

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
Chartered Institution of Highways and Transportation	General (PH31)		CIHT has no comment on the proposed areas for review, which excludes any specific changes relating to road risk which is our area of interest in the prevention of injuries to under-15s. We would comment that the high publicity given to cycling accidents in recent years has created an anxiety of risk that might reduce cycling, with minimal benefits in casualty reduction, and substantial detrimental effects in public health terms, given the excellent proven health benefits of cycling.	Thank you for your response.
Cochrane Injuries Group	PH31 - General		The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to engage with its authors to have some or all of the reviews listed below updated for use in the guideline. Please let us know if this is of interest, as we would need to limit the number of updates on other topics for the next year while these twelve reviews are prioritised.	Thank you for your response and your generous offer of assistance. While we really appreciate your offer to update some of the Cochrane reviews, NICE has found that it can rarely make use of existing reviews because they differ in the research questions asked, the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and the interventions and outcomes of interest. In addition, existing reviews normally do not include the level of detail that is necessary for drafting recommendations.
	PH30 -		The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to engage with its	Please see previous response.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
	General		authors to have some or all of the reviews listed below updated for use in the guideline. Please let us know if this is of interest, as we would need to limit the number of updates on other topics for the next year while these seven reviews are prioritised.	
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Street lighting for preventing road traffic injuries Summarises the findings of 17 controlled before-and-after studies. Data are available on crashes, fatal crashes, crashes causing injury, traffic speed and perceived road user safety.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Beyer FR, Ker K. Street lighting for preventing road traffic injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD004728. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004728.pub2.</p> <p>The guideline search would have captured the version published in Issue 1, 2009 on the Cochrane Library (submitted by the Injuries Group for publication in November 2008) which included 16 studies. Since then the review has been updated with one new study (moved from awaiting assessment to</p>	Thank you for bringing this evidence to our attention. We consider that the one additional study is unlikely to change the recommendations.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>included) and was republished in Issue 2, 2009. The citation of the review did not change with the inclusion of this one new study due to Cochrane publishing criteria at that time (which stipulated that in order for an updated review to receive a new citation, the overall conclusions of the review had to have changed). The latest version of the review including the new study is available on the Cochrane Library. The version of the review with the study in awaiting assessment is no longer publicly available but can be obtained from the Cochrane Collaboration, or on a CD ROM of version 1, 2009 of the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.</p> <p>The reference to the new study is:</p> <p>Isebrands H, Hallmark S, Hans Z, McDonald T, Preston H, Storm R. Safety impacts of street lighting at isolated rural intersections – part II. St Paul: Minnesota Department of Transportation, 2006.</p> <p>This review has a search date of October 2008. There may be new studies on the topic.</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			It may be of interest that a new study – LANTERNS http://lanterns.lshtm.ac.uk/ will report in January 2015. This study examines the effects of switching off street lighting at night on crime and road safety outcomes. The Cochrane review will be updated to include the LANTERN study results, but could be updated sooner if the review will be used in NICE guidance.	
	PH30 - General		<p>New research: Parenting interventions for the prevention of unintentional injuries in childhood 22 studies are included in this review. (7 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Kendrick D, Mulvaney CA, Ye L, Stevens T, Mytton JA, Stewart-Brown S. Parenting interventions for the prevention of unintentional injuries in childhood. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2013, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD006020. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006020.pub3. The review was updated and republished in Issue 3, 2013 with a search to January 2011. 7 new studies were included with the</p>	Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Only one of the papers was published after the searches for the guidance and the others would have been included if they fit our inclusion criteria. We consider that the one additional study is unlikely to change the recommendations.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>update:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Barlow J, Davis H, McIntosch E, Jarrett P, Mockford C, Stewart-Brown S. Role of home visiting in improving parenting and health in families at risk of abuse and neglect: results of a multicentre randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation. Archives of Disease in Childhood 2007;92(3):229-33. 2) Caldera D, Burrell L, Rodriguez K, Crowne SS, Rodhe C, Duggan A. Impact of a statewide home visiting program on parenting and on child health and development. Child Abuse & Neglect 2007;31:829-52. <p>3) Culp 2007 - Unpublished data only</p> <p>Culp AM, Culp R, Anderson J, Carter S. Health and safety intervention with first-time mothers. Health Education Research 2007;22(2):285-94.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4) Kemp L, Harris E, McMahon C, Matthey S, Vimpani G, 	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Anderson T et al. Child and family outcomes of a long-term nurse home visitation programme: a randomised controlled trial. Archives of Diseases in Childhood 2011;96:533-540.</p> <p>5) Koniak-Griffin D, Verzemnieks IL, Anderson NLR, Brecht M-L, Lesser J, Kim S et al. Nurse visitation for adolescent mothers. Nursing Research 2003;52(2):127-136.</p> <p>6) Minkovitz C, Hughart N, Strobino D, Scharfstein D, Grason H, Hou W et al. A practice-based intervention to enhance quality of care in the first 3 years of life. Journal of the American Medical Association 2003;293(23):3081-91.</p> <p>7) St Pierre R, Layzer JI. Using home visits for multiple purposes: the Comprehensive Child Development Program. The Future of Children 1999;1:134-151.</p> <p>The review authors are interested in updating their review</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			again, but would require funding to do so.	
	PH30 - General		<p>New research: Home safety education and provision of safety equipment for injury prevention 98 studies are included in this review. (22 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Kendrick D, Young B, Mason-Jones AJ, Ilyas N, Achana FA, Cooper NJ, Hubbard SJ, Sutton AJ, Smith S, Wynn P, Mulvaney C, Watson MC, Coupland C. Home safety education and provision of safety equipment for injury prevention. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD005014. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005014.pub3. The review was updated and republished in Issue 9, 2012 with a search to May 2009. 22 new studies were included in the update, with 4 being removed from the review on re-assessment (80 in previous version – 4 + 22=98 included studies). Given the amount of new research published on the topic each year, there is likely to have been considerably more</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Only a few of the papers were published after the searches for the guidance and so most would have been included if they fit our inclusion criteria. The Programme Development Group received expert testimony from Kendrick et al's TMV study. We consider that the additional studies are unlikely to change the recommendations.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>research published in the last 5 years.</p> <p>1) Babul 2007 - Published data only (unpublished sought but not used)</p> <p>Babul S, Olsen L, Janssen P, McIntee P, Raina P. A randomized trial to assess the effectiveness of an infant home safety programme. <i>International Journal of Injury Control & Safety Promotion</i> 2007;14(2):109-17.</p> <p>2) Bulzachelli 2009 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Bulzachelli MT, Gielen AC, Shields WC, McDonald EM, Frattaroli S. Parental safety-related knowledge and practices associated with visiting a mobile safety center in a low-income urban population. <i>Family and Community Health</i> 2009;32(2):147-58.</p> <p>3) Carman J, Friedman E, Lamb D, Lennon K. Evaluating</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>the impact of a child injury prevention project. Community Practitioner 2006;79(6):188-92.</p> <p>4) Harvey PA, Aitken M, Ryan GW, Demeter LA, Givens J, Sundararamen R, Goulette S. Strategies to increase smoke alarm use in high-risk households. Journal of Community Health 2004;29(5):375-85.</p> <p>5) Hwang V, Duchossois GP, Garcia-Espana JF, Durbin DR. Impact of a community based fire prevention intervention on fire safety knowledge and behaviour in elementary school children. Injury Prevention 2006;12:344-6.</p> <p>6) Gielen 2007 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Gielen AC, McKenzie LB, McDonald EM, Shields WC, Wang M-C, Cheng Y-J et al. Using a computer kiosk to promote child safety: results of a randomized controlled trial in an urban pediatric emergency department. Pediatrics 2007;120(2):330-9.</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>7) Girasek 2010 - Unpublished data only</p> <p>Girasek, DC. Valid measurement of isolation pool fencing: A methodologic challenge. <i>Injury Prevention</i> 2010;16, Suppl 1:A249.</p> <p>8) Gittelman MA, Pomerantz WJ, McNealy T. Reducing injury rates using a community based approach. <i>The Journal of Trauma, Injury, Infection and Critical Care</i> 2007;63(3):S45-9.</p> <p>9) Kendrick 2007 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Kendrick D, Groom L, Stewart J, Watson M, Mulvaney C, Casterton R. "Risk Watch": cluster randomised controlled trial evaluating an injury prevention program. <i>Injury Prevention</i> 2007;13:93-9.</p> <p>10) Kendrick 2010 - Published and unpublished data</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Kendrick D, Stewart J, Smith S, Coupland C, Hopkins N, Groom L, et al. Randomised controlled trial of thermostatic mixer valves in reducing bath hot tap water temperature in families with young children in social housing. Archives of Disease in Childhood 2011;96:232-9.</p> <p>11) Mueller BA, Sidman EA, Alter H, Perkins R, Grossman DC. Randomised controlled trial of ionization and photoelectric smoke alarm functionality. Injury Prevention 2008;14:80-6.</p> <p>12)Nansel 2008 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Nansel TR, Weaver NL, Jacobsen HA, Glasheen C, Kreuter MW. Preventing unintentional pediatric injuries: a tailored intervention for parents and providers. Health Education Research 2008;23(4):656-69.</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>13) Odendaal W, van Niekerk A, Jordaan E, Seedat M. The impact of a home visitation programme on household hazards associated with unintentional childhood injuries: A randomised controlled trial. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2009;41:183-90.</p> <p>14)Phelan 2010 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Phelan KJ, Khoury J, Xu Y, Liddy S, Hornung R, Lanphear BP. A randomised controlled trial of home injury hazard reduction: the HOME injury study. Injury Prevention 2010;16 Suppl:A171.</p> <p>15) Pless IB, Hagel B, Patel H, Leduc D, Magdalinos H. Preventing product related injuries. A randomized controlled trial of poster alerts. Canadian Journal of Public Health 2007;98(4):271-5.</p> <p>16)</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>17) Ponce De Leon A, Svanstrom L, Welander G, Schelp L, Santesson P, Ekman R. Differences in child injury hospitalizations in Sweden: The use of time-trend analysis to compare various community injury prevention approaches. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health 2007;35:623-30.</p> <p>18)Sangvai 2007 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Sangvai S, Cipriani L, Colborn DK, Wald ER. Studying injury prevention: practices, problems and pitfalls in implementation. Clinical Pediatrics 2007;46(3):228-35.</p> <p>19)Schwebel 2009 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Schwebel DC, Swart D, Simpson J, Hobe P, Hui SK. An intervention to reduce kerosene-related burns and poisonings in low-income Soth African communities. Health Psychology</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			2009;28(4):493-500.	
			<p>20) Swart 2008 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Swart L, van Niekerk A, Seedat M, Jordaan E. Paraprofessional home visitation program to prevent childhood unintentional injuries in low-income communities: a cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>Injury Prevention</i> 2008;14:164-9.</p> <p>21) Yang J, Peek-Asa C, Jones MP, Nordstrom DL, Taylor C, Young TL, Zwerling C. Smoke alarms by type and battery life in rural households. A randomized controlled trial. <i>American Journal of Preventive Medicine</i> 2008;35(1):20-4.</p> <p>22) Yorkston 2007 - Published and unpublished data</p> <p>Yorkston E, Turner C, Schuler PJ, McClure R. Quantifying the</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>effect of a community-based injury prevention program in Queensland using a generalized estimating equation approach. <i>Injury Prevention</i> 2007;13:191-6.</p> <p>23) Zhao C-H, Qui H-S, Qui H-K. Interventions to prevent accidental injuries in children between 7 and 13 years of age. <i>Chinese Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics</i> 2006;8(4):331-3.</p>	
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: 'WHO Safe Communities' model for the prevention of injury in whole populations Summarises evaluations from 21 communities; data are available on injury outcomes. (5 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Spinks A, Turner C, Nixon J, McClure RJ. The 'WHO Safe Communities' model for the prevention of injury in whole</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. The studies in this review if relevant would have been identified by our original search strategies as none are published after 2009.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>populations. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD004445. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004445.pub3.</p> <p>The search was updated from 2004 to December 2008 in the version published in Issue 3, 2009.</p> <p>There are 5 new studies reported in 10 publications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Bjerre B, Jonell A. The community safety approach in Falun, Sweden. What makes it work? International Journal for Consumer and Product Safety 1998;5:139-53. 2) Coggan C, Lee M, Patterson P, Fill J. Safe Waitakere Injury Prevention: re-assessing the evidence. Injury Prevention Research Centre, University of Auckland: Centre Report Series No 74 2003;(ISSN 1174-5371). 3) Lindqvist K, Timpka T, Karlsson N. Impact of social standing on injury prevention in a World Health Organization Safe Community - intervention outcome by household employment contract. International Journal of 	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Epidemiology 2004;33:605-11.</p> <p>4) Timpka T, Lindqvist K, Ekstrand J, Karlsson N. Impact of social standing on sports injury prevention in a WHO safe community: intervention outcome by household employment contract and type of sport. British Journal of Sports Medicine 2005;39:453-7.</p>	
			<p>5) Timpka T, Nilsen P, Lindqvist K. The impact of home safety promotion on different social strata in a WHO safe community. Public Health 2006;120:427-33.</p> <p>6) Davis E, Roselli T, McClure R. The Child Injury Prevention Project: a joint Department of Emergency Services and Queensland Health initiative. Final evaluation report March 2008. (Published and published data used)</p> <p>7) McClellan V. New Plymouth District 2006 Community Injury Prevention Needs Assessment. Research and</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Evaluation Services Ltd: http://www.npis.org.nz/needs_assessments/2001%20IP%20NEEDS%20ASSESSMENT.pdf. September, 2006.</p> <p>8) De Leon AP, Svanstrom L, Welander G, Schelp L, Santesson P, Ekman R. Differences in child injury hospitalizations in Sweden: the use of time-trend analysis to compare various community injury-prevention approaches. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i> 2007;35:623-30.</p> <p>9) Nilsen P, Ekman R, Stark Ekman D, Ryen L, Lindqvist K. Effectiveness of community-based injury prevention long-term injury rate levels, changes, and trends for 14 Swedish WHO-designated Safe Communities. <i>Accident Analysis and Prevention</i> 2007;39:267-73.</p> <p>10) Furian G, Rein F. 10 Year 'Safe Community', Evaluation Vorarlberg 2004. <i>Sicher Leben</i>.</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Interventions for increasing pedestrian and cyclist visibility for the prevention of death and injuries Summarises the effects of visibility aids on drivers' responses in 42 studies. (3 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p>Kwan I, Mapstone J. Interventions for increasing pedestrian and cyclist visibility for the prevention of death and injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD003438. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003438.pub2.</p> <p>The version published in Issue 4, 2009 updated the search from June 2006 to May 2009. The citation of the review did not change with the inclusion of the three new studies due to Cochrane publishing criteria at that time (which stipulated that in order for an updated review to receive a new citation, the overall conclusions of the review had to have changed). The latest version of the review including the three new studies is available on the Cochrane Library.</p> <p>References to the 3 new studies are reported in 4 references:</p>	Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Visibility aids were not part of the scope for PH31.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Balk SA, Carpenter TL, Brooks JO, Tyrrell RA. Pedestrian conspicuity at night: How much biological motion is enough? In: Transport Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington DC. 2006.</p> <p>Balk SA, Tyrrell RA, Brooks JO, Carpenter TL. Highlighting human form and motion information enhances the conspicuity of pedestrians at night. Perception 2008;37:1276-84.</p> <p>Owens DA, Wood JM and Owens JM. Effects of age and illumination on night driving: a road test. Human Factors 2007;49(6):1115-31.</p> <p>Sayer JR, Mefford ML. High visibility safety apparel and nighttime conspicuity of pedestrians in work zones. Journal of Safety Research 2004;35:537-546.</p>	
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Bicycle helmet legislation for the uptake of helmet use and prevention of head injuries Six studies are summarised. (1 new study since the NICE</p>	Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Cycle helmets were only included in PH29 and then only in relation to off

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Macpherson A, Spinks A. Bicycle helmet legislation for the uptake of helmet use and prevention of head injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2008, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD005401. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005401.pub3.</p> <p>The update published in Issue 6, 2010 updated the search from February 2006 to April 2010. The update did not receive a new citation due to Cochrane publishing rules at the time (described above).</p> <p>The new study was:</p> <p>Wesson DE, Stephens D, Lam K, Parsons D, Spence L, Parkin PC. Trends in pediatric and adult bicycling deaths before and after passage of a bicycle helmet law. Pediatrics 2008;122:605-10.</p>	road activities.
	PH31 -		New research:	Thank you for bringing

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
	General		<p>Non-legislative interventions for the promotion of cycle helmet wearing by children 29 studies are included. (7 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Owen R, Kendrick D, Mulvaney C, Coleman T, Royal S. Non-legislative interventions for the promotion of cycle helmet wearing by children. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD003985. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003985.pub3.</p> <p>The update published in issue 11, 2011 updated the search from 2002 to March 2009.</p> <p>The new studies are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Azeredo R, Stephens-Stidham S. Design and implementation of injury prevention curricula for elementary schools: lessons learned. Injury Prevention 	<p>this work to our attention. Cycle helmets were only included in PH29 and then only in relation to off road activities. None of the new studies were published after the date of the searches for the guidance.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>2003;9(3):274-8.</p> <p>2) Bishai D, Qureshi A, Cantu N, Parks C. Contracting with children and helmet distribution in the emergency department to improve bicycle helmet use. Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. 2003;10(12):1371-7.</p> <p>3) Hall M, Cross D, Howat P, Stevenson M, Shaw T. Evaluation of a school-based peer leader bicycle helmet intervention. Injury Control and Safety Promotion 2004;11(3):165-74.</p> <p>4) Johnston BD, Rivara FP, Droesch RM, Dunn C, Copass MK. Behavior change counseling in the emergency department to reduce injury risk: a randomized, controlled trial. Pediatrics 2002;110(2):267-74. (Published and unpublished data used)</p> <p>5) Kendrick D, Royal S, Lids for Kids project team. Cycle helmet ownership and use; a cluster randomised controlled trial in primary school children in deprived areas. Archives of Disease in Childhood 2004;89:330-5.</p> <p>6) Leverence RR, Martinez M, Whisler S, Romero-Leggott V, Harji F, Milner M et al. Does office-based counseling</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>of adolescents and young adults improve self-reported safety habits? A randomized controlled effectiveness trial. Journal of Adolescent Health 2005;36(6):523-8.</p> <p>7) Wu BC, Oakes JM. A randomized controlled trial of sport helmet interventions in a pediatric emergency department. Pediatric Emergency Care 2005;21(11):730-5.</p>	
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Speed cameras for the prevention of road traffic injuries and deaths 35 studies are included, and outcomes are crashes and fatal crashes. (9 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Wilson C, Willis C, Hendrikz JK, Le Brocque R, Bellamy N. Speed cameras for the prevention of road traffic injuries and deaths. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2010, Issue</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Speed enforcement was not part of the scope for PH31. Eight of the nine new studies if relevant to the scope of PH31 should have been identified by it's original search strategy – only one was published in 2009 or later. We consider it is unlikely that this new study would change the recommendations.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>11. Art. No.: CD004607. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004607.pub4.</p> <p>Nine new studies were included when the searches were updated from 2004 to August 2009.</p> <p>The 10 references to 9 studies are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) ARRB Group Project Team. Evaluation of the fixed digital speed camera program in NSW (RC 2416). Roads and Traffic Authority, NSW Australia May 2005:1-51. 2) Perez K, Mari-Dell'Olmo M, Borrell C. Reducing road traffic injuries: Effectiveness of speed cameras in an urban setting. American Journal of Public Health 2007;97(9):1632-7. 3) Mountain L J, Hirst W, Maher M. Are speed enforcement cameras more effective than other speed management measures? The impact of speed management schemes on 30 mph roads. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2005;37:742-54. 4) Mountain L, Hirst W & Maher M. Costing lives or saving 	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>lives? A detailed evaluation of the impact of speed cameras on safety. Traffic Engineering and Control September 2004;45(8):280-7.</p> <p>5) Jones AP, Sauerzapf V, Haynes R. The effects of mobile speed camera introduction on road traffic crashes and casualties in a rural county in England. Journal of Safety Research 2008;39:101-10.</p> <p>6) Goldenbeld C & van Schagen I. The effects of speed enforcement with mobile radar on speed and accidents: An evaluation study on rural roads in the Dutch province Friesland. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2005;37:1135 -44.</p> <p>7) Shin K, Washington S P, van Schalkwyk I. Evaluation of the Scottsdale Loop 101 automated speed enforcement demonstration program. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2009;41:393-403.</p> <p>8) Retting RA, Kyrychenko SY, McCartt AT. Evaluation of automated speed enforcement on Loop 101 freeway in Scottsdale Arizona. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2008;40:1506-12.</p> <p>9) Retting RA, Farmer CM, McCartt AT.. Evaluation of automated speed enforcement in Montgomery County,</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Maryland. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety January 2008:1-10.</p> <p>10) Cunningham CM, Hummer JE & Moon J-P. An Evaluation of the Safety Effects of Speed Enforcement Cameras in Charlotte, NC. Final Report. Presented to the North Carolina Governors Highway Safety Program. Institution for Transport Research and Education. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina October 2005:1-156.</p> <p>Plus an additional reference was added for the study GB – Nationwide: Gains A, Heydecker B, Shrewsbury J, Robertson S. The national safety camera programme -Three-year evaluation report. Department of Transport. Road Safety Division. London UK. 2004:1-110.</p>	
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Helmets for preventing head and facial injuries in bicyclists Five well-conducted case-control studies are included in the review, crash and injury data are presented.</p> <p>This review is currently being updated; eight new studies</p>	Please see previous responses in relation to cycle helmets.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>are potentially eligible for inclusion.</p> <p>Thompson DC, Rivara F, Thompson R. Helmets for preventing head and facial injuries in bicyclists. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 1999, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD001855. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001855. (Search up-to-date to 2006)</p> <p>This review is currently being updated, the search date has been updated from November 2006 to May 2013. The new studies to be included are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Airaksinen N, Lüthje P, Nurmi-Lüthje I. Cyclist Injuries Treated in Emergency Department (ED):Consequences and Costs in South-eastern Finland in an Area of 100 000 Inhabitants. Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine 2010;54:267-274. 2) Amoros E, Chiron M, Martin JL, Thelot B, Laumon B. Bicycle helmet wearing and the risk of head, face,and neck injury: a French casecontrol study basedon a road 	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>trauma registry. Injury Prevention 2012;18:27-32.</p> <p>3) Bambach MR, Mitchell RJ, Grzebieta RH, Olivier J. The effectiveness of helmets in bicycle collisions with motor vehicles:A case-control study. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2013;53:78-88.</p> <p>4) Bergenstal J, Davis SM, Sikora R, Paulson D, Whiteman C. Pediatric Bicycle Injury Prevention and the Effect of Helmet Use: The West Virginia Experience. West Virginia Medical Journal 2012;108(3):78-81.</p> <p>5) Crocker P, King B, Cooper H, Milling TJ. Self-Reported Alcohol Use is an Independent Risk Factor for Head and Brain Injury Among Cyclists but does not Confound Helmets' Protective Effect. The Journal of Emergency Medicine 2012;43(2):244-250.</p> <p>6) Hansen KS, Engesaeter LB, Viste A. Protective effect of different types of bicycle helmets. Traffic Injury Prevention 2003;4:285-90.</p> <p>7) Persaud N, Coleman E, Zwolakowski D, Lauwers B, Cass D. Nonuse of bicycle helmets and risk of fatal head injury: a proportional mortality, case-control study.</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Canadian Medical Association Journal 2012;184(17):E921-E923.</p> <p>8) Richter M, Otte D, Haasper C, Knoblock K, Probst C, Westhoff J, Sommer K, Krettek C. The Current Injury Situation of Bicyclists - A Medical and Technical Crash Analysis. The Journal of Trauma 2007;62(5):1118-22.</p>	
	PH30 - General		<p>New research: Modification of the home environment for the reduction of injuries 29 studies are included, with injury rates being a primary outcome. (10 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Turner S, Arthur G, Lyons RA, Weightman AL, Mann MK, Jones SJ, John A, Lannon S. Modification of the home environment for the reduction of injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD003600. DOI:</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Many of the studies included here are in older people the guidance is about children and young people. The remainder were published before 2009 and so should have been included if they fit the inclusion criteria for the guidance.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>10.1002/14651858.CD003600.pub3.</p> <p>The update to Issue 2, 2011 updated the search date from December 2004 to December 2009.</p> <p>The 10 new studies are reported in 15 references:</p> <p>1) Babul S, Olsen L, Janssen P, McIntee P, Raina P, Babul S, et al. A randomized trial to assess the effectiveness of an infant home safety programme. <i>International Journal of Injury Control & Safety Promotion</i> 2007;14(2):109-17.</p> <p>2) Campbell AJ, Robertson MC, La Grow SJ, Kerse NM, Sanderson GF, Jacobs RJ, et al. Randomised controlled trial of prevention of falls in people aged greater than or equal to 75 with severe visual impairment: the VIP trial. <i>BMJ</i> 2005;331(7520):817-20.</p> <p>3) La Grow SJ, Robertson MC, Cambell AJ, Clarke GA, Kerse NM. Reducing hazard related falls in people 75 years and older with significant visual impairment: how did a successful</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>program work? Injury Prevention 2006;12:296-301.</p> <p>4) Elley CR, Robertson MC, Garrett S, Kerse NM, McKinlay E, Lawton B et al. Effectiveness of a falls-and-fracture nurse coordinator to reduce falls: a randomized, controlled trial of at-risk older adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2008;56(8):1383-9.</p> <p>5) Gitlin LN, Winter L, Dennis MP, Corcoran M, Schinfeld S, Hauck WW. A randomized trial of a multicomponent home intervention to reduce functional difficulties in older adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2006;54:809-16.</p> <p>6) Hendrickson SG. Reaching an underserved population with a randomly assigned home safety intervention. Injury Prevention 2005;11(5):313-7.</p> <p>7) Hendriks MR, Bleijlevens MH, Van Haastregt JC, Crebolder HF, Diederiks JP, Evers SM et al. Lack of effectiveness of a multidisciplinary fall-prevention program in elderly people at risk: a randomized, controlled trial. Journal of the American</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			Geriatrics Society 2008;56(8):1390-7.	
			* Jensen J, Lundin -Olsson L, Nyberg L, Gustafson Y. Fall and injury prevention in older people living in residential care facilities. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> 2002;136:733-41.	
			9) King WJ, LeBlanc JC, Barrowman NJ, Klassen TP, Bernard-Bonnin A-C, Robitaille Y et al. Long term effects of a home visit to prevent childhood injury: three year follow up of a randomized trial. <i>Injury Prevention</i> 2005;11:106-9.	
			10) Mahoney JE, Shea TA, Przybelski R, Jaros L, Gangnon R, Cech S et al. Kenosha County falls prevention study: a randomized, controlled trial of an intermediate-intensity, community-based multifactorial falls intervention. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i> 2007;55(4):489-98.	
			11) Salminen M, Vahlberg T, Kivela SL. The long-term effect of a multifactorial fall prevention programme on the incidence of falls requiring medical treatment. <i>Public Health</i> 2009;123(12):809-13.	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>12) Salminen MJ, Vahlberg TJ, Salonoja MT, Aarnio PT, Kivela SL, Salminen Marika J et al. Effect of a risk-based multifactorial fall prevention program on the incidence of falls. <i>Journal of the American Geriatrics Society</i> 2009;57(4):612-9.</p> <p>13) Sjosten NM, Salonoja M, Piirtola M, Vahlberg T, Isoaho R, Hyttinen H et al. A multifactorial fall prevention programme in home-dwelling elderly people: A randomized-controlled trial. <i>Public Health</i> 2007;121:308-18.</p> <p>14) Sangvai S, Cipriani L, Colborn DK, Wald ER et al. Studying injury prevention: practices, problems, and pitfalls in implementation. <i>Clinical Pediatrics</i> 2007;46(3):228-35.</p> <p>Watson M, Kendrick D, Coupland C, Woods A, Futers D, Robinson J. Providing child safety equipment to prevent injuries: randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ</i> 2005;330:178.</p>	
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Increased police patrols for preventing alcohol-impaired driving</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Preventing alcohol</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Summarises the findings of 32 studies. The primary outcome is 'alcohol-related traffic crashes and resulting injuries and fatalities'.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Goss CW, Van Bramer LD, Gliner JA, Porter TR, Roberts IG, DiGuseppi C. Increased police patrols for preventing alcohol-impaired driving. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2008, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD005242. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005242.pub2.</p> <p>This review would have been captured in the search for the guideline. The review was published in Issue 8, 2004 with a review search date to May 2006.</p>	impaired driving was not part of the scope for PH31.
	PH31 - General		<p>New research: Area-wide traffic calming for preventing traffic related injuries Summarises the findings of 22 controlled before-and-after studies. Data are available on the outcomes: deaths, collisions,</p>	Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. The studies in this review would have been identified by our original search strategies.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>injuries and crashes. (6 new studies since the NICE guideline was published.)</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Bunn F, Collier T, Frost C, Ker K, Steinbach R, Roberts I, Wentz R. Area-wide traffic calming for preventing traffic related injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2003, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003110. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003110. (The search for studies is up-to-date to 2008.)</p> <p>The search was updated from 2001 to Jan 2008 for the review version published in Issue 4, 2009. The guideline search would have picked up the version of the review with a search to 2001.</p> <p>The new studies can be seen in the version currently published on the Cochrane Library. If you would like a list of them, just let me know (I will need to unpick the new references from the ones that were there before).</p>	
	PH30 – General		<p>New research: Education of children and adolescents for the prevention of</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Preventing dog bites was</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>dog bite injuries Summarises the findings of two studies.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Duperrex O, Blackhall K, Burri M, Jeannot E. Education of children and adolescents for the prevention of dog bite injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD004726. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004726.pub2.</p> <p>This review would not have been picked up in the search for the guideline as the review was published in Issue 2, 2009 which is after the guideline search date.</p> <p>The review search date is to July 2008 and two studies are included, given in three references:</p> <p>Chapman S, Cornwall J, Righetti J, Sung L. Preventing dog bites in children: randomised controlled trial of an educational intervention. BMJ 2000;320(7248):1512-3.</p>	<p>not part of the scope for PH30.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Chapman S, Cornwall J, Righetti J, Sung L. Preventing dog bites in children: randomized controlled trial of an educational intervention. <i>Western Journal of Medicine</i> 2000;173(4):233-4.</p> <p>Wilson F, Dwyer F, Bennett PC. Prevention of dog bites: Evaluation of a brief educational intervention program for preschool children. <i>Journal of Community Psychology</i> Jan 2003;31(1):75-86.</p>	
	PH30 - General		<p>New research: Community-based interventions for the prevention of burns and scalds in children Four studies are included.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Turner C, Spinks A, McClure RJ, Nixon J. Community-based interventions for the prevention of burns and scalds in children. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2004, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD004335. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004335.pub2. (Searches up-to-date to 2007)</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. The studies in this review would have been identified by our original search strategies.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			This review has a search date of May 2007 and four studies are included. The latest version of the review is on the Cochrane Library.	
	PH30 – General		<p>Interventions for promoting smoke alarm ownership and function 26 studies are included.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>DiGuseppi C, Goss CW, Higgins JPT. Interventions for promoting smoke alarm ownership and function. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2001, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD002246. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002246. (Searches up-to-date to 2007)</p> <p>This review has a search date to September 2007 and 18 RCTs were identified. The latest version of the review is on the Cochrane Library.</p>	Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. The studies in this review would have been identified by our original search strategies.
	PH30 -		Pool fencing for preventing drowning of children.	Thank you for bringing

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
	General		<p>Three case-control studies are included.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Thompson DC, Rivara F. Pool fencing for preventing drowning of children. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 1998, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD001047. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001047. (Searches up-to-date to 2006)</p> <p>This review has a search date to September 2006 and 3 case-control studies are included. The latest version of the review is on the Cochrane Library.</p>	<p>this work to our attention. Any relevant studies in this review should have been picked up by the original searches strategies.</p>
	PH31- General		<p>Interventions for promoting booster seat use in four to eight year olds travelling in motor vehicles</p> <p><i>The review has been updated with a search to July 2011 and includes 4 new studies. The author never responded to the peer reviewer comments so the update was never published. We can work with him to bring the review fully up-to-date.</i></p> <p>Ehiri JE, Ejere HOD, Magnussen L, Emusu D, King W, Osberg</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Promoting booster seat use was not part of the scope for PH31.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>SJ. Interventions for promoting booster seat use in four to eight year olds travelling in motor vehicles. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD004334. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004334.pub2.</p> <p>The four new studies are:</p> <p>Howard A, Beben N, Rothman L, Fiissel D, MacArthur C. Evaluation of Safe Kids Week 2004: Age 4 to 9? It's Booster Seat Time! Injury Prevention 2006;12:316-9.</p> <p>St. Louis R, Parow J, Eby D, Bingham C, Hockanson H, Greenspan A. Evaluation of community-based program to increase booster seat use. Accident Analysis and Prevention 2008;40:295-302.</p> <p>Thoreson S, Myers L, Goss C, DiGuisseppi C. Effects of a Booster Seat Education and Distribution Program in Child Care Centers on Child Restraint Use Among Children Aged 4 to 8 Years. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2009;164(3):261-7.</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			Will K, Sabo S, Porter B. Evaluation of The Boost ‘em in the Back Seat Program: Using fear and efficacy to increase booster seat use. <i>Accident Analysis and Prevention</i> 2009;41:57-65.	
	PH31 – General		<p>Safety education of pedestrians for injury prevention This review, up to date to 2003, includes 15 randomised controlled trials.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Duperrex O, Roberts I, Bunn F. Safety education of pedestrians for injury prevention. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2002, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD001531. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001531. (Searches up-to-date to 2003)</p> <p>The author has updated the review to Jan 2012, but the update has not yet been submitted for editorial approval. The author wrote to say the update is nearly done, with that search date. An update with a search to 2014 should be published before the end of 2014 or early 2015. The new studies to Jan 2012 are:</p>	Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Safety education for pedestrians was not part of the scope for PH31.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Bart O, Katz N, Weiss PL, Josman N. Street crossing by typically developed children in real and virtual environments. OTJR Occupation, Participation and Health 2008;28(2):89-96.</p> <p>Berry DS, Romo CV. Should 'Cyrus the Centipede' take a hike? Effects of exposure to a pedestrian safety program on children's safety knowledge and self-reported behaviors. Journal of Safety Research 2006;37(4):333-41.</p> <p>Kendrick D, Groom L, Stewart J, Watson M, Mulvaney C, Casterton R. "Risk Watch": cluster randomised controlled trial evaluating an injury prevention program. Injury Prevention 2007;13(2):93-8. [10.1136/ip.2006.013862]</p> <p>Kendrick D, Groom L, Stewart J, Watson M, Mulvaney C, Casterton R. Improving child safety: Cluster randomised controlled trial of the "Risk Watch" educational programme for primary school children in the UK. Report prepared for</p>	

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			<p>Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue Service. 2007:1-54.</p> <p>Oxley J, Congiu M, Whelan M, D'Elio A, Charlton J. Teaching young children to cross roads safely. Annual Proceedings / Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine. 2008;52:215-23.</p> <p>Tolmie A, Thomson JA, Foot HC, Whelan K, Morrison S, McLaren B. The effects of adult guidance and peer discussion on the development of children's representations: Evidence from the training of pedestrian skills. [References]. British Journal of Psychology. Vol 96(2) May 2005, 181-204. 2005.</p>	
	PH31 – General		<p>Post-licence driver education for the prevention of road traffic crashes 24 studies are included in this review.</p> <p><u>The Cochrane Injuries Group is available to help update this review if it is eligible to be included in the updated guideline.</u></p> <p>Ker K, Roberts IG, Collier T, Beyer FR, Bunn F, Frost C. Post-licence driver education for the prevention of road traffic crashes. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2003,</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this work to our attention. Post license driver education was not part of the scope for PH31.</p>

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
			Issue 3. Art. No.: CD003734. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003734. (Up-to-date to 2005) The latest version of this review is on the Cochrane Library – it has a search date to October 2005 and includes 24 studies.	
			We are interested in collaborating with your team. If you conduct a value of information analysis to decide whether or not the new study information will impact on an intervention effect size, we would be very keen to have this information for our own priority setting work.	Thank you.
CoGDEM (Council of Gas Detection & Environmental Monitoring)	general		Although smoke detectors are well recognised safety devices, we made a former submission that carbon monoxide alarms should also be considered as life-saving safety devices. Recent child fatalities such as the flood/pump CO incident demonstrate that the lack of CO alarms in the home can lead to fatal incidents occurring. This is particularly relevant in rented accommodation where the servicing of heating appliances is sporadic.	Carbon monoxide detectors were included in the definition of home safety equipment in PH29 and PH30. The literature searches for both PH29 and PH30 included terms for carbon monoxide. No evaluations of interventions involving the installation of carbon monoxide alarms were found for inclusion in the original reviews. They would still be included in any update of the evidence reviews and subsequent changes to the

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
				guidance.
Department of Health	General		Department of Health has no substantive comments to make, regarding this consultation	Thank you for your response.
Public Health England	General		Public Health England support the recommendations outlined in the consultation	Thank you for your response.
Road Safety GB	General		<p>Whilst the focus of PH 31 is on road design and modification, Road Safety GB believes that the three E's (Engineering, Enforcement and ETP – Education, Training and Publicity) are integral to improving road safety and preventing unintentional road injuries.</p> <p>Engineering and road design should not be undertaken without engaging with road users and communities themselves – this may be in the form of education, training and publicity, with enforcement following at a later date for those who continue to disregard the rules of the road.</p> <p>Whilst road design and modification is fundamentally important, the human beings that use the road are the biggest factor in preventing (or causing) unintentional road injuries and, as such, it is often their behaviour which we need to 'modify' or change by breaking bad habits, or through helping them to form life-long good habits. Engineering alone may not be effective in this regard.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Education was not included in the scope for PH31.
Road Safety GB	2		<p>Please consider updating the road casualty data to ensure that the guidance is viewed as current and relevant to practitioners.</p> <p>Current road casualty data can be found at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/reported-road-casualties-great-britain-annual-report-2012</p>	Thank you for bringing this data to our attention.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
Royal College of Nursing	7		PH29 - The update of this public health guidance needs to include residential homes, secure settings, Youth Offending institutions and foster care placements.	Thank you for your comment. These settings were included in the guidance. Some of the formal settings will also be covered by legislation.
Royal College of Nursing	7		PH30 - Same comment as above	Thank you for your comment, please see previous response.
Royal College of Nursing	7		<i>All three pieces of guidance should have a terminology and contextual refresh</i> - This needs to reflect that not all children live at or in a home with parents (see above comments)	Thank you for your comment, please see previous response.
Royal College of Nursing	7		<i>PH31 and the non-home recommendations in PH29 should be reviewed again in 3 years time:</i> We disagree with the proposed recommendation that PH3 and none- home sections of PH29 guidance should be reviewed in three years time. We suggest that it should be consistent with the recommendation for PH30 and home section of PH29 guidance, which is that all the three guidance should be reviewed in one year's time to determine whether there is sufficient new evidence to update those recommendations.	Thank you for your comment. We support the expert panel in their view that there is insufficient new evidence to warrant an update.
Royal College of Paediatrics and	General		Thank you for inviting the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health to	Thank you for your response.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees

Public Health Guideline

Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s: Strategies (PH29); Home (PH30); and Road (PH31) - Consultation on Review Proposal Stakeholder Comments Table

4 – 18 March 2014

Comments forms with attachments such as research articles, letters or leaflets cannot be accepted. If comments forms do have attachments they will be returned without being read. If the stakeholder resubmits the form without attachments, it must be by the consultation deadline

Stakeholder Organisation	Section Number	Page Number	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Response Please respond to each comment
Child Health			comment on the Prevent unintentional injuries among under-15s consultation. We have not received any responses for this consultation.	
Welsh Government	General		We have no specific comments to offer on the NICE guidance documents PH29, PH30 and PH31	Thank you for your response.

The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency in the development of our guidance recommendations. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees