NICE PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMME GUIDANCE

Contraceptive services for socially disadvantaged young people
9th meeting of the Programme Development Group

Wednesday 24th March 2010

Derwent room, NICE Offices, MidCity Place, 71 High Holborn, London WC1V 6NA

Final Minutes

Attendees:	***PDG Members*** Anne Weyman – Chair, Penny Barber (pm only) Lucy Dallimore, Ros Delaney, Alaina Dingwall , Pat Farley, Karen Harrison (KH), Lesley Hoggart, Ifigeneia Mavranezouli (pm only), Pauline McGough, Faye Sutton , Kim Tanner, Babs Young. ***NICE*** Chris Carmona (CC), Alastair Fischer (AF), Kay Nolan (KN), Clare Wohlgemuth (CW), Tricia Younger (TY). **Observers:** Ruaraidh Hill, CPHE, NICE Maxine Adrian-Flett, Costing & Commissioning team, NICE Michael Lawrie, GHK (fieldwork contractors) pm only. ***Collaborating Centre – ScHARR*** Hazel Pilgrim	
Apologies:	***PDG Members*** Simran Chawla, Terri Ryland, Rhiannon Holder, Pat Farley Ruth Hine, Karen Spooner	

Item		Action
1	**Welcome, Introductions and Aims of the Meeting** The Chair welcomed the PDG to the ninth meeting. The aims of the meeting were: • To consider the updated economics modelling report and review • To revise draft recommendations • To develop draft research recommendations • To identify considerations underpinning the recommendations	
2	**Declarations of interest** No new declarations of interest were made. Members of the collaborating centre (ScHARR) declared a non- personal pecuniary interest with regard to potential future research on the topic	
3	**Minutes of last meeting and matters arising** • The minutes were approved, subject to an amendment to the date in the title of the minutes. • Matters Arising o Glossary: No further comments from PDG members. If only a few terms to be clarified these can be included in the guidance. PDG members to read through the draft guidance and check for terminology that needs clarification. o Timelines: ▪ The draft guidance will be sent to PDG members for comment 21st April - 4th May 2010 ▪ The draft guidance will be issued for consultation with stakeholders 25th May – 23rd June 2010 • The Chair requested that individual PDG members review and sign that they approve their name and description in the PDG listing within the guidance and related documentation	**PDG members**
4	**Update on health economics modelling report and outcome review, followed by discussion.** • Hazel Pilgrim from ScHARR gave a presentation on the main revisions to the economic modelling report and outcomes review. Sensitivity analysis had been carried out and assumptions tested. Estimated costs had also been checked and adjusted but they made no difference to the conclusions and outcomes from the modelling. The discussion on the report and the outcomes review included the following: o Differences between outcomes for mothers under 18 and those 18-25 years. Econometric studies had adjusted for differences between the 2 groups - identifying which of the long term outcomes relate to the teenage birth and which relate to the earlier baseline characteristics. o Statistical regression studies of the long term socioeconomic	

	outcomes of teenage mothers, to age 30, suggested that a teenage birth is associated with smaller long term negative socioeconomic outcomes than had been assumed. o Maternal age at first birth is weakly associated with long term negative socioeconomic outcomes for the child. Previous socioeconomic disadvantage for the mother appears to be more strongly associated with negative long term outcomes for the child than maternal age at birth. o It was difficult to quantify the impact of 'care to learn' and other childcare schemes o The model assumes that there is an initial cost to having an abortion but that on average there are no long term socio-economic consequences of having an abortion	
6	**Overview and update on the draft recommendations** The PDG worked in groups to revise the draft recommendations including: • Evidence from the updated views review • Gaps • Amendments • Research recommendations	
7	**Update on fieldwork** • KN explained the planned fieldwork pro- the testing of the draft recommendations in different localities. This will take place during the consultation on the draft guidance. The fieldwork will test the feasibility of the recommendations with those who will have the responsibility for implementing them.	
8	**Media Strategy** • There will be a carefully planned media strategy, for the draft guidance including a press release. • If contacted, PDG members were advised to refer journalists directly to the NICE press office	
9	**Any other business** • The human rights aspects of contraceptive sexual and reproductive health. • Terminology: abortion or termination of pregnancy • Ethical concerns and religious beliefs relating to contraceptive services and the supply of emergency hormonal contraception by health professionals.	
	The Chair thanked all attendees and the meeting closed at 4.06pm	

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 14th and 15th July 2010 London

MEETING PAPERS TO BE MAILED: 5th July 2010