

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

#### NICE guidelines

#### Equality impact assessment

#### **[Venous thromboembolism: reducing the risk]**

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

#### **2.0 Scope: after consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the final scope)**

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during consultation, and, if so, what are they?

The guideline will cover pregnant women who are admitted to hospital and midwife units including up to 6 weeks after giving birth as this is a large area and high risk group.

2.2 Have any changes to the scope been made as a result of consultation to highlight potential equality issues?

Redefined the statement regarding the antithrombotic agent heparin being derived from pigs and cattle, and not just pigs as previously implied. This relates to both the scope and the EIA form.

In terms of pregnancy we have included specific review questions to ensure we are adequately covering this important area:

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

- 1.3 What is the accuracy of individual risk assessment or prediction tools in predicting the likelihood of VTE in pregnant women who are admitted to hospital or midwife units?
- 1.6 What is the accuracy of individual risk assessment or prediction tools in predicting the likelihood of major bleeding or the risk of bleeding in pregnant women who are admitted to hospital or midwife units?
- 1.9 How clinically and cost effective are risk tools at reducing the rates of VTE in pregnant women who are admitted to hospital or midwife units?
- 1.13 What are the individual risk factors for VTE in pregnant women who are admitted to hospital or midwife units?

2.3 Is the primary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disability-related communication need?

If so, is an alternative version of the 'Information for the Public' document recommended?

If so, which alternative version is recommended?

The alternative versions available are:

- large font or audio versions for a population with sight loss;
- British Sign Language videos for a population who are deaf from birth;
- 'Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive impairment.

No

Updated by Developer \_\_\_\_\_ Carlos Sharpin\_\_\_\_\_

Date\_\_\_\_\_ 4 February 2016\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead Sarah Willett

Date 14 March 2016

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA