NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Preventing and Reducing Domestic Violence

7th Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Tuesday 5th March 2013

NICE Offices

Final Minutes

Attendees:	PDG Members Gene Feder (Chair), Zlakha Ahmed, Rahila Ameen, Diana Barran, Bushara Bostan, Adrian Boyle, Linda Davies, Marianne Hester, Rita Hirani, Jane Lewis, Gillian Mezey, Maureen Noble, Amanda Robinson, David Sloan, Nicky Stanley
	NICE Team Antony Morgan, Chris Carmona, Charlotte Haynes, Andrew Hoy, Kim Jeong, Una Canning, Victoria Axe
	SCIE Team Jen Francis
	Review Team Lorraine Greaves via TC (BCCEWH), Nancy Poole via TC, (BCCEWH), Natalie Hemsing via TC (BCCEWH), Jacque Mallender (Matrix), Meena Venkatachalam (Matrix), Tracey Jhita (Matrix)
	Independent Co-optee for Health Economics Sarah Byford (Kings College)
Apologies:	PDG Members Lori Busch, Chris Green, Davina James-Hanman, Louise Howard, Pamela Richardson, Karen Williams

Author	Victoria Axe
File Ref	Final minutes of PDG 7 meeting 5 th March 2013
Version	Final
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)

Item		Action
1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting	The Chair welcomed the PDG to the seventh meeting on preventing and reducing domestic violence. The meeting was not quorate at the start however Rahila Ameen and Bushara Bostan arrived at 10am and Zlakha Ahmed arrived at 10.15am making the meeting quorate.	
	The Chair informed the PDG that apologies had been received from Lori Busch, Davina James-Hanman, Louise Howard, Pamela Richardson and Karen Williams.	
	The Chair informed the group of the objectives of the day. These included an update on where we are in terms of existing guidance; an update on the conceptual framework; receiving a draft review relating to the partnership working prepared by British Columbia Centre for Women's Excellence (BCCEWH); drafting recommendations relating to partnership working to prevent and reduce domestic violence; continuing to revise recommendations; receiving a presentation on the first phase of economic modelling work from the Matrix team and considering any outstanding areas for the expert testimony.	
2. Declarations of Interests	The Chair asked the PDG to declare their conflicts of interest and to continue to keep these updated throughout the guidance development.	
	Gene Feder declared a potential conflict of interest as he is an adviser to the National Screening Committee on domestic violence screening.	
	Jane Lewis declared that she now works for the Colebrook Centre for Evidence and Implementation as the Director of Evidence Support.	
	There were no further conflicts of interest.	
3. Notes of the last meeting	The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed, the actions were discussed and the minutes were agreed to be an accurate record.	
	Action: Victoria Axe to amend the minutes to reconfirm that the meeting was indeed quorate from 10am onwards.	VA
	Sarah Byford arrived at 10.10am.	
4. Countdown to consultation	Antony Morgan (AM) gave a presentation on where the PDG currently are in the production of guidance. AM asked for volunteers from the PDG for people who	

	could lead on revising the recommendations prior to the next meeting.	
	Action: PDG members to volunteer if they would like to work with the NICE team on the recommendations outside of the meeting, otherwise they would be allocated to recommendations.	PDG
	AM informed the PDG that NICE are currently tendering for fieldwork in this topic. It was noted that any organisation can bid for this contract, however PDG members would not be allowed to lead or be part of the team on the work.	
	Maureen Noble, Diana Barran, Meena Venkatachalam and Tracey Jhita arrived at 10.20am.	
	AM asked the PDG to think about any expert knowledge that they might need in order to draft recommendations.	
	The Chair invited the PDG to ask AM questions in regard to the guidance process.	
	Action: AM to send Sarah Byford the Terms of Reference for PDG co-optees.	АМ
	Nicky Stanley arrived at 10.30am.	
E 11 1 4		
5. Update on Conceptual framework	Charlotte Haynes (CH) gave a presentation on the updated conceptual framework. CH noted the areas where the evidence was limited. CH also recommended areas where the PDG may want to consider searching for further evidence.	
Conceptual	updated conceptual framework. CH noted the areas where the evidence was limited. CH also recommended areas where the PDG may want to	
Conceptual	updated conceptual framework. CH noted the areas where the evidence was limited. CH also recommended areas where the PDG may want to consider searching for further evidence. There was a query around offenders and whether the	
Conceptual	updated conceptual framework. CH noted the areas where the evidence was limited. CH also recommended areas where the PDG may want to consider searching for further evidence. There was a query around offenders and whether the framework refers to the population or the setting. There was a comment around the use of terminology and NICE were asked to use BMER (Black Minority	
Conceptual	updated conceptual framework. CH noted the areas where the evidence was limited. CH also recommended areas where the PDG may want to consider searching for further evidence. There was a query around offenders and whether the framework refers to the population or the setting. There was a comment around the use of terminology and NICE were asked to use BMER (Black Minority Ethnic and Refugee) instead of "ethnic minorities".	
Conceptual	updated conceptual framework. CH noted the areas where the evidence was limited. CH also recommended areas where the PDG may want to consider searching for further evidence. There was a query around offenders and whether the framework refers to the population or the setting. There was a comment around the use of terminology and NICE were asked to use BMER (Black Minority Ethnic and Refugee) instead of "ethnic minorities". Jacque Mallender arrived at 10.35am. Diana Barran suggested the NICE Team should contact Angela Everson from the Yorkshire Prisons	Diana Barran

	Action: If there are important areas that need to be added to the list of evidence papers reviewed the PDG should construct a list, prioritise the items and forward this to the NICE team. It was noted that there was limited evidence in a number of areas and the Chair discussed the best way of amending this issue. Action: The NICE team to email the table with evidence statements linked to conceptual framework elements to the PDG.	PDG NICE Team
6. Update on Existing Guidance	Andrew Hoy briefly updated the PDG on the current existing guidance.	
	Action: AH to print out a number of copies of the existing guidance document for the groups whilst they draft recommendations.	Andrew Hoy
7. Update on Health Economics	Matrix gave a presentation on an Economic analysis of domestic violence prevention and reduction.	
	The BCCEWH joined the meeting via teleconference at 11.15am.	
	The Chair invited the PDG to ask questions during the presentation in regard to the model and a number of issues were discussed.	
	Due to time constraints the presentation had to be cut short therefore Matrix agreed to join the meeting in the afternoon to answer any further questions.	
	Meena Venkatachalam, Jacque Mallender and Kim Jeong left the meeting at 12pm.	
	Gillian Mezey joined the meeting at 12pm.	
	Action: NICE Team to email BCCEWH the slides from Matrix.	NICE Team
8. Presentation of Evidence - Partnerships	BCCEWH gave a presentation entitled Effectiveness Review of Partnership Approaches for Assessing and Responding to Domestic Violence	
	Kim Jeong and Tracey Jhita returned to the meeting at 12.15pm.	
9. Discussion	The Chair invited the PDG to ask BCCEWH questions throughout the presentation.	
	The definition of partnership was discussed.	

	The Hester and Westmarland paper was discussed and it was noted that this was not included in the evidence review.	
	Action: BCCEWH to consider the Hester/Westmarland review.	BCCEWH
	Action: BCCEWH to look at studies and highlight those where health and social care are involved.	BCCEWH
	The Chair asked the BCCEWH to give an overview of the main enablers and the barriers.	
	Action: BCCEWH to provide the PDG with a list of further enablers and barriers post the meeting.	BCCEWH
	The issue of confidentiality as a barrier was discussed.	
	The issue of co-location and full integration of services was discussed.	
	A request was made to look at literature from sexual assault referral centres and its relevance to victims of domestic assault.	
	Matrix returned to the meeting at 1.30pm and finalised their presentation on an Economic analysis of domestic violence prevention and reduction.	
	Maureen Noble left the meeting at 2pm.	
	There was a further discussion around the key assumptions used in the models. The PDG suggested various model runs for further analyses to explore uncertainties.	
	Action: The Matrix team to undertake various model-runs in the incidence reduction and harm reduction models for the next meeting.	Matrix
	The Matrix team left the meeting at 1.50pm.	
10. Agreeing areas for recommendations - partnerships	The PDG agreed areas for recommendations, including recommendations on psychological interventions.	
partitoratilpa	Sarah Byford and Amanda Robinson left the meeting at 2.15pm.	
	The Chair asked CH to return to the conceptual framework and the gaps in the evidence were discussed further.	
	Action: BCCEWH to be asked to look further into the gap in the evidence around women who may	NICE Team/BCCE

	be separated/separating.	WH
	Action: The PDG suggested several expert witnesses who could fill some of the gaps in the evidence. The Chair and NICE team will prioritise these and incorporate into the next agenda	NICE Team
	Action: CH to add antenatal settings to the conceptual framework.	Charlotte Haynes
11. Revising draft recommendations	The PDG broke into small groups to revise the previously drafted recommendations on identification, interventions and equality and to draft recommendations on partnership.	
	It was agreed that the PDG would look at primary prevention at the April meeting.	
	Action: The NICE Team to contact Katie Cosgrove in regard to primary prevention.	NICE Team
12. Drafting recommendations	The PDG gave feedback in plenary.	
plenary feedback	Action: The NICE Team to do a further draft to send to lead PDG members	NICE Team
13. Next Steps	AM gave a presentation on the next steps following the PDG meeting.	
	Action: The PDG to be allocated to work on the recommendations that were drafted. AM to liaise with the Chair to see who he would suggest as teams for this task.	PDG and the NICE Team
	The new version of the recommendations will be sent to the PDG on 15 th March.	
	Action: The PDG to comment on the recommendations by 22 nd March.	PDG
	Gillian Mezey left the meeting at 4pm.	
14. Any other business	There was no other business.	
15. Close	The meeting closed at 4.05pm.	