Allocation by serially numbered, opaque envelopes) Blinding	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	month or heavy flow with haematocrit < 32%), recruited in clinical centres at Alabama or Tennessee Universities, USA , who were dissatisfied with medical treatment including a course of cyclic MPA for at le ast 3 months Cooper 1997 Dysfunctional uterine bleeding (i.e. uterus < 10 weeks' pregnancy size and normal endometrial pathology) Exclusion criteria Ghazizadeh 2014 Patients who were pregnant or who were null-gravid or primiparous, and those who had	 2) 3 cycles of medical treatment not previously used by patient, as selected by senior gynaecologist Actual treatment received: 33% (31 women) received progestogens (prescribed only to women with heavy and irregular periods; days 12 to 25, or 5 to 25 if there was also dysmenorrhoea) 26% (24 women) received combined pill (second- generation with 30 µg of estradiol) 23% (22 women) received tranexamic acid (1 g 4 times daily for first 5 days 		35) vs + 11.98 (SD 23. 66) - P value = 0.6 General health: Surgical mean change vs Medical mean change 4 months: + 10.49 (SD 20. 85) vs -0.25(SD15.99) - P value = <0.01 2 years: + 1.69 (SD 13.90) - P value = 0.36 5 years: + 6.97 (SD 23.10) vs -3.88 (SD 20.13) - P value = 0.01 Outcome: Patient Satisfaction Cooper 1997* Totally or generally satisfied with treatment: Medical (n= 93) vs TCRE (n=93), 95% CI for difference in proportion (%) 4 months: 25 (27%) vs 70	(performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes: High risk (Blinding not feasible. Our primary review outcomes are subjective and therefore susceptible to bias related to lack of blinding) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias): Primary outcomes: High risk (143/187 analysed at 5 years. Reasons for withdrawal/dropout given in 11 cases) Selective reporting (reporting bias): Low risk (All expected outcomes reported)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	had an abnormal Pap smear, genital infection, hormonal disorder, hormonal treatment, anomalous uterus, any intra-cavity disorder, or an abnormal en- dometrial biopsy were excluded. With regard to myomas, they only excluded those sub- mucosal myomas that were > 2 cm and intramural myomas that moved the endometrial layer. A uterine cavity > 11 cm was also classified as an exclusion criterion Istre 1998	of period in women with regular periods, plus mefenamic acid 500 mg 3 times a day if there was associated dysmenorrhoea) 16% (15 women) received danazol (200 mg daily for 90 days) 2%(2 women) received hormone replacement therapywith anon- steroidal anti- inflammatory drug All women could request further and/or different treatment at 4- month follow-u		 (76%), 95% CI -61 to -36, p-value = <0.001 2 years: 48 (57%) vs 68 (79%), 95% CI -36 to -9, p-value = 0.002 5 years: 49 (71%) vs 55 (76%), 95% CI non calculable Cure or acceptable improvement in symptoms: Medical (n= 93) vs TCRE (n=93), 95% CI for difference in proportion (%) 4 months: 29 (32%) vs 77 (76%), 95% CI -64 to -40, p-value <0.001 2 years: 53 (61%) vs 69 (81%), 95% CI -31 TO -4, p-value = 0.017 5 years: 52 (75%) vs 61 (86%), 95% CI -23 to 2, p-value = 0.26 Treatment 	Other bias: Low risk (No other potential bias identified) Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Breast feeding			acceptable: Medical (n= 93) vs TCRE (n=93), 95%	
	Current pregnancy			CI for difference in proportion (%)	
l	Sub serous myoma > 40 mm diameter			4 months: 33 (35) vs 85 (91), 95% CI -67 to -45,	
	Use of hormonal			p-value = <0.001	
	medication within past 3 months			2 years: 65 (77%) vs 79 (93%), 95% CI -26 to -4,	
	History of thrombo-embolic disease or liver disease			p-value = 0.004	
	Any abnormal intrauterine pathology			5 years: 64 (91%) vs 65 (93%), 95% CI - 10 to 7, p-value = 0.75	
	Pelvic inflammatory disease within past 6 months or current infection			Prepared to have same treatment again: Medical (n= 93) vs TCRE (n=93),	
	Participants were initially prepared to undergo			95% CI for difference in proportion (%)	
	hysterectomy. 40% had unsuccessfully			4 months: 29 (31%) vs 86 (92%), 95% CI -72 to -51,	
	tried medical therapy. The			p-value= <0.001	
	rest h ad either refused conservative surgery or had			2 years: no details provided	
	had no			5 years: no details	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	previous treatment			provided	
	Kupperman 2004			Would recommend the treatment: Medical (n= 93) vs TCRE (n=93), 95% Cl for difference in proportion (%)	
	Other causes of anaemia, FSH > 30, pregnancy, desire to maintain fertility,			4 months: 38 (41) vs 84 (90%), 95% CI -61 to -38, p-value= <0.001	
	endocrinopa- thy, coagulation problems, treatment for abnormal blee			2 years: results non calculable	
	ding with depo-MPA or GnRH			5 years: 14 (20%) vs 57 (72%), 95% CI -73 to -45, p-value = <0.001	
	antagonist within the past 6 months, oral contraceptive or intrauterine device use within			*Extracted from Cooper 1997 (4 month data), 1999 (2 year data), and 2001 (5 year data)	
	the past 3 months, contraindications to study medications, potential			Comparison: Surgery	
	problems with sub-			versus LNG-IUS	
	ject compliance, participation in another trial,			Outcome: PBAC	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	evidence of pelvic pathology for which			NMA outcome	
	hysterectomy or other specific directed therapy was indicated (e.g. neoplasia, cancer,			Outcome: Satisfaction NMA outcome Outcome: Change in	
	hyperplasia, intrauterine polyps, submucosal myomas)			EQ5D score at 1 year Hurskainen 2001 Sx group: mean (SD)=	
	Recruitment strategy: mass mailing, medical records review, advertisements in local mass			0.1 (0.21), n=112 Medical group: mean (SD)= 0.1 (0.21), n=116	
	media, physician referrals			Outcome: Change in EQ5D score at 5 years	
	Cooper 1997			Hurskainen 2001 Sx group: mean (SD)= 0.1 (0.27), n=115	
	Women referred specifically for surgery.			Medical group: mean (SD)= 0.08 (0.27), n=117	
				Outcome: Change in EQ5D score at 10 years	
				Hurskainen 2001	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Sx group: mean (SD)= - 0.01 (0.22), n=111	
				Medical group: mean (SD)= -0.01 (0.21), n= 110	
				Outcome: Final PGWBI score	
				De souza 2010	
				Sx group: mean (SD)= 90.1 (20.19), n=11	
				Medical group: mean (SD)= 100.4 (23.19), n=17	
				Outcome: SF-36 score	
				NMA outcome	
				Outcome: Operative complications (reported by study)	
				Hurskainen 2001	
				3 bladder perforation, 1 bowel perforation in	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Hysterectomy group	
				Kupperman 2004	
				1 bowel injury in hysterectomy group	
				Outcome: LNG-IUS adverse events (reported by study)	
				Istre 1998	
				Sx arm: 1/29 vaginitis in first year	
				IUS arm: None reported in first year	
				Abdel Malak 2006	
				2/30 vaginitis in LNG-IUS arm	
				Shaw 2007	
				2/33 expulsion of LNG- IUS	
				Soysal 2002	
				1/36 expulsion of LNG-	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				IUS	
	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Ozdegirme nci, O., Kayikciogl u, F., Akgul, M. A., Kaplan, M., Karcaaltinc aba, M., Haberal, A., Akyol, M., Compariso n of levonorges trel intrauterin e system	No of women randomised: 86 No of women analysed: 75 (11 lost to follow-up from hysterectomy group) Power calculation for sample size: total of 72 participants for 90% power and d = 0.70 effect size. 20% more patients enrolled to allow for loss to follow-up Analysis not by ITT Characteristics Mean age 44-46 years old All women had Menorrhagia	1) LNG IUS 2) Hysterectomy (abdominal)	scale about how the respondent	Outcome: Quality of life [WHO Quality of Life - Short Form, Turkish version (WHOQOL-BREF TR)] at 12 months LNG IUS: n = 43 Physical domain - median = 68, IQR 59-77 Psychological domain - median = 58, IQR 51-66 Social domain - median = 67, IQR 59-75 Environmental TR - mean = 62, SD 15 Hysterectomy: n = 32	Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk, "computer generated codes Allocation concealment: Uncl ear risk, not reported Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear risk, not blinded Detection bias Blinding of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
and quality of life in patients with adenomyo sis, Fertility & Sterility, 95, 497- 502, 2011 Ref Id 338533 Country/ie s where the study	Women with clinical suspicion of adenomyosis complaining of menorrhagia and/or dysmenorrhoea and with confirmed adenomyosis. Inclusion criteria: not specifically reported - women with adenomyosis by sonogram and MRI Exclusion criteria Endometrial pathology, submucosal fibroids,		range of scores is between 1 and 100, with higher scores indicating better quality of life. Statistical analysis Analysis not by ITT. Descriptive data were expressed as mean + SD. Skewed data were shown as median and interquartile range (IQR).	Physical domain - median = 72, IQR 57-84 Psychological domain - median = 62, IQR 50-75 Social domain - median = 67, IQR 55-78 Environmental TR - mean = 68, SD 13 Mann Whitney U test, no difference between groups. Student's T test, no difference between groups	outcome assessment: High risk, not blinded Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data: High risk, substantial loss to follow-up from the hysterectomy group (26%) and none from the LNG IUS group, ITT analysis not done Reporting bias
was carried out Turkey	intramural or subserousfibroids > 2cm, postmenopausal status, pelvic inflammatory disease, malignancy or			Outcome: Wound Infection LNG IUS: 0/43	Selective reporting: Low risk, outcomes were clearly specified and reported
Study type Single centre RCT	suspicion of malignancy, thromboembolism, desire to become pregnant, cardiac or hepatic disease, use of oral progestogen during previous 3 months,			Hysterectomy: 1/32	Other bias: Groups appeared comparable at baseline and no other potential bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study	contradictions to MRI.				Other information Postoperative
To prospectiv ely compare levonorges trel intrauterin e system versus hysterecto my in patients with adenomyo sis and to study the effects of both treatments on QOL in a randomise d clinical trial					pathology findings confirmaed the presence of adenomyosis in 21 (65.6%), myomas in six (18.8%), adenomyosis with coexisting myoma in three (9.4%), and normal uterus in two (6.2%) women.
Study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
dates					
April 2007- February 2009					
Source of funding					
None reported					
Full citation	Sample size Characteristics	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
Penninx, Jpm,	Inclusion criteria				Included in the
Herman, Mc, Kruitwage n, Rfpm, Ter, Haar Ajf, Mol, Bw, Bongers, My,	Exclusion criteria				NMA. Compares two 2nd generation ablation techniques, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.
Bipolar versus					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
balloon endometri al ablation in the office: A randomize d controlled trial, European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecolog y and Reproducti ve Biology, 196, 52-6, 2016					
Ref Id					
550470					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Penninx, Jp, Herman, Mc, Mol, Bw, Bongers, My, Five- year follow-up after comparing bipolar	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Included in the NMA. Compares two 2nd generation ablation techniques, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
endometri al ablation with hydrother mablation for menorrhag ia, Obstetrics and Gynecolog y, 118, 1287-92, 2011					
Ref Id					
550471					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	Characteristics				Other information
Penninx, Jp, Mol,	Inclusion criteria				Included in the
Bw, Engels, R, Rumste, Mm, Kleijn, C, Koks,	Exclusion criteria				NMA. Compares two 2nd generation ablation techniques, therefore, not included in the
Ca, Kruitwage n, Rf, Bongers,					pairwise analysis.
My, Bipolar radiofrequ					
ency endometri al ablation					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
compared					
with					
hydrother					
mablation					
for					
dysfunctio					
nal uterine					
bleeding: a					
randomize					
d					
controlled trial,					
Obstetrics					
and					
Gynecolog					
y, 116,					
819-26,					
2010					
Defini					
Ref Id					
550473					
Country/ie					
s where					
the study					
was					
carried					
out					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type Aim of the study Study dates Source of funding					
Ruuskane n, A., Hippelaine n, M., Sipola, P., Manninen,	Sample size Please see Gupta 2014 Cochrane systematic review Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
leiomyoma s: primary and 2-year follow-up results of a randomise d prospectiv e clinical trial, European Radiology, 20, 2010					
Ref Id					
511881					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					

Study details Study dates	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding					
Full citation Sambrook, Am, Elders, A, Cooper, Kg, Microwave endometri al ablation versus thermal balloon endometri al ablation (MEATBall): 5-year follow up of a randomise	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Included in the NMA. Microwave ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

		Comments

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details Full citation Sambrook, A.M., Bain,C., Parkin,D.E , Cooper,K. G., A randomise d compariso n of microwave endometri al ablation with transcervic al resection of the endometri um: follow up at a minimum of 10 years,	Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information Included in the NMA. Microwave ablation not an intervention of interest according to protocol, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
BJOG: An Internation al Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecolo gy, 116, 1033- 1037, 2009					
Ref Id					
99696					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Sayed,	N=58 (LNG-IUS n=29; COC n=29)		Sample size calculation	Outcome: PBAC score	Cochrane risk of bias tool
Gh, Zakherah,	Characteristics	LNG-IUS was inserted according	assuming an attrition rate of	PBAC score at baseline, mean±SD	Selection bias
Ms, El- Nashar, Sa,	Baseline characteritics	to the manufacturer's instructions.	15%, it was calculated that 58 partiicpants (29 in each group) were needed for the study to	LNG-IUS: 303.1±99.9 (n=29)	Random sequence generation: Low
Shaaban, Mm, A	Age in years, mean±SD	2) COC	attain 90% powerat a level of significance of 0.05. Reduction	COC: 345.4±99.7 (n=29)	risk Allocation
randomize d clinical	LNG-IUS: 37.0±4.9	Women in the COC group received their	of menstrual blood loss was the	PBAC score at 12 months, mean±SD	concealment: Low risk
trial of a levonorges	COC: 37.2±5.2	monthly number of pills in a sealed	Randomisation and allocation concealment	LNG-IUS: 33.7±43.5 (n=29)	Performance bias
trel- releasing intrauterin	BMI, mean±SD	package at each clinic visit. the pills contained 30 µg of	Computer-generated table of ranomd numbers were written	COC: 153.9±156.1 (n=29)	Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear
e system and a low- dose combined	LNG-IUS: 30.0±6.1 COC: 30.2±5.1	ethinyl estradiol and 150 µg of levonorgestrel. The women were	on pieces of paper. The pieces of papers were then inserted into envelopes that were immediately sealed. When the participant	Outcome: Menstrual blood loss (AH method)	risk, blinding was not possible due to the nature of the interventions,

contracepti ve for fibroid- related menorrhag ia, Internation al journal of gynaecolo gy and obstetrics:Education years, mean±SD use them. Compliance was assessed at each visit.on the pile was opened and her allocation was made.Menstrual blood loss in mil (Alkaline heamtin method) at baseline, mean±SDif it per method) at baseline, method) at baseline, mean±SDDysmenorrhea, % gynaecolo gy and obstetrics:Dysmenorrhea, % LNG-IUS: 45 (COC: 55 (Dotsetrics):Dysmenorrhea, % LNG-IUS: 45BlindingNot possible due to the nature of the interventionsLNG-IUS: 240.1±118.6 (n=29)Blin out ass risk pos nati method) at 12 months, method) at 12 months,	1
organ of the-heavy menstrual bleedingInterstudy.LNG-IUS: 19.4±36.5 (n=29)subInternation al-20-50 years of age at the initial assessmentMenstrual blood loss was assessed by pictorial blood loss assessment chart (PBAC) at baseline, at 6 months, and 12 months. The participants were explained how to fill the PBAC and all completed 1 menstrual cycle during the screening phase of the study to increase the reliability of the measurement. Sanitary pads (Always Ultra) were provided toUNG-IUS: 19.4±36.5 (n=29)Sub out out of li COC: 193.0±36.2 (n=29)Outcome: Health-related quality of life (assessed with HRQoL-4 questionnaire)Outcome: Health-related objectNot out of li for it	however, not clear if it can introduce performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: High risk, blinding not possible due to the nature of the interventions, therefore, there is a high risk of bias on subjective outcomes (quality of life) and possibly for assessment of blood loss because the methods are not perfectly objective. Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data: High risk, 6/29 and 8/29 lost to follow in

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ie s where the study was carried out Egypt Study type RCT Aim of the study To compare the efficacy of a levonorg estrel- releasing intrauterin e system (LNG-IUS) with that of a low-dose	 -pregnancy -history of ectopic pregnancy -puerperal sepsis -pelvic inflammatory disease -evidence of defective coagulation -abnormalities on ultrasound (including submucosal fibroids of any size distorting the cavity of the uterus or intramural or subserous fibroids > 5 cm in diameter) -history of malignancy or evidence of hyperplasia in the endometrial biopsy -incidental adnexal abnormality on ultrasound -previous endometrial 		A direct measurement of menstrual blood loss was also performed by the alkaline hematin method at baseline and at 12 months. Health-related quality of life -4 (HRQL-4) questionnaire was administered at baseline, at 6 months, and 12 months to assess quality of life in the previous 30 days. The questionnaire includes the following 4 questions: health as self-assessed, number of days feeling physically unhealthy, number of days feeling mentally unhealthy, and "lost days" (defined as days when work or other daily activities were not possible). Statistical analysis All analysis ITT. Independent t test, Wilcoxon rank sum test, X ² test, and Fisher exact test were used, as appropriate. Mean and SD were reported for normally	COC: 0/29 Self-rated health good or excellent at 12 months LNG-IUS: 9/29 COC: 7/29 No. of days feeling physically unwell at baseline, mean±SD LNG-IUS: 9.2±3.2 (n=29) COC: 9.2±3.2 (n=29) No. of days feeling physically unwell at 12 months, mean±SD LNG-IUS: 3.7±3.2 (n=29) COC: 6.4±3.0 (n=29) No. of days feeling mentally unwell at baseline, mean±SD LNG-IUS: 9.0±3.0 (n=29) COC: 8.5±2.9 (n=29)	treatment arms at 12 months follow up. Reporting bias Selective reporting: Low risk Other bias Other sources of bias: - Other information Also included in Cochrane systematic review by Lethaby et al. 2015.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
combined oral contracepti ve (COC)	ablation/resection -uninvestigated postcoital bleeding		distributed variables and median and IQR for skewed variables.	No. of days feeling mentally unwell at 12 months, mean±SD	
in reducing fibroid-	-untreated abnormal			LNG-IUS: 6.6±3.7 (n=29)	
related menorrhag	cervical cytology -contraindication to COCs			COC: 8.7±3.6 (n=29)	
ia. Study				No. of lost days (no regular activity) at baseline, mean±SD	
dates				LNG-IUS: 8.2±3.3 (n=29)	
Recruitme nt between				COC: 8.3±3.2 (n=29)	
May 1, 2003 and March 31, 2004.				No. of lost days (no regular activity) at 12 months, mean±SD	
Source of				LNG-IUS: 1.3±1.5 (n=29)	
funding				COC: 6.3±3.3 (n=29)	
Bayer Schering Pharma (Berlin Germany); the sanitary					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
pads were supplied by Proctor & Gamble (Cairo, Egypt); funding for laboratory work was provided by Assiut University, Egypt.					
Full citation Sesti, F, Piancatelli, R, Pietropolli, A, Ruggeri, V, Piccione, E, Levonorge	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details strel-					
releasing					
intrauterin					
e system					
versus					
laparoscop					
ic .					
supracervi					
cal hysterecto					
my for the					
treatment					
of heavy					
menstrual					
bleeding: a					
randomize					
d study,					
Journal of women's					
health					
(2002), 21,					
851-7,					
2012					
Ref Id					
550586					
Country/io					
Country/ie s where					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Sesti, F., Ruggeri, V., Pietropolli, A., Piancatelli, R., Piccione,	Sample size Please see Fergusson 2013 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
E., Thermal					
balloon					
ablation					
versus					
laparoscop					
ic					
supracervi					
cal					
hysterecto					
my for the					
surgical					
treatment					
of heavy					
menstrual					
bleeding: a randomize					
d study, Journal of					
Obstetrics					
&					
a Gynaecolo					
gy Research,					
37, 1650-					
7, 2011					
7,2011					
Ref Id					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
454628					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Shaw, Rw, Symonds,	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015 Cochrane Systematic Review and Marjoribanks	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
lm, Tamizian,	2016 Cochrane Systematic Review.				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details O, Chaplain, J, Mukhopad hyay, S, Randomis ed comparativ e trial of thermal balloon ablation and levonorges trel intrauterin e system in patients with idiopathic menorrhag ia, The	Participants Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia, The Australian & New					
Zealand journal of obstetrics & gynaecolo					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
gy, 47, 335-40, 2007					
Ref Id					
550598					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Silva-Filho, Al, Pereira, Fde A, Souza, Ss, Loures, Lf, Rocha, Ap, Valadares, Cn, Carneiro, Mm, Tavares, RI, Camargos, Af, Five- year follow-up of	TBA: 43.4±0.7 Parity LNG-IUS: 2.4±0.2 TBA: 2.6±0.4 Education (years of	All procedures were initiated during the first 15 days of a menstrual cycle and were performed by one of the investigators. Insertion of the LNG-IUS was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions in the outpatient department. All subjects received meloxicam 15 mg 1h prior to the device insertion. TBA was performed with the uterine balloon therapy system under general anesthesia in the operating room according to	Sample size calculation Sample size was calculated based on an expected PBAC score of 156.6 after 3 months of cyclical progestogens therapy Randomisation and allocation concealment With the use of a computer- generated randomization list, the patients were then randomly allocated to one of two groups: the LNG-IUS group (30 women) or the TBA group (28 women) Blinding The treatment was revealed to the patient because of the different nature of treatments. Blinding of the outcome assessors not reported Follow-up Hemoglobin levels; patient well-	Outcome: PGWBI (mean± SD) Baseline LNG-IUS: 88.5±3.8 TBA: 85.9±6.9 After 5 years LNG-IUS: 100.4±5.8 TBA: 90.1±6.1 Outcome: Patient satisfaction To the statement "I feel much better after treatment," the answers "Definitely agree" and "Somewhat agree" were reported by 100% in the LNG-IUS group vs. 72% in the TBA group	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk Allocation concealment: Uncl ear risk Use of a computer- generated randomization list and the patients were then randomly allocated to one of two groups Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear risk
the treatment	schooling) LNG-IUS: 7.5±0.7	the manufacturer's instructions. The	being, evaluated (PGWBI) ; and uterine bleeding patterns were	To the statement "I am very satisfied with the	Blinding was not

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
of heavy menstrual bleeding: a randomize	TBA: 8.2±0.7	placed in the uterine cavity and then inflated with 5%	at 5 years post-treatment. PGWBI for quality of life was calculated by applying a	treatment," the answers "Definitely agree" and "Somewhat agree" were reported by 100% in the	possible due to the nature of the interventions, however, not clear
d controlled trial,	Income (number of minimum salaries)	dextrose solution until intrauterine pressure stabilized	questionnaire. PBAC was evaluated only at the beginning as one of the inclusion criteria.	LNGIUS group vs. 80% in the TBA group	if it can introduce performance bias
Contracept	LNG-IUS: 3.3±0.4	between 160 and	The uterine bleeding patterns	To the statement "If I had	Detection bias
ion, 87, 409-15, 2013	TBA: 3.4±0.3		were classified in accordance with the menstrual and inter- menstrual blood loss criteria.	a choice, I would do the same treatment," the answer "Definitely agree" was reported by 100% in	Blinding of outcome assessment: High
Ref Id	Hemoglobin level	heated to 87°C and maintained at this	Treatment was considered to	the LNG-IUS group vs.	risk
550607	LNG-IUS: 12.5±0.3	temperature for 8 min. At the end of	have failed when blood loss increased or when there was no	56% in the TBA group To the statement "I	Blinding of outcome
Country/ie s where the study	TBA: 12.3±0.4	this procedure, the balloon was deflated and	improvement in hemoglobin levels. In these cases, patients were offered a hysterectomy as definitive treatment. The	noticed great improvements in my physical well-being after	assessors not reported and most probably not done
was carried	PBAC	removed. The entire procedure lasted	hysterectomy rates, patient	treatment," the answers "Definitely agree" and	Attrition bias
out	LNG-IUS: 522.1±90.3	between 10 and 20 min.	acceptability, perceived clinical improvement and overall	"Somewhat agree" were	Incomplete
Brazil	TBA: 492.2±56.8	Treatment was	satisfaction of the groups were also analyzed. Three patients	reported by 100% in the LNG-IUS group vs. 68%	outcome data: High
Study type Randomis ed	Psychological general well- being index (PGWBI)	failed when blood loss increased or when there was no improvement in	were lost to follow-up in each group. At the end of the 5th year of follow-up, in order to avoid misinterpretation of data due to menopause transition, all	in the TBA group To the statement "I noticed great improvements in my	Outcome reported based on participant completing the trial

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	LNG-IUS: 88.5±3.8 TBA: 85.9±6.9 Inclusion criteria 1) Clinical HMB refractory to medical treatment (i.e., oral contraceptive pills, estrogen– progestin preparations, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) 2) a 3-month washout period, regular menstrual cycles, age ≥35 years 3) menstrual blood loss >80 mL as measured by the Pictorial Bleeding Assessment Chart (PBAC) 4) a negative pregnancy test, uterine volume <200 mL as measured by	hemoglobin levels. In these cases, patients were offered a hysterectomy as definitive treatment. At the end of the 5th year	patients with amenorrhea were evaluated by measuring serum follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels and for the presence of hypoestrogenism symptoms. Patients with serum FSH > 40 and climacteric symptoms were considered to be postmenopausal and withdrawn from analyses of hemoglobin levels, PGWBI scores and bleeding pattern. PBAC was evaluated only at the beginning as one of the inclusion criteria. PGWBI which measured quality of life was calculated by applying a questionnaire. Satisfaction rates were reported with questionnaire. Statistical analysis The variables are described as means with their respective ranges and standard error of the mean. Comparison between the two groups was performed using the χ2 and unpaired Student's t	treatment," the answers "Definitely agree" and "Somewhat agree" were reported by 88.9% in the LNG-IUS group vs. 56% in the TBA group	and no adjustment mae in final analysis for drop outs Reporting bias Selective reporting: High risk PBAC score was only reported at baseline and no explanation for not reporting in follow up analysis Other bias Other bias Other sources of bias: Other information Included in the NMA. This publication did not report on outcomes relevant for the pairwise analysis.

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details patient quality of life and satisfactio n rates Study dates January 2005 - March 2007 Source of funding Bayer pharmace utical partially funded this study through the donation of the Gynecare Thermach oice	5) a negative Pap smear within the last year Exclusion criteria Intracavitary abnormalities, pelvic inflammatory disease, suspected endometrial pathology, abnormal endometrial histology, abnormal cervical cytology, previous endometrial resection and ablation, and any other abnormality such as uterine prolapse, large myomas or any ovarian disease for which hysterectomy would be more appropriate	and withdrawn from analyses of hemoglobin levels, PGWBI scores and bleeding pattern. PGWBI was a masure of patient quality of life	after the treatment was performed using the paired Student's t test. Significance level was established as p>.05.		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Uterine Balloon Therapy System and Mirena™					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Total N=60	Levonorgestrel-	Randomisation	Outcome: PBAC score	Cochrane risk of
Tosun, Ak, Tosun, I,	LNG-IUD n=30; NETA n=30	releasing intrauterine device	Random-sequence methods	At baseline, mean±SD	bias tool
Suer, N, Compariso	Characteristics	(LNG-IUD) versus oral progesterone	were used. Randomisation was undertaken using computational random-number generators.	LNG-IUS: 518.0±120.35 (n=30)	Selection bias Random sequence
n of levonorges trel-	Age range 33-45 years in the total sample	norethisterone acetate (NETA).	Allocation concealment	NETA:	generation: Low risk
releasing	Mean age in years	LNG-IUD	Not reported.	414.33±112.94 (n=30)	Allocation
intrauterin e device	LNG-IUD group: 39.15 ±2.79	LNG-IUD was applied in the first	Blinding	At 6 months of treatment, mean±SD	concealment: Unclear risk, not
with oral progestins in heavy	NETA group: 38.91 ±3.46	10 days of the menstrual cycle.	Open-label study (no blinding) due to the nature of the trial.	LNG-IUD: 77.41±106.15 (n=30)	reported. Performance bias
menstrual		NETA	Follow up	NETA:	Blinding of
bleeding (HMB) cases with	Mean parity LNG-IUD group: 2.6 ±1.1	The participants were given oral	The assessment of the menstrual blood loss was done	169.44±166.106 (n=30)	participants and personnel: Unclear risk, blindin

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
uterine leiomyoma (LNG-IUD and oral progestin usage in myoma uteri), Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences, 30, 2014 Ref Id 550668 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Turkey Study	NETA group: 2.4 ±1.1 Locations of fibroids LNG-IUD group: 9% submucosal, 72% intramural, 19% subserosal NETA group: 25% submucosal, 60% intramural, 15% subserosal 100% of women had heavy menstrual bleeding at enrollment. Inclusion criteria Women with myoma uteri with bleeding. Otherwise not clearly reported. Exclusion criteria Women with pelvic	NETA 10 mg (5 mg twice daily) during the cycle of 5-25 days.	by using the pictorial assessment developed by Highham et al. (1990). Scores of 1, 5, 20 have been given for sanitary pads and tampons considering the degree of dirtiness as minimum, middle and heavy. The participants were asked to write down their menstrual period. To minimise subjectivity the participants were advised to use the same brand sanitary pads. Further details not reported. Statistical analysis Student t, Mann Whitney U, Paired Samples t, Ki-Kare and Fisher's Exact KiKare tests have been used. The significance value is p < 0.05. The results are taken from all the patients who continued to participate in the study.		g was not possible due to the nature of the interventions, however, not clear if it can introduce performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: High risk, blinding not possible due to the nature of the interventions, therefore, there is a high risk of bias on because blood loss was assessed using PBAC which is not absolutely objective. Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data:
type	inflammatory disease, malignancy,				Unclear risk, number of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
RCT	thromboembolism, pregnancy, submucosal				participants with outcome data not
Aim of the study	fibroid having component indise the cavity over 50%, and fibroids bigger than 5				reported. Reporting bias
To compare	cm.				Selective reporting: Low risk
the effectivene ss and					Other bias
acceptabili ty of levonorges trel- releasing					Other sources of bias: Paper is poorly written with limited details on methods provided.
intrauterin e device (LNG-IUD) with oral					Other information
progestero ne (norethiste rone acetate:					The study used the pictorial blood loss assessment (PBAC) technique developed by Higham et al.
NETA) in achieving a reduction in volume of the					(1990) to assess blood loss, however, the publication does

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
myomas, hemoglobi n levels, satisfactio n of the women.					not call is PBAC but calls in visual bleeding score (VBS) instead. Also, the cut-off for VBS they
Study dates					reportedly used was 185, instead of the more
January 1st 2010 to March 1st 2011					commonly seen 100 for PBAC.
Source of funding					
None. "No financial or commercia l interests from any drug company or others					
were taken and there is no relationshi					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
p of authors that may pose conflict of interest."					
p, W. J., Volkers, N.	Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details					
treatment					
of					
symptomat					
ic uterine					
fibroids: 5-					
year					
outcome					
from the					
randomize					
d EMMY					
trial, American					
Journal of					
Obstetrics					
&					
Gynecolog					
yAm J					
Obstet					
Gynecol,					
203,					
105.e1-13,					
2010					
Ref Id					
550686					
Country/ie					
s where					
the study					

i					
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Volkers, Na,	Please see Gupta 2014 Cochrane systematic review.				Other informatior
Hehenkam p, Wj,	Characteristics				
Birnie, E, Ankum,	Inclusion criteria				
Wm, Reekers, Ja, Uterine	Exclusion criteria				

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details artery embolizati on versus hysterecto my in the treatment of symptomat ic uterine fibroids: 2 years' outcome from the randomize d EMMY trial, American journal of obstetrics and gynecolog y, 196,					
519.e1-11, 2007					
Ref Id					
550704					
Country/ie					

					1
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Moss, J. G.,	Please see Gupta 2014 Cochrane systematic review.				Other information
Cooper, K. G.,	Characteristics				
Khaund, A., Murray,	Inclusion criteria				
L. S.,	Exclusion criteria				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Murray, G.					
D., Wu, O.,					
Craig, L.					
E.,					
Lumsden,					
M. A.,					
Randomis					
ed .					
compariso					
n of uterine					
artery					
embolisati					
on (UAE)					
with					
surgical					
treatment					
in patients					
with					
symptomat					
ic uterine					
fibroids					
(REST					
trial): 5-					
year					
results,					
BJOG: An					
Internation					
al Journal					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
of Obstetrics & Gynaecolo gy, 118, 936-44, 2011					
Ref Id					
566867					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Edwards, R. D., Moss, J. G., Lumsden, M. A., Wu, O., Murray, L. S., Twaddle, S., Murray, G. D., Committee of the Randomiz ed Trial of Embolizati on versus Surgical Treatment for, Fibroids, Uterine-	Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
artery embolizati on versus surgery for symptomat ic uterine fibroids, N Engl J Med, 356, 360-70, 2007					
Ref Id					
587971					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation Jun, F., Yamin, L., Xinli, X., Zhe, L., Min, Z., Bo, Z., Wenli, G., Uterine artery embolizati on versus surgery for symptomat ic uterine fibroids: a randomize d controlled trial and a	Sample size Please see Gupta 2014 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
meta- analysis of the literature, Arch Gynecol Obstet, 285, 1407- 13, 2012					
Ref Id					
587972					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of					

