Appendix B: Stakeholder consultation comments table

Consultation dates: 24 October to 6 November 2017

Do you agree with the proposal not to update the guideline?			
Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
Royal College of Nursing	Yes	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.
Department of Health	No	Overall, the existing guidance seems to be pitched at a high-level and offers good, practical advice to early year's practitioners that still looks relevant. That said, we believe that a review of this guidance is justified because it was launched in 2008 and last reviewed in April 2013. We understand from our sponsorship team that if you decide not to update the guidance in the immediate future, then you are unlikely to do so for at least the next few years. In view of this, and the fact that the health landscape generally has changed so much in the past decade, we believe that it would be sensible for the guidance to be updated. In particular, it could acknowledge the transfer of 0-5 healthy Child Programme commissioning responsibilities from NHS England to local authorities in October 2015 and the Children and Social Work Act 2017, and the changes the latter will bring to local child safeguarding arrangements (for example, the replacement of Local Safeguarding Children's Boards, and the impending new Working Together guidance from DfE, which is currently being consulted on). We would be interested to hear what advice you have received from DfE.	Thank you for your comments. We will address these changes in the health landscape through a refresh of the guideline: amendments to the wording of recommendations are made to reflect current policy and practice context. We will add a reference to the Children and Social Work Act 2017 and reference the 'Supporting public health: children, young people and families' guidelines within the guideline. DfE are a registered stakeholder for this guideline, all registered stakeholders are informed of a consultation and any responses we receive are published within this Table.

[Insert footer here] 1 of 4

Public Health England	No	Recommendation 3: The role of health visitors and the interventions identified by Public Health England (PHE) are all addressed by the Healthy Child Programme which is currently recommended within recommendation 3. It is noted that the guideline should have a hyperlink to the Healthy Child Programme so that the resource can be readily accessed. The Healthy Child Programme does not fully reflect the current health visiting model and five mandated reviews. The guideline should also include a link to the 4,5,6 model and high impact areas that were updated in 2016 so that these resources can be readily accessed https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/commissioning-of-public-health-services-for-children In addition, the Rapid Review of the Healthy Child Programme should be included (published 2015): https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/429740/150520RapidReviewHealthyChildProg_UPDATE_poisons_final.pdf Recommendation 5: Delivering services The Early Intervention Foundation is contracted by government to evaluate early interventions; their publication in 2016 "WHAT WORKS TO SUPPORT PARENT CHILD INTERACTION IN THE EARLY YEARS" should be included in the guideline so that this resource can be readily accessed. http://www.eif.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/foundationsforlife/EIF_Foundations-for-Life.pdf	Thank you for your comments. Recommendation 1 provides recommendations on strategy and commissioning, including for local authorities, the 'Supporting public health: children, young people and families' guidelines will be referenced there. Thank you for highlighting the Rapid Review of the Healthy Child Programme and the early interventions report, however external evidence reviews that have not been part of the evidence used to develop recommendations are not referenced within NICE guidelines. We have looked at these reports and note that the findings broadly support the current recommendations in PH40.
Royal College of Psychiatrists	Yes	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.

[Insert footer here] 2 of 4

Do you have any comments on areas excluded from the scope of the guideline?

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
Royal College of Nursing	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.
Department of Health	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.
Public Health England	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.
Royal College of Psychiatrists	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.

Do you have any comments on equalities issues?

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
Royal College of Nursing	Yes	NICE should highlight the absence of studies that research the impact of paternal and grandparent involvement in emotional wellbeing programmes as opposed to mothers only involvement, across current research streams such as Family Nurse Partnership (FNP), Positive Parenting Programme (Triple P), and Healthy Child Programmes.	Thank you for your response. The lack of research overall into the impact of paternal and grandparent involvement in emotional wellbeing programmes has been noted in PH40 in the recommendations for research (5.3: What approaches can be used to ensure fathers and grandparents help protect or improve the social and emotional wellbeing of vulnerable children aged under 5 years?). NICE is not able to influence directly the evaluations undertaken within individual delivery programmes.

[Insert footer here] 3 of 4

Department of Health	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.
Public Health England	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.
Royal College of Psychiatrists	No	No comments provided	Thank you for your response.

[Insert footer here] 4 of 4