

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMME GUIDANCE

Contraceptive services for socially disadvantaged young people

6th meeting of the Programme Development Group

Thursday 19th October 2009

Derwent room, NICE Offices, MidCity Place, 71 High Holborn,
London WC1V 6NA

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i></p> <p>Anne Weyman – Chair, Karen Harrison, Ruth Hine, Lesley Hoggart, Ifigeneia Mavranouzouli, Faye Sutton, Kim Tanner, Amar Abass, Pauline McGough, Karen Spooner, Ros Delaney, Alaina Dingwall, Babs Young, Rhiannon Holder, Penny Barber</p> <p><i>NICE</i></p> <p>Chris Carmona (CC), Alastair Fischer (AF), Kay Nolan (KN), Patricia Mountain (PM), Tricia Younger (TY), Clare Wohlgemuth (CW)</p> <p><i>Contractors – SchARR</i></p> <p>Hazel Pilgrim (HP), Lindsay Blank (LB), Nick Payne (NP)</p>	
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i></p> <p>Pat Farley, Simran Chawla, Terri Ryland</p> <p><i>Nice Team</i></p> <p>Mike Kelly (MK)</p>	
Author	Patricia Mountain final	

Item		Action
1	<p>Welcome, Introductions and Aims of the Meeting</p> <p>The Chair welcomed the PDG to the sixth meeting. The aims of the meeting were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to discuss the evidence review on the effectiveness of contraceptive services in community settings. • to develop outline areas for potential recommendations arising from the evidence • to inform the development of the economic analysis • to identify issues for consideration in the guidance 	
2	<p>Declarations of interest</p> <p>One new declaration of interest was received: Pauline McGough - Non personal pecuniary.</p> <p>No changes were made to previous declarations of interest</p> <p>All members of the SchARR team declared a potential non personal pecuniary interest with regard to potential future research in the area.</p>	
3	<p>Minutes of last meeting and matters arising</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The minutes were approved with minor amendments. • PDG members were invited to contribute to the glossary for the guidance by drafting a short definition/explanation of terms that may need to be clarified. • PDG members were asked to contact NICE by 25th November with their suggestions for the glossary. • The most recent ONS report on contraception and sexual health is available from http://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_health/contra2008-9.pdf 	<p>PDG members</p>
4	<p>The findings of the community settings review</p> <p>LB (SchARR) gave a presentation on the main findings from the review of contraceptive services in community settings.</p>	
5	<p>Questions and clarification - community settings review</p> <p>The discussion included the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence on community educational programmes which provide contraceptive services was included in the review • The limited evidence in general, • Interventions for young men concerned condoms only • The mass media interventions provided some evidence related to service access and use 	

6,7	<p>Group work: Potential areas for recommendations from the community settings review</p> <p>The PDG discussed and identified potential recommendations for practice and potential research recommendations. These included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emergency hormonal contraception, partnerships between maternity and contraceptive services. • Interventions involving young men. 	
8	<p>Health Economics</p> <p>HP (SchARR) outlined the next stage in the development of the economic analysis for this guidance. The PDG discussed short and long term outcomes for socially disadvantaged young people and the deployment of resources.</p>	
9	<p>Next steps in the guidance development process</p> <p>TY outlined some of the factors to be taken into consideration when developing guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence in the context of member's experience of what would be practical/implementable, • Applicability of evidence: who is the recommendation is for and what is the context in which they work? • NICE public health guidance extends beyond the NHS. • The needs of commissioners of services. • Confidentiality and consent and any issues which underpin recommendations. • NICE can also make recommendations to stop doing certain things, where there is evidence that practice is ineffective. 	
10,11	<p>Group work: How close are we to recommendations?</p> <p>The PDG discussed potential areas for inclusion in the guidance should take account of. These included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Involving young people • Values, ethics and rights of young people • Training of staff • young men as well as young women • Contraceptive methods and choices • Cultural/religious differences • Targeted interventions 	
13	<p>Has today raised any additional considerations?</p> <p>The PDG Chair asked the PDG to consider other considerations which may or may not be included in a recommendation. Suggestions included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rural communities • Applicability of US data and evidence • Role of pharmacists <p>The PDG suggested experts who might be invited to future PDG</p>	

	<p>meetings.</p> <p>NICE always conducts an equity audit at the end of guidance production to ensure recommendations do not unfairly disadvantage any group.</p>	<p>NICE team</p> <p>PDG members</p>
14	<p>Any Other Business None</p>	
	<p>The meeting ended at 3.45pm</p>	
	<p>DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 10th December 2009, NICE Offices, London</p> <p>MEETING PAPERS TO BE MAILED: 30th November 2009</p>	