Study details funding	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation Manyonda, I. T., Bratby, M., Horst, J. S., Banu, N., Gorti, M., Belli, A. M., Uterine artery embolizati on versus myomecto my: impact on quality of life results of the FUME (Fibroids of the Uterus: Myomecto	review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
my versus Embolizati on) Trial, Cardiovas cular and interventio nal radiology, 35, 530-6, 2012					
Ref Id					
428767					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding					
., Kuzel,D., Belsan,T.,	Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
embolizati on and myomecto my, CardioVas cular and Interventio nal Radiology, 31, 73-85, 2008					
Ref Id					
107531					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Nieman,L. K., Blocker,W.	Randomised N=42 (n=14 placebo; n=14 ulipristal acetate 10 mg; n=14 ulipristal acetate 20 mg)	acetate 10 mg or 20 mg	Randomisation and allocation concealment The Pharmaceutical	Outcome: Health-related quality of life at the end of 3 cycles of treatment	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias
, Nansel,T., Mahoney, S.,	Analysed N=38 (n=12 placebo; n=13 ulipristal acetate 10 mg; n=13	For treatment 1, after a negative pregnancy test, subjects were	Development Service assured allocation concealment and randomized participants to receive CDB-2914 10 mg	Change in scores from baseline, mean±SD SF-36 - Role physical score	Random sequence generation: Low risk
Reynolds,J ., Blithe,D., Wesley,R., Armstrong, A.,		1 or 2. Treatment	(ulipristal acetate 10 mg) or 20 mg (ulipristal acetate 20 mg), or a placebo using computer- generated blocks of six. Blinding	Ulipristal acetate: 4.2±1.2 (n=26) Placebo: -1.5±2.0 (n=12)	Allocation concealment: Unclear risk, reported in the supplemental
Efficacy and tolerability of CDB-	Baseline characteristics of 38 women who completed treatment 1 (N=38)	administration continued for three menstrual cycles (90–102 days in	Laboratoire HRA-Pharma provided 10-mg CDB-2914 tablets and a lookalike	p=0.019 SF-36 - Role mental component	material that "The Pharmaceutical Development Service assured
2914 treatment for	Race/ethnicity - black/Hispanic/white/mixed, n	amenorrheic women). Women received	inert placebo. Follow up	Ulipristal acetate: 4.1±1.5 (n=26)	allocation concealment", however, methods

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	•	two bottles and were instructed to swallow one tablet from each bottle every morning before eating.	After initial treatment, women could elect hysterectomy, myomectomy, or 3 months of treatment with CDB-2914 (termed treatment 2, TX2). Surgery occurred after ovulation in the third month, in the follicular phase of the fourth month, or after 90-102 days of treatment. In TX2, women received their earlier CDB dose or were randomized to 10 or 20 mg if they had received placebo. Study procedures were identical to TX1. Women who did not undergo surgery or underwent myomectomy were invited to continue under an "extension" study during which they underwent pelvic MRI and health-related	Placebo: -2.2 ± 2.4 (n=12) p=0.037 UFS - Symptom severerity score Ulipristal acetate: -28.3 ± 4.2 (n=26) Placebo: -4.2 ± 6.5 (n=12) p=0.004 UFS - Overall HRQL Ulipristal acetate: 27.8 ±3.6 (n=26) Placebo: 8.6 ± 5.6 (n=12) p=0.008 UFS - Concern subscore Ulipristal acetate: 46.1 ±4.5 (n=26) Placebo: 12.1 ± 6.9 (n=12)	or details not explained. Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Low risk Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: Low risk Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data: Unclear risk, number of women completing the HRQL questionnaires not reported, however, the paper reports that "SF-36 and
United States	Ulipristal acetate 20 mg: 27.8±3.2		quality-of-life (HRQL) questionnaires at 3, 6, and 12 months after stopping taking the	p<0.001	UFS data were available for nearly

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details Study type A randomise d double- blind, placebo- controlled clinical trial Aim of the study To evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of the P receptor modulator CDB-2914 (Ulipristal,	Gravidity, mean±SD Placebo: 2.3±2.1 Ulipristal acetate 10 mg: 2.1±1.8 Ulipristal acetate 20 mg: 1.8±2.3	Interventions	Methods study drug. (However, the publication only reports results after phase 1.) The short form-36 evaluates components of health-related quality-of-life (HRQL): physical and social functioning, role limitations due to physical or emotional health, bodily pain, general health, vitality, and mental health. These domains form a physical component scale and a mental component scale. The uterine fibroid symptom quality-of-life (UFS- QOL) is a disease-specific questionnaire that assesses symptom severity and HRQL. The HRQL domains (concern, activities, energy/mood, control, selfconsciousness,	Outcomes and Results UFS - Energy/mood subscore Ulipristal acetate: 19.2±3.7 (n=26) Placebo: 3.7±5.8 (n=12) p=0.037 UFS - Control subscore Ulipristal acetate: 20.3±4.3 (n=26) Placebo: 9.1±6.8 (n=12) p=0.18 UFS - Self-conscious subscore Ulipristal acetate: 19.0±4.7 (n=26) Placebo: 15.8±7.5 (n=12) p=0.72	all women". Reporting bias Selective reporting: Unclear risk, HRQL was only reported after phase 1 even though according to the paper data was also collected at 6 months and 12 months post- treatment, however, it is a secondary outcome and the paper narratively reports that "These scores were similar at the end of 3 and 6 months of treatment in those who received CDB."
CDB). Study dates	Placebo: 149.1±120.6 Ulipristal acetate 10 mg:		and sexual function) are collapsed into an overall HRQL score.	UFS - Sexual function subscore	Other bias Other sources of bias: -

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	(anemia, pelvic pressure, chronic lower abdominal pain, bladder pressure with increased urinary frequency, or menorrhagia) uterine fibroids more than 2 cm in diameter with the following additional inclusion criteria: -age 25–50 years, -ovulatory menstrual cycles of 24–35 days, -a hemoglobin of >10 g/dL, -creatinine of <1.3 mg/dL,		 Statistical analysis Change from baseline was evaluated using univariate ANOVA on the difference between pretreatment and treatment scores. Results from the two CDB dose groups did not differ. They were combined into a single group and compared with the placebo group. Sample size calculation A formal power calculation was not performed. The sample size was derived from the assumption that CDB– 2914 has a similar potency to mifepristone in reduction of fibroid size and previous data (Stratton P, Hartog B, Hajizadeh N, Piquion J, Sutherland D, Merino M, Lee YJ, Nieman LK. A single midfollicular dose of CDB-2914, a new antiprogestin, inhibits 	Ulipristal acetate: 25.7 \pm 5.5 (n=26) Placebo: 18.7 \pm 8.5 (n=12) p=0.50 UFS - Activities subscore Ulipristal acetate: 83.9 \pm 4.4 (n=26) Placebo: 56.1 \pm 7.0 (n=12) p=0.002 UFS - Composite bleeding score Ulipristal acetate: 2.1 \pm 0.2 (n=26) Placebo: 0.43 \pm 0.3 (n=12) p<0.001	Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details of Health Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. Under a Cooperativ e Research and Developm ent Agreement , Laboratoir e HRA- Pharma, Paris, France, provided study drug and placebo as well as salary support for	-and a body mass index (BMI) <35 kg/m2. Exclusion criteria -use of glucocorticoids or megesterol within 1 year, -cervical dysplasia, -adnexal mass, -previous malignancy, -inability to complete study requirements, -serum FSH >20 U/L, -anovulation, -rapidly growing leiomyoma, -unexplained vaginal bleeding, -pregnancy, -lactation, -use of hormonal		folliculogenesis and endometrial differentiation in normally cycling women. Hum Reprod 2000;15:1092–9) showing its effects in groups of 10–12 women receiving CDB–2914.		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
one member of the research team. The research team analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript , and Laboratoir e HRAPhar ma agreed to the final submissio n, NCT00290 251.					
Full citation	Sample size Please see Lethaby 2015	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Tam WH; Yuen PM;	Cochrane systematic review.				
	Characteristics				
DP; Leung PL; Lok IH;	Inclusion criteria				
Rogers MS. ,	Exclusion criteria				
Health status					
function					
after treatment					
with					
thermal balloon					
endometri					
al ablation and					
levonorges					
trel					
intrauterin e system					
for					
idiopathic menorrhag					
ia: a					
randomize d study. ,					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Tam WH; Yuen PM; Shan Ng DP; Leung PL; Lok IH; Rogers MS., 62, 84-8, 2006					
Ref Id					
587977					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions 1) Endometrial	Details Follow-up	Results Outcome: Patient	Limitations Cochrane risk of
A randomise d trial of	Characteristics	ablation The gonadotrophin	A postal questionnaire was sent to all women four years after	Satisfaction Endometrial ablation:	bias tool Selection bias
endometri al ablation versus	Endometrial ablation group: N= 105	releasing hormone agonist analogue goserelin	their initial trial management, assessing gynaecological symptoms, satisfaction, anxiety,	61/76* reported being totally or generally satisfied	random sequence generation: unclear
hysterecto my for the treatment	Mean age (SD): 40.1 (5) Dysmenorrhea: 75%	having hystero- scopic surgery five	depression, and sexual activity. The women had completed a	Hysterectomy: 64/72* reported being totally or	Allocation concealment: unclear
of dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding:	Hysterectomy:	weeks pre- operatively to prepare the endometrium.	recruitment and at six, 12 and 24 months post-operatively. Anxiety and depression were measured using the Hospital Anxiety and	* N responding to 4-year follow-up questionnaire	Performance bias Blinding:
outcome at four years.	N= 99 Mean age (SD): 40.3 (5.2)	2) Hysterectomy	Depression Scale" the higher the score the more depressed or anxious the women. At four		unclear risk, blinding not possible but
Aberdeen Endometri al Ablation	Dysmenorrhea: 69%	Abdominal hysterec tomy was performed in 85/95 cases (six	years, overall satisfaction with treatment was measured using a seven point Likert scale which		unclear how it might affect performance bias
Trials Group, Br J Obstet	Inclusion criteria	of whom had bilateral oophorectomy and	ranged from totally satisfied to totally dissatisfied; sexual		Detection bias
Gynaecol, 106, 360-	-under 50 years of age;	one a sub-total hysterectomy) and	activity questions were adapted from the Psychological		Blinding: high risk, blinding not

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details 6, 1999 Ref Id 549650 Country/ie s where the study was carried out UK Study type RCT Aim of the study To assess the long term impact of initial managem ent by endometri	 -weighed under 100 kg -clinical diagnosis of dysfunctional uterine bleeding (uterine size less than 10 weeks); -would have otherwise undergone hysterectomy Exclusion criteria Not reported 	vaginal hysterectomy was performed in 10/95.	Adjustment to Illness Scale". Initial non-responders were sent one reminder; if a woman still did not respond, her general practitioner was contacted and the address checked. If it was known that the woman was no longer resident at the address recorded in the trial documents, the Primary Care Record Department at Grampian Health Board was contacted for the woman's new address, if available. A review of all casenotes was conducted during May 1996 (at least four years after initial trial management) to identify re-treatments, other surgical procedures and investigations. The time from a woman's initial surgical management to any re-treatment was recorded to the nearest month.		possible, high risk of bias for subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information Same study as Pinion 1994, Bhattacharya 1996. Included in the NMA. This publication did not report on outcomes relevant for the pairwise analysis.

Study	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details al ablation					
for women					
with					
dysfunctio					
nal uterine					
bleeding					
who would					
otherwise					
have had a					
hysterecto my.					
Study					
dates					
These					
women					
received					
their initial					
trial					
managem ent					
between					
October					
1990 and					
April 1992					
Source of					
funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Grant support was provided by the Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Office Departmen t of Health, which also funds the Health Services Research Unit.					
Full citation Barrington, J. W., Arunkalaiv	Sample size N=50 (LNG-IUS= 25, endometrial balloon therapy= 25)	Interventions Twenty-five women had a LNG-IUS (Mirena, Schering Healthcare) inserted	Details Follow-up A pictorial menstrual chart was completed pre-operatively and again at 6 months post-	Results Outcome: Discontinuation due to AE LNG-IUS: 2/25	Limitations Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
anan, A. S., Abdel- Fattah, M., Compariso n between the levonorges trel intrauterin e system (LNG-IUS) and thermal balloon ablation in the treatment of menorrhag ia, Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 108, 72-4, 2003 Ref Id 549675	Characteristics Not reported Inclusion criteria -no malignant or pre- malignant pathology -menorrhagia refractory to medical therapy Exclusion criteria Any woman with a cavity length of >12 cm or subserous fibroids were excluded from the study.	aseptically in the out-patient department. The remaining 25 women underwent endometrial balloon therapy (Thermochoice, Gynecare) under a total intravenous anaesthetic in the day surgery unit. Pre-operative endometrial thinning was undertaken using Goseralin 3.6 mg (Zoladex, AstraZeneca) 1 month beforehand.	operatively. Statistical analysis Non-parametric tests (Mann- Whitney) were used.	TBA: NA Outcome: Mean Menstrual Blood Loss (PBAC) Baseline, mean (SD) LNG-IUS: 107 (95) TBA: 122 (74) Post-treatment, mean (SD) LNG-IUS: 31 (31) TBA: 61 (99)	Random sequence generation: unclear Allocation concealment: unclear Performance bias Blinding: unclear risk, blinding not possible but unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias Blinding: high risk, blinding not possible, high risk, of bias for subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes were not stated in objectives Other information
UK Study					Short report; limited data.
type RCT Aim of the					Baseline characteristics of women not reported.
study To compare the effectivene ss of endometri al thermal ablation and the levonorges trel intrauterin					Included in the NMA. This publication did not report on outcomes relevant for the pairwise analysis.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
e system (LNG-IUS) in the managem ent of menorrhag ia.					
Study dates					
Not reported					
Source of funding					
Not reported					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation Bhattachar ya, S., Cameron, I. M., Parkin, D.	Characteristics				Other information
	Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria				Included in the NMA. This publication did not report on outcomes relevant for the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
E., Abramovic					pairwise analysis.
h, D. R.,					
Mollison,					
J., Pinion,					
S. B.,					
Alexander,					
D. A.,					
Grant, A.,					
Kitchener,					
H. C., A					
pragmatic					
randomise d					
compariso					
n of					
transcervic					
al					
resection					
of the					
endometri					
um with					
endometri					
al laser ablation for					
the					
treatment					
of					
menorrhag					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia, Br J Obstet Gynaecol, 104, 601- 7, 1997					
Ref Id					
549651					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation Bongers,M .Y., Bourdrez, P., Heintz,A.P ., Brolmann, H.A., Mol,B.W., Bipolar radio frequency endometri al ablation compared with balloon endometri al ablation in dysfunctio nal uterine bleeding: impact on patients'	N= 115 Characteristics Bipolar group: N=75 mean age (SD): 42.2 (5.3) Dysmenorrhea: 49/75 Balloon group: N= 40 mean age (SD): 43.3 (3.9) Dysmenorrhea: 27/40 Inclusion criteria -Women with menorrhagia documented by a pictorial chart with a Higham score of 150 points or more were eligible for the trial	Women were treated with either bipolar radio frequency endometrial ablation (NovaSure, Novacept, Palo Alto, CA) or balloon endometrial ablation (ThermaChoice I, Gynecare, Johnson and Johnson, Somerville, NJ). Details on both procedures have been provided previously. We used the ThermaChoice I systema because systems by ThermaChoice were not available in Europe.	Follow-up Quality of Life Assessment: All patients were asked to complete quality of life questionnaires. The medical outcomes study Short-Form 36 (SF-36), the Rotterdam Symptom Checklist (RSCL), the Selfrating Depression Scale (SDS), the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), and the structured clinical history questionnaire for menorrhagia were selected to evaluate quality of life. The SF- 36 has been used as an indicator of healthrelated quality of life, and its reliability and validity are well documented. This questionnaire has proven to have the ability to measure the effcts of treatment on quality of life in women suffering from menorrhagia.	At 1 year Bipolar group: 94 (28) Balloon group: 89 (24)	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: computer generated Allocation concealment: opaque sealed envelopes Performance bias Blinding: patients blinded to surgical technique used Detection bias Blinding: investigating doctors unaware of randomization
	eligible for the trial			SF-36: Role emotional	Attrition bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
health- related quality of life, Fertility and Sterility, 83, 724- 734, 2005 Ref Id 98526 Country/ie s where the study was carried out The Netherland s Study type RCT Aim of the	-Saline infusion sonography or diagnostic hysteroscopy were required to confirm a normal uterine cavity with histological benign endometrium and a uterine depth between 6 and 11 cm Exclusion criteria Women who had intracavitary abnormalities were not included in the study.			At baseline Bipolar group: 85 (26) Balloon group: 80 (26) At 1 year Bipolar group: 99 (5) Balloon group: 95 (15) SF-36: Social functioning At baseline Bipolar group: 76 (19) Balloon group: 76 (21) At 1 year Bipolar group: 89 (16) Balloon group: 86 (21) SF-36: Mental health At baseline Bipolar group: 72 (18)	Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information Participating women were those choosing endometrial ablation after being counselled on many options (medical and surgical) for menorrhagia. Included in the NMA. This publication did not report on outcomes relevant for the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
study				At 1 year	pairwise analysis.
То				Bipolar group: 80 (18)	
compare health-					
related				Balloon group: 80 (18)	
quality of				SF-36: Energy	
life (HRQoL)				At baseline	
after bipolar				Bipolar group: 56 (19)	
radio				Balloon group: 54 (20)	
frequency ablation				At 1 year	
and				Bipolar group: 73 (1)	
thermal balloon					
ablation in				Balloon group: 64 (21)	
women				SF-36: Pain	
with dysfunctio				At baseline	
nal uterine bleeding.				Bipolar group: 62 (20)	
bleeding.				Balloon group: 63 (22)	
				At 1 year	
Study dates					
				Bipolar group: 76 (24)	
November				Balloon group: 77 (25)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
1999 until June 2001				SF-36: General health	
Source of				At baseline	
funding				Bipolar group: 76 (19)	
Not reported				Balloon group: 76 (21)	
				At 1 year	
				Bipolar group: 81 (18)	
				Balloon group: 75 (23)	
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	Characteristics				Other information
Cooper,K. G.,	Inclusion criteria				Included in the
Bain,C., Lawrie,L., Parkin,D.E	Exclusion criteria				NMA. This publication did not report on outcomes
., A randomise d					relevant for the pairwise analysis.
compariso n of microwave					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
endometri					
al ablation					
with					
transcervic al					
resection					
of the					
endometri					
um; follow					
up at a					
minimum					
of five					
years,					
BJOG: An					
Internation al Journal					
of					
Obstetrics					
and					
Gynaecolo					
gy, 112,					
470-475,					
2005					
Ref Id					
98676					
Country/ie					
s where					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation	N= 276	Participants	The primary end point of the	Outcome: Surgical	Cochrane risk of
Goldrath,M .H., Evaluation	(Rollerball= 89, Hydrotherm Ablator= 187)	received a single injection of depot leuprolide acetate	study at 12 months after treatment was reduction of PBAC scores to 75 or less	Complication: Cervical Lacerations	bias tool Selection bias
of HydroTher	Characteristics	7.5 mg on day 21 \pm 2 of their cycle.	(established by the FDA) between HTA treatment group	Rollerball group: 2/ 89	Random sequence
mAblator and rollerball	Pretreatment PBAC scores (range 173–2370, median 490), age (range 30–50 yrs,	Treatment was scheduled between	and the control group (rollerball). Aquality of life questionnaire4 was administered for	Hydrotherm Ablation group: 0/ 187	generation: computer permute blocks

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
endometri al ablation for menorrhag ia 3 Years after treatment, Journal of the American Associatio n of Gynecolog ic Laparosco pists, 10, 505-511, 2003 Ref Id 98968 Country/ie s where the study was carried out	45.8 kg/m2, median 29	of the cervical canal and uterine cavity. As a safety feature, the HTA system is calibrated to detect loss of as little as 10 ml of saline from	pretreatment and posttreatment secondary analyses. Patients visited the treating physician for follow-up 2 weeks and 3, 6, and 12 months after treatment. Further follow-up was done at 2 and 3 years after treatment through interviews if patients were not examined.	Outcome: Post-op Infection: Endometritis or UTI Rollerball group: 3/89 HTA group: 7/187 Outcome: PBAC <100 at 12 months Rollerball group: 71/83 HTA group: 137/ 167 Outcome: PBAC <100 at 24 months Rollerball group: 68//74 HTA group: 139/ 151 Outcome: PBAC <100 at 36 months Rollerball group: 62/68	Allocation concealment: unclear Performance bias Blinding: unclear Detection bias Blinding: unclear Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information At 1 year, 12 patients who had received complete

USA polyps,-submucosal myomas or polyps,overdilate the cervix to ensure a good seal. Diagnostic hysteroscopy is performed with the HTA sheath to ensure absence of unrecognized pathology, and to identify the tubal ostia as landmarks indicating that the sheath has not been performed in dicating that the passage. Only then is heating of criculating saline bygingHTA group: 127/ 135to follow-up, 10 (5.6%) from the HTA group, accidental deaths unrelated to surgery, and 2 surgery, and 2 (2.4%) from the rollerball group.To compare the safety and efficacy of endometri al ablation using HydroTher mAblator (HTA) and rollerballoverdilate the cervix to ensure a good seal. Diagnostic hysteroscopy is performed with the HTA sheath to ensure absence of unrecognized pathology, and to identify the tubal ostia as landmarks indicating that the sheath has not been passage. Only then is heating of circulating saline begun, with a therapy cycle of 10 minutes. On completion of the therapy cycle, the operator is prompted to wait forHTA group: 127/ 135 to follow-up, 10 (5.6%) from the HTA group, including 2 accidental deaths unrelated to surgery, and 2 turgery, and 2 turgery, and 2 HTA: 98%HTA group, including 2 accidental deaths unrelated to surgery, and 2 surgery, and 2 turgery, and 2 turgery, and 2 turgery, and 2 turgery, and 4 to indentify the tubal of the trapy cycle of 10 minutes. On completion of the therapy cycle, the operator is prompted to wait forMathematical deaths unrelated to provided a per protocol population opatients (151 HTA, 74 rollerball), and <th>Study details</th> <th>Participants</th> <th>Interventions</th> <th>Methods</th> <th>Outcomes and Results</th> <th>Comments</th>	Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia. overall, 203 (77%)	details USA Study type RCT Aim of the study To compare the safety and efficacy of endometri al ablation using HydroTher mAblator (HTA) and rollerball (RB) for treatment of menorrhag	-submucosal myomas or polyps,	is taken to not overdilate the cervix to ensure a good seal. Diagnostic hysteroscopy is performed with the HTA sheath to ensure absence of unrecognized pathology, and to identify the tubal ostia as landmarks indicating that the sheath has not been placed in a false passage. Only then is heating of circulating saline begun, with a therapy cycle of 10 minutes. On completion of the therapy cycle, the operator is		HTA group: 127/ 135 Outcome: Patient Satisfaction at 36 months Rollerball: 97%	treatment were lost to follow-up, 10 (5.6%) from the HTAgroup, including 2 accidental deaths unrelated to surgery, and 2 (2.4%) from the rollerball group. Two patients in the HTA group had hysterectomies during the first year, which provided a per protocol population of 250 patients (167 HTA, 83 rollerball) at 12 months. At 2 years, the per protocol population was 220 patients (151 HTA,

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
dates Not reported Source of funding Supported by BEI Medical Systems, a Boston Scientific Company, Natick, Massachu setts. The author has a financial interest in the HydroTher mAblator.		sheath may be removed from the patient. Hysteroscopic visualization is maintained throughout the procedure, allowing full appreciation of blanching caused throughout the cavity, even in the presence of cavity asymmetry. Rollerball Not described.			68 rollerball) were available for evaluation of clinical efficacy data at 3 years.
Full citation	Sample size Please see Loffer 2002.	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Grainger, D. A., Tjaden, B.	Characteristics Inclusion criteria				
L., Rowland, C., Meyer, W. R., Thermal balloon and rollerball ablation to treat menorrhag ia: two- year results of a multicenter					
, prospectiv e, randomize d, clinical trial, J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc, 7, 175-9,					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
2000					
Ref Id					
549702					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	N= 177	UAE	Randomization	Outcome: Surgical blood	Cochrane risk of bias tool
Hehenkam	UAE= 88, Hysterectomy=	Patients were	After written informed consent	loss	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
kei, P. F., de Blok, S., Birnie, E., Ankum, W. M., Reekers, J. A., Uterine artery embolizati on versus hysterecto my in the treatment of symptomat ic uterine fibroids (EMMY trial): peri- and	Previous treatment: none 12.5%, surgical 19.3%, hormonal 67%, NSAID/TXA 51.1% % with menorrhagia: 100% % with dysmenorrhea: 53.4% Median # fibroids (range)=	advised to discontinue any GnRH analogues treatment at least 1 month before the UAE. UAE was performed in all participating hospitals. The first 2 to 3 procedures were supervised by an interventional radiologist (J.R.) with ample experience in UAE. All radiologists were experienced in intervention radiology, including various embolization techniques in general. At the start of the study UAE was not a routine procedure for all radiologists. Seven radiologists were considered	had been obtained the attending gynecologist contacted the trial bureau by telephone, where the patient was registered and randomly assigned (1:1) to UAE or hysterectomy, using a computer-based minimization scheme ('balancing procedure'), and stratified for study center. The randomization result was recorded electronically. Follow-up Complications were classified as "major" when the events were potentially life-threatening, could lead to permanent sequelae, or required surgical intervention. Other complications were listed as "minor." Nausea, pain, and fever were considered "general" complications. Whenever a definite cause of fever was identified (eg, urinary tract infection), this was listed under minor or major complications, using the criteria described above.	UAE group: Mean (SD): 30.9 mL (23.8) Hysterectomy group: Mean (SD): 436.1 mL (474.5) Outcome: Anemia requiring transfusion UAE: 0/81 Hyst: 10/75 Outcome: Pulmonary Embolism or Thrombosis UAE: 1/81 Hyst: 1/75 Outcome: Return to theatre at 6 weeks UAE: 1/81 (due to fibroid expulsion requiring re- intervention) Hyst: 0/75	Selection bias Random sequence generation: computer-based minimization scheme Allocation concealment: by centralized trial bureau Performance bias Blinding: unclear but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Detection bias Blinding: unclear but unlikely due to obvious difference between treatments Altrition bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Gynecol, 193, 1618- 29, 2005 Ref Id 549631 Country/ie s where the study was carried out The Netherland s Study type RCT	Hysterectomy Group Mean age (SD): 45.4 (4.2) Mean BMI (SD): 25.4 (4.0) Previous treatment: none 16.9%, surgical 12.4%, hormonal 66.3%, NSAID/TXA 46.1% % with menorrhagia: 100% % with dysmenorrhea: 56.2% Median # fibroids (range)= 2 (1-9) Median uterine volume (range)= 313 cm3 (58- 3617) Median fibroid volume (range)= 87 cm3 (4-1641) Inclusion criteria 1) the clinical diagnosis of	a Foley catheter before UAE. UAE was performed under local or epidural/ spinal anesthesia. The use of analgesics and antibiotics was not standardized. Femoral artery access could be unilateral or bilateral. A 4-F or 5- F catheter was introduced into the femoral artery and	All UAE patients were routinely telephoned by the gynecologist 1 week after discharge to inquire about their health status. At the first routine visit (6 weeks after the procedure), complications after discharge, unscheduled visits, readmissions, and reinterventions were recorded. Statistics Study outcomes were analyzed according to original treatment assignment (intention to treat). Differences in baseline characteristics were tested with multiple logistic regression analysis. Differences in complications between groups were expressed in absolute numbers, rates, and relative risks (RR) with 95% CI. Differences in hospital stay were tested with the Mann-Whitney U test. Differences in categorical data were compared with c2- tests or Fisher exact tests if	Outcome: Infection at 6 weeks (endometritis or UTI) UAE: 7/81 Hyst: 2/75	Low risk, outcome date complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information All women were to be scheduled for hysterectomy. N type of hysterectomy performed in hysterectomy group: Open: 63 Vaginal: 9 Laparoscopic: 2 Laparoscopic
	C	advanced over the	appropriate. We also		Laparoscopio

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
This was a randomize d controlled trial to evaluate the safety of uterine artery embolizati on (UAE) compared with hysterecto my. Study dates Patients were enrolled between March 2002 and February 2004. Source of	uterine fibroids had been confirmed by ultrasonography; 2) menorrhagia (subjectively reported by the patient as increased or prolonged menstrual blood loss which causes dysfunction in daily life) was their predominant complaint, among other possibly fibroid-related signs and symptoms; 3) they were premenopausal; 4) they were to be scheduled for a hysterectomy. Whenever other treatment options were still available, women were not asked to participate, but were treated otherwise.	aortic bifurcation to the contralateral internal iliac artery to identify the origin of the uterine artery. In case of spasm, the policy was to wait, but a microcatheter and/or spasmolytics could be used within the study protocol. When catheters were placed correctly, the actual embolization was carried out. Polyvinyl alcohol particles (PVA, Contour, Boston Scientific, Beek, The Netherlands) with a size of 355 to 500 mm, were used. Only if an anastomosis with the ovarian artery was observed were	investigated the effect of experience of the radiologist and hospitals perfoming UAE on technical failure, complications, and readmission. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.		assisted vaginal: 1

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
funding The Emmy study is funded by ZonMw 'Netherlan ds Organisati on for Health Research and Developm ent' (grant application number 945-01- 017), and supported by Boston Scientific Corporatio n, The Netherland s.	 preservation of the uterus was warranted for future pregnancy; renal failure (creatinine O150 mmol/L), active pelvic infection, or clotting disorders were clinically established; they were allergic to contrast material; uterine malignancy was suspected; submucosal fibroids with 	500 to 700 mm particles used. PVA, mixed with contrast medium and saline, was injected into each uterine artery until parenchyma filling of the fibroids had stopped (target embolization), or until the main uterine artery was blocked with stasis of contrast (selective embolization). After the procedure, groin pressure was applied for 10 to 15 minutes. Hysterectomy The type of hysterectomy and the route of access were left at the discretion of the attending			

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
		gynecologist in			
		order to keep as			
		close to daily			
		practice as possible.			
		The following			
		procedures were			
		allowed: abdominal			
		hysterectomy, either			
		by median or a			
		pfannenstiel			
		incision, vaginal			
		hysterectomy,			
		laparoscopically			
		assisted vaginal			
		hysterectomy			
		(LAVH), and			
		laparoscopic			
		hysterectomy. Both			
		supravaginal and			
		total hysterectomies			
		were allowed. We			
		used no guidelines			
		for: antibiotic			
		prophylaxis; type of			
		anesthesia; removal			
		or ablation of			
		endocervical tissue			
		in the supravaginal			
		hysterectomy group;			

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
		concomitant adnexal surgery; wound closure; evaluation and treatment of fever; or hospital discharge criteria.			
Full citation Loffer, F. D., Three- year compariso n of thermal balloon	Sample size Please see Loffer 2002 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
and rollerball ablation in treatment of menorrhag ia, J Am	Exclusion criteria				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Assoc Gynecol Laparosc, 8, 48-54, 2001					
Ref Id					
549704					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					

	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Loffer. F.	N= 255 Followed for 3 years: 214 [*]	No medical pre- treatment. Suction curettage performed	Follow-up One, two, three and five years	Outcome: Cervical Laceration*	Cochrane risk of bias tool
Grainger, D., Five-	(147 available to be interviewed at 5 years of	for both procedures.*	follow-up. Patients kept record of	UBT: 0/ 131 RB: 1/124	Selection bias Random sequence
follow-up	follow-up). Characteristics	Rollerball Endometrial Ablation:	menstrual blood loss through pictorial diary (PBAC method). Women were also required to	Outcome: Uterine Perforation*	generation: unclear Allocation concealment:
g in a randomize	Demographics of each group similar for all characteristics.*	Rollerball was performed by	complete a questionnaire regarding impact on life, dysmenorrhea, and satisfaction	UBT: 0/ 131 RB: 1/124	unclear Performance bias
uterine balloon	Inclusion criteria	experienced hysteroscopists using standard	with treatment. 5-year follow-up was not	Outcome: Post-op infection: Endometritis or	Blinding: unclear risk,
therapy versus rollerball	-menorrhagic -premenopausal	hysteroscopic instruments and a low-viscosity	originally planned. 12 of 14 centres agreed to participate. Each participant received an	UTI* UBT: 4/131	blinding not possible but unclear how it
ablation for treatment of	-no evidence of cervical or uterine malignancy	distention medium.**	introductory letter from her physician explaining the purpose of the follow-up study. A	RB: 1/124	might affect performance bias
menorrhag ia, J Am Assoc	-no uterine anatomic abnormalities	Thermal Balloon	questionnaire regarding menstrual status, dysmenorrhea, pelvic pain, satisfaction, and	Outcome: Menstrual Blood Loss at 1 year**	Detection bias Blinding: high risk,
Gynecol Laparosc, 9, 429-35,	-desired no further fertility Exclusion criteria	Ablation (Thermachoice): Balloon catheter	additional gynecologic treatments or conditions was administered.	UBT: 85.5% decrease in PBAC	blinding not possible, high risk of bias for

Study Participants details	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Interventions inserted into the uterine cavity and filled with sterile 5% dextrose in water. The heating element of the balloon was heated to 87 degrees Celsius. An 8- minute cycle at 87 degrees ablated endometrial tissue. At completion of the heat cycle, the fluid inside the balloon was withdrawn and the balloon catheter was removed from the uterus.*	Methods	Outcomes and ResultsRB: 97.1% decrease in PBACOutcome: Patient Satisfaction at 1-year**Participants reporting satisfied or very satisfied with the procedure at 2 years.UBT: 96% Rollerball: 99.1%Outcome: Patient Satisfaction at 2-years** Participants reporting satisfied or very satisfied with the procedure at 2 years.UBT: 96% UBT: 95.9%	Comments subjective outcomes Attrition bias Low risk, outcome data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported Other information Same trial as Meyer 1998, Grainger 2000, Loffer 2001 3-year, 5-year bleeding was self- reported not validated measure.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
participate d in a randomize d trial comparing uterine balloon therapy or rollerball ablation.				Outcome: Patient Satisfaction at 3-years* Participants reporting satisfaction with the procedure at 3 years. UBT: 109/114	
Study dates				Rollerball: 97/100	
January and September 1996**				Outcome: Patient Satisfaction at 5 years Participants reporting	
Source of funding				satisfaction with the procedure at 5 years.	
Supported in part by Gynecare (division of Ethicon).				UBT: 57/61 Rollerball: 61/61	
				data extracted from Loffer 2001	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				data extracted from Grainger 2000	
Full citation Pinto, I., Chimeno, P., Romo, A., Paul, L., Haya, J., de la Cal, M. A., Bajo, J., Uterine fibroids: uterine artery embolizati on versus abdominal hysterecto	Sample size Please see Gupta 2014 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
my for treatment a					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
prospectiv e, randomize d, and controlled clinical trial, Radiology, 226, 425- 31, 2003					
Ref Id					
549760					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
citation van Zon- Rabelink, I. A., Vleugels, M. P., Merkus, H. M., de Graaf, R., Endometri al ablation by rollerball electrocoa gulation compared to uterine balloon thermal ablation. Technical	 N= 139 (Roller ball n=62; thermal balloon ablation n=77) Characteristics Both groups were comparable regarding age and length of the uterine cavity. Baseline characteristics of participants NR. Inclusion criteria -Patients with menorrhagia without sufficient relief by medical therapy prescribed by the general practitioner 	All patients were pre-treated with goserelin acetate (Zoladex) 6 and 2 weeks prior to the rollerball endometria I ablation to reduce endometrial thickness, uterine volume and vascularity. All patients were hospitalised 1 day to standardise both procedures and to observe them during 24 h. To prevent uterine cramping premedication of 100 mg diclofenac	Statistics Within each of both treatment groups relations between operative characteristics have been studies by using Spearman's rank correlation analyses. Comparing both groups with respect to operative complications, technical complications, post-operative complications, post-operative complaints and medication needed, has been done by Fisher's exact tests for a 2x2 table. Comparison of both groups with respect to the operation time was carried out by the two-sample Student t-test and checked by means of Satterthwaite's approximation for the degrees of freedom.	Outcome: Surgical Complication: perforation of uterus Rollerball group: 3/62 Thermal balloon ablation group: 0/77 Outcome: Post-Op Infection Rollerball group: 1/62 Thermal balloon ablation group: 0/77	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: unclear Allocation concealment: sealed envelope technique Performance bias Blinding: unclear Detection bias Blinding: unclear Attrition bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
and safety aspects, Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 110, 220-3, 2003 Ref Id 549677 Country/ie s where the study was carried out The Netherland s Study type RCT Aim of the	 -PBAC score was 185 points or more in two periods. -The blood loss was due to dysfunctional uterine bleeding according to ultrasound and diagnostic hysteroscopy Exclusion criteria Not reported. 	general anaesthesia. The			data complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported; other outcomes reported elsewhere Other information Same trial as van Zon-Rabelink 2004.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
study To compare two methods of endometri al ablation, hysterosco pic rollerball electrocoa gulation (RBE) and non- hysterosco pic uterine balloon thermal (UBT) ablation regarding intra- and post- operative technical complicati ons and safety		checked for leakage. After intrauterine insertion the balloon was filled with 5% dextrose water up to the mean starting pressure of 167±8 mm Hg. After pre- heating the fluid temperature to 87±5C, the treatment cycle of 8 min commenced. For safety, the device automaticall y deactivated when pressure fell below 45 mm Hg or reached above 200 mm Hg.			

Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Characteristics				Other information
Inclusion criteria				Included in the
Exclusion criteria				NMA. This study compared two types of thermal balloon ablation
				techniques, therefore, not included in the pairwise analysis.
	Sample size Characteristics Inclusion criteria	Sample size Interventions Characteristics Inclusion criteria	Sample size Interventions Details Characteristics Inclusion criteria Details	Sample size Interventions Details Results Characteristics Interventions Details Results

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ia: compariso n of two methods in outpatient setting, Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand, 82, 269-74, 2003					
Ref Id					
549625					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Zupi, E., Zullo, F., Marconi, D., Sbracia, M., Pellicano, M., Solima, E., Sorrenti, G., Hysterosc opic	N= 181 Characteristics Endometrial resection group: N=89 Mean age (SD): 43.2 (3.5) Mean BMI (SD): 35.6 (1.4) Mean uterine volume (SD): 315 cm3 (43)	Endometrial resection Patients randomized were treated by a depot formulation of a gonadotropin- releasing hormone antagonist (GnRH- a), 3.75 mg, 1 month before surgery. HER was performed by	Follow-up The follow-up visits were at 3 months and 1 and 2 years, when patients were checked for hemoglobin levels and queried about pain and bleeding patterns. The patients completed the SF-36 on quality-of-life issues, administered by a nurse blinded to the assigned treatment, before treatment and after 1 year of follow-up. No specific assessment for	Outcome: Patients requiring blood transfusion post-op Endometrial resection: 0/89 Hysterectomy: 2/92 Outcome: Hospital stay (days) Endometrial resection:	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: computer- generated randomization sequence Allocation concealment: unclear
	Dysmenorrhea: 37% Hysterectomy group:	means of a rigid resectoscope equipped with a 12- degree fore-oblique telescope and a	premenstrual syndrome or pelvic pain was done. Statistics The statistical analysis was	Mean (SD): 1.3 (1.1) Hysterectomy: Mean (SD): 1.6 (1.5)	Performance bias Blinding: unclear risk, blinding not

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
ic supracervi cal hysterecto my for menorrhag ia: a prospectiv e randomize d trial, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 188, 7-12, 2003 Ref Id 549635 Country/ie s where the study was carried out Italy Study	N=92 Mean age (SD): 42.6 (4.4) Mean BMI (SD): 34.5 (1.9) Mean uterine volume (SD): 295 cm3 (58) Dysmenorrhea: 41.3% Inclusion criteria -The patients had to be younger than the age of 50 years -weigh less than 100 kg -not be seeking conception -normal endometrial histology -a Papanicolaou (Pap) smear documented within the previous 12 months. Exclusion criteria	dilatation up to Hegar probe No. 9. The cavity was distended with a nonconductive hypo-osmolar solution of 2.7% sorbitol and 0.54% mannitol instilled under manometric control, with a pressure of 100 to 120 mm Hg generated by a pneumatic cuff and a vacuum of 30 mm Hg to 0 was applied for suction. After	performed with the use of a commercial software program STATISTICA for Windows (Statsoft, Inc, Tulsa, Okla). Differences in age, parity, and body mass index (BMI) between groups were compared with the use of the two-tailed Student t- test for unpaired data. Preoperative basal values were compared with the postoperative value in each group with a Student t test for paired data. Postoperative complications were compared using the Chi2 test. A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to detect differences in the postoperative pain score and satisfaction profile between the two groups. Operative time differences, estimated blood loss, duration of symptoms, and mean discharge time were compared with the use of the Wilcoxon rank sum test. P-value of <0.05 was defined as statistically significant.	Outcome: Post-op urinary infection Endometrial resection: 1/89 Hysterectomy: 1/92 Outcome: Quality of Life: SF-36 General health Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 51.9 (12.7)/ 59.6 (13.7) Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 52.1 (12.1)/69.4 (14.3) Physical functioning Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 62.6 (14.4)/ 66.4 (15.1)	possible, unclear how it might affect performance bias Detection bias Blinding: high risk, blinding not possible for participants, high risk of bias for subjective outcomes, however, nurse administrating follow-up blinded to treatment group Attrition bias Low risk, outcome date complete Reporting bias Low risk, outcomes stated in the objective were reported

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
details type RCT Aim of the study This study was undertake n to compare the relative efficacy and safety of hysterosco pic endometri al resection and laparoscop ic supracervi cal hysterecto my in the treatment	-size of the uterus more than 12 weeks of pregnancy size -without submucosal fibroids, adnexal masses, or endometriosis.	then treated in a radial fashion with a ball electrode starting from the tubal ostia and withdrawing the electrode toward the surgeon slowly. Vaporization was then completed on the fundus and the remaining cavity down the isthmus. Hysterectomy= Laparoscopic Supracervical LSH was performed under a pneumoperitoneum ranging from 12 to 15 mm Hg, using a 10-mm, 0-degree umbilical scope, an adequate uterine manipulator, two lateral ancillary 5- mm ports, and a 12-		Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 62.8 (10.9)/67.6 (13.2) Role functioning (phys) Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 58.3 (13.0)/ 61.3 (14.8) Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 59.2 (15.4)/62.1 (13.9) Role functioning (emo) Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 60.8 (12.0)/ 64.2 (14.4) Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 60.3 (11.9)/68.1 (15.2) Mental health Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 58.1 (12.3)/ 60.5 (14.8)	Other information
of		. ,		Hysterectomy	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
abnormal uterine bleeding.		mm suprapubic trocar. After careful inspection of the pelvis and upper		(baseline/post-tx): 59.8 (12.9)/63.2 (13.6) Social functioning	
Study dates		abdomen, all associated lesions (adhesions, endometriosis, and		Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 56.4 (11.0)/ 67.3 (12.7)	
Not reported		ovarian cysts) were removed. Bipolar forceps and		Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 53.6 (9.7)/88.5 (11.5)	
Source of funding		scissors were used for round ligaments and either		Vitality	
Not reported		uteroadnexal pedicle or infundibulopelvic		Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 56.7 (11.0)/ 61.0 (12.8)	
		ligament, depending on the clinical choice for adnexectomy or not.		Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 55.4 (10.3)/72.3 (11.3)	
		The uterovesical fold was incised and		Pain	
		dissected and the uterine vessels clearly exposed		Endometrial resection (baseline/post-tx): 57.1 (19.2)/ 58.6 (17.0)	
		before bipolar excision at the level of the bifurcation		Hysterectomy (baseline/post-tx): 56.4	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
		between ascending and cervical branches. The uterus was then transversally cut by scissors or a unipolar flat electrode. A Vicryl (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ) 1 loop was applied at the time of uterine probe extraction and the uterus was removed by means of an automatic morcellator (ranging from 12- to 20-mm diameter). After the cavity was washed, hemostasis was achieved with bipolar forceps on the cervical stump.		(18.5)/60.1 (14.0)	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
G., Parkin, D. E., Garratt, A. M., Grant, A. M., A randomise d compariso n of medical and hysterosco pic managem ent in women consulting a gynaecolo gist for	Total randomised N= 187 (Medication=94, TCRE=93) At 4 month follow up N=186 (Medication= 93, TCRE=93) Characteristics Mean Age Medical: 41.4 (5.2) TCRE: 41.7 (5.2) Almost 80% in each group were employed with about 30% requiring time off work because of menstrual symptoms. Similar numbers had heavy menstrual flow for more than one year (78% and 84%, respectively) while 24/82 women (29%) in the medical arm and 22/85 (26%) in the surgical arm had haemoglobin levels of	Women are randomly allocated to either group on 1:1 basis. Women allocated surgery received an injection of the gonadotrophin releasing hormone analogue, goserelin 3.6 mg. Five weeks later they were admitted under the care of one of the three participating gynaecologists who performed hysteroscopic surgery. Transcervical resection of the endometrium was performed under general anaesthesia using rollerball coagulation to the fundus and cornua	Sample size calculation Based on expected satisfaction rates of approximately 80% at four to six months after transcervical resection of the endometrium, it was calculated that a minimum of 180 women would be required to have 80% power to detect an absolute difference of 20% at the 5% level of significance Randomisation and allocation concealment Women were randomly allocated to either 'transcervical resection' or 'medical treatment' by opening sealed, serially numbered, opaque envelopes; the order was determined by computer generated random numbers within balanced blocks of twenty. The actual choice of medical treatment, which should not have been used by the patient before as treatment for heavy menstrual loss was	See NMA.	Cochrane risk of bias tool Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low risk Allocation concealment: Low risk Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear risk blinding was not possible due to the nature of the interventions, however, not clear if it can introduce performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
6, 1997 Ref Id 590837 Country/ie s where	women had received no previous medical treatment, 56% one, and 22% two different treatments, from their general practitioner. 60% of women in both arms reported self treatment with analgesics perimenstrually. Overall, baseline anxiety scores were elevated (8.96 and 8.85) whereas depression scores were in the normal range (5.62 and 5.32)	For women receiving medical treatment, Progestogens were prescribed from day 12-25, or 5-25 if there was also dysmenorrhoea.	selected by the senior gynaecologist responsible for the clinic and continued for at least three cycles Blinding The treatment was revealed to the patient because of the different nature of treatments. Blinding of outcome assessor not reported Follow-up All women but one were assessed at follow up at an		assessment: High Riskisk Blinding of outcome assessors not reported and most probably not done Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data: Low risk Only 1 patient was loss to follow up after 4 months in whole trial.
out United Kingdom	Inclusion criteria	The combined oral contraceptive pill preparations recommended were	average of nineteen weeks following TCRE or starting medication		Intention to treat used. Reporting bias
Study type Randomis ed	1) if consulting a gynaecologist for the first time with a complaint of heavy menstrual loss	second generation containing 30 pg oestradiol. Tranexamic acid was prescribed at a	Outcome measure The main outcomes were Treatment satisfaction and acceptability, relief of symptoms, change in haemoglobin, and		Selective reporting: Low risk All outcomes reported
controlled trial Aim of the	2) their family was complete	dose of 1 g four times a day for the first five days of the period in women	improvement in health related quality of life, all after four months.		Other bias Other sources of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
study To compare medical with hysterosco pic managem ent in women referred to a gynaecolo gist complainin g of heavy menstrual loss Study dates October 1994 - September 1995	diagnosis of dysfunctional uterine bleeding (uterus less than ten weeks pregnancy size and normal endometrial pathology) and had not been referred specifically for surgery 4) They also had to be willing to be randomised to either medical or hysteroscopic management. Exclusion criteria Not reported	with regular periods, with mefenemic acid 500 mg three times a day added if there was associated dysmenorrhoea. Danazol was prescribed at a dose of 200 mg per day continuously for 90 days	Statistical analysis Analysis was by intention-to- treat. Independent and paired t tests were used for continuous variables (independent and related) with a normal distribution and the Mann- Whitney U test for ordinal or non parametric continuous variables. The x2 test was used for independent nominal data and McNemars test for paired data describing dichotomous variables. Secondary analyses were stratified according to the number of medical treatments used prior to gynaecological referral.		bias: - Other information Please see Marjoribanks 2016 Cochrane systematic review. Included in NMA, this publication only reported on outcomes relevant for the NMA.
Source of funding					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
This trial was undertake n as part of a research training fellowship awarded by the Scottish Office Departmen t Health.					
Hutton, J., Stirrat, G. M., Randomis ed	Sample size Please see Fergusson 2013 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
comparing endometri al resection with abdominal hysterecto my for the surgical treatment of menorrhag ia, British Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecolo gyBr J Obstet Gynaecol, 100, 237- 43, 1993					
Ref Id					
590838					
Country/ie s where the study					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Sculpher, M. J., Dwyer, N.,	Same trial as Dwyer 1993. Please see Fergusson 2013 Cochrane systematic review.				Other information
Byford, S., Stirrat, G.	Characteristics				
M., Randomis	Inclusion criteria				
ed trial comparing	Exclusion criteria				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
hysterecto					
my and					
transcervic					
al					
endometri					
al					
resection:					
effect on					
health related					
quality of					
life and					
costs two					
years after					
surgery,					
British					
Journal of					
Obstetrics					
& Currense a se la					
Gynaecolo gyBr J					
Obstet					
Gynaecol,					
103, 142-					
9, 1996					
Ref Id					
590841					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of funding					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
O'Connor,	Tandomised: N=202, n=68 hysterectomy; n=134 TCRE		Randomisation and allocation concealment	Outcome: Patient satisfaction with	Cochrane risk of bias tool
H., Broadbent, J. A., Magos, A. L.,	Received allocated treatment: n=57 hysterectomy; n=119 TCRE	hysterectomy or TCRE at the time of recruitment in the clinic, in most cases several	Individuals were assigned TCRE and hysterectomy in a ratio of two to one because little information was available about	treatment NMA outcome	Selection bias Random sequence generation: Low

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
McPherso n, K., Medical Research Council randomise d trial of endometri al resection versus hysterecto my in managem ent of menorrhag ia, Lancet, 349, 897- 901, 1997 Ref Id 594099	Followed-up at 3 months: n=56 hysterectomy; n=116 TCRE Followed-up at 1 year: n=46 hysterectomy; n=104 TCRE Followed-up at 2 years: n=38 hysterectomy; n=86 TCRE Followed-up at 3 years: n=28 hysterectomy; n=54 TCRE Characteristics Age in years, mean (SD) Hysterectomy: 39.4 (4.8) TCRE: 40.1 (4.7) Parous, n (%) Hysterectomy: 52 (92.9) TCRE: 113 (97.4)	surgery. Both types of surgery were done by staff proficient in TCRE or hysterectomy techniques. In the case of TCRE, operators were required to have at least 20 successful procedures; hysterectomy had to be done by or be supervised by an experienced surgeon. Individual clinicians were permitted to decide whether to use pharmacological agents to thin the	the hysteroscopic procedure and this protocol was felt to assist recruitment.A computer- generated random-number sequence was used, the code for which was kept at the Royal Free Hospital, London. When making appointments for surgery, the recruiting physician telephoned the coordinating centre and patients were given the next treatment on the randomisation schedule. Blinding Not feasible due to the nature of the interventions. Follow-up Patients were reviewed 3 months after surgery in the local outpatient clinic by the surgical team and then by a structured, multiple-choice-type postal questionnaire at 12, 24, and 36	Outcome: Uterine perforation Hysterectomy: N/A TCRE: 3/116 Outcome: Blood transfusion Hysterectomy: 4/56 TCRE: 1/116 Outcome: Length of hospital stay in days, mean (SD) Hysterectomy: 6.3 (1.9) TCRE: 1.3 (1.2) Outcome: Sepsis before discharge Hysterectomy: 2/56	risk Allocation concealment: Low risk Performance bias Blinding of participants and personnel: Unclear risk, blinding not feasible due to the nature of the interventions, however, unclear how that might affect performance bias. Detection bias Blinding of outcome assessment: High risk, blinding was not feasible due to the nature of the interventions, high risk of bias in the

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
UK Study type RCT Aim of the	Duration of symptoms in years, mean (SD) Hysterectomy: 7.3 (6.3) TCRE: 6.2 (5.8)	or rollerballing of the uterine fundus and tubal ostia, followed by resection of the remainder of the uterine cavity to the endocervical	The primary endpoints were patient satisfaction with the results of treatment and the avoidance of further gynaecological surgery.	TCRE: 0/116 Outcome: Sepsis after discharge (unclear how long after)	subjective outcomes (patient satisfaction). Attrition bias Incomplete outcome data: Low
study To test the hypothesis that the proportion of patients	Previous treatment, n (%) Hysterectomy: 49 (83.9) TCRE: 108 (93.1) Inclusion criteria	canal with a modified urological resectoscope. In some units, women were offered the option of TCRE	Patient satisfaction with the results of treatment was scored on a scale of 0–4 (0=very satisfied, 1=satisfied, 2=not sure, 3-dissatisfied, 4=very dissatisfied).	Hysterectomy: 16/56 TCRE: 9/116 Outcome: Unplanned additional surgery before	to high risk depending on the time of follow-up Low loss of follow- up for outcomes assessed soon after procedure but
dissatisfied and requiring further gynaecolo gical surgery within 3 years of endoscopi c managem	Women who had symptomatic menorrhagia that required hysterectomy and who fulfiled the entry criteria for the study were invited to participate. Eligible women were aged 30–50; had decided to have no more children; had regular menstrual cycles of between 21 and 35 days,	with local anaesthesia.20 Hysterectomy was done according to standard surgical techniques. The decision as to whether the patient was given abdominal or vaginal hysterectomy was made by the operating clinican	Secondary outcome measures included operative and postoperative complications, duration of hospital stay, time taken to return to normal activities and work, time to resume sexual intercourse, unrelated gynaecological and other symptoms, and use of primary- health-care services. Psychiatric and social assessments by the three questionnaires were repeated	discharge Hysterectomy: 3/56 TCRE: 0/116 Outcome: Cervical tear Hysterectomy: 0/56 TCRE: 2/116	after procedure but high loss to follow- up (50% or more) for outcome assessed at 3 years. Reporting bias Selective reporting: Low risk Other bias Other sources of bias: -

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
more than 15% greater than the proportion after hysterecto my. Study dates Not reported. Source of funding The study was funded by a project grant from the Medical Research Council, UK.	less than 50% of the cycle; and had documented evidence of	preference.	at the same times. Statistics Analysis was done by intention to treat. Sample size calculation 200 women were planned to recruit to the study based on the expectations that about 5% of patients undergoing hysterectomy would need further gynaecological surgery;16 20% of those undergoing TCRE would be dissatisfied and need further surgery; the probability of a type 1 statistical error (two-sided) was less than 0.05; the probability of a type 2 statistical error was less than 0.1;21 and the drop- out rate after randomisation would be 10%.		Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	syndrome or menopausal symptoms.				
	Sample size Please see Marjoribanks 2016 Cochrane systematic review. Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
menstrual loss: clinical and quality of life outcomes, BJOG, 108, 1222- 8, 2001					
Ref Id					
594100					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					
Source of					

Study details funding	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Characteristics Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations Other information
of the endometri um for heavy menstrual					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
loss: clinical and quality of life outcomes, Br J Obstet Gynaecol, 106, 258- 65, 1999					
Ref Id					
594101					
Country/ie s where the study was carried out					
Study type					
Aim of the study					
Study dates					

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding					

1

2