#### **Appendix B**

#### **Findings and Critical Appraisal Tables**

Review question 1 and 2. Identifying, assessing and reviewing care and support needs

- a) What are the views and experiences of older people with learning disabilities and their carers about how health, social care and housing needs are identified, assessed and reviewed?
- b) What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about how the health and social care needs of older people with learning disabilities and their carers are identified, assessed and reviewed?

Review question 1 and 2 – Findings tables – views and experiences data answering both RQ1 and RQ2

1. Bigby C, Bowers B, Webber R (2011) Planning and decision making about the future care of older group home residents and transition to residential aged care. Journal of intellectual Disability Research 55: 77–89

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
'This study examined perceptions held by family members, group home staff and organisational managers about the future of older residents and the decisions made	Service users and their families, partners and carers Professionals/practitioners.  Sample size: Total 17 'clusters' of participants, carers and staff	forefront over overseeing care and support: 'My attempt is to every couple of weeks to get out there and see him simply to keep a tap on exactly how he is because I tend to feel	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++ Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
care was necessary' (p777).  Methodology: Qualitative study  Country: Not UK Australia  Source of funding: Not reported	from the home. One cluster had no family in it. 120 interviews with 59 individuals.  Follow-up: Two interviews across the 12	management of care, this did not extent to 'hands on' care but more of a guardianship role.  The future: Many families had hoped that their relative would be cared for in the group home for their whole lives. Some had invested in their care in the hope they would provide long-term care. Two families described their disappointment when they found that staff could not accommodate escalating needs: 'Well, the reason we'd started the farm was that as the people aged they would be there for life' (p782).  Some families had not considered the possibility that their relative would need to move to an old people's home or a care facility. 'they said we'll keep them all their life and there was an old people's home around further and I thought well that's what they'll do, they'll all go on to the next stage'. (p782). For some, the interview process prompted families to consider future plans. 'I haven't thought about it. There's been no plan, no thinking, and no discussion at all And somehow in my mind I thought there was some magic place within Bethel they moved them on, but I'm realising that there isn't and he will become part of the aged care federal government system which is absolutely terrifying' (p782).	

'I fully expect that one day he will be in a nursing home because the staff won't be able to manage 5 elderly men who will all have some form of dementia' (p782). Families described the realisation that their relative's current accommodation would no longer be able to meet their needs. It was felt that their health would be jeopardised if they stayed in their current setting.

**Staff perspectives:** Staff acknowledged that resident would need to move on once their needs 'crossed a line'.

Views varied about how health needs would escalate to reach this point.

Staff in general said that residents would move on when it was felt that their needs would be better met elsewhere. When their condition changed and the staff were not equipped to provide care. 'The person has actually been assessed as needing nursing home care, we can't provide that care ...' (p782).

Staff suggested that families were resistant to the idea that the current group home may not be suitable for their relative forever.

The findings show that the staff 'drew a line' when they felt that they could no longer fulfil 'duty of care' (p783). This decision was not objective and highly variable. This depended on the home and the staff group.

Factors like 'medical procedures, mobility issues and personal care tasks' (p783). 'Unless it becomes really a proper nursing thing that they need injections or they need

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		really complex dressing and things like that, wound care and things like that because we just don't have that care. But if it's short-term that's not a problem, we're talking about long term' (p783).	
		More senior members of staff were aware of how their organisation needed to respond to the problem of older people with learning difficulties ageing and needing more care.	
		Senior staff could do little, beyond training staff, to make the environment more suitable for advancing needs. During the 18-month study, 17 residents made the decision to move. Six moved and the rest did not because there was a delay or the decision was overturned.	
		Each decision is different	
		Common to decision: how significant the change in care and support needs, impact of changes on staff and other residents, and how flexible the home could be to these needs.	
		An acute episode or stressful situations happening in the house were often a trigger for the move.	
		Some moves were made in crisis and others were done overtime with family input. 'So, he, [HM] told me to more or less start to look for alternative accommodation because	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		they couldn't manage in the house, you know?' (p784); ' one day one woman rang me up, not from the house, to say you'll have to find a home for Walter, you'll have to put him in an aged care, we can't keep him any longer And I got such a shock' (p784).	
		Sudden moves left people feeling bewildered and some resisted the proposed move. 'After a while I got cross, and I rang them and I said: "I think you are trying to push him out. Well, I don't like any of the places that the broker has sent us to, and other places, and I don't, and he's lived there for 35 years This is, it's his home, you know, it's like his family too up there' (p785).	
		Other residents had a two-year planning process around the move due to a steady decline over time. 'Well, in this particular house, it was mooted that maybe we might move, especially Tony who was becoming wheelchair-bound to another newer place that has great wide corridors and all the rest of it but we talked with family and that and we felt that that may have been more stressful for him. That we knew that the move to a nursing home was going to be inevitable and another move in between would probably be too much' (p785).	

# 2. Bigby C, Webber R, Bowers B (2015) Sibling roles in the lives of older group home residents with intellectual disability: working with staff to safeguard wellbeing. Australian Social Work 68: 453–68

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
exploration of the roles siblings play in the lives of older people with intellectual disability who live in group homes, and relationships	families, partners and carers, service user's siblings.  Sample size: Professionals working around 13 individuals.  Outcomes measured Family or caregiver related outcomes: Views on the involvement of siblings in the care of service user. Satisfaction with services: Discussion on the role of siblings in the organisation of care.  Follow-up: No follow-up.  Costs:	Experiences data:  The findings in the paper that relate to this question are the ones that include themes around monitoring needs and coordinating formal care.  Siblings reported having a variety of levels of involvement. From 'keeping an eye on things' (p458) to monitoring the care provision 'I want to pre-empt anything that might go wrong' (p458).  Siblings commented that their involvement was often informal. Some said that they were only informed when there was considered to be a major change or decision. Others were invited to help coordinate care.  'They IstaffI did initiate finding the specialist, talking to people	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		siblings as emotional support relating to their care, by going to medical appointments and discussion implications.	
		'A sister explained that she debriefed with her sibling after medical appointments, saying "I always take her [sister] for something, sort of a treat and definitely a cuppa and give her a chance to bring up anything that might be worrying her' (p459). Some siblings expressed uncertainty about their role in decision-making around care, and who the medical professional or care staff would go to for guidance.	
		Communication with group home staff is a theme of the analysis. Siblings described how they appreciated an 'easy partnership' (p460) with staff. 'Siblings talked about being in a team with staff, with one saying, "I feel that we are a good team" (13F2), and another that it has been "very comfortable, the communication's good the staff and I are involved from the word go" (p460).	
		Siblings described how to maintain good communication with staff through announcing their visits, or sometimes not voicing every concern for fear of alienating the professionals. Group home staff also commented on the importance of involving siblings and gaining their respect. 'Managerial staff adopted proactive strategies to bring siblings around to their point of view one manager described this approach, saying "we start to have the conversation [with the sibling] to assist and facilitate the conversation to get to the point where it needs to be" (p461).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
		Disputes between staff and siblings around care were resolved through good communication. But there is discussion of disputes around care not being resolved. 'I was never actually informed about this [decision for brother to go on holiday] until it was too late and so I hadn't been consulted or advised of anything, just came like a bolt out of the blue' (p461).	

# 3. Bowers B, Webber R, Bigby C (2014) Health issues of older people with intellectual disability in group homes. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability 39: 261–9

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
'This paper explores how group home staff interprets and respond to symptoms of illness in older group home	testa a stance at	experiences data:	Overall

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Country: Not UK, Australia, Source of funding: Government, Australian Research Council.	Costs: Not reported.	Staff worked with GPs to make appointments accommodate the needs of service users. This included elongating appointment times, establishing consistency in providers, have appointments at home, and taking care not to mention anything that might be alarming. Some staff described bad experiences with GPs ' she's got Barrett's disease And they took her to the local GP, to get a referral for the follow-up, and he said: "Well, yes it doesn't really matter that the follow-up hasn't happened, because, after all, she's not normal" And then they changed doctors, after that' (p264).  Staff were not medically trained to they relied on the expertise of GPs and others to make diagnosis and follow-up issues. Some staff were not sure whose responsibility it was to follow up tests. 'Yeah but ultimately, whose responsibility is all that. Do I have to push it? Should someone else?' (p264).  There was variation among staff about responding to screen requests for issues like breast cancer or cervical cancer. 'We had a gentleman with Down syndrome and he was terrified of doctors and we couldn't get an injection and we couldn't do a blood test. We couldn't do anything. So, what we did instead of taking him to the doctor we brought the doctor here' (p264). Some respondents said that residents would not tolerate such tests, which other had developed	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		strategies to encourage residents to encourage services users to have the tests like prostate examinations. Some staff did not think that such tests were appropriate. 'We get a lot of feedback from doctors, especially about female's pap smears. Well they're not sexually active so they don't need a pap smear' (p264). Some staff were unwilling to collect urinary of faecal samples, and there was a lack of awareness about the importance of faecal occult blood tests for people in residential settings. But some staff tried to follow up on screening requests. Not many group home staff said that they had systems in place for follow up or monitoring heath concerns. There was a lack of policy for this kind of activity. Some group homes left follow up to the individual or family members and this meant that issues could be overlooked. Staff described incidents where residents might be exhibiting symptoms for some time before they were dealt with. Sometimes changes were tought to be related to aging and so were not addressed quickly. Some group home staff commented that there were delays in seeking care.	
		<ul> <li>Delays were caused by:</li> <li>Symptoms being attributed to aging: 'he's wanted to sleep a lot longer. I think it's just age and you know walking it takes a lot of energy and I think it's just ageing more than anything else' (p266).</li> <li>Residents were perceived as 'difficult'. 'He's up at the toilet I believe that it's a boredom thing, not so much</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		boredom because he does a lot of things' (p266).  • Issues attributed to dementia. In the case of challenging or unusual behaviour staff sometimes assumed that disruptive behaviour was the onset of dementia. One resident was described as getting up in the night often and disturbing other residents. The problem was later found to be a serious prostate issue. The knowledge that dementia is prevalent among people with learning difficulties led to staff assuming dementia rather than seeking medical advice.	
		Communication Staff felt that the difficulty in identifying health issues was often down to the older person's communication difficulties: communicate: I don't know, Trevor wouldn't really tell you even if something was sore or stiff anyway' (p266).	
		Training Staff often has no formal training for their roles, and not usually any medical experience. Staff felt that the difficulty in identifying health issues was often down to the older person's communication difficulties.	
		A manager commented that staff would benefit from more training: 'I'd love to have more training in dementia for them [staff] as well because people are very quick to put labels on other people, you know, you've really got to know a little bit more about what is dementia' (p266).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Relation to other conditions	
		Another reason for delays was that symptoms were attributed to existing conditions, and other possibilities were not explored.	
		Independence and privacy Some residents were independent in their personal care and this meant that staff were not aware of problems that may not be clear when they were clothed. Staff were concerned about preserving privacy and did not see problems.	

## 4. Carling-Jenkins R, Torr J, lacono T et al. (2012) Experiences of supporting people with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease in aged care and family environments. Journal of intellectual and developmental disability 37: 54–60

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
The aim of this paper was to report on the experiences of families and	Service users and their families, partners and carers Families, carers (not OPLD themselves)	experiences data: The dementia diagnosis Families weren't aware that their relative had the potential to develop Alzheimer's as a result of their Down syndrome -	Overall assessment of internal validity: + Overall assessment of

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
supporting people with Down's syndrome and Alzheimer's disease who had lived most or all of their lives with family.  Methodology: Qualitative study. Interviews plus audit of health records.  Country: Not UK, Australia.  Source of funding: Voluntary/charity, Alzheimer's Australia.	Sample size: Three individuals made up the 'case studies'. For each person up to 4 informants in both paid and unpaid care were interviewed.  Costs Not reported.	for all 3 people were initially sought after changes in their behaviour. For example, Maria who used to be the life and soul of the party became increasingly withdrawn.  Overshadowing This happened in all 3 cases. Services and families continued to attribute behaviour changes to the Down's syndrome rather than contemplating that it may be caused by the early stages of Alzheimer's. The result among families was denial and doubt about the diagnosis and among practitioners it led to the provision of poor or inappropriate support and a lot of mismanagement. This was only addressed when crisis point was reached, either in the family or in the aged care facility. For Jan, the result of the diagnostic overshadowing was that she was placed in the aged care facility without a comprehensive handover from the hospital regarding her dementia diagnosis.  Consequently, she was perceived as misbehaving and the other residents abused her. As a result, her parents became distressed. For Maria, who lived at home, her mother distrusted the dementia diagnosis. Maria's sister tried to obtain support for her from aged care services but was refused due to age and disability. The family finally reached crisis, unable to access appropriate supports. The authors observed that 'problem behaviours' were attributed to the person's DS, which distracted families and practitioners from considering the potential for Alzheimer's disease.  Overshadowing also masked people's increasing care needs	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
		associated with the progressive degenerative nature of Alzheimer's. They suggest that aged care workers are less likely to have experience of LD so overshadowing is likely when adults receive care in those facilities. Overall, there was a lack of knowledge about Alzheimer's in people with DS and a lack of expertise in identifying and addressing their care and support needs.	

## Review question 1 and 2 – Critical appraisal tables – views and experiences data answering both RQ1 and RQ2

1. Bigby C, Bowers B, Webber R (2011) Planning and decision making about the future care of older group home residents and transition to residential aged care. Journal of intellectual Disability Research 55: 77–89

approach and sample	_	External validity	Overall validity rating
'This study examined perceptions held by family members, group home staff and	collection carried out? Appropriately. Methods are described in detail	Yes. Collecting views on the decisions around an older person move from group homes to care home facilities. Staff and family carer views.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++ Overall assessment of external validity:

approach and sample		l	Overall validity rating
future of older residents and the decisions made that a move to residential aged care was necessary' (p777).  Methodology: Qualitative study.  Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate.  Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear. Study 'examines the interface in the case of group homes' residents between the plans made by parents for post parental care and service system responses to their age	Is the context clearly described? Clear. Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Appropriate. Sampling was purposive and carried out in 13 group homes. Were the methods reliable? Reliable. Interviews were carried out with several people 'clustered' around each resident. The first round of interviews was in depth and follow-ups were briefer and captured changes to the resident's needs.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes.  Were service users involved in the study? No. Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. The views describe how a person's accommodation needs correlate with their health and social care needs. Interviews gathering staff and family carer's views and experiences.	++
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology?	Rich. Data well- presented and themes clearly described. Lots of	Does the study have a UK perspective?  No. Australia.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	_	Overall validity rating
from a larger study on	original data to illustrate points.  Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Analysis used 'grounded dimensional analysis'. Interviews were recorded and transcribed and analysis was carried out by 3 members of research team.	
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Reporting is clear. Interview data is presented to illustrate points.	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. The study does not discuss its limitations but it does place this research within the context of other research	

approach and sample	1	Overall validity rating
	and justifies its place in exploring the difficulties in responding to escalating needs.	

# 2. Bigby C, Webber R, Bowers B (2015) Sibling roles in the lives of older group home residents with intellectual disability: working with staff to safeguard wellbeing. Australian Social Work 68: 453–68

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
'This study was an exploration of the roles siblings play in the lives of older people with intellectual disability who live in group homes, and relationships between residents' siblings and	carried out? Somewhat appropriately. Details of the interviews are scant, we are given no example questions or discussion guides. They were 60 to 90 minutes and followed up every 6 months for 3 years via telephone.	match the review question? • Partly The review questions are very broadly	Overall assessment of internal validity:  + Overall assessment of external validity: +
Methodology Qualitative study.  Is a qualitative approach	interviews were carried out in a variety of group homes.  Was the sampling carried out in an	any ethical concerns? Yes. Ethical approval has been gained. Were service users involved in the study? No. Service users were not expressly	
	• • • •	interviewed.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Appropriate given the aims of the study.  Is the study clear in	is no discussion of the effect of	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.	
what it seeks to do? Clear. Study lays out aims with clarity. How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible.	Somewhat reliable. We are not given a great deal of detail about how the data was collected, collated and analysed. But there is detail about how data was coded.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
to elicit the social constructions of participants, so a qualitative approach using semi-structured interviews in appropriate. But the analysis methods and sampling are not well	Reliable. Results are described thematically and in depth.  Are the findings convincing? Convincing. The study uses a lot of verbatim quotes and reporting is clear.  Are the conclusions adequate?  Adequate.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Australian study.	

#### 3. Bowers B, Webber R, Bigby C (2014) Health issues of older people with intellectual disability in group homes. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability 39: 261–9

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
This paper explores how group home staff interpret and respond to symptoms of illness in older group home residents' (p262).  Methodology:	not given much information about how data was recorded or stored. Just that it was recorded and	match the review question? Yes. The aims of this study fit well with the research question. The views and experiences of practitioners relate to how health needs are assessed in a group home setting.	Overall assessment of internal validity:  + Overall assessment of external validity: ++
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate for gaining views. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear. The aims are very	transcribed.  Is the context clearly described?  Unclear. We are given very little data about the participants, where they worked, or who they supported. We only get general information about the group home where they worked. We are told that they did not have formal training in care, but no other details are given.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. Ethics committee approved. Were service users involved in the study? No. Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.	
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible. Methods are relatively well	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Not sure. It is difficult to say because we do not know how many staff are employed overall, who was approached for interview and who	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
over the phone and in person. We do not gain any detail of the participants, in terms of age, gender, and ethnicity.	declined. We are also not told if anyone dropped out or left employment before follow-up. Some staff were interviewed twice, some 6 times. We are not given detail of who was interviewed how many times. Total 83 interviews conducted.  Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. Information about the methods has some gaps. Particularly around sample and data collection. We are also given little information about analysis. The number of interviews has the potential to elicit rich views data.  Are the data 'rich'?  Mixed. Much of it is descriptive, but some verbatim quotes are given.	guideline? Yes. Group home setting.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Identification and assessment of health and care needs.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Practitioner views on the identification and assessment of health needs.  Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Australia.	
	Is the analysis reliable? Somewhat reliable. Data was thematically analysed and grounded theory was used to interpret results.		
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Findings are presented thematically and original data is included. Original data is coded to interviewee.		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. The themes seem plausible and this study does tell us something valuable about the experiences of staff in group homes working with older people with learning difficulties. The issues presented give us a sense of the kind of barriers that exist for staff referring older people for medical assessment and how they interpret changes in behaviour. The study does not engage well with its limitations.		

## 4. Carling-Jenkins R, Torr J, Iacono T et al. (2012) Experiences of supporting people with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease in aged care and family environments. Journal of intellectual and developmental disability 37: 54–60

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
The aim of this paper was to report on the experiences of families and other carers in seeking a diagnosis and	carried out? Appropriately. The data collection methods are clearly described and include a range of data sources. The appropriate data were collected to	match the review question? Partly. Although it does not provide an enormous of data on the subject the	Overall assessment of internal validity:  + Overall assessment of external validity: +

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
had lived most or all of	data collection and record-keeping seem to be systematic.	investigate views and experiences of assessment.	
appropriate?	Is the context clearly described? Unclear. We know that the 3 individuals ('participants') at the centre of the case studies have DS and Alzheimer's disease and that they have lived with their parents for most of their lives. We know very little about the interviewees apart from their relationship with the participants.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. Ethical approval for this research was obtained through both Monash University, Australia, and La Trobe University, Australia. Were service users involved in the study? No. Neither as participants nor as co-	
illuminate subjective	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way?	· · ·	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?	Somewhat appropriate. The 3 participants were recruited from a larger study and we do not know how	views/experiences of carers and practitioners.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline	
is the research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible. The 3 'participants' were recruited from a larger	those participants were recruited. The interviewees were recruited because of their relationship (paid or unpaid carer) with the participant. There is no explanation for why those specific respondents were chosen as opposed to others.	topic? Yes. Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.	
study and the only rationale provided for them to participate in this study was that they had lived with their families for most of their lives (the	Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. Data were collected via more than one method, which in theory facilitates triangulation. However, the only	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
had all lived in institutions since they were young). Qualitative data analysis is briefly but clearly justified.	the interviews rather than the guided medical file audits so it is not clear how the file audits contribute to answering the research question.  Are the data 'rich'?  Mixed. The contexts of the data are described fairly well but it is not always clear which respondent has made a particular point. Responses	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Does the study have a UK perspective?	
	are compared and contrasted across cases, with themes identified.  Is the analysis reliable?  Somewhat reliable. It is unclear whether more than 1 researcher themed and coded transcripts/data.  There's no evidence that participants fed back on the transcripts although the accuracy of the timeline and factual details gathered via the medical file audits was checked with families and practitioners.  Are the findings convincing?  Convincing. Findings are fairly clearly presented and they answer the research question. They're well supported with original data.	No. Australia.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and External validity analysis	Overall validity rating
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. Conclusions are plausible in light of the reported findings however due to the small sample size the generalisability is limited. There is only a brief mention by the authors of this study limitation. Practice implications are discussed briefly.	

#### Review question 1 only – findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Bowey L, McGlaughlin A (2005) Adults with a learning disability living with elderly carers talk about planning for the future: aspirations and concerns. The British Journal of Social Work 35: 1377–92

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity Rating
Study aim: To identify current and future housing and support needs of adults with a learning disability living with carers aged over 70. This paper	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers. Sample size: Total 41 adults with learning	Narrative findings – qualitative and views and experiences data:	Overall assessment of internal validity: + Overall assessment of external validity:

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity Rating
presents only the views of adults with a learning disability (views of carers presented in a separate paper).  Methodology: Qualitative study.	disabilities; 62 family carers over the age of 70. <b>Costs?</b> No.	Mutual support Total 34 (83%) said that they helped out at home. Participants valued their mutually supportive relationships involving both practical tasks and emotional support and saw it as part of every day of family life. 'I love helping my mum, with washing up, with cleaning, I do lots' (p1383).	+
Country: UK.		'My mummy looks after me, and I look after her' (p1383).	
Source of funding: Not reported.		Others were carrying out more intensive tasks that they had to take on when their carers started to need more support, blurring the distinction between clear roles of 'carer' and 'cared for': 'She relies on me to help her out, cause she can't do things like she used to' (p1383). 'It's difficult thinking of living with someone else if my Mum's here. If she falls down, I want to be there to lift her up' (p1383).	
		Mutual support impacted on the participants' willingness to plan for the future, as they were concerned about how their carer would cope if they lived elsewhere. The needs of both parties need to be considered in tandem if successful future planning is to be achieved.	
		Desire to move Total 11 (27%) participants expressed a desire to	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity Rating
outcomes)		live elsewhere when asked whether they would prefer to remain living where they live now or somewhere else – 30 (73%) said that they did not want to move to alternative housing, despite there being a likely need for some kind of alternative housing provision or support in the future once their cares die or become unable to care.	
		Concerns about carers  A large number of participants expressed concern about the ill health or death of their carers – this was especially pronounced for those who had a lone carer. Participants were conscious of their carers' ill health and had often undertaken responsibility for helping the carer in an emergency.  'When my mum is poorly, there's a button on the telephone, I press it, tell them my mum is poorly and they come in an ambulance. I'm so worried about her, what's gonna happen' (p1384).	
		This issue is particularly pertinent to those living with lone carers as it is more likely that they will have already experienced the loss of 1 parent or carer. However, those with dual carers also raised the issue, emphasising that many participants were well aware of the inevitability of the death of those close to them and the potential crisis approaching in the future.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity Rating
		Planning for the future When asked whether they had previously been given the chance to discuss planning for the future, 28 said they had been involved in such discussions and 13 (32%) said they had not. The majority of those that had discussed future plans had not made concrete plans. However, they were able to give quite indepth answers about what was important to them in terms of their future housing.	
		The availability of appropriate support was viewed as high on the list of priorities for where they might live in the future, as was remaining within their local area, where they could stay close to friends and family and a neighbourhood they were familiar with. 'I've talked to Mum and Dad. I'd probably want to live on my own with someone coming in to help me, but I don't want to until I have to' (p1387).	
		Opportunities for independence were viewed as extremely valuable; they wanted the chance to do more for themselves and to learn new skills. Others expressed that they would need some support and reassurance in becoming more independent because they lacked the confidence to try new things by themselves.	

Total 30 (73%) were aware that their carers would not always be available and that they would need to consider alternatives to their present housing and support. The 30 people who were aware of the need to plan for the future were asked about where they might like to live when this time came.

Future housing preferences of service users interviewed (n=41).

Future housing preference	No.	%
Shared house	10	24
Self-contained accommodation	า	
within a shared building		

	1	17
With another relative		
(i.e. sibling)	6	15
Alone	2	5
With a partner	1	2

#### Support within the family home after parental death

Unsure 2 5
Unaware of the future need 11 27
Total 41 100

While participants were able to give some idea of their preferred future housing, they found it difficult to talk about specifics, especially the number of people that they would want to share with. Although participants were aware of a potential need for a change in housing or support in the future they were reluctant to discuss plans with family and

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity Rating
		professionals. It was a very difficult subject as they had generally spent their entire lives living with their families. 'It's difficult to talk about it and to think about it. We haven't got around to talking about it yet, it's too difficult' (p1386). The prospect of moving was daunting for many participants. They often stated that they would prefer to remain at home for as long as possible but that they would move when it became necessary. 'I'll stay with my Mum; she doesn't want to part with me. But I understand that if she can't cope I'll have to go somewhere, like if she's too ill and can't cope, if 'owt happens to her' (p1386).	
		It was difficult to consider future planning when participants felt that they had somewhere suitable to live with their families: 'I've wouldn't mind living somewhere different for a while. I wouldn't mind living on my own. I could do my own cooking and thing. It's difficult really. I think maybe I would like to stay with Mum and Dad for now actually. But maybe I could move one day' (p1387).	
		Those who had already discussed and planned their moves spoke confidently about the future and valued that their carers had been involved in the plan. 'I've talked to my social worker about it [future plans]. We've been talking about it in a review, my Mum brought it up. She's all for it because she's 72 so in case she goes. I'm all for it. I mentioned t before, we	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity Rating
		discussed it before but it didn't happen then. So we talked about it in the review so they put my name down on a list for housing and then they found a bungalow. I'm going to be living on my own with some support' (p1388).	
		A plan for the future was reassuring for participants and they had begun to look forward to the prospective move. It'll make me more confident, more sure. Ooh, I think it'll be good, getting more independent. I'm looking forward to it' (p1388). Proactive planning gave service users greater control over decisions about how and where they live, which made them feel empowered. This is in stark contrast to when housing is considered in a response to a crisis situation. Concerns about moving in relation to plans about moving participants raised a number of concerns. They were worried about leaving carers, especially carers for whom they have provided some degree of support. Others were unsure how they would cope in another environment, and availability of appropriate support was again brought up as an issue. 'I would be worried, yes, because I've never done it before, that's what it is. It's a big step but I'd like to give it a go. I'd like a carer someone to come and visit' (p1389).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity Rating
		Some adults with learning disabilities needed significant reassurance that support will be available for them. They were very anxious about living alone: 'We talked about it [future plans]. I didn't like the idea at all, not of living on my own, I'd burn everything. I might have an accident on my own. I'd be frightened, I might have an accident' (p1389).	
	Others were fearful of living in shared accommodation as they were unsure how they would get on with other people, and were worried that people would take their belongings and 'torment' them. There were also concerns about self-contained accommodation, highlighting the need for people with learning disabilities to have access to information on existing options, which would help them think through the advantages and disadvantages of each.		

# 2. Coyle CE, Kramer J, Mutchler JE (2014) Aging together: sibling carers of adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 11: 302–12

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The purpose of this study	raiticipants.	ovnorionece data	Overall assessment of

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
was to explore the transition of care to sibling carers of people with disabilities after their parents are no longer providing primary support to the individual with a disability' (p304).	families, partners and carers – sibling carer.  Sample size: Total 15 in-depth semi-structured interviews.	related to sibling carers. The first relates to the impact of aging on the caring role. The second was the importance of planning on the sibling carer role and third	Overall
	Follow-up: No follow-up.	Ageing	+
Methodology: Qualitative study. Semistructured interviews. Country: Not UK, USA.	Costs: • Not reported.	Dementia and Alzheimer's was a common issue in older people with learning difficulties. Sibling carers found it difficult to achieve a diagnosis of dementia or Alzheimer's. 'I was asking her about what she did yesterday afternoon and she didn't remember at all day to day you sort of notice certain things' (p305).	
Source of funding: Not reported.		Carers found that as the symptoms of dementia worsened, they had to care more and more for their sibling. Older people with learning difficulties became less mobile, exhibited unpredictable behaviour, and lost communication ability.	
		'That's why I left my job. My school day was interrupted with phone calls about medical issues. I was going every two weeks probably and would stay for three, or four or five days We were then faced with all of the kinds of challenges that families face with AD. [Alzheimer's] became the primary, pressing issue' (p306).	
		Ageing resulted in a need to change accommodation.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Planning Sibling carers had to plan for their sibling's future care and changing condition. 'I'm sure he'll live for a long time [I'm] worrying about making sure that I'm alive too. I'm beginning to think about what we should plan for him, you know, in 10–15 years down the line – where he should live. Should I work on a retirement home?' (p307).	
		Taking over caring activities from parents could be sudden and unplanned. Siblings said that they needed to plan for a situation where they may not be around either. This was felt to be a key component of the sibling carer role.	
		Support systems Siblings struggled to gain adequate support. Support for older people with learning difficulties changes as their family's age. Other siblings had some role in caring, but the level of their involvement varied. Help within the family tended to decrease over time: 'I have one sibling who [provides direct care] every Sunday and gives Jane her lunch bathes dresses her and hangs around with her but that's planned and scheduled. [The support I provide] is like if Jane is up in the middle of the night, I am up in the middle of night and I have trouble going to the work the next day. It affects my life tremendously as	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		far as work is concerned' (p309).  Sibling carers found that it was difficult to secure care from formal providers. This was often in response to changing needs. Sibling carers are well placed to notice changes in their sibling's condition, but there was a lack of formal support: 'The group home that he went to was not prepared for [someone with] Alzheimer's disease The transition wasn't smooth Thing that we agreed would happen just didn't happen' (p309).	
		Sibling carers needed support for disability and ageing and often service that they had used for some time were no longer relevant which led to stressful transitions to new services. Sibling found it challenging to coordinate care.	

# 3. Dillenburger K, McKerr L (2011) 'How long are we able to go on?' Issues faced by older family caregivers of adults with disabilities. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 39: 29–38

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To explore the issues related to caring and future planning for	r ai licipalits.	Narrative findings – qualitative and views and experiences data	Overall assessment of internal validity:

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
adult sons/daughters with intellectual and/or	Sample size: Total 29 caregivers of 27 radult dependents, 17 caregivers took part in interviews by themselves and 12 took part in interviews as a couple.	The arrest weather the least weather and because the war allower fourthear	Overall assessment of external validity: +
		Some parents even expressed that their son's/daughter's own death was preferable to being taken into care. 'I really don't want him in a home, so I just hope that God will take him before he takes us, but that's not always that way, so you have to think of these things' (p39).	
	Participants spoke of anxiety that thinking about what will happen if they die causes their son or daughter distress – this panic that their children had voiced themselves		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		prevented parents from discussing future planning with them. The majority of participants (66%; n=19) had not discussed future provision with social services. 'I don't see them or know anything about them. I know they are there but someone said it's pretty hard to get your social worker, so I haven't bothered' (p39).	
		Most participants (72%; n=21) had not considered making financial arrangements for the future, despite knowing that they 'should be thinking about it' (p39). The importance of future planning was understood by the majority of participants but there was still reluctance to have to 'face up to it' and as a result many participants never acted on it.	

### 4. Hole RD, Stainton T, Wilson L (2013) Ageing adults with intellectual disabilities: self-advocates' and family members' perspectives about the future. Australian Social Work 66: 571–89

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
The authors aimed to	Service users and their	experiences data	Overall assessment of internal validity:
understanding of the needs, hopes and	11 ageing adults with intellectual disabilities and 11	When asked about retirement the majority said they wanted to continue with activities they currently enjoy. Not everyone wanted to stop working. Some wanted to	++

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
of ageing adults with intellectual disabilities and family members of adults with intellectual disabilities.  Methodology: Qualitative study.	Sample size: Total 22 (11 self-advocates and 11 family members). Costs: Not reported.		Overall assessment of external validity: +
Country:		Future concerns	
Not UK, British Colombia, Canada.		These included concerns relating to relationships and security, particularly about ageing parents, living arrangements and loneliness. Concerns about ageing	
Source of funding: Government: British Columbia Ministry of Children		parents reflected self-advocates' unease about their own future as well as worries for their parents' wellbeing. For example, 'if my dad dies, what will the future be for me?' (participant #10) (p576).	
and Family Development and Community Living		Future living arrangements were a big concern, particularly the prospect of having no choice about where they could live. People already felt lonely and were concerned that old age would exacerbate this, because they'd no longer be able to get out. Note: despite expressing concerns for the future only 1 of the 11 were engaged in any kind of future planning. The 1, who was planning, was making lists of her preferences just in case there came a time when she could no longer express herself.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Family members' view  They were very concerned about the future, which felt uncertain. Most talked about the importance of planning for later life but only 3 had done anything about this.  Those who had planned said having a support worker or champion was very important. It was often a crisis – e.g. 1 of the parents becoming ill – that highlighted the need for future planning. Those who hadn't done any future planning said it was because they felt burnt out from years of advocacy or that felt disconnected from services and support.  Views are summarised under the theme "Proactive planning for the future" – planning that's pre-emptive rather than responding to a crisis was said to be crucial.	
		People wanted plans in place to protect their family members' financial security, accommodation (stability being very important), legal issues and choice and self-determination.	
		Accommodation Some described how they had moved the adult son/daughter out of the family home so they wouldn't have to be uprooted after their parents died. It also meant they could get used to living without their parents before the crisis occurred.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Legal issues and financial security – attending to legal issues included making sure the adult with learning difficulties had an advocate and had their legal rights protected. 'Microboards' were also mentioned as a means of future planning (they're made up of family and close friends who form a non-profit society to address the person's support needs). Ensuring financial security was seen as very important. Microboards can also manage the person's benefits and inheritance.	
		Self-determination It was important for choice and self-determination to remain a feature of ageing adults' lives. When ageing adults were able to exert independence and choice in the face of age-related changes or crises, they were better able to live the lives they desired.	
		Two things impacted the planning process: social networks (informal) and system structures (formal).	
		Informal Family members pointed out that changing social networks as everyone gets older (and people die or move away) impact planning for the future. Family relationships and networks were obviously complicated. Some participants anticipated that siblings would assume the caretaker role when parents passed away while others said they didn't want the siblings to be	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		'burdened'. For example, 'They have an excellent relationship and I think that's really important too I don't now want that [responsibility] falling on her, I really don't. I don't think that's fair' (participant #13) (p581).	
		Formal This included, funding, lack of formal supports and frustrations with systemic issues. People worried about whether (in light of cuts to public services) there'd be any funding in future (after they'd died). Waiting lists for services, staffing (and continuity of staff) and the availability of respite during crises were also major concerns. Family members also discussed frustrations with having to deal with multiple systems, lack of accessible information about support and services, and concerns about future services. 'If you were to just even phone the local office, just the first contact you make there is a challenge for any of us to wade through the voicemail options that are given on the phone their forms, none of them are worded appropriately too. For people with limited understanding or comprehension, all those things, it's just very poor and it's a complicated Ministryfor anybody to deal with' (participant #12)' (p582).	

# 5. Innes A, McCabe L, Watchman K (2012) Caring for older people with an intellectual disability: a systematic review. Maturitas 72: 286–95

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
To critically evaluate available research literature on ageing among people with an intellectual disability.  Methodology: Systematic review.	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers. Professionals/practitioners.  Sample size: Total 42 papers were included.  Costs: Not reported.	experiences data  Note that only very few findings are relevant to review questions 1 and 2.  The 42 papers were categorised as studies with a	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
		were attributed to 'old age' but in generic ageing services (e.g. older people's homes), they were thought to be due to the person's ID. The authors observe that this means the person may not receive appropriate care and treatment.	

### 6. Towers C (2013) Thinking ahead: improving support for people with learning disabilities and their families to plan for the future. London: Foundation for People with Learning Disabilities

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
with learning disabilities and their families to plan for the future.  Methodology: Qualitative study. Workshops were held with people with learning disabilities.	Participants Service users and their families, partners and carers. No information given on the characteristics of the workshop attendees – neither those who had a learning disability, nor family carers. For the survey, 300 parents with a son or daughter with learning disabilities aged 18 or over.  Sample size: Survey: 300 adults of sons or	Survey Parents have an extremely high level of anxiety and fear about the future. Parents felt they had to fight to secure appropriate care and, even then, they often lost it. One mother commented (in the open-ended question): 'In my experience, all the help has been in response to my requests for information – in other words, the help has to be actively sought out' (p13).  From other open-ended responses it was clear that there was a lack of clear and accurate information to help with planning. Parents responded by saying they would find	Overall assessment of internal validity: - Overall assessment of external validity: +

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
held for carers of people with learning disabilities. A survey of 300 parents of a son or daughter with learning disabilities aged over 18 years was conducted to gather quantitative and qualitative information on what they would find most helpful.	daughters with learning disabilities aged 18 and over. Workshop: no sample size given for workshop attendees.	Information on: - power of attorney and appointees - organisations such as Housing Options or Shared Ownership Homes - advocacy and finances. Support provided in the form of: - an independently resourced support group, available for each family, run by people with learning disabilities, parents, some professionals (if invited) allies and friends	
<b>Country:</b> UK.			
Source of funding Voluntary/charity, funders of Thinking Ahead Foundation for People with Learning Disabilities.			

- learning from the experience of other carers or someone who has lost parents and who can highlight all the issues that came up and what worked for different scenarios

- regular national, regional and local webinars and workshops on wills, trusts, person-centred planning, support planning, housing options, how to form housing cooperatives/associations, sources of funding for housing cooperatives/associations.

A total of 83% of parents were either extremely worried or worried about whether their son or daughter would have a place to live where they were happy once they were no longer able to care for them.

A total of 86% were either extremely worried or worried about whether their son or daughter would get the support they need. The survey showed that parents have little trust in the care system to help them plan for the future. The majority doubted that anyone would speak up for their son or daughter to ensure they had a good life or that anyone would help make sure that decisions were made in their son's or daughter's best interest. Parents also worried about whether anyone would make sure that their daughter or son was safe and well.

Total 79% of parents were either worried or extremely worried about whether their son or daughter would have friends and feel part of their community. In answer to all 6 aspects of worrying about the future the percentage of parents who were either worried or extremely worried was either above or just below 80%. The highest percentage worried about whether their son or daughter

would have somewhere to live where they would be happy and whether they would get the support they need.

### Future housing planning

Participants were asked whether a professional had ever spoken to them about whether they would like support to look at options for where their son or daughter might live in the future.

Less than a quarter (22.3%; n=67) said that a professional has spoken to them and that they had made plans. Over a third (36.5%; n=110) replied that they had never spoken to a professional about housing options and 25.2% (n=76) said that they had spoken to someone but nothing happened. The remaining 15.9% (n=48) had said that a professional had spoken to them and they did a bit of planning.

### Making a person-centred plan

The majority (38.5%; n=115) of parents said that no one had ever spoken to them about making a person-centred plan outlining, for example, who the important people are in their son's or daughter's life and what helps them to keep safe and well. A further 55 (18.4%) parents said that a professional had spoken to them but nothing had happened. A fifth of parents (20.7%; n=62) responded by saying that a professional had spoken to them and plans had been made. Emergency situations: nearly half of parents (45.3%; 134) replied that nobody had ever spoken to them about where their son or daughter might stay or who might support them in an emergency (e.g. in the case of a sudden hospital admission). Just over a

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		quarter (25.7%; n=76) said that someone had spoken to them and they did make plans.	
		Workshops with people with learning disabilities Participants felt that too many restrictions were placed on their lives – the focus was on their learning disability and not on their abilities and potential. There was fairly large agreement between participants that they were 'wrapped in cotton wool' which potentially hampers the development of confidence and coping skills for when parents or carers may no longer be around. Participants said it was important to prepare them to cope with bereavement to lessen terrible feelings of loss when a parent dies. Messages included: 'We need to be less protected', 'We need to go to funerals', 'We need our families to talk to us when people are really ill or dying' (p15).	
		Participants emphasised the importance of friendships in helping build strength, self-esteem and a sense of safety. Those without good friends were seen as targets – they could be taken advantage of by people pretending to be their friend. Most participants said that they needed to be involved in making plans. One participant said: 'Having a plan has put my mind at rest' (p15). But there was a strong emphasis on the need to go step by step, and for others to stick to the plan and not ignore it. Findings from workshops with parents and siblings:	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		parents and siblings said that they felt the need to be protective because of their experiences – including bullying and hate crime. They admitted 'we need to be less protective but the world feels very unsafe' (p16). Talking about the future was difficult as 'we're looking at a time when we are not here to protect and that is what we have done over the years' (p16). It also meant having to face up to their own mortality. Participants were also concerned that planning for the future would mean that their son or daughter would have to leave the family home. The poor quality of support was a huge concern to family carers. There was a consistent message that people who would support their relative were lacking the appropriate attitude, knowledge or skills. They wanted support staff to have better contracts with higher pay, good career options and more training.	

Support from siblings was valued but inconsistent. Parents were reluctant to make plans around siblings owing to a lot of them being in uncertain situations themselves. Circles of support: families were unsure who to ask to contribute to planning for the future because they did not know how to get people from a younger generation to be involved in their relative's life. Still, circles of support were referenced a possible lever for change. 'You could use a circle of support to introduce the topic of planning for the future. It shows us there are other people who will be there after we have died which is often hard to believe as a parent' (p17).

#### What families said would help

Person-centred planning was understood to be valuable as it allowed their son or daughter to have a say in their future. Emergency planning was also an important step in making parents feel at ease about the future. Lack of information on housing and support: families felt let down by the lack of information on housing and support options. This was crucial to their ability to move forward. Many parents, especially those over 60, did not know the difference between residential care and supported living; they were unaware that supported living could provide 24-hour support and it was not just for those who could do many things for themselves. When asked what would help most with future planning, families replied most frequently that they needed someone who they could trust to support them over a number of years. 'It needs to be someone who knows the family, not a stranger coming in and saying "you need to plan" (p17).

## 7. Willis DS, Wishart JG, Muir WJ (2011) Menopausal experiences of women with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 74–85

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
knowledge of the	Participants Service users and their families, partners and carers – 45 women with intellectual disabilities (17 with Down's syndrome and 28 non-Down's syndrome.  Sample size: Total 45 women: 10 pre-, 15 peril- and 20 postmenopausal (as established by gatekeeper and carer reports and from information provided by the women in their interviews).	Menstruation When asked why they had periods, one (DS) woman	Overall assessment of internal validity: + Overall assessment of external validity: +

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		taken contraceptive medicine, 2 of the 10 premenopausal women were known to be on contraception (1 oral, 1 by injection), as were 2 of the older women who were now post-menopausal (1 oral, 1 by injection).	
		Menopausal knowledge/experiences: 23 women (9 DS, 14 non-DS) had not heard of the term 'menopause', 'the change of life' or 'the change'; 17 women (5 DS, 12 non-DS) said they had. Twelve (6 DS and 6 non-DS) said they had been told about the menopause either by a doctor or nurse (5), a member of care staff (3) or a family member (4) but few could recall details. Five non-DS women understood the menopause in relation to getting older and mentioned that periods stopped with age, with 1 adding you can no longer have children once it had happened.	/

Review question 1 only – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Bowey L, McGlaughlin A (2005) Adults with a learning disability living with elderly carers talk about planning for the future: aspirations and concerns. The British Journal of Social Work 35: 1377–92

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
appropriate? Appropriate. Researchers were keen to give a voice to adults with learning disabilities as they felt their views were distinctly	How well was the data collection carried out?  Not sure/inadequately reported Information provided about the development of the questionnaire and the steps taken to make it accessible to this population. However, there is little information given on the methods of data collection.  Is the context clearly described? Clear.  Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Somewhat appropriate. All known adults with a learning disability living in the locality with carers over the age of 70 were identified. Total 108 families were originally contacted, 10 were under the age of 70, 36 other families refused to take part because of lack of time or interest. Therefore the research sample potentially comprised 62 adults with a learning disability. However, 18 lacked the required the communication skills necessary to take part in an interview. In 3 cases a professional intervened to withdraw the person with a learning	Partly. Participants and their carers were reassured about anonymity and confidentiality and that the research would not result in any changes to their current living arrangements.  Were service users involved in the study? Yes. Service users were involved as participants but not as co-researchers.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	external validity: +

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
is the research design/methodology? Defensible.	anxiety around the issue. A total of 41 adults with learning disabilities participated in the research. It is a notable consideration that all participants were white. Although efforts were made to include those who had not been active users of services for a few years, those who were completely unknown to services	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	
	not reported in any detail.  Are the data 'rich'?  Rich.		
	Is the analysis reliable? Not sure/not reported.		
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing.		
	<b>Are the conclusions adequate?</b> Adequate.		

### 2. Coyle CE, Kramer J, Mutchler JE (2014) Aging together: sibling carers of adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 11: 302–12

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
'The purpose of this study was to explore the transition of care to sibling carers of people with disabilities after their parents are no longer providing primary support to the individual with a	Not sure/inadequately reported. We are not given much detail about the interviews themselves. There is no detail on their duration. We are given some examples of questions asked but no more detail of probes or prompts.	match the review question? Partly. It had a degree of relevance, but the focus strays from the identification,	Overall assessment of internal validity:  + Overall assessment of external validity: +
Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured	Is the context clearly described? Clear. We learn that the carers were found via a support project database. Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way?	Were service users involved in the study?	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate?	Appropriate way: Appropriate. Purposive sampling was used.  Were the methods reliable?	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.	Somewhat reliable. Interviews were recorded and transcribed. Coding was done independently, it was not cross-referenced or double screened.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Older people with a learning difficulty.	
is the research	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. Many of the results are	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
1	described within themes. There is rich data, but more would be helpful.	Yes. A variety of settings, community and group homes.	
discussion guides is	Reliable. Coding used Vivo software. Initial codes were developed and secondary coding was used to isolate secondary themes and detail.  Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Original data is used, but the quotes are not referenced. Overall the detail and themes are convincing.  Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. The study adequately discusses its limitations, implications for policy and practice and the implication of the study on research.	review of care and support needs, specifically related to ageing.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to	

<sup>3.</sup> Dillenburger K, McKerr L (2011) 'How long are we able to go on?' Issues faced by older family caregivers of adults with disabilities. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 39: 29–38

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To explore the issues related to caring and future planning for older caregivers of adult sons/daughters with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities in Northern Ireland.  Methodology: Qualitative study.  Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate. Study aimed to allow older caregivers to tell their own story and be given a voice to do so. Qualitative approach therefore appropriate.  Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Mixed.  How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible.	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way?  Not sure. Convenience sampling. The study first reports that caregivers were recruited through community self-help groups, but then later states: 'a convenience sample was recruited from charities, and support groups [] and additional personal contacts'.  Were the methods reliable?	No.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic?  Yes.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed.	guideline? Yes.	
		Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the	
	Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing.	guideline? Partly.	
	Adequate	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?  Partly.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes. Northern Ireland.	

### 4. Hole RD, Stainton T, Wilson L (2013) Ageing adults with intellectual disabilities: self-advocates' and family members' perspectives about the future. Australian Social Work 66: 571–89

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
The authors aimed to gain a better understanding of the needs, hopes, and desires for the future of	carried out? Appropriately. Data collection methods are clearly described and	match the review question? Partly. The study's research question was specifically about future planning rather than the identification,	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
family members of adults with intellectual	clearly justified. Data collection, with the use of interview guides and	Yes. Ethics approval was granted by the University of British Columbia	external validity:
<b>Methodology</b> Qualitative study.	record keeping were systematic (interviews were tape recorded and transcribed verbatim).	Behavioural Research Ethics Board.  Were service users involved in the study?	
andioniale:	Is the context clearly described? Unclear. Apart from the recruitment	Yes. They are involved as respondents and there is also mention of a 'self-	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear. It seeks to understand people's	criteria, the characteristics of the participants and settings are not clearly defined. No observations were made, just single interviews (either individually or in groups).	advocate consultant' contributing to the development of the interview schedule. It is unclear whether this is a person with a learning disability.	
and support or the care and support of family members (namely, sons or daughters)	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Somewhat appropriate. 'Community organisations supporting self-advocates and family members	Partly. Future planning is not explicitly stated in section 1.3 of the scope although the issue is referenced in the 'context'. The Guideline Committee felt	
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology?  Defensible. The design of	were asked to post and distribute project information. Individuals were invited to contact the research team either directly or through a representative to request additional information or arrange participation'	that future planning is an important aspect of the identification and assessment of needs.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the	
the research question and a clear rationale is given for the sampling, data	(p573).  Were the methods reliable?  Reliable. Data collection methods	guideline? Partly. The self-advocates are all 50+ years so not necessarily 'older' but relevant to the guideline population in	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
collection and data analysis techniques used.	and concerns about the future, the lack of opportunity this provides for triangulation seems acceptable.  Are the data 'rich'?  Mixed. The findings are well supported with direct quotes from the interview data but the contexts of the	Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Study done in British Columbia, Canada.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Data are referenced and findings appear internally consistent.		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. The findings seem relevant to the aims of the study and there are clear links between the data and conclusions. The conclusions are plausible and coherent although it is not clear whether alternative explanations for the findings have been explored. The authors do discuss the study limitations, namely the small sample.		

### 5. Innes A, McCabe L, Watchman K (2012) Caring for older people with an intellectual disability: a systematic review. Maturitas 72: 286–95

Internal validity – inclusion criteria and search	Internal validity – assessment and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To critically evaluate available research literature on ageing among people with an intellectual disability.	reported? No. Apart from stating that 'the review	match the review question? Partly. It's about ageing among people with a LD rather than specifically about the identification and assessment of	Overall assessment of internal validity:

Internal validity – inclusion criteria and search	Internal validity – assessment and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Methodology: Systematic review.  Appropriate and clearly focused question? No. The review isn't very focused, aiming to review evidence about 'ageing among people with an intellectual disability' rather than a specific aspect of that. It's clearly relevant to the overall guideline topic and provides some data relevant to a range of our review questions but nothing in-depth about any one of them. The population is clearly defined but interventions, settings, comparators and outcomes are not. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are clear although some exceptions (around age) were clearly made in the screening process.	No. The search teams, databases, dates and population were clearly described but there was no reference to analysis or synthesis, which is a significant weakness.  Do conclusions match findings?  Yes	needs or future planning (although some findings do touch on these issues).  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Not reported.  Were service users involved in the design of the study? No.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. But not specifically questions 1 and 2.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.	external validity: +

Internal validity – inclusion criteria and search	Internal validity – assessment and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
		(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.  Was the study conducted in the UK? Yes but this is a review of international research.	
and assessment of care and support needs – in particular, diagnostic overshadowing – not being covered by the review.			

Internal validity – inclusion criteria and search	Internal validity – assessment and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Rigorous literature search? Partly rigorous. The literature search seems fairly rigorous for identifying the studies that would answer the review question. However, the exclusion of condition specific papers is likely to have lost a lot of relevant data. The dates for the search were given (initially 1980–2011 but then revised to 1990–2011 due to the availability of online resources). The review did not include handsearching of key journals and reference lists.	y 2		

### 6. Towers C (2013) Thinking ahead: improving support for people with learning disabilities and their families to plan for the future. London: Foundation for People with Learning Disabilities

1	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
To explore how support can be improved for people with learning disabilities and their families to plan for the future.  Methodology: Qualitative study. Workshops were held with people with learning disabilities. Workshops were also held for carers of people with learning disabilities. A survey of 300 parents of a son or daughter with learning disabilities aged over 18 years was conducted to gather quantitative and qualitative information on what they would find most helpful.	How well was the data collection carried out? Not sure/inadequately reported.  Is the context clearly described? Unclear. Little info given on recruitment processes or where or how focus groups took place.  Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Not sure.  Were the methods reliable? Not sure.  Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. No context given with data, however perspectives of both people with learning disabilities and their families are provided.  Is the analysis reliable? Not sure/not reported.  Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing. Findings are presented fairly clearly and are internally coherent. Some	match the review question? Partly. Looks at level of worry about the future and how much help they have had in planning for the future. Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns?	external validity: +

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
appropriate?	original extracts are included but little context given.	considering planning for the future when they will be older.	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Inadequate.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Not sure. Not enough info given to make judgement.		Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? • Yes.	

<sup>7.</sup> Willis DS, Wishart JG, Muir WJ (2011) Menopausal experiences of women with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 24: 74–85

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To identify levels of knowledge of the	How well was the data collection carried out?  Appropriately.	Does the study's research question match the review question? Partly.	Overall assessment of internal validity:
menopause and of its health and reproductive implications among women with intellectual disabilities.	the other women were interviewed in private.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. Study approved by the Multi Centre Research Ethics Committee for Scotland who advised that all participants to be	external validity:
Methodology: Qualitative study.	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way?	approached through local gatekeepers. Consent was gained from the women	
appropriate? Appropriate.  Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.	operated at different levels of confidentiality. Exclusion criteria: women with profound intellectual disabilities, hysterectomies, who had never menstruated or had a current diagnosis of dementia.  Were the methods reliable?  Somewhat reliable. Data collected by just 1 method, but methods and		
	findings discussed alongside other studies.  Are the data 'rich'?  Mixed.	least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Is the analysis reliable? Somewhat reliable. Multi-staged narrative analysis. Unclear how many people were involved in the analysis. The authors became familiar with each transcript before formulating categories around basic themes, findings and issues. This approach allowed the researchers to become familiar with the material in an area which has previously been under-researched.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? • Yes.  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes	
	Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing. Findings were mostly coherent, but there was a lack of clarity around certain areas, especially that of contraception. The study reported that a woman with intellectual disabilities said she didn't think her periods would stop 'because of the pill' (p24). Later on, it says 'none of the women in this study themselves reported that they were currently or had previously taken contraceptive medication' (p24).		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate.		

### Review question 2 only – findings tables – views and experiences

1. Willis DS, Wishart JG, Muir WJ (2010) Carer knowledge and experiences with menopause in women with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7(1) 42–8

	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
To explore knowledge and understanding of the menopause in the carers of women with intellectual disabilities – to gather their experiences of supporting the women under their care through the menopause – to identify what additional help would assist carers in providing better	Participants: Professionals/practitioners. Experience of working in intellectual disability services ranged from less than a month to 45 years (mean 11.9 years). Mean length of experience of working with the named woman was 7.1 years (range from less than a moth to 45 years).  Sample size: Total 69 formal carers (i.e. paid staff) of 45 pre-, peri-, and postmenopausal women with intellectual disabilities (11 from day care settings and 58 from residential settings). Women they were providing care for had to be		Overall assessment of internal validity: + Overall assessment of external validity: +

with intellectual this transitional period.

### Methodology:

Qualitative study. One-to-one interviews.

### Country:

UK.

#### Source of funding:

Funded by the **Baily Thomas** Charitable Trust.

aged between 35 and 65 disabilities through | years and have a diagnosis of mild to severe intellectual disability.

#### Carer report: general health

When carers were asked about screening for specific aspects of female health responses were inconclusive. It was hard to determine whether women had received breast and cervical cancer screening because documentation was poor and carer knowledge was very varied – which was partly attributable to the fact that some of the carers worked in day care services. Some carers felt that breast and cervical screening should definitely be within the remit of the residential home, but the majority of carers felt that the procedures could be traumatising for the women in their care, with some voicing doubt over whether or not the women they cared for would cooperate. Data showed that 15 of the women with intellectual disabilities were eligible for breast cancer screening (were aged >50), but that only 4 of the women had received it. Only 15 carers reported that the woman/women they supported had been screened for cervical cancer. An assumption of sexual inactivity by the GP or a refusal of permission by the woman's guardian were given as reasons for non-participation.

### Carer report: menopausal experiences universality

Individual significance of the menopause as a life event and the fact that all women who menstruate, irrespective of disability, will experience menopause as part of the natural ageing process. Carers spoke about what words they would use and how they would support women in adapting to these changes by drawing on their own or friends' experiences of this stage of life to help them

understand that what is happening to them is also happening to other people.

One carer responded: 'I think [menopause] is not a term I would use with the women ... We would try and simplify things you know and try and explain the best way we could really, you know, how your periods are stopping now and this is quite natural and it happens to everybody ...' (p45).

### Entanglement

Carers described problems they had distinguishing behaviours such as mood swings (as a menopausal symptom) from other challenging behavioural characteristics of the women with intellectual disabilities under their care. Just over half of the carers said that they would have problems identifying if the woman with intellectual disabilities was experiencing problems relating to the menopause. A minority said that they if they knew the woman well they'd be able to tell that if they had menopausal symptoms such as hot flushes or irregular periods.

#### Resilience

When asked how they thought the women they supported would cope with the menopause, responses fell into 2 contrasting descriptive categories – those who would be accepting (the most frequent) and those who would have difficulties coping. One carer said: 'I think it must be quite difficult for them because they are not really understanding what is happening and it can be

quite scary for them, you know, quite frightening not understanding ...' (p46).

Carers had conflicting views on whether the women should know about the implications that the menopause had for their fertility.

Almost all carers felt that if the women would at least be able to broadly understand what they were being told, they should be informed about the menopause. However, some carers raised concerns about unnecessarily worrying the women, and questioned how much the women would actually take in if details of menopause were explained to them in full.

#### 'Ignorance is bliss'

Carers believed that the women's knowledge of menstruation and menopause was generally very poor, with just over half responding that the women they supported would only have a very limited understanding of the reproductive significance of either. About 2/3 of carers reported that they would not understand that the menopause meant that they could no longer have children. 'Although the majority of carers reported that the women had told them that they never wanted children, some had never actually broached this subject. one saying specifically that she would never discuss it unless it was brought up by the woman herself'(p46). Other carers spoke of women they cared for who had expressed a wish to start a family and get married (despite being in their 60s) or adopt a child. Other carers also indicated that some of the women would have been aware that they would not be allowed to have children.

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		One carer spoke of the issues surrounding public acceptance of women with intellectual disabilities having relationships and children. ' folk are just getting used to the fact that some of these people have sexual relationships I don't think women with learning difficulties have enough choice [in terms] of the support they have to bring up a child' (p46).	
		Carer reports: responsibility and training needs Carers reported that the person who explained the menopause to women with intellectual disabilities would be someone they knew and trusted. A few thought it was the responsibility of the GP or a nurse, but the majority identified the person's key worker as the best person. Carers felt that the sex of the person did not matter for discussing general health problems with the women, but reported overwhelmingly that female carers would be preferred if talking about 'women's problems'. All carers (including the male ones) said they would feel comfortable talking to the women under their care about the menopause and that they would answer any questions to the best of their ability. Many also drew attention to the need for better training on how to do this should it become their responsibility. Information or training that was identified by the carers as useful included: - symptom identification - advice on explaining physiological changes	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		- information on alternatives to hormone replacement therapy.	
		Type of resources suggested as useful for women with intellectual disabilities were:  - talking books  - videos  - booklets.  Several carers recognised that few women with intellectual disabilities had the opportunities to talk to others about the menopause and specifically suggested that a local women's group or menopause clinic would be helpful as it would allow the women to talk to other women going through the same experience.	

#### Review question 2 only – critical appraisal tables – views and experiences

1. Willis DS, Wishart JG, Muir WJ (2010) Carer knowledge and experiences with menopause in women with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7(1): 42–8

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	carried out?		Overall assessment of internal validity:

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
explore knowledge and understanding of the menopause in these women's carers; to gather their experiences of supporting the women under their care through the menopause; to identify what additional help would assist carers in providing better support to women with intellectual disabilities through this transitional period.  Methodology:	home/day centre managers, and were recruited from a range of settings (both residential and day care) but there is no more information given on sampling process.  Were the methods reliable?  Somewhat reliable.  Are the data 'rich'?  Mixed.  Is the analysis reliable?  Somewhat reliable — 10% of transcripts were analysed by a fellow researcher who was not part of the research team and was very experienced in working with people with intellectual disabilities. A high level of consensus was reached in	Yes. Ethics approval gained from the NHS's Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (MREC), the NHS Lothian's Local Research Ethics Committee and	external validity: +

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
_	Adequate.	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly.  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

Review question 3. Information, advice and training to older people with learning disabilities

- a) What is the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of providing information, advice and training to older people with learning disabilities?
- b) What are the views and experiences of older people with learning disabilities about information, advice and training?
- c) What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about information, advice and training for older people with learning disabilities?

Review question 3 – findings tables – effectiveness

1. Van Puyenbroeck J, Maes B (2009) The effect of reminiscence group work on life satisfaction, self-esteem and mood of ageing people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 22: 23–33

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To explore 'what is the effect of a narrative reminiscence group programme on	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers.	Effect sizes The study was not able to confirm the presence of effects of reminiscence group work, as p23 stated:	Overall assessment of internal validity (qualitative): +
subjective well-being of ageing people with intellectual disabilities?'	Sample size: 41 older people with learning disabilities completed the study. They were in 6 groups on 6 separate sites.	'The quasi-experimental pre-test-post-test design did not detect any changes in life satisfaction and perceived self-competence.'  For mood, a quasi-experimental ABA-design did	Overall assessment of external validity:
Methodology: Quasi- experimental pre-test	Intervention: A 'narrative reminiscence' group programme.	not yield an experimental treatment effect.  However a significant increase in scores was observed over time.	+
post-test design.  Country: Belgium.  Source of funding: Not	Describe intervention: 'The reminiscence group work sessions	Personality characteristics 'extraversion' and 'emotional stability', but not 'memory specificity' were found to be significant covariates for the mood scores.	
stated.	(experimental sessions) were based on a method for group reminiscence among people with dementia, as described by Bruce et al. (1999). Important characteristics of that	Future research might want to look at the intervention's effectiveness with a depressed older population with learning disabilities, rather than a mental health group, as this may be where it is more useful.	
	method are: weekly group work sessions, reminiscence themes (set and prepared in advance) and abundant use of visual	Narrative findings 'Interviews conducted before and after the programme resulted in positive appraisals of the programme as well as a worthwhile and meaningful activity for ageing people with	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	triggers that are kept in a reminiscence suitcase. This programme was adapted to the needs of people with intellectual disabilities' (p27). 'The main goal of the sessions was to elicit specific and 'positive' remembrances about the past, making use of the trigger objects in the reminiscence suitcase' (p28).	intellectual disability' (p23).	
	<b>Delivered by:</b> The author/researcher facilitated the sessions, supported by a care worker at each care facility.		
	Duration, frequency, intensity, etc.: There were 12 weekly sessions – the first 3 were control sessions, then 6 intervention sessions, followed by 3 further control sessions.		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	Content/session titles: 'The total programme consisted of 12 sessions: three "current topics" sessions (control phase), namely "Who am I?/Family" (with a thematic stress on the present situation), "My house/My room" and "Television", 6 group reminiscence sessions on different reminiscence topics (experimental phase), namely "Household", "Games and toys", "School days", "Food", "Church–Religion" and "Travels–Holidays", followed by – again – 3 "current topics" sessions (control phase), namely "Music–parties", "Videomail" and "Evaluation" (p27).		
	Location/place of delivery: At 6 care facilities across Belgium.		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	Comparison intervention: 'Dummy' sessions with reminiscence themes that didn't actually involve personal reminiscence tasks.		
	Outcomes measured		
	Service user-related outcomes Subjective wellbeing was conceived as composite of 3 attributes, measured using the following tools.		
	1) Life satisfaction – the short version of the Intellectual Disability Quality of Life (IDQOL) Scale (Hoekman et al. 2001).		
	2) Self-perceived competence – the Pictorial Scale of Perceived Competence and Social Acceptance of People with Intellectual Disabilities		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	(PSPC) (Goverts et al. 2000). This is an adapted Dutch version of the scale that Harter and Pike (1984) developed to assess children's perceptions of their own abilities and social acceptance.		
	3) Mood/interest – the Mood Interest and Pleasure Questionnaire (MIPQ) (Ross and Oliver 1999).		
	Two intermediating variables were also measured:		
	1) Personality – the Five Factor Personality Inventory (FFPI) (Hendriks et al. 1999) is an instrument to assess a person's position on the dimensions		
	extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, emotional stability and autonomy (the so called 'big		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	5' factors of personality).  2) Memory specificity – the present authors used VITESSA (Video Time/Event Sampling Software) (Van Puyenbroeck et al. 2005) to code the memories of the participants for their level of		
	specificity (SPEC).  Family or caregiver related outcomes: Structured interviews were conducted with the support workers before and after the programme. Two questions addressed the especially important issue		
	of goal attainment.  Follow-up: After every session.  Costs: Not reported.		

#### Review question 3 – findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Cardol M, Rijken M, van Schrojenstein Lantman-de Valk H (2012) People with mild to moderate intellectual disability talking about their diabetes and how they manage. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 56: 351–60

Research aims	PICO (population,	Findings	Overall validity
	intervention, comparison,		rating
	outcomes)		
Study aim: The	Participants: Service	Narrative findings	Overall
study aimed to	users and their families,	Among the 7 themes found, 4 referred in some way to	assessment of
answer the	partners and carers.	advice and training received (or lack thereof).	internal
following 2	People with mild to		validity: +
research	moderate intellectual	Theme 4 – unanswered questions	
questions: '(1)	disabilities and diabetes,	Unanswered questions were coupled with concerns and	
What perceptions	taken from a national	fearful thoughts, e.g. 'can I get rid of it' or 'will I live long'.	Overall
of diabetes do	panel.	For consolation they often reported trying not to think about	assessment of
people with mild or		it.	external
moderate ID have?	Sample size: 17 interviews		validity: +
(2) What factors	were conducted, at which	Theme 5 – check-ups without asking questions	
are related to the	point the authors reached	Participants attended medical appointments when they	
self-management	data saturation and so	were told to, but very rarely asked questions about	
of the disease?'	conducted no further	diabetes. For some reason, possibly related to fear or	
(p352).	interviews.	suspecting they might not be given answers in a	
		comprehensible way, they felt unable to. Being	
Methodology:	Intervention: No.	accompanied by an adult or by trusted carers was	
Qualitative study.		appreciated because information could be relayed to them	
Semi-structured	Follow-up: None.	later on.	
interviews.			
	Costs	Theme 6 – intentions to self-manage are related to	
Country: The	Not reported.	understanding, motivation and special occasions	

PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	It was highlighted that none of the participants had received written diabetes information in a way they could	
	understand.	
	They relied heavily on relatives for information.	
	Theme 7 – self-management is related to feelings of self-efficacy, support, health condition, mood and contextual factors.  As well as understanding, confidence was important for allowing the person to use their knowledge in order to self-manage. They required the ability to grow confident, and some settings such as community housing, did not always facilitate this well.	
	intervention, comparison,	intervention, comparison, outcomes)  It was highlighted that none of the participants had received written diabetes information in a way they could understand. They relied heavily on relatives for information.  Theme 7 – self-management is related to feelings of self-efficacy, support, health condition, mood and contextual factors. As well as understanding, confidence was important for allowing the person to use their knowledge in order to self-manage. They required the ability to grow confident, and some settings such as community housing, did not always

# 2. Willis DS (2008) A decade on: what have we learnt about supporting women with intellectual disabilities through the menopause? Journal of Intellectual Disabilities 12: 9–23

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: This study aimed to 'explore the women's understanding and	Participants: Service users. Older women with intellectual disabilities.  Sample size: 18 women.	Narrative findings Feelings and experiences around menstruation and menopause were discussed, including signs and symptoms.	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
knowledge of the menopause and	Follow-up: None.	Most relevant was the discussion on sources of information and education.	Overall assessment of

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
look at the information that was available to them' (p13).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured interviews.  Country: UK.  Source of funding: Not reported.	Costs: Not reported.	Twelve of the 18 women had received no information or help about the menopause. Three reported having heard some information on the television, and 1 reported having read about it in a book – although the author believed this was being confused with the initial conversations that they had had prior to the research.  When asked if they felt that more information would be useful they did not express a preference. The author believed this reflected being used to being told what was best to do, and a struggle to formulate and then ask for advice themselves.  The author concluded that there is a lack of information on menopause made available in an appropriate format to older women with intellectual disabilities. The study also highlights stigma towards this group and reproduction generally.	external validity: +

# 3. Young AF, Naji S, Kroll T (2012) Support for self-management of cardiovascular disease by people with learning disabilities. Family Practice 29: 467–75

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: This	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
study 'set out to	Service users: 14 people	The study found 4 main themes on supporting and advising	assessment of
explore service	with LD interviewed.	people with learning disabilities to self-manage	internal

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
users', carers' and health	Professionals/practitioners:	cardiovascular disease (extracted from pp470–2).	validity: ++
professionals' views and strategies for self- management and the nature of support needed for optimal self- management of CVD by people with LD' (p467).  Methodology: Qualitative study.	11 care staff (1 was a family carer) and 11 health professionals.  Sample size: 14 people with ID, 11 care staff and 11 health professionals.  Follow-up: No follow-up.  Costs: Not reported.	Strategies for using knowledge and creating routines  The main health improvement messages such as healthy eating and regular exercise are already well known to carers, professionals and to people with ID themselves. Strategies were used that tap into this knowledge. One was to take an 'incremental approach' to encouraging exercise, food preparation etc. Another was to use 'socialisation' such as membership of clubs that involve an element of exercising or a walk to get to where their social life is. Another strategy was substituting healthy options – e.g. low fat equivalents of food they like, cycling rather than driving etc.	Overall assessment of external validity: ++
In-depth semi- structured interviews based on vignettes with accompanying pictures.  Country: UK.  Source of funding: Voluntary/charity.		Staff outlined how important it was that steps to improve health behaviour were coordinated across the board – e.g. avoiding where carers help with a healthy shop but then a sibling brings along a big bag of sweets.  Understanding the prerequisites for self-management support – all participants said it was crucial to involve the person with ID, and they added that participation needed to be 'carefully pitched to be meaningful for each individual'. Perceived ownership of the strategies by the individual was considered important. So was supporting choice and	
Chest Heart and Stroke Scotland,		assisting planning. Also it was important that encouragement was continuous and consistent across	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
(minor research award).		areas of their lives, and involved some reward planning.	
awaiu).		Primary and secondary supporters of self-management — it was generally agreed that the support of front-line carers was most essential. Health professionals were seen as more distant, and care staff felt a pre-existing good interpersonal relationship was key.  People with ID had a broader view – that it didn't matter	
		who was encouraging them, it could be everyone in some way. They felt that the 'directive authority' of doctors was important to them – they have the knowledge and authority and they valued this.	
		Self-management implementation All participants said turning something from a plan into a reality was the hardest part, requiring considerable personal resources and discipline from all involved. Knowledge itself was not enough to ensure action, although lack of knowledge exacerbated the problem. Support on all fronts is needed.	

Review question 3 – findings tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Willis DS, Wishart JG, Muir WJ (2010) Carer knowledge and experiences with menopause in women with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7(1): 42–8

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison,	Findings	Overall validity rating
	outcomes)		
Study aim: To	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
provide findings to	Professionals/practitioners.	Carer report: general health	assessment of
complement those	Experience of working in	When carers were asked about screening for specific	internal
from a parallel	intellectual disability	aspects of female health, responses were inconclusive. It was hard to determine whether women had received breast	validity: +
study which	services ranged from less	and cervical cancer screening because documentation was	
explored the	than a month to 45 years	poor and carer knowledge was very varied – which was	
experiences and	(mean 11.9 years). Mean	partly attributable to the fact that some of the carers worked	Overall
knowledge of 45	length of experience of	in day care services.	assessment of
women with	working with the named		external
intellectual	woman was 7.1 years	Some carers felt that breast and cervical screening should	validity: +
disabilities	(range from less than a	definitely be within the remit of the residential home, but the majority of carers felt that the procedures could be	
regarding the	month to 45 years).	traumatising for the women in their care, with some voicing	
menopause.		doubt over whether or not the women they cared for would	
	Sample size: 69 formal	cooperate.	
Specific aims of	carers (i.e. paid staff) of 45		
this study are to	pre-, peri-, and	Data showed that 15 of the women with intellectual	
explore knowledge	postmenopausal women	disabilities were eligible for breast cancer screening (were	
and understanding	with intellectual disabilities	aged >50), but that only 4 of the women had received it.	
of the menopause	(11 from day care settings	Only 15 carers reported that the woman/women they	
in these women's	and 58 from residential	supported had been screened for cervical cancer. An	
carers – to gather	settings). Women they	assumption of sexual inactivity by the GP or a refusal of	
their experiences	were providing care for had	permission by the woman's guardian were given as	
of supporting the	to be aged between 35 and	reasons for nonparticipation.	
women under their	65 years and have a	Carar ranget, managanal assessings	
care through the	diagnosis of mild to severe	Carer report: menopausal experiences The following 4 overarching themes emerged: universality,	

ulation, on, comparison, )	Findings	Overall validity rating
disabilities.	entanglement, resilience and 'ignorance is bliss.'	
	Universality Individual significance of the menopause as a life event and the fact that all women who menstruate, irrespective of disability, will experience menopause as part of the natural ageing process.	
	Carers spoke about what words they would use and how they would support women in adapting to these changes by drawing on their own or friends' experiences of this stage of life to help them understand that what is happening to other papels.	
	is also happening to other people.  One carer responded: 'I think [menopause] is not a term I would use with the women We would try and simplify things you know and try and explain the best way we could really, you know, how your periods are stopping now and	
	this is quite natural and it happens to everybody' (p45).  Entanglement The second most frequent theme was entanglement. Carers described problems they had distinguishing behaviours such as mood swings (as a menopausal symptom) from other challenging behavioural characteristics of the women with intellectual disabilities under their care. Just over half of the carers said that they would have problems identifying if the woman with	
		Carers described problems they had distinguishing behaviours such as mood swings (as a menopausal symptom) from other challenging behavioural characteristics of the women with intellectual disabilities

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		to the menopause. A minority said that they if they knew the woman well they'd be able to tell that if they had menopausal symptoms such as hot flushes or irregular periods.	
		Resilience When asked how they thought the women they supported would cope with the menopause, responses fell into 2 contrasting descriptive categories – those who would be accepting (the most frequent) and those who would have difficulties coping.	
		One carer said: 'I think it must be quite difficult for them because they are not really understanding what is happening and it can be quite scary for them, you know, quite frightening not understanding' (p46).	
		Carers had conflicting views on whether the women should know about the implications that the menopause had for their fertility.	
		Almost all carers felt that if the woman would at least be able to broadly understand what they were being told, they should be informed about the menopause. However, some carers raised concerns about unnecessarily worrying the women, and questioned how much the women would actually take in if details of menopause were explained to them in full.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		'Ignorance is bliss' Carers believed that the women's knowledge of menstruation and menopause was generally very poor, with just over half responding that the women they supported would only have a very limited understanding of the reproductive significance of either.  About 2/3 of carers reported that they would not understand that the menopause meant that they could no longer have children. 'Although the majority of carers reported that the women had told them that they never wanted children, some had never actually broached this subject, one saying specifically that she would never discuss it unless it was brought up by the woman herself' (p46).  Other carers spoke of women they cared for who had expressed a wish to start a family and get married (despite being in their 60s) or adopt a child. Other carers also indicated that some of the women would have been aware that they would not be allowed to have children.  One carer spoke of the issues surrounding public acceptance of women with intellectual disabilities having relationships and children. ' folk are just getting used to the fact that some of these people have sexual relationships I don't think women with learning difficulties have enough choice [in terms] of the support they have to bring up a child' (p46).	
		Carer reports: responsibility and training needs Carers reported that the person who explained the	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	outcomes)	menopause to women with intellectual disabilities would be someone they knew and trusted. A few thought it was the responsibility of the GP or a nurse, but the majority identified the person's key worker as the best person. Carers felt that the sex of the person did not matter for discussing general health problems with the women, but reported overwhelmingly that female carers would be preferred if talking about 'women's problems'.  All carers (including the male ones) said they would feel comfortable talking to the women under their care about the menopause and that they would answer any questions to the best of their ability. Many also drew attention to the need for better training on how to do this should it become their responsibility.  Information or training that was identified by the carers as useful included: - symptom identification - advice on explaining physiological changes - information on alternates to hormone replacement therapy.  Type of resources suggested as useful for women with intellectual disabilities were: - talking books - videos	
		- booklets.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Several carers recognised that few women with intellectual disabilities had the opportunities to talk to others about the menopause and specifically suggested that a local women's group or menopause clinic would be helpful as it would allow the women to talk to other women like themselves going through the same experience.	

#### Review question 3 – critical appraisal tables – effectiveness

1. Van Puyenbroeck J, Maes B (2009) The effect of reminiscence group work on life satisfaction, self-esteem and mood of ageing people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 22: 23–33

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To explore 'what is the	Was the exposure to the	Does the study's research	Overall
effect of a narrative reminiscence	intervention and comparison as	question match the review	assessment
group programme on subjective well-	intended?	question?	of internal
being of ageing people with	Yes. No deviations were described.		validity: +
intellectual disabilities?' (p24).		Yes. Effects of a training	
	Was contamination acceptably	intervention for older people	Overall
Methodology: Other. Quasi-	low?	with learning disabilities.	assessment
experimental pre-test post-test	Partly. All participants did both		of external
design.	conditions in an ABA style, and	Has the study dealt	validity: +
_	crossover effects were considered	appropriately with any	
		ethical concerns?	

Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
		validity rating
and accounted for in the analysis and	1	
appraisal.	given to ethics within the	
	design, e.g. making sure	
Were outcomes relevant? Yes. The	everyone receives the same	
rational for each outcome measure	intervention and travelling	
was accounted for in the theoretical	between on account of limited	
underpinning of the study.	mobility of participants. Details	
	on consent procedures or	
Were outcome measures reliable?	approval board are not given	
Yes. Detailed metrics and background	though.	
were given for all measures.		
	Were service users involved	
Were all outcome measurements	in the design of the study?	
complete? Yes. Measures and their	No. However the outcome	
interactions reported in good detail.	measures used were ones that	
	had been previously developed	
-		
assessed? Yes, including a specific	, ,	
focus on acceptability and enjoyment		
of the programme.	Is there a clear focus on the	
	guideline topic?	
	Yes. Pioneers the use of an	
	established therapeutic training	
impact well, but no long term effects -		
which may have been the most		
relevant as this was not currently a	]	
	analysis and accounted for in the analysis and appraisal.  Were outcomes relevant? Yes. The rational for each outcome measure was accounted for in the theoretical underpinning of the study.  Were outcome measures reliable? Yes. Detailed metrics and background were given for all measures.  Were all outcome measurements complete? Yes. Measures and their interactions reported in good detail.  Were all important outcomes assessed? Yes, including a specific focus on acceptability and enjoyment of the programme.  Was follow-up time meaningful? Partly. It captured the immediate impact well, but no long term effects - which may have been the most	analysis and accounted for in the analysis and appraisal.  Were outcomes relevant? Yes. The rational for each outcome measure was accounted for in the theoretical underpinning of the study.  Were outcome measures reliable? Yes. Detailed metrics and background were given for all measures.  Were all outcome measurements complete? Yes. Measures and their interactions reported in good detail.  Were all important outcomes assessed? Yes, including a specific focus on acceptability and enjoyment of the programme.  Was follow-up time meaningful? Partly. It captured the immediate impact well, but no long term effects which may have been the most  Partly. Some consideration given to ethics within the design, e.g. making sure everyone receives the same intervention and travelling between on account of limited mobility of participants. Details on consent procedures or approval board are not given though.  Were service users involved in the design of the study? No. However the outcome measures used were ones that had been previously developed with people with learning disabilities.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Pioneers the use of an established therapeutic training technique with older people with learning disabilities.

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
sample	analysis	-	validity rating
All participants took part in both	low-mood population (e.g. look for	Is the study population the	
conditions (dummy and	resilience).	same as at least 1 of the	
reminiscence).		groups covered by the	
	Were the analytical methods	guideline? Yes. Ageing	
Were participants blinded? Blind.	appropriate? Yes. Yes, efforts were	people with learning	
Participants were not aware until	taken to compare over time and look	disabilities.	
afterwards which sessions were the	for intervention effects as well as		
true reminiscence sessions, and	crossover effects, and the effects of	Is the study setting the same	
which were the dummy sessions.	several demographics – just the	as at least 1 of the settings	
	findings were not especially	covered by the guideline?	
Were providers blinded? Not blind.	conclusive.	Yes. Six long-term care	
The researcher who ran the sessions		facilities in Belgium.	
and assessed the outcomes was not	Were exposure and comparison		
blinded.	groups similar at baseline? If not,	Does the study relate to at	
	were these adjusted? Partly.	least 1 of the activities	
Were investigators, outcome	Participants did all conditions.	covered by the guideline?	
assessors, researchers, etc.,	·	Yes.	
blinded? Not blind. The researcher	Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis		
who ran the sessions and assessed	conducted? Not reported.	Are the study outcomes	
the outcomes was not blinded.		relevant to the guideline?	
	Was the study sufficiently powered	Partly. The aim is highly	
Did participants represent the	to detect an intervention effect (if	relevant. While not a great	
target group? Partly. Participants	one exists)? Not reported. No, and	study of effectiveness, it gives	
were older people with mild to	this design wouldn't be ideal for	some useful insights and	
moderate learning disabilities. Within		lessons on feasibility of this	
the participant set was a reasonable			

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
sample	analysis		validity rating
mix of age, gender and functioning.	detecting one anyway – due to order	kind of intervention for a field	
However the study's rationale	effects and no control group.	that's in its infancy.	
suggested reminiscence was effective			
to alleviate mood disorders and this	Were the estimates of effect size	Was the study conducted in	
was not controlled for participation.	<b>given or calculable?</b> No. Probably would have needed a far larger	the UK? No. Belgium.	
Were all participants accounted for	sample as it was looking to make		
at study conclusion? Yes. Two	improvements in healthy people, and		
dropouts at the very start from initial	only looking short term. May not even		
43, and these are discussed.	be possible with this design type.		
	Was the precision of intervention		
	effects given or calculable? Were		
	they meaningful? Not reported.		
	Do conclusions match findings?		
	Yes. The conclusions were modest in		
	a way that was similar to the findings,		
	they couldn't really show an effect		
	and the only finding they could be		
	confident in was that the intervention		
	was acceptable to the participants.		

#### Review question 3 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Cardol M, Rijken M, van Schrojenstein Lantman-de Valk H (2012) People with mild to moderate intellectual disability talking about their diabetes and how they manage. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 56: 351–60

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The study aimed to	How well was the data collection	Does the study's research	Overall
answer the following two research	carried out? Somewhat	question match the review	assessment
questions: '(1) What perceptions of	appropriately. The interview protocol	question? Partly. The study	of internal
diabetes do people with mild or	was developed together with a person	relates to management of	validity: ++
moderate intellectual disability have?	w intellectual disabilities, and involved	diabetes, but advice and	
(2) What factors are related to the	the use of pictographs to help with	training is related to the ways	
self-management of the disease?'	comprehension. The researcher	that older people with learning	
(p352).	conducted all the interviews	disabilities cope.	Overall
	themselves, which may leave some		assessment
Methodology: Semi-structured	room for bias.	Has the study dealt	of external
interview.		appropriately with any	validity: +
	Is the context clearly described?	ethical concerns?	
Is a qualitative approach	Clear. Detailed outline of the settings	Yes. Privacy protection	
appropriate? Appropriate to the	and context is given on pp353/4.	guidelines and Dutch ethics	
objectives.		laws were followed. All	
	Was the sampling carried out in an	participants were given	
Is the study clear in what it seeks	appropriate way? Appropriate. The	information in written and oral	
to do? Clear. Seek the perceptions of	panel used for recruitment is outlined	form before being asked to	
people with intellectual disabilities	in detail at the bottom of p353. The	consent to participation.	
and diabetes, and ask them about	panel is deliberately representative of		
	the wider Dutch population, and from		

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
sample	analysis		validity rating
factors that are related to self-	within this subset an opportunity	Were service users involved	
management.	sample of those with LD and diabetes	in the study? Yes. The	
	was approached. Not all were	interview protocol was	
How defensible/rigorous is the	interviewed – at least 24 were	developed with people with	
research design/methodology?	identified but they stopped at 17 once	intellectual disabilities, and it	
Defensible. The interviews were	they had met data saturation.	evolved as data was collected	
grounded in theory (Leventhal's	However this is standard practice in a	based on the feedback of the	
Common Sense Model 1997) and	study that seeks qualitative data	participants.	
had objectives on what they sought to	rather than details about numbers in a		
understand which required a	population.	Is there a clear focus on the	
qualitative approach.		guideline topic? Partly.	
	Were the methods reliable?	Relates to older people with	
	Somewhat reliable. The interview	learning disabilities, but the	
	protocols were developed from a	focus here is more on the	
	strong theoretical foundation	absence of training rather than	
	alongside people with intellectual	what works.	
	disabilities themselves, and the		
	interviews were conducted accessibly	Is the study population the	
	and consistently. The only issue is	same as at least 1 of the	
	that the same one researcher	groups covered by the	
	conducted the interviews and analysis	guideline? Partly. People with	
	and write-up, which may lead to some	learning disabilities and	
	undue influence at across these	diabetes. Not all were aged	
	stages.	over 40, but vast majority were	
	_		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
•	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. Themes	and diabetes has a significant	, ,
	are logical and backed up with a	age component.	
	strong set of quotes.		
		Is the study setting the same	
	Is the analysis reliable?	as at least 1 of the settings	
	Reliable. The analysis was well	covered by the guideline?	
	described and theoretically grounded	Yes. Living at family home or	
	on p254, and other researchers were	in supported accommodation.	
	brought in to verify and develop the		
	findings.	Does the study relate to at	
		least 1 of the activities	
	Are the findings convincing?	covered by the guideline?	
	Convincing. Logical themes that are well supported by quotes.	Yes.	
		Are the views and	
	Are the conclusions adequate?	experiences reported	
	Adequate. They match closely with	relevant to the guideline?	
	the findings and bring them back into	Yes. They are relevant to the	
	the broader context.	guideline, and the overlap	
		between Q3 and Q7.	
		Does the study have a UK	
		perspective?	
		No. Netherlands.	

# 2. Willis DS (2008) A decade on: what have we learnt about supporting women with intellectual disabilities through the menopause? Journal of Intellectual Disabilities 12: 9–23

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
sample	analysis		validity rating
Study aim: This study aimed to	How well was the data collection	Does the study's research	Overall
'explore the women's understanding	carried out? Appropriately. The data	question match the review	assessment
and knowledge of the menopause	collection methods are clearly	question? Partly. Among	of internal
and look at the information that was	described and justified using semi-	other topics it concerns the	validity: +
available to them' (p13).	structured interviews aided with	information and advice	
	prompts, both developed with experts	received by older women – but	Overall
Methodology: Qualitative study.	by experience. The process of	overall may more closely	assessment
Semi-structured interview	recording and transcribing the data for	match question RQ5.	of external
	analysis appears to be consistent and		validity: +
Is a qualitative approach	appropriate.	Has the study dealt	
appropriate?		appropriately with any	
Appropriate. A qualitative approach	Is the context clearly described?	ethical concerns? Yes.	
allowed for detailed insight into	Clear. The context is given and the	Ethical approval and consent	
knowledge, understanding and	author justifies their decisions.	procedures are well detailed	
experiences of training as per the	Interviews were conducted in a setting	and justified.	
objectives.	of the participant's choice for their		
	comfort, and without the presence of a	Were service users involved	
Is the study clear in what it seeks	carer to avoid particular bias –	in the study? Yes. As well as	
to do? Clear. The objectives make	however this may in turn increase the	being subjects, people with	
this clear.	risk of biasing influence from the	learning disabilities were used	
	researcher.	to pilot the questions, aids and	
How defensible/rigorous is the		prompts used.	
research design/methodology?			
Defensible. Is convincingly described			

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
and justified by the author on p13,	analysis Was the sampling carried out in an	Is there a clear focus on the	validity rating
and seems appropriate to the study	appropriate way? Not sure. The	guideline topic? Partly. It	
objectives.	participants were identified through a	concerns the lessons learned	
Objectives.	gatekeeper, who was a consultant	about helping older people with	
	psychiatrist in intellectual disability.	learning disabilities, but only	
	The relationship between this	partly focuses RQ3's specific	
	gatekeeper, the participants, and the	topic of advice and training.	
	researcher is not clearly described –	topio oi advios and training.	
	but there is a chance that influence	Is the study population the	
	due to the power of this relationship	same as at least 1 of the	
	may have had some effect. This is	groups covered by the	
	briefly mentioned but not further	guideline? Yes.	
	discussed at the end of the paper.		
		Is the study setting the same	
	Were the methods reliable?	as at least 1 of the settings	
	Somewhat reliable. The interview	covered by the guideline?	
	asks about what it intended to ask	Yes. They were visited in a	
	about. However it does not verify this	setting of their choosing.	
	through seeking other sources of		
	insight such as the views of carers or	Does the study relate to at	
	health staff – with the exception of	least 1 of the activities	
	where a search is undertaken for	covered by the guideline?	
	electronic resources on menopause.	Yes.	
	Are the data 'rich'? Poor. The main	Are the views and	
	points of the data are described but	experiences reported	

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
sample	analysis		validity rating
	with little depth or detail, and with few	relevant to the guideline?	
	direct quotes. Diversity among	Yes.	
	perspectives is quite crudely		
	described, using approximations of	Does the study have a UK	
	how many participants answered in a	perspective? Yes.	
	particular direction compared to		
	another. There was some contrast		
	between groups, but not much.		
	Is the analysis reliable? Unreliable.		
	The author is transparent about their		
	methods but they did no cross-		
	checking with second researchers.		
	The analysis was subject to their own		
	interpretations and biases.		
	Are the findings convincing?		
	Convincing. The findings address the		
	objectives in a coherently framed way,		
	with an acceptable (if not overly rich)		
	level of depth, diversity and direct		
	quotes.		
	quotes.		1
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Adequate. The conclusions are		
	sufficiently grounded in the findings,		

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and	External validity	Overall
sample	analysis		validity rating
	and other previous research is utilised		
	to try and explain them. There is		
	consideration to implications, and also		
	some to alternative explanations and		
	some of the limitations of the		
	research.		

## 3. Young AF, Naji S, Kroll T (2012) Support for self-management of cardiovascular disease by people with learning disabilities. Family Practice 29: 467–75

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: This study 'set out to explore service users', carers' and health professionals' views and strategies for self-management and the nature of support needed for	How well was the data collection carried out?  Appropriately. Interview guideline outlined, and interviews transcribed and coded by the researcher, and a	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes.  Has the study dealt	Overall assessment of internal validity: + +
optimal self-management of CVD by people with LD' (p467).	reasonable proportion checked by an external researcher.	appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. Study was board approval, with a	Overall assessment of external validity: ++
Methodology: Qualitative study. Indepth semi-structured interviews based on vignettes with accompanying pictures.	Is the context clearly described?  Not sure. Place and context of recruitment is very clear, however authors don't explain where the	rigorous informed consent process outlined including a supporter.	validity.
Is a qualitative approach appropriate?	interviews themselves took place.	Were service users involved in the study? Yes. Service users were the participants,	

Appropriate. Seeking to understand the experiences and preferences of older people and their carers.

Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear in its aim to explore service users', carers' and health professionals' views and strategies for self-management. Methodology well-honed to find detailed qualitative answers to this.

### How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology?

Defensible. The sample criteria are refined to meet the question. The sampling is by convenience, it's not clear what the time or resource limitations are, but it is likely this was all they could cover. It lays a modest preliminary groundwork for bigger, larger scale studies in future.

Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Somewhat appropriate. Recruited through local GPs over a 10-month period, but as numbers weren't high they also switched to recruiting in local learning disability services. Not entirely consistent method, but a practical solution to low sample numbers.

#### Were the methods reliable?

Reliable. Sought to combine insights from all the major groups involved, bring these together, and draw conclusions that accounted for other related research.

Are the data 'rich'? Rich. A range of quotes to backup and depth to their themes.

Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Double coding and comparison by an external researcher with the work of the original researcher.

and their opinions were consulted open-endedly.

Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Older people with learning disabilities, and experience on how to advise and support them.

Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Older people with learning disabilities.

Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline?
Yes. Older people in private homes or in supported accommodation.

Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.

Are the findings convincing? Convincing.	Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?	
Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate It adds reliable depth and knowledge to the field, and gives practical advice, with reference to previous research.	Yes. Views and experiences of service users are obtained. Participants were also asked to comment and contribute to the questions as they participated.	
	Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

## Review question 3 – critical appraisal tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Willis DS, Wishart JG, Muir WJ (2010) Carer knowledge and experiences with menopause in women with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7(1): 42–8

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To provide findings to complement those from a parallel study which explored the experiences and knowledge of 45 women with	How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately.  Is the context clearly described?	Does the study's research question match the review question? Partly.	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
intellectual disabilities regarding the menopause. Specific aims of this study are: to explore knowledge and	Clear.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. Ethics	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
understanding of the menopause in	Was the sampling carried out in an	approval gained from the NHS's	Overall
these women's carers – to gather	appropriate way? Somewhat	Multi-Centre Research Ethics	assessment
their experiences of supporting the	appropriate. Carers were sourced	Committee (MREC), the NHS	of external
women under their care through the	through home/day centre managers,	Lothian's Local Research Ethics	validity: +
menopause – to identify what	and were recruited from a range of	Committee and Research	
additional help would assist carers in	settings (both residential and day	Development Department and	
providing better support to women	care) but there is no more information	regional social work	
with intellectual disabilities through this transitional period.	given on sampling process.	departments/local authorities.	
•	Were the methods reliable?	Were service users involved	
<b>Methodology:</b> One-to-one interviews.	Somewhat reliable.	in the study?	
		No.	
Is a qualitative approach	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed.		
appropriate?		Is there a clear focus on the	
Appropriate.	Is the analysis reliable? Somewhat reliable – 10% of transcripts were	guideline topic? Yes.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks	analysed by a fellow researcher who	Is the study population the	
to do? Clear.	was not part of the research team and	same as at least 1 of the	
	was very experienced in working with	groups covered by the	
How defensible/rigorous is the	people with intellectual disabilities. A	guideline? Yes.	
research design/methodology?	high level of consensus was reached		
Defensible.	in relation to identified themes.	Is the study setting the same	
		as at least 1 of the settings	
	Are the findings convincing?	covered by the guideline?	
	Somewhat convincing.	Yes.	
			105

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.	
		Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

Review question 4: Information, advice, training and support to families, carers and advocates of older people with learning disabilities

- a. What is the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of providing information, advice, training and support to families, carers and advocates of older people with learning disabilities?
- b. What are the views and experiences of carers about information, advice, training and support provided to families, carers and advocates?
- c. What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about information, advice, training and support for families, carers and advocates?

Review question 4 – Findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Furniss KA, Loverseed A (2012) The views of people who care for adults with Down's syndrome and dementia: a service evaluation. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 40: 318–27

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The article reports on a specialist service for people with Down's syndrome and	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers.  Professionals/practitioners.	Narrative findings: The study identifies three main themes: Knowledge and information, coping and support, and concerns about the future.	Overall assessment of internal validity:
dementia. The service has offered dementia screening and assessment to people with Down's syndrome for	Sample size: Total 13 interviews.	Knowledge and Information Paid Staff: described the knowledge they had developed from formal training around dementia in people with Down's syndrome. Knowledge	Overall assessment of external validity:

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
over 10 years and has also	Intervention: The study	was also derived from experience.	++
developed to offer support and training for carers. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with family	reports on a service designed to support and train the carers of people with Down's syndrome and	Staff said that they would have liked more information about the specific issues related to dementia and Down's syndrome.	
carers, relatives and staff about the impact on them of caring for someone with	offer dementia screening and assessment.	'I couldn't really be sure how much of that was connected to the dementia and how much was connected to her physical condition' (p323).	
Down's syndrome and how the dementia service supports them in this role' (p318).	Delivered by: Staff members (also interviewed)  Delivered to: Relatives and	Staff also said that felt that their knowledge needed to be check to find out if it was correct and relevant.	
Methodology: Qualitative interviews.	carers to people with down's syndrome.  Key components and	Families and carers: said they only became aware of the link between Down's syndrome and dementia when the diagnosis was received.	
Country: UK.  Source of funding: Not reported.	objectives of intervention: The study does not clearly describe the intervention. The paper is not clear about which services it is	Families and carers said that they had little information about how the condition would progress and what services they could access and to know more about the relationship between Down's syndrome and dementia.	
	evaluating or the service that are offered.	'We're completely blank and it would be nice to know something about it' (p323).	
	Follow-up: No follow-up.	Some carers felt that lack of information available led them to rely on informal sources of	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	Costs: Not reported.	information, like word of mouth, which had sometimes led to confusion and misunderstanding.	
		'I only know that they can't communicate, but I don't know much about it' (p323).	
		Families and carers said that they had begun to realise that there was information available, but it was not known about.	
		'You don't realise there is so much out there, you really, really don't. And unless you're told, you won't' (p323).	
		All families and carers said that they did not have enough information about the duration of the illness or the process of the disease.	
		'He [consultant psychiatrist] wouldn't tell me how long it would be because people vary, it could be one or two years I didn't quite know whether that meant he would be bad in two years or quite what' (p323).	
		'And I don't know what is going to happen in the end it's the unknown, it's the dread of the unknown'. (p323).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		All said they lacked information about the impact of the conditions on a person with Down's syndrome.	
		Coping and support The study looked at what contributed to the experience of caring. (In relation to this question, only findings related to support and information are recorded).	
		Paid staff: reported a need for more support than usual around working with people with dementia. This support enables them to diagnose dementia and better understand the needs of the person.	
		'This time round, there is a clear diagnosis it feels like there's a lot of support there' (p324).	
		Family carers: the study found mixed feelings among carers about support they have received from service and professionals. Negative past experience impacted on propensity to seek support.	
		'I don't want to go to social services or anybody unless I really have to because I'm now labelled because I've been through two complaints to get her the care she deserves'	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		(relative) (p324).	
		Carers said that they have seen a lot of professionals and some said that they valued support that was based on relationships, built up over time.	
		'I've seen so many people! I'm getting confused who I've seen and who I haven't' (p324).	
		Others didn't know when to ask for help.	
		'When do you start shouting for help?' (p324).	
		Both staff and carers said that it was important to include relatives and family carers in planning care and support for the person and keeping them informed. Some carers reported feeling excluded from care.	
		Interviewees were asked which services they were currently receiving and what they would be interested in receiving in the future.	
		This part of the study found that family carers found it difficult to identify which services they received. All the staff spoken to said that they had received dementia training and printed information on dementia. Some staff also	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		reported information on: activities, home adaptations, dietary advice, risk assessments and safeguarding.	
		Family carers requested dementia information in a printed format, and one-to-one explanation of dementia with a professional. Advice on communication strategies was another need as was support groups, information sessions and advice about behaviours and activities. Staff was keen to receive information in a variety of formats and advice on emergency planning, as well as behaviour and activities.	

## 2. Janicki MP, Zendell A, DeHaven K (2010) Coping with dementia and older families of adults with Down syndrome. Dementia 9: 391–407

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The authors studied a group of older carers of aging adults with Down syndrome (DS) to	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers – primary carers.  Sample size: Total 17	Narrative findings Only the findings related to information, advice, training or support are extracted here. The study also looks at the medical symptoms of dementia exhibited by the adults with Down's syndrome, amount of care provided by carers and the levels of stress among carers.	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
ascertain what effects such care	primary carers.	The relevant findings relate to decision-making around future care, adaptation to the home environment.	Overall assessment of

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
giving may have	Follow-up: No follow-up.		external
on them given the		Decision-making	validity: +
presence or	Costs: Not reported.	Most carers made the decision to care for the adult at home	
possibility of age-		and few sought staff or agency help with this decision.	
associated decline		Most respondents said that they planned to seek a doctor	
or dementia. The		or specialist's advice around future care, when things	
study also		became challenging. They said they would seek personal care assistance or the help or a sibling and some said they	
examined the		would look to help from a professional 'treatment team'.	
comparative levels		The ability of the adult to remain in the home was felt to be	
of care provided,		dependent their own ability to care in the first instance,	
key signs noted		increased medical needs of the individual and also the level	
when decline was		of support available from other family members or the	
		availability of services to meet heightened needs.	
beginning, the			
subjective burden		Changes to the home environment	
experienced, and		Carers sought help with caring tasks in a number of ways: 23.5% received respite help, 11.8% looked for training on	
what were the key		special care and 5.9% got part-time help in the home;	
associated health		41.2% received no extra help.	
factors when		7. 11 <b>2</b> / 0 10001100 110 0 0 0 110 110 110 110	
carers faced a		Some carers found it hard to find appropriate support, even	
changed level of		if they had financial assistance to pay for it: 'one parent	
care' (p391).		reported she had received governmental financial supports	
		for respite but could not find anyone to provide it' (p399).	
Methodology:		Carers reported gradual changes in the needs of their child	
Qualitative study.		ad for some this meant staff assistance or obtaining	
'Modified		adaptive equipment in the home. Carers noted 'unmet needs from respite services, nutritional assistance and	

Research aims	PICO (population,	Findings	Overall validity
	intervention, comparison, outcomes)		rating
Caregiver Strain Index, Caregiver Burden Survey, Caregiver Concern Survey, Family Health Status Inventory, and the Caregiver Activity	Cateomico	speech therapy' (p400).	
Survey-Intellectual Disabilities (CAS-ID)' (p395).  Country: USA			

# 3. McLaughlin K, Jones A (2011) 'It's all changed': carers' experiences of caring for adults who have Down's syndrome and dementia. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 39: 57–63

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'This study aims to add to the current	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers –	Narrative findings Only the findings relating to information, advice training and support have been extracted. Carers described information needs pre- and post-dementia diagnosis. The study organises its findings under thematic headings.	Overall assessment of

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
knowledge base by describing the information and support needs of carers who are caring for adults who have Down's syndrome and dementia. As a result of this study, more will be known about how information needs change as the dementia progresses as well as discussing the information needs of carers before dementia is diagnosed'	carers experiences of caring.  Sample size: Total 6 carers.  Follow-up: No follow-up.  Costs: Not reported.	Pre-diagnosis Information needs were at their highest before diagnosis, when carers had begun to notice changes in behaviour. The study found that carers did not necessarily realise the significance of the behavioural changes.  Carers did not seek information or advice because they did not realise the changes could be associated with dementia.  'I didn't say anything because again I thought that perhaps it's me being a bit [pause] oh why is he doing that? But they noticed at the day centre that he wasn't opening his lunch boxes and they mentioned it to the community nurse and well she made an appointment to see the doctor' (p60).  Diagnosis Diagnosis led to new information needs about the implications of the diagnosis and the progress of the disease.  'We had some information given to us by Dr a couple of months ago but a lot of it was verbal' (p60).  Some carers had had questions about the different types of dementia. 'Although the carers noticed that the dementias produced different symptoms, they were not aware of the specific type of dementia that each adult had' (p60).	internal validity: +  Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
(p58).		'We have another service user who suffers from dementia and obviously everyone is an individual and it was totally different with him' (p60).	
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative study.		Carers were happy to get a diagnosis but had questions about the progress of the disease.	
Country: UK.		'I would like to know how long a Down's syndrome could last with Alzheimer's' (p60).	
Country: On w		Post-diagnosis Post-diagnosis carers sought practical and emotional support from 'family, friends and professionals' (p60).	
		'Yeah as I said I'm alright for support because I've got my relative next door but if I didn't have her I probably would be glad of some support but I'm finishing now in March as I said and once that happens I'll be alright then 'cause I'll be here all the time' (p60).	
		Changing needs Carers who became more involved with the care of the person with dementia had increased information needs.	
		At first existing support was able to assist with changing behaviour due to dementia. Post-diagnosis, the increase in medical appointments meant more carers involvement. Carers needed more information and more support at this stage.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		'Extra professional and familial support may now be necessary as the adult with Down's syndrome becomes increasingly affected by the dementia' (p61).	
		Post-diagnosis, carers reported that their information needs lessened. Carers said that they had gathered all the information they needed about dementia symptoms and the progress of the disease. Carers believe that the disease would progress quickly and the person with dementia would need extra support.	
		'I'm told that it's going to get worse and when that happens like I said as much as I love him he'll have to go into care. I couldn't do it no more it's stressful for me and hurtful for me too' (p61).	
		The study found that carers may be a lack of information about support available to them. Carers did not know about respite and other services. Carers learnt of extra support through interactions with professionals. Sometimes by chance.	
		'The social worker has been very helpful he's been good. He's got respite for us you know every month we get four nights. It makes a big difference' (p61).	
		'It was through the nurse that I had the chair. I was telling her how difficult it was when I was coming downstairs to the toilet with him and I couldn't get him back up. So she said about getting a chair for the bedroom for him and within a week of saying that I got the chair for the bedroom and that's a Godsend' (p61).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		The study surmises that information needs change with each stage of the onset and progress of dementia. Some carers amassed a lot of information at diagnosis and others sought new information as needs changed. Supported needs increased, especially if services did not have capacity to help and the carers lack support. Carers could become isolated and in financial difficulty if they could not work.	
		'Once my husband died I was scuppered' (p61).	

# 4. Tozer R, Atkin K (2015) 'Recognized, valued and supported'? The experiences of adult siblings of people with autism plus learning disability. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 28: 341–51

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The study aimed to explore the potential of adult siblings to offer support to their brother or sister	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers. Adults with learning disabilities were interviewed as well but not	Narrative findings Note: there are very few findings relevant to our review question. Only 1 of the prompts in the topic guide for discussions with the siblings was likely to focus participants on the question of what support or advice they would need to help them in their role, 'What additional advice or support might be helpful to you?' (p344).	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
	with the aim of providing data that answers own	Approximately a third of the siblings felt unfairly and negatively judged by professionals who didn't seem to appreciate the difficulties in juggling life, work, family and	Overall assessment of external

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
with autism and a learning disability.	review question. Therefore the main focus here is on the findings from the	time spent with the brother or sister with a learning disability. The amount of involvement they were able to have in their siblings' lives varied and whatever they were able to	validity: +
Methodology: Qualitative interviews.	interviews with siblings of adults with a learning	manage, they wanted to be supported in this role by professionals.	
Country: UK.	disability. Also, professionals/practitioners.	One problem was that siblings were often excluded from discussions which had started in the family home, so from the start they were never included in future planning. This wasn't perceived as always being the fault of practitioners,	
Source of funding:	Sample size: Total 21.	some felt their parents had acted as gatekeepers.  Looking to the future, siblings felt they would be taking on	
Government. ESRC.	Outcomes measured: Satisfaction with services. Note: siblings' satisfaction with services.	more responsibilities but they would welcome support from practitioners to do this. Their experience was that practitioners weren't sufficiently proactive in the sense of future planning discussions.	
	Follow-up: No follow-up.	Observations from the authors' discussion Findings suggest that it would be useful if practitioners	
	Costs? No.	began conversations with siblings earlier on in their lives. They could also provide information, a listening ear and practical help. Establishing a dialogue with siblings would be an important step forward compared with current practice (as described in this study). Basically, siblings need to be recognised, valued and supported in their role.	
		The interviews suggest siblings would especially like information and advice about future care options and about	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		bereavement support for their brother or sister.  Findings seem to point to a need for training in social care organisations so that practitioners can work successfully with siblings of adults with a learning disability.	

## Research question 4 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

## 1. Furniss KA, Loverseed A (2012) The views of people who care for adults with Down's syndrome and dementia: a service evaluation. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 40: 318–27

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The article reports on a	How well was the data collection	Does the study's research	Overall
specialist service for people with	carried out?	question match the review	assessment
Down's syndrome and dementia. The	Appropriately. Sampling methods and	question? Partly. The scope of	of internal
service has offered dementia	procedure are well described.	the study is somewhat unclear.	validity: ++
screening and assessment to people		The study presents itself as an	
with Down's syndrome for over 10	Is the context clearly described?	evaluation of a service	
years and has also developed to offer	Not sure. The context is not that	delivering training and support	Overall
support and training for carers. Semi-	clearly described. It is not clear what	for carers, but does not offer a	assessment
structured interviews were conducted	services the interviewees were	detailed description of this	of external
with family carers, relatives and staff	receiving or what training the staff had	service. However, the study	validity: ++
about the impact on them of caring for		does look at the information	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
someone with Down's syndrome and how the dementia service supports	on dementia care, or what services they delivered.	needs of family carers and staff and so has relevance to this	
them in this role' (p318).	Was the sampling carried out in an	question.	
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative interviews.	appropriate way? Appropriate. The sampling was carried out using a	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate?	database of persons on the dementia screening database and with Down's	concerns? Yes.	
Somewhat appropriate. The study does not state its aims that clearly, it describes itself as an evaluation but is more of a qualitative survey of user and practitioner views around information, support and training needs.	syndrome. The study looked for interviewees who were carers to people who had Down's syndrome and dementia and lived with them. Relatives who maintained regular contact with a relative who lived in supported living or residential care or paid staff who provided care to people	Were service users involved in the study? No.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Focus is individuals with Down's syndrome and dementia.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Mixed. The study aims to gather the view of family carers, relatives and staff about the support they receive, but the findings are not tied well to the services offered by the service being evaluated.	with dementia and Down's syndrome.  Were the methods reliable?  Somewhat reliable. The interview procedure is relatively well described, but there is no description of bias risk.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Family carers and professionals.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
How defensible/rigorous is the	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. The	Yes. Family homes, residential	
research design/methodology?  Defensible. The methods are	themes are described well and quotes are provided.	care and supported living.	
defensible for gathering user and		Does the study relate to at	
practitioner views. The study used	Is the analysis reliable? Reliable.	least 1 of the activities	
semi-structured interviews and a	Interviews were transcribed verbatim.	covered by the guideline?	
checklist for interviews to identify	Transcriptions were analysed using	Yes. Information, advice,	
additional service needs.	interpretive phenomenological analysis. The process is described at iterative and generated thematic	training and support.	
	analysis.	Are the study outcomes	
		relevant to the guideline?	
	Are the findings convincing?	Yes. Satisfaction with services	
	Convincing. The themes are presented with verbatim quotes.	use of services.	
	·	Are the views and	
	Are the conclusions adequate?	experiences reported relevant	
	Adequate.	to the guideline? Yes. The	
		views of family carers and	
		practitioners are reported.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

## 2. Janicki MP, Zendell A, DeHaven K (2010) Coping with dementia and older families of adults with Down syndrome. Dementia 9: 391–407

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The authors studied a	How well was the data collection	Does the study's research	Overall
group of older carers of aging adults	carried out? Not sure/inadequately	question match the review	assessment
with Down syndrome (DS) to	reported. Data collection procedure is	question? Partly. Only some of	of internal
ascertain what effects such care	not well described. We only learn that	the findings from this study are	validity: +
giving may have on them given the	the sample were 'assisted' in	relevant to the review question.	
presence or possibility of age-	completing the instruments and forms.	The findings from the study are	
associated decline or dementia. The		more generally about the effect	Overall
study also examined the comparative	Is the context clearly described?	of care giving on carers and	assessment
levels of care provided, key signs	Clear. The characteristics of the	their care practices. The study	of external
noted when decline was beginning,	respondents and their caring	does have some findings about	validity: +
the subjective burden experienced,	circumstances are well described.	sources of advice support and	
and what were the key associated		training.	
health factors when carers faced a	Was the sampling carried out in an		
changed level of care' (p391).	appropriate way? Not sure. The	Has the study dealt	
	study uses a convenience sample	appropriately with any ethical	
Methodology: Qualitative study.	gained via word of mouth or internet	concerns? No. Not mentioned.	
'Modified Caregiver Strain Index,	solicitation.		
Caregiver Burden Survey, Caregiver		Were service users involved	
Concern Survey, Family Health	Were the methods reliable? Not	in the study?	
Status Inventory, and the Caregiver	sure. The methods of data collection are not well described we are not	No.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Activity Survey-Intellectual Disabilities	given key details about who assisted	Is there a clear focus on the	
(CAS-ID)' (p395).	with the forms and when and where	guideline topic? Yes.	
	the collection was carried out.		
Is a qualitative approach		Is the study population the	
<b>appropriate?</b> Somewhat appropriate.	Are the data 'rich'? Poor. Data is not	same as at least 1 of the	
The approach and instruments are	rich, we are given few verbatim	groups covered by the	
well described but do not gain rich	quotes, and most of the findings are	guideline? Yes. Older people	
qualitative data as they were a	expressed in percentages with some	with Down's syndrome and	
combination of survey-type	thematic description.	dementia.	
instruments, which gave mainly Likert			
or tick-box style responses.	Is the analysis reliable? Not sure/not	, ,	
	reported. Analysis methods are	as at least 1 of the settings	
Is the study clear in what it seeks	reported. We are not provided tables	covered by the guideline?	
to do? Clear. The study clearly	with findings from survey instruments.	Yes. Family home.	
outlines its intension to gain	Are the findings convincing?	Door the study valety to st	
information on the effects of care	Are the findings convincing?	Does the study relate to at	
giving, levels of care giver burden and	Somewhat convincing. The findings	least 1 of the activities	
the factors that influenced changing	are convincing given the description	covered by the guideline?	
levels and sources of care.	of the instruments used, but the	Yes. Information, advice,	
How defensible/viscous is the	quality is let down by a lack of	training and support are	
How defensible/rigorous is the	description around data collection and	mentioned but are not the focus	
research design/methodology?	analysis.	of the paper.	
Defensible. The study describes its	Are the conclusions adequate?	Are the views and	
approach in detail including the	Somewhat adequate. The themes are		
function of each instrument in turn.	Somewhat adequate. The themes are	experiences reported relevant	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	not always internally coherent, with findings that so not necessarily correspond with the heading theme being included in some sections. At times the finding are not backed up by percentages from the relevant instrument, making it hard to know where they originate.	to the guideline? Yes. The views are relevant in the sections in which information, support and advice are mentioned.  Does the study have a UK perspective? No. USA.	

# 3. McLaughlin K, Jones A (2011) 'It's all changed': carers' experiences of caring for adults who have Down's syndrome and dementia. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 39: 57–63

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'This study aims to add to the current knowledge base by describing the information and support needs of carers who are caring for adults who have Down's syndrome and dementia. As a result	How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. The sampling was purposive, the sample size very small. We do not learn about the development of a discussion guide but the interviews	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes. The study explicitly seeks views and experiences about information and support needs.	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
of this study, more will be known about how information needs change as the dementia progresses as well as discussing the information needs	are describes as 'unstructured'.  Is the context clearly described?  Unclear. The context is not well described, we do not learn much	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes.	Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
of carers before dementia is	about the characteristics of the carers	Were service users involved	
diagnosed' (p58).	or those they were caring for. Bias or	in the study? No.	
	the influence of the researchers is not		
Methodology: Qualitative study.	discussed.	Is there a clear focus on the	
		guideline topic? Yes.	
Is a qualitative approach	Was the sampling carried out in an		
appropriate?	appropriate way? Somewhat	Is the study population the	
Appropriate. Appropriate for gathering	appropriate. Purposive sampling. But	same as at least 1 of the	
views and experiences.	we learn little of the context or how	groups covered by the	
	representative the sample may be.	guideline? Yes. The ages of	
Is the study clear in what it seeks		the people with Down's	
to do? Clear. Aims and objectives are	Were the methods reliable?	syndrome and dementia are not	
clearly described.	Somewhat reliable. Data is only	given, but the review team felt it	
	collected via one method and the	reasonable to assume they	
How defensible/rigorous is the	sample is very small.	were middle aged or older,	
research design/methodology?		given the dementia diagnosis.	
Defensible. The study describes its	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. Some of		
interviews as in-depth, and took place	the themes are backed up with	Is the study setting the same	
in carers' homes or workplaces. All	verbatim quotes, but some of the	as at least 1 of the settings	
the carers had to have been caring for	points are not and the results read	covered by the guideline?	
a person with Down's syndrome and	more like the 'impressions' of the	Yes. Community setting and	
dementia for 6 months or more.	researcher than solid findings. The	supported living or group	
	themes are a little muddled, but there	homes.	
	are some valuable findings around		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	the progress of carers information	Does the study relate to at	
	needs as dementia progresses.	least 1 of the activities	
	Is the analysis reliable? Not sure/not reported. We do not learn about the transcription or analysis	Yes. Information, support and advice.	
	methods. These are not described.	Are the views and	
	The study only reports on peer review	experiences reported relevant	
	at the end of the process.	to the guideline? Yes. The	
		views and experiences of carers	
	Are the findings convincing?	(paid and unpaid).	
	Somewhat convincing. There are		
	issues with the quality of describing	Does the study have a UK	
	the methods and a lack of detail	perspective? Yes.	
	around participant characteristics.		
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Somewhat adequate. There seems to		
	be some issues with the interpretation		
	of findings and conclusions. In places		
	the findings are not well organised.		

4. Tozer R, Atkin K (2015) 'Recognized, valued and supported'? The experiences of adult siblings of people with autism plus learning disability. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 28: 341–51

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The study aimed to	How well was the data collection	Does the study's research	Overall
explore the potential of adult siblings	carried out? Appropriately. Data	question match the review	assessment
to offer support to their brother or	collection methods are clearly	question? Partly. The research	of internal
sister with autism and a learning	described and data collection and	touches on the need for advice	validity: +
disability.	record keeping are systematic. The	and support among siblings.	
	only obvious shortcoming was that		Overall
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative interviews.	siblings were always present during	Has the study dealt	assessment
	the interviews with their learning	appropriately with any ethical	of external
Is a qualitative approach	disabled brother or sister. Although	concerns? Partly. Ethical	validity: +
appropriate?	the advantages of this are clear it	approval was obtained from the	
Appropriate. Because the research	does introduce the possibility that the	university ethics committee.	
seeks to understand the subjective	responses of the learning disabled	Consent was gained from all	
experiences of siblings of adults with	adults would be influenced by the	interviewees – for adults with a	
learning disabilities and their feelings	presence of their sibling. However,	learning disability, their sibling	
and opinions about current and future	given that only the data gathered from	helped to facilitate the	
support.	the sibling interviews are reviewed	consent/assent process.	
	here, this issue does not undermine	However, there is no mention of	
Is the study clear in what it seeks	the validity of data collection.	the transcripts being	
to do? Mixed. The purpose of the		anonymised and or stored	
study is discussed in broad terms but	Is the context clearly described?	safely and in line with the	
there are no sections describing aims	Unclear. The characteristics of the	principles of the data protection	
and objectives and no specific	participants and settings are not	act.	
research question. There is no clear	clearly defined – all we know is the		
discussion about an underlying	ages of participants and their siblings.	Were service users involved	
theory. There is however adequate	Data was only gathered in one	in the study? Yes. As	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
and appropriate reference to the	situation and no observations were	participants plus 3 siblings were	
literature.	made that would have provided the	members of the project advisory	
	opportunity for triangulation. The	group, which contributed to the	
How defensible/rigorous is the	influence of the researcher was not	drafting of the interview topic	
research design/methodology?	explicitly was considered but to their	guide.	
Defensible. There are clear accounts	credit, the team did employ visual and		
of sampling and the justification,	other techniques to help facilitate	Is there a clear focus on the	
namely that no representative	discussions and improve engagement	guideline topic?	
sampling frame exists, which is why	with the learning disabled adult.	Partly. Relevant to the broad	
convenience sampling was the		topic of care and support for	
appropriate method. Respondents	Was the sampling carried out in an	older people with learning	
were self-selecting in response to	appropriate way? Somewhat	disabilities but in terms of the	
adverts via national networks.	appropriate. Recruitment of siblings	review question, the research	
Sampling of practitioners was via the	was conducted appropriately – via	wasn't entirely relevant.	
non-disabled siblings, which	convenience sampling (no		
introduces a risk of bias if there are	representative sampling frame exists	Is the study population the	
particular reasons for some – and not	in the UK). Sampling of practitioners	same as at least 1 of the	
other – practitioners being nominated.	was a little less robust in that they	groups covered by the	
There is a clear rationale for the data	were identified by the participating	guideline? Partly. The age	
collection and methods of analysis.	siblings who may have had specific	range of the adults with autism	
Notably the analysis involved debate	reasons for choosing the	and a learning disability is 24 to	
and the identification of themes	professionals - and indeed, not	65 years so some but not all	
among the whole research team plus	choosing others.	could be described as 'older'.	
feedback sessions with over half the			
sibling respondents to test the			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
researchers' interpretation.	Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. Data were only gathered via one method (interviews) and without observations or other collection methods, there is no opportunity for triangulation. They do nevertheless investigate what they claim to.  Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. How well are the contexts of the data are not described in a lot of detail – we know whether participants have brothers or sisters and we know their ages but little else. In particular, for our purposes it would have been illuminating to have responses compared by age group of the learning disabled sibling, but they were not. In addition, there is little by way of conflicting data or contrasting findings presented.  Is the analysis reliable?	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly. Not all are the views of older people with learning disabilities or siblings of older people with learning disabilities (age range of adults with LD is 24–65 years).  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	
	Reliable. More than one researcher		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	was involved in the data analysis and		
	to their credit, the team conducted a		
	feedback session with participants in		
	order to aid interpretation.		
	Are the findings convincing?		
	Convincing. The findings are clearly		
	presented and supported by extracts		
	from the original data. The data are		
	also clear and coherent and		
	organised according to themes for		
	clarity.		
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Adequate. There are clear links		
	between data, interpretation and		
	conclusions and the conclusions		
	seem to be plausible and coherent.		
	They are discussed in the context of		
	existing research on the topic and		
	they do enhance understanding of the		
	needs of siblings who wish to be		
	involved in supporting their learning		
	disabled brother or sister in		
	adulthood. One drawback is that there		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	is no discussion about the limitations		
	of the research design except to say		
	that the sampling method meant that		
	only siblings who wanted a role in		
	their brother's or sister's lives were		
	likely to self-select for participation.		

Review question 5. Access and referral to health, social care and housing support services:

a. What is the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions or approaches to improve access and referral to health, social care and housing support services for older people with learning disabilities?

Review question 5b. What are the views and experiences of older people with learning disabilities and their carers about interventions or approaches to improve access and referral to health, social care and housing support services?

Review question 5c. What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about interventions or approaches to improve access and referral to health, social care and housing support services for older people with learning disabilities?

Review question 5 – findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. MacGiolla Phadraig C, Burke E, McCallion P et al. (2014) Dental attendance among older adults with intellectual disabilities in Ireland. Special care in dentistry: official publication of the American Association of Hospital Dentists, the Academy of Dentistry for the Handicapped, and the American Society for Geriatric Dentistry 34: 265–72

Research aims.	PICO (population,	Findings.	Overall validity
	intervention,		rating.
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim: From	Participants: Service	Quantitative findings	Overall
the abstract, "To	users and their families,	(note that no effect size data was provided)	assessment of
investigate dental	partners and carers.		internal
attendance	People with intellectual	These figures describe dental attendance by demographic	validity
patterns and	disability over 40 years of	characteristic:	(qualitative): +
reasons for	age was randomly selected	(Irregular attendee = not attended within last 2 years	
nonattendance	from the National	Regular attendee = attended within last 2 years)	
among older	Intellectual Disability		Overall
adults with	Database.	TOTAL 727 (100%) Irregular attendee, 98 (13.5%) Regular	assessment of
intellectual	_	attendee 629 (86.5%)	external
disabilities (ID) in	Sample size: 727		validity
Ireland." (p265)		GENDER >.05	(quantitative):
	Intervention	MALE 330 (45.4%) Irregular attendee, 45 (13.5%) Regular	++
Methodology:	Intervention category	attendee 285 (86.4%)	
Mixed methods	- Access to health care	FEMALE 397 (54.6%) Irregular attendee, 53 (13.4%)	
study. A cross-		Regular attendee 344 (86.6%)	
sectional survey of	Describe intervention		
quantitative data	- Dental visits	AGE <.01	
and text analysis		40-49, 268 (36.9%) Irregular attendee, 28 (10.4%) Regular	
of qualitative data	Delivered by - Dentists or	attendee 240 (89.6%)	
drawn from a	dental hygienists	50-64, 328 (45.2%) Irregular attendee, 40 (12.2%) Regular	
nationally	Dall and to Add to 30	attendee 288 (87.8%)	
representative	Delivered to - Adults with	65+ 130 (17.9%) Irregular attendee, 30 (23.1%) Regular	

sample of 753	learning disabilities	attendee 100 (76.9%)
people with ID		
over 40 years of	<ul> <li>Duration, frequency,</li> </ul>	TYPE OF RESIDENCE <.01
age in Ireland.	intensity, etc. – Varied	Independent/ family 125 (17.2%) Irregular attendee, 27
		(21.6%) Regular attendee 98 (78.4%)
Country: Republic	<ul> <li>Key components and</li> </ul>	Community group home 261 (35.9%) Irregular attendee, 23
of Ireland.	objectives of intervention	(8.8%) Regular attendee 238 (91.2%)
	- Not applicable	Residential care 341 (46.9%) Irregular attendee, 48
Source of		(14.1%) Regular attendee 293 (85.9%)
funding: Not	<ul> <li>Content/session titles</li> </ul>	
stated.	- Not applicable	LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY >.05
		Mild 158 (23.6%) Irregular attendee, 26 (16.5%) Regular
	<ul> <li>Location/place of delivery</li> </ul>	attendee 132 (83.5%)
	- Details not provided	Moderate 315 (47.0%) Irregular attendee, 36 (11.4%)
		Regular attendee 279 (88.6%)
	<ul> <li>Describe comparison</li> </ul>	Severe/ profound 197 (29.4%) Irregular attendee, 24
	intervention - Not	(12.2%) Regular attendee 173 (87.6%)
	applicable	
		Qualitative findings
	Outcomes measured	Note that gender and level of disability were not associated
	<ul> <li>Service user related</li> </ul>	with frequency of attendance but age and type of residence
	outcomes - Factors	were. The older the adult, the less likely they were to be
	affecting frequency of	regular attendees.
	dentist visits	
		Type of residence (p < .01): the proportion of regular
	<ul> <li>Service outcomes</li> </ul>	attendees was lowest among people living independently
	- Dental attendance	(78.4%), compared with those in residential settings
		(85.9%) and highest among those in community group
	Follow-up: None.	homes (91.2%). There was a similar pattern with
	-	attendance in the last year: 76/125 (60.8%) of people living
	Costs? No.	independently reportedly attended the dentist in the last
		year, compared to 253/341 (74.2%) in residential settings

and 197/261 (75.5%) in community group homes.

Reasons for not attending:

#### LACK OF PERCEIVED NEED

- Edentulism
- 48 responses within category (6.4% of total sample and 31.0% of those included in text analysis)
- Unnecessary
- 38 responses within category (5% of total sample and 24.5% of those included in text analysis)
- Lack of pain/ problems
- 32 responses within category (4.2% of total sample and 20.6% of those included in text analysis)

#### ABILITY AND PERSONAL CHOICE

- Preference
- 10 responses within category (1.3% of total sample and 7.7% of those included in text analysis)
- Cooperation/ ability
- 7 responses within category (.9% of total sample and 4.5% of those included in text analysis)

### ACCESS AND AVAILABILITY

- Access to services
- 6 responses within category (.8% of total sample and 3.9% of those included in text analysis)

### **EXCLUDED**

Reason not known 12 (1.6% of total sample and 7.7% of those included in text analysis)

Lack of perceived need - "I have no teeth and I have no problems with my mouth". (p268) So there is a misperception about the need for dental care - even if someone has no teeth, they should still attend dental checks where, for example, the early signs of mouth cancers can be identified.

Ability and personal preference - included mobility problems preventing a person physically accessing the dentist. Also fear, when people were scared of the dentist they won't access it, "I am terrified of a dentist - I had a terrible experience when I was a child..." (p268)

Access and availability - e.g. barriers arising from interactions between dental and disability services, "Currently there is no

dental service available within the (disability) service, however, when the (disability) service moves into the community...which is in operation at the moment...there will be a (dental) service available." (p268) Also a lack of general anaesthetic facilities - one person used to have her teeth cleaned under GA but due to funding cuts this is no longer available so she hasn't had them cleaned for 3 years.

From discussions; residential setting was an important factor in determining access to dental services, "three quarters of those in community group homes and residential centres reported dental attendance within the last year." (p269) Unsurprising since dentists generally visit selected residential services annually and people outside the residential system aren't so easily targeted.

Age - irregular attendance was associated with increasing age, "rising from 10% of 40–49 year olds to 23% of those 65+ year olds." (p269) This trend is reflected in the general population and suggests that the proportion of people failing to access dental services will increase as the LD population ages.	
In other research barriers to access are emphasized more than in this study, which found that irregular attendance was more attributable to personal choice.	

# 2. Swaine JG, Dababnah S, Parish SL et al. (2013) Family caregivers' perspectives on barriers and facilitators of cervical and breast cancer screening for women with intellectual disability. Intellectual and developmental disabilities 51: 62–73

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison,	Findings	Overall validity rating
	outcomes)		
Study aim: 'The	Participants: Service	Clinical Breast exam (p66)	Overall
present study	users and their families,	In 83% of cases the women with intellectual disability had	assessment of
addressed the	partners and carers – 32	received clinical breast exams, and nearly 80% had had 1	internal
following research	female familial caregivers	within the past year. Many of the women had reportedly	validity: ++
questions: (a)	of women with intellectual	been comfortable with the exam, and family caregivers	-
What are family	disabilities. Of these 25	offered 3 main reasons: first, the doctor and/or family	Overall
caregivers'	(78%) were mothers, 3	caregiver explained the procedure. Second, the doctor's	assessment of
perspectives on	(9%) were grandmothers, 3	gender was female. Finally, the woman with intellectual	external
why women with	(9%) were sisters, and 1	disability was familiar with the doctor. Some caregivers	validity: ++
intellectual	(3%) was an aunt.	emphasised the importance of being in the room with the	
disability do not		participant during the exam, and several stated they would	
receive cervical	Sample size: Total 32	not allow an exam outside of their presence.	
and breast cancer	completed responders out	Some reasons were given why some women with	
screening? (b)	of initial sample of 50.	intellectual disabilities had felt uncomfortable. Many were	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
What are family		generally shy or embarrassed about being touched.	
caregivers'	Follow-up: None.	A minority of the women hadn't received a breast exam.	
perspectives on		The most common reason was the caregiver's belief that	
the comfort women	Costs: Not reported.	the exams were unnecessary. One caregiver noted her	
with intellectual		sister had a history of sexual abuse and so felt	
disability have with		uncomfortable with the exam.	
respect to cervical			
and breast cancer		Mammogram	
screening? (c)		Eight women with intellectual disability were aged 40 or	
What do family		over at the time of data collection and 75% of these had	
caregivers feel are		received a mammogram. More than half had been in within	
the best ways to		the past year. In most cases the participants had been	
facilitate screening		comfortable with the procedure, and this had been because	
for women with		the relative had been preparing the women for the exam in	
intellectual		advanced. However, 2 of the women had been	
disability? (d) Do		uncomfortable with the exam because the procedure was	
family caregivers		unexpected and they believed it was painful.	
feel that their		Of the 2 that hadn't had a mammogram, one mentioned the	
women family		discomfort of the exam and the other had yet to schedule	
members with		the exam.	
intellectual			
disability receive		Pap tests and pelvic exam	
adequate health		About 3/4 of participants had received pap/pelvic exams,	
care? (e) Are		but only half of those had had an exam in the past year.	
family caregivers		The most common reason for not getting an exam was that	
knowledgeable		the person was not sexually active. Several didn't feel it	
about the need for		was necessary, and stated they hadn't been recommended	
cervical and breast		to by their doctor. Other care givers stated they had	
cancer screening		received hysterectomies and so didn't need further tests.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
among women with intellectual disability?' (p63).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured qualitative		For those that did, many had found the experience quite uncomfortable due to general shyness and unusualness of such contact. Some had found the procedure painful, others actively fought against receiving the procedure. One of the biggest comforters had been when the procedure was explained to the person beforehand. The presence of the relative also helped, and some used calming words and techniques during the procedure. In 2 cases the women	
interviews.  Country: USA.		had had to receive anti-anxiety medication.  Healthcare	
Source of funding: Not reported.		Most family caregivers (87%) reported that their family members with learning disabilities received adequate healthcare. One of the most common reasons identified for this was that they themselves championed this and pushed for proper care. In return they needed medical professionals to make sure appointments were convenient and available to them. Medical knowledge, competence and facilitation with learning disabilities were also identified as very helpful where it existed. For those who said healthcare received was inadequate, the most common issue was Medicare coverage where several types of referral services aren't covered. Also issues with transportation cost were mentioned.	
		Family member's own knowledge of screening 'For clinical breast exams, 50% of family caregivers correctly answered that a woman should typically receive a clinical breast exam yearly. Similarly, 75% of family	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		caregivers of women aged 40 and older correctly reported an annual mammography screening. Finally, 64% of respondents correctly reported guidelines of Pap tests every one to three years depending on age and health history' (p70).	

# 3. Wark S, Canon-Vanry M, Ryan P et al. (2015) Ageing-related experiences of adults with learning disability resident in rural areas: one Australian perspective. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 43: 293–301

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The specific aims were to examine select issues of ageing	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers.	Analysis of the interviews identified a number of key thematic areas, not all of which are relevant to this review question. The relevant areas are as follows.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++
when residing within rural areas, ascertain facilitators and barriers to services, and identify practices	Sample size: Total 17 older adults and 17 carers.  Outcomes measured: Service user related outcomes, experiences of accessing services.	1. Access to health services Access to health care, especially specialist services, was deemed to be a key aspect of having a 'good life'. Participants were happy with the support from their local doctor. 'He knows Dennis really well. He's got a good rapport with Dennis. And he takes on board whatever the staff are telling him as well' (support worker, p297).	Overall assessment of external validity: ++
that may enhance supports for adults living outside metropolitan locales' (p294).	Family or caregiver related outcomes, experiences of accessing services to support older family members with learning	The smaller population in rural areas was sometimes seen as a benefit in terms of being able to access local doctors but on the other hand some people reported long waits for appointments and that the only option would be to go to the emergency department (and wait for hours).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Methodology: Qualitative study. Face-to-face interviews with older people with learning disabilities and nominated carers (family members).  Country: Australia, the states of New South Wales and Queensland.  Source of funding: Not reported.	disabilities.  Follow-up: None.  Costs: Not reported	The big issue seems to have been accessing specialist services. As the person with the learning disability ages they need to see a gerontologist and the chances of this are low since 'We can't even get a GP to some age care facilities it is so hard' (carer for Stephen, age 79, own home) (p298).  Distance seems to be the biggest barrier in rural Australia: 'the tyranny of distance'. 'While there were allied health practitioners with knowledge or specific interests in learning disability and specialist services nominally available, the individuals were required to travel often considerable distances to attend these appointments' (p298). Ken, aged 57, living in a group home, had to travel a 700km round trip to see his health specialist. Also Graeme, aged 54, living in own home, had to get his prescription medication from the next town; 100km away.  2. Limited choices and limited options  Carers identified a clear lack of options for adults ageing with a learning disability. Issue is a lack of services and a lack of choice in provision of services. Even if there are services, there's only one so the person has no choice about which to use. 'That's basically only one option for them at the moment, especially in [this town] and even the rural areas. They won't have anywhere for those people to go, the only option is nursing homes and I don't believe a nursing home is a place for them' (Brenda, a support	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		worker for Dennis, age 55, supported unit) (p298).  If the person isn't happy with the services of a particular place, as they grow older (e.g. a supported unit) the only alternative option was a mainstream residential aged care provider.	
		The authors observe that the right of the individual to make meaningful choices in their life is irrelevant as a philosophy if there are not options from which to select.	

### Review question 5 – findings tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Benbow SM, Kingston P, Bhaumik S (2011) The interface between learning disability and old age psychiatry: two specialties travelling alone or travelling together? Mental Health Review Journal 16: 25–35

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison,	Findings	Overall validity rating
Ctudy sim. The	outcomes)	Despendents left many comments concerning problems in	Overell
Study aim: The	Participants:	Respondents left many comments concerning problems in	Overall
overall aims of the	Professionals/practitioners.	accessing LD and Old Age Psychiatry (OAPry) services for	assessment
interface group	Professionals (primarily	older people with LD and functional mental health problems.	of internal
authoring the	consultants) who were	Each had trouble contacting and accessing each other, and	validity: +
paper included 'to	members of the Royal	sometimes there were disputes as to whose 'territory' the	
look at what	College of Psychiatrists,	person fell into. Some specific areas were raised as hard to	Overall
services were	and working in the fields of	access – e.g. housing, residential care, and social services.	assessment
needed and how	Old Age Psychiatry or		of external

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison,	Findings	Overall validity rating
	outcomes)		
they should be	Learning Disability.	With regards to older people with LD and dementia, many	validity: +
accessed'. With		practitioners commented that there is a need for specific	
regards to this, the	Sample size: Total 444	services in their areas but they don't currently exist to be	
survey aimed to	surveys were returned out	accessed.	
'establish an	of 942 sent out (47%); 415		
overview of current	were from consultants, 15	Respondents commented on the problems accessing the	
service operation	by academics, 12 by	other service (LD practitioners accessing OAPry services or	
and provision'	associate specialists and 2	vice-versa). In some cases LD practitioners commented that	
(p26.)	by others.	OAPry services don't take people with LD. Old age psych	
. ,		practitioners reported problems such as difficulty contacting	
Methodology:	Follow-up: No follow-up.	LD services, or them being on a different site.	
Survey. Postal			
questionnaire, with	Costs: Not reported.	It was concluded that when it came to access the service	
open questions.	'	models that existed were highly variable. Some services had	
		well established protocols for dealing with older people with	
Country: UK.		LD. However for others there was 'no clarity on which	
		service should meet the needs of this group' (p29).	
Source of		(P20).	
funding: Not			
reported.			
Toportou.			

## 2. Coyle CE, Putman M, Kramer J et al. (2016) The role of aging and disability resource centers in serving adults aging with intellectual disabilities and their families: findings from seven states. Journal of Aging and Social Policy 28: 1–14

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To	Participants:	Findings are presented under 3 broad themes.	Overall

develop an understanding of how Aging and Disability Resource Centres (ADRCs) administer resources and support to adults ageing with intellectual and developmental disabilities (I/DD). There were 4 objectives: 1) to describe goals expressed by ADRCs with regards to serving the population of older adults with I/DD and their families. 2) to determine how older adults with I/DD are referred to both ageing and disability resources 3) to understand the range of programme activity geared toward serving older people and their families 4) to identify gaps in resources and services for older adults with I/DD and their families.

Professionals/practitioners
– 7 state ADRC
coordinators (8 were
invited to participate) and
14 local ADRC programme
staff.

(Note that the sample characteristics given below are the characteristics of the aged care facility residents who had a learning disability.)

Sample size: Total 21.

#### Intervention

Intervention category – Information and referral services for adults ageing with a learning disability.

Describe intervention – all 8 states (invited to participate in the study) had been awarded funds to implement the ADRC 'Enhanced Options Counselling (EOC)' programme by the Administration for Community Living. ADRC

### 1. No explicit focus on adults ageing with LD and their families in the ADRC's work.

Across all states ADRC staff were aware of adults with LD as a population; however, they were not considered a specific focus population for their ADRC. Staff views fell into 3 main perspectives about how older people with LD fit into the work of ADRCs:

- i) adults with LD and their families do not have any special needs that would separate them from the broader service population or require a particular approach
- ii) adults with LD will be an important group to target in future but they're not being addressed in the current work of the ADRCs, 'we're always saying that ... this is an issue as people are living longer and parents pass away, but we haven't necessarily carved them out yet' (p5)
- iii) attempts are being made to unite services for older people with services for adults with LD so that the needs of the older LD population can be addressed and these efforts will continue into the future.

### 2. Unique challenges in providing information and referral services result in complexities or gaps in service.

ADRC staff reported 'unique challenges related to accessing and providing information and referral services for the population of adults aging with ... [LD]' (p6). They identified 3 common challenges in

assessment of internal validity: +

Overall assessment of external validity: +

#### Methodology:

Qualitative study. Face-to-face interviews with program coordinators and project staff in 7 **US** states

Country: USA.

Source of funding: Not reported.

Options Counselling is an information and referral programme with a person centred focus. The idea behind EOC is to accelerate the development of mechanisms within existing programs to streamline the intake and eligibility determination processes for older adults with learning disabilities. (Also general background re. ADRCs '... the intention of ADRCs is to facilitate the integration of information and referral services across the LTSS (long-term services and support) system, provide benefits and options counselling about LTSS to support informed decision making by consumers') (p2.

Delivered by – the only detail given is 'local programme staff'.

providing adequate support to older people with LD:

- (i) adults with LD (or their families) often contact the ADRC in times of crisis because they're not already connected with formal services. This is hard for ADRC services because if contact is made (during crisis) it requires more time and resources to support them because they have no prior contact. Staff are therefore starting at the beginning with the person in terms of determining their eligibility and getting access to support for them. In these crisis situations it's also often the case that the ageing parent needs immediate support for example if the situation has arisen because they've been hospitalised.
- (ii) ADRC community outreach doesn't necessarily resonate with the older LD population. ADRC in general doesn't necessarily resonate with the older LD population (or their families) – they don't necessarily identify as 'disabled' so it wouldn't occur to them that the ADRC could provide them with support.
- (iii) differences in needs for service delivery making the provision of information and referral services to older people with LD challenging to a system that's set up for providing support to older people (but not OPLD). Respondents doubted the capacity of staff in ageing services to provide support to this population but also felt that given the silo working (LD vs. OP services) it doesn't seem likely that these problems can be addressed.

Delivered to – older people | Another difference in the need for service delivery

with learning disabilities (over 50 years of age), their families and carers.

Duration, frequency, intensity, etc. – not reported.

Location/place of delivery

– Not reported although
we assume the
programme is delivered in
the resource centre (the
ADRC).

Follow-up: No follow-up.

Costs? No.

that makes it hard for ADRC staff to provide information and referral is that families are often the first point of contact for OPLD so coordinating between families, the OPLD and referral agencies makes it hard to streamline services across ageing and disability services, 'we place a very high priority on getting the primary guidance [from] the person with the disability. [For example] we cannot provide advocacy related to benefits ... unless we are talking directly with the person who has the disability or their legal representative. And so if you have a sibling or a friend who isn't in that position of having the legal representation ... calling...we can't just dive straight into solving the problem. That could definitely be a barrier' (p8).

Two other gaps in services highlighted:

- eligibility requirements based on age mean that younger adults with LD (experiencing accelerated ageing) aren't eligible for the same information and direct services available to the general ageing population
- a lack of services to meet the needs of the growing general population, let alone the growing population of adults with LD who are ageing.
- 3. Long-term services and supports (LTSS) needs of OPLD are seen in parallel with the needs of older people but there isn't appropriate tailoring/delivery models are lacking.

  Staff reported that LTSS services aren't designed to include the OPLD population and in some cases,

where the service could be appropriate it cannot be accessed because of eligibility criteria (sometimes tied to funding).

One exception was housing and home adaptations where it's less challenging to meet the needs of OPLD with universal services. (Includes findings suitable housing and adapting current housing).

In the interviews, staff considered how to meet the needs of OPLD in future.

Cross-training so that staff learn more about OPLD and their families, e.g., 'the physical, cognitive, and mental/social changes that they're going through because they age like everyone else but they age a lot faster. They don't have the same social networking that an average older adult has. And I think [knowing] how to approach that as a professional, how to connect them to resources and navigate the system – that would be important in a training' (p9).

Staff said that in future they want to develop services specifically for OPLD. At the moment they sometimes expand older people's services to include adults ageing with LD but the LD adults often don't want to participate with the older people.

# 3. Dodd P, Guerin S, Mulvany et al. (2009) Assessment and characteristics of older adults with intellectual disabilities who are not accessing specialist intellectual disability services. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 22: 87–95

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: Part 1	Participants:	Key workers contact with target individual/families.	Overall
of the study used	Professionals/practitioners.		assessment
the National	Key workers of older	Individuals	of internal
Intellectual	individuals over 30 with ID	The mean time since the key workers contact with the target	validity: +
Disability	who are outside of	individual was 5.3 months. There were 4 cases where no	
Database (Ireland)	services.	information was given	
to identify a		10 cases: no contact with the individual	Overall
representative	Sample size: Sample	6 cases: last contact was 12 months or more.	assessment
sample of older	comprised 43 cases.		of external
individuals with ID		Families	validity: +
who were outside	Follow-up: No follow-up.	The mean time since the key-worker had any contact with	
of services. For the		the family was 5.2 months	
purposes of this	Costs: Not reported.	11 cases: no contact with the family	
review we will be		7 cases: last contact was 12 months or more before the time	
looking at part 2 of		of data collection.	
the study – how			
key workers		Key-workers' opinion	
responded to a		Individual had no current service needs	
questionnaire on		60% agreed	
the assessment		28% disagreed.	
and support		12% missing data or comments that no opinion could be	
practices of		given.	
service providers			
for older people		Key workers said they agreed with decisions when they felt	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
with ID who have been identified as being outside services.		that the family provided satisfactory care. 'His sister involves him in the working of the farm as well as going to social activities with family members. The person appears very happy with the current situation' (p92). 'Very supportive family. Four adult sisters care for him and they say that	
Methodology: Survey.		between them they will always look after him' (p92).	
Country: Ireland.		Some key workers has not personally assessed the individual but the family had said that the person did not need services.	
Source of funding: Not reported.		Key workers that disagreed with the decision usually did no on the basis that the individual may benefit from social activity. Some said that the individual themselves has said that they did not want help from services.	
		Key worker perceptions of service use Individuals ceasing to use services: exploitation (4.7%); work too strenuous (6.9%); unknown (9.3%).	
		Families not using services: most said that individual was happy at home, or happy with current services used, family were not offered services or appropriate services were not available. 'The cultural influences of being Jamaican (mother's ethnicity) is possibly a factor here. Both mother and son enjoy a good relationship and have established a way of coping that excludes services' (p92).	
		'Family are elderly. Have coped without intervention for	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		years. Feel that they can continue to manage independently. Lack of knowledge of services has caused apprehension. Fear of split of family unit' (p92). 'Mother considers her son as not being appropriate for a day service and thinks that he wouldn't be happy in a service' (p92). Eleven key workers said that in most cases families were not encouraged to engage in services (25.6%). Others said they encouraged families to engage and would inform them if more suitable services were created.	

## 4. McIlfatrick S, Taggart L, Truesdale-Kennedy M (2011) Supporting women with intellectual disabilities to access breast cancer screening: a healthcare professional perspective. European Journal of Cancer Care 20: 412–20

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The overall aim of this study was to ascertain the	Participants: Professionals/practitioners. Healthcare professionals.	Knowledge and awareness of breast cancer and breast screening Practitioners felt that is was important that women with intellectual difficulties were screened for breast cancer. The	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++
healthcare professional's perspective on their role in supporting women	Sample size: Total 18 professionals 9 primary care: 4 general practitioners, 2 practice nurses, 1 nurse	reason for this was for early intervention and prevention. 'Well they [women with ID] shouldn't be left out of the group. It's part of health screening Why should they be discriminated against?' (p415).	Overall assessment of external validity: ++
with intellectual disability to access	practitioner, 1 primary healthcare advisor, 1	Practitioners appeared will informed about the particular risks of women with ID, associated with breast cancer.	,

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison,	Findings	Overall validity rating
	outcomes)		
breast cancer	specialist registrar in public	Practitioners identified risks associated with limited cognitive	
screening. Specific	health, 9 hospital breast	function, ability to self-examine, lack of knowledge of breast	
objectives included	screening: 2	cancer, literacy skills and difficulty dealing with	
exploring	superintendent _	correspondence and attending appointments.	
healthcare	radiographers, 5 breast		
professionals': 1)	screening nurses, 1 clinical	'Some of the difficulties would be that perhaps their [women	
Knowledge and	coordinator, 1 director of	with ID] ability to examine themselves. Examination may be	
awareness of	the breast screening	a problem whether that be because perhaps they would be	
breast cancer and	programme.	unable to do it or they wouldn't understand the importance of	
breast screening;		it or what they would be looking for' (p415).	
2) Role and	Follow-up: No follow-up.		
experiences of		Other risks identified in the interviews: poor diet, medical	
supporting women	Costs: Not reported.	history and hormone medications, not having children.	
with intellectual			
disability to access		Role in supporting women with intellectual disability	
breast screening		access screening services.	
services; 3)		Health professionals tried to encourage women with ID to	
Perceptions of the		attend breast screening. Their key roles were 'health	
barriers and		promotion and providing education and support' (p415).	
solutions as to why			
women with		Health Promotion: GPs used routine visits to promote breast	
intellectual		screening, and liaison with family or community disability	
disability access		teams.	
breast screening			
services or not'		'We tend to see people with intellectual disabilities	
(p413).		opportunistically mostly and I think that could be used as	
		an opportunity to check with themselves and their carers that	
Methodology:		they have actually accessed screening, not only breast but	
Qualitative		also cervical' (p415).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study. Focus groups and telephone interviews.  Country: UK.  Source of funding: Not reported.		Professionals who worked in screening units had a role in explaining the procedure to people and then offering health advice before and after, also involving carers. If there was a diagnosis, they referred them on to other services.  'My role as a clinical nurse specialist is to educate the ladies, to be a support aid, to provide information about each step of the process' (p415).  Barriers to women with intellectual disability accessing breast screening services The barriers associated with women with intellectual disabilities were linked to their cognitive abilities, communication issues and issues with understanding.  'If they have intellectual disabilities and something comes in the post and they are not able to read, they may not even be aware that they have been invited to breast screening' (p416).  'Also a lack of understanding of the procedure or of the purpose of the mammogram may be a barrier to the patient in that they really don't understand why they should be coming and don't realise the importance of it' (p416).  Some women may also have limited mobility and poor physical health The issue of consent was also a concern, if women were felt	
		The issue of consent was also a concern, it women were left	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		to be unable to consent to the procedure and possible treatment: 'some patients because of their learning disability will not be able to consent and I think that is an issue' (p416) and other patients may say that they do not want the screening to be done.  Barriers attributed to carers It was felt that carers were helpful in supporting women in screening and so those without support were at a	
		screening and so those without support were at a disadvantage. 'I don't think they [women with ID] would have ever gone through with any of the screening unless that they had the support of someone to communicate on their level and to advocate for them' (p416).	
		Another view was that carer attitudes can be a barrier. For example, when carers made the decision not to do the screening.  'The decision could be taken for them [women with ID] by carers and relatives may feel that it's not what they need, that it might cause them distress. So the decision may not necessarily be taken by the patient themselves' (p416).	
		Practical barriers There were other barriers to women attending screening, factors like the time of appointments and transport links were cited.	

Research aims PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Findings	Overall validity rating
		'So a transport practicality may prevent them from coming'; 'Maybe timing of appointments because a lot of these women need care in the morning' (p416).	
	Barriers attributed to healthcare professionals  Barriers included attitudes, awareness levels, experience of LD and training. The study states that there may be a need for more training. Some participants said that health practitioner had a lack of awareness of older people with LD and did not consider how their needs may be a barrier to breast screening.		
		'I think the main barrier really is health promotion wise, I think we let them down by not being aware of the ladies within your population who have intellectual disabilities' (p416).	
		The focus groups described GPs as gatekeepers for women to access breast screening.	
		Solutions to women with intellectual disability accessing breast screening The groups discussed solutions to assist women to access breast screening Awareness around the needs of older women with learning disabilities Promote interdisciplinary working Promote integrated working Links with GPs.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		'Having a multi-disciplinary approach from primary care, the radiography staff, you know, learning disability and identify what their learning needs are' (p416).	

#### Review question 5 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. MacGiolla Phadraig C, Burke E, McCallion P et al. (2014) Dental attendance among older adults with intellectual disabilities in Ireland. Special care in dentistry: official publication of the American Association of Hospital Dentists, the Academy of Dentistry for the Handicapped, and the American Society for Geriatric Dentistry 34: 265–72

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
<b>Study aim:</b> From the abstract, 'To investigate dental attendance patterns and reasons for nonattendance among	Quantitative comp A: Analysis of cross sectional quantitative data.	Does the study's research	Overall assessment of internal
older adults with intellectual disabilities (ID) in Ireland' (p265).	Are participants (organisations) recruited in a way that minimises selection bias? Unclear. The paper states that a 'nationally	question match the review question?	validity (qualitative): +
<b>Methodology:</b> Mixed methods study. A cross-sectional survey of quantitative data and text analysis of qualitative data drawn from a nationally representative sample of 753 people with ID over 40 years of age in Ireland.	representative sample of 753 people with intellectual disability over 40 years of age was randomly selected from the National Intellectual Disability database' (p66). However, there is no description about how	Partly. Because the study partly looks at patterns of attendance and partly at	Overall assessment of external validity (quantitative

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
	this randomisation was conducted and the	reasons for those	): ++
Qualitative comp 1: Open-ended	demographic details are not presented within	patterns, so it's	
question posed to irregular dentist	the context of the wider population of adults	not entirely	
attendees via survey method.	with LD.	focussed on	
		access issues.	
Are the sources of qualitative data	Are measurements appropriate (clear		
(archives, documents, informants,	origin, or validity known, or standard	Has the study	
observations) relevant to address the	instrument; and absence of contamination	dealt	
research question?	between groups when appropriate)	appropriately	
Partly. Reasons for irregular dentist	regarding the exposure/intervention and	with any ethical	
attendance could have been explored in	outcomes? Partly. The questions in the	concerns?	
more depth had the researchers	survey seem clear and appropriate to the	Yes. Ethical	
conducted interview with those	aims of the study. The dental items are taken	approval was	
individuals. The paper mentions that	from the longitudinal study so the original is	gained from the	
interviews were conducted but there is	clear but there is no description about how	Faculty of Health	
no account of them in the findings	they have been previously validated. The	Sciences	
section (only answers from the open-	classification of regular or irregular attendees	research ethics	
ended survey question).	is based on previous published work, cited in	committee in	
	the paper.	Trinity College	
Is the process for analysing		Dublin and all	
qualitative data relevant to address	In the groups being compared (exposed	participating	
the research question?	versus non-exposed; with intervention	services.	
Yes. The methods of data collection and	versus without; cases versus controls),		
	are the participants comparable, or do	Were service	
	researchers take into account (control for)	users involved	

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
analysis are clear and appropriate to the	the difference between these groups?	in the study?	
aims of the study.	N/A. There are no comparison and	Yes. The	
-	intervention groups.	researchers	
Is appropriate consideration given to		report that	
how findings relate to the context,	Are there complete outcome data (80% or	people with	
such as the setting in which the data	above), and, when applicable, an	learning	
were collected?	acceptable response rate (60% or above),	disabilities were	
N/A. Findings unlikely to be affected by	or an acceptable follow-up rate for cohort	involved in the	
context in this study.	studies (depending on the duration of	design and	
•	follow-up)? No. RR was 46%.	implementation	
Is appropriate consideration given to		of the study	
how findings relate to researchers'	Is the mixed methods research design	although NB. It is	
influence; for example, though their	relevant to address the qualitative and	unclear whether	
interactions with participants?	quantitative research questions (or	this refers to the	
Partly. The researchers don't consider	objectives), or the qualitative and	design of the	
how their role could influence the	quantitative aspects of the mixed methods	IDS-TILDA or	
research although it is difficult to see how	question?	specifically to	
it could. They do however explore	Yes. The rationale for mixing quant and qual	this study, in	
reasons why the study findings are at	methods is clear – the researchers sought to	which analyses	
variance with other research in this area	identify the pattern of dental attendance and	of data from the	
(in the discussion) and presumably their	also the reasons behind that pattern.	main data set	
own expectations about the findings.		were conducted.	
F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Is the integration of qualitative and	were conducted.	
	quantitative data (or results) relevant to	Is there a clear	
	, ,	focus on the	

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
	address the research question?	guideline topic?	
	Yes.	Yes. Access to	
		health (dental)	
	Is appropriate consideration given to the	services for	
	limitations associated with this integration,	OPLD.	
	such as the divergence of qualitative and		
	quantitative data (or results)?	Is the study	
	Partly. No consideration of the limitations of	population the	
	integrating quant and qual data but the	same as at least	
	authors do describe the limitations of the	1 of the groups	
	methodology, including the self-report dental	covered by the	
	attendance patterns and the fact they did not	guideline?	
	ask people why they DO attend the dentist.	Yes.	
		Is the study	
		setting the	
		same as at least	
		1 of the settings	
		covered by the	
		guideline?	
		Yes.	
		Does the study	
		relate to at least	
		1 of the	

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
		activities covered by the guideline? Yes.	
		(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Republic of Ireland.	

2. Swaine JG, Dababnah S, Parish SL et al. (2013) Family caregivers' perspectives on barriers and facilitators of cervical and breast cancer screening for women with intellectual disability. Intellectual and developmental disabilities 51: 62–73

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
Study aim: 'the present study addressed the following research questions: (a) What are family caregivers' perspectives on why women with intellectual disability do not receive cervical and breast cancer screening? (b) What are family caregivers' perspectives on the comfort women with intellectual disability have with respect to cervical and breast cancer screening? (c) What do family caregivers feel are the best ways to facilitate screening for women with intellectual disability? (d) Do family caregivers feel that their women family members with intellectual disability receive adequate health care? (e) Are family caregivers knowledgeable about the need for cervical and breast cancer screening among women with intellectual disability?' (p63).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured qualitative interviews.	How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. Phone calls allow a small team to reach a big sample and can flexibly arrange times to talk that are convenient and don't require the participant to travel. The transcription process and double coding are sufficiently described.  Is the context clearly described? Clear. The varying characteristics and contexts of the participants and their relatives are well described in Tables 1 and 2. Their geographic location is given, and the reader is referred to the original study for any further details on recruitment. Generalisability is discussed in the conclusion section.	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes. Views and experiences of improving access to health services.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. 'The research protocol was approved by the team's university institutional review board' (p63). 'Study procedures to obtain consent and assent from women with intellectual disability to enrol in the larger study are described elsewhere (Swaine et al. 2011).' 'We sought consent from the women before inviting their caregivers to participate in the study' (p 64).	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++  Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis	More complete tracks	
lo a qualitativa approach	Was the sampling carried out in	Were service users	
Is a qualitative approach	an appropriate way?	involved in the study? No,	
appropriate? Appropriate.	Appropriate. The sampling was	but were involved in other	
Obtains views and	carried out well for a study that	aspects of the wider study.	
experiences.	draws on an existing sample from	This part had a specific	
	another study. There were efforts	focus.	
Is the study clear in what it	to obtain an even range		
seeks to do? Clear. Use	participants by race and economic	Is there a clear focus on	
'semi-structured qualitative	status. Some were lost to follow-	the guideline topic?	
interviews to assess barriers to	up, but the paper describes their	Partly. This paper concerns	
care from the perspective of	attempts to re-contact them. As a	views and experiences	
female familial caregivers'	result of the sample being taken	around enablers to	
(p62).	from another study, the sample is	healthcare access, but may	
	limited by only including	be better in the topic	
How defensible/rigorous is	participants that were already	question concerning health	
the research	known to services – but this is	<ul><li>some overlap.</li></ul>	
design/methodology? Defensible. Well described and	considered in the discussion.		
justified in the methods section		Is the study population the	
and then critically considered in	Were the methods reliable?	same as at least 1 of the	
the conclusions.	Somewhat reliable. No methods of	groups covered by the	
	triangulation are used, such as	guideline? Yes. The female	
	talking with the older people with	carers of older females with	
	learning disabilities themselves or	learning disabilities.	
	seeking medical records. But the		
	work is discussed in the context of	Is the study setting the	
	other studies – particularly the	same as at least 1 of the	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
	agreement around barriers as	settings covered by the	
	described by health professionals	guideline?	
	and service users themselves.	Yes. Private home as care setting.	
	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. Mostly	, and the second	
	rich – lots of comparison between coded groupings and quotes to	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities	
	reinforce findings. Could also use	covered by the guideline?	
	some comparison across	Yes. Access to proper	
	demographics.	healthcare.	
	Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Range of themes and diversity of responses well discussed and reinforced with quotes. One aspect missing is comparisons by groups/demographics.	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Barriers and enablers and feedback to efforts to promote health screenings.	
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Coding and processes are well described and logical, and extracts from the original are laced throughout. The study question is addressed and	Does the study have a UK perspective? No. USA.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	put into the context of other research.		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. Conclusions are detailed, and their own critical appraisal is thorough. The implications are well discussed.		

## 3. Wark S, Canon-Vanry M, Ryan P et al. (2015) Ageing-related experiences of adults with learning disability resident in rural areas: one Australian perspective. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 43: 293–301

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
Study aim: 'The specific aims	How well was the data	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
were to examine select issues	collection carried out?	question match the review	of internal validity:
of ageing when residing within	Appropriately. Data collection	question? Yes. The study	++
rural areas, ascertain facilitators	methods are clearly described	examines experiences	
and barriers to services, and identify practices that may	(interviews were recorded and	relating to accessing care	Overall assessment
enhance supports for adults	transcribed verbatim). Although	and support for OPLD but	of external validity:
living outside metropolitan	not explicitly stated, data appear	specifically in rural areas.	++
locales' (p294).	to have been collected		
,	systematically but there is no	Has the study dealt	
Methodology: Qualitative	mention at all about systems for	appropriately with any	
study. Face-to-face interviews	record-keeping.	ethical concerns?	
with older people with learning	100014 Nooping.	Yes. Ethical approval for this	
disabilities and nominated		. co approval for the	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
carers (family members).	Is the context clearly	research was granted by the	
	described?	University of New England's	
Is a qualitative approach	Clear. The characteristics of the	Human Research Ethics	
appropriate? Appropriate.	participants and settings are	Committee, with individual	
Because the research seeks to	clearly defined and linked with the	consent obtained from all	
illuminate subjective	original data.	participants and/or their	
experiences and views.		person responsible (p295).	
	Was the sampling carried out in	, ,	
Is the study clear in what it	an appropriate way? Not	Were service users	
seeks to do? Clear. The	sure. The authors provide very	involved in the study?	
purpose of the study is	little detail about sampling, saying	Yes. The research team	
discussed in terms of	only that it was impractical to	established an advisory	
aims/objectives and clearly	randomly select participants.	group composed of people	
defined research question.	Information sessions were held in	with learning disabilities,	
There is appropriate reference	the 2 selected locations and	carers and representatives	
to the literature and theory	expressions of interest were	from 4 non-government	
which are discussed at the	invited. With participants being	organisations. The advisory	
beginning and then at the end	self-selecting it is therefore	group provided ongoing	
of the paper.	possible that only those with	feedback and support	
	particularly negative experiences,	through reviewing the	
How defensible/rigorous is	keen to share them, were included	materials, suggesting	
the research	in the study.	representative locations and	
design/methodology?	in the study.	facilitating access to	
Somewhat defensible. The	Were the methods reliable?	participants (p295). The only	
design is appropriate to the research question and there is	Somewhat reliable. The methods	weakness is that older	
a clear rationale for using a			
a clear rationale for using a	do investigate what they claim to	people with learning	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
qualitative approach. The sampling is the key methodological weakness (not random and not geographically widespread) although this is	and it is a positive that interviews were conducted both with OPLD and nominated carers (potential triangulation) although it is unclear whether the interviews were	disabilities themselves were not involved as co-researchers.	
discussed and justified by the authors. The authors do not disclose how many people were invited to participate in interviews, 'expressions of interest were sought from	conducted together or separately.  Data collection was however limited to the 1 method of interviews and we do not benefit from hearing the views of	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.	
potential participants' (p295). Data collection and data analysis techniques are clearly described and justifiable.	practitioners, e.g. about the difficulties in ensuring access to care and support in rural areas.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline?	
	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. The contexts of the data are clearly described and some diverse perspectives have been explored. Supporting original data is provided and findings are organised under clear themes.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Participants lived in a	
	Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Analysis was in 2 stages, 'The first stage of the analysis had five members of the research	number of settings, all of which are covered by the	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
	team read the transcripts. At a group meeting, an initial coding structure based on preliminary thematic areas was established. The five researchers then individually coded the transcripts' (p296). In the second stage the research team discussed emerging themes and established a coding structure. Finally, 'The interviews were jointly analysed by the research team in line with the coding structure and collaboratively refined into thematic areas' (p296). A process was established for addressing any disagreements about thematic areas but this wasn't needed.  Are the findings convincing?	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Accessing care and support for OPLD.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Views and experiences of older people with learning disabilities and their families/carers.  Does the study have a UK perspective?	
	Convincing. The findings are clearly presented in thematic	No. Australia.	
	areas and extracts from the original data are included. The data are appropriately referenced		
	and the overall, the reporting is		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
•	clear and coherent.		
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Adequate. The findings are		
	relevant to the aims of the study		
	demonstrated by clear links		
	between data, interpretation and		
	conclusions. The conclusions add		
	weight to existing research about		
	access difficulties in rural areas		
	but it also shed light on some		
	positive aspects of growing old		
	with a learning disability in rural		
	areas. The authors discuss the		
	study limitations, which include its		
	small-scale nature.		

## Review question 5 – critical appraisal tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Benbow SM, Kingston P, Bhaumik S (2011) The interface between learning disability and old age psychiatry: two specialties travelling alone or travelling together? Mental Health Review Journal 16: 25–35

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
Study aim: The overall aims of	Clear description of context?	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
the interface group authoring	Yes. There is a detailed outline of	question match the review	of internal validity:
the paper included 'to look at	who the survey was sent to, and	question? Partly. It is in the	+
what services were needed and	explaining the need, social and	right population area, but not	
how they should be accessed'.	political context of why this group	specifically about improving	Overall assessment
With regards to this, the survey	was seeking answers to these	access – that comes up	of external validity:
aimed to 'establish an overview	questions.	incidentally.	+
of current service operation and		-	
provision' (p26).	Survey population and sample	Has the study dealt	
	frame clearly described?	appropriately with any	
Methodology: Survey. Postal	Yes. All practitioners from their	ethical concerns?	
questionnaire, with open	membership list in the 2 fields of	Partly. No information is	
questions.	LD and old age psychiatry.	given. However it does not	
		study any vulnerable groups	
Research design clearly	Describes what was measured,	directly and the anonymity of	
specified and appropriate?	how it was measured and the	respondents is protected so	
Yes.	results? Yes. Clearly outlines the	ethical concerns aren't too	
	open-ended questions asked, and	high.	
Objectives of the study	makes them the headings for the		
clearly stated? Yes. The	results section – with answers	Were service users	
objectives of the working group	divided into sub topics and the	involved in the study?	
behind the research was	proportions of responses are	No.	
explained, and then it was			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
outlined that this study sought to understand 'current service operation and provision' (p26) as a part of this.	given for each theme.  Measurements valid? Yes. Very broad and open ended questions. The topics covered and wording used is fairly unambiguous, but it is not clearly described how these were chosen or tested.	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. It is in the right population area, but not specifically about improving access – that comes up incidentally.	
	Measurements reliable? Partly. Open-ended questions based on experience – but may well be affected by any recent experiences.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Relates to practitioners and their experiences with the specific group outlined.	
	Basic data adequately described? Yes. Each section gives the results for each question, describing the themes, with typical examples and proportions of the responses that came under that category.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Yes, in mental healthcare settings.	
	Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? Partly. It's a large dataset, with many varying responses, so there is some degree of interpretation and trust	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Partly. Relates to those accessing and using mental health services.	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
	that the themes they have picked are the most useful. There is not a lot of detail for each theme either – could be longer. But mostly adequate.  Results internally consistent? Yes. No obvious anomalies or missing data.  Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? Yes. Details the analysis run in NVivo, and the themes and proportions for responses are	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly. Not specific, but relates to the overall experience of practitioners with this group, which includes a bit on access, and obstacles to it.  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	
	clearly given.		
	Methods appropriate for the data? Yes.		
	Results can be generalised? Yes. A large sample that covers much of the UK, and can give a good idea of the varying experiences.		
	Conclusions justified? Yes. Suitable to the responses,		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
•	that combine the findings and		
	themes with the broader context,		
	and considers implications.		

#### 2. Coyle CE, Putman M, Kramer J et al. (2016) The role of aging and disability resource centers in serving adults aging with intellectual disabilities and their families: findings from seven states. Journal of Aging and Social Policy 28: 1–14

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample Study aim: To develop an	and analysis  How well was the data	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
understanding of how Aging	collection carried out?	question match the review	of internal validity:
and Disability Resource	Appropriately. Despite the	question? Yes. The study's	+
Centres (ADRCs) administer	questionable sampling technique,	question focuses on how	'
resources and support to adults	and the fact that no interviews	well OPLD can access the	
ageing with intellectual and	were conducted with older people	services provided by	Overall assessment
1 0 0	with learning disabilities or their	ADRCs.	
developmental disabilities	families, the data were collected	ADRUS.	of external validity:
(I/DD). There were 4 objectives:	*	Use the etudy dealt	T
1) to describe goals expressed	appropriately.	Has the study dealt	
by ADRCs with regards to	In the country to the d	appropriately with any	
serving the population of older	Is the context clearly	ethical concerns? Yes. All	
adults with I/DD and their	described?	parts of the procedure were	
families. 2) to determine how	Unclear. Apart from knowing that	reviewed and approved by	
older adults with I/DD are	respondents are either ADRC	the Institutional Review	
referred to both ageing and	coordinators or ADRC programme	Board at the University of	
disability resources 3) to	staff, the characteristics of the	Massachusetts Boston and	
understand the range of	participants and settings are not	Simmons College. Note that	
programme activity geared	clearly defined. Data collection	'Due to the public position	
toward serving older people and	was via interviews leaving little	these ADRCs play in their	
their families 4) to identify gaps	opportunity for triangulation of	states and communities,	
in resources and services for		study participants were	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
older adults with I/DD and their	findings.	informed in the consent	
families.		process that their	
	Was the sampling carried out in	confidentiality could not be	
Methodology: Qualitative	an appropriate way? Somewhat	ensured' (p4). However,	
study. Face-to-face interviews	appropriate. Sample was created	data were aggregated	
with programme coordinators	through purposive approach. State	across all states and	
and project staff in 7 US states.	ADRC programme coordinators	individual state-level	
	were recruited by email from the 8	analysis was not reported –	
Is a qualitative approach	states who had been awarded	this was a means of	
appropriate?	funds in 2012 to implement the	providing some level of	
Appropriate.	ADRC Enhanced Option	protection against direct	
- Pp Pr	Counselling (EOC) Program by	linkage of interview data to	
Is the study clear in what it	Administration for Community	staff.	
	Living (ACL). Options Counselling		
seeks to do?	is an ADRC information and	Were service users	
Clear.	referral programme with a person-	involved in the study?	
	centred focus. The recruited	No. Neither as interviewees	
How defensible/rigorous is	programme coordinators then	nor co-researchers.	
the research	nominated a total of 21 local		
design/methodology?	ADRC contacts to be interviewed	Is there a clear focus on	
Somewhat defensible. The	(14 of which agreed). Recruitment	the guideline topic?	
qualitative design is appropriate	of programme staff in this way	Partly.	
to the research question	does introduce a risk of bias as we		
although the authors do not	do not know why they were	Is the study population the	
provide their own rationale for	chosen or why others were not.	same as at least 1 of the	
their methodology. Sampling of		groups covered by the	
the ADRC coordinators is clear	Were the methods reliable?	guideline?	
and rational but the programme	Somewhat reliable. Apart from	Yes.	
staff were identified by being	interviewing coordinators and		
'recommended' by the	programme staff, there is little		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
coordinators. There is no	opportunity for triangulation	Is the study setting the	
rationale for taking this	through observation or interviews	same as at least 1 of the	
approach which does introduce	with OPLD or their families. This is	settings covered by the	
a risk of bias into the sampling	a clear weakness.	guideline?	
because the coordinators may		Yes.	
have had particular reasons for	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. There		
recommending those	are some supporting quotes and a	Does the study relate to at	
programme staff and not others.	fairly in-depth description of	least 1 of the activities	
	findings.	covered by the guideline?	
	In the control of a self-able O	Yes – the provision of	
	Is the analysis reliable?	information and services to	
	Reliable. Two researchers	support OPLD.	
	conducted primary coding. Final	(For views guestions) Are	
	coding structure and excerpts of sample data presented to the full	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences	
	research team to reach agreement	reported relevant to the	
	on analysis. After corroboration of	quideline?	
	codes the researchers carried out	Yes.	
	a more refined analysis of sub	103.	
	themes.	Does the study have a UK	
	anomico.	perspective?	
	Are the findings convincing?	No. And the Guideline	
	Convincing. The findings clearly	Committee should consider	
	presented and internally coherent.	the applicability of data	
	Extracts from the original data are	about ADRCs to the UK	
	included and appropriately	context.	
	referenced.		
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Adequate. There are clear links		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	between data, interpretation and conclusions and the conclusions are plausible and coherent. The authors discuss the limitations of the research design.		

## 3. Dodd P, Guerin S, Mulvany et al. (2009) Assessment and characteristics of older adults with intellectual disabilities who are not accessing specialist intellectual disability services. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 22: 87–95

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: Part 1 of the study	Clear description of context?	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
used the National Intellectual	Yes.	question match the review	of internal validity:
Disability Database (Ireland) to		question? Partly. Only the	+
identify a representative sample	Survey population and sample	second part of this 2-part	
of older individuals with ID who	frame clearly described?	review is relevant to this	Overall assessment
were outside of services. For	Yes. Population and sample	question. The second part	of external validity:
the purposes of this review we	clearly described.	does look at the assessment	+
will be looking at part 2 of the		procedure for people who	
study – how key workers	Describes what was measured,	were seen has having no	
responded to a questionnaire	how it was measured and the	service needs.	
on the assessment and support	results? Partly. The		
practices of service providers	measurement and analysis are	Has the study dealt	
for older people with ID who	very poorly described.	appropriately with any	
have been identified as being		ethical concerns?	
outside services.	Measurements valid? Partly. The	Yes. Ethical approval	
	study used a questionnaire	gained.	
Methodology: Survey.	designed specifically. Most results		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
Research design clearly specified and appropriate? Partly. The survey design does	are expressed as means.  Measurements reliable?  Partly. Description of the analysis	Were service users involved in the study? No. Not directly.	
not seem like the best way to gain detail around why families were assessed as not having a need for services.	is sparse but we are given a table with the frequency of reasons for assessment.  Basic data adequately	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. The mean age of the sample is 47.9, but did include people over 60, so	
Objectives of the study clearly stated? Yes.	described? Yes. Basic data described in a table.	the population does not exactly match our criteria.	
	Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? Partly. Results are presented thematically, but we are not given details of the analysis so it is hard to say how objective they are.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.  The guideline covers all older people with learning disabilities irrespective of whether they are known to services).	
	Results internally consistent? Partly. As methods are poorly described it is hard to say. Themes appear to match table data.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Community services.	
	Clear description of data collection methods and analysis?	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Partly. Methods are described, but	covered by the guideline?	
	not analysis.	Yes. Assessment of health and social care needs.	
	Methods appropriate for the		
	data? Partly.	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the	
	Results can be generalised? No. The reporting on data analysis is unclear and the questionnaire was designed specifically for this	guideline? Partly. Practitioner views on assessment of needs.	
	Conclusions justified? Partly. The conclusions are set out in detail, but die to issues with reporting it is unclear whether they are justified, but themes appear to match table data.	Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Ireland.	

## 4. McIlfatrick S, Taggart L, Truesdale-Kennedy M (2011) Supporting women with intellectual disabilities to access breast cancer screening: a healthcare professional perspective. European Journal of Cancer Care 20: 412–20

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The overall aim of	How well was the data	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
this study was to ascertain the	collection carried out?	question match the review	of internal validity:
healthcare professional's	Appropriately. Data was collected	question? Yes. The focus	++
perspective on their role in	via interviews and a focus group,	of the study is on the levels	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
supporting women with	both were recorded, transcribed	of access to breast	Overall assessment
intellectual disability to access	and thematically analysed by	screening services, and the	of external validity:
breast cancer screening.	more than 1 researcher.	barriers and facilitators to	++
Specific objectives included		screening.	
exploring healthcare	Is the context clearly		
professionals': 1 Knowledge	described?	Has the study dealt	
and awareness of breast cancer	Clear.	appropriately with any	
and breast screening; 2 Role		ethical concerns?	
and experiences of supporting	Was the sampling carried out in	Yes. Ethical approval was	
women with intellectual	an appropriate way?	obtained.	
disability access breast	Appropriate. Sample was taken		
screening services; and 3	from 3 breast cancer screening	Were service users	
Perceptions of the barriers and	units.	involved in the study?	
solutions as to why women with	We as the seathers that to	No.	
intellectual disability access	Were the methods reliable?	In the control of the control	
breast screening services or	Reliable.	Is there a clear focus on	
not' (p413).	And the date (wield) Diele Amend	the guideline topic?	
Mathadala ave Ovalitativa	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. A good	Partly. The population is not	
Methodology: Qualitative	deal of verbatim quotes are	strictly older people, but we	
study. Focus groups and	included.	can assume that the women	
telephone interviews.	la tha analysia raliable?	would be of a certain age if	
la a surelitativa annua ash	Is the analysis reliable?	they were eligible for breast	
Is a qualitative approach	Reliable. Thematic analysis was	screening.	
appropriate? Appropriate.	carried out using NVivo.	le the etudy perulation the	
le the etudy elect in what it	Are the findings convincing?	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.	Are the findings convincing?		
Seeks to do! Clear.	Somewhat convincing. The	groups covered by the	
How defensible/rigorous is	sample size is relatively small. But the aims of the study are to	guideline?	
How defensible/rigorous is the research	,	Partly.	
the research	identify the issues around access		

and sample ar	and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
design/methodology?  Defensible. Methods are well described and appear rigorous.  le production of the	for women with ID to breast screening. We learn about some of the barriers and facilitators, but less about the experiences of professionals working with women with ID.  Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. Findings are detailed and the themes seem appropriate.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Health setting.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Assessment and review of health needs.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Views of health practitioners.  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

Review question 6. Volunteering, social and leisure activities, transport and technology and maintaining relationships:

- a. What is the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of care planning and support for older people with learning disabilities to access volunteering, social and leisure activities, transport and technology and maintain relationships with family, friends and within their local community?
- b. What are the views and experiences of older people and their carers in relation to support for developing and maintaining relationships with family, friends and the local community?
- c. What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about support for older people with learning disabilities to develop and maintain relationships with family, friends and the local community?

Review question 6 – findings tables – effectiveness

1. Brooker K, van Dooren K, McPherson L et al. (2014) A systematic review of interventions aiming to improve involvement in physical activity among adults with intellectual disability. Journal of Physical Activity and Health 12: 434–44

Research	PICO (population, intervention, comparison,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	outcomes)		
Study aim: A systematic review of interventions aiming to improve PA levels of adults with intellectual	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers adults with intellectual disability residing in the community, and their proxies.	Objective and subjective measures to PA frequency and	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
disability.  Methodology:	Sample characteristics:	duration using:	Overall assessment of external validity:

Systematic review.

**Country:** USA and UK.

Source of funding: Not reported.

- Age ranged from 18 to 71 years, mean age 38 to 48 years.
- Gender 40% male, 60% female.
- Ethnicity not reported.
- Religion/belief not reported.
- Disability level of intellectual disability ranged from mild to severe; 4 studies only included adults with mild to moderate intellectual disability.
- Long-term health condition 2 studies included only overweight or obese people.
- Sexual orientation not reported.
- Socioeconomic position not reported.

**Sample size:** Systematic reviews: number of studies 6 studies (total participants 856, range 42 to 432).

**Intervention:** Physical activity programmes.

#### **Description:**

- 1. Most interventions set in the community with sessions implemented through support organisations and in a group format (pp436–7).
- 2. Offered optional home visits to participants to develop a home exercise programme

- 1. Accelerometers to measure minutes per day spent in PA and sedentary time.
- 2. International Physical Activity Questionnaire short form questionnaire (IPAQ-S), completed by caregivers and participants together.
- 3. Data collected from 5 days, 7 days including 2 weekend days (full data were only available for 59% of the participants).

A. PA frequency and duration (Table 2, p 440–1).

Mean frequency/week, using self-reported PA interviewer administrated questionnaire A significant increase in PA group exercise sessions pre: 3.2 times; post: 3.9 times (P =.01) mean minutes/week of self-reported PA: pre: 133 mins; post: 206.4 mins (p=.002) (Bazzano 2009, n=44, p440).

B. Mean time spent in moderate to vigorous PA (mins/day) using accelerometers (Table 2, pp440–1).

(including exploring suitable walking routes in their community) and grocery visits to help identify healthy food choices (2 studies).

3. Individualised intervention within participants' homes (1 study).

**Delivered by:** Health educators/professionals and peer mentors (all received training and support from research team on nutrition and weight loss).

**Delivered to:** Adults with intellectual disability.

Duration, frequency, intensity, etc.:

**Intervention frequency**: ranged from once every 2 to 3 weeks to twice weekly. The length of each session: ranged from 30 to 120 minutes.

**Duration:** Over 8 weeks (4 studies); 6 to 7 months (2 studies).

Key components and objectives of intervention: Main components and focus:

1. Education about PA and the other health behaviours of interest, using interactive lessons to convey their information (3 studies), providing information on the benefits of No significant increase in PA pre: .00; post: 7.71 (p=.41)(Bodde 2012, n=42, p440).

- C. Exercise yes/no (%), using Self-reported PA interviewer administrated questionnaire (Table 2) No significant increase in PA in people with intellectual disability Participants with intellectual disability: pre: 75; post: 70 (p=.33) Participants without intellectual disability: pre: 58.8; post: 74 (p=.013) (Ewing 2004, an RCT, n=189) (Table 2, p440).
- D. Mean frequency/week, using Self-reported PA interviewer administrated questionnaire (Table 2). A significant increase in PA pre: 3.24 times; post: 4.62 times (p  $\leq$ .001)(Mann 2006, n=192).
- E. % of participants with increased PA at 12 months, using accelerometers (Table 2). No significant increase in PA Intervention: 52.46% control (group hygiene and safety

exercise and information about PA guidelines (4 studies).

- 2. Participation in PA (e.g., offer of optional brisk walks after the sessions; incorporated 1 hour of exercise in the sessions and visited local parks and fitness facilities etc; or demonstration and practising exercises and stretches in the sessions) (p437).
- 3. Discussions with participants about their current PA behaviour and strategies (goalsetting) they could apply to increase their PA (e.g., replacing sedentary behaviours at home with gardening); also provided participants and their support person with details of local clubs and facilities with accessible groups.
- 4. Formally involve caregivers to support participants in the intervention (1 study) (p437).
- 5. Peer mentors created an exercise video for participants and were available to participants for support.

**Content/session titles:** Physical activity.

**Location/place of delivery:** Community or home settings.

**Comparison intervention:** One study compared the outcomes of the PA programme

classes): 50.88% (p=.864)(McDermott 2012, n=432).

- F. % mean time spent in PA, using accelerometers (Table 2, pp440–1).
- a. PA in light intensity PA (min/day). A significant increase pre: 10.4; post: 12.3 (p=.027). b. PA in moderate to vigorous intensity (min/day). No significant increase pre: 2.1; post: 2.7 (p=.072).
- c. % mean time spent in sedentary behaviour (min). A significant decrease pre: 87.5; post: 84.9 (p=.012). IPAQ-S: no change, suggesting no change between baseline and follow-up measures (Melville 2011, n=54, p441).

Three of the 6 included studies reported a significant increase in PA and three did not. PA interventions have the potential to improve the health and wellbeing of people with intellectual disability. However, these results are based upon a small number of studies mostly

(the Health Education Learning Program (HELP)) between adults with intellectual disability and adults without intellectual disability (Ewing 2004). One study compared the outcomes of the PA programme with a control group (receiving group hygiene and safety classes same time and venue as PA class) (McDermott 2012). Other 4 studies were of before-and-after design.  Outcomes measured – service user related: Mean frequency and duration of PA per week.  Follow-up: No follow-up data.  Costs Not reported.	of a pre-post design with small sample sizes. None of the measures used in the studies reviewed have been demonstrated to be valid or reliable in people with intellectual disability and findings lacked follow-up data to determine the impact of interventions in the long term.	
---	---	--

## 2. Carmeli E, Orbach I, Zinger-Vaknin T et al. (2008) Physical training and well-being in older adults with mild intellectual disability: a residential care study. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 21: 457–65

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Participants:	Effect sizes	Overall
The aim of this study	Older adults with intellectual disability living in a		assessment of
was to investigate the	protected residential care setting.	An analysis of variance show	internal
effect of physical		a significant difference in	validity:+
training on general	Sample characteristics:	groups in two specific	
wellbeing and self-		domains. Social acceptance F	

image in older people with intellectual disability.

#### Methodology:

Comparison evaluation.

The control group, which was age- and sex- matched, did not receive any physical intervention.

#### Country:

Not UK. Israel (OECD country).

### Source of funding:

Not reported.

- Age the exercise group included 23 women and 8 men, ranging from 47 to 67 vears of age (mean age 56.5 years). The control group, was age and sex matched.
- Gender the exercise group included 23 women and 8 men, control group was matched for gender.
- Ethnicity not mentioned.
- Religion/belief Not mentioned
- Disability the study population required mild assistance for most of the daily activities
- Long-term health condition intellectual disability, required mild assistance for most of the daily activities.
- Sexual orientation Not reported
- Socioeconomic position participants were living in residential care centres.

#### Sample size:

Total 62 were enrolled, 31 experimental group and 31 control group.

#### Intervention:

The intervention, exercise programme will support older people with learning disabilities to improve health and wellbeing.

#### **Description:**

(2.57) = 8.79, p<0.05 -Physical appearance F (2,57) = 3.15. p = 0.05.

Physical exercise resulted in significant positive changes in relation to three basic dimensions of NHP:

Mean post training results (%) Control group (n=31) Experimental group (n=29)

Energy – control group (22.73 +/- 4.3) Experimental group (51.46 + /-12.3) p=0.001\*

Social isolation - control group (12.55 +/- 2.2) Experimental group (29.89 +/-3.2) p=0.001\*. Physical mobility – control group (11.92 +/- 1.9) Experimental group (34.76 + /-4.7) p=0.001\*

The BMI results showed no significant change between T1. T2 and T3.

Overall assessment of external validity: +

The prescribed exercise programme conformed to the guidelines set by the American College of Sports Medicine.

**Delivered by:** Physical therapist and physical education teacher, who were familiar with the participants conducted the exercise, alternately.

**Delivered to:** Experimental group trained as a group and not on an individual basis.

**Duration, frequency, intensity, etc.:** The training programme session of 40–45 min each was performed 3 days a week for 10 consecutive months.

#### **Key components and objectives of intervention:**

To investigate if physical training programmes could be considered valuable for enhancing the wellbeing of individuals with intellectual disability.

#### Content/session titles:

The programme included warming-up movements followed by large body movements in sitting and standing for stability and flexibility, dynamic balance exercise and general strength training using light hand weights and elastic bands. Balls, balloons, bands, sticks, bean bags and scarves were used for general activities, such as pushing, pulling, lifting, throwing, squeezing and pressing. In each session the participants were advised to 'listen' to their body, to pay attention to the exercise

rhythm and to keep eye contact with the moveable limb.
Location/place of delivery: Not specified.
Comparison intervention: Subjects in the control group were introduced to a new vocational activity, i.e. packing skills.
Outcomes measured – service user-related: There were 3 main outcome measures: body mass index (BMI), self-perception profile of wellbeing and Nottingham Health Profile (NHP).
Follow-up: There were 2 follow ups, 5 months after the training and at the end of the training programme.
Costs: Not reported.

# 3. Stancliffe RJ, Bigby C, Balandin S et al. (2015) Transition to retirement and participation in mainstream community groups using active mentoring: a feasibility and outcomes evaluation with a matched comparison group. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 59: 703–18

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim: To examine the feasibility of	<b>Participants:</b> Service users and their families, partners and carers.	Effect sizes Outcomes of intervention group	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
supporting older adults with disability to attend a	Adults with long-term disability and whose work/day programme circumstances suggested that they	1.Community group participation Intervention participants' weekly hours of participation in mainstream	Overall assessment of external validity: +

mainstream community group as a transition to retirement. This paper examines (1) the feasibility of supporting older adults with disability to attend a mainstream community group; (2) the types of mainstream community groups or volunteering groups that participants joined; (3) the duration of participants' weekly attendance at their group; and (4) changes in outcomes experienced by participants that included loneliness. social satisfaction. depression, life events, quality of life, community participation, social contacts and work hours.

might benefit from the programme. Also their proxies.

#### Sample characteristics:

Age – the 58 participants' age averaged 55.6 years (sd=6.6, range 44.1 to 72.2 years). Mean age: Int (57.4 yrs); control (53.8 yrs); t (56)=2.16, p=.035.

- Gender males Int: 18 (62%);
   Con: 24 (83%); Females Int: 11 (38%); con: 5 (17%).
- Ethnicity not reported.
- Religion/belief not reported.
- Disability all have mild or moderate ID. All but 4 participants (2 interventions, 2 comparisons) could speak and answer simple questions.
- Long-term health condition 20 participants in each group has a primary intellectual disability diagnosis, 9 in each group have health issues such as mental health, vision, hearing, and acquired brain injury.
- Sexual orientation not reported.
- Socioeconomic position F/T work Int: 9 (31%); con: 7 (24%); χ2 (2, n=58)=0.42, p=.81 P/T work Int: 17 (59%); Con: 18 (62%); Day programme

community groups increased from an average of 2.18 (sd=3.08) hours at pre-test to 5.35 (sd=3.83) hours at post-test, t=(1,25)=-7.87, p<0.001, d=1.54.

- 2. Social contacts Time spent with new social contacts increased from 0.03 (sd=0.13) hours per week at pretest to 3.30 (sd=1.64) hours at posttest, t(1,24)=-9.94, p<0.001, d= 1.98.
- 3. Change in work hours. Overall, the participants reduced their weekly work hours from an average of 26.64 (sd=9.77) hours to 22.54 h, a significant reduction, t(1,25)=3.44, p=0.002, d=0.67.

# Outcome comparisons between intervention and comparison group participants

- 1. Self-report variables
- 1.1 Depression (n=47), F(1,44)=0.03, p=0.86, d=0.28.
- 1.2 Aloneness (n=45), F(1,42)=1.40, p=0.24, d=-0.15.

#### Methodology:

Comparison evaluation with matched controls. Each interventioncomparison pair was matched as closely as possible on work/day programme placement, full-time or part-time work status or day programme attendance, living arrangements, gender and age group.

#### Country: Not UK, Australia.

#### Source of funding: Not reported.

only Int: 3 (10%); Con: 4 (14%) Living arrangements Independent Int: 4 (14%); Con: 1 (3%); χ2 (3, n=58)=3.89, p=.27 Group home Int: 12 (41%); Con: 14 (48%) Hostel Int: 9 (31%); Con: 6 (21%) Family Int: 4 (14%); Con: 8 (28%). Sample size: Total 58 (intervention group, 29 and control group, 29).

**Intervention:** Intervention to support older people with learning disabilities to participate in mainstream community activities.

**Description:** While attending their individual mainstream community group 1 day per week, intervention group participants received support from community group members trained as mentors.

**Delivered by:** Trained mentors were existing members of the community groups who volunteered to receive training and to support the participant when he/she was attending their group. There were 73 mentors (38 women,35 men) for 26 intervention group participants.

**Delivered to:** Intervention participants were invited to join the project if they

- 1.3 Social satisfaction (n=46), F(1,43)=10.61, p=0.002, d=0.78.
- 1.4 Loneliness (n=20), F(1,17)=1.72, p=0.21, d =-0.28.
- 1.5. Quality of life (physical) (n=34), F(1,31)=0.55, p=0.47, d=0.21.
- 1.6. Quality of life (mental) (n=34), F(1,31)=0.37, p=0.55, d =-0.36
- 2.Proxy report variables 2.1. GDS Depression (n=36), F(1,33)=2.98, p=0.095, d=-0.51 2.2. Mini PAS-ADD Depression (n=42), F(1,33)=2.76, p=0.105, d=-0.36. 2.3.Mini PAS-ADD Life events (n=42), F(1,39)=0.14, p=0.71, d=0.03.
- Narrative findings effectiveness Outcomes for the intervention group.

Attending community groups success rate, attrition and ongoing attendance.

Overall, 27 (93%) of the 29 intervention participants joined a community group and attended for at least 3 months, with 25 (86%) attending for a full 6 months. Hours: participants attended their group

were aged 45 years or older and their work/day programme circumstances (e.g. part-time attendance, frequent absences, lack of engagement with work, age-related health problems or acquired disabilities) suggested that they might benefit from reduced work/day programme attendance.

during the day on a weekday for 1–6h (average 3.6h, n=27). Ongoing attendance: individual attendance ranged from 3 to 24 months Mean =12.4, n=27.

**Duration, frequency, intensity, etc.:** 

Disability interaction training of mentors were 1–1.5 hours. Participants attended their group during the day on a weekday for 1–6h (average 3.6h, n=27). Several extended their involvement in community groups. Overall,27 (93%) of the 29 intervention participants joined a community group and attended for at least 3 months, with 25 (86 %) attending for a full 6 months.

Key components and objectives of intervention: Transition to retirement program a) Individual retirement planning meeting. A planning meeting was held for each intervention participant to discuss the details of dropping a day at work/day programme to attend a community group on that day. b) Locating a community group or volunteering opportunity. c) Attending the group. d) Training mentors.

Mentor training consisted of two phases (1) disability interaction training and (2) hands-on training. e) Activity restructuring with assistance from mentors. This approach was to identify appropriate activities, often with a joint decision to reserve the activity as the responsibility of the participant. f) Monitoring and ongoing support. Content/session titles: Transition to retirement programme a) Individual retirement planning meeting b) Locating a community group or volunteering opportunity. c) Attending the group. d) Training mentors. Mentor training consisted of two phases (1) disability interaction training and (2) hands-on training. e) Activity restructuring. f) Monitoring and ongoing support. Location/place of delivery: Individual retirement planning meetings were held at the participant's home. Intervention participants each attended a different community/volunteer group, according to individual interest. Where multiple individuals attended the same group type, each person attended a separate group in a separate location.

#### Comparison intervention:

Comparison group members received no intervention and continued to attend work as usual.

#### Outcomes measured – service userrelated:

Study assessed participants' loneliness, social satisfaction, depression, life events, quality of life, community participation, social contacts and work hours before and 6 months after joining a community group.

**Follow-up:** Follow-up was up to 6 months after intervention.

**Costs:** Not reported.

## Review question 6 – findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. McCarron M, McCallion P, Carroll R et al. (2014) The Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (IDSTILDA). Advancing Years, Different Challenges: Wave 2 IDS-TILDA. Findings on the ageing of people with an intellectual disability. University of Dublin, Trinity College

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim: To document the changes over time of people with intellectual disability (ID) since Wave 1 (ID-TILDA [The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing]) in relation to social participation and connectedness.  Methodology: Survey. Pre-interview questionnaires and extensive face-to-face computer assisted personal interview. This study is part of a longitudinal study (Wave 2) conducted to document the changes over time of people with intellectual disability (ID) since Wave 1 (ID-TILDA ITILIA ITIL	•	Narrative findings  Social participation for older adults with intellectual disability (quantitative data).  A. Social connection for people with ID  1. Social connection with family (Table 2.1, p35).  a. Older people with ID most likely to be reliant on siblings and extended families – sisters (77%); brothers (70.4%); niece/nephews (53,2%); cousins (25%); mothers (24%).  b. 8% of older people aged over 65 years likely to have no family at all.  c. Social connectedness – proximity of family members: majority of family members lived outside the participant's neighbourhood (Table 2.2, p36).  d. Face-to-face contact with family members (52%); telephone contact (47%); written contact (4%) (p36).  2. Social connection with friends	Overall assessment of internal validity: +  Overall assessment of external validity: ++
TILDA [The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing] in 2008) in	range of residential circumstances' (p164).	2. Oodiai connection with menas	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
relation to social participation and connectedness.	Sample size: N=708.	a. No friends at all outside own home (43%); for people with severe ID (34%).	
Country:	Outcomes measured: Service user-related: family- or caregiver-related	b. For those living in independent/family residences (87%); those living in community group homes (60%); in institutional settings	
Ireland.	outcomes. Social participation for older	(41%).	
Source of funding: Government. Health Research	adults with intellectual disability.	c. About 70% of respondents participated in social activities with staff (Table 2.4, p39).	
Board and Department of Health.	Follow-up: This survey was conducted as Wave 2 (2013) after Wave 1 (2008).	3. Self-reported feelings of social inclusion/exclusion	
	Costs: Not reported.	a. Feeling lonely – women more likely to feel lonely than men (53% vs. 34%); left out (28% vs. 24%); having difficulty making friends (28% vs. 27%). Similar levels in those who lived in community group homes and institutional residences.	
		b. Paid staff most likely to be confidantes of respondents with ID (73%), ahead of siblings (26%) and friends (11%) (Table 2.5, p42).	
		c. People with ID living in independent/family residences more likely to receive/give help than those living in community homes and institutional settings (Fig 2.6, p44).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		B. Community engagement in social life	
		People with ID living in independent/family residences more likely to vote and own a mobile phone than those living in community group homes and institutional settings. Those in community setting more likely to have a hobby and go on a holiday (Fig 2.7, p47).	
		1. Internet and mobile phones. In people with ID, internet use (11%, general population 77%); mobile phone ownership (24%, 120% nationally).  2. Membership of clubs, organisations and societies. Overall involvement in voluntary clubs, organisations and societies 43%; men more likely not to be involved than women (59% vs. 55%); those with profound ID (75%); those in institutional setting (71%); those over 65 years old (66%)(Fi. 2.8, pp47–8).	
		3. Participation in social activities. Relatively high engagement – participating in social activities (eating out/coffee, shopping, visit to hairdressers, going to church, cinema or visiting friends). Lowest activity rate in those aged over 65 years, those with severe ID, and those living in institutional settings (Fig 2.9, p49).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		C. Facilitators and barriers of/to social participation	
		1. Participating in social activities outside homes a. 52% experienced difficulties participating in social activities outside homes; more in women than men (55% vs. 49%); more in those aged over 65 years than those under 50 (59% vs. 50%). Those with severe ID experienced these difficulties the most (78% vs. 30% in those with mild ID). Those in institutional settings (68%), in family homes (27%) and those in community group homes (48%) (Fig. 2.10, p50).	
		b. Most frequently reported difficulties – needing someone's assistance (70%); health considerations and physically unable (41%) (Fig 2.11, p51).	
		2. Difficulties getting around the community. a. 64% experienced difficulties and did not travel around the community, more men than women (36% vs. 31%), and those in institutional residences (41%) (Fig 2.12, p52).	
		D. Employment changes. a. 6.5% in regular paid employment; 12% attending sheltered workshops; 80% attending day services.(p52).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		E. Day service attendance 80% attended day services (art, crafts and music most popular); 19% reported rarely or never chose their activities (p53).	
		F. Education and lifelong learning. Not engaged currently in further education (86%), 12% expressed interest in attending courses such as reading/writing and computer classes; 14% attending FE (FETEC level course and literacy classes) (p54).	
		G. Identified difficulties engaging in further education. Issues of numeracy and literacy – difficulties with writing (83%), with reading (82%); with number identification (81%) and understanding money (78%) (Fig 2.15, p55).	
		H. Access and engagement with technology. Less than a quarter own a mobile phone; less than 1 in 20 can send a text; 36% had access to computer; 28% had access to the internet. (Fig 2.16, p56).	
		In summary, this survey found:  1. Older people with learning disabilities were reliant on siblings and extended families to provide family network and support.  2. Older people with learning disabilities lived	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		in different neighbourhoods than their families/relations – difficulty in maintaining connection.  3. Older people with learning disabilities had reduced regular contact with family members and close friends.  4. Other social partners such as paid staff were more important as confidantes in the lives of older people with ID.  5. Women with ID were more likely to feel excluded socially than men. Those in community group homes and institutional residences more likely to feel social exclusion than those in independent family residences.  6. Purposeful contact with families, friends and neighbours was greatly impacted by level of ID, types of residence and age.  7. Engagement in social activities declined, especially for men and those with profound ID, for those aged over 65 and who lived in institutional residences. These groups were also likely to be at greater risk of being unable to travel around in their own local community.  8. Employment status remained poor – exacerbated by issues of numeracy, literacy and money management.  9. Few benefit currently from access to	
		information technologies. To support greater	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		level of genuine integration, renewed efforts needed.	

# 2. McCarron M, Swinburne J, Burke E et al. (2011) Growing older with an intellectual disability in Ireland 2011. First results from the intellectual disability supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Dublin: School of Nursing and Midwifery, Trinity College Dublin

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
1. To identify the	Service users and their		assessment of
principal influences on	families, partners and carers.	Adults with an Intellectual Disability Ageing in	internal validity: +
successful ageing in	·	Ireland as Members of their Families and	+
persons with	Sample characteristics:	Communities :	
intellectual disability,	• Age		Overall
and then determine if	The age of respondents	People with an intellectual disability (ID) living in	assessment of
they are the same or	ranged from 41–90 years,	community settings participated in their local	external validity:
different from the	with an average age of 54.7	communities more than people living in residential	++
influences for the	years. Similar to the general	centres. However, regardless of residential	
general population.	population the biggest	circumstances, adults with an ID in Ireland were not	
2 A second similar	proportion of participants	actively engaged with their communities and	
2. A second aim is to	(46%) fell within the 50–64	community presence was not actually equated with	
develop a first wave baseline picture of	age group, with 36% falling within the 40–49 age group.	'living' in the community. Given that those currently living in community settings tend to be younger, this	
ageing among	• Sex	does not bode well for community participation as	
persons with	Total 45% were male and	they age and experience health decline.	
intellectual disability	55% were female.	they age and expendince fleath decime.	

and a cohort of subjects that may then be followed longitudinally.

#### **Objectives:**

- 1. To understand the health characteristics and status of persons with intellectual disability as they age.
- 2. To examine the service needs and health service utilisation of older persons with intellectual disability as compared to the general population.
- 3. To identify disparities in the health status of older persons with intellectual disability as compared to findings yielded by TILDA for the general population.

- Ethnicity
   Not mentioned.
- Religion/belief
  Most adults with ID reported
  having a religion with the
  majority 57% attending
  religious service at least
  once a week. Most
  participants were Roman
  Catholic (96%), with 15
  participants identified as
  Church of Ireland/Anglican,
  Methodist or Presbyterian.
  Ten participants responded
  as 'other' here and 8
  participants stated they had
  no religion.
- Disability
   All levels of ID were represented in the sample, with the highest number of participants (44%) falling within the moderate range of ID.
- Long-term health condition Many in the IDS-TILDA sample, particularly those in the younger age cohorts, reported experiencing good health but there were significant concerns in terms of cardiac issues (including

#### 1.1. Social networks

#### a. Family members

The majority of adults had some level of contact with at least 1 family member. However, approximately 1 in 4 adults reported meeting their family once a year or less (27.7%) or less and 8% reported that they never meet family members. Persons with a mild to moderate ID (53%) tended to meet their family on a more regular basis (3 to 4 times per week, weekly or monthly) than those with a severe to profound ID (40.8%). Over 3/4 of adults (75%) with an ID reported that they never wrote, texted, emailed or used social media tools such as Facebook to contact their family or friends. Moreover, less than 60% used the telephone to make such contacts. A large number of respondents (42.5%) had no phone contact with their family, with 9% of this group indicating that this question was not relevant.

#### b. Meeting friends

Of great concern was the finding that 37% of adults with an ID in Ireland reported never meeting their friends; among this sub group, 50% were within the mild to moderate ID levels. Contact also varied by residential circumstances: 72% (n=42) of those without contact lived in a residential setting, 22% (n=13) in a community setting and 6% (n=3) were living independently or with their family. The

4. In preparation for longitudinal follow-up, to determine potential - Risk factors for ill health - Facilitators of good health and longevity - Biological and environmental components of successful ageing.

#### Methodology:

Survey.
The study was a nationally representative survey.

#### Country:

Republic of Ireland.

#### Source of funding:

Government.
Health Research
Board and
Department of Health.

risk factors), epilepsy, constipation, arthritis, osteoporosis, urinary incontinence, falls, cancer and thyroid disease.

- Sexual orientation
  Unlike the general
  population the majority of
  adults with intellectual
  disability (ID) were not
  married/partnered and did
  not have children.
- Socioeconomic position A third had no formal education, approximately half completed some or all of their primary education and only 3 respondents reported having completed secondary level education. Most participants lived in out-ofhome placements: those living with families and in the community tended to be younger, with a mild/moderate range of intellectual disability; those living in residential type accommodation tended to be older with more severe to profound levels of ID. Overall, 6.6% (n=50) of Irish

majority of participants indicated that they never spoke on the telephone (58%,n=432) or wrote (73%, n=548) to their friends.

#### 1.2. Loneliness and inclusion

Of those who reported loneliness, 15% (n=29) reported feeling lonely most of the time, a majority of 74% (n=142) felt lonely sometimes, and a further 10% (n=20) said they occasionally felt lonely. Those living in community-based settings including group homes, independent living and with families were more likely to report experiencing at least some loneliness. This was particularly the case among those in the younger age groups.

#### **Experience of inclusion**

Self-reporting participants were asked if they ever felt left out, and approximately 1/3 34% (n=140) reported experiences of feeling left out most of the time (12%; n=16) sometimes (74%; n=103) and rarely (14%; n=19). Making friends – participants were asked if they found it difficult to make friends and 1 in 3 (32%; n=132) reported such difficulty.

#### 1.3. Social engagement

#### a. Voting

Approximately 70% of adults with an ID in Ireland did not vote in the last general election. Those

adults with an ID were in paid employment. It was reported that 37.6% (n=283) were unable to work due to permanent disability or sickness and 6.1% (n=46) were retired.

#### Sample size:

Total 753 people with ID.

Outcomes measured – service user-related

Relationship with family and communities.

Employment, retirement, day services and lifelong learning.

**Follow-up:** The longitudinal study is planned to have follow-up – Wave 2 and Wave 3.

Costs - Not reported.

living at home or independently were more likely to vote than those living in a community group home, or in a residential centre, at 61.2%, 41.4% and 12.1% respectively.

#### b. Holidays

Three quarters of adults with an ID reported going on a holiday last year, with 17% going abroad on holiday. People with a more severe ID were less likely to go on holiday and more often went on day trips. With regards holidays, 53% reported that they went on holidays in Ireland in the last year and 17% reported they went abroad. People living in a community group home (66%, n=177) were more likely to holiday in Ireland than those living independently (57.4%, n=74) or within a residential centre (41.9%, n=149). However, people who lived independently or with their family (36.4%, n=47) were more likely to go on a foreign holiday than those living in a community setting (23.5%, n=63) or in a residential centre (5.3%, n=19).

#### c. Internet access

Overall, 7.3% (n=55) reported that they had used the internet in the last year and of those who had, the majority (n=48) were within the mild to moderate range. In addition, 23% (n=172) of people mainly mild to moderate ID reported that they owned their own mobile phone.

#### d. Hobbies and activities

Most adults with an ID (61%) had a hobby, engaged in daytime activity and leisure pursuits on a regular basis, and had social contacts with others. Watching television 81.5% of adults with an ID watched TV at least once a week.

#### 1.4. Engaging in community life

#### a. Neighbours and community inclusion

In this study, 14.7% (n=111) received help from their neighbour over the past 2 years. Of those who received help, 40.5% (n=45) lived at home or independently, 31.5% (n=35) lived in a community setting and 27.9% (n=31) were in a residential centre. A total of 13.1% (n=97) gave help to their neighbours. Among this group, 44.3% (n=43) lived at home or independently, 33% (n=32) lived in a community setting and 22.7% (n=22) lived in a residential setting.

#### b. Member of an organisation or club

Respondents were asked to identify, from a list of options, the clubs, societies or organisations to which they belonged. Special Olympics ranked the highest, reported by 19% (n=145). Advocacy groups were next at 12% (n=92), followed by church/religious groups at 11% (n=81). Respondents were less likely to report engaging in

education/music or evening classes (10%, n=75), or retirement clubs (4.2%, n=32) with very few (2.3%, n=17) reporting they were members of tenants or residents associations. A number of respondents (4.1%, n=31) reported being a member of the Arch Club, 11 were members of a charitable association and 3 were members of a political party. It is evident that age group, level of ID and living circumstances all have some influence on the person's engagement in leisure activities. People aged between 50–64 years were more likely to eat out (90%), go for coffee (88%) or go to the pub (70%), whereas for people aged 40-49 years, going shopping (86%) was the most commonly engaged in activity. For people aged 65 years and over, going to church (80.6%) and to the hairdressers (81.3%) were the most popular activities. The majority of respondents (79%) identified their key worker/support staff as the main person with whom they engaged in leisure activities. In addition, a further 57% reported engaging in these activities with friends within their house, and 34% reported engaging in these activities with their family. Overall, only 30% reported engaging in these activities with friends outside the house. Overall, respondents reported guite regular engagement, with 80.8% reporting going out to the cinema every few months or more, 52.1% going shopping at least once a week and 46.2% going to the pub for a drink once a week or less. Over 60% expressed a wish to do more

activities, particularly gardening, boating, bowling or keeping fit.

### c. Difficulties participating in social activities outside the home

Over 50% of adults with an ID reported having difficulty in participating in social activities outside their home, with greatest difficulties being the need to have someone's assistance (44.2%) and health considerations (26%). Generally, people living independently/with their family (30.4%) or in a community setting (43.3%) reported less difficulty than those living in a residential centre (65.3%).

#### d. Difficulties getting around the community

Although a sizable proportion of respondents (42.1%, n=313), reported they did not experience any difficulty getting around their community, almost 60% did; 38.2% (n=284) reported a lot of difficulty here and a further 19.7% (n=147) reported that this was not applicable to them, because they did not travel around their community. Further examination identified that those living in a community setting experienced the greatest level of difficulty; with 22.3% (n=25) having difficulty due to a lack of street crossings, 33% (n=37) had problems with signage, and a further 29.5% (n=33) felt unsafe. In contrast 30.6% (n=53) of people living in a residential setting had difficulty with footpath design and surfaces. Finally, 14.3% (n=4)

people living independently experienced the greatest level of difficulty in accessing recreational areas.

#### e) Transportation

People were asked to identify the means of transport they utilised within the past year. The majority of people (90%, n=678) identified being driven as a passenger by service staff as the means of transport they used most often. In total, 20.6% (n=155) reported using the public bus, and 2.8% (n=21) used the public bus in rural settings. Interestingly, 37.7% (n=244) of participants reported a lack of transportation within their community. The majority of adults with an ID were dependent upon others for transportation and other assistance to access community options. Participants reported that their need for such assistance was the greatest barrier to successfully participating in social activities.

#### f) Voluntary work

Numbers volunteering (7.7%; n=58) were smaller for adults with an ID, with the majority (63.2%, n=36) doing so twice a month or more. Reasons why people with an ID volunteered included enjoyment (8.5%, n=35), contributing something useful (7.9%, n=33), meeting other people (6.0%, n=25) and a sense of achievement and feeling needed (4.1%; n=17).

## 2. Employment, retirement, day services and lifelong learning

Overall, 6.6% (n=50) of Irish adults with an intellectual disability (ID) were in paid employment. Of those in paid employment, 44% (n=22) received less than the minimum wage. Over half of respondents did not know how much money they received on a weekly/monthly basis. The majority of adults with an ID (79.4%) attended a day service, with 43.5% reporting they had choices in their activities there and 32.7% reporting that they rarely or never had such choices. Just over 1/3 (66.8%) reported that they received assistance going to and from their day service. A total of 15.6%, most of whom were aged 40–49 years, indicated that they were currently engaged in further education. For those who expressed a desire to engage in further education, computer and literacy classes were most frequently cited courses.

#### a. Employment status

Only 23.1% (n=174) of the population surveyed described themselves as being in employment and 6.1% (n=46) reported being retired. A large number of respondents reported their day service or sheltered workshop as a place of employment; 33.3% (n=58) who described themselves as employed actually attended a day service or other kind of service and a further 37.9% (n=66) attended

a sheltered workshop. In total, almost 3/4 (71.1%) of respondents reported their participation in some form of sheltered workshop or day service as employment.

#### b. Day services

Overall, 79.4% (n=597) of respondents reported attending a day service, where the most popular activities were arts and crafts (76.7%), music (69%), and multisensory and other health therapies such as massage or occupational therapy (59.8%). In total, 43.5% (n=256) reported that they were usually able to choose the activities they engaged in, and a further 23.8% (n=140) reported that they got this opportunity sometimes. However, not everyone was happy with their day service. Almost a third (32.7%) reported that they rarely or never had the opportunity to choose activities.

#### c. Lifelong learning

The majority (84.5%) of adults with an ID were not engaged in further education, with only 15.4% (n=116) reporting that they had or were currently attending courses. Of those engaging in further education, 26.1% reported that their course was organised by the Vocational Education Committee (VEC), 11.3% by a training centre, and 7.8% by a local community programme.

#### d. Retirement

Forty-six participants, most of whom were over 65 years, reported they were retired. The average preferred age of retirement was 62 years. Three quarters (75.4%) of those attending a day service reported they did not plan to retire from it at all and a further 12.2% of the total sample reported that they had already retired. Some respondents indicated they had no choice but to retire; as one participant reported, 'when 50 you automatically retire from the day service' (p141). A large number of adults with an ID indicated that they did not want to retire. Positive social consequences, including retaining contact with staff and friends and having somewhere to go during the day, are likely to be serious considerations in people's decision not to retire.

### 3. Judge J, Walley R, Anderson B et al. (2010) Activity, aging, and retirement: the views of a group of Scottish people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7: 295–301

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall assessment of
To understand the	Service users and their families,		internal validity: +
views of older adults	partners and carers.	Five major themes emerged from	
with learning	Older adults with learning disabilities.	the analysis.	
disabilities in relation	J. Control of the con		
to their current	Sample characteristics	1. The importance of being active	Overall assessment of
daytime activity (which	• Age		external validity: +

was not necessarily part of a day centre) and their hopes and dreams about future daytime activity.

#### Methodology:

Qualitative study. Interpretive phenomenological analysis.

#### Country:

UK, Scotland.

#### Source of funding:

Study was undertaken as part of the first author's doctorate, funding source not reported.

Range from 41 to 64 years.

Sex

Total 11 female and 5 male.

- Ethnicity
   Not reported.
- Religion/belief
   Not reported.
- Disability

Case records indicated that participants had a 'mild to moderate range' (p296) of learning disabilities.

- Long-term health condition Not reported.
- Sexual orientation Not reported.
- Socioeconomic position
  All participants attended day centres.
  Two were married to each other, the others were single. Three lived in own accommodation with visiting support.
  Three lived with and were supported by family members. Ten lived in 24-hour supported accommodations.

Sample size: Total 16.

Most participants were clear about the importance of their activities both now and in the future. Being occupied was really important, giving them enjoyment and confidence. They felt strongly that they wanted this to continue and would even like to be doing more than they currently are, 'They always tell me, you have to slow down when you get older, but I can't see myself doing that ... I can't see myself doing that because I just like to be on the move all the time ...' (p297). The participants often said their activity shouldn't be limited to the day centre. They especially liked activities which have a purpose, for example voluntary work or work representing other service users. It gave them great confidence and a sense of achievement. So whereas participants were generally happy to be active they were especially happy when the activity had meaning and purpose. For example, one woman enjoyed knitting, but only when she was making something for other people.

2. The day centre as a social hub

Many recognised that the day centre was really important in facilitating the activities that they felt were so important. The day centre was also a community in its own right, 'you can mix in with people and you get new friends and all of that. You know ... community' (p297). Many had been attending the centre for years and built up strong friendships including with staff. The sense of community and friendship were described by many as the most important aspect of daytime activity. Participants were clearly worried that they would lose contact with friends when they are no longer allowed to attend the day centre, 'if I wasn't coming here I would be just staying in my bed, or just staying in my own home' (p298).

#### 3. Confusion concerning 'retirement'

In Scotland, common practice is that adults with learning disabilities can attend day centres until they are aged 65, which is considered 'retirement' age. Participants were asked about their perceptions of 'retirement' and how they felt at the

prospect. Some were confused by the concept. Others understood what it meant in terms of day centre policy - but they were confused about the implications. For example, one respondent thought that although she wouldn't be able to attend after she turned 65, she could still visit, and another thought they would at least be able to have lunch at the day centre. For those who fully grasped the implications, their displeasure was evident, 'No, no. Want to keep on coming I think ... I think I like to meet and mix with people ... talking to people' (p298). One of the participants reflected the fact that they have no choice in the matter or leaving the day centre and he commented, 'it means you can't go there any more ... so they'll probably find you something else to do' (p298) (researcher's observation). Since adults with a learning disability get no choice about whether to retire (e.g. cease attending the day centre) it seems to be an upsetting experience since friendships, activities and opportunities are taken away. With retirement comes a loss of connectedness.

#### 4. Desire for continuity

The general message was that participants didn't want to stop their day centre attendance when they reached 'retirement'. People wanted to keep going the way they are now not least because they recognised the importance of continued activity and involvement as they age. When asked whether he would want to stop attending the day centre, one man said 'No, all the time I want to come here' (p298). Essentially all the participants were happy with their current routine and wanted to continue with the day centre and staying busy even when they reach 65.

#### 5. The value of independence

In the context of ageing, respondents valued their independence and wanted it to continue. Some were determined to ensure this, e.g. 1 woman continuing to cook for herself (a skill she learned after her mother passed away). However others were less optimistic about maintaining

	independence in their older age, 'I hope I can stay in my own home I hope so I don't want to go into a home if I can help it' (p299).	
--	---	--

# 4. Newberry G, Martin C, Robbins L (2015) How do people with learning disabilities experience and make sense of the ageing process? British Journal of Learning Disabilities 43(4): 285–92

Research	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall assessment
To explore how people	Service users and their families,		of internal validity: +
with learning	partners and carers.	The master themes arising from the	
disabilities experience		group analysis were as follows.	
and make sense of the	Sample characteristics		Overall assessment
ageing process and	• Age	1. Quality of relationships is central	of external validity: +
old age.	Three women and 4 men, aged 60–	to enjoyment of life, including sub	
	81.	themes on the importance of	
	• Sex	affection and companionship,	
Methodology:	Three women and 4 men.	distress at lack of closeness and	
Qualitative study.	Ethnicity	anxiety about ability to satisfy	
Analysis was carried	Not reported.	others	
out using interpretative	Religion/belief		
phenomenological	Not reported.	<ul> <li>Some experienced care and</li> </ul>	
analysis (IPA).	Disability	good treatment in friendship,	
	Mild learning disability.	and relationships were valued	
Country:	Long-term health condition	as sources of support and	
UK.	Mild learning disabilities.	comfort during times of need.	
	Socioeconomic position		
Source of funding:		<ul> <li>Many participants had a strong</li> </ul>	
Not reported.		attachment to 1 key person.	

Research	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)		
	Employment was reported – college, voluntary work, day centre voluntary work.  Sample size: Total 7 participants.	<ul> <li>Some participants were distressed at the lack of close affectionate relationships, expressing grief, sadness or anger.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Some participants in this study found relationships difficult, even mystifying, and had always done so.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Some participants described increasing anxieties about satisfying others' expectations; becoming forgetful, e.g., made it even more difficult for them.</li> </ul>	
		2. Needing a sense of purpose	
		<ul> <li>Participants wanted to continue working, learning and participating in activities, maintaining voluntary work, attending day services or doing housework.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Most participants talked with pride about experiences of</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		feeling needed at work or having a useful role.  Overall, the meaning of purposeful activity was tied to the concepts of work and retirement, and as varied as it might be in the general older population.  Rowerlessness which includes restricted autonomy, frustrated by	
		Several participants described experiences of powerlessness and restricted autonomy. There were differing levels of satisfaction with the amount of autonomy participants could exercise; some were happy with new found autonomy and independence, others felt constrained.	
		<ul> <li>Some examples of restricted autonomy were mentioned in passing. Some participants seemed to accept them unquestioningly. Others</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		seemed frustrated by their dependence on staff. One participant explicitly acknowledged her sense of powerlessness, speaking with anger. She described feeling unheard.	
		4. Making sense of getting older, including sub themes on reactions to changes with age, life review and looking to the future	
		Six participants had some awareness of ageing. Their attitudes and beliefs were largely negative, generally focused on stereotypical physical changes, e.g. greying and forgetfulness. Several participants used ideas about what is 'normal' to help them make sense of the changes they experienced. Two participants spoke of becoming less mobile.	
		<ul> <li>Several participants seemed to be reviewing their past, as might be expected of older</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)	people in general. They seemed to be negotiating a relationship with past experiences and making sense of how their past has influenced current experience.  Some participants had come to terms with past difficulties and contrasted these with current contentment. Three participants talked with strong feelings of sadness or anger about experiences of betrayal or abuse earlier in life, placing these experiences firmly in the past and reporting that their lives had improved.  Several participants seemed to make an association between old age and death, talking about the deaths of friends and family members in old age. Two participants talked about their own death.	

## 5. Randell M and Cumella S (2009) People with an intellectual disability living in an intentional community. Journal of intellectual Disability Research 53: 716–26

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall assessment
To explore the	Service users and their families, partners	Views of people with ID on living in an	of internal validity:
experiences of	and carers. People with ID.	intentional community.	+
people living in an			
intentional	Sample characteristics:	1. Living with other families in	
community, and to	• Age	households	
understand what the	Ranging between 38 and 78 years		Overall assessment
idea of 'community'	(median =50 years).	a. Some had lived with same families	of external validity:
means to them as	• Sex	for many years and had watched their	++
individuals.	Ten men and 5 women.	co-workers' children grow up. They	
	Ethnicity	particularly valued these relationships.	
Methodology:	Not reported.	'I like that it is a big house, there is	
Qualitative study.	Religion/belief	always someone to talk to' (p720).	
,	Six of the 15 respondents regularly		
	attended church (Christian belief).	b. Felt able to contribute towards	
Country:	Disability	household by taking on tasks such as	
UK.	All respondents had ID.	collecting the milk, getting the post,	
	Long-term health condition	helping cook lunch and helping tidy up	
Source of funding:	Not reported.	after meals.	
Not reported.	Socioeconomic position		
	All 15 respondents had been in full-time	c. The common concerns were a lack	
	employment in Botton Village (2 worked	of space, privacy and cold weather: 'I	
	part time, and 1 was fully retired from	don't like it when people argue, but	
	work – animal husbandry, cooking,	other than that I like it here very	
	cleaning, administration, shop assistant,	much.' 'I don't like the winter very	
	making wooden toys and restoring	much. I get worried about it being icy'	
	furniture, weaving workshop, etc.).	(p720).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
	Sample size: Total 15.  Intervention: Intentional community.	d. Felt 'listened to': 'Yes I do, I feel I can talk to people or my house parents if I need to.' 'They do listen. If you want something you go to the houseparents and they will sort it out'	
	Describe intervention	(p720).	
	Botton Village is an intentional community organised as a new form of community living based on the philosophical ideas of Karl König and Rudolf Steiner. The community will accept the individual, integral personality in such a way that the developmental otherness becomes variety instead of abnormality, that diversity rather than uniformity becomes the foundation of healthy social life. (Weihs 1988, p19) (p719). 'The village has 300 community members (members with disabilities and co-workers) in over 30 households. Households provide an extended family setting comprising co-workers (often a family with children) who live alongside the members with disabilities and provide support as required. Members and co-workers of the village have a working role to help in meeting the shared and individual needs of the	a. Work formed an important part which enabled them to fully participate and contribute to the life of the village. 'I enjoy looking after the animals and making sure they are cleaned out properly.' 'I like the work, all the work I do' (p720).  b. Main reason given for enjoying their job was that they met people: 'Mainly it's the people. The people are nice' (p720).  c. Most common complaint was that sometimes they felt bossed about: 'Sometimes I don't like being demanded to do things that's all, and sometimes that can make me a bit cross, but I do like work' (p720).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
	community. Employment is provided by:	d. Felt listened to at work, and knew	
	five biodynamic farms providing the	who to talk to if they had problems:	
	meat, milk and vegetables for the	'Yes I talk to the workgroup if I have a	
	community; a creamery, bakery, food	problem.' 'I am trying to decide which	
	centre and meat store; and various craft	place is better to work, Charles	
	workshops that generate income for the	listened to me and helped me to find a	
	village. Amenities in the village include a	new place to work' (p720).	
	store, post office, bookshop and gift		
	shop, recycling workshop and a coffee	3. Leisure	
	bar' (p719). The smallest current		
	household had 5 people in it while the	a. Respondents enjoyed leisure	
	largest household was made up of 17	activities at home (such as reading,	
	people including a family with young	watching TV, music, knitting) and	
	children (p720). Intentional communities	away from home (such as visiting	
	(Konig 1956) create a life for those	friends' houses, going out for dinner,	
	unable to find a place in the outside	walks, swimming, playing games with	
	competitive world, but can avoid	friends and, most commonly, going to	
	becoming an asylum in which people led	the coffee bar). 'I enjoy my own	
	passive and regulated lives if men and	company' or that 'it's nice to relax'. 'I	
	women whose intelligence is according	like the coffee bar because my friends	
	to test standards supposed to be inferior, will live and work with others of normal	always come here. It's nice to talk to people.' 'I usually meet my friends	
	intelligence but will not regard this as a	there and we have a nice time	
	barrier between them.	together' (p722).	
	Dainer Detween them.	τοθοιποι (ρ <i>122)</i> .	
	Delivered to:	b. Participation in formal community-	
	People with ID.	based activities (such as choir singing	
	·	taking part in plays, involved in the	
	<ul> <li>Key components and objectives of</li> </ul>	Advent fairy tale) 'I enjoy socialising	
	intervention:	with the village at Christmas. 'I like	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
	Key aims of the village to achieve these	sharing these times with other people	
	objectives by providing a full life for its	in the village' (p722).	
	members, comprising: '1 A Cultural Life		
	in which education and learning,	c. Experienced less incidences of	
	appreciation of the arts and music,	victimisation and verbal abuse than	
	concern for the land and the	other people living in more	
	environment and the fostering of mutual	community-integrated environments:	
	understanding combine to offer the	'Here we are very lucky. We can all go	
	possibility for all members of the	out. We are able to go to the	
	community to realise their potential; 2 A	neighbours like we do. It is safe here'	
	Community Life in which the recognition	(p722).	
	of the special qualities of every individual		
	and the celebration of the Christian	4. Friendships	
	festivals through the year form the basis		
	for daily life, which brings together each	a. Most respondents enjoyed a wide	
	person as an equal in a common	network of friendships and the	
	purpose; 3 An Economic Life in which	company of other people with whom	
	there is a separation of work and money,	they could share things. Friends are	
	whereby work is carried out according to	very important yes, you know, to	
	the need of the community and the	spend time with people and things.	
	ability of each person, while people's	'Yes I have masses of friends in the	
	financial needs are met on an individual	village. I have close friends in lots of	
	and cooperative basis irrespective of the	different houses.' 'I can tell a lot of	
	work done' (p719).	things to my friends that I wouldn't tell	
	- Content/occasion titles:	other people that I know.' 'Friends	
	Content/session titles:     Detten Village (intentional community)	play an important part in my life, you	
	Botton Village (intentional community).	know, it is nice to know that people	
	a Location/place of delivery	really like you, it's nice to have friends	
	Location/place of delivery:	to talk to and keep you company and	
		not to feel secluded' (p722).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
	Intentional community: domestic-style housing in urban settings.  • Describe comparison intervention: None.  Outcomes measured: • Satisfaction with services Views on living with other families in households, employment prospects, leisure, friendship, religion and identity.  • Follow-up: Not reported.  Costs: Not reported.	<ul> <li>b. At times, friendships could be strained; 'One minute we are friends and the next minute you find that we are shouting at each other and then we are all friends again' (p723).</li> <li>5. Religion</li> <li>a. Six of the 15 respondents regularly attended church and regarded attendance an important part of their lives: 'I enjoy attending church with my friends, it is both spiritual side and social side that is important.'</li> <li>b. Remaining respondents all attended church on festivals, such as Easter and Christmas, weddings between co-workers and christenings of their children.</li> <li>6. A community identity</li> <li>a. Respondents felt a sense of inclusion determined mainly by taking part in shared activities: 'I like to be noticed not unnoticed. I don't mean showing off, but I like people to know that I am here. I</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		don't want to feel separate from everything.' 'The work I do is important to the village, helping to clean all the houses and everything' (p723).	
		b. Had a positive experience of being listened to and how they feel able to influence decisions: 'I do feel included yes, because I can join in neighbourhood meetings and talk about what I think should happen in the village.' 'Well if you have a point to make you raise it at the neighbourhood meetings. It works all right, yeah' (p732).	
		c. Intensity of social relationships could have disadvantages, too much arguing or knew too much of each other's lives: 'Sometimes it can be a little fraught, but mostly it is good and you work your differences out and when you have sorted those out then you are on top. It is nice that way we get on well together most times' (p732).	
		d. Living in the village of central importance to the respondents: 'It is	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		my home and always will be. Its good here, it is my home. I enjoy everything. The family I live with and the work that I do' (p732).	
		In summary, people with ID said they liked living in the village because of having a job within the community; socialising with friends and knowing a lot of people; living in the countryside; knowing your neighbours; living with people who are supportive and caring; having the coffee bar; and living alongside families. The spiritual needs were also catered for and they felt safe in the intentional community setting. However, the intensity of social relationships could have disadvantages.	

#### Review question 6 – findings tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Zakrajsek AG, Hammel J, Scazzero JA (2014) Supporting people with intellectual and developmental disabilities to participate in their communities through support staff pilot intervention. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 27: 154–62

Research	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)	_	
Study aim:	Participants:		Overall assessment
	Professionals/practitioners, staff and	Narrative findings – effectiveness	of internal validity:
The main aims of the	administrators.	The results of the pre/post-test scores	+
project were to (i) use		on the confidence surveys indicated a	
the needs identified	Sample characteristics:	general trend towards participants	
by community agency	• Age	scoring their confidence higher on the	
staff and	Not specified.	post-test than the pre-test. For all	Overall assessment
administrators to	• Sex	outcome measures except 1, the sign	of external validity:
develop resources	Not specified.	test indicated that the intervention had	+
and strategies for	Ethnicity	a significant positive effect at	
supporting full	Not mentioned.	p<0.001*.	
participation, (ii)	Religion/belief		
develop and	Not mentioned.	<ul> <li>Narrative findings – qualitative</li> </ul>	
implement a pilot	Disability	and views and experiences	
intervention	Not mentioned.	Participants felt the intervention had	
specifically for staff	Long-term health condition	an impact on supporting them to plan	
members to increase	Not mentioned.	(more systematic, better prepared,	
their confidence in	Sexual orientation	tools to plan) community participation	
supporting choice	Not available.	opportunities. Participants also stated	
and control of people	Socioeconomic position	that the intervention positively	
with I/DD and (iii)	Not available.	influenced their relationship with	
complete a formative		clients when supporting community	
evaluation of the pilot		participation in terms of being more	
intervention.	Sample size:	aware of options to support and ideas	

PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
comparison, outcomes)		
A group of 36 participants.	of how to go about encouraging people. Results also indicated that agencies may want to think about	
Follow-up: Not reported.	offering continued opportunities such as refresher course to explore	
Costs: Not reported.	community participation strategies	
·	and resources in formal or informal	
Intervention:	ways. Qualitative data indicated that	
Intervention category	some changes could be made such	
	as more time to practise skills, role	
	1	
, ,	,	
their communities.		
Describe intervention:	ļ · · · ·	
. Delivered by	backgrounds with one another.	
Not reported.		
Delivered to:		
Forty-one study participants underwent		
1		
evaluation.		
- Duration fraguancy intensity etc.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
agendes in 5 occurrences.		
	comparison, outcomes) A group of 36 participants.  Follow-up: Not reported.  Costs: Not reported.  Intervention: Intervention category Intervention to increase confidence of staff/practitioners to support older people with learning disabilities to participate in their communities.  Describe intervention: Delivered by: Not reported.  Delivered to:	comparison, outcomes)  A group of 36 participants.  Follow-up: Not reported.  Costs: Not reported.  Intervention: Intervention category Intervention to increase confidence of staff/practitioners to support older people with learning disabilities to participate in their communities.  Describe intervention:  Delivered by: Not reported.  Delivered to: Forty-one study participants underwent intervention, but only 36 were involved in evaluation.  Duration, frequency, intensity, etc.: It was held in the form of a 2-hour workshop hosted by 2 community  of how to go about encouraging people. Results also indicated that agencies may want to think about offering continued opportunities such as refresher course to explore community participation strategies and resources in formal or informal ways. Qualitative data indicated that some changes could be made such as more time to practise skills, role playing, to the intervention to make it more effective. Finally, the results indicated that more time during the intervention should be devoted to participants being able to share their backgrounds with one another.

Research	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)		
Research, within the	<ul> <li>Key components and objectives of</li> </ul>		
Rehabilitation	intervention:		
Research and	The aims of the intervention were to (i)		
Training Center on	share the findings of a needs		
Aging and	assessment with staff members and		
Developmental	administrators to identify factors driving		
Disabilities	community participation programming		
(H133B031134) and	and consumer-directed choice and		
the Rehabilitation	control, (ii) provide a forum where staff		
Research and	members could learn from each other's		
Training Center on	experiences, (iii) offer resources and		
Aging with	strategies to the staff on increasing		
Developmental	community participation and (iv) increase		
Disabilities: Lifespan	staff members confidence in how to		
Health and Function	support community participation with		
(H133B080009).	people with I/DD.		
	Content/session titles:		
	Intervention activities – Presentation of		
	preliminary results from the Community		
	Participation Project – Brainstorm of		
	community participation opportunities as		
	a large group – Reflection on the who,		
	what, when, where, how and why of a		
	community activity with step-by-step		
	planning worksheet in small groups –		
	Provision of resources on cognitively		
	accessible transportation planning and		
	low/no-cost community participation		
	opportunities – Group discussion of using		

Research	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)		
	planning worksheet to 'do' participation		
	and additional worksheet to guide		
	reflection of people with I/DD on the		
	status of achievement of participation		
	goals – Small group work using		
	documentation (i.e. worksheets and		
	pictures from community participation		
	activity) to identify supports and barriers		
	to participation – Individual and paired		
	work to identify system-level barriers		
	within community agency, develop a goal		
	for change and strategise issues,		
	opportunities, options and progress		
	towards goal with use of worksheet.		
	Location/place of delivery:		
	It was held in the form of a 2-hour		
	workshop hosted by 2 community		
	agencies in 3 occurrences.		
	<ul> <li>Describe comparison intervention:</li> </ul>		
	Not applicable.		
	Outcomes measured:		
	Service user-related outcomes		
	The evaluation contained 2 main		
	components: (i) quantitative pre/post-		
	confidence measurements of the		
	confidence of intervention participants		

Research	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aims	comparison, outcomes)		
	and (ii) qualitative feedback participants shared about the intervention.		
	• Follow-up: Not reported.		
	Costs: Not reported.		

#### Research question 6 – critical appraisal tables – effectiveness

1. Brooker K, van Dooren K, McPherson L et al. (2014) A systematic review of interventions aiming to improve involvement in physical activity among adults with intellectual disability. Journal of Physical Activity and Health 12: 434–44

Internal validity  - approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Study quality assessed and	Does the study's research question match	Overall
A systematic review of	reported?	the review question?	assessment
interventions aiming to	Yes.	Partly. To identify the strengths and	of internal
improve physical activity		weaknesses of PA interventions for people with	validity: +
(PA) levels of adults with	Adequate description of	intellectual disability that aim to measure	_
intellectual disability.	methodology?	changes in PA levels, which could improve	Overall
_	Yes.	wellbeing. Engagement and participation in	assessment
		physical exercise.	

Methodology: Systematic review.  Appropriate and clearly focused question? Unclear.  Inclusion of relevant individual studies? Somewhat relevant.  Rigorous literature search? Partly rigorous.  Bethodology: Yes.  Do conclusions match findings? Yes.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? No.  Were service users involved in the design of the study? No.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. Participation in PA can have mental health benefits. Some of the interventions involved/included family members, or provided details of local clubs and facilities.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Adults with intellectual disability.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Community settings.	Internal validity  - approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Engagement with physical activities.	Methodology: Systematic review.  Appropriate and clearly focused question? Unclear.  Inclusion of relevant individual studies? Somewhat relevant.  Rigorous literature search?	I — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	ethical concerns? No.  Were service users involved in the design of the study? No.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. Participation in PA can have mental health benefits. Some of the interventions involved/included family members, or provided details of local clubs and facilities.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Adults with intellectual disability.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Community settings.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline?	

Internal validity  – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
		Are the study outcomes relevant to the guideline? Yes. PA frequency (as indicators of achieving wellbeing?).	
		Was the study conducted in the UK?  No. Systematic review of 6 studies – 5 from USA and 1 from UK.	

### 2. Carmeli E, Orbach I, Zinger-Vaknin T et al. (2008) Physical training and well-being in older adults with mild intellectual disability: A residential care study. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 21: 457–65

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Was the exposure to the	Does the study's research question match	Overall
The aim of this study was to	intervention and	the review question?	assessment of
investigate the effect of	comparison as intended?	Partly.	internal
physical training on general	Yes. Compliance with the	The study is about an exercise programme	validity: +
wellbeing and self-image in	programme, computed from	which is effective in improving the wellbeing of	
older people with intellectual	attendance records, was	older people with learning disabilities. Note	
disability.	91.5%. The dropout frequency	that it does not take into account the main	Overall
	throughout the programme	outcomes such as maintaining relationships	assessment of
Methodology:	was 2 individuals for the	with family, friends and local communities and	external
Comparison evaluation.	control group (1 female and 1	improving access to volunteering, employment	validity: +
The control group, which was	male) because of medical	and adult learning, social and leisure activities,	
age- and sex-matched, did not	reasons (respiratory	transport and technology.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
receive any physical intervention.	distress/dyspnoea and general fatigue).	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes.	
Description of theoretical approach? No.	Was contamination acceptably low? • Not reported.	The study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and approved by the IRB of Tel Aviv University and the ethics	
How was selection bias minimised? Participants were not	Did either group receive additional interventions or have services provided in a	committee of the residential care centres.  Verbal consent was obtained from each participant, as well as written consent from	
randomised, but matched for age and gender.	different manner? Partly. Study authors reported 'it is possible that members of	their guardians.  Were service users involved in the design	
Was the allocation method concealed? No.	the experimental group received more attention, praise and approval for	of the study? No. Not mentioned specifically about study, but	
Were participants blinded? No.	participating in the exercise than the control group'.	there is a description about study population that the residential care centre environment is characterised by self-direction and sharing	
Were providers blinded? No.	Were outcomes relevant? Partly. Three main outcome	needs, concerns and expectations of the residents. The residents take part in the	
Were investigators, outcome assessors, researchers, etc. blinded?	measures: body mass index (BMI), self-perception profile of well-being and Nottingham	decision-making process that affects their life (vocational duties, recreational activities, etc).	
Yes. The interviewers were blind to the condition experienced by	Health Profile (NHP) which are partly relevant.	Is there a clear focus on the guide-line topic? Partly.	
each participant.	Were outcome measures reliable?	The study is about an exercise programme which is effective in improving the wellbeing of older people with learning disabilities. Note	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Did participants represent the target group? Partly. Participants volunteered to participate in the study.  Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion? Yes.	Yes. Authors used valid and reliable measures such as BMI, standardised NHP. Authors modified Harter's self-perception profile to measure self-perceived well-being and established reliability and validity of the modified tool.  Were all outcome measurements complete? Yes.	that it does not take into account the main outcomes such as maintaining relationships with family, friends and local communities and improving access to volunteering, employment and adult learning, social and leisure activities, transport and technology.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.  Study is about older people with learning disabilities.	
	Were all important outcomes assessed? Partly. Could have assessed procedural integrity. The effects of the exercise programme in terms of wellbeing (enhancing interest in exercise, voluntary enrolment in other physical activities, and greater awareness and interest in healthy diet) were not objectively measured.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Residential care centres.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Partly. The study is about an exercise programme which is effective in improving the wellbeing of older people with learning disabilities.  Are the study outcomes relevant to the guideline? Partly.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
•	Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups? Yes: 5 months and 10 months following intervention.	The study is about an exercise programme which is effective in improving the wellbeing of older people with learning disabilities. Note that it does not take into account the main outcomes such as maintaining relationships with family, friends and local communities and improving access to volunteering, employment	
	Was follow-up time meaningful? Partly. Could have a long-term follow-up.  Were the analytical methods appropriate? Partly.	and adult learning, social and leisure activities, transport and technology.  Was the study conducted in the UK?  • No. Israel.	
	Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline? If not, were these adjusted? Yes. Matched for age and gender and also authors mentioned that analysis of variance of regression showed no significant differences between the two groups.		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
	Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted? No.		
	Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if it exists)?  Not reported. Sample size calculation not provided.		
	Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable? Yes.		
	Was the precision of intervention effects given or calculable? Were they meaningful? Partly.		
	Do conclusions match findings? Yes.		

<sup>3.</sup> Stancliffe RJ, Bigby C, Balandin S et al. (2015) Transition to retirement and participation in mainstream community groups using active mentoring: a feasibility and outcomes evaluation with a matched comparison group. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 59: 703–18

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Was the exposure to the	Does the study's research question match	Overall
To examine the feasibility of	intervention and	the review question?	assessment
supporting older adults with	comparison as intended?	Yes.	of internal
disability to attend a	Not reported.	Reports on the feasibility and outcomes of a	validity: +
mainstream community group		transition to retirement programme for older	
as a transition to retirement.	Was contamination	adults with disability.	
This paper examines (1) the	acceptably low?		Overall
feasibility of supporting older adults with disability to attend	Not reported.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns?	assessment of external
a mainstream community	Did either group receive	Yes.	validity: +
group; (2) the types of	additional interventions or	Approved by the Human Research Ethics	
mainstream community	have services provided in a	Committees at the University of Sydney and	
groups or volunteering groups	different manner?	La Trobe University. Informed written consent	
that participants joined; (3) the	Not reported.	obtained from all participants, or given by a	
duration of participants'		family member or guardian.	
weekly attendance at their	Were outcomes relevant?		
group; and (4) changes in outcomes experienced by	Yes.	Were service users involved in the design of the study?	
participants that included	Were outcome measures	No.	
loneliness, social satisfaction,	reliable?	Only as participants.	
depression, life events, quality	Yes.		
of life, community	Using validated instruments	Is there a clear focus on the guideline	
participation, social contacts	for depression, health-related	topic?	
and work hours.	quality of life, loneliness, life	yes.	
	events checklist, social		
	satisfaction and logs.	Is the study population the same as at least	
Methodology:		1 of the groups covered by the guideline?	
Comparison evaluation with	Were all outcome	Yes.	
matched controls. Each	measurements complete?	Older adults with long-term disability.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
intervention-comparison pair was matched as closely as possible on work/day programme placement, full-time or part-time work status or day programme attendance, living arrangements, gender and age group.	Partly. Missing data reported, also for some pre- and post-test comparisons, only data from the intervention group were available (p715).  Were all important outcomes assessed?  Partly. Some data	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Community setting.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Social participation and community support.	
Description of theoretical approach?	missing/unavailable.  Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and	Are the study outcomes relevant to the guideline? Yes. Social participation and satisfaction, quality of	
How was selection bias minimised?	comparison groups? Yes.	Social participation and satisfaction, quality of life, loneliness, depression.	
Matched control: 'individual with similar characteristics was recruited to serve in a comparison group' (p705).	Was follow-up time meaningful? Yes.	Was the study conducted in the UK? No. Sydney, Australia.	
Was the allocation method concealed? Not reported. Were participants blinded?	Were the analytical methods appropriate? Yes. Analysis of covariance and descriptive statistics.		
Not reported.			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Were providers blinded? Not reported.	Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline? If not, were these adjusted?		
Were investigators, outcome assessors, researchers, etc., blinded?	Partly. matched controls		
Not reported.	Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?		
Did participants represent the target group?	Not reported.		
Yes.	Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if it		
Were all participants	exists)?		
accounted for at study conclusion?	No. Author stated that 'the small		
Yes.	sample size limited statistical		
Intervention group: n=29 at baseline, 27 (93%) attended for 3 months, 25 (86%)	power and made errors more likely' (p713).		
attended for 6 months (at conclusion). Control group: not reported.	Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable? Partly.		
	Due to missing data.		
	Was the precision of intervention effects given or		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
	calculable? Were they meaningful? Partly. Due to missing data.		
	Do conclusions match findings? Partly.		

Review question 6 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. McCarron M, McCallion P, Carroll R et al. (2014) The Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (IDSTILDA). Advancing Years, Different Challenges: Wave 2 IDS-TILDA. Findings on the ageing of people with an intellectual disability. University of Dublin, Trinity College

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim: To document the changes over time of people with	Describes what was measured, how it was measured and the results?	Does the study's research question match the review question?	Overall assessment of internal validity: +
intellectual disability (ID) since Wave 1 (ID-TILDA [The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing]) in relation to social	Yes. Using data obtained from face-to-face computer	Yes. Social participation, social and community engagement, social	Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
participation and connectedness.	assisted personal interview (CAPI).	connection of people with intellectual disability.	
Methodology: Survey. Pre-interview questionnaires and extensive face-to-face computer assisted personal interview. This study is part of	Measurements valid? Yes. Used previously at Wave 1. Measurements reliable? Yes.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Partly. Participants consented to take part in study (Fig 1.2, p19).	
a longitudinal study (Wave 2) conducted to document the changes over time of people with intellectual disability (ID) since Wave 1 (ID-TILDA [The	Measurements reproducible? Yes.	Were service users involved in the study? No. Only as participants.	
Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing] in 2008) in relation to social participation and connectedness.	Basic data adequately described? Yes.	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Survey data on older people with ID	
Objectives of the study clearly stated?	Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements?	and their experiences of relationships with family, friends, access to social activities and participation, information	
Yes. To document the changes over time of people with intellectual disability (ID) since	Yes.  Results internally consistent?	technologies, barriers and facilitators to social engagement, social exclusion etc.	
Wave 1 (ID-TILDA [The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing]) in relation to social	Yes.  Data suitable for analysis?	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
participation and connectedness. One of the questions addressed by the	Yes. Descriptive analyses.	Yes. People with an intellectual disability.	
survey on Social participation for older adults with intellectual disability.	Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? Yes.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
Design measures for contacting non-responders? Unknown.	Methods appropriate for the data? Yes.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline?	
Research design clearly specified and appropriate? Yes. Pre-interview questionnaires	Statistics correctly performed and interpreted?	Yes. Social participation and connectedness.	
and extensive face-to-face computer assisted personal interview.	Response rate calculation provided? Yes.	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.	
Clear description of			
context? Yes.	Methods for handling missing data described? Unclear.	Does the study have a UK perspective? No.	
References made to original	Diff.	Republic of Ireland.	
work if existing tool used? Yes.	Difference between non- respondents and respondents described?		
Reliability and validity of new tool reported?	Yes.		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Yes.	Results discussed in relation to existing		
Survey population and sample frame clearly	knowledge on subject and study objectives?		
described? Yes.	Yes.		
At Wave 1, the National Intellectual Disability Database (NIDD) provided the sampling frame for the study and a	Limitations of the study stated? Yes.		
nationally representative group of 753 respondents with an ID	Results can be generalised?		
over the age of 40 years were successfully enrolled in the study. At Wave 2, all living	Generalisable to the population sampled, but not generalisable to the		
Wave 1 respondents were invited to participate.	population with ID in Ireland.		
Representativeness of sample is described? Yes. The National Intellectual	Appropriate attempts made to establish 'reliability' and 'validity' of analysis? Partly.		
Disability Database (NIDD) provided the sampling frame for the study and a nationally representative group	Conclusions justified? Yes.		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Subject of study represents full spectrum of population of interest? Yes.			
Study large enough to achieve its objectives, sample size estimates performed? Yes. Over 700 participants.			
All subjects accounted for? Yes.			
All appropriate outcomes considered? Yes.			
Response rate Attrition at Wave 2 (~6%): 45 (Deceased [34] and refusal [11] from 708 participants).			
Measures for contacting non-responders? Not reported			

# 2. McCarron M, Swinburne J, Burke E et al. (2011) Growing older with an intellectual disability in Ireland 2011. First results from the intellectual disability supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Dublin: School of Nursing and Midwifery, Trinity College Dublin

Internal validity –	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
approach and	performance and analysis		
sample			
Study aim	Describes what was	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
To identify the principal	measured, how it was	question match the review	of internal validity:
influences on successful	measured and the results?	question?	++
ageing in persons with	Each participant completed, or	Partly.	
intellectual disability, and then	was assisted to complete a	Selected areas of report are in	Overall assessment
determine if they are the same	pre-interview questionnaire,	alignment with guideline topic of	of external validity:
or different from the influences	and then took part in a face-to-	interest such as relationship with	++
for the general population.	face interview. A carers'	family and communities, volunteering,	
	questionnaire was left to	social and leisure activities,	
2. A second aim is to develop	complete and return, where	employment and retirement.	
a first wave baseline picture of	applicable. A pre-interview		
ageing among persons with	questionnaire (PIQ) was sent	Has the study dealt appropriately	
intellectual disability and a	to the participant approximately	with any ethical concerns?	
cohort of subjects that may	7 days prior to the interview.	Yes.	
then be followed longitudinally.	The questionnaire covered	Ethical approval was sought from and	
	demographic information,	granted by Faculty of Health	
Methodology:	health status, healthcare	Sciences Research Ethics Committee	
Survey.	utilisation and medication	in Trinity College Dublin The IDS-	
The study was a nationally	usage. Similar to TILDA the	TILDA was granted full ethical	
representative survey.	interview included: socio-	approval for Wave One by Trinity	
	demographic characteristics;	College Dublin Faculty of Health	
Objectives of the study	physical health and	Sciences Research Ethics Committee	
clearly stated?	behavioural health; mental and	in 2009, following the completion of	
Yes.	cognitive health, social	an extensive pilot (McCarron et al.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Research design clearly specified and appropriate? Yes. Nationally representative survey design.  Clear description of context? Yes. The increased life expectancy of people with an intellectual disability is an incredible success story and to be celebrated, but it also poses tremendous challenges as we know little about this population group in Ireland or indeed internationally. The Intellectual Disability Supplement to The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (IDS-TILDA), designed to better understand these challenges, is a large scale nationally representative study of people with an intellectual disability aged 40 years and	participation and social connectedness, health care utilisation, employment, income and lifelong learning.  Measurements valid? Yes. Methodology report says the survey used valid instruments.  Measurements reliable? Yes. Methodology report says the survey used reliable instruments.  Measurements reproducible? Yes.  Measurements reproducible? Yes.  Basic data adequately described? Yes. Baseline characteristics of participants which include age, gender, living accommodation, level of ID, BMI, and geographical distribution mentioned.	2010), which tested the survey instruments and fieldwork approach.  Were service users involved in the study? Yes. The input of 2 groups was critical to the design of the study, people with ID and an international scientific committee. In pursuit of inclusion and participation people with an ID were included in every stage of the development, design, implementation and evaluation of the pilot and Wave 1 of the study. Their input helped in the design of pictorial explications to complement all written material increasing the accessibility of the study's questionnaires. An international scientific committee with extensive experience working with people with intellectual disability advised on the development of all protocols and provided input on both ID-specific content and advised on the opportunities for comparability with international studies.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
the first of its kind in Europe, and the only study with the potential of comparing the ageing of people with intellectual disability directly with the general ageing population.	Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? Yes.  Results internally consistent?	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. Selected areas of report is in align with guideline topic of interest such as relationship with family and communities, volunteering, social and leisure activities, employment and	
References made to original work if existing tool used?	Yes.	retirement.	
Yes. Study refers to similar published surveys,	Data suitable for analysis? Yes.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline?	
publications and existing data collection tools.	Clear description of data collection methods and analysis?	Yes. Study is about people with learning disability above 40 years.	
Reliability and validity of new tool reported?	Yes.	Is the study setting the same as at	
Unclear. Study used several valid and reliable existing tools. Not	Methods appropriate for the data? Yes.	least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
clear from the documents available regarding the validity and reliability of new tools.	It is acknowledged that the purpose of this report is to solely describe the current circumstances of the	Study took place in people's home, community homes and residential centre.	
Survey population and sample frame clearly described? Yes.	population; it does not explore causal relationships between factors nor are any differences	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.	

Internal validity –	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
approach and	performance and analysis		
sample	-		
The National Intellectual	described reported as	The study focussed on selected	
Disability Database (NIDD)	statistically significant.	areas of report in alignment with	
provided the sampling frame		guideline topic of interest such as	
for the study and facilitated the	Statistics correctly	relationship with family and	
random selection of people	performed and interpreted?	communities, volunteering, social and	
with intellectual disability aged	Yes.	leisure activities employment and	
40 years and over.	For the purposes of this report	retirement.	
	only descriptive data is		
Representativeness of	reported and all analyses were	(For views questions) Are the	
sample is described?	conducted using SPSS version	views and experiences reported	
Yes.	18.	relevant to the guideline?	
The National Intellectual		Yes.	
Disability Database (NIDD)	Response rate calculation	Views related to relationship with	
provided the sampling frame	provided?	family and communities, volunteering,	
for the study and facilitated the	Yes.	social and leisure activities	
random selection of people		employment and retirement are	
with intellectual disability aged	Methods for handling	relevant to the guideline.	
40 years and over. IDS-TILDA	missing data described?		
successfully recruited a	Yes.	Does the study have a UK	
random sample of 753	Other than the variables, level	perspective?	
participants across all the age	of ID and aetiology of ID there	No.	
groups, male and female, and	was a small amount of item	Republic of Ireland.	
includes all levels of	level missing data and other		
intellectual disability and living	than those 2 variables little		
arrangements. The sample of	evidence that the small amount		
753 people with ID aged 40	of missing data would result in		
years and over who completed	differences in findings. This		
all interviews represented	concern will be investigated		
8.9% of the total population 40	further in subsequent analyses,		

Internal validity – approach and	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
sample years and over registered on	including mechanisms to re-		
the 2008 NIDD database.	weight level of ID in particular.		
Subject of study represents	Difference between non-		
full spectrum of population	respondents and		
of interest?	respondents described?		
Yes.	No.		
IDS-TILDA successfully			
recruited a random sample of	Results discussed in relation		
753 participants across all the	to existing knowledge on		
age groups, male and female,	subject and study		
and includes all levels of	objectives?		
intellectual disability and living	Yes.		
arrangements. A total of 138			
intellectual disability service	Limitations of the study		
providers throughout Ireland	stated?		
were involved in supporting	Yes.		
people with ID to take part in			
this study.	Results can be generalised? Yes.		
Study large enough to	As it is a nationally		
achieve its objectives,	representative study, this		
sample size estimates	results can be generalised to		
performed?	similar populations to some		
Yes.	extent.		
Supplementary material on			
methodology of survey shows	Appropriate attempts made		
the study is adequately	to establish 'reliability' and		
powered and using	'validity' of analysis?		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
randomised representative sampling 'The RANSAM	Yes.		
system was eventually chosen because it provides a true probability sample, permits stratification and clustering, and gives an exact geocode for each selected address'.	Conclusions justified? Yes.		
All subjects accounted for? Yes.			
All appropriate outcomes considered? Yes.			

### 3. Judge J, Walley R, Anderson B et al. (2010) Activity, aging, and retirement: the views of a group of Scottish people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7: 295–301

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research question	Overall assessment
To understand the views of	described?	match the review question?	of internal validity: +
older adults with learning	Unclear.	Partly.	
disabilities in relation to their	The characteristics of the	The study aimed to find out people's	Overall assessment
current daytime activity	participants and settings are not	views about daytime activity but the	of external validity: +
(which was not necessarily	very clearly defined; we're	findings do provide data about the role	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
part of a day centre) and their		of the day centre facilitating the building	
hopes and dreams about	gender characteristics and an	of relationships and connections.	
future daytime activity.	overview of people's living		
	circumstances but nothing more.	Has the study dealt appropriately	
	Data were only collected via	with any ethical concerns?	
Methodology:	interviews with the individuals at	Partly.	
Qualitative study using	one time point. Although the	It is unusual that research of this nature	
interpretive	analytical approach (IPA)	would not be submitted for full ethical	
phenomenological analysis.	adopted by researchers does	approval. Informed consent was	
	imply that the researcher is 'also	obtained from participants.	
Is a qualitative approach	a participant in the research'		
appropriate?	(p296) there is no reflection about	Were service users involved in the	
Appropriate.	how the researchers may have	study?	
Because the study was	influenced/affected the data	No.	
attempting to understand the	collection and findings.	Involved only as participants.	
lived experience and the			
hopes and dreams of the	Was the sampling carried out	Is there a clear focus on the guide-	
participants.	in an appropriate way?	line topic?	
	Inappropriate.	Yes.	
Is the study clear in what it	There is a risk of bias or influence	Active ageing among older people with	
seeks to do?	on the respondents due to the	learning disabilities.	
Clear.	sampling method. They were		
The purpose/ aims of the	recruited purely on the basis that	Is the study population the same as	
study are discussed and	service managers felt they could	at least one of the least 1 of the	
there is appropriate reference	give consent and articulate their	settings covered by the guide-line?	
to the literature around this	views. As the research seems to	Yes.	
topic.	have been presented as a		
	'service evaluation' (hence no		
	need for ethical approval -		

How defensible/rigorous is the re-search design/methodology? Somewhat defensible The study design is appropriate to the research question and a clear description of and rationale for the qualitative approach is given. There are also clear accounts of the rationale and justification for data collection are accounts of the rationale and justification for data collection are accounts of the rationale and justification for data collection accounts of the rationale and justification for data collected via 1 method – though according to the researchers) there is every chance that only people who would be happy with their day centre (and the activities guideline? Yes.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.  Does the study have a UK perspective?	Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
and data analysis techniques. The sampling strategy is a little questionable and appears to have been based on practitioner views about which of their service users could give consent and articulate their experiences. Adults with more complex learning disabilities or with communication difficulties were, by implication, not included.  How well was the data collection carried out?  Appropriately.  Are the data 'rich'?  Poor.  The contexts of the data described terribly clearly and most of the data are in agreement, with little diversity of perspective (although respondents may indeed have all been in agreement). Only a handful of quotations are provided, which doesn't provide a huge amount of detail.  Responses are not	the re-search design/methodology? Somewhat defensible The study design is appropriate to the research question and a clear description of and rationale for the qualitative approach is given. There are also clear accounts of the rationale and justification for data collection and data analysis techniques. The sampling strategy is a little questionable and appears to have been based on practitioner views about which of their service users could give consent and articulate their experiences. Adults with more complex learning disabilities or with communication difficulties were, by implication, not included.  How well was the data collection carried out?	there is every chance that only people who would be happy with their day centre (and the activities it facilitates) were suggested as participants.  Were the methods reliable?  Somewhat reliable.  The methods do investigate what they claim to but data were only collected via 1 method – though the authors do discuss their findings alongside the results of other studies (for triangulation).  Are the data 'rich'?  Poor.  The contexts of the data described aren't described terribly clearly and most of the data are in agreement, with little diversity of perspective (although respondents may indeed have all been in agreement). Only a handful of quotations are provided, which doesn't provide a huge amount of detail.	the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Day centres and people's own homes.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.  Does the study have a UK perspective?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Data collection methods are clearly described and seem appropriate to address the research question. Data	compared/contrasted across the different day centres from which respondents were recruited.		
collection and record keeping were systematic.	Is the analysis reliable? Unreliable. Although analysis may have been reliable and there is a good description of how it was conducted, there is nothing to suggest that more than 1 researcher themed and coded transcripts/data. Also, there is no suggestion that participants fed back on the transcripts/data. It is difficult to tell whether discrepant results were ignored or whether all participants generally shared the same views and attitudes (which is of course possible).		
	Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing. The findings are internally coherent and fairly clearly presented although more clarification about the context of responses/respondents would be useful. Some extracts from the		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
	original data are included but it would have more convincing if more were presented. On the whole the reporting is clear and coherent.		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. The conclusions are plausible and coherent although alternative explanations haven't obviously been explored and discounted. However, research implications are clearly defined and the researchers seem to be aware about some of the study limitations.		

# 4. Newberry G, Martin C, Robbins L (2015) How do people with learning disabilities experience and make sense of the ageing process? British Journal of Learning Disabilities 43(4): 285–92

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research question	Overall
To explore how people with	described?	match the review question?	assessment of
learning disabilities	Yes.	Yes.	internal validity: ++

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
experience and make sense		The study is about exploring the	Overall
of the ageing process and old age.	Was the sampling carried out	experience and making sense of aging in older people with learning disabilities,	assessment of
age.	in an appropriate way?	which is in line with the guideline.	external validity:
Methodology:	Somewhat appropriate.	3	++
Qualitative study.	Limitations of the study include		
Analysis was carried out	the recruitment method: all	Has the study dealt appropriately with	
using interpretative	participants accessed learning	any ethical concerns?	
phenomenological analysis	disability services within a single	Yes.	
(IPA).	city.	Ethical approval was granted by Leeds	
		Central Research Ethics Committee.	
Is a qualitative approach	Were the methods reliable?	Potential participants were given	
appropriate?	Yes.	accessible information sheets. The	
Appropriate.	Ave the determinate	researcher discussed the study and	
Study used interpretive	Are the data 'rich'?	assessed capacity for informed consent	
phenomenological analysis.	Yes.	with each participant, checking that participants were able to understand and	
Is the study clear in what it	Is the analysis reliable?	retain information about the study, and use	
seeks to do?	Yes.	it to reach a decision.	
Yes.	103.	it to reach a decision.	
100.	Are the findings convincing?		
How defensible/rigorous is	Yes.	Were service users involved in the	
the research		study?	
design/methodology?		Yes.	
Defensible.	Are the conclusions adequate?	The researcher discussed the study and	
Analysis was carried out	Yes.	assessed capacity for informed consent	
using interpretative		with each participant, checking that	
phenomenological analysis.		participants were able to understand and	
Each transcript was analysed			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
individually before the group		retain information about the study, and use	
analysis. The validity of		it to reach a decision.	
interpretation was checked			
through discussion between		Is there a clear focus on the guideline	
the authors.		topic?	
		Yes.	
How well was the data		The study is about exploring the	
collection carried out?		experience and making sense of aging in	
Appropriately.		older people with learning disabilities,	
The interviews involved		which is line with the guideline.	
discussing potentially			
sensitive topics; the		Is the study population the same as at	
researcher monitored		least 1 of the least one of the settings	
participants for signs of		covered by the guideline?	
distress, and debriefed them.		Yes.	
There were identified		Study participants were older people with	
strategies to manage risk,		learning disabilities.	
including sources of support			
available for participant		Does the study relate to at least 1 of the	
distress, and referral		activities covered by the guideline?	
processes for the		Yes.	
safeguarding team. No		Experience of older people with learning	
safeguarding action was		disabilities and making sense of ageing.	
necessary. The pace of the			
semi-structured interviews		Are the views and experiences reported	
was adjusted to suit each		relevant to the guideline?	
individual, allowing		Yes.	
participants to articulate their			
experiences as best they		Does the study have a UK perspective?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
could. Questions were designed to be accessible; most participants understood and answered questions but some struggled.		Yes.	

# 5. Randell M, Cumella S (2009) People with an intellectual disability living in an intentional community. Journal of intellectual Disability Research 53: 716–26

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim: To explore the experiences of		Does the study's research question match the review question?	Overall assessment
people living in an intentional community, and to understand what the idea of	Clear.  Was the sampling carried out	Yes. Explores the perceptions of older people with learning disabilities living in an intentional	of internal validity: +
'community' means to them as individuals.	in an appropriate way? Appropriate. 'Using an initial selective sample	community and the meaning of their community to them.	Overall assessment of external
Methodology: Qualitative study.	designed to identify respondents who had lived in the village for different durations. The	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes.	validity: ++
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate.	population was grouped into 5 cohorts according to numbers of decades of residence (i.e. from	Approved by York Local Research Ethics Committee; consent procedures involved member of the sample and their main co-worker, and	
	0–10 years to 41–50 years). The sample was selected at random	completion of the consent form.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Is the study clear in what it	with equal numbers from each	Were service users involved in the study?	
seeks to do?	cohort, and sampling continued	Yes.	
Clear.	until additional respondents reported similar beliefs and	Interviews were piloted with two randomly selected members.	
How defensible/rigorous is	experiences to those already		
the research	sampled' (p718).	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic?	
design/methodology?		Yes.	
Defensible.	Were the methods reliable?	Focus on opportunities for friendship and	
	Reliable.	meaningful employment for people with ID.	
How well was the data			
collection carried out?	Are the data 'rich'?	Is the study population the same as at least 1	
Appropriately.	Yes.	of the least one of the settings covered by the	
Unstructured interviews using		guideline?	
simple open-ended	Is the analysis reliable?	Yes.	
questions, and used Makaton	Reliable.	Older people with learning disabilities.	
and a range of pictures and	All interviews taped, transcribed		
symbols to aid	and analysed in a hierarchy of	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the	
communication where	categories and themes, following	activities covered by the guideline?	
required.	grounded theory procedures. 'The interviewer and analyst, a	Yes.	
	qualified learning disabilities	Are the views and experiences reported	
	nurse with experience of	relevant to the guideline?	
	community-based supported	Yes.	
	living'. (p718)	100.	
	Are the findings convincing?	Does the study have a UK perspective?	
	Somewhat convincing.	Yes. Birmingham.	
	Some residents unable to	_	
	participate because of		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
	insufficient communication and cognitive skills. Four members were excluded because of anxiety about changes to their routine or the introduction of new people.		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Yes.		

# Review question 6 – critical appraisal tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Zakrajsek AG, Hammel J, Scazzero JA (2014) Supporting people with intellectual and developmental disabilities to participate in their communities through support staff pilot intervention. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 27: 154–62

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Is the mixed methods	Does the study's research question match the	Overall
The main aims of the project	research design relevant to	review question?	assessment
were to (i) use the needs	address the qualitative and	Yes.	of internal

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
identified by community agency staff and administrators to develop resources and strategies for supporting full participation, (ii) develop and implement a pilot intervention specifically for staff members to increase their confidence in supporting choice and control of people with I/DD and (iii) complete a formative evaluation of the pilot intervention.	quantitative research questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed methods question? Partly.  Both quantitative and qualitative methods were used superficially in the study.  Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results) relevant to address	Though the intervention is for staff and administrators it helps to support the empowerment of older people with learning disabilities in community participation.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns?  Yes.  All participants who decided to participate were consented with the University of Illinois at Chicago Institutional Review Board -approved consent process.	validity: + Overall assessment of external validity: +
Methodology: Mixed methods. The evaluation contained two main components: (i) quantitative pre/post-confidence measurements of the confidence of intervention participants and (ii) qualitative feedback participants shared about the intervention.  Qualitative comp 1 Participant feedback of the intervention was the only qualitative component.	Partly. Not fully integrated, qualitative components was mainly to obtain feedback of the intervention.  Is appropriate consideration given to the limitations associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)? Unclear.	No.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Guideline topic includes interventions to support older people with learning disabilities to maintain relationships with their local community. Though the intervention is for staff and administrators it helps to support the empowerment of older people with learning disabilities in community participation.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Are the sources of		Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the least one of the settings covered by the	
qualitative data (archives,		guideline?	
documents, informants,		Yes.	
observations) relevant to		Staff and administrators from agencies who	
address the research question?		support people with learning disabilities.	
Yes.		Does the study relate to at least 1 of the	
Qualitative feedback.		activities covered by the guideline?	
Participants shared about the intervention.		Yes.	
		(For effectiveness questions) Are the study	
Is the process for analysing		outcomes relevant to the guideline?	
qualitative data relevant to		Yes.	
address the research		The main outcome reported is the increased	
question?		confidence of staff (study participants) in	
Yes.		supporting older people with learning disabilities to	
Not followed a particular		participate in their communities.	
method to analyse qualitative			
data but the authors		(For views questions) Are the views and	
summarised and grouped		experiences reported relevant to the guideline?	
similar responses into		Yes.	
paragraphs like a descriptive			
summary.		Does the study have a UK perspective? No. USA.	
ls appropriate			
consideration given to how			
findings relate to the			
context, such as the			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
setting, in which the data were collected? Yes. The results from the qualitative data also indicated that agencies may want to think about offering continued opportunities to explore community participation strategies and resources in formal or informal ways.			
Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; for example, though their interactions with participants? Unclear.			
Quantitative component  Which quantitative component?  Pre- and post-confidence measurement of staff participants.			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Are participants (organisations) recruited in a way that minimises selection bias? Unclear.			
Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument; and absence of contamination between groups when appropriate) regarding the exposure/intervention and outcomes? Unclear. Used a questionnaire in which each of the confidence questions was rated on a 4-point Likert scale and matched with intervention objectives.			
In the groups being compared (exposed versus non-exposed; with intervention versus without; cases versus controls), are the			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
participants comparable, or do researchers take into account (control for) the difference between these groups? N/A. The study had a single group.			
Are there complete outcome data (80% or above), and, when applicable, an acceptable response rate (60% or above), or an acceptable follow-up rate for cohort studies (depending on the duration of follow-up)? Yes.  Study had 41 participants and 36 participants completed evaluation.			

#### Review question 7. Care and support

- a. What is the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of care and support at home, in supported housing and in accommodation with care and support for older people with learning disabilities?
- b. What are the views and experiences of people using services and their carers in relation to care at home, in supported housing or accommodation with care and support for older people with learning disabilities?
- c. What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about care and support at home, in supported housing or accommodation with care and support for older people with learning disabilities?

#### Research question 7 – findings tables – effectiveness

1. Nambisan P, Lamkin D, DeLong C (2014) Feasibility, benefits and challenges of using telemonitoring for the aging with developmental disabilities (DD): an exploratory study. Online Journal of Public Health Informatics 6: e186

Research aims	PICO (population,	Findings	Overall validity
	intervention, comparison,		rating
	outcomes)		
Study aim: The	Participants:	Quantitative findings – effects of intervention on	Overall
study stated its	Service users and their	quality of life	assessment of
objective to	families, partners and	All indicators of quality of life had improved scores after, but	internal
'Assess the	carers: older patients with	only 2 were statistically significant. These were 'To what	validity: -
feasibility, benefits	learning disabilities and a	extent do you feel that physical pain prevents you from	
and challenges of	medical problem that	doing what you need to do?' which at pre-test had a mean	Overall
using	required monitoring.	of 4.45 (sd of .510) and mean for post-test was 3.90 (sd of	assessment of
telemonitoring for		.912; t (19) =2.604; p<.05). The mean for 'How healthy is	external
aging patients with	Professionals	your physical environment?' at pre-test was 3.77 (sd of	validity: +
developmental	/practitioners Nurses and	.922) and the mean post-test was 4.23 (sd of 0.752; t(21)=-	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
disabilities' (p1).	care staff from the Centre for Disability Services	2.215, p<.05).	
Methodology: Mixed methods.	(CFDS) at Álbany, NY.	Narrative findings on effectiveness – medical records With regard to the specific health outcomes, each	
Quantitative survey and qualitative focus groups.	Sample size: Total 21 patients participated in survey part of the study. A total of 6 patients	individual's health record was carefully analysed by an RN for changes in their health conditions, number of hospital/doc visits, emergency room visits and for anything that could be attributed to the daily monitoring using the	
Country: USA. Source of	participated in the focus group; 25 nurses and care staff participated in interviews.	new technology. We did not find any major changes in health conditions or in number of hospital/doc/emergency room visits.	
funding:	interviews.	Narrative findings – views and experience	
Government. NYS Developmental Disabilities Planning Council.	Intervention: The article explains that 'telemonitoring is defined as "an automated process for the transmission of data	It is feasible and beneficial to use telemonitoring, at least for higher functioning patients. Patient interviewees expressed several times that they 'liked it'; at least 2 people mentioned the terms 'more independent' and the terms 'doing it myself/on my own'.	
	on a patient's health status from home to the respective health care setting." Telemonitoring differs from telemedicine in that telemonitoring is limited to support provision for patients who need	It was indicated that telemonitoring helps to improve patient literacy/knowledge regarding own disease (e.g. 'When I exercise and eat better the reading is better next day'). This in turn could also explain the increased level of self-confidence and self-efficacy as well as the greater motivation for self-care and prevention.	
	regular monitoring using various telecommunication technologies, whereas	At the same time, there are some challenges that we will need to address as practical measures for enhancing the effective use of this technology. Two people mentioned that	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	telemedicine is the provision of clinical care in the form of diagnosis, treatment and consultation by a provider using various telecommunication technologies.  Telemonitoring allows providers to remotely monitor patient status for long periods of time' (p2).  Delivered to: Patient participants – all older people with learning disabilities. The patients who had some level of functional independence were trained to use the telemonitoring device themselves. Patients with limited functional independence were assisted by professional caregivers from the Center for Disability Services (CFDS).	'sometimes it gets on my nerve' which points to some of the usability issues of the system.  Overall, the caregiver focus group indicated a unanimous and strong support that the telemonitoring technology is useful and allowed more independent living for higher functioning patients, but that it was not so useful for low functioning patients. The main benefit from the caregivers' perspective was getting daily values on their patient.  Caregivers found that this provided them with better understanding of the patient and more control on what is going on. An unanticipated problem was high turnover rate of the care giving staff.  Future studies should focus on outcomes such as feeling of independence, empowerment, better understanding of their own disease and feelings of security.	
	Place of delivery:		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
	Within residential homes, independent living facilities and private homes, associated with the Center for Disability Services (CFDS) at Albany, NY.		
	Outcomes measured: Service user-related outcomes: quality of life – on a validated 8-item scale.		
	Service outcomes: Health data (e.g. blood pressure, glucose levels), health and service use outcomes.		
	Follow-up: Pre-results were taken 6 months before installation, and post-results were taken up to 6 months after installation.		
	Costs? No.		

# Review question 7 – findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Forbat L (2008) Where should people with dementia live? Using the views of service users to inform models of care. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 36: 6–12

Research aims	PICO (population,	Findings	Overall validity
	intervention, comparison, outcomes)		rating
Study aim: The	Participants:	Service user advisory forum – experiences of dementia	Overall
authors intended	Service users and their	in a group home setting	assessment of
the study to collect	families, partners and	Member of the panel were all residents of the group home	internal
'qualitative data	carers. Included individual	with learning difficulties, but they did not necessarily have	validity: +
from people with a	interviews, a service user	dementia.	
learning disability	advisory forum, and		Overall
who live with	ethnographic work in	Identified seven issues around dementia. These were:	assessment of
someone with	homes and workplaces.	confusion, forgetfulness, wandering, health and safety, the	external
dementia, to		effect of dementia on staff time, perceived special	validity: ++
explore how their	Sample size:	privileges and changes to the physical environment.	
views on and	Total 8 individuals were		
understandings of	interviewed and observed.	The study found that residents had some knowledge of	
dementia can	Data also included from	peers developing dementia and of the symptoms.	
inform the	service user advisory group		
appropriate	(also 8 people).	'Both two had problems they were wandering round the	
development of		building, couldn't see what they were going to do' (p9).	
service provision	Follow-up:		
and care models'	Unclear. Not mentioned,	'Patricia couldn't know how couldn't explain how she,	
(p7).	but the study was	she fell over had a fit in the cottage that time and didn't	
	conducted over 3 years, so	remember it' (p9).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Methodology: Qualitative study.  Country: UK.  Source of funding: Government. Community Fund Grant.	there may have been some form of follow-up.  Costs? No.	Staff time The study reports on resident's comments about those who had dementia take up a lot of staff time. Residents commented that it could negatively impact their time.  'Some of us feel as if we I know it's not right, but it makes some of us feel as though we need a few more staffing' (p9).  'Because of the way the one-to-one is on with Clare and er "I'm sorry I am doing the one-to-one with Clare" and if they are doing the one-to-one, it's like they've got only so many different things to do' (p9).  Special privileges Some participants commented that residents that were showing signed of dementia were given different treatment compared to other residents.  'Maybe because the other one, she sees the other one that's got dementia, gets away with sitting at the little coffee table getting her tea. And it makes her think "well if that one can get away with it, why can't I?" (p10).	
		The need for some residents to be away from the group while having meals was not understood by some residents and seen and preferential treatment.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Changes to the environment The facility where the participants lived was set to be changed into specialised housing for dementia sufferers. This news was not welcomed by current residents.	
		'I don't think Ronny, it's like I said, Ronny doesn't like to move' (p10).	
		'That means my flat's going to get pulled down!' (p10).	
		Residents were concerned about the changes to the physical environment, but the study does not link this concern to dementia, or residents who currently had dementia.	
		Residents with learning difficulties The study spoke to 8 residents who had dementia. The findings focus on interviews with 2, neither of whom showed much awareness of the disease, apart from some mention of confusion and repeating themselves. However, neither interviewee knew what the drugs they took were for; 1 was only aware of taking medication for epilepsy. They showed some awareness of the ageing process and the need for some people to move to old people's homes or care homes, but were not positive in their opinions.	

#### Review question 7 – findings tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

### 1. Bigby C, Webber R, Bowers B et al. (2008) A survey of people with intellectual disabilities living in residential aged care facilities in Victoria. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 52: 404–14

Research aims	PICO (population,	Findings	Overall
	intervention, comparison, outcomes)		validity rating
Study aim: The	Participants:	(1) How many and what are the characteristics of people	Overall
aim of this study	Professionals/practitioners.	with ID living in residential aged care in Victoria – 158	assessment
(which is the first	Providers of aged care	residents with learning disabilities.	of internal
phase of a 4-year	facilities were the survey		validity: +
study) was to map	respondents.	Also note that prevalence of dementia was a lot lower than in	•
the population of	•	the general population, 'Just over 10.0% of residents with ID	Overall
people with ID in	(Note that the sample	(17) were reported as having dementia compared with an	assessment
residential aged	characteristics given below	estimated 60.0% in the general resident population' (p408).	of external
care facilities in	are the characteristics of	Also, there was no difference in the mean age of residents in	validity: ++
Victoria, asking	the aged care facility	this study with and without dementia.	-
care providers: '(1)	residents who had a		
how many and	learning disability.)	Down's syndrome and dementia – residents with Down's	
what are the		syndrome were younger at 60 years than those without this	
characteristics of	Sample size:	condition at 66 years [t(148)=-1.87, p=0.06]. Residents with	
people with ID	Detailed data available for	Down's syndrome and dementia were significantly younger,	
living in residential	158 residents with learning	at 61, than residents with dementia without Down syndrome	
aged care in	disabilities.	at 74 [t(15) = -3.91, p=0.001].	
Victoria; (2) why			
do they move to	Follow-up:	(2) Why do they move to residential aged care and	
residential aged	No follow-up.	where do they move from?	
care and where do		Numbers and proportions moving to the aged care facility	
they move from;	Costs?	from the following accommodation:	
(3) what type of	No.		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
support do they receive in residential aged care; and (4) what do residential aged care providers see as the issues in providing support for people with ID' (p406).		Community residential unit (group home) n=10 6.3% Other supported accommodation n=40 19.0% Living with family n=58 36.7% Living alone or with unrelated others n=12 7.6% High-care aged care facility n=10 6.3% Low-care aged care facility n=11 7.0% Hospital n=14 8.9% Don't know n=3 1.9% Psychiatric hospital n=2 1.3% Total n=158 100%	
Note that the fourth of these aims is the most relevant to our review question.  Methodology: Survey. Postal		Main reason for moving into the aged care facility for people with a learning disability was the inability of the previous facility to provide adequate support.  Main reason for people to be admitted from the family home was the death or ill health of the primary carer.  People with Down's syndrome (with/without dementia) did not enter residential care at a significantly earlier age than other residents with LD.	
survey sent to 826 residential aged care facilities in Victoria.  Country: Australia.  Source of		For 69% the move to residential care was the person's preferred option (not preferred, 9%) although note that this information was given by the residential care provider, not the individuals themselves. Respondents said that for many people residential care was the only option: 'It seems to me that families hit a crisis [when the person has to be hospitalised]. They don't know what to do so they decide on aged care as the only option but with good care the person often starts to feel better' (p409).	
funding: Not		Official starts to feet better (p409).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
reported.		Key question of relevance to our review  How appropriate is it for people with LD to be in the aged care facility? This was explored in the study by establishing the (a) participation of residents in the local community and (b) the development of meaningful relationships.	
		(a) Participation of residents in activities The majority of residents with LD (94%) took part in on-site activities at least weekly, with 73% participating daily. Authors note that there's no comparable data for rates of engagement for the general aged care population but that anecdotal evidence suggests that the rate is higher than this for both weekly and daily take-up.	
		For residents who didn't participate, reasons given were: Their health, cognitive incapacity and emotional or behavioural difficulties. Respondents cited frailty, residents being asleep most of the day, poor motivation and chronic pain – also being disruptive and unable to concentrate.	
		Some facilities had designed activities specifically for people with LD or encouraged them to get involved in the facility by doing jobs or taking on roles (collecting bread and mail, setting up rooms for activities).	
		Just under 50% of adults with LD participated in activities off- site at least once a week. These included disability day programmes or outings with staff or friends/ family. A total of 16% of residents (mostly <60 years) continued to	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		use disability services after admission in order to maintain engagement in activities. For some the cooperation between aged care and disability services seems to work well.	
		Respondents from some facilities did say that their resident with LD was unable to access LD services because this would be 'double dipping' – e.g. using funding from both aged and disability services. (NB in Australia there are no firm policies about concurrent access to residential aged and disability services).	
		(b) Development of meaningful relationships  Total 28% of residents were reported as having no positive relationships with other residents. Notably, in the 'exceptional facilities' (meaning they have large numbers of LD residents) only 5% of residents were reported as not having positive relationships (compared with 28.7% in smaller facilities). Residents in the 2 exceptional facilities were twice as likely to have a close friendship with another resident.  It wasn't unusual for residents with LD to have friendships with staff rather than other residents.	
		Care providers' views about appropriateness of residential aged care for people with LD  The survey included an open-ended question about issues that arose in providing care to adults with LD in residential aged care. Three quarters cited a range of difficulties.  The most common issue was people with LD 'fitting in' with	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		activities for other residents because of their younger age, different interests, 'difficult behaviours' or different care needs.  Other issues: the need for individual attention (24%), social isolation (11%), negative attitudes of other residents (6%), lack of appropriate staff training (6%), shortages of resources to adapt to their needs (10%). 'The main issue is that most of the time, people are placed as they are usually younger than other residents. They have nothing in common with aged residents except that they can't look after themselves. It would be good if there were services outside for these people' (p411).	
		Note that the remaining 24% did not cite problems – they said care for the LD group was not dissimilar to the older residents or that differences had been accommodated.	

### 2. Iacono T, Bigby C, Carling-Jenkins R et al. (2014) Taking each day as it comes: staff experiences of supporting people with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease in group homes. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 58: 521–33

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The aim of this study was outlined as 'to report on the experiences of	Participants: Professionals/practitioners. Disability support staff.  Sample size:	Specific research questions addressed how staff (i) understand what is happening to residents with Down's syndrome and Alzheimer's disease, (ii) respond to these residents, and (iii) feel about providing support to them. It was found that they are:	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
disability staff in group homes supporting residents with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease in relation to their under understanding of what was happening to these residents, their responses to them, and how they felt about their support role' (p521).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured interviews analysed thematically.	Total 15 staff interviewed, who together cared for a total of 9 older people with learning disabilities.  Follow-up: Initial interview followed by a second conducted 6–12 months later.  Costs? No.	(i) Struggling to understand change (e.g. in memory and capacity, communication, personality, challenging behaviour or disinterest/apathy). Also struggled with understanding how these fluctuated or may be confounded by changes in medication or other factors. Staff shifted between differing explanations for similar behaviour. In some cases they felt residents' personality wasn't 'different' but rather 'more pronounced'.  (ii) Taking each day as it comes. Staff found it difficult to project what would be needed, what changes to expect or when they would occur. When strategies were successful, staff reported attempts to ensure a consistent approach by all staff. There were staff who were critical of others, who were unaware of needs and best approaches. A recurring strategy was to provide comfort and reassurance to patients and finding what kept them calm and happy.  (iii) Feeling 'he's got a disability and that's our job'. Staff saw it as their responsibility to continue to provide support to the resident, considering that they were the best people to do so. They feared that a move to residential aged care would be detrimental to residents' wellbeing, and thought	Overall assessment of external validity: +
Country: Australia. Source of		aged care staff lacked disability-specific training. Despite their sense of responsibility, they were not confident about their skills, and were also resigned to the inevitability of a move to aged care sooner or later. This stemmed from their	
funding: Not		doubts their organisation's commitment to support	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
reported.		residents to remain at home, reflecting a feeling of unwillingness and lack of resources.	
		With varying degrees of success, staff had sought assistance from behaviour intervention services. They often had little confidence in the advice or assistance they did receive, and variously questioned its validity, suggested it did not go far enough or some-times ignored it.	

# 3. Kåhlin I, Kjellberg A, Hagberg J (2015) Ageing in people with intellectual disability as it is understood by group home staff. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability 41(1): 1–10

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The paper stated its	Participants: Professionals/practitioners.	There were 3 overarching themes in the way that care staff discuss and understand ageing among people with ID, and	Overall assessment of
aim as 'To explore how group home	They were direct care staff from group homes for	how it influences their everyday work.	internal validity: ++
staff address	people with LD. They each had between 2 and 34	1) Silence of ageing	Overall
issues of ageing and being old	years' (mean=15)	Both staff and residents rarely discussed aging and old age in everyday conversation.	Overall assessment of
among people with ID' (p2).	experience in supporting people with ID.	Residents rarely talk about ageing, and the staff felt this was because they tended not to think about it. Instead it was reported they live in the present and are happy for	external validity: +
Methodology:	Sample size:	each day, perhaps in part due to difficulties perceiving time.	
Qualitative study.	Total 12 staff were	Residents cared about identifying themselves as adults, but	
Interviews were	interviewed, from group	identifying as older didn't seem to matter to them.	
conducted, and	homes with 7 to 9 residents	The staff themselves also tended not to talk to the residents	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
findings obtained using directed content analysis, where a theory or set of relevant research findings is used to guide the coding and analysis process.	each.  They had between 2 and 34 years' (mean=15) experience in supporting people with ID.  Follow-up: No follow-up.	about ageing. In part this was attributed to it being a social taboo generally, but mostly they referred to the residents' limited understanding of ageing as a phenomenon. Finally, staff only occasionally discussed ageing among each other. When they did it was mainly in relation to physical and mental aspects, as they affected their everyday work. Occasionally staff would also have training on ageing and ID and it would be discussed then.	
Country: Sweden.  Source of funding: Not reported.	Costs? No.	Views and beliefs were often quite contradictory. In several ways the physical/medical sides of ageing for people with LD are shared with the general population, such as decreased mobility and senses, conditions like high blood pressure and decreased memory and cognitive function. Dementia was considered a particularly important issue and came up frequently. On the other hand, there were aspects of ageing that distinguished people with ID. Staff believed that residents aged prematurely compared to the general population. Some practitioners mentioned that they felt the considerable use of medication through the life course may be a reason for this, and some mentioned that vulnerability and social exclusion experienced earlier in life may be a reason. Another difference was that physical conditions could be harder to identify because of their ID. Firstly because it was hard to know if any impairments were due to age-related changes or a part of the lifelong ID, secondly because the resident may lack awareness to notice changes in themselves, and thirdly because of	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		trouble then communicating difficulties they're having. As a social phenomenon ageing was sometimes constructed like the retirement process, but rather than a regulated age it was described as a gradual winding down of activities based on the resident's abilities. Many described this 'tapering down' on an individual basis as the ideal retirement model. However, other staff advocated a regulated retirement age for people with ID, feeling that it was a question of equal opportunity. Some also reasoned that it was important to give room to younger people with ID. The staff were also conflicting about what should happen to residents and made comparisons between them and regular residential services for ageing people. Mostly they felt they offered better resources and opportunities, and with a more favourable staff ratio and closer personal relationships with residents than they might expect in regular residential services.	
		3) Being in a state of readiness As more residents aged, staff found themselves having to be constantly prepared for changes in residents' physical and psychological state. Although these changes tended to be slow and gradual rather than 'winding', they now had more frequent and closer contact with services, and needed to be better prepared for coping with death. Also they had to make more decisions for residents – which increased their power and many felt this contradicted their professional role as someone intended to promote autonomy. Although they were in a state of readiness, they	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		did not feel they were ready to act. The greatest challenge was preparing for death – which required increased support and care to provide a dignified end. Being put into this state of readiness by ageing was considered a burden by some staff, and was considered emotionally stressful – although some said it became easier to handle as more residents reached old age. Some staff were proud of their role, while others felt it made the job less rewarding as their role had become monotonous and more concerned with care, cleaning etc.	

### 4. Maes B, Puyenbroeck J (2008) Adaptation of Flemish services to accommodate and support the aging of people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 5: 245–52

Research aims	PICO (population,	Findings	Overall validity
	intervention, comparison,		rating
	outcomes)		
Study aim: The 3	Participants:	Infrastructure and personnel	Overall
aims of the study	Coordinating staff in	The study found that in 66% of the sample who offered	assessment of
were outlined as	facilities for older people	residential care to individuals with learning difficulties felt	internal
follows – '(1) To	with learning difficulties.	that their infrastructure was adapted to the needs of elderly	validity: ++
what extent and in		people with learning difficulties. The adaptations made	
which ways have	Sample size:	most commonly included 'wall grips, adapted bathroom	Overall
services adapted	Staff from 66 of the 120	equipment, accessibility of rooms for wheelchairs, adapted	assessment of
their	services in the region	beds, lifting apparatus, and better lighting' (p247) and also	external
accommodations	responded to the	included 'a stair lift, adapted furniture, call-up systems, and	validity: ++
and their	questionnaire request.	automatic doors' (p247).	
personnel to meet		Some 26% felt that they had adapted their services to the	
the needs of aging	Follow-up:	need of people with learning difficulties, but not specifically	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
people with	No follow-up.	for older people.	
intellectual disability? (2) To what extent and in which ways have services adapted their working methods to meet the needs of aging people with intellectual disability? (3) What are the views and attitudes of staff members with regard to successful aging of people with intellectual disability? Are these views in line with the different theoretical models on successful	Costs? No.	Total 6% said that they had not made adaptations for the needs for people with learning difficulties with or without learning difficulties.  All the respondents to the questionnaire said that they had made adaptation in terms of employing qualified staff. There was a variety of professionals working in the facilities where older people with learning difficulties lived. Including 'psychologists, therapists, and medical staff. In more than half of the services, nurses (58%, n=37) and older age support workers (58%, n=37)' (p247).  Facilities had also adapted staffing to meet the needs of older people – 39% reported that they had a higher staff-topatient ratio for older patients. This was because old patients tended to have greater needs, medically (45%) and also that they had lost skills (41%) or had emotional needs (24%). Less common reasons included loss of mobility and additional day care needs; 58% of respondents said that staff levels were insufficient to respond to the needs of older people with learning difficulties.	
aging? To what degree do these views differ according to the type of setting?'		The study found that not many staff had received training in working with older people with learning difficulties. Only 20% reported specific training around older people with learning difficulties.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
(p246).		Working methods Total 59% of the respondents reported adapting support	
Methodology:		plans as people aged. Plans were most commonly revised	
Survey.		every 2 years (40%) or yearly (35%), 25% were revised less than every 2 years. In 89% of cases it was reported	
Country: Belgium.		that service users helped in the revision process.	
Source of funding: Not reported.		There were diverse responses to the question about whether age should inform how residents are grouped in care facilities – 45% said it was an important factor and 47% said that it was not (others did not respond to the question). For those who deemed age unimportant this was because the residents had lived together for a significant amount of time already.	
		Few services had a specific programme for older residents. Most service users in the services questioned had their own activity programme. A large group of respondents felt that specific activities for the older people may be necessary (89%), but were unrealistic (44%). Some services did offer activities aimed at older residents such as: 'vintage games, reminiscence, visiting old friends'. These happened in 73% of services.	
		Dementia was screened in 45% of the services, and more frequently among those suffering from Down's syndrome. Palliative care was also organised in some services (64%).	
		Staff views and attitudes	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		The questionnaire asked staff to rate principles about supporting older people with learning difficulties. Staff rated the following 5 as the most important (highest mean scores):  1. Social relations remain important when growing older. 2. Extending and maintaining social networks is very important for older persons. 3. We give older persons the opportunity to be inactive. A quieter pace is indicated 4. We respect that older persons prefer to withdraw themselves especially towards younger persons.	
		6. It is important for older persons to remain independent, even when they lose certain functions like mobility, hearing, and sight.	
		The lowest scores were attributed to: 1. With older persons, we should focus on their past and their memories. 2. We focus on the 'here and now,' so that older persons keep their orientation on the current situation.	
		The study used exploratory factor analysis to identify solutions to the issues ranked at important in the view of care staff. The factors were organised into 3 overall factors.	
		<ul> <li>'Activating and socialising'</li> <li>1. Stimulating older persons to remain physically and psychologically active.</li> <li>1. Stimulating older persons to remain independent.</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		<ol> <li>Giving older persons chances for new initiatives.</li> <li>Stressing the importance of social relations.</li> <li>Continuing the earlier pattern of activities.</li> <li>Compensating aging problems with assistive devices.</li> <li>Making plans for the future.</li> <li>Stressing the importance of extending and maintaining social relations.</li> </ol>	
		'Disengagement'  1. Not forcing persons to participate in activities.  2. Not forcing persons to do things that they do not want to.  7. Giving older persons chances for being inactive.  3. Respecting the choice of older persons.  4. Stressing the importance of cosiness and familiarity in the environment.	
		'Methodical approach'  1. Stimulating persons by means of (non-)verbal instructions.  2. Focusing on the past and on memories.  3. Supporting orientation to current situation.  4. Stimulating reminiscence.  5. Respecting older persons' choice to participate or not in activities.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		6. Compensating aging problems with assistive devices.	

# 5. Webber R, Bowers B, McKenzie-Green B (2010) Staff responses to age-related health changes in people with an intellectual disability in group homes. Disability and Society 25: 657–71

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The aim of this study was outlined as 'to explore how supervisors in group homes caring for people with intellectual disability responded to the development of age-related health changes in their residents' (p657).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Interviews used dimensional analysis, a variant of grounded theory.  Country: Australia.	Participants: Professionals/practitioners. Supervisors of group homes for people with an ID.  Sample size: Total 10 supervisors of group homes, each with up to 6 residents.  Follow-up: No follow-up.  Costs? No.	Group home supervisors consistently expressed the belief that, at some point, residents with serious health conditions may require more intensive or skilled care than they can provide. However, there was less consistency about the criteria that determine when a resident could no longer be accommodated. Supervisors' philosophy had a significant impact on whether a resident was able to stay 'home' following the development of health conditions, with supervisors tending to fall into those who believed that group homes are for physically active, physically healthy people with the primary purpose of supporting active engagement with the wider society, and those who believed that residents should be able to stay 'home' until it was no longer feasible.  While direct care staff (and family members) had some input into the decision, supervisors were ultimately responsible for deciding which residents could stay and which should be considered for a move to residential aged care. In no cases did residents participate in the decision about whether or not they would be moved to aged care.  There were three particular changes that influenced the likelihood of decisions to moving to residential care - these were 'slowing down', 'physical conditions' and 'cognitive/behavioural changes'.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++  Overall assessment of external validity: +

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Source of funding: Not reported.		Equipment and staffing were mentioned as the most important resources for maintaining people in group homes as they aged. Increased staffing was needed, but intermittent staffing levels created a particular challenge when caring for people with declining physical abilities who needed consistent supervision. Many group homes were not built to accommodate people with increasingly poor mobility – steps, narrow hallways, inaccessible bathrooms. Supervisors who were committed to the 'ageing in place' advocated strongly for equipment and house modifications. Supervisors, who adhered to the 'active engagement' philosophy, often rejected the idea of bringing in specialised equipment.	
		Resident behaviour change or new evidence of confusion generally led to supervisors assuming that the resident had developed dementia – moving quickly to a decision that the resident must move to aged care. Other possible sources of confusion, such as medication side effects, underlying medical conditions or depression were rarely acknowledged of pursued.	
		Several supervisors described their confusion over just what service to enable staying at home residents were eligible for – where to obtain services, when and by whom and in what setting they could be used and how to find the answers to these questions. Several providers spoke of the lack of planning and coordination at a regional or national level with the result that 'ageing in place' was compromised.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		A major issue identified, regardless of philosophy, was the impact of age-related health changes on the lives of other residents (wake others up at night, have frequent visits to clinics, events and outings having to be cancelled).	
All supervisors were very aware of the waiting lists and the need to move people on so that new residents could be accommodated, however those with an 'active engagement philosophy tended to give this greater consideration.			

### Review question 7 – critical appraisal tables –effectiveness

1. Nambisan P, Lamkin D, DeLong C (2014) Feasibility, benefits and challenges of using telemonitoring for the aging with Developmental Disabilities (DD): An exploratory study. Online Journal of Public Health Informatics 6: e186

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim:	Quantitative comp A:	Does the study's	Overall
The study stated its objective to 'Assess the feasibility, benefits and challenges of using telemonitoring for aging patients with developmental disabilities' (p1).	Patients' questionnaire.  Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the quantitative research	research question match the review question?  Partly. It adds to	assessment of internal validity (qualitative): +
Methodology:		ways of living at	Overall
Mixed methods. Quantitative survey		home, in supported	assessment

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
and qualitative focus groups.	question (quantitative aspect of the	accommodation or	of internal
Qualitative comp 1:	mixed-methods question)?	accommodation with care for this	validity (quantitative):
Patients' focus groups.	No. Opportunity sample, not obtained deliberately so no strict sampling strategy.	population while having a health	- Overall
Are the sources of qualitative data (archives, documents, informants,	Is the sample representative of the population under study?	condition that needs monitoring.	assessment of external validity: +
observations) relevant to address the research question?	No. Opportunity sample, so although there is variation within the sample it is not	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical	
Partly. The question is on feasibility, benefits and challenges of this intervention, so seeking info from	engineered to be representative of any population.	concerns?	
users directly is a valuable source.  However it is acknowledged that the focus group was mostly with the	Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)?	No. No mention of ethics or approval boards.	
higher functioning patients, as lower function patients are harder to engage in focus groups and so the results mostly apply to this specific sub group	Yes. The scale is given (CDC, which is a validated measure), and an indication of reliability for the sample they applied it to is	Were service users involved in the study?	
of the overall population.	given.	Yes. Also their carers.	
Is the process for analysing qualitative data relevant to address the research question?	Is there an acceptable response rate (60% or above)?	Is there a clear focus on the	

Internal validity – qualitative	Internal validity – quantitative	External validity	Overall
components	components		validity rating
Yes. Methods are clear, well	Yes. All 21 answered the questionnaire.	guideline topic?	
explained and well justified.		Partly.	
		Combines/suppleme	
Is appropriate consideration given		nts places and ways	
to how findings relate to the	Quantitative comp B: Caregiver's	of living with health	
context, such as the setting, in	questionnaire	monitoring needs for	
which the data were collected?		the concerned	
	Is the sampling strategy relevant to	population.	
No. The context/setting for the focus	address the quantitative research	population.	
group isn't made clear. Sessions	question (quantitative aspect of the	Is the study	
appear to have been run in the centre	mixed-methods question)?	population the	
where the study was run from, which	, ,	same as at least 1	
is a state-department sponsored	No. Nothing deliberate – primarily	of the groups	
institution so there are likely to be	opportunity.		
some environmental effects.		covered by the	
Participants were not interviewed in	Is the sample representative of the	guideline?	
· ·	population under study?	Vac Dartisinanta ara	
the settings the intervention occurs,		Yes. Participants are	
such as in peoples private homes (a	No. Not representative, although there is	all older with learning	
subpart of the intended population)	variation within the sample it is an	disabilities, from a	
and this may have had influencing	opportunity sample.	range of different	
effects.	opportunity campion	levels of functioning.	
	Are measurements appropriate (clear		
Is appropriate consideration given	origin, or validity known, or standard	Is the study setting	
to how findings relate to	instrument)? No. Vaguely described	the same as at least	
researchers' influence; e.g., though	measure of satisfaction, little info given.	1 of the settings	
their interactions with	g		
			l

Internal validity – qualitative	Internal validity – quantitative	External validity	Overall
components	components		validity rating
participants? Partly. It was indicated	Is there an acceptable response rate	covered by the	
that the consensus on the outcomes	(60% or above)?	guideline?	
was made with the participants	Unclear. Couldn't find clear results from it, it		
through 'in session summarising',	was seemingly merged into the qualitative	Yes. Accommodation	
which was then further agreed	findings.	with care, and also	
afterwards in consensus between the		some received	
authors. The effects of the		intervention at home.	
researchers and note takers upon the			
data obtained (e.g. demand		Does the study	
characteristics etc) does not seem to		relate to at least 1	
have been considered.		of the activities	
		covered by the	
		guideline?	
Qualitative comp 2:		Partly. Housing-	
·		related support	
Caregivers' focus groups.		including equipment,	
		adaption and	
Are the sources of qualitative data		assistive technology.	
(archives, documents, informants,		Although it relates to	
observations) relevant to address		medical conditions,	
the research question?		the focus is on	
-		person-focused, i.e.	
Yes. The question is on feasibility,		independence and	
benefits and challenges of this		control over daily life.	
intervention and so after asking the		coa or over daily illo.	

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
patients, asking the caregivers		Are the study	
directly is arguably the best source.		outcomes relevant	
		to the guideline?	
Is the process for analysing		(For effectiveness	
qualitative data relevant to address		questions)	
the research question? Yes.			
Methods are clear, well explained and		Partly. Although it	
justified.		relates to medical	
		conditions, the focus	
Is appropriate consideration given		is largely on person-	
to how findings relate to the		focused, i.e.	
context, such as the setting, in		independence and	
which the data were collected?		control over daily life.	
No. The context/setting for the focus		(For views	
group isn't made especially clear, it		questions) Are the	
seems it is in the centre where the		views and	
study was run from, and given this is		experiences	
a state department sponsored		reported relevant to	
institution there is likely to be some		the guideline?	
environmental effects. It is asking		Yes. Views and	
about the problems while not being in		experiences of older	
the settings they occur, such as in		people with learning	
peoples private homes, which was a		disabilities and their	
sub part of the intended population.			

Internal validity – qualitative components	Internal validity – quantitative components	External validity	Overall validity rating
Is appropriate consideration given		carers, when using	
to how findings relate to researchers' influence; for		this technology.	
example, though their interactions with participants?		Does the study have a UK perspective?	
Partly. It was indicated that the		No.	
consensus on the outcomes was			
made with the participants through 'in			
session summarising', which was			
then further agreed afterwards in			
consensus between the authors. The			
effects of the researchers and note			
takers upon the data obtained (e.g.			
demand characteristics etc) does not			
seem to have been considered			
overall.			

#### Review question 7 – critical Appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. Forbat L (2008) Where should people with dementia live? Using the views of service users to inform models of care. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 36: 6–12

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The authors intended the study to collect 'qualitative data from people with a learning disability who live with someone with dementia, to explore how their views on and understandings of dementia can inform the appropriate development of service provision and care models' (p7).  Methodology: Qualitative study.	How well was the data collection carried out?  Somewhat appropriately. Given the issues with the level of detail about the study design, it is also hard to rate the datagathering. It seems that interviews were recorded and semistructured, but we do not learn how often people were interviewed or the duration of the interviews.	Does the study's research question match the review question?  Partly. Focus on the views and experiences of people with learning difficulties. But the relevance to question 5 is less direct.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns?	Overall assessment of internal validity: +  Overall assessment of external validity: ++
Is a qualitative approach appropriate?	Is the context clearly described?	Yes. Ethical approval obtained.	
Appropriate. Qualitative approach is useful for gaining views and experiences.	Unclear. We learn that the sample was taken from 6 residential centres in an area, but details are	Were service users involved in the study?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Is the study clear in what it	not given on the type of residential	Yes. Service users were	
seeks to do?	homes, the number of residents or	interviewed and spoken to in	
Clear.	how many residents were suffering from dementia.	a group setting.	
How defensible/rigorous is	Man discounting a serviced and in	Is there a clear focus on	
the research	Was the sampling carried out in	the guideline topic?	
design/methodology?	an appropriate way?	Yes.	
Somewhat defensible. The	Not sure.	163.	
study does not describe its	140t duic.	Is the study population the	
methods that clearly, so it is difficult to accurately rate the	Were the methods reliable?	same as at least 1 of the	
design. We are not really told		groups covered by the	
about the aims for the study	Not sure. It is difficult to gauge,	guideline?	
design or the desired sample	due to the lack of detail around		
size. The study does not tell us	design, data collection and	Partly. The age of the	
in detail about participants (we only learn that they were a	sample.	participants is not given. It is	
mixture of genders, ages and		not 100% clear whether they	
abilities), interview scripts or the	Are the data 'rich'?	can be classed at 'older	
number of interviews.	Mixed. Some direct quotes are	people' or not. Although all	
	given and the views and	the people spoken to appear to having learning difficulties	
	experiences of residents are	of some sort.	
	analysed.	or some sort.	
	_	Is the study setting the	
	Is the analysis reliable?	same as at least 1 of the	
		settings covered by the	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	somewhat reliable. We are given detail of the general themes extracted from the data, 8 themes are outlines. But they are not discussed in detail and it also seems that some assumptions are made about the meaning of some comments. The writer at times states what findings 'clearly' mean, but this statement is not qualified and we are not given a great deal of verbatim, interview data. However we are told that scripts were analysed, re analysed and that this work was done by 2 researchers.  Are the findings convincing?	guideline? Yes. Residential care.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?  Yes. The study gathered views from people with learning difficulties, hence the findings are valuable.  Given the dearth of this type of study available.	Overall validity rating
	Somewhat convincing. Given the small sample we would expect fairly sparse findings, but this paper is attached to a 3-year study. There is no sense of changes over time or a diversity of views. The findings are divided	Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	into themes, but the quotes under each theme only come from 1 participant, lessening the credibility of the analysis.		
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Somewhat adequate. The conclusions seem reasonable, but the description of the methods and data collection is poor, so it is hard to say whether they are convincing one way or the other.		

## Review question 7 – critical appraisal tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Bigby C, Webber R, Bowers B et al. (2008) A survey of people with intellectual disabilities living in residential aged care facilities in Victoria. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 52: 404–14

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
Study aim: The aim of this	Clear description of context?	Does the study's research	Overall assessment of
study (which is the first phase of	Yes.	question match the review	internal validity: +
a 4-year study) was to map the		question?	-
population of people with ID in	Survey population and sample	Partly. The survey is partly a	Overall assessment of

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
residential aged care facilities in	frame clearly described?	mapping exercise for people	external validity: ++
Victoria, asking care providers:	Partly. The survey was sent to the	living LD living in aged	
'(1) how many and what are the	Nursing Directors of all residential	residential care in Victoria,	
characteristics of people with ID	aged care facilities.	Australia. This element does	
living in residential aged care in		not contribute to answering	
Victoria; (2) why do they move	Describes what was measured,	our review question. However	
to residential aged care and	how it was measured and the	certain data relating to the	
where do they move from; (3)	results?	appropriateness of	
what type of support do they	Yes.	placements and issues	
receive in residential aged care;		around supporting older	
and (4) what do residential	Measurements valid? Yes.	adults with LD in aged	
aged care providers see as the		residential care do contribute.	
issues in providing support for	Measurements reliable?		
people with ID' (p406).	Partly. Reasons for the residents'	Has the study dealt	
	move to residential care and	appropriately with any	
Note that the fourth of these	whether they were happy with the	ethical concerns?	
aims is the most relevant to our	move were elicited via the survey	Yes. Survey data about	
review question.	with providers with no opportunity	individual characteristics were	
	for triangulation with the views of	anonymised and ethics	
Methodology:	residents themselves, nor their	approval was granted by	
Survey. Postal survey sent to	families.	Human Research Ethics	
826 residential aged care		Committees of the Australian	
facilities in Victoria.	Basic data adequately	Catholic University and La	
	described?	Trobe University.	
Research design clearly	Yes.		
specified and appropriate?		Were service users	
Yes. Survey methodology with	Results presented clearly,	involved in the study?	
follow-up phone calls for non-	objectively and in enough detail	No. They were neither	
responders.	for readers to make personal	involved as survey	
	judgements?	respondents nor as co-	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis	-	
Objectives of the study clearly stated?	Yes.	researchers or advisors.	
Yes.	Results internally consistent? Unclear.	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. Some of the data	
	Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? Yes. 'Data were analysed statistically with the aid of	contribute to answering our review questions, some do not.	
	the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. Answers to openended questions were analysed thematically by coding them into separate categories' (p406).	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes. Older adults with a learning disability living in	
	Methods appropriate for the data? Yes.	residential aged care facilities.	
	Results can be generalised? Unclear. The authors caution against generalizing to all residential care facilities in Victoria but they seem to suggest that results might be applicable to the	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
	UK because of the similar resident profile. This is not clear.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline?	
	Conclusions justified? Yes.	Yes.	
		Are the views and	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
		experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Australia.	

## 2. Iacono T, Bigby C, Carling-Jenkins R et al. (2014) Taking each day as it comes: staff experiences of supporting people with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease in group homes. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 58: 521–33

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The aim of this study was outlined as 'to report on the experiences of disability staff in group homes supporting residents with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease in relation to their under understanding of what was happening to these residents, their responses to them, and how they felt about their support role' (p521).  Methodology: Qualitative	And analysis  How well was the data collection carried out? Somewhat appropriately. Not entirely consistent in who conducted the interviews. Also didn't make use of blinding etc., but otherwise generally adequate and conducted by professional researchers.  Is the context clearly described? Clear. It is only in a	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes. Directly captures the views and experiences of practitioners working in 'supported accommodation' for older people with learning disabilities.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++  Overall assessment of external validity: +
study. Semi-structured		ethical concerns? Yes.	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
interviews analysed	limited context, but this is clearly	Consent for the primary	
thematically.	expressed in the study title.	participants was obtained	
Is a qualitative approach		from a next of kin, in line	
appropriate? Appropriate.	Was the sampling carried out in	with the National Health and	
1	an appropriate way? Somewhat	Medical Research Council.	
Specifically sought personal	appropriate. The sample was	Didn't say if they asked	
experiences within an area	taken from opportunity, i.e. from	participants themselves	
that has rarely been	another study. Although it may	though.	
researched previously.	have been more ideal to recruit a		
	(bigger) sample specifically for this	Were service users	
Is the study clear in what it	question, this was a good use of	involved in the study? No.	
seeks to do? Clear. Sets out	something existing.	,	
3 aims, and gives answers		Is there a clear focus on	
within this format.	Were the methods reliable?	the guideline topic?	
	Somewhat reliable. Not especially	Yes. Directly captures the	
How defensible/rigorous is	sophisticated. Data collected and	views and experiences of	
the research	transcribed from interviews and	practitioners working in	
design/methodology?  Defensible. Sought a greater	then analysed by the interviewers.	'supported accommodation'	
understanding of the	The 3 researchers/authors did	for older people with	
experience of caring for adults	consult each other and negotiate	learning disabilities.	
with dementia in addition to ID,	agreement about their	learning albabilities.	
for which a qualitative approach	conclusions, but no blinding.	Is the study population the	
is most suited. Outlines why it is	Conclusions, but no billiding.	same as at least 1 of the	
useful – to address the divide	Are the data 'rich'? Rich.		
between what might be	Ale the data fich ! Mon.	groups covered by the	
considered good and actual		guideline? Yes. Directly	
practice The sample was taken		captures the views and	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
from opportunity, i.e. from another study. Although it may have been more ideal to recruit a sample specifically, this was a good use of something existing.	Is the analysis reliable? Somewhat reliable. Good overall but with some room for improvement. Analysis was conducted in agreement between	experiences of practitioners working in 'supported accommodation' for older people with learning disabilities.	
	3 authors, including 2 that had collected the data – it's reasonable but may add some bias. Methods are not described (although refers to other papers) intricately and it does not say if their findings were verified with	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. In supported accommodation.	
	those who were interviewed.  Are the findings convincing?  Convincing.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Service planning and organisation of appropriate	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. The conclusions aren't especially complex. They seem well grounded, but alternative explanations aren't well considered. They give very little critical appraisal of their own methods in the written paper.	accommodation, staff training etc.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Directly captures the views and experiences of practitioners	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
-		working in 'supported	
		accommodation' for OPLD.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Australia. But does make comparison to UK services: 'Unlike countries, such as England and Ireland, whose ID nurses and other allied health specialists provide support to people living in residential settings, care staff in group homes rely on the mainstream system, in particular, general practitioners, for health care supports for residents' (p523).	

# 3. Kåhlin I, Kjellberg A, Hagberg J (2015) Ageing in people with intellectual disability as it is understood by group home staff. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability 41(1): 1–10

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
<b>Study aim:</b> The paper stated its aim as 'To explore how group	How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. Very	_	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
home staff address issues of ageing and being old among people with ID' (p2).	well described and justified, a rigorous and systematic procedure.  Is the context clearly described?	question? Yes. Directly captures the views and experiences of practitioners	Overall assessment of external validity: +
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative study. Interviews run and analysed using directed content	Clear. Clear idea of who, where, how and when. Four group homes in municipalities in Southern	working in group home including older people with learning disabilities.	
analysis, where a theory or set of relevant research findings is used to guide the coding and analysis process.	Sweden were selected based on the criterion of having older residents with ID. The homes had a small number of separate but linked	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes.	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate. Sought views and experiences.	flats located in ordinary housing areas. Each interview lasted between 1 and 2 hours, and was conducted in a private location at the informant's workplace. With	Details the approval by local ethics board in Linkoping, and the consent forms and processes for participants.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear. To explore how group-home staff address issues of ageing and being old	permission, all interviews were tape-recorded.  Was the sampling carried out in	Were service users involved in the study? No.	
among people with ID.  How defensible/rigorous is the research	an appropriate way? Appropriate. A purposive sampling method was used to obtain an appropriate distribution in terms of age, gender	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Directly captures the views	
design/methodology?  Defensible. Well justified, and grounded in theory and an	and years of working experience.  Were the methods reliable?	and experiences of practitioners working in group homes that house	
understanding of where and what information is needed.	Somewhat reliable. The authors do not use any other methods to triangulate their findings, but did		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
•	discuss their findings alongside other results. The semi-structured procedure allowed some flexibility but meant the questions asked of each participant were reliably consistent.	older people with learning disabilities.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the	
	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. Lots of themes well described, and a reasonable (if not huge) use of quotes to back up the findings and give further richness.  Is the analysis reliable?  Somewhat reliable.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Supported group home.	
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Seems coherent and based in quotes. Perhaps could have shown their final themes to the participants to check they were endorsed by those who were interviewed. Their second theme 'dual faces' was a little bit incoherent in places.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Service planning and organisation of appropriate accommodation, staff training etc.	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. Well-grounded and gives detailed consideration to what was said, where the conclusions came	Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	from and what the ramifications might be.	Yes. Directly captures the views and experiences of practitioners working in group homes that house older people with learning disabilities.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? No. A European OECD country with a state care system, perhaps slightly better resourced than in England.	

## 4. Maes B, Puyenbroeck J (2008) Adaptation of Flemish services to accommodate and support the aging of people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 5: 245–52

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
<b>Study aim:</b> The 3 aims of the study were outlined as follows: '(1) To what extent and in which	Clear description of context? Yes. The questionnaire was given to facilities that provide support to	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++
ways have services adapted their accommodations and their personnel to meet the needs of	older people with learning difficulties.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any	Overall assessment of external validity: ++
aging people with intellectual disability? (2) To what extent and in which ways have	Survey population and sample frame clearly described? Yes. The study identified all the services	ethical concerns? No. Not reported.	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis	_	
services adapted their working	that matched the criteria in	Were service users	
methods to meet the needs of	Flanders. Those that supported	involved in the study? No.	
aging people with intellectual	more than 6 individuals with a		
disability? (3) What are the	learning difficulty, and were aged	Is there a clear focus on the	
views and attitudes of staff	over 40 (Down's syndrome) or over	guideline topic? Yes.	
members with regard to	55 for other groups.		
successful aging of people with	Describes what was measured	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the	
intellectual disability? Are these views in line with the different	Describes what was measured, how it was measured and the	groups covered by the	
theoretical models on	results? Yes. The questionnaire	guideline? Yes.	
successful aging? To what	asked about the adaptations made	galacinic: 103.	
degree do these views differ	to the environment, workforce	Is the study setting the	
according to the type of	changes and the views of staff and	same as at least 1 of the	
setting?' (p246).	practitioners.	settings covered by the	
,		guideline? Yes. Residential	
Methodology: Survey.	Measurements valid? Yes. The	care.	
	study uses descriptive statistics for		
Research design clearly	the most part and analysed the	Does the study relate to at	
specified and appropriate?	'factor structure' in the last section	least 1 of the activities	
Yes. Research design is clearly	of the paper. They used LISREL 8.7	covered by the guideline?	
described; a more in-depth	(Jöreskog and Sörbom 2004). This	Yes. Activities include:	
qualitative approach, rather than a questionnaire/survey	was an exploratory factor analysis.	Assessment and review of	
design would have elicited	Measurements reliable? Yes.	care, some elements of care and care planning.	
richer data.	Measurements renable: 165.	and care planning.	
nonor data.	Basic data adequately	Are the views and	
Objectives of the study	described? Yes. The study uses	experiences reported	
clearly stated? Yes.	table that clearly describe basic	relevant to the guideline?	
_	data.	Yes. The views of	
		practitioners.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
•	Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? Yes.	Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Belgium.	
	Results internally consistent? Yes.		
	Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? Yes. The questionnaire is well described, as is the analysis procedure.		
	Methods appropriate for the data? Yes. Descriptive statistics are appropriate given the questionnaire format, as is the factor analysis.		
	Results can be generalised? Unclear. The results relate to a fairly small sample in a specific geographical region. But some general messages may be generalised.		
	Conclusions justified? Yes.		

## 5. Webber R, Bowers B, McKenzie-Green B (2010) Staff responses to age-related health changes in people with an intellectual disability in group homes. Disability and Society 25: 657–71

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
Study aim: The aim of this study was outlined as 'to explore how supervisors in	How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. Interviews carried	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++
group homes caring for people with intellectual disability responded to the development of age-related health changes in their residents' (p657).	out appropriately, and with continual refinements made to the interviewer's topic guide in accordance with the methodology. However little information is given	Experiences of group home supervisors as they respond to aging clients with ID.	Overall assessment of external validity: +
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative study. Interviews run, analysed using dimensional analysis – a variant of grounded theory.	on exactly who conducted them and where.  Is the context clearly	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? No. Ethics not discussed. But	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate. Uses interviews and dimensional analysis to elicit	described? Unclear. The location and set-up for the interviews is not described.	isn't directly interviewing or intervening with vulnerable people.	
past experiences and also illuminate participant's core personal beliefs towards aging and ID.	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Not sure. It's not clear how the sampling was done. With this type of methodology (grounded theory) it	Were service users involved in the study? No. Not directly, just interviewed supervisors of services.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear. Explores	is not essential to have a sample that's representative of a bigger	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. Experiences of group home	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
how supervisors in group	population but just enough that	supervisors as they respond	
homes caring for people with	themes can be honed on	to aging clients with ID.	
intellectual disability	sufficiently to be considered		
responded to the development	grounded.	Is the study population the	
of age-related health changes		same as at least 1 of the	
in their residents. Seeks to	Were the methods reliable? Not	groups covered by the	
explore participants	sure. The goal of grounded theory	guideline? Yes. Group	
understand a particular	means that the themes and	home supervisors of aging	
phenomenon as well as the	findings were drawn from	clients with ID.	
actions that result from those	intensive refinement evolving		
understandings.	across participants and	Is the study setting the	
	responsive to variance. Provided	same as at least 1 of the	
How defensible/rigorous is	we trust the researchers'	settings covered by the	
the research	processes and iterative	guideline? Yes. Group	
design/methodology?	developments then we can only	homes for people with ID.	
Somewhat defensible. The	presume the methods were		
question justifies the methodological approach, and	reliable.	Does the study relate to at	
the approach justifies the size		least 1 of the activities	
and nature of the sample. Does	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. Lots of	covered by the guideline?	
not discuss how the sample	details are given about the	Yes. Identification of care	
was recruited, or why these	opinions and states of mind, and	and support needs relating	
participants or this area were	comparisons between who tended	to aging. Age-appropriate	
chosen over others.	to feel what.	service planning and	
		configuration. Care and	
	Is the analysis reliable?	support planning for older	
	Not sure/not reported. Provided	people with LD within	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity – performance	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	and analysis		
and sample	we trust the researchers, we can assume it is a sensible and reliable account of this population and their views/experiences. But no follow-ups or similar studies in other regions are mentioned so there's little way of confirming how dependable/reliable these conclusions (and thus methods) are.  Are the findings convincing? Convincing. The details and subject's processes behind their actions and feelings are elaborated on in great depth. Seems convincing.  Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. The conclusions are grounded strongly in the findings and so are adequate in the way they describe the views/experiences of those interviewed. The implications are discussed well, however the limitations are not.	housing, housing-related support and support to maintain relationships and established social lives.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Experiences of group home supervisors as they respond to ageing clients with ID.  Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Australian	

#### Review question 8. End of life care

- a) What interventions, tools, aids and approaches are effective and cost-effective in end of life care for older people with learning disabilities?
- b) What are the views and experiences of older people with learning disabilities, carers and practitioners about end of life care?

Review question 8 – findings tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. McLaughlin D, Barr O, McIlfatrick S et al. (2014a) Service user perspectives on palliative care education for health and social care professionals supporting people with learning disabilities. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care 5: 531–7

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim: To develop a best practice model to guide and promote partnership practice between specialists	Participants: Professionals/practitioners.  Services and practitioners working in intellectual disability and palliative care	Phase 1 quantitative data From 47 services of the 66 services approached (response rate: 71.2%).  A. Partnership working between intellectual disability and specialist palliative care services	Overall assessment of internal validity: + +
palliative care and intellectual disability services by gathering quantitative and qualitative data from	services in primary and secondary care, who had provided end-of-life care to adults with intellectual disabilities.	8/10 intellectual disability services and 5/15 nursing homes reported previous contact with specialist palliative care for advice.	Overall assessment of external validity: +

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
practitioners from these services.	Sample characteristics:	2. 14/22 specialist palliative care services reported contact with their local intellectual disability service for advice.	
Methodology: Mixed methods. Phase 1 – survey using self-completed	<ul> <li>Age</li> <li>Phase 2 respondents: age range (30–59 years).</li> <li>Sex</li> </ul>	3. A minority of services stating that they nearly always worked in partnership with specialist palliative care professionals.	
questionnaires with 8 quantitative questions	Phase 2 respondents: 22 females; 8 males.	4. 8/15 of nursing homes had never taken part in joint working with a specialist palliative care team.	
and 4 open qualitative questions; Phase 2 – Phase 2: semi- structured interviews.	<ul><li>Ethnicity</li><li>Not reported.</li><li>Religion/belief</li><li>Not reported.</li><li>Disability</li></ul>	5. 2/10 intellectual disability services and 4/15 nursing homes had not provided palliative and end of life care to adults with intellectual disabilities for joint working to occur (Table 1, p1215).	
Country: UK, Ulster, NI and	Not reported.  • Long-term health condition	Services rating the helpfulness of each strategy to promote partnership working between intellectual disability and specialist palliative care services.	
Dublin	Not reported.  • Sexual orientation Not reported.	Most services viewed these initiatives in a positive light with no significant difference by type of service.	
Source of funding: HSC Research and Development Doctoral Fellowship Scheme in	Socioeconomic position     Phase 2 respondents: all     had experience of caring     for a person with an	The following strategies to promote partnership working between intellectual disability and specialist palliative care services were rated as very helpful and/or helpful by all the respondents (n=47, 100%).	
Northern Ireland.		1. Increased focus on training.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
	intellectual disability at the end of life.  Sample size: Phase 1 included intellectual disability services (n=18), specialist palliative care services (n=28) and nursing homes designated to care for people with intellectual disabilities (n=20).  Phase 2: 30 health and social care professionals from these services.  Outcomes measured Service outcomes.  Follow-up Not reported.	<ol> <li>Willingness within services to learn from each other.</li> <li>Joint education with both services on palliative and end of life care for people with ID.</li> <li>Recognising when the need arises for expertise from both service.</li> <li>Early identification of need by generalist/primary care services to facilitate timely specialist referral.</li> <li>The following strategies were rated as very helpful and/or helpful by most of the respondents but not all the respondents.</li> <li>More funding (n=40/47, 85%).</li> <li>More detailed referral systems to palliative care services outlining specific needs of person with ID (n=44/47, 93%).</li> <li>Focus on palliative care/intellectual disability in undergraduate training (n=44/47, 93%).</li> <li>Understanding of ethos, philosophy and professional roles in both services (n=44/47, 93%),</li> <li>Regular multidisciplinary meetings involving professionals across services/sectors to facilitate coordinated care (n=42/47, 89%).</li> </ol>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		11. Clinical placement exchanges between services (n=37/47, 79%) (Table 2, p1216).	
		Overall, services recognised the value and benefits of joint working and learning in the assessment and care process, such as working with people who had prior knowledge of the person such as professionals or family carers. This facilitated assessing and meeting the holistic end of life care needs of someone with an ID. Learning needs were identified relevant to joint working and learning such as communication and assessment, management, carer support and bereavement care, decision-making, understanding intellectual disability and coping with death and self-care.	
		Narrative findings Phase 2 qualitative data from 30 practitioners on how to effectively meeting the palliative and end of life care needs of people with ID.	
		A. Challenges and issues in end of life care	
		1. A marginalised life – services not tailored for people with intellectual disabilities or their needs 'When our clients are well at the best of times they present lots of challenges about access to services and about co-operation and stuff' (P2 – intellectual disability service) (p1217).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		2. Equity of access to service provision – people with ID had the right to an equitable service at the end of their life, including widening access to hospice care: 'I think it is about equity – in that this is a population who is very vulnerable – and it is about the valuing of human beings and it's about humanity' (P3 – specialist palliative care service) (p1217).  3. Better coordination and continuity of care – some areas highlighted as more coordinated care, with better communication: ' I wasn't clear of my role and the whole process was disjointed, un-coordinated what would be helpful is a lead person to co-ordinate palliative care services and if all the relevant professionals were then invited to a case discussion and there was a clear plan and pathway' (p16 – intellectual disability service) (p1217). 'The thing which made the huge difference was having people who had known her in her other life coming to the hospice and explaining that she liked and needed her dolls and once the nurses were able to see that then they were empowered to continue to nurse her' (P1 – specialist palliative care service) (p1217).  4. Importance for the person to die in their familiar place of care: 'That eye contact was there for forty years people who had worked with him and that he knew yes it was a hospital, but it had been his home all of this life' (P14 –	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		B. Sharing and learning	
		1. Learning needs in palliative care services 'understanding learning disability', knowing where and how to access local intellectual disability services and issues around communication and assessment: 'I would identify it as an area we do need, as a team, more education, in assessment, communication, ethical issues' (P22 – specialist palliative care service).	
		2. Learning needs in intellectual disability services, knowing about pain and symptom management, conditions in end of life care, bereavement care and caring for people with cultural differences. Other learning needs were self-care in coping with death and dealing with professional grief: 'the patients in our care have been here for a considerable length of time and staff are nursing them 37½ hours a week for maybe 20 years and you still have that barrier that you're a professional, but staff do get very extremely attached on an emotional and on a personal level' (P6 – intellectual disability service) (p1217).	
		C. Supporting and empowering between specialist palliative care and intellectual disability services to dispel fear and provide mutual support	
		1. Increasing confidence in working with ID: 'think I would be happier now that we have good established links and I think it was the recognition of my own inabilities and the limitations	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		of my practice in relation to this group' (P3 – specialist palliative care service) (p1217).	
		2. Increasing confidence in working with death and dying. 'I think with the involvement of the palliative care team it certainly gave us the confidence to work with this lady, I think there would have been issues had we not had the team' (P6 – intellectual disability service) (p1218).	
		D. Partnership in practice	
		1. Benefits of partnership – an important outcome was the person being able to die in their place of care, with continuity of care by familiar people: 'They could see in action palliative care at it's best and it had a good outcome for the organisation because the staff worked to their finest level – the patient got to die in their place of care, the family were content' (P3 – specialist palliative care service) (p1218).	
		2. Enabling partnership – such as knowing how to contact services, 'regional meetings', joint 'study days' and joint working and acknowledging their knowledge deficits 'They recognised that they had limited knowledge and understanding in palliative care, and the same for myself in	
		learning disabilities. We were open with each other and we planned that care together it was a two way process. I relied on them and vice versa' (P29 – specialist palliative care service) (p1218). The role of the GP in early identification of need and specialist referral was highlighted:	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		'I think there needs to be a greater appreciation of patients with learning difficulties in primary care, then they would come to the attention of the palliative care specialists at an earlier stage and probably better care can be delivered' (P17 – specialist palliative care service) (p1218).	
		E. Challenges and barriers to partnership Such as the 'medical' versus 'social' model of care, time, lack of access and limited referrals to specialist palliative care services, mistrust between people, at a human level, coming together to collaborate: 'I think its difficult because we are slightly "siloed" and slightly ostracised from the health service in palliative care services or in learning disability' (P1 – specialist palliative care service). 'If personalities were involved where maybe learning disability nurses feel that they are losing ownership or that they are being told what to do' (P6 – intellectual disability service) (p1218).	
		In summary, practitioners from specialist palliative care and intellectual disability services reported that equity of access to EOL care for people with ID was limited and 'marginalised' and they felt it important for the person with ID to die in their familiar place of care.	

Review question 8 – Findings tables – Health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Bailey M, Doody O, Lyons R (2016) Surveying community-nursing support for persons with an intellectual disability and palliative care needs. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 44: 24–34

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim: To describe the provision of community nursing support for persons with an	Participants:  Professionals/practitioners, public health nurses (PHNs), community nurses,	Narrative findings Qualitative data from response to open-ended questions in questionnaire surveys.  Factors that facilitate and/or hinder care giving	Overall assessment of internal validity: -
intellectual disability and palliative/end of life care needs.	practice nurses, hospice at home nurses and palliative care nurses.	Table 4 (p28).  1. Family involvement and support  Facilitators: Family cooperation and understanding a	Overall assessment of external
Methodology: Survey.  Country: Ireland.	Sample characteristics: Not reported	Facilitators: Family cooperation and understanding a. Recognition that the family know the person best. b. The openness of the family to develop a working relationship with healthcare professional.	validity: +
Source of funding: The Irish Hospice Foundation.	Sample size: Total 96 Outcomes measured:	<ul><li>Barriers: a. Lack of cooperation from the family member/s b. Uncertainty causing over protective family member/s.</li><li>2. Previous experience of intellectual disability</li></ul>	
	Service outcomes – provision of community nursing support for persons with an intellectual disability and palliative/end of life care needs.	Facilitators: a. Past experience and care episodes of working with people with intellectual disability and their family/s, b. Development of understanding and skills (nonverbal, observational).  Barriers: a. Limited education b. Lack of understanding and lack knowledge of intellectual disability (specific conditions).	
	of the care fleeus.	3. Teamwork and collaboration	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
	Follow-up:		
	Not reported.	<b>Facilitators:</b> a. Support for team members and colleagues b. Collaboration, coordination and cooperation between all care providers c. Shared responsibility.	
		<b>Barriers:</b> a. Absence of critical team members b. Decision-making processes within organisations and family/s.	
		4. Supportive professional carers in intellectual disability services	
		<b>Facilitators:</b> a. Recognition and acceptance of the need for palliative care support within the intellectual disability service b. Willingness of intellectual disability staff to share their knowledge and skill to support palliative care service.	
		<b>Barriers:</b> a. Delay in recognition of transition to end of life b. Delay in referral to palliative services for end of life care.	
		5. Personal attributes	
		Facilitators: a. Knowledge of services available and access to those services b. Humanistic approach – listening, communicating, advising, kindness, awareness, honesty, caring, understanding, sincerity.	
		<b>Barriers:</b> a. Fear due to lack of knowledge, education and experience b. Lack of confidence in communicating with a person with intellectual disability.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		6. Knowing the person	
		<b>Facilitators:</b> a. Recognising resources – long-term carers of the patient/client b. Building a relationship and sharing the journey c. Building trust of the client and the family d. Early referral and advance planning.	
		<b>Barriers:</b> a. Poor referral information b. Delayed referral and lack of advanced planning c. Interpreting communication differences of people with intellectual disability.	
		Views	
		1. Educational needs	
		'Appropriate education is needed that is tailored to the person with intellectual disability and palliative care, but we need to be supported to develop our own approach to the clients and develop our understanding of their condition and fears and of existing intellectual disability services' (p29). 'Workshops are needed to develop an understanding of communication methods and interpreting their needs, sign language is a measure that could facilitate caring for a person with an intellectual disability.'	
		2. Exposure and experience of caring for a person with an intellectual disability	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		'More exposure to clients with intellectual disability would give a greater understanding of needs and their family's needs and help use understanding their disability more' (p29).	
		3. Communication and collaboration to overcome knowledge deficit	
		'If we develop closer working relationships and good communication processes with those specializing in care of patients/clients with an intellectual disability this would help and support us in our role we need a direct link with the intellectual disability nurses who know the client so well' (p29). 'Working together as a team would strengthen the services that sometimes can be fragmented; more communication between all would lead to greater awareness of what is happening' (p30).	
		4. Improved communication, shared documentation	
		As a means of developing interagency communication" 'It would be helpful if client records were available to be utilised in home communications with the family and patient/client and insure they are always at the centre' (p30).	
		5. Access to and availability of resources	
		Additional resources would enhance support. A total of 5 resource categories were identified: physical aids, services	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		including specialised services, time, advanced care planning and funding.	
		6. Early referral and advanced care planning	
		This was reported as essential to provide more time to develop a trusting relationship.	
		'It is difficult to get to know a family if you are only introduced at the end stage of life and you have to remember the patient/client is your focus so if it is very late you may be into pain management and have very little time to engage, support and build a relationship with the family' (p31).	
		Relevant quantitative data	
		Education	
		Nurses reported that they would like to be provided with professional support in terms of in-service and further education in the areas of intellectual disability and palliative care, with their preferred educational delivery format and mode of educational delivery being in-service education with lectures (74.5%) and workshops (64.9%).	
		In summary, community nurses reported the lack of knowledge, lack of understanding, lack of confidence and communication skills and lack of resources as main barriers which hinder their end of life caregiving to people with ID.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		Other limiting factors included late referrals and lack of time. The nurses emphasised the benefits of liaison between family and professional and non-professional carers, and collaborative working to promote the development of mutual understanding as to when and how to involve each other in the care process – crucial to ensure optimal palliative/end of life care for people with ID.	
		Teamwork, advance planning, knowing the person and best practice would improve the provision of palliative/end of life care for people with intellectual disability.	

# 2. Cartlidge D, Read S (2010) Exploring the needs of hospice staff supporting people with an intellectual disability: a UK perspective. International Journal of Palliative Nursing 16: 93–8

Research	PICO (population,	Findings	Validity
aims	intervention,		ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants: Qualified	Narrative findings	Overall
To identify what skills	and unqualified members		assessment
hospice staff felt were	of the hospice at home and	Experience/expertise of/with working with people with	of internal
needed to enable them	inpatient unit.	learning disabilities and their families	validity: -
to feel confident in			
providing specialist	Sample characteristics:	Experience among nursing staff varied. They felt it was	
palliative support for	<ul> <li>Age &lt;40 years, n=7.</li> </ul>	important to have access to learning disability nurses to give	Overall
people with learning	>41 years, n=19	them confidence and provide professional development.	assessment
	• Gender f=25 m=11.	Particularly helpful in teaching them how to manage and	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
disabilities.  Methodology: Focus groups and an open-ended questionnaire.  Country: UK  Source of funding: Not reported.	1	understand particular behaviours (they had received no specialist training in identifying and understanding the complexity of these behaviours).  Importance of trust and building relationships  Staff felt it was very rewarding caring for people with learning disabilities at the end of their lives. They said it was difficult but very important to get to know them and build up trust and confidence.  Communication  Staff found it difficult to discuss patients' health status, treatment compliance issues; their understanding of conditions and gaining valid consent was also hard. Particular challenges included getting to know the patient and adjusting communication to suit their individual needs. Having realised these difficulties, staff developed more effective ways of communicating, namely being patient and repeating things several times in different ways until the patient could absorb and understand the information.  Caring for someone with a learning disability at the end of life compared with caring for the 'general population'  There were a number of similarities, including 'every person is unique'. Family dynamics are often challenging and staff	of external validity: +
		life compared with caring for the 'general population'	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		of social skills among the learning disabled people (although this related to the behaviour of a family member of a dying person rather than a patient).	

# 3. Cross H, Cameron M, Marsh S et al. (2012) Practical approaches toward improving end-of-life care for people with intellectual disabilities: effectiveness and sustainability. Journal of Palliative Medicine 15 (3) 322–6

Research	PICO (population,	Findings	Validity
aims	intervention,		ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants:	Findings	
The project was 'aimed	Professionals/practitioners		Overall
at exploring ways of	<ul><li>project manager,</li></ul>	Narrative findings	assessment
increasing access to	trainers, link workers,		of internal
palliative care services	delegates of the training	The key findings of the evaluation were as follows:	validity: -
by people with ID within	programmes, hospice and		
one geographical area'	care home staff and	There was virtually unanimous agreement that care home staff	Overall
by assessing the	managers as well as the	benefited from the training and were able to put it to good use.	assessment
effectiveness and	project steering group		of external
sustainability of 3	comprising experts from	ID community teams were seen as having benefited from the	validity: +
different approaches:	the fields of ID and	programme – not least by learning what palliative care is and how it	
	palliative care, people with	can be accessed.	
(1) training of ID staff on	ID and carer		
basic end-of-life care	representatives.	There was less agreement on whether palliative care	
		professionals had derived lasting benefit.	
(2) training of palliative	Sample characteristics:		
care staff on how best to			
	Age – Not reported.		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
meet the needs of people with ID  (3) a link-worker scheme where professionals from both professional areas would have a designated point of contact for support and information.  Methodology The study collects and presents data from faceto-face and telephone interviews with practitioners/stakeholders and draws on discussions with the project's steering group. Data is also presented from evaluation forms.  Country: UK.	Gender - Not reported. Ethnicity — Not reported. Religion/belief — Not reported. Disability - Not reported. Long-term health condition — Not reported. Sexual orientation — Not reported. Socioeconomic position — Interviewees are practitioners holding professional posts.  Sample size: Not mentioned.  Intervention: The project included the following 3 features:  1. Thirty-one 2-day courses for palliative care staff which addressed practice issues in working with people with learning disabilities.	<ul> <li>The training was particularly valued for promoting reflection about complex issues and thinking about difference, as well as for acknowledging fears and constructively working with them.</li> <li>The link workers scheme was generally disappointing and unsuccessful.</li> <li>Both palliative care and ID were widely viewed as being rather forbidding and perhaps even frightening areas, each with its own unfamiliar language. Searching questions were raised by interviewees about 'who does what, why?' in relation to the care of people with ID at the end of life.</li> <li>'The project was working in very difficult and demanding territory, with a wide range of stakeholders. Critically, the project mostly involved direct health and social care professionals, trainers and voluntary sector organisations, not system managers and not local users and family carers. This might explain some of the problems experienced in partnership working' (p325).</li> </ul>	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Source of funding: Voluntary/charity. The King's Fund.	2. Two-day courses for learning disability staff, providing information about palliative care.  3. A 'link worker' scheme whereby 10 professionals, coming from both fields of practice, filled a 'contact point' role in workplaces (each community learning disability team and each hospice), providing information and support on issues relating to palliative care for people with learning disabilities.  Duration, frequency, intensity  Training was 2 days long for both staff groups.		
	Content/session titles		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
aiiii3	comparison, outcomes)		raungs
	Training for palliative care		
	professionals included the		
	following:		
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
	'communicating with		
	people with ID' (this		
	session was co-facilitated		
	by several people with		
	ID)		
	,		
	assessment of pain and		
	other symptoms in people		
	with severe		
	communication difficulties		
	consoity and consont:		
	capacity and consent;		
	and bereavement' (p324).		
	Training for learning		
	disability staff included:		
	'what end-of-life care is;		
	who provides it; how to		
	care for a dying person;		
	exploring loss, talking		
	about dying,		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
	bereavement, and		
	remembering; and self-		
	care strategies'		
	Location/place of		
	delivery:		
	Training for both groups		
	took place in 4 local		
	hospices.		
	Follow-up		
	There was no follow-up assessment.		

4. McCarron M, McCallion P, Fahey-McCarthy E et al. (2010) Staff perceptions of essential prerequisites underpinning endof-life care for persons with intellectual disability and advanced dementia. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7: 143–52

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
To understand carer concerns in supporting persons with intellectual	Professionals/practitioners, Learning disability service providers and staff from 1	The person at the centre – knowing the person	assessment of internal validity: +
disabilities and advanced dementia.	providers and stall from 1	Knowing the person's likes/dislikes was seen as being central to good dementia care. Respondents felt that LD services have a	_

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Methodology: Qualitative study. Focus groups.  Country: Republic of Ireland.  Source of funding: Government. Health Research Board of Ireland. Voluntary/charity: Irish Hospice Foundation.	specialist palliative care service.  Sample characteristics: Not reported.  Sample size: Total 57.	strong philosophy of person-centred care — maintaining relationships. Keeping links with family/staff was seen as really important throughout the continuum/progress of dementia. So, for people living in learning disability housing, this would often mean that staff working there would not want the person to be moved on to a specialist palliative care setting. If people were transferred, staff from the LD unit would often visit and bring friends/residents from the unit, ensuring the person doesn't die alone, ' the service does ensure somebody regular would be with the resident can spend time with the resident if they do pass away that somebody that was familiar to them is there' (p145).  Place of care – home vs. out of home  All agreed that the ideal place of care was people's own home although recognised it's not always possible. Staff in the LD home said they could support people in place up to a certain point and then physical barriers made it difficult, such as needing hoists and help with bathing. Nurses experienced in care for people with LD said that as long as there was adequate support, then it was preferable for people with dementia and LD to die in their own (community) home: 'it has a huge impact unless you have staff that are actually qualified the last few days are very intense and I don't know whether the community houses would actually be able to physically and emotionally manage in years to come if the proper supports were put in place maybe – if they had a team that was mobile that would be the ideal thing cause ideally it would be nice for people to die'	Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		at home' (p145). Everyone agreed about the importance of having a peaceful environment at the time of death. If this couldn't be provided, then respondents felt this could be a reason to move people out of their usual place of residence although opinion was divided about whether a specialist dementia or palliative care setting was most appropriate. There was also debate as to whether a specialist unit for palliative care would be better than a palliative care section of a community setting. In some sites people were reported to be moving in and out of hospital towards the end of their life and no one thought this was ideal – neither staff nor family.	
		Quality care and comfort	
		Respondents described the importance of paying attention to the detail of providing a good death. For example, spending time with the person, playing music instead of having the TV on etc. However it's not clear whether these were responses from people working in SPC or LD services.	
		Spiritual care	
		Staff in all sites said that spiritual care was really important, ' they need the time for both medical and spiritual care. You can see it in their eyes. At the very end you can see that fear petrified' (p146). However, some said they didn't have the skills needed to give spiritual care.	
		Planning care – involving families	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		Everyone recognised that families were often the legal decision-makers with regard to the end of life care planning/choices. However they differed in their views about family involvement. Some felt it was important to involve families early on to discuss possibilities around end of life care, especially staff from the SPC service. Others felt they actually knew the individuals better than their own families and that it was therefore difficult to put families in a position of making decisions about end of life care.	
		Coordination of care	
		Sites were mixed with regard to the extent of planning that takes place. When planning doesn't take place, this results in reactive decision-making and stress. By contrast, 1 site was described as having a dedicated physician and dementia team and families who were active in dementia care and planning. According to 1 participant, the problem with LD services is the use of contract medical staff so they argued that LD nurses should take the lead in future planning in order to ensure continuity of care.	
		Working with hospitals	
		Participants were frustrated that when people were transferred to acute hospitals, decisions were being made about their end of life care without discussion with LD staff from their usual place of residence. They felt that acute hospital staff were poorly	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		equipped to make these decisions because they lacked experience and didn't know the individual.	
		Understanding dementia and palliative care	
		Across all sites, the views were that staff needed training in dementia. Some from LD services said they had no experience of dementia. The same was true in the SPC unit. They questioned how they were supposed to manage 'wandering' in the context of a hospice and said that perhaps the LD services needed support with palliative care so people could be supported in place at the end of life. Therefore a more collaborative approach would be welcomed, ' I think that is the way to go collaboration would be important where a service can consult with specialist palliative care services on symptom management in the later stages for example' (p147).	
		Pain assessment and management	
		LD staff said they had a lack of knowledge around pain and symptom management. They were open to and welcomed of specific training in this area.	
		Maintaining adequate hydration and nutrition	
		This is complex in people living with advanced dementia.  Participants were frustrated with inadequate end of life planning, shown especially keenly when people are transferred to acute hospitals, e.g. 'they end up with a PEG tube a few days	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		before they die it's a big problem' (p148). Some recalled that when they've looked after people with PEG feeding it's resulted in a distressing, suboptimal death. Others said PEG feeding wasn't a problem and they hadn't had anyone with a PEG tube so the question of whether 'to feed or not to feed' hadn't been an issue. Others said it was very distressing when families told them not to use a PEG so they were left with no guidelines other than a note in the person's chart saying 'stop all fluids and food'. Participants in SPC emphasised the complexity of this kind of decision-making and felt this wasn't necessarily recognised in LD services.	
		Resuscitation  Across all sites it was felt that people with LD and dementia should die in their own home/usual place of residence. However staff in group homes didn't feel equipped to deal with the dying experience. So dying in the community is possible as long as it's properly resourced.	
		Cultural differences  Participants were drawn from 4 different nationalities. Cultural differences were identified across sites. Some commented on difficulties experienced when decisions about a person and their care were not being made in their wider family context. Others stated that they had to learn and adapt to the person's culture and be open to other ways of doing things.	

### 5. McLaughlin D, Barr O, McIlfatrick S et al. (2014b) Developing a best practice model for partnership practice between specialist palliative care and intellectual disability services: A mixed methods study. Palliative Medicine 28: 1213–21

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
			assessment
To elicit the views of	Service users and their	Combined data (views of people with ID and their family	of internal
people with learning	families, partners and	carers)	validity: +
disabilities and family	carers. People with ID		
carers, concerning	and Family carers.	'In focus groups, people with ID were shown a drawing of a	Overall
palliative and end of life		lady called Susan who had learning disability, sitting with her	Overall
care.		dog, and were told that this lady, was very ill and was going to die, and were asked what people could do to help her. A	assessment of external
	Sample characteristics	number of focused open questions were used around how	validity: +
	Age	Susan might be feeling and how she could be best supported	vanalty
	People with ID: age	and made comfortable' (p532).	
	range 40–59 years.	" ,	
	Sex	A. Information and preparation – roles of professionals	
Methodology:	People with ID: females		
	(n=12); males (n=5).	1. At diagnosis – people with ID said it's important that	
Qualitative study	Family carers: females	professionals explained about the illness, treatment and	
Focus groups, semi- structured interviews.	(n=4); males (n=1).	prognosis in a way that they could understand, using 'signs' or 'hearing aids' if needed. 'She'd want to know what her illness	
structured interviews.	(11–4), males (11–1).	really is Try to explain it someway to her' (p533).	
	Ethnicity, religion,	Today to Try to explain it someway to her (poss).	
Country: UK, Belfast	disability, long term	2. Meeting information needs – Should people with ID be told	
NI.	• • •	that they had not long to live? 'Yes they (professionals) should	
	health condition, sexual	tell her how long she's going to live'; 'I think it's up to a	
	orientation,		

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Source of funding: HSC Research and Development Doctoral Fellowship Scheme in Northern Ireland.	1	member of the family to tell them if she can't understand the doctor' (p533). Family carers would find it helpful to receive information rather than this having to be self-sought. 'I went to every course, or information day but if I wasn't that type of person, I don't feel I would have anybody coming to me' (p533).  3. Being prepared for the death – people with ID may be concerned about who would take care of practical arrangements around the death: 'She'd be wondering who's her next of kin to arrange her funeral She might have worries about that' (p533).  4. Family carers angry in not being told that her son was dying, as she could not prepare his siblings – 'The nurse thought that I knew he was dying, but if I had of knew I wouldn't have left the hospital Because I could have got my other kids prepared' (p533).  B. Provision of care  1. Place of care – from people with ID – 'She might want to stay in her own home' 'In hospitalshe'd get better carenurses and doctors'; 'Maybe in a nursing home where they can	raungs
		be looking after her' (p534). Person should be able to have access to physical, social, emotional and spiritual support from 'social workers', 'the minister', 'a psychiatrist' the 'community nurse' and 'physiotherapist' were highlighted. Other practical help included: 'Bring some help in to do cooking, washing,	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		dishes, cleaning her house'; 'It's a pity a nurse wouldn't come in at night and say a prayer for her' (p534).  A hospice, as a preference for place of care, was not identified by people with learning disabilities in this study. Family carers felt that professionals needed more awareness of the right of people with ID to access equitable palliative care: 'A young adult who has a disability they can't say I am dying what is going to happen will it be sore, – they don't have those thought processes, but they are due exactly as much as the adult who does know the journey they are about to take' (not clear here how old/young the person with ID was) (p534).  2. Supporting family members – Family members and carers need bereavement support such as 'a counsellor' who understood about people with learning. 'If someone in your family diesyou would be entitled to go for grief counselling' (p534).	
		C. Family-centred care	
		1. Being with family – doctors, nurses and other professionals needed to know the importance of having family around familiar to and with the person, at the end of their life. 'Time with her own family' 'Her brother grandmother daughters also inside her in own heart.'	
		2. Professionals to acknowledge and work in partnership with family carers who had prior knowledge of the person – 'We just read him by facial expression and the expression in	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		his eyes he would have moaned – that was the only way we could tell that he was in pain' (p534).	
		3. Having friends and familiarity around – Important relations such as the 'dog' 'She might just want the ones that she's used with, but she might not want strangers' 'And her dog company-pet' (p534).	
		4. Maintaining familiarity – This was seen as being about the personhood of someone with a learning disability which professionals should be mindful of. It helped family members to cope, adapt and be resilient in a long-term caring role. 'If he's happy with somebody sitting reading him a story or holding his hand I think that they (professionals) need to see that even though it's a child going into adulthood with a very severe physical and learning disability they have to see them as a whole person and the parents are part of that' (p535).	
		In summary, people with learning disabilities wanted to be able to access support and information around the illness, treatment and prognosis.	

6. Morton-Nance S, Schafer T (2012) End of life care for people with a learning disability. Nursing Standard 27: 40–7

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim: To explore the experiences of district	comparison, outcomes) Participants: Professionals/practitioners healthcare professionals	Narrative findings  Five major themes emerged from the data analysis.	Overall assessment of internal
nurses caring for people with a learning disability at the end of their lives.	(disability nurses and district nurses).	A. Attitudes affecting quality of care	validity: +
Methodology: Qualitative study Interviews with open- ended questions.	Sample characteristics: Not reported.  Sample size: Total n=6 (3 community learning disability nurses	1. A lack of basic understanding of each other's roles, where minimal sharing of important information was evident: 'I don't think I've ever rung anyone from learning disability services about a patient with a learning disability and the mental ones tend to keep to themselves and we all stick with that divide for it is very much a divide, isn't it?' (district nurse 3) (p43).	Overall assessment of external validity: +
Country: Essex, UK.	and 3 district nurses).  Outcomes measured Service outcomes, views and experiences.  Follow-up	2. A general protective and paternal attitudes and approach to a person who is dying, a perception that the person with a learning disability was vulnerable and in need of protection, resulting in a deliberate withholding of important information (with good intention) to prevent causing distress to the dying person: 'They say that everybody has the right to know their diagnosis, but within learning disability they tend to, well, the	
Source of funding: Not reported.	Not reported.	carers all know the diagnosis, but the person with a learning disability usually doesn't if they don't know, then it's not going to hurt them' (district nurse 3) (p43).  3. ' that how can you get that concept of death across or should you or should you no actually that may be cruel' (learning disability nurse 3) (p43).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		B. Good experiences	
		1. Sharing positive experiences when palliative care involved person-centeredness, good planning, preparation, outreaching and sharing of information with other healthcare professionals: 'A good experience is when the family are prepared. The carers were good and accepting of what was happening and the patient seemed to be prepared for it' (district nurse 2) (p43).	
		2. Insights on what was meant by a 'good death' and the importance of patient choice affecting dignity in death: ' giving them choices empowering them somehow to have the right to say what it is they want to say and contribute to decisions about treatment' (learning disability nurse 1) (p43).	
		3. Ensuring the dying person was comfortable: 'I think that's one of the most important jobs that I can do as a nurse is to support people and make them comfortable, at the end stage of their life' (district nurse 2).	
		4. Taking the initiative in their caring role, by acting proactively rather than reacting to significant events: 'We got him to the GP and told him we've done this this and this in clinic and during this assessment it is now very evident that he [person with a learning disability] is losing his skills it is clear now that he has dementia' (learning disability nurse 1).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		5. Building therapeutic relationships with patients is important, and working proactively: 'We try to anticipate and fulfil all their [patients'] needs. Certainly the team I work in would all go the extra mile if we know what the person wants helping to facilitate the planning for the death as well and the funeral and the aftercare' (district nurse 1) (p43).	
		C. Poor experiences	
		Reflecting on negative experiences concerning end of life, such inequalities and limited choice in accessing care for people with ID.	
		2. Insights into experiences of poor, undignified deaths, as being an apathetic attitude toward people with a learning disability: 'When things happen, where there isn't somebody familiar around in my experience the person with a learning disability just ends up in hospital which then creates all sorts of other problems' (learning disability nurse 3) (p44).	
		3. No documentation in place of specific choices and decisions: created conflict and affected collaborative working: ' and the paperwork that is available is often not in place because people don't know that it is available, it's very hard the place of preference for where you [people with a learning disability] want to be if something should happen to you, it's often not completed' (learning disability nurse 1).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		4. Witnessing ineffective symptom control had a significant effect, physical and mental pain experienced by people with a learning disability often not addressed or controlled satisfactorily: 'You could actually see the torture in her [person with a learning disability] face she couldn't talk to us though that was difficult' (district nurse 2) (p44).	
		5. Reactive rather than proactive interactions to significant health events: ' it happened so quickly and we saw the amount of blood in the loo he died about three weeks later that was a shock for everyone' (learning disability nurse 3).	
		6. Behavioural changes in people with a learning disability had not been taken seriously: ' sometimes their [person with a learning disability] behaviours are not behaviours, it's the pain that's making them behave like that because there is something really, really wrong' (learning disability nurse 1) (p44).	
		D. Communication a major barrier to understanding patients' needs.	
		1. Difficulties in communication between healthcare professionals and a failure to share important information appropriately, making it difficult to meet patients' basic needs: 'Communication between the different people and professionals involved that is generally very fragmented' (learning disability nurse 1) (p44).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		2. Patients' inability to communicate their needs exacerbated the problems: ' he [person with a learning disability] ended up being doubly incontinent on the ward, but that was only because he didn't know where the toilet was and he hadn't got the ability to ask to go to the toilet' (district nurse 1) (p44).	
		3. Inexperience and lack of understanding, skills and training affected quality of care at the end of life.	
		4. Breaking bad news and communicating with openness to a person with a learning disability was difficult: 'How do people view death and what is death? And how do you explain that to a person with a learning disability?' (learning disability nurse 1). 'I wouldn't know where to begin. It is very hard to say to somebody you may not survive this I mean how would you couch it?' (district nurse 1) (p45).	
		E. Future needs	
		1. Participants identified the need to raise awareness and provide training in palliative care at all levels, also training needs surrounding understanding government policy. Care and ongoing support should be extended to carers: 'They [carers] don't get that emotional support to deal with the fact that they are dealing with caring for a person who is dying, somebody that they may have cared for years, and they know that they are going to lose that person' (district nurse 3).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		2. The important need for collaborative working and sharing of expertise across disciplines: ' better joint working practices actually linking in with the appropriate people and being able to access these services' (learning disability nurse 1) (p45).	
		Overall, community nurses reported the positive experience when palliative care involved person-centeredness, good planning, preparation, outreaching and sharing of information with other healthcare professionals. They found that the main barriers to improving quality of care to end of life care included the difficulty for people with ID in accessing palliative care; poor and undignified deaths because of the apathetic attitude toward people with a learning disability; reactive rather than proactive approach to end of life care; difficulties in communication between healthcare professionals and a failure to share important information, inexperience and lack of understanding, skills and training, making it difficult to meet patients' basic needs. Community nurses identified the need to raise awareness and provide training in palliative care at all levels and emphasized the importance of effective collaborative working and sharing of expertise across	

# 7. Ryan K, McEvoy J, Guerin S et al. (2010) An exploration of the experience, confidence and attitudes of staff to the provision of palliative care to people with intellectual disabilities. Palliative Medicine 24(6): 556–72

Research	PICO (population,	Findings	Validity
aims	intervention,		ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants:	Survey results	
To describe the	Professionals/practitioners.	Total 389 questionnaires were distributed, 261 returned (RR	Overall
experience, confidence	Palliative care staff and	of 67%).	assessment
and attitudes of staff to	learning disability services		of internal
the provision of	staff.	Level of importance placed on the provision of end of-life	validity: +
palliative care to people		care by learning disability staff.	
with intellectual		On a 100mm Visual Analogue Scale respondents were asked	
disabilities.	Sample characteristics:	to define how important they felt it was for their organisation to	Overall
		provide good end-of-life care for service users. 'Not at all	assessment
	Age, gender, ethnicity,	important' being 0mm and 'very important' being 10mm. They	of external
	religion, disability, health	rated its importance extremely highly by giving it a mean	validity: +
	condition – Not reported.	score of 95.99 (n=201, sd=8.04). There were no sig	
	Socioeconomic position –	differences in opinion between all 4 major staff groups.	
Methodology:	Data describing the		
	occupation and workplace	Level of staff experience in the care of service users with	
Mixed methods. Focus	of survey respondents	learning disabilities towards the end of life.	
groups and a survey.	reported.		
		Total 59.3% (n=57) of PC staff had provided care to a person	
		with learning disabilities towards the end of life. In terms of	
Country: Republic of	Sample size:	frequency, 63% had not cared for any individual with learning	
Ireland.	F	disability in the last year and 19.6% had cared for only one	
	For survey	individual. Palliative care staff therefore had a low level of	
	Intellectual dischilit. (ID)	experience in caring for people with learning disabilities.	
Source of funding:	Intellectual disability (ID)	The number of LD staff who had cared for a service user	
200.00 0	staff = 312.	towards the end of life was 67.3% (n=136). So the overall	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Government. The work was supported by a Research Fellowship from the Health Research Board, Ireland (Grant number HSR 2005/09).	Palliative care (PC) staff = 77. Focus groups  Total 91 participants.	experience of LD staff in providing care was greater than that of PC staff.  In terms of frequency, in the last year, 59.5% of LD staff had cared or at least one person with intellectual disability at the end of life. LD staff thought they would have to care for more people at the end of life in future.  Of staff who said they had never cared for a person with LD at the end of life, 73.8% stated that they felt that it was likely that they would do so in the future.  Assessment of confidence levels of staff in managing pain, symptom control and communication issues involving people with learning disabilities towards the end of life  Respondents used a 100mm Visual Analogue Scale to rate their confidence levels in a variety of situations, 0mm being 'Not at all confident' and 100mm representing 'very confident'. Palliative care staff were highly confident of their ability to provide palliative care to the general population (mean confidence level, 86.85) BUT only moderately confident of their abilities when caring for people with intellectual disabilities (mean confidence level 63.47 with the difference being significant).  Confidence levels for other areas  Confidence of PC staff in ability to manage pain/symptom control issues for general population 81.86 (n=44, sd=18.24).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		Confidence of PC staff in ability to manage pain/symptom control issues for people with ID 54.38 (n=45, sd=28.43). Confidence of PC staff in ability to manage communication issues for general population 84.32 (n=53, sd=13.64). Confidence of PC staff in ability to manage communication issues for people with ID 51.40 (n=52, sd=26.75). Confidence levels of learning disability staff: confidence of ID staff in ability to manage issues of pain/symptom control for people with ID but who do not have life-limiting illness 68.92 (n=165, sd=31.88) —Confidence of ID staff in ability to manage issues of pain/symptom control for people with ID with life-limiting illness 60.77 (n=165, sd=35.69). Confidence of ID staff in ability to manage issues of communication control for people with ID but who do not have life-limiting illness 76.47 (n=170, sd=25.96). Confidence of ID staff in ability to manage issues of communication control for people with ID with life-limiting illness 58.72 (n=183, sd=32.63) (Both staff groups equally lack confidence in the areas of pain/symptom control and communication in the end of life care setting.)	
		Focus group findings	
		Supported the survey findings.	
		Palliative care staff were willing to provide care to people with learning disabilities but restricted in doing so due to their lack of knowledge. They said it was 'different' and more 'difficult' to provide EOLC to people with learning disabilities and they	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		doubted their own ability to meet people's needs ' You do the best you can within the situation, and you hope that it's appropriate to the situation' (focus group 1; R3: 783–784) (p570).	
		Similarly although LD staff had a breadth of experience of supporting people with LD their training to date hadn't prepared them for caring for people with learning disabilities at the end of life. 'I remember, you know, when I was training it was – I can even see the section in the book – it was like, maybe two pages Care of the Dying Patient' two pages and 'Preparing the Body. I'm like, "Oh my God! Is this what I've to do?" But that was it. There was no such thing I don't remember the buzz-word of "palliative care" at the time' (focus group 11; R1: 336–40) (p570).	
		Palliative care staff said they felt dependent on LD staff or carers and would follow their lead in the management of the person's end of life care. All staff recognised problems could be overcome if they worked in partnership but there was no evidence that collaboration happened and instead they persisted with their own in house solutions.	
		Finally, experience seems to have a positive impact on confidence but staffs were providing end of life care for people with learning disabilities so infrequently that they were unable to retain the skills they'd learned or translate them into organisation wide knowledge.	

## 8. Todd S (2013) 'Being there': the experiences of staff in dealing with matters of dying and death in services for people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 26: 215–30

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
To develop an	Professionals/practitioners.		assessment
understanding of how		A. The sense of 'being there' was important	of internal
staff interpreted and	Total 22 staff in 5 different	Staff saw themselves as escorts across the transitions from	validity: +
responded to the death	providers and who had	living to dying, from being alive to being dead and from being	
of a person with	experienced, in total, 27	physically present to being absent and from being seen to	
intellectual disability and	deaths of people with	being remembered. ' We stayed at the hospital, with the	Overall
the values that shaped	intellectual disability. The	family. They decided to turn her ventilator off, she wasn't	assessment
their accounts of these.	age of the people with ID for whom the 22 staff	responding. The family asked us to be there. I have to confess	of external
	cared at end of care was	that I felt that I didn't want to. But we all said our Goodbyes! It	validity: +
	not reported.	was a very precious moment. Her dad said 'Don't go, I want you here. Emma wants you here.' It was the longest 10	
Methodology:	not reported.	minutes of my life. The monitors went silent and we wept. It	
Qualitative study.		was over' (p219).	
In depth qualitative		was ονεί (β215).	
interviews.	Sample characteristics:	B. Types of death: deaths which prevented or obstructed	
interviews.	Not reported.	staff from 'being there' were seen as failures of their own	
	. tot reported.	or other systems of care, or as 'bad deaths'	
Country: UK,			
Wales.	Sample size: Total 22	1. Expected death of a resident could be sudden come with	
	staff who worked with 5	startling shock and with long-lasting impact. The role of staff	
	intellectual disability	as death escorts is to communicate deaths to others, as being	
		a witness to and a messenger of death. Many unexpected	
	service providers in	deaths came with concerns that death may have been the	
		outcome of negligence or a lack of proper surveillance. Such	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
Source of funding:  • Not reported	England and Wales.  Outcomes measured Service outcomes.  Follow-up Not reported.	deaths associated with a sense of blame and responsibility and with insecurity that others might blame staff: ' I wanted his parents to know that we just didn't give up. It was the middle of the afternoon. We had guidelines on what to do and who to contact. But I had to go to the parents' house to tell them directly. That was hard but I couldn't do it on the telephone She was pacing and becoming more aggressive. 'How could you let this happen?' What have you done to my son?' As if it was our fault It was awful!' 'she came back here on the Monday she was taken into hospital. By Friday she was dead. Between the Monday and the Friday most staff had been to see her in hospital. On the Thursday a relative made accusations That we kept her outside in the pouring rain?' (p219).  2. When deaths came after a lengthy period of illness, staff stressed the importance of 'being with' the individual through the dying journey. When death came unexpectedly so that the person died alone, staff felt that they had failed to 'be there'. 'He died alone, I was angry. We were all prepared, we'd put up a fight for him to die here at home and he ended up dying alone.'  3. Death seemed to provoke a reflective review of how it might have been prevented: I was with her every step of the way	
		She knew she was going to die I'm convinced of it She was told that she had cancer The cancer had spread to her bones but she was never told that. I blame myself really. I	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		should have made sure that she was regularly checked know. I question myself so much.' (p220).	
		4. 'Being there' in some cases, took its toll on staff. Feeling unsupported in the face of unrelenting conditions of dying forced some staff, and with some guilt, to withdraw from 'being there'. ' We are employed as support workers, so where's the support? Maybe in a hospital you'd have support round you. Here I felt we were on our own' (p221).	
		C. Places of death and dying were seen as key factors indicative of both quality of their care and their commitment to individuals, though there could be little or no control over the place of death	
		For expected death, hospital deaths were seen as being inappropriate, as failed deaths as the person 'failed' to die at home in the company of familiars: 'A hospital death would have been a bad death. She'd lived in a hospital before. So it was right for her to die at home!' ' He hated hospitals. He would've preferred to have come home I'm sure. I wished he had, it just wasn't right. We were willing for him to come home' (p221).	
		1. Staff perceived that hospital staff 'didn't know anything about intellectual disability or how to communicate with him', or about the value of the person with the intellectual disability, ' I don't blame them they've never had any contact with people with learning disabilities. To begin with they never	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		consulted or involved us in any decisions about his care. But we didn't give up but in the end I think they had a lot of admiration for us They'd explain what was happening and how we could help. They learned to rely on us' (p222).	
		2. Tension over decision as to whether someone could die at home (i.e. residential homes), which depended upon agreement between staff, service managers and relatives. Dying at home was viewed as appropriate and provided the perfect place for accompanied and peaceful dying. Some staff who had experienced caring for a resident who was dying at home, this led to them reviewing the appropriateness of the service as a place for dying: 'His death wasn't easy. He was in a lot of pain. There was a lot of mess. It was a horrendous death. There was a lot of bloodit upset the other tenants' (p223).	
		D. Awareness of dying	
		1. Staff felt that person with intellectual disability may not have an awareness of dying which was seen by staff as involving emotional suffering, and staff prevented this by managing a dying individual's awareness of it. 'Being there' involved masking dying from the person with intellectual disability, for reasons of care and emotional protection: 'We never told Stephen He's suffered all his life so why make it worse	
		now. I think it was the right thing to do. But it was hard to keep it going for him'; 'We kind of said "Don't worry, everything will be ok!" I don't think she'd have coped. She was	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		someone we loved and cared for. So what was the point in upsetting her?' (p223).	
		E. Being there after death, staff felt appropriate to be involved, in managing the person's transition from living to being dead and from 'being' to 'being remembered', such as funeral planning	
		Boundaries between staff and family became problematic: 'Some families are happy for us to take the lead; others want little or no involvement from us. It's a very difficult and complicated thing.'	
		F. Staff found it insensitive and were critical of management's role in filling too quickly the 'void' (not an emotional or social void but an economic one) left by the person who died	
		'An empty bed that needs to be paid for. If there's no-one in it, then it's a void. I guess it means things (getting a new resident in) are speeded up a little, for the money's sake It's a bit indecent. It would have been nice though if we had had more time to get used to him not being there.'	
		G. The privileged but unrecognized role of being there	
		Staff felt caring for dying people a privileged status which did have some emotional impact upon staff, but which also demonstrated and revealed their personal values. These were	

Research aims PICO (popula intervention, comparison, c		Validity ratings
	not well recognised within their employing organisations. Sell tunder-supported in their achievement: 'You're too invowith people to be distant. I'm human I felt as if we had resupport. How could you not get involved? I'm a human bei' (p225). 'I think that you are expected to draw a line aro it. To be professional. To have no emotions or feelings. The are clients not our friends, not our family but caring isn' factory work You're supposed not to have feelings or maybe you're just not supposed to show it' (p225). In summary, staff at residential homes (where people with ID stayed most of the time) gave personal accounts of their experiences of staff in dealing with issues of death and dy They accepted that death of their clients as an important pof their work and death should not means the end of their relationship and commitment to the deceased individuals. They felt that the residential homes were an appropriate pof death for people with ID under their care. 'Being there' through the transition from living to dying and to being remembered was perceived by the staff to be important, a reflection of their personal and human values. 'Good death were deaths that allowed staff to express 'being there', despite the emotional pain and the impact of death of a resident on the staff, though this concept might create tensions with the dying individual's immediate family. The emotional dimensions of care work for the dying of people with ID was felt by the staff as not often recognised. These were demands that the staff reported they're willing to ado but ill-prepared and under-supported in their achievements.	lived no ing und ney t

9. Tuffrey-Wijne I, Giatras N, Butler G et al. (2013) Developing guidelines for disclosure or non-disclosure of bad news around life-limiting illness and death to people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 26: 231–42

Research	PICO (population,	Findings	Validity
aims	intervention,		ratings
	comparison, outcomes)		
Study aim:	Participants:	Narrative findings	Overall
To examine	Service users and their		assessment
stakeholders'	families, partners and	A. Views on non-disclosure to people with ID who had a	of internal
preferences and	carers.	life-limiting illness with a short prognosis	validity: ++
reasons around		4.5.4.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
disclosure and non-	People with intellectual	People with ID reported a mixed response.	
disclosure of bad news	disabilities and family	O Family against fall along the think it was better to make at the sin	0
about life-limiting illness and death to	carers.	2. Family carers felt strongly that it was better to protect their	Overall
people with intellectual	Professionals/practitioners.	son or daughter from the truth. 'If [my son] was to ask us what's going to happen to me, I think I'd lie. I'd lie for his own	assessment of external
disabilities, and to	Health and intellectual	good. But I wouldn't say "you're going to get better", I'd say	validity: +
develop guidelines	disabilities professionals.	"we'll see how you are and maybe in a week's time you'll be	validity.
about these issues.	disabilities professionals.	feeling better". I can't see the point in telling the end situation.	
assat areas resass.		Participant 87 (father of 26-year-old son with mild/moderate	
Methodology:	Sample characteristics:	ID). 'I think your motherly instinct overtakes you and think,	
	Age	"Why give them more misery?" overwhelming instinct as a	
Qualitative study.	People with ID: ranged	mother has got to be to protect your children' (participant 18,	
-	from 24 to 49 years old.	mother of 29-year-old son with severe ID) (p234).	
Focus groups,	Sex		
interviews (telephone,		3. Intellectual disabilities professionals were overwhelmingly in	
face-to-face, one-to-	Not reported.	favour of disclosing bad news to someone with intellectual	
one, online).	Ethnicity	disabilities. They felt that the person had a right to know and	
	Not reported	were not sure whether they even had the right to withhold	
		information about the person's health from the individual	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention,	Findings	Validity ratings
Country: UK: London and Cornwall, and across England.  Source of funding: A grant from The BUPA Foundation.	Religion/belief Not reported. Disability Not reported. Long-term health condition People with ID: with life- limiting conditions. Sexual orientation: Not reported. Socioeconomic position: Not reported.  Sample size: Total n=109 in total (21 people with mild/moderate ID, 28 family carers, 26 specialist intellectual disabilities professionals from health or social care services, 34 general health professionals including nurses, physicians and allied	concerned. 'I don't feel confident as a manager that I'd have the power not to tell somebody' (participant 14, manager of a respite service for people with ID).  4. Medical healthcare professionals (doctors and nurses working in hospitals, hospices/palliative care services) felt that the person with intellectual disabilities should be told about their own ill health and poor prognosis, but only if full disclosure was right for the particular individual. 'We always tell them in a simple way, simple words that they can understand easily. Because you cannot lie to them. At the end of the day it's them, you know, they are at the centre of their care' (participant 50, nurse, hospital ward manager). 'I think each case must be looked at on an individual basis with the needs of the patient and their level of understanding and comprehension kept central to the decision making process' (participant 74, hospital consultant in palliative medicine) (p235).  B. Reasons for non-disclosure/ disclosure of bad news around life-limiting illness and death to people with intellectual disabilities  1. Preventing distress: 'There's part of me that thinks that people with learning disabilities have so much to contend with they have so much suffering, and so one of the good bits about being learning disabled is the ignorance of death. I do think ignorance is bliss if you can get away with it' (participant 19, mother of a 26-year-old daughter with severe/profound ID). 'I got an email to say the staff team had decided not to tell her	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
	health professionals specialised in cancer or palliative care).	[that she was terminally ill] and not to discuss it with her because it would upset her' (participant 10, community ID nurse).	
	Outcomes measured: Satisfaction with services, views and preferences	2. Too difficult for the bearer of bad news 'We label that as "oh, the person is not ready for it or they couldn't cope" but actually it is us that can't cope with it sometimes' (participant 61, consultant psychiatrist, ID services) (p237).	
	Follow-up: Not reported.	3. The potential bearer of bad news lacks knowledge or were unable to accept the news Some respondents found it difficult to disclose and talk about bad news because they lacked full information. 'Somebody became acutely ill and was asking me, "Am I going to die?" And I wasn't able to answer that question, because I didn't fully understand the prognosis' (participant 16, community ID nurse) (p237). 'His parents are busy trying to convince themselves he is going to be completely cured. They fell to pieces when told He must feel so ill, he asked again this week if he is going to die, as he feels as if he is, and mum and dad smiled and replied "it's just the treatments"! I think he knows!' (participant 9, community ID nurse) (p237).	
		4. Unable to understand: 'He had very complex needs and a very severe learning disability and there was no way that we could have explained it in any way' (participant 59, manager of residential care home, ID services). 'He won't understand what cancer is anyway. I'm not going to say to him, "you're going to die" (participant 90, father of 24 year old son with moderate ID) (p238).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		5. Lack of a sense of time which made explanations of future events difficult to put into perspective. 'To tell someone they are going to die can have no meaning unless it is going to happen today, tomorrow or within the week. Some people with a learning disability live in the moment and cannot think about next week' (participant 10, community ID nurse). 'My son's understanding is very concrete. He takes things literally So if you told him he was going to die, he would ask: "When? What day? What time?" You can't tell him something is going to happen, and then not tell him when' (participant 83, mother of a 24 year old son with mild/moderate ID and autism) (p237).  6. Conflicting views and disagreement among stakeholder groups about whether the person with intellectual disabilities should be told the truth. Doctors were clear about their duties around disclosure with regards to the Mental Capacity Act. 'The person comes first. The family are not my patient' (participant 61, consultant psychiatrist, ID services) (p238).  C. Reasons for disclosure to people with ID who had a lifelimiting illness with a short prognosis  1. Right to know: 'As long as they've been supported by somebody, then I think that they have the right to know as an adult, because if it was any of us we feel like we would' (participant 66, ID speech and language therapist).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		2. Understanding helps people cope: 'It depends on what treatment is offered, because obviously if you don't tell the patient what the diagnosis is, and then you send them for treatment, you are going to have a dilemma they have to know what's happening and why it's happening' (participant 43, hospital ward nurse) (p238).	
		3. Involvement and planning: 'Someone might want to have a chance to do something or to say, is there any people you want to speak to you, is there any people you want to go and visit' (participant 14, manager of a respite service for people with ID) (p238).	
		D. Could disclosure be harmful?	
		The truth could be overwhelming: 'A service manager told her that we was "very poorly" Her response was to shut her door, refuse to get dressed, and she did not leave the house for eight months I just think she was told too much too soon, and it has blocked our ability to support her, because she now won't let us in' (participant 11, community ID nurse) (p238). 'To people with no concept of time this would be dumping untold anxiety on them' (participant 78, mother of 26 year old son with moderate ID). Too anxious to cope with distressing information: 'I think his anxiety may overwhelm his understanding. Some things are really counterproductive for him to know' (participants 81 and 82, parents of 24-year-old son with severe ID) (pp238–9).	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Validity ratings
		In summary, the reasons for non-disclosure/disclosure of bad news around life-limiting illness and death to people with intellectual disabilities	
		1. Non-disclosure: 'he'll get upset'; 'I will get upset'; 'He can't understand'; 'He has no concept of time'; 'Others don't want him told'.	
		2. Disclosure: 'He has a right to know' (rights); 'Understanding will help him cope' (Coping); 'He needs to be able to plan' (involvement). (Figure 1, p234).	
		3. Potential reasons why disclosure of bad news could be harmful, resulting in confusion and distress: a. Inability to balance the information due to poor concept of time and inability to see the bigger picture b. Inability to understand and retain the information Disclosure of bad news to people with ID with life-limiting condition and poor prognosis would depend on the person's capacity to understand abstract concepts and an	
		assessment of 'What parts of the truth should the person be helped to understand, and when?' This involved the way how information is given, taking into consideration the issues of the person's right to information.	

### Review question 8 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences of people using services, their families and carers

1. McLaughlin D, Barr O, McIlfatrick S et al. (2014a) Service user perspectives on palliative care education for health and social care professionals supporting people with learning disabilities. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care 5: 531–7

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research	Overall assessment
To develop a best practice	described?	question match the review	of internal validity:
model to guide and promote	Clear.	question?	++
partnership practice between	For people with ID:	Yes.	
specialists palliative care and intellectual disability services,	Interviews and focus groups	Views of people with learning	
by gathering quantitative and	(each lasting 30 mins) took	disabilities, and their family carers	
qualitative data from	place within the advocacy	concerning palliative care.	
practitioners from these	network offices. The		
services.	discussion was recorded		Overall assessment of
	with participants' permission.	Has the study dealt appropriately	external validity: +
Methodology:	Field notes were made. For	with any ethical concerns?	
Mixed methods.	family carers: given an	Yes.	
Phase 1 – survey using self-	information pack about the	Ethical approval was obtained from	
completed questionnaires with	study, signed consent form.	the Central Office of Research	
8 quantitative questions and 4	Interviews took place in their	Ethics Committee Northern Ireland	
open qualitative questions;	home, lasted 40–60 mins,	and ORECNI (09/NIR/03/38).	
Phase 2 – Phase 2: semi- structured interviews.	were conducted in a	Subjects give written consent by	
Structured interviews.	sensitive manner and	signing a consent form. Each focus	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate.	recorded with their permission. Field notes were made. All were provided with written details on counselling, support services	group took place in a private room within the advocacy network offices. Discussion was recorded with participants' permission.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.	and a named person to contact after the interview if needed (p533).	Were service users involved in the study? Yes, as participants of the study.	
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology?  Defensible.	Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Appropriate. A purposive sample of adults with learning disabilities recruited via an advocacy	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. To elicit the views of people with learning disabilities, and their family	
How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. Using a pictorial approach (illustrations and drawings). Open questions were asked	network, and family carers recruited from health and social care trusts and hospice care across Northern Ireland (p532).	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline?  Partly.	
verbally, using straightforward language and	Were the methods reliable?	Age of people with learning disabilities in this study ranged from 19 to 61+ years, some of the	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
also in clear, written format.	Somewhat reliable	qualitative data were from or refers	
		to young people with ID.	
	Are the data 'rich'?		
	Rich.	Is the study setting the same as	
		at least 1 of the settings covered	
		by the guideline?	
	Is the analysis reliable?	Yes.	
	Reliable.	Within the advocacy network	
	Data were transcribed	offices.	
	verbatim and content		
	analysed using a recognised		
	framework. Transcripts were	Does the study relate to at least 1	
	also independently analysed	of the activities covered by the	
	by two other members of the	guideline?	
	team, and agreement on	Yes.	
	themes reached through		
	discussion (p533).		
		Are the views and experiences	
		reported relevant to the	
	Are the findings	guideline?	
	convincing?	Partly.	
	Convincing.	Only the views from older people	
		with ID will qualify as the data	
		included all ages from 19 to 60+	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
	Are the conclusions adequate?  Adequate.	years.	
	Adequate.	Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes. Belfast, NI.	

# Review question 8 – critical appraisal tables – health, social care and other practitioners' views and experiences

1. Bailey M, Doody O, Lyons R (2016) Surveying community-nursing support for persons with an intellectual disability and palliative care needs. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 44: 24–34

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim: To describe the provision of community nursing support for	Basic data adequately described? No.	Does the study's research question match the review question?	Overall assessment of internal validity: -
persons with an intellectual disability and palliative/end-of-life care needs.	Demographic data of participants not fully reported.	Partly.  This study described the provision of community nursing support for persons with an intellectual	Overall assessment of external validity: +
Methodology:		disability and palliative/end of life	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
Survey.	Results presented clearly,	care needs, but not clear if the	
	objectively and in enough	people with ID the participants	
Objectives of the study	detail for readers to make	referred to is old or young (no	
clearly stated? Yes.	personal judgements?	information on the age range).	
To describe the provision of	Partly.		
community nursing support for	Because of incomplete		
persons with an intellectual	demographic data.	Has the study dealt appropriately	
disability and palliative/end of		with any ethical concerns?	
life care needs.		Yes.	
	Results internally	Ethical approval granted by the	
Bassarah dasign alagriy	consistent?	University Research Ethics	
Research design clearly	Partly.	Committee. Consent was implied	
specified and appropriate? Yes.		through return of the completed	
		questionnaire.	
Survey questionnaires	Data suitable for analysis?		
collecting quantitative and	Yes.		
qualitative data (response		Were service users involved in	
from open-ended questions),		the study?	
which would be extracted.	Clear description of data	Yes.	
	collection methods and	As participants of the study.	
Class description of	analysis?		
Clear description of context?	Yes.		
	Quantitative data were	Is there a clear focus on the	
Yes.	analysed using SPSS	guideline topic?	
Community health services.	version 18. Descriptive	Partly.	
	analysis was conducted to	This study described the provision	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
References made to	describe frequencies. (Not	of community nursing support for	
original work if existing	extracted for this study.)	persons with an intellectual	
tool used?	Qualitative data were	disability and palliative/end of life	
Unclear.	analysed using thematic	care needs, but not clear if the	
	analysis framework (taking	people with ID the participants	
	memos after each interview,	referred to is old or young (no	
Reliability and validity of	reading transcripts and	information on the age range).	
new tool reported?	making notes of general		
Yes.	themes, repeated reading		
Reliability and validity of the	and generating open coding	Is the study population the same	
instruments by piloting the	headings to describe all	as at least 1 of the groups	
questionnaire to determine	aspects of the data, reducing	covered by the guideline?	
whether questions were	the codes under higher order	Yes.	
clear and unambiguous. An	headings, returning to the	Practitioners – public health nurses	
expert review panel	data with the higher order	(PHNs), community nurses,	
(statistician, quantitative	codes and collating the	practice nurses, hospice at home	
researcher, 2 palliative care	organised data for reporting.	nurses and palliative care nurses,	
clinical nurse specialists and	Reviewed, compared and	providing end of life care to people	
a senior researcher) verified	discussed independently by	with ID.	
face validity of the instrument	2 researchers to reach		
and assured usability. Based	consensus.	Community nursing support for	
on feedback from the review		persons with an intellectual	
panel, minor revisions were		disability and palliative/end of life	
made to the wording of	Methods appropriate for	care needs, but not clear if the	
_	the data?	people with ID the participants	
	Yes.	referred to is old or young (no	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
individual questions (p26).	[Info] response from open-	information on the age range).	
	ended questions from		
	questionnaire surveys.		
Survey population and		Is the study setting the same as	
sample frame clearly		at least 1 of the settings covered	
described?	Statistics correctly	by the guideline?	
Yes.	performed and	Yes.	
The sample consisted of a	interpreted?	Community health staff.	
total population of public	Partly.		
health nurses (PHNs),	Low response rate (32%)		
community nurses, practice	would limit interpretation of	Does the study relate to at least 1	
nurses, hospice at home	findings	of the activities covered by the	
nurses and palliative care		guideline?	
nurses, currently working in		Yes.	
a HSE region in Ireland.	Response rate calculation	RQ8-EOLC.	
Access to all nurses gained	provided?		
through the relevant	Yes: 94 of 290 nurses		
directors of nursing/public	responded (response rate	(For views questions) Are the	
health nursing (p26).	32%).	views and experiences reported	
<b>.</b> . ,		relevant to the guideline?	
		Yes.	
Representativeness of	Methods for handling		
sample is described?	missing data described?		
Yes.	No.	Does the study have a UK	
Total population of PHNs,		perspective?	
community nurses, practice		Yes.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
nurses, hospice at home nurses and palliative care nurses, currently working in a HSE region in Ireland.	Not reported.  Difference between non-	Limerick, Ireland.	
a visa is given in a signal	respondents and respondents described?		
Subject of study represents full spectrum of population of interest? Yes.	No. Not reported.		
Total population of PHNs, community nurses, practice nurses, hospice at home nurses and palliative care nurses, currently working in a HSE region in Ireland.	Results discussed in relation to existing knowledge on subject and study objectives? Yes.		
Study large enough to achieve it's objectives, sample size estimates performed? Partly. Sample size calculation not	Limitations of the study stated? Partly. Authors' comments: 'This needs to be considered in light of the fact that only 32% of the population surveyed responded and the probability is that those who		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
reported. Low response rate.	did not respond had not		
	experienced the		
	phenomenon under		
All subjects accounted	investigation.'		
for?			
Partly.			
Low response rate (32%).	Results can be		
	generalised?		
	No.		
All appropriate outcomes considered?	Poor response rate.		
Yes.			
_	Appropriate attempts made		
Response rate	to establish 'reliability' and		
Total 32% (n=94).	'validity' of analysis?		
	Unclear.		
Describes what was			
measured, how it was	Conclusions justified?		
measured and the results?	Partly.		
Yes.	T druy.		
Relevant quantitative data			
and all qualitative data from			
response to open-ended			
questions from surveys			
'			

Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings

## 2. Cartlidge D, Read S (2010) Exploring the needs of hospice staff supporting people with an intellectual disability: a UK perspective. International Journal of Palliative Nursing 16: 93–8

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research	Overall assessment of
To identify what skills hospice	described?	question match the review	internal validity: -
staff felt were needed to	Unclear.	question?	
enable them to feel confident	Apart from age and sex, there	Yes.	
in providing specialist	is little information about the	The study examined hospice	
palliative support for people	characteristics of participants.	staff views about the end of	Overall assessment of
with learning disabilities.	Data were only gathered via	life care they are able to	external validity: +
	focus groups (arguably too	provide to people with learning	
	large to generate in depth,	disabilities and reflected on	
Methodology:	meaningful results) and	their need for professional	
Focus groups and an open	through questionnaires. It	development to improve the	
ended questionnaire.	would have been beneficial	quality of this care.	
	and provided opportunities for		
la a gualitativa appraach	triangulation had observations		
Is a qualitative approach	of practice taken place. Context bias is not discussed.	Has the study doalt	
appropriate? Appropriate.	Context bias is not discussed.	Has the study dealt appropriately with any	
Because the research seeks		ethical concerns?	
to understand subjective	Was the sampling carried	Yes.	
experiences about the	out in an appropriate way?	Ethical approval was granted	
provision of end of life care for	Not sure.	by the hospice's clinical	
people with learning	Although it is not clear, it	governance committee (a	
disabilities, which could not	appears that all inpatient unit	subcommittee of the board of	
have been addressed via a	staff was sent questionnaires,	directors).	
quantitative approach.	thereby missing out the	,	
••	hospice at home staff. The		
	focus group involved staff from		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.	both the hospice at home and inpatient unit although we have no idea how they were sampled.	Were service users involved in the study?	
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible.	Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. Methods do investigate what they intended to but data collection would have been improved by adding one-to-one interviews and/or	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.	
The design is appropriate to the research question and a clear and convincing rationale is given for using a qualitative approach. However, there are no clear accounts of the rationale/justification for the	observations of practice. Also, the focus group involved 17 people, which the author admit was too large to handle and prevented participants being able to have their say.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the least one of the settings covered by the guideline?	
sampling, for example why some and not others were involved in the focus group. Methods for data collection and data analysis are clearly described and justified.	Are the data 'rich'? Poor. The context of the data is not particularly well described and there does not appear to be any diversity in the findings.	Partly. There is nothing to suggest that the focus is on older people with learning disabilities. However, it is clearly on adults with learning disabilities and	
How well was the data collection carried out? Somewhat appropriately The data collection methods	Very little detail is provided and there is no contrast or comparisons across the 2	given that care being provided is at the end of life we might assume that at	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
are described in limited detail but they are appropriate to address the research question. There is little information about the collection of data within the focus group, apart from that it was audio recorded. Record keeping appears to have been systematic although there is no information about the software used for storage and analysis.	sites, e.g. the hospice at home and inpatient unit.  Is the analysis reliable? Somewhat reliable. The data were collated, transcribed and independently analysed by the 2 researchers who met to discuss themes and sub themes. There is no description of how differences resolved although it assumed they were discussed between the two researchers. Participants did not feedback on the transcripts/data. Discrepant results have not been reported.  Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing The findings are not terribly clearly presented but they seem internally coherent. There are no extracts from the original data — either from the questionnaires or the focus groups.	least some people being referred to by staff will be 'older'.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. The provision of end of life care.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. Although they seem to be derived as much from other referenced material as from the collated data.		

## 3. Cross H, Cameron M, Marsh S et al. (2012) Practical approaches toward improving end-of-life care for people with intellectual disabilities: effectiveness and sustainability. Journal of Palliative Medicine 15 (3) 322–6

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings.
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research question	Overall
The project was 'aimed at	described?	match the review question?	assessment of
exploring ways of increasing	Unclear.	Partly.	internal
access to palliative care	There is some discussion	The study does deal with end of life care for	validity: -
services by people with ID	about the policy and practice	people with learning disabilities. It does not	_
within one geographical area'	context for the research in	have a specific focus on providing this	
by assessing the effectiveness	terms of general information	service for older people with learning	Overall
and sustainability of three	about the need for end of life	disabilities, although the introduction does	assessment of
different approaches:	provision for people with	acknowledge that there is in England a	external
	learning disabilities to be	growing number of people with learning	validity: +
	considered. No information is	disabilities who are aged over 50, and within	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings.
and sample	performance and analysis	-	
(1) training of ID staff on basic	provided about the contexts in	this group an increasing number with life-	
end of life care	which the face-to-face and	limiting conditions associated with old age.	
	telephone interviews took		
(2) training of palliative care	place which provides the data,		
staff on how best to meet the	and context bias is not	Has the study dealt appropriately with	
needs of people with ID	discussed. The range of posts	any ethical concerns?	
	held by the stakeholders	No.	
(3) a link worker scheme	interviewed is stated, but no	The study does not make any statement	
where professionals from both	further information is given	about ethical clearance or ethical	
professional areas would have	about them, including the	considerations. It does not seem to provide	
a designated point of contact	numbers interviewed. A	any information that could identify the	
for support and information.	number of quotes are	participants or the people they work with.	
	presented, and while some of them are attributed to a		
	particular post, e.g. care home		
Methodology:	manager, others are simply	Were service users involved in the	
Face-to-face and telephone	attributed to 'evaluation form'	study?	
interviews and evaluation	or 'evaluation interview'.	No.	
forms.			
Is a qualitative approach	Was the sampling carried		
appropriate?	out in an appropriate way?	Is there a clear focus on the guide-line	
Appropriate.	Not sure.	topic?	
In line with the project's aim of	No description is given of how	Partly.	
exploring ways of improving	interviewees were selected for	The topic is end of life care and support for	
end of life care for people with	interview. There was an	people with learning disabilities, many but	
learning disabilities,	element of self-selection in	not all of whom will be in the older age	
interviewing practitioners	attending the training which	range.	
seems an appropriate way of	was the subject of the study,		
evaluating whether training	in that it was on offer to all 228		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings.
and sample	performance and analysis		
that aimed to bring this about	residential learning disability	Is the study population the same as at	
was effective in doing so.	homes in the catchment area,	least 1 of the least one of the settings	
	but only 46 (20%) attended,	covered by the guideline?	
	although attendance was said	Partly.	
	to be high. Two of the 4	The study deals with meeting end of life	
Is the study clear in what it	hospices in the catchment	care and support needs of people with	
seeks to do?	area withdrew from the	disabilities, many of whom will be older.	
Clear.	project. It is to be presumed		
	(although not stated) that		
	interviewees were drawn from	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the	
How defensible/rigorous is	those who actually	activities covered by the guideline?	
the research	participated in the training, but	Yes.	
design/methodology?	how many were interviewed	The study relates to care and support at the	
Somewhat defensible.	and how they were selected is	end of life.	
The study researches a	not stated.	Are the views and experiences reported	
project that attempted to fill a		relevant to the guideline?	
shortfall in services by		Yes.	
designing a programme that	Were the methods reliable?	The views and experiences reported	
trailed ways of filling that	Not sure.	concern the effectiveness of staff training to	
shortfall, and then investigated	It is stated only that data was	improve end of life care for people with	
what worked and what didn't	collected via face-to-face and	disabilities, and of a 'key worker' scheme for	
from the methods they used.	telephone interviews with staff	a practitioner to act as an 'information point'	
As they wanted to find out	about the training, and a focus	in their workplace about end of life care for	
people's views about what	group about the link worker	people with disabilities. The views and	
worked, a qualitative approach	scheme. It is also stated that	experiences would be relevant generally to	
was an appropriate method,	there were discussions with	end of life care and support for older people	
although the study does not	the steering group during this	with learning disabilities.	
provide a theoretical	process. However, the study		
justification for its approach.	does not present information	_ ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
The study takes place in a	about how any of these	Does the study have a UK perspective?	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings.
and sample	performance and analysis	_	
single, large geographic area,	interviews were conducted,	Yes.	
South West London, and is	nor about the role of the	South West London.	
described as 'drawing on well-	steering group in the process.		
established links and networks			
across South West London,	Are the data 'rich'?		
involving four hospices and	Rich.		
228 care homes' (p323). No	The study does deal with the		
theoretical justification is given	differing perspectives of		
for using this particular area,	palliative care staff and		
which sounds like a	learning disability staff, as well		
convenience sample.	as the viewpoint of		
	participants from both		
How well was the data	backgrounds in the link worker		
collection carried out?	scheme. Quotes to illustrate		
Not sure/inadequately	these responses are provided.		
reported.	The study deals openly with		
The project was evaluated	successes and failures of the		
using face-to-face and	project.		
telephone interviews with a			
variety of stakeholders. No	Is the analysis reliable?		
information is provided about	Not sure/not reported.		
the format of these interviews,	No information at all is		
e.g. how structured they were,	provided about how data from		
whether there was a topic	interviews was analysed. The		
guide, whether there was	evaluation of the project which		
thematic analysis of the data.	forms the basis for this report		
The 'link worker' scheme was	is said to have been carried		
considered in a focus group	out by 'two independent		
that included 'over half' of the	evaluators' who were		
10 practitioners who were	'commissioned in the second		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings.
and sample	performance and analysis		
recruited to that role, but no	year of the project' (p324) but		
information is provided about	no information is provided		
how the focus group was	about them, including whether		
conducted.	or not they have contributed		
	any authorship of the report.		
	Are the findings		
	convincing?		
	Convincing.		
	Despite the limitations in terms		
	of information about the		
	methodologies used for		
	interviews and analysis, the		
	findings do present as		
	convincing, in that they are		
	internally coherent, address		
	the issue the project aimed to		
	cover, and are open about the		
	parts of the project that did not		
	work so well. Reporting is		
	clearly written, and includes		
	appropriate illustrative quotes		
	from the data.		
	Are the conclusions		
	adequate?		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings.
and sample	performance and analysis		
	Somewhat adequate.		
	The key findings of the project		
	(pasted elsewhere) are clear		
	and coherent, and are clearly		
	linked with the data presented		
	in the study. However, the		
	discussion about the findings		
	then describes two areas of		
	tension which are not strongly		
	linked with the data presented		
	in the study. These tensions		
	are: 1. Specialist vs. generic		
	work, which is said to be a		
	tension affecting both staff		
	groups. The researchers state		
	that this is a tension that		
	needs to be fully		
	acknowledged before changes		
	in practice can take place, but		
	provide no data about how this		
	tension manifests itself. 2.		
	Minority access vs.		
	mainstream services, in that		
	'within the project there was a		
	view of people with ID as a		
	minority wanting access to		
	services, producing the		
	tension of special support		
	versus being in the		
	mainstream' (p325). There		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings.
	was some reference to this earlier in the report, in that some palliative care providers were unwilling to attend the training because of low numbers of referrals of people with learning disabilities.		

#### 4. McCarron M, McCallion P, Fahey-McCarthy E et al. (2010) Staff perceptions of essential prerequisites underpinning endof-life care for persons with intellectual disability and advanced dementia. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities 7: 143–52

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research question	Overall
To understand carer concerns	described?	match the review question?	assessment of
in supporting persons with	Unclear.	Yes.	internal validity:
intellectual disabilities and	The characteristics of the		+
advanced dementia.	participants and settings are	Has the study dealt appropriately with	
	not clearly defined – we only	any ethical concerns?	
Methodology:	know how many participants	Yes.	
	were drawn from the learning	Ethical approval was also received from the	Overall
Qualitative study.	disability services and how	research ethics committees of all the	assessment of
Focus groups.	many from the specialist	participating service providers. Written	external
	palliative care service. Data	consent was obtained from all of the	validity: ++
	were only gathered via the	participants.	
Is a qualitative approach	focus groups with no individual		
appropriate?	interviews or observations.		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
Appropriate. The research question seeks to understand subjective experiences so a qualitative approach is appropriate.  Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear. Although the 'aim' of the study is only 1 sentence long, it is clear in its intention and the	performance and analysis There is no discussion of context bias.  Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Not sure. Although this was a convenience sample, the reason for this is not described and it is difficult to understand whether this is appropriate.	Were service users involved in the study?  No. Neither as co-researchers nor participants.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. EOLC for people with LD and dementia.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the least one of the settings covered by the guideline? Partly. It is clear that participants work with people with learning disabilities and advanced	Validity ratings
clear in its intention and the need for the study is supported by existing literature.	Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable The methods investigate what they claim to but only one means of data collection was		
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible. The design is appropriate to the research question, with a rationale given for using a qualitative approach: 'A	used, which means there was no opportunity for triangulation. The study might have benefitted from observation work.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. End of life care for people with learning disabilities.	

			Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis	-	
descriptive qualitative design	Are the data 'rich'?		
particularly suited to	Mixed.	Are the views and experiences reported	
understanding the lived	A good diversity of perspective	relevant to the guideline?	
experience of the staff	and content has been		
participants, the so-called	explored with detail and depth	Partly.	
emic (i.e., insider's) view of	well demonstrated.	The only reservation being that there is no	
the participants (Sandelowski	Responses are compared and	explicit mention of the staff supporting	
2000), was adopted' (p144). A	contrasted across participants	older people with learning disabilities and	
'convenience volunteer	in the different settings. The	dementia (although this may be inferred).	
sample' (p144) was used but	key weakness is that it is not		
there is no explanation or	always clear whether the data		
justification for this.	relate to a learning disability or		
	specialist palliative care		
How well was the data	setting or whether end of life	Does the study have a UK perspective?	
collection carried out?	care is being discussed or	No.	
Somewhat appropriately	simply care/support for people	Republic of Ireland.	
The data collection methods	with a learning disability and		
are clearly described and	dementia.		
appropriate data seem to have			
been collected to address the	la Aba anabasia naliabla		
research question - although	Is the analysis reliable?		
we are not provided with a	Somewhat reliable.		
topic guide and do not know	Although not explicitly stated it		
what questions it included, we	does appear that more than		
are simply told that it 'addressed the broad aims of	one researcher reviewed the		
	transcripts, 'From reading, listening, and reflecting on the		
the study' (p144). Data collection appears to have	first three transcripts, the		
been systematic but there is	research team recognized'		
no evidence that the	(p144) However there is no		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
'extensive field notes and	description of how differences		
reflective journal' were	in interpretation were		
systematic.	resolved. Participants did not		
	feedback on the transcripts but we are assured that, 'The		
	collaborative nature of the		
	focus group interviews		
	enabled the researcher and		
	the participants to come to a		
	collective understanding of		
	their experiences' (p144)		
	Are the findings		
	convincing?		
	Convincing.		
	The findings are clearly		
	presented in themes and sub		
	themes and they appear to be		
	internally coherent. Extracts		
	from the original data are		
	included but the main		
	problem is that it is not		
	always clear whether		
	respondents are speaking		
	from the perspective of		
	having supported people with		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis dementia and a learning		
	_		
	disability at the end of life.		
	Are the conclusions adequate?		
	Adequate.		
	The findings are certainly		
	relevant to the aims of the		
	study and there are clear		
	links between data,		
	interpretation and		
	conclusions. The study and		
	findings do enhance		
	understanding of the research		
	_		
	topic although there are clear		
	limitations in terms of		
	transferability, about which		
	the authors are clear.		

<sup>5.</sup> McLaughlin D, Barr O, McIlfatrick S et al. (2014b) Developing a best practice model for partnership practice between specialist palliative care and intellectual disability services: A mixed methods study. Palliative Medicine 28: 1213–21

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
Study aim:	Quant component	Does the study's research	Overall assessment of
To elicit the views of people	Phase 1 – survey using self-	question match the review	internal validity: +
with learning disabilities and	completed questionnaires with	question?	
family carers concerning	8 quantitative questions and 4	Yes.	
palliative and end of life care.	open qualitative questions.	To develop a best practice model to guide and promote	Overall assessment of external validity: +
	Is the sampling strategy	partnership practice between	
Methodology:	relevant to address the	specialists palliative care and	
Qualitative study.	quantitative research	intellectual disability services	
Focus groups, semi-structured	question (quantitative	by gathering quantitative and	
interviews.	aspect of the mixed-	qualitative data from	
	methods question)?	practitioners from these	
Qualitative component	Partly.	services.	
Phase 2: semi-structured	A key informant was identified		
interviews	for each of the 66 services	Has the study dealt	
	(purposive sampling).	appropriately with any	
Are the sources of		ethical concerns?	
qualitative data (archives,	Is the sample	Yes.	
documents, informants,	representative of the	Ethical approval obtained from	
observations) relevant to	population under study?	the University Ethics	
address the research	Yes	Committee and Office for	
question?	'Participants were	Research Ethics Committees	
Yes.	representative of disciplines	in Northern Ireland. Consent	
To explore services'	across the multi-disciplinary	forms signed by participants.	
experience and perceptions of	team within hospital, hospice		
partnership practice, relating	and community settings'	Were service users involved	
to providing end of life care for	(p1216).	in the study?	
people with intellectual disability.		Yes.	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
	Are measurements	Users as participants in the	
Is the process for analysing	appropriate (clear origin, or	study.	
qualitative data relevant to	validity known, or standard		
address the research	instrument)?	Is there a clear focus on the	
question?	Yes.	guideline topic?	
Yes.	Self-completed	Yes.	
Qualitative data obtained from open questions in Phase 1	questionnaires.	EOLC for people with ID.	
and interviews in Phase 2	Is there an acceptable	Is the study population the	
were individually transcribed	response rate (60% or	same as at least 1 of the	
and content analysed using a	above)?	groups covered by the	
recognised framework. Total	Yes.	guideline?	
22 transcripts were also	In all, 47 services of the 66	Partly.	
independently analysed by 2	services approached (71.2%)	Services and practitioners	
other members of the team	responded, including	working in intellectual disability	
and agreement on themes	intellectual disability services	and palliative care services in	
reached through discussion.	(n=10: 56% response),	primary and secondary care,	
	specialist palliative care	who had provided end of life	
Is appropriate consideration	services (n=22: 79%	care to adults with intellectual	
given to how findings relate	response) and nursing homes	disabilities. Not sure the age	
to the context, such as the	designated for people with	group of the people with ID	
setting, in which the data	intellectual disability (n=15:	(i.e. old or young people).	
were collected?	75% response)(p1215).		
Yes.		Is the study setting the	
Interviews took place in	Mixed methods component	same as at least 1 of the	
participants' clinical settings,		settings covered by the	
within a private dedicated	Is the mixed-methods	guideline?	
area, and lasted between 40	research design relevant to	Yes.	
and 60 min and audio-	address the qualitative and	Community and service	
	quantitative research	settings.	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample recorded with participants' permission. Field notes made.  Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; for example, though their interactions with participants? Unclear.	performance and analysis questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed-methods question? Yes.  Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results) relevant to address the research question? Yes.  Is appropriate consideration given to the limitations associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)? Unclear.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. EOLC for people with ID.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.  Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes. Ulster, NI and Dublin.	

6. Morton-Nance S, Schafer T (2012) End of life care for people with a learning disability. Nursing Standard 27: 40–7

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity —	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
Study aim:	Is the context clearly	Does the study's research	Overall assessment of
To explore the experiences of	described?	question match the review	internal validity: +
district nurses caring for	Clear.	question?	
people with a learning	Healthcare settings.	Partly.	
disability at the end of their lives.		This study explored the	Overall assessment of
lives.		views and experiences	external validity: +
Methodology:	Was the sampling carried	practitioners caring for	
	out in an appropriate way?	people with ID, but not clear	
Qualitative study interviews	Appropriate.	if the people with ID the	
with open-ended questions.	Purposive sampling.	participants referred to in this	
		study is old or young (no	
Is a qualitative approach		information on the age	
appropriate?	Were the methods	range).	
Appropriate.	reliable?	14.190).	
	Reliable.	Has the study dealt	
Is the study clear in what it		appropriately with any	
seeks to do?		ethical concerns?	
Clear. To explore the	Are the data 'rich'?	Yes.	
experiences of learning	Mixed.	Formal ethical approval	
disability and district nurses	Small sample, data based on	obtained; and participants	
caring for people with a	6 nurse participants.	completed a consent form to	
learning disability at the end		confirm their voluntary	
of their lives.		agreement to participate and	
	Is the analysis reliable?	for their interviews to be	
	Reliable.	recorded.	
How defensible/rigorous is	Recorded interviews	recorded.	
the research	transcribed and transcripts		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity — performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings	
design/methodology?  Defensible.  Semi-structured qualitative study.	were sent to participants for verification. The data analysed using thematic analysis.	Were service users involved in the study? Yes. As participants of the study.		
How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. Interviews with open-ended questions.	Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing. Based on views of 6 nurses (small sample), but no information was given as to how old these people with ID were to whom the nurses provided EOLC. No demographics of the nurses were presented.	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Partly. This study explored the views and experiences practitioners caring for people with ID, but not clear if the people with ID the	the guideline topic? Partly. This study explored the views and experiences practitioners caring for people with ID, but not clear	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. Not clear if the practitioners were referring to old or young people with ID in this study as no information given on the age range of	study is old or young (no information on the age range).  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline?		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity —	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
	the people with ID.	Partly.	
		This study explored the	
		views and experiences	
		practitioners caring for	
		people with ID, but not clear	
		if the people with ID the	
		participants referred to in this	
		study is old or young (no	
		information on the age	
		range).	
		range).	
		Is the study setting the	
		same as at least 1 of the	
		settings covered by the	
		guideline?	
		Yes.	
		Healthcare settings.	
		Door the attribute to at	
		Does the study relate to at	
		least 1 of the activities	
		covered by the guideline?	
		Yes.	
		EOLC for people with ID.	
		Ann the relevant and	
		Are the views and	
		experiences reported	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity — performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
		relevant to the guideline?	
		Yes.	
		Does the study have a UK	
		perspective?	
		Yes.	
		Essex, UK.	

### 7. Ryan K, McEvoy J, Guerin S et al. (2010) An exploration of the experience, confidence and attitudes of staff to the provision of palliative care to people with intellectual disabilities. Palliative Medicine 24(6): 556–72

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim: To describe the experience, confidence and attitudes of staff to the provision of palliative care to people with intellectual disabilities.	Quant comp description  Survey Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the quantitative research	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes. The views of staff about their confidence in providing and of life.	Overall assessment of internal validity: +  Overall assessment of
Methodology: Mixed methods. Focus groups and a survey.	question (quantitative aspect of the mixed-methods question)?	confidence in providing end of life care to people with learning disabilities.	external validity: +
Qualitative comp 1: Focus groups.	Unclear. The source of the sample is relevant to the population	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Partly. The authors state that 'local research	
Are the sources of qualitative data (archives,	under study but there is no formal sampling frame – the	ethics approval' was obtained but no	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
documents, informants,	approach is described as	further details are given about the	
observations) relevant to	convenience sampling with	nature of the authority or board.	
<ul><li>address the research question?</li><li>Partly.</li><li>The sampling is purposive, which is appropriate to the</li></ul>	managers distributing the questionnaire so it is entirely possible they performed a gate keeping role.	Were service users involved in the study? No.	
research question and lends		Is there a clear focus on the	
itself to the collection of		guideline topic?	
relevant and rich data.	Is the sample	Yes.	
However it is unclear how many people were invited to	representative of the	EOLC for people with learning disabilities	
participate and declined and	population under study?	disabilities	
their reasons for doing so.	Partly.	Is the study population the same	
and read the second second	The authors state that the	as at least 1 of the groups covered	
	sample is drawn from	by the guideline?	
Is the process for	learning disability and	Partly.	
analysing qualitative data	palliative care organisations	The EOLC for people with learning	
relevant to address the	which are representative of	disabilities but not mention that this	
research question?	the spectrum of services	is specifically older people.	
Yes.	provided in Ireland, although	Is the study setting the same as at	
The method of data	there are no data to support	least 1 of the settings covered by	
collection is clear as is the	this claim. They also provide	the guideline?	
form of the data (recorded	a breakdown of the	Yes.	
and transcribed). The	occupations and workplaces	Learning disability and palliative care	
qualitative data analysis	of the survey respondents	services.	
(framework analysis as	and although we have no way		
developed by the National	of telling whether they are a		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis	_	
Centre for Social Research) addresses the question and appears to be systematic.  Is appropriate	representative sample, there is a there seems to be a large cross section of nursing, social care and education staff.	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. End of life care.  (For views questions) Are the	
consideration given to how findings relate to the context, such as the setting, in which the data were collected? Partly. The sample – for both the survey and focus group participants – was drawn from the population of 1 Health Service Executive area in Ireland. The area is served by 3 learning disability and 1 specialist palliative care organisation. According to the authors, the organisations are representative of the spectrum of services provided in Ireland. The	Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)? Partly. The authors do not provide the survey questionnaire for reference but they do explain that a panel of experts (n=7) assessed content validity and pilot tested the instrument to ensure usability. There are no details about any changes made to the questionnaire after piloting.	views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly. They are relevant to people with learning disabilities but not specifically older people with learning disabilities.  Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Republic of Ireland.	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis	-	
authors describe the administration of the organisations and the range of people supported but no comparative data are provided which illustrate the extent of similarities with	Is there an acceptable response rate (60% or above)? Yes. Of the 389 questionnaires which were distributed, 261 were returned (67%).		
other health service executive areas or organisations in Ireland. We therefore do not have a clear view about the extent to which context might affect the data.	Is the mixed-methods research design relevant to address the qualitative and quantitative research questions (or objectives), or the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the mixed-methods question?		
Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence; for example, through their interactions with participants? No. The authors do not critically explain how findings relate to their perspective, role and interactions with participants.	Partly. The rationale for integrating qualitative and quantitative methods is not described by the authors but the data produced do complement each other well with the focus group findings adding detail/explanations to some of		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
Any influence on focus group findings could have been mitigated by having more than one researcher interpreting data, identifying themes and discussing disagreements but there is no sign that this was incorporated in the methodology.	Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results) relevant to address the research question? Unclear. Although the 2 sets of data complement each other there is no evidence that they were formally brought together at any point in the analysis.		
	Is appropriate consideration given to the limitations associated with this integration, such as the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results)?  No. There is no consideration of		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
	the limitations of the mixed		
	methods approach – just		
	about the limitations of the		
	component parts (e.g.		
	convenience sampling for the		
	survey).		

### 8. Todd S. (2013) 'Being there': the experiences of staff in dealing with matters of dying and death in services for people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 26: 215–30

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Study aim: To develop an understanding of how staff interpreted and responded to the death of a person with intellectual disability and the values that shaped their accounts of these.	Is the context clearly described? Clear. Residential care.  Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way?	Does the study's research question match the review question? Partly. This study described the experiences of staff in dealing with matters of dying	Overall assessment of internal validity: +  Overall assessment of external validity: +
Methodology: Qualitative study. In-depth qualitative interviews.	Appropriate. Opportunistic sampling: the staff who took part in the research was identified by service managers as potential participants.	and death in services for people with ID. However, not clear if the people with ID the participants referred to in this study is old or young.	

Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
Were the methods	Has the study dealt	
reliable?		
Somewhat reliable.		
There was a considerable		
degree of external control in	_ ·	
the selection of participants.	•	
Are the data 'rich'?	about their anonymity and the	
Rich.	confidentiality of their views'	
	(p216).	
Is the analysis reliable?		
	Ware service users	
-		
,		
, ,		
	7.3 participants of the study.	
different themes.		
	Is there a clear focus on	
•	,	
•	•	
• •	•	
, , ,		
ID in this study as no	• •	
	performance and analysis Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. There was a considerable degree of external control in the selection of participants.  Are the data 'rich'? Rich.	were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. There was a considerable degree of external control in the selection of participants.  Are the data 'rich'? Rich.  Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. A qualitative thematic analysis of interview data, which were extracted, coded, collated and categorised into different themes.  Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing [Info] Not clear if the practitioners were referring to old or young people with

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis	-	
interviews.	information given on the age	participants referred to in this	
	range of the people with ID	study is old or young.	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. Not clear if the practitioners were referring to old or young people with ID in this study as no information given on the age range of the people with ID.	Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Partly. Not clear if the people with ID the participants referred to in this study is old or young.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes. Residential service setting.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.  Are the views and experiences reported	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
		relevant to the guideline?	
		Yes.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective?	
		Yes.	
		Wales, UK.	

# 9. Tuffrey-Wijne I, Giatras N, Butler G et al. (2013) Developing guidelines for disclosure or non-disclosure of bad news around life-limiting illness and death to people with intellectual disabilities. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities 26: 231–42

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample  Study aim: To examine stakeholders' preferences and reasons around disclosure and non-disclosure of bad news about life-limiting illness and death to people with intellectual disabilities, and to develop guidelines about these issues.  Methodology:	Is the context clearly described? Clear.  Was the sampling carried out in an appropriate way? Appropriate. 'Participants were selected to represent a wide a range of views and experiences from as many relevant stakeholder groups as	Does the study's research question match the review question? Yes. To assess preferences and reasons around disclosure and non-disclosure of bad news of life limiting illness and death to people with intellectual disabilities.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++  Overall assessment of external validity: +

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
Qualitative study. Focus groups, interviews (telephone, face-to-face, one-to-one, online).	possible. They included stakeholder groups identified in the literature' (p232). 'Participants volunteered to take part following a call	Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns? Yes. Ethical approval obtained	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate.	through internet forums and email groups, and through members of the Research Advisory Board contacting their own networks' (p232).	from the national NHS Research Ethics Committee. All participants given the contact details of the principal researcher, her	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.	Were the methods reliable? Reliable.	qualifications, experiences and training. Staff members present to give support (such as having a break if needed) when people with ID	
How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible.	Are the data 'rich'? Rich.	interviewed. Team debriefing sessions. Ethical aspects also scrutinised and supported by a research advisory board.	
How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately: 10 focus group meetings, 3 online	Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Data tape-recorded and transcribed verbatim; field notes written immediately	Were service users involved in the study? Yes. As participants. Some notes	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
focus groups, semi-	after telephone interview.	were sent to the interviewees	
structured interviews (face-	Data were triangulated	for verification, correction	
to-face or by telephone for	between different	and additional comments.	
family carers and health	stakeholder groups and with		
professionals). 1. Focus	the literature. All data were		
groups with people with ID	analysed using content	Is there a clear focus on	
met 4 times, facilitated by the	analysis (grounded theory).	the guideline topic?	
principal researcher and 2	Interview schedules adapted	Yes.	
co-researchers who had	to reflect emerging themes.	Issues relating to EOLC for	
intellectual disabilities	Initial findings were	people with ID.	
themselves with a fourth	discussed among		
researcher taking notes,	researchers and presented		
using a wide range of data	to the research advisory	Is the study population the	
collection methods, including	board for feedback.	same as at least 1 of the	
storytelling, role play and, at	Qualitative data	groups covered by the	
the last session, nominal	management and analysis	guideline?	
group technique to rank a	supported by NVivo	Partly.	
range of statements that had	software.	Practitioners and carers. Not	
emerged during the earlier		clear if the people with ID	
group sessions. 2. All other		referred to by the	
face-to-face focus groups	Are the findings	practitioners were old or	
met once, facilitated by the	convincing?	young (source of qualitative	
principal researcher,	Convincing.	data from quotation notes	
supported by other members		suggested people with ID	
of the research team. 3.		referred to in this study	
Online focus groups lasted			

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Validity ratings
		ranged from 24 to 49 years).  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.  EOLC for people with ID.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes.  Does the study have a UK	Validity ratings
		perspective? Yes.	
		London and Cornwall, also	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Validity ratings
and sample	performance and analysis		
		across England.	

#### Review question 9. Care and support in health settings

- a) What are the views and experiences of older people with learning disabilities and their carers about care and support in health settings?
- b) What are the views and experiences of health, social care and other practitioners about the care and support of older people with learning disabilities in health settings?

Review question 9 – findings tables – the views and experiences answering Qa and Qb

1. Bland R, Hutchinson N, Oakes P (2003) Double jeopardy: needs and services for older people who have learning disabilities. Journal of Learning Disabilities 7: 323–44

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The aims of the current research were	Participants: Professionals/practiti oners – the	Care staff who completed the surveys were asked to give 'satisfaction ratings' about the quality of care provided by health professionals. The rating related to 4 areas: Advice	Overall assessment of internal validity:

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
hence: 'to identify the learning disability population over the age of 50 known to a community health trust in the Hull and East Riding area of Yorkshire, England' – 'to identify what types of health problems this population has, the sources and types of support received, and ratings of care staff satisfaction with regard to quality of and access to supports' (p328).  Methodology: Survey. A Questionnaire survey.	professional carers of older people with learning difficulties were surveyed.  Sample size: Total 141 people.  Follow-up: No follow-up.  Costs? No.	giving, treatment, monitoring and aids and adaptations. Advice –  The main sources of advice relating to health complaints was community nurses and general practitioners. Both access and quality were rated highly in relation to these 2 types of professional (either good or excellent). Practice nurses and social workers were also rated highly for access and quality. Access to clinical psychology was rated much less highly (33.3% poor) and access to psychiatrist support was deemed fair (50%) to good (50%) with 50% stating the quality of the advice was poor and 50% that it was good.  Treatment – Access to treatments was generally rated as 'good' or 'excellent' (85.9%) with 11.3% rated as poor. Quality of treatment showed that 7.5% of services were rated 'poor' and 62.4% rated good and 26.0% excellent. Most treatment was provided by GPs, psychiatry and district nursing services. Both 'access' and 'quality of treatment was rated highly by care staff. We are not given details of the services in the 'other' category, where services were generally scored well, both in terms of access and quality. 25% of psychiatry support was rated 'poor' in terms of access and quality.	Overall assessment of external validity: +
Country: UK.		Monitoring – 80.2% of care staff rated access to monitoring highly (good or excellent) and 12.9% poor.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Source of funding: Not reported.		86.2% of care staff rated the quality of monitoring highly (good or excellent) and 4.8% as poor. As with other categories, most monitoring was provided by general actioners and community nursing services. There was disaffection with access to clinical psychology (50% rated 'poor') but when the service was received, satisfaction levels were higher (50% good or excellent). The study points out that there is a lack of this kind of service in this area.	
		Aids and adaptions – Overall, care staff rated access to support for aids and adaptions as good (57.1%) or excellent (23%) with 7% as poor. Overall quality was mainly good (52.5%) or excellent (39.2%) and 3, 5% was rated poor. Most assistance with aids and adaptions was provided by services that fell into the 'other' category and general practitioners. For both groups' access to and the quality of the services were mainly good or excellent.	

### 2. Lalor A, Redmond R (2009) Breast screening for post-menopausal women. Learning Disability Practice 12: 28–33

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'The	Participants: Service	The study found that 2/3 of the 90 participants had	Overall assessment
aim of this study	users and their	successfully completed a mammography. This figure is	of internal validity: +

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
was to identify	families, partners and	lower than the national average; 14 of the same had not	
practices in relation	carers. Information	received an invitation to a mammography, because they	Overall assessment
to breast	was gathered by	were not included on the database.	of external validity: +
surveillance for	proxy from primary		
post-menopausal	care staff.	Of those who attended, more than 3/4 completed the	
women with learning		mammography. Those that completed are all classed as	
disabilities, and to	Sample size: Total	having a 'mild' disability. Those with a 'moderate'	
identify challenges	90 individuals were	disability attended the appointment and two thirds	
that affect their	interviewed.	completed the procedure. This with greater levels of	
attendance at		disability had more issues with attendance and	
mammography	Outcomes	competition.	
screening services.	measured:		
The practices	Satisfaction with	Most of those who did not finish their mammography, did	
related to clinical	services. The study	not because of 'a lack of cooperation' (p31). Other	
breast examinations	describes how many	reasons were: 'fear of the equipment, agitation,	
were also explored'	women received	discomfort, dislike of physical touch, challenging	
(pp29–30).	breast screening	behaviour, distress and a fear of the staff' (p31).	
	notifications, how		
Methodology:	many attended	Communication difficulties were also a reason for non-	
Survey.	appointments and the	completion. All those who did not complete the procedure	
	reasons why some	had some level of communication difficulties. A quarter of	
Country: Republic	did not complete the	the participants received clinical breast examinations.	
of Ireland.	screening procedure.	And of those who did not have a mammography, a	
		quarter had clinical examinations.	
Source of funding:	Follow-up: No	Less than a fifth of participants had both types of test and	
Not reported.	follow-up.	of those who did not attend mammography appointments,	
		only a few were offered clinical examinations. Some	
	Costs? No.	relatives declined the mammography on behalf of their	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		relatives, and others refused further attempt to complete the procedure if it had been unsuccessful once.	

### 3. Truesdale-Kennedy M, Taggart L, McIlfatrick S (2011) Breast cancer knowledge among women with intellectual disabilities and their experiences of receiving breast mammography. Journal of Advanced Nursing 67: 1294–304

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The aim of the study was to describe understanding of breast cancer and experiences of	Participants: Service users and their families, partners and carers.  Sample size: Total	Women's understanding about breast cancer Knowledge – The women had heard of cancer and the most common types but they were unable to describe what it is. They did agree that breast cancer is a 'lump' in the breast.	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++  Overall assessment of external validity:
breast mammography among women with an intellectual disability.	19.	Signs and symptoms – There was limited knowledge about signs and symptoms, with only a small number able to name 'lump', 'spots' or a 'red area'. Risk and protective factors – The women cited lifestyle factors as the main causes of cancer (drinking, smoking, diet, lack of exercise). Much probing was needed for the women to cite	+
Methodology: Qualitative study. Focus groups.		the same factors as being protective (e.g. an improvement in lifestyle).	
Country: UK.		Sources of awareness – Most women had heard about breast cancer either though TV programmes or receiving	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Northern Ireland.  Source of funding: Voluntary/charity. Breast Cancer Campaign, London.		invitations for breast mammography. They were only able to name 2 organisations that give support and info about breast cancer (Cancer Research and Marie Curie). It was generally said that 'the level of knowledge about breast awareness, breast cancer and prevention among the women was mainly limited'. A small number of women knew about cancer through having a family member with the disease. Only a few had ever attended a health promotion or education class where they'd been shown how to examine their breasts.  Women's experiences of breast mammography Lack of understanding – A lack of understanding about the breast screening process was linked with stress and anxiety. Less stress and anxiety were experienced when the women had the whole process of the examination explained to them before hand by a family carer or nursing staff. 'If they explained it more to you for women with learning difficulties it wouldn't be so bad' (p1298).  Fear, pain and discomfort – Fear was attributed to the unfamiliar surroundings, especially the mammography equipment. 'Oh if you see the machine its very big oooh! It's a big brut of a thing oh my God' (FG4) (p1299). Most of the women who'd experienced a mammography described it as painful, uncomfortable or sore.	
		Positive experience – Despite fears beforehand and	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		pain/discomfort during the mammogram, afterwards the women said it wasn't as bad as they thought it'd be. 'I didn't mind at all. The quicker you got it done the better and that was it. The sore goes away again' (FG3) (p1299).	
		Support from nurses and carers – Some of the women reported how friendly and chirpy the staff were at the breast screening unit. This helped alleviate fear. It was clear that the process was easier if a carer, staff member or someone the woman knew was present during screening.	
		Perceived barriers to attendance In fact the 2 main barriers were probably 1 fear and 2 embarrassment— and having to remove their clothing (although some were fine with this and thought it perfectly natural).	
		Perceived solutions to barriers Although breast mammography clearly had the potential to cause distress, overall the women recognised the importance of screening. The women thought the key solutions to encourage others to access breast screening were informational and emotional support.	
		Example responses about information: 'A wee story or pages to give people like us would give people that weren't able or worried or scared to go' (FG2) (1299) and	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		about support 'Talk to them, try to explain to the ones who can understand that it's for their own health reasons and it's not as scary or if some of their carers or whoever explained what it was going to be' (FG3) (p1299).	
		The women also suggested 'user friendly', accessible (big writing, easy to understand) leaflets be made available to explain breast awareness and cancer prevention. Author's observation: 'Adequate information and support and reassurance from others including breast screening staff were seen to reduce the adverse effects of breast mammography.'	

## 4. Webber R, Bowers B, Bigby C (2010) Hospital experiences of older people with intellectual disability: responses of group home staff and family members. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability 35: 155–64

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'This	Participants:	General views findings, thematically grouped into themes	Overall assessment
paper uses a subset	Service users and	relating to the experience of hospitalisation.	of internal validity:
of data from a	their families,		++
larger, longitudinal	partners and carers –	Twelve of the 17 residents at the centre of the study went	
study that was	family members.	into hospital once or more during the 2.5 period of the	Overall assessment
designed to explore	Professionals/practiti	study. And all but one had been hospitalised in the last 5-	of external validity:
the pathways into	oners – group home	year period prior to the study. Carers' perceptions of	++

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
residential aged care (nursing homes) for people	supervisors.  Sample size:	hospital experiences.  Staff attitudes: carers said that they felt that staff were	
ageing with an intellectual disability who are living in group homes. This paper focuses on perspectives of	Interviews with 55 people: 17 family members, 16 house supervisors, 11 accommodation programme	'generally uncomfortable with or indifferent to the needs of people with ID' (p157) 'they don't wash them. They don't even brush their hair or clean their teeth. They don't put their glasses on them and they, it's just like it's too hard, go away' (aged care staff) (p157).	
group home staff and family members concerning hospital experiences of group home residents, including the strategies they used to support residents while in	managers, 11 staff. These people were clustered around 17 residents with intellectual difficulties.  Outcomes measured: Service user-related	Carers felt that staff did not acknowledge the needs of older people with learning difficulties and were not sensitive to the needs. In some cases it was felt that additional treatment was not necessary based on the person's disability: 'We had a guy here who recently broke his foot I was told he was deemed unsuitable for rehabilitation and I mean I cringed that was so far below anything that was a reasonable expectation' (disability staff) (p157).	
hospital' (p156).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Qualitative interviews.	outcomes – The study explores perceptions of hospitalisations for older people with learning difficulties.	Knowledge about learning difficulties. There was a perceived issue with hospital staff having inadequate experience or training in learning difficulties. 'I don't think they have an understanding of anything in the disability field, I don't think they're trained or given any information' (disability staff) (p157).	
Country: Australia.  Source of funding:	Follow-up: Participants were interviewed 2–4 times	Some of the older people needed help with self-care, carers commented that the hospital staff were not	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Government. Australian Research Council.	over a 2.5-year period.  Costs? No.	responsive to these needs.  Family and professional carers had concerns about eating and toileting. There were comments that food was left uneaten and this went unchallenged by staff. 'When we visited him in hospital on his table was a bottle, a sandwich, unopened because he probably couldn't do it with the one hand' (family) (p158).  Another concern was those who were continent but were not taken to the toilet, instead being given incontinence pads, or people were not taken to the toilet promptly following an accident: ' and they hadn't even changed him, he'd had an accident and even when he came back from hospital, his pyjamas were all dirty' (family) (p158).  Interviewees also criticised hospital staffs lack of sensitivity to people with learning difficulties need for predictable routine and also regular pain management. Both of which could lead to disruptive behaviour. Hospital Staff Communication.  A key concern among carers was a lack of, or inappropriate communication from hospital staff. Either talking to patients about ideas that they could not understand, or failing to describe treatment or diagnosis to them when they did have capacity to understand. 'She was really upset when I went in this particular afternoon; I	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		said "What's the matter Betty?" And she said, "The doctor he talks over of the top of me and I don't understand and he wouldn't answer my questions," so they'd had obviously a conversation about her ovarian cancer and modalities of treatment over the top of her. (Aged care staff)' (p.158)	
		Another communication issue was that staff did not take the time to interact with patients. This led to misunderstanding, challenging behaviour and patients not getting the opportunity to ask for what they needed. 'Many residents with ID were unable to tell hospital staff when they needed something, whether it was for relief from pain, a trip to the toilet, or simply to unwrap a sandwich' (p158).	
		Carers said that staff misinterpreted the needs of older people who could not speak. And did not listen to the advice of the carers who knew them well. As such, hospital staff were not aware of treatment preferences and fears and phobias, this led to difficulties with treatment and challenging behaviour from the patient.	
		Challenging behaviour was felt to be the result of patients not understanding what was happening and having to undergo unfamiliar procedures. ' she doesn't like being there, because people hurt her there and she doesn't understand why they're doing it, and you can't explain it to her, she doesn't have any concept of it. She's only about	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		two or three, intellectually' (family) (p159).  Challenging behaviour was thought to have knock-on effects such as early discharge, because it was felt group home environments were better to care for these patients with learning difficulties, even if staff did not have medical knowledge or the capacity to implement discharge plans. 'There were several reports of group home staff being pressured to take the resident home despite their inability to provide appropriate and 24-hour care. Refusal to accept a patient who needed ongoing care sometimes resulted in a referral to aged care. Group home staff often viewed this as a threat' (p159).	
		Positive experiences – There were times when experiences were positive. Staff allowed extra time to accommodate the needs of older people with learning difficulties. Staff adapted to the non-verbal need of patients. Clear discharge policies allowed for additional support in the group home. 'She [dental specialist] said if it is uncomfortable and it hurts put your hand up she was so patient' (family). (p159). 'We were well catered for [eye specialist] all the way through, everybody was very, very helpful from the anaesthetist right through so we got on very well' (family) (p160). Positive experience all occurred in hospitals that had clear policy and guidance around caring for this group.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		Carer strategies – The interviews with carers revealed that they had developed strategies to minimise the stress of hospitalisation. These strategies were: Being present – Spending time on the ward was felt to minimise stress, provide support and ensure appropriate treatment. 'Well my husband and I watched her for the week, and there was somebody with her all the time to get it done properly without any complications and things and it did work' (family) (p160).	
		Some carers struggle to be present as much as they were like, but it was thought by some that they could assist in making medical procedures go more smoothly. 'The nurse came and she tried to take blood from him and because he wriggled his hand, she said come here quick and help me. So I went around to help and I held him so she could do it' (family) (p160). Carers were able to keep older people engaged while in hospital, explain procedures and work with staff to make the hospital less frightening. They could also help contain challenging behaviour. Information packages.	
		Carers developed written materials about the individual to help hospital staff understand communication, preferences and medications. 'We tell them how the person communicates, we tell them any ongoing health needs, we tell them you know their likes or dislikes. How they like to be toileted, how they eat, if they eat, we've had a man with	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		a peg feed go into hospital and the nurse tried to stuff a banana down his throat' (disability staff) (p160).	
		Carers did say that they did not think that hospital staff read the information, and so they adopted measures like sticking key information to the wall and hanging up booklets to try and get information read. 'We actually even did up a book that we hung on her bed which said, "This is what I like to do, this is what I like, if this happens, this is what you can do to help me out" (disability staff) (p160). Carers commented that a lack of willingness among hospital staff to read the information contributed to distress of residents and misunderstandings.	
		Partnering with hospital staff – Some carers worked to develop partnering relationships with hospital staff in order to communicate likes and dislikes and potential issues when carrying out medical procedures and helping to complete tasks. 'The [eye doctor] was relying on me to get him to put his head up here, get him to do this, get him to do that, and like she had to put drops in his eyes first She is saying, "Well you open his eyes and I will put the drops in." He is going "No, no, no I want" He calls me Chook. "Chook puts the drops in," he says. I said, "Okay Chook will put the drops in" (disability staff)' (p161). Both group home staff and family carers were called upon by hospital staff to help with the patient and this could be a mutually beneficial relationship: 'The intention and ability	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		of staff involved in the care of the residents to share information and to cooperate with and respect each other's skills and knowledge was seen as essential for the reduction in stress of a resident during a hospital stay. Partnering required both giving and receiving information' (p161).	
		Carers commented that being involved in decision-making was particularly important. Carers stressed that if they were made aware of issues and procedures in advance they could help. Carers described negative experience where decisions were made without their input. In these instances, they could feel 'railroaded' by hospital staff (p161).	
		Advocacy – Advocating was felt to be a tactic to avoid problems like premature discharge. Carer described heated exchanges and sometimes, a failure to achieve the desired result. In general the problems experiences by older people with Learning difficulties took their toll on family carers and care staff. Staff had to stay for extended periods in the hospital, and sometimes cover had to be arranged in the group home. Family carers struggle to provide the support they felt was needed due to work commitments etc.	

### 5. Whitehead LC, Trip HT, Hale LA et al. (2016) Negotiated autonomy in diabetes self-management: the experiences of adults with intellectual disability and their support workers. Journal of intellectual disability 60: 389-397

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
Study aim: 'This paper explores how people with intellectual disabilities (ID) and their support workers experience and practice autonomy in relation to the management of diabetes' (p389).  Methodology Qualitative study. Semi-structured interviews.  Country Not UK. Study conducted in New Zealand. Researchers are from academic bodies in New	14 service users were interviewed.  Professionals/practitioners: 17 practitioners were	experiences data  The data from the interview transcriptions generated 3 main themes, all relating to the way that the people with learning disabilities negotiated autonomy in their diabetes management with their support workers. The themes were	Overall assessment of internal validity: ++ Overall assessment of external validity: +

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Zealand and Australia. <b>Source of funding</b> Not reported.		the participant' (p392). Day to day, managing diets was seen as the most challenging area, with participants open that they found it difficult to avoid sweet and fatty foods. Support workers encouraged people with learning disabilities to make healthy eating choices when they were out shopping, describing the process as an ongoing one of negotiation and support rather than being a contest: 'I think it's still an ongoing process, like we still find pamphlets and things and I think Dora is still learning about the right things' (support worker, p392). 'All participants described the support worker role as one of facilitating choices and enabling access to healthy food' (p392). It was seen as important for a trusting relationship to be built between the person with the learning disability and their main support worker, so there could be open and honest communication by support workers about blood glucose levels and by people with learning disabilities	
		about symptoms they were experiencing. Relationships that did not work well are described as being 'underpinned by a didactic approach to diabetes management' (p393), e.g. 1 participant stated they were avoiding diabetes clinic because they felt pressured about putting on weight. Where it was working well 'Recognising the person with ID's right to make their own decisions and live their own lives was described as underpinning relationships' (p393). This has involved discussion with the person with ID about the risks and benefits of the choices they make.  In terms of renegotiating autonomy at times of transition, the transitions referred to were exemplified as ill health, or	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		changes to treatment programme or home circumstances. The participants with ID were still expected to manage their diabetes as independently as possible, e.g. if they required a higher level of blood glucose testing for a period they would still be responsible for tests, although they might be provided with more oversight – e.g. when 1 participant with ID wanted to improve her health through diet and exercise her support worker stated 'It used to be 'oh well, we just make sure she's safe', whereas now there's a lot more sort of being able to support Joyce to get so healthy and thin, she's so much more proactive about it herself' (p394).	
		In terms of renegotiating autonomy in relation to goals, this was stated to refer to goals around increasing independence for daily living. Examples are provided of discussions with the participants with ID about goals they wanted to achieve, and through the discussions steps being identified to help them get there, e.g. people with ID who wanted to live independently were assisted with taking steps that would make this possible, such as being more confident about administering insulin independently, or changing work environment away from a fast food outlet, so there would be less temptation to follow an unhealthy diet. The researchers found that 'management of diabetes was characterised by the negotiation of autonomy between the participant with ID and their support worker' (p394). There was a range of support to assist them with being as independent as possible in managing their condition. 'The process of negotiation was	

PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
	health or medication regime, and during these times, autonomy was renegotiated' (p394).	

### Review question 9 – Findings tables – the views and experiences answering Qa only

1. Fender A, Marsden L, John MS (2007) What do older adults with Down's syndrome want from their doctor? A preliminary report. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 35: 19–22

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Study aim: To find	Participants: Service	The group talked about 'how do we know when a person	Overall assessment
out what OPLD	users and their	is not feeling well if they can't/won't tell you?' They made	of internal validity: +
want from their	families, partners and	4 suggestions.	
doctor, including	carers.	Ask other people (ask whether something has	Overall assessment
what it is OK for the		happened to the person, e.g. whether they're staying at	of external validity:
doctor to do and to	Sample size: Total 5.	home more, not wanting to go out or whether they're not	++
ask. Also to involve		doing things they normally do or whether they're crying a	
OPLD in the	Costs? No.	lot and blaming themselves).	
research process.		2. Look at the person (check whether they seem happy or are moving around as usual).	
Methodology:		3. Listen to the person (to see if they're in pain or are	
Qualitative study.		angry).	
		4. Weigh the person.	
Country: UK.			

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
Scotland.  Source of funding: Voluntary/Charity - The Health Foundation.		The following lists show what the groups thought about. Questions the doctor might ask Good things to ask: How old are you? What kind of house do you live in? Who helps you? Have you got any hobbies or interests? How is your breathing, tummy etc.? OK things to ask: Have you got any illnesses? Do you take any tablets? Can ask people but with care: Do you smoke? How are you sleeping? How many meals do you eat each day? Can you get on and off a bus? How big is your bedroom? Have you got another outdoor coat at home?  Things the doctor should not ask people: How many pairs of shoes have you got? Can you cut your own toenails?  The group discussed thing doctors might do to them and agreed some things were good and some were OK: Very good things to do: Check blood pressure; Check peak flow (how fast you can breathe out); Check skin elasticity and condition; Check if right or left handed, footed, eyed etc.  Good things to do: Weigh; Measure height, demi-span (length from middle of chest to fingers); Measure chest, waist and hips; Check if can balance on one leg; Check how many times can stand up and sit down again in 20 secs; Check strength of grip.  OK things to do: Wear a white coat.	

#### Review question 9 – findings tables – the views and experiences answering Qb only

1. Northway R, Holland-Hart D, Jenkins R (2016) Meeting the health needs of older people with intellectual disabilities: exploring the experiences of residential social care staff. Health and social care in the community 25: 923–931

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)		Overall validity rating
the study states that 'while residential care staff play an	Participants: Professionals/practitioners. House managers of supported living services for people with intellectual disabilities.	experiences data:  After thematic analysis, 5 major themes emerged from the interview data, 3 of which are reported in this study – the others are reported separately. There are several sub themes within each major theme.	Overall assessment of internal validity: + Overall assessment of
needs of those they support, this is not	Outcomes measured: Not applicable.	The first major theme was 'meeting health needs'. The health conditions most commonly reported were 'epilepsy, diabetes, infections, dementia and other mental health issues. Other age-related health problems reported included cardiac problems, sensory loss and reduced mobility (in some instances requiring the use of a wheelchair)' (p4). Residential staff's roles involved recognising, monitoring and meeting health needs, including the promotion of healthy lifestyles. Most interviewees (10/14) stated that their tenants were supported to have annual health checks, although this was resisted by some GPs, particularly where the GP had to visit to carry out the check, or in hospitals if staff are not trained to work with people with learning disabilities. Problems arose when hospital staff expected that residential staff would stay with a tenant who had been admitted, although this was not seen by the managers as being part of their role once the	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
study seeks to begin to address this gap in knowledge' (p2).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured individual interviews.  Country: UK. Wales.  Source of funding Not reported.		person had been settled, due to difficulties with insurance cover and funding not covering 24-hour individual support. Problems with hospitals also arose when there was not enough planning around patients being discharged. Record-keeping was seen as playing an important role in ensuring that health-related information was passed on between staff. A health passport or 'traffic light' system to highlight which information should go with a tenant being admitted to hospital had been introduced, but was reported as not always working effectively as information was not always passed on as required. Some interviewees mentioned the part played by medication in their role of monitoring and maintaining the health of the tenants. There were concerns about side effects of medication, interaction between medications, and the possibility that the use of medications	
		could mask other conditions, e.g. dementia.  The second major theme was 'the consequences of ageing'. It was noted that tenants could need more support due to changes associated with ageing, e.g. becoming more frail, weak, forgetful and generally slower. There was a general willingness to support ageing in place, keeping tenants in their homes. In part this was due to the difficulties of finding suitable alternative places for older people with learning disabilities. However, because of the additional support and finance needed to keep a tenant in place, as well as the unsuitability of the physical environment, sometimes it would be necessary for them to move. Adaptations could sometimes be made to the physical environment however.	

Research aims	PICO (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)	Findings	Overall validity rating
		They could end up by providing end of life care to the tenants. An instance was given where a tenant dying from cancer was refused a place in a hospice because of his intellectual disability.	
		The third major theme was 'relationships'. It was seen as important for staff and health professionals working with the person with an intellectual disability to know the person, so as to recognise changes in health status, and in order to be able to work with them effectively. However, within this it was important to respect organisational policies relating to the boundaries of these relationships, which were seen as protecting staff and tenants. Positive, sustained relationships were seen as having positive effects, although staff turnover could make this difficult. One interviewee described difficulties with interprofessional relationships with healthcare staff: 'I actually think because we have not got the labels on us of nurses or health professionals that (they think) we don't really know what we are talking about They will take no notice of us until we get a health professional in to help us' (p6).	

#### Review question 9 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences answering Qa and Qb

1. Bland R, Hutchinson N, Oakes P (2003) Double jeopardy: needs and services for older people who have learning disabilities. Journal of Learning Disabilities 7: 323–44

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim: The aims of the current	Clear description of context?	Does the study's	Overall
research were 'to identify the learning	Yes.	research question	assessme
disability population over the age of 50		match the review	nt of
known to a community health trust in the	Survey population and sample frame	question? Partly. But	internal
Hull and East Riding area of Yorkshire,	clearly described? Yes. The total number of	there is also focus on	validity: +
England - 'to identify what types of health	elderly people with learning difficulties in the	the prevalence of	
problems this population has, the sources	area is reported and the number covered by	certain conditions	Overall
and types of support received, and ratings	the care staff surveyed is given.	among the population,	assessme
of care staff satisfaction with regard to		views are experiences	nt of
quality of and access to supports' (p328).	Describes what was measured, how it	are only one element	external
quanty or annu access to cappoints (po_o).	was measured and the results? Yes.	of the study and it is	validity: +
Methodology: Survey. A questionnaire	Descriptions are given of the 2	unclear whether	
survey.	questionnaires designed and the type of data	findings relate to the	
	they aimed to collect.	perceived quality of	
Research design clearly specified and		the services from the	
appropriate? Partly. The research design	Measurements valid? Yes. The survey	point of view of the	
is described clearly, but it would be helpful	questionnaires used multiple choice	care staff, or the	
to have some expansion on staff opinions	questioning. There is not mention of open	services users,	
about services, rather than just Likert scale	questions.	collected by care staff.	
options.	queetiene.	concolor by care clair.	
optione.	Measurements reliable? Yes. Study used	Has the study dealt	
Objectives of the study clearly stated?	Likert scale, multiple choice questions.	appropriately with	
Yes.	Elitert soule, maniple onoise questions.	any ethical	
103.	Basic data adequately described? Yes.	concerns? Yes.	
	Data fully presented in tables.		
	Bata fally presented in tables.	Were service users	
	Results presented clearly, objectively and	involved in the	
	in enough detail for readers to make	study? No. All data	
	personal judgements? Partly. The data is	gathered from care	
	personal judgements: Faitiy. The data is	gamered nom care	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	presented clearly, but we are not given detail of 'other services' category in the tables. This limits our ability to understand which types of services are included in this category. Under the category of 'aids and adaptions' this is an important group.  Results internally consistent? Yes.  Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? Yes.	staff.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.	
	Methods appropriate for the data? Partly. More detail would be advantageous and inclusion of 'rich' data would improve description of the views and experiences of staff assisting older people with learning difficulties.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
	Results can be generalised? No.  Conclusions justified? Yes. Conclusions relate to statistical analysis of questionnaire	Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes.	
	data.	Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. Although views are not expressed that clearly.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
		Does the study have a UK perspective? Yes.	

### 2. Lalor A, Redmond R (2009) Breast screening for post-menopausal women. Learning Disability Practice 12: 28–33

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
<b>Study aim:</b> 'The aim of this study was to identify practices in relation to breast	Clear description of context? Yes.	Does the study's research question	Overall assessme
surveillance for post-menopausal women with learning disabilities, and to identify challenges that affect their attendance at	Survey population and sample frame clearly described? Yes.	match the review question? Partly. The views focus is	nt of internal validity: +
mammography screening services. The practices related to clinical breast examinations were also explored' (p.29–	Describes what was measured, how it was measured and the results? Partly. We are told broadly the methods and the types	somewhat missing from this paper, the aim is more to gain	Overall assessme
30).	of information sought by the questionnaire but not much about the analysis of results.	general sense of the reasons for non-	nt of external
Methodology: Survey.	Measurements valid? Unclear.	compliance than any rich data.	validity: +
Research design clearly specified and	Mark to the self-black to the last		
appropriate? Partly. The questionnaire does not seem to elicit a great deal of rich	Measurements reliable? Unclear.	Has the study dealt appropriately with	
data.	Basic data adequately described? Yes.	any ethical	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Objectives of the study clearly stated? Yes.	The data is presented in a table and also in narrative.  Results presented clearly, objectively and in enough detail for readers to make personal judgements? Partly. The findings are compared to the findings of other literature in the discussion, but it is unclear how systematically this literature has been sought, and it's sometimes confusing as to which findings are from this study.  Results internally consistent? Yes.  Clear description of data collection methods and analysis? Partly. SPSS was used for analysis and content analysis was conducted. No open questions were asked but more information could be recorded.  Methods appropriate for the data? Partly.  Results can be generalised? No. The sample is small, authors acknowledge this as a limitation. Findings are not representative.  Conclusions justified? Partly.	concerns? No.  Were service users involved in the study? Yes. Surveys were completed by proxy.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.  Residential care.  Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
		Partly. Survey findings are not presented in great detail.	
		Does the study have a UK perspective? No. Republic of Ireland.	

### 3. Truesdale-Kennedy M, Taggart L, McIlfatrick S (2011) Breast cancer knowledge among women with intellectual disabilities and their experiences of receiving breast mammography. Journal of Advanced Nursing 67: 1294–304

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
<b>Study aim:</b> The aim of the study was to describe understanding of breast cancer and experiences of breast mammography among women with an intellectual disability.	How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriately. Data collection methods are clearly described and the appropriate data appear to have been collected to address the research question.	Does the study's research question match the review question? Partly. Because although the	Overall assessme nt of internal validity:
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative study. Focus groups.	Data collection and record keeping appear to have been systematic.	focus is on health experiences, it is not specifically examined	++ Overall
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate. Because the research question seeks to illuminate subjective experiences/meanings.	Is the context clearly described? Unclear. Data were gathered via only one method – focus groups. No interviews or observations. The characteristics of the participants are not clearly defined – we	from an older people's perspective.  Has the study dealt appropriately with	assessme nt of external validity: +

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?	only the age of the women and the fact that	any ethical	
Clear. The background, aims and design of	they have attended for breast screening in	concerns? Yes. The	
the study are all clearly described.	the previous 12 months.	Office for Research	
		Ethics Committee in	
How defensible/rigorous is the research	Was the sampling carried out in an	Northern Ireland	
design/methodology? Defensible. The	appropriate way? Appropriate. The	(ORECNI) granted	
design is certainly appropriate to the	rationale for purposeful sampling was clear.	Research Ethics	
research question and the rationale for using	It is unlikely that recruitment affected what	Committee approval	
a qualitative approach is provided, 'Given	the respondents said in the focus groups.	for the study and	
the exploratory nature of this sensitive topic	However, it is not entirely clear whether	permission was	
and the dearth of information from the	residential facility managers contacted all	obtained from the 3	
service user's perspective, a qualitative	women who had experience of	Health and Social	
approach using focus groups was chosen.'	mammograms or whether they specifically	Care Trusts in	
(p1295). There are also clear accounts of	targeted certain women who may have	Northern Ireland. The	
the rationale/justification for the sampling	been expected to provide particular views.	women's capacity to	
(purposeful), data collection (focus groups,		consent was assessed	
data recorded) and data analysis (thematic	Were the methods reliable? Somewhat	jointly by the research	
content analysis of transcribed findings).	reliable. The data was only collected via 1	team and the	
	method (focus groups) but the authors do	residential manager,	
	discuss their findings alongside other	who knew the women	
	studies.	well on the initial	
		meeting: it was	
	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. The data is not	deemed by both	
	poor – supporting quotes are provided.	parties that each	
	However, there is not a huge amount of	woman had the full	
	detail or description of people's	capacity to give	
	experiences.	consent. Informed	
		consent was	
	Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. The	reassessed	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	research team referred back to original transcripts in order to ensure that the context of each woman's contribution was maintained. Peer debriefing enhanced that credibility of the data by allowing the second author to check the themes for accuracy; agreement was sought on any disparities before finalising the labels of the themes and sub themes.  Are the findings convincing? Convincing. The findings are clearly presented under 4 main themes and seem to be internally	throughout the focus group.  Were service users involved in the study? Yes. But not extensively. Some of the women checked the focus group transcriptions for verification.  Is there a clear focus	_
	coherent. Extracts from the original data are included and referenced.  Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. The findings are certainly relevant to the aims of the study There are clear links between data, interpretation and conclusions and the conclusions are plausible and coherent. Alternative explanations have been explored e.g. that	on the guideline topic? Yes. Although there is not a clear focus on older people's issues.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by	
	in fact the knowledge and understanding of breast cancer among women with learning disabilities may not be inferior to the understanding among the general population. Authors discuss the study limitations – namely the small sample size,	the guideline? Partly. Most of the participants were aged 50–69 and 3 were 31–50 years.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	which affects the transferability of findings.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline? Yes.	
		Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline? Yes. Health care experiences (breast screening).	
		Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Partly. They are views and experiences of women with LD and although the majority are aged 50–69 years, there's no specific reference to or description of them being 'older' or 'old'.	
		Does the study have	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
		a UK perspective? Yes. Northern Ireland.	

## 4. Webber R, Bowers B, Bigby C (2010) Hospital experiences of older people with intellectual disability: responses of group home staff and family members. Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability 35: 155–64

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
Study aim:	How well was the data collection carried	Does the study's	Overall
'This paper uses a subset of data from a	out? Appropriately. Interviews were	research question	assessme
larger, longitudinal study that was designed	carefully designed and adapted to suit	match the review	nt of
to explore the pathways into residential aged	follow up interviews. Modes of analysis and	question? Yes.	internal
care (nursing homes) for people ageing with	coding are described and appropriate.	Related clearly to the	validity:
an intellectual disability who are living in		views and experiences	++
group homes. This paper focuses on	Is the context clearly described? Not	of older people with	
perspectives of group home staff and family	sure. We do not get that much detail about	learning difficulties in a	Overall
members concerning hospital experiences of	• •	health setting.	assessme
group home residents, including the	clustered around. We do not know about		nt of
strategies they used to support residents	the severity of their conditions, their exact	Has the study dealt	external
while in hospital' (p156).	ages or genders. We also do not know how	appropriately with	validity:
	representative this group our in the context	any ethical	++
<b>Methodology:</b> Qualitative study. Qualitative	of the area.	concerns? Yes.	
interviews.			
	Was the sampling carried out in an	Were service users	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate?	appropriate way? Appropriate. The	involved in the	
Appropriate. Appropriate for gathering views	sample was found in 13 group homes in	study? No, staff and	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
and experiences. The study used open questioning and a non-directive style. Initial interviews were carried out in person, follow-ups over the phone.	Victoria. Sampling was purposive, which is appropriate for this study.  Were the methods reliable? Reliable.	family members who worked with 17 individuals were interviewed.	
Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear.  How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible. Methods	Are the data 'rich'? Rich. A good deal of quotations are provided, we gain testimony from family carers and staff carers and the themes are explored in detail.	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes.	
and modes of analysis are clearly described. Sampling is justified and attrition rate is given.	Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. The process of transcription, coding and analysis are well described. It is not clear how many researchers coded each interview script but analysis was	population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline? Yes.	
	collaborative. There is also description of how interviews were developed based on the first round.	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the	
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. Themes are coherent and responsive to the research question. Lots of original data is	guideline? Yes.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the	
	used to support conclusions.  Are the conclusions adequate?  Somewhat adequate. The study links itself	activities covered by the guideline? Yes.	
	to broader literature and acknowledges its limitations as small study. But limitations are not addressed. The study does provide	Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	a valuable insight into the health setting experiences of older people with learning difficulties.	guideline? Yes.  Does the study have a UK perspective?  No. Australian perspective.	

## 5.Whitehead LC, Trip HT, Hale LA et al. (2016) Negotiated autonomy in diabetes self-management: the experiences of adults with intellectual disability and their support workers. Journal of intellectual disability research. 60: 389–397

_	Internal validity – performance and analysis		Overall validity rating
'This paper explores how people with intellectual disabilities (ID) and their support workers experience and practice autonomy in relation to the	Appropriately. The study notes that 'semi-structured interviews were conducted by one member of the research team (HT), a nurse with over 20 years of clinical experience in the field of ID. The interview covered knowledge about diabetes, the type of support provided.	question? Yes. The study is closely aligned with the review question, as it does present the experiences of people with learning disabilities and their support workers in the way they manage health, albeit it only deals with 1 particular health issue, which is diabetes.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns?  Yes. 'Ethical approval was obtained through the Health and Disability Ethics Committee, Ministry of Health, New Zealand	assessment of external validity: + A concern about giving this study a

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis		Overall validity rating
management of diabetes' (p389).  Methodology: Qualitative study. Semi-structured interviews.  Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate. The question deals with the experiences of people with learning disabilities and support workers of managing negotiated autonomy in health care. Researchers report that a 'constructivist lens informed both data collection and analysis as the experience and process of	responsiveness to changes in blood sugar levels. [] The participant with ID's support worker was present as requested; however, the interviews were run separately with each participant rather than jointly' (p391).  Is the context clearly described?  Unclear. The study states that interviews took place in residential and independent living settings, but without reporting where they took place within these settings, and how much privacy they had. It does report that participants with ID could have their support worker	the researcher and the person with ID and their support worker to discuss the study. If all were willing to proceed, a date was made for the respective interviews, and consent was confirmed prior to commencing the interview' (p391). Researchers state that they obtained participants' consent before accessing their files and medical information.  Were service users involved in the study?  No. Only as participants being interviewed, not involved in design, carrying out interviews or analysis.  Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic?  Yes. The guideline topic is the care and support for older people with learning disabilities, and this study deals with care and support for diabetic people with learning disabilities, most of whom (in the study) would be considered as being within the older age range.  Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the groups covered by the guideline?  Yes. The study deals with the management of diabetes by people with learning disabilities. Although it is not specifically a study of older people, the average age of the participants with learning disabilities is 50.9.  Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings	data about any variation according to age.

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
in what it seeks to do? Clear. The study provides a clear description of the general context around people with ID who have diabetes in which it is taking place, providing literature references to support the need	sample was identified by approaching primary health organisations and disability services. The researcher acknowledges that this was a convenience sample, and cannot be taken as being representative. However, given the amount of work that would have been needed to work out what a representative sample would look like and then find them, I think it understandable that they did not attempt to do this, and the sample they found still provides insightful data.  Were the methods	independently at home, in supported independence, or in residential care.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline?  Yes. The study relates to one of the points in key area 5 of section 1.3 of the guideline scope: Support to prevent and manage chronic health conditions and to adopt and maintain healthy lifestyle choices.  (For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline?  Partly. The views and experiences in the study relate to people with learning disabilities being supported to manage a serious health condition as independently as possible, through negotiations about their autonomy. However, the study includes participants with a wide range of ages, and there is no analysis specifically of the experiences of OPLD, although with a mean age of 50.9 and a range of 23–69 the majority of participants are likely to be at an age when the ageing process is having an impact on them.  Does the study have a UK perspective?  No. Study was conducted in New Zealand.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
would judge that	could have ensured		
there are	consistency in carrying out		
statements within	these semi-structured		
the study that do	interviews, and ensured that		
make its aims	follow-up questions were		
clear. There is a	based on a sound		
brief discussion	knowledge of the field of		
about autonomy	study. However, the use of		
and best practice	only 1 interviewer and only 1		
approaches to	method of data collection		
service delivery.	means that the methods		
How	used can only be considered		
defensible/rigorou	somewhat reliable.		
s is the research			
design/	Are the data 'rich'?		
methodology?	Rich. The study provides		
Defensible. The	information about the context		
researchers state	of people with ID having a		
that they used a	higher incidence of diabetes		
qualitative	than the general population,		
approach because	and this being likely to		
they wanted to	increase. Although it is a		
explore views and	study which includes only a		
experiences. Such	small sample and has quite		
	a narrow remit, it does seem		
appropriate for the	that there has been a		
sort of data that	thorough exploration of the		
was wanted, i.e. an	issues within that remit, e.g.		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	Overall validity rating
understanding of how negotiated autonomy was working for management of diabetes. The researchers describe how they recruited participants, through primary health organisations and disability services, and the criteria for inclusion. Interviews were carried out by 1 member of the review team, who was a nurse with 20 years of clinical experience in this field. Although the sample was small, this does not	the way autonomy is negotiated differently in different circumstances. The study also presents the wider context of the findings within existing knowledge, e.g. that there is not generally a good awareness of opportunities for facilitating supported decision-making for people with ID.  Is the analysis reliable?  Somewhat reliable. Although there was a research team of 4, the wording of the study does not make it clear that more than 1 of the team was involved in generating the themes from the interview transcripts. However, it does describe a very thorough process of reading and reviewing the transcripts in order to identify themes. The	
invalidate the findings, but it does	study also states that there was a process of group	

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	1	Overall validity rating
mean that further	analysis and discussion involving all 4 of the study's authors. There is no discussion about whether any differences of opinion arose and hence of how any such differences might have been resolved. The study does make clear where their findings may not apply to all participants by stating where findings applied to 'most' or 'half' of them. Information is given about some exceptions, e.g. where a relationship was not working well to support a participant with ID's diabetes.		
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. The findings are clearly presented, and the presentation of the themes generated by the data is clear and coherent, with		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	quotes from the interviews presented illustratively.		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate. The conclusions reached are in accord with the data generated by the interviews, and the findings are plausible and coherent across the three themes. The researchers are aware of the study's limitations. However, the study does provide a useful examination of an approach which it shows can work well to managing diabetes for people with ID, but is not well enough known or used.		

## Review question 9 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences answering Qa only

1. Fender A, Marsden L, John MS (2007) What do older adults with Down's syndrome want from their doctor? A preliminary report. British Journal of Learning Disabilities 35: 19–22

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	performance and analysis	_	
Study aim: To find out what	How well was the data	Does the study's research	Overall assessment of
OPLD want from their doctor,	collection carried out?	question match the review	internal validity: +
including what it is OK for the	Somewhat appropriately. Data	question? Yes.	
doctor to do and to ask. Also	collection methods are clearly		Although on the weaker side
to involve OPLD in the	described and it appears that	Has the study dealt	of 'moderate'. There are some
research process.	the appropriate data were	appropriately with any	serious limitations that the
	collected to address the	ethical concerns? Partly. The	Guideline Committee should
Methodology: Qualitative	research question although	study seems to have dealt	consider.
study.	from the account, it appears	with consent and involvement	
	that people's views could have	but there is no mention of an	Overall assessment of
Is a qualitative approach	been explored in more detail.	application for ethical	external validity: ++
appropriate? Appropriate.	The method of data collection	approval.	
	was not clearly described so		
Is the study clear in what it	we do not know whether this	Were service users involved	
seeks to do? Mixed. The	has been done systematically	in the study? Yes. Although	
aims/objectives etc. are not	and there are no details at all	they weren't involved in the	
formally set out within the	about the record keeping	design of the study from the	
body of the article so this	except to say that OPLD were	beginning, OPLD did become	
could have been much	involved.	involved, recording the	
clearer.		outcomes of meetings,	
	Is the context clearly	directing the agendas for	
How defensible/rigorous is	described? Unclear. The	meetings 2–5 and then	
the research	characteristics of the	afterwards those who wished	
design/methodology?	participants are not at all	to continue to be involved in	
Somewhat defensible. A	defined. The only thing we	dissemination and applications	

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	performance and analysis	-	
qualitative design is defensible	know about them is that they	for the next stage of research.	
since the study aimed to	are 'older people' with a		
explore people's subjective	learning disability and since	Is there a clear focus on the	
views and experiences. The	they were recruited via Down's	guideline topic? Yes.	
focus groups, directed by	Syndrome Scotland we		
participants, were an	assume the have Down's	Is the study population the	
appropriate of gathering the	syndrome.	same as at least 1 of the	
data. Note that it is unclear	Was the sampling carried	groups covered by the	
whether all members of	out in an appropriate way?	guideline? Yes.	
Down's Syndrome Scotland	Somewhat appropriate. It is		
received letters of invitation of	appropriate to provide	Is the study setting the	
whether staff targeted specific	potential participants with	same as at least 1 of the	
people.	information leaflets and for	settings covered by the	
	them to be self-selecting.  However the paper does not	guideline? Yes.	
	tell us whether all members of	Does the study relate to at	
	DS Scotland were invited to	least 1 of the activities	
	participate or just a select	covered by the guideline?	
	number. This introduces the	Yes.	
	risk of selection bias.	. 66.	
		Are the views and	
	Were the methods reliable?	experiences reported	
	Somewhat reliable. Data were	relevant to the guideline?	
	only collected via 1 method,	Yes.	
	focus groups. Therefore no		
	opportunity for triangulation.	Does the study have a UK	
		perspective? Yes. Scotland.	
	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed.		
	The contexts of the data are		
	not at all well described. It is		

Internal validity – approach	Internal validity –	External validity	Overall validity rating
and sample	performance and analysis		
	not clear how well diversity of perspective and content have been explored, the description of the focus groups is more about what happened and what participants engaged in rather than the data collected. When the findings are presented in 3 boxes, very little detail and depth are presented.		
	Is the analysis reliable? Not sure/not reported. There isn't really any information to help us understand whether analysis was reliable. For example, we do not know whether transcripts or notes were themed and coded let alone whether this was done by more than 1 researcher. The only thing we do know is that participants 'looked at the results of the research' but we do not know whether they could comment or input into the interpretation and write up. Discrepant results were not reported.		

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	Are the findings convincing? Somewhat convincing. The findings are clearly presented but very few, if any (it's difficult to decipher) extracts from the original data are included and this certainly introduces a risk of bias in the findings.		
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. Links between findings and conclusions are fairly clear and conclusions are plausible albeit very thin. The findings relate to other research in the area and given that the views of OPLD themselves are presented this does enhance understanding of the topic. There is no discussion of study limitations.		

## Review question 9 – critical appraisal tables – the views and experiences answering Qb only

1. Northway R, Holland-Hart D, Jenkins R (2016) Meeting the health needs of older people with intellectual disabilities: exploring the experiences of residential social care staff

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
the study states that 'while residential care staff play an important role in meeting the health needs of those they support, this is not always formally recognised as part of their role (Crimes 2014). Little is known about how such staff respond to age-related health changes among those they	Not sure/inadequately reported. Very little detail is provided about data collection, other than that it was done through semistructured interviews. Details about the topic guides used for these interviews are not provided. More details are provided about the analysis, which was carried out using NVivo software. The 5 major themes that emerged from the data are listed, although the study only presents the	Does the study's research question match the review question?  Yes. The subject of the study is the delivery of healthcare by non-specialist staff in supported living arrangements to OPLD.  Has the study dealt appropriately with any ethical concerns?  Yes. 'Ethics approval for this study was granted by the Faculty of Life Sciences and Education at the University of South Wales Ethics Committee. All potential participants were provided with an information sheet outlining the study and the voluntary nature of participation, and given the opportunity to seek clarification regarding any issue. Those agreeing to take part were asked to sign a consent form before interviews commenced' (p3). All quotes are anonymised.  Were service users involved in the study?  No.	Overall assessment of internal validity: + Overall assessment of external validity: ++

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	7	Overall validity rating
study seeks to begin to address this gap in knowledge' (p2).	themes being written about elsewhere.  Is the context clearly described?	Is there a clear focus on the guideline topic? Yes. The study specifically concerns care and support of older people with learning disabilities. Is the study population the same as at least 1 of the	
Methodology Qualitative study. Semi-structured individual	Unclear. Participants are described as '14 house managers [] from five-third-sector organisations	groups covered by the guideline?  Yes. Through interviews with managers who manage a service provided to them, the study deals with care and support needs of older people with learning disabilities.	
Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate. The study is seeking the sort of data which can only be provided by people expressing their views in an interview, so a qualitative approach is appropriate.	Wales' (p3). Although the study does, for context, describe the typical role of a house manager, details of the specific duties of these house managers is not given. No details are provided about the context where the interviews took place, although there is	Is the study setting the same as at least 1 of the settings covered by the guideline?  Yes. The study describes the accommodation covered in the study as 'supported living settings' (p2), but the staff who provide the support are 'residential care workers' (p4). It is clear that some of the tenants/residents do require 24-hour support, but it is not clear whether the places would be categorised as care homes or supported living. However, they clearly do fall within the scope of the settings described in section 1.2 of the guideline scope.  Does the study relate to at least 1 of the activities covered by the guideline?  Yes. The study deals with the provision of support to prevent and manage chronic health conditions and to adopt and maintain healthy lifestyle choices. It also touches on end of life care.	
in what it seeks to do? Clear. Although the	Was the sampling carried	(For views questions) Are the views and experiences reported relevant to the guideline? Yes. The views sought all concern the provision of care and	45

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
state a research question, its introduction provides a clear outline, with literature references, of the issues it is aiming to address.  How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Somewhat defensible. Although the study does not specify a research question, the abstract does state 'Older people with intellectual disabilities often	sample is described as a 'purposive sample' (p3), meaning the researchers used their judgement in selecting participants for the study. However, no justification is given for selecting these particular house managers, and the extent to which they are a convenience sample is not clear. Also, given the stated purpose of the study, no justification is given for only interviewing house managers, when other practitioners and staff, as well as OPLD, could have provided useful insights into the topic. While it is understandable that what is described as an 'exploratory study' (p7) would be small scale, this must still be seen as a limitation when considering the conclusions	support for the health needs of older people with learning disabilities, although they are the views of people managing the residential places where they live rather than OPLD themselves.  Does the study have a UK perspective?  Yes. Wales.	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
by residential care staff with little or no previous experience of identifying and meeting health needs. Little is known regarding how they undertake this health-related role and this exploratory study seeks to address this gap' (p1). However the study	Were the methods reliable? Somewhat reliable. Only one method of data collection has been used, which is semi-structured interviews, ranging in length from 30 to 82 minutes, mean time 53 minutes. Details about the topics these interviews aimed to cover is not provided, although the 5 major themes that emerged from analysis of the interviews is stated, with the sub-themes for the 3 themes that are the basis for this study also given.		
residential care	Are the data 'rich'? Mixed. Although the		

	Internal validity – performance and analysis	Overall validity rating
could be said that managers have an interest in giving an impression of running a good service, and so details about problems with the day-to-day running of the service, and possibly organisational problems, may not emerge from these interviews.	contexts for OPLD that they are describing. It is also hard to know how diverse the perspectives are that are being presented by these managers, and the study does not describe how views might vary between different types of supported living. The data presented does cover a broad range of information and topics through the thematic analysis.  Is the analysis reliable? Reliable. Transcribed interviews were analysed by different members of the review team, who then met to identify emerging themes and agree a coding framework, which was then entered into NVivo software and coded thematically. A member of the research team reviewed a selection of	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	Overall validity rating
	the coded transcripts before final coding was agreed.	
	Are the findings convincing? Convincing. The findings are clearly and coherently presented, following the themes and sub themes that the researchers identified, and are supported throughout by quotes from the interviews.	
	Are the conclusions adequate? Somewhat adequate. The findings are very relevant to the aims of the study. The researchers do recognise some of the limitations of the study: 'this is a relatively small scale exploratory study involving participants from only one area of the United Kingdom and hence it is not possible to generalise from the findings' (pp7–8). There is no acknowledgement of	

Internal validity – approach and sample	Internal validity – performance and analysis	External validity	Overall validity rating
	another limitation, which is that it only presents the views of house managers, who may not wish to be too critical of the service they are responsible for providing. It lacks the perspective of practitioners and staff, OPLD and their carers and families and of specialist independent advice and advocacy organisations, all of which may have a useful, different perspective.		