NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Needle and Syringe Programmes (update of PH18)

4th Meeting of the Public Health Advisory Committee

22nd November 2013

Broadway House, Tothill St, London

Draft Minutes

Attendees:	 PHAC Members Core members Susan Jebb (Chair), Chris Packham, Lucy Yardley, Alison Lloyd Topic members Vicky Fenwick, Adam Mackridge, Tony Margett, Fortune Ncube, April Wareham(left room 10am-11am), Paul Wells Co-optees Joe Kean, Steroid Project Lead, Lifeline and Senior Trainer, Nine Zero Five NICE Team Simon Ellis, Chris Carmona, Emily Aidoo (minutes), Alastair Fischer, James Jagroo, Suzi Peden, Louise Millward, Melinda Kay, Mandy Harling, Lyndsey Unwin (arrived 14.31) Review Teams
	Observers Craig Moss (Addaction), Natalie Wood (Tiny Sparks project), Rhosyn Harris RCP, Grace Marsden RCP (left 3pm), Isabel Quilter (SCIE), Rhosyn Harris (SCIE)
Apologies:	PHAC Members Amanda Sowden, Joyce Rothschild, Toby Prevost, Mireia Jofre Bonet

Author	Emily Aidoo, Project Manager
File Ref	Draft minutes of PHAC A 4th meeting 22 nd November 2013
Version	Draft 1
Audience	PHAC members, NICE team, members of the public

Item		Action
1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting	The Chair welcomed the Public Health Advisory Committee (PHAC), the NICE team, the review team and the observers to the 4th meeting on Needle and Syringe Programmes (update).	
	The Chair also welcomed the members of public to the meeting.	
	The Chair outlined the general housekeeping for the venue.	
	The Chair stated that the aim of the meeting was to finalise the guidance on 'Needle and syringe programmes' , to be published in March 2014.	
	The objectives of the day were to:	
	 consider stakeholders comments on the draft guidance 	
	• consider the findings from the fieldwork	
	 consider the equality impact assessment of the guidance 	
	• discuss revisions and finalise the guidance	
	 discuss plans for dissemination and implementation. 	
2. Declarations of Interests	The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and are recorded in the minutes as a matter of public record.	
	The Chair asked the PHAC to declare any changes to their conflicts of interest. Previous declarations of interest can be viewed in the minutes of meeting 1 on the NICE website here: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/PHG/72	
	The Chair and Associate Director of CPH had reviewed these interests previously and determined that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.	

	The following additional DOIs were made:	
	Personal non pecuniary	
	Adam Mackridge Funded by Reckitt Benskiser Pharmaceuticals Ltd, to attend a meeting in the US about tackling problems arising from addiction to prescription medication.	
	Paul Wells Chair of UK Harm Reduction Alliance, which commented on the draