NICE PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMME GUIDANCE PREVENTION OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AT POPULATION LEVEL

1st meeting of the Programme Development Group

Wednesday 10th September 2008

Royal College of Anaesthetists Red Lion Square

Attendees:	Programme Development Group (PDG) Members: Klim McPherson, Madeline Murtagh, Paul Lincoln, Margaret O'Mara, Suzannah Power, Paramjit Gill, Robin Ireland, Margaret Thorogood, Simon Capewell, Sian Robinson, Ian Reekie, Martin Caraher, Valerie Woodward, Kiran Patel, Andrew Briggs, John Soady, Pamela Ashton, Francesco Cappuccio.
	<i>NICE:</i> Mike Kelly, Hugo Crombie, Lorraine Taylor, Bhash Naidoo, Patti White, Sarah Dunsdon, Andrew Hoy.
	Contractors: Chris Hyde, Mary Pennant.
	Observers: Edward Beake, Rachel Irwin
Apologies:	Programme Development Group (PDG) Members: Charlie Foster
	NICE: Catherine Swann, Karen Peploe.

Agenda Item		Action
1. Welcome and introductions	The Chair welcomed the group the first meeting.	
2.Ways of working	Minutes of last meeting No minutes were recorded at the Induction meeting. Ways of working, Guide to PDG meeting process, Terms of Reference	
 Terms of reference / Code of Conduct Confidentiality Agreement 	Though the PDG members have been nominated by or are employed by a registered stakeholder organisation, they are expected to serve as individuals on the group. Members were asked to inform NICE if they are unable to attend any meetings and are welcome to submit written comments.	
 Declaration of Interest Website form / email 	The PDG is welcome to suggest co-opted members or topic area experts or identify areas where expertise is currently lacking on the PDG. There may be up to 5 co-opted members on the group who attend meetings as and when	

addresses	required.	
	 Everyone has an equal standing on the group and all contributions are equally valued. Jargon and acronyms are to be avoided and members are asked to say if they cannot understand a technical term. The minutes of the meetings summarise discussion and are published on the NICE website once they have been agreed by the PDG. A list of PDG members will also be available on the NICE website. <i>Confidentiality Agreement</i> 	Action: Any PDG members who do not wish to share their email addresses with the group to inform Mike Kelly by the end of the week.
	Papers are strictly confidential and must not be shared beyond the PDG.	Action: NICE to circulate the NICE Communication Lead's contact number.
	Declarations of Interest	Action: NICE to circulate an email asking for biographies and to circulate a PDG member list.
	Personal pecuniary interest	
	Pamela Ashton	Action: PDG
	Andrew Briggs	members to raise
	Robin Ireland	any potential
	Paul Lincoln	conflicts of
	Personal family interest Andrew Briggs	interest with Mike Kelly during the development of the guidance.
	Non-personal pecuniary interest	the guidance.
	Klim McPherson Bhash Naidoo	
	John Soady	Action: Sian
	Pamela Ashton	Robinson to
	Francesco Cappuccio Simon Capewell	check whether she has any
	Valerie Woodward	personal
	Andrew Briggs	pecuniary interest
	Chris Hyde	and report to
	Martin Caraher	PDG
	Sian Robinson Margaret Thorogood	
	Robin Ireland	
	Paramjit Gill	
	Kiran Patel	
	Paul Lincoln	
	Madeline Murtagh	

	Personal non-pecuniary interest John Soady Francesco Cappuccio Simon Capewell Andrew Briggs Martin Caraher Margaret Thorogood Robin Ireland Paramjit Gill Kiran Patel Suzannah Power Paul Lincoln Madeline Murtagh	
3. Overview of Process and Key Dates	NICE gave a brief overview of the key development milestones.	
4. Prevention of cardiovascular disease in different populations: Scope, overview of the evidence and economics reviews	NICE briefly presented the scope, key questions, the review production process and timing of the reviews. The PDG discussed whether the scope was flexible and whether a comprehensive review could be produced on single risk factor interventions, in particular around salt, fruit and vegetables and smoking. The PDG also made comments on the search strategy for the first review and queried whether it is possible to extend the population group defined in the scope to communities of interest. It was noted that the scope and work plan have been finalised. It was agreed that NICE will come back to the Committee with a proposal in response to the PDG comments raised. It was also acknowledged that some of the reviews have already been produced for other NICE guidance for example, the Smoking and Obesity guidance.	Action: Definition of community to be considered further by the PDG. Action: NICE, PDG Chair and CC to consider PDG comments raised and bring a proposal to the next meeting.
5. Effectiveness Review 1 – Presentation of Key Findings	The Collaborating Centre presented the key findings from the Prevention of cardiovascular disease at population level review (covering question 1, phase 1).	~ ~ ~
6. Discussion of Effectiveness Review 1	 The group discussed the effectiveness review 1. Introduction No comments Methodology The Collaborating Centre clarified a number of queries raised by the PDG around the inclusion / exclusion criteria and the quality rating of studies. The PDG made a number of additional comments 	

	 for consideration around: further data sources such as books / reports barriers to primary studies which have not worked delivery of the interventions quality trends across the programmes The Collaborating Centre also confirmed that the economic data will be presented in reviews 2 and 3. 	Action: PDG to send any additional data (not included in the review) to PDG Chair and Hugo Crombie as soon as possible.
	 Effectiveness Findings It was acknowledged that the findings demonstrate a modest effect on CVD risk factors. Discussions focused on: differences in gender responses to interventions trends, the limitations of the studies and their effect the need to translate studies into today's public health terms role of pharmaceutical interventions and outcomes 	
	The PDG were also asked to consider in the afternoon group sessions the totality of the studies and whether there are theoretical models underpinning the results. NICE informed the PDG that that an official from the Department of Health's Vascular checks: risk assessment and management programme will attend a forthcoming programme development group meeting.	Action: NICE to organise for an official from the Vascular checks: risk assessment and management programme to attend a forthcoming PDG meeting.
7. Summary of morning's discussions	The Chair summarised the action points from the morning's meeting.	Ŭ
8. Small group work	The group divided into the two groups to consider the review in more detail and draft recommendations.	
9. Plenary and whole group discussion	 Group 1 drafted one practice recommendation. Group 2 did not produce any recommendations but pulled out several key themes to be considered around; community involvement delivery of services Long-term follow up. 	
	In addition, considerations were noted around diversity, inequalities (excluded communities, gender, ethnicity, age groups, geography, socio-economic status) and publication bias. A brief discussion was held on the cost effectiveness component of the work and the economic modelling. It was noted that the Nuffield report on bio-ethics maybe	Action: Modelling to be discussed at a future meeting.

a useful framework to begin discussions.	Action: PDG to send any suggestions for co-optee's to PDG Chair and Hugo Crombie.
--	--

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 21st October (Novotel St Pancras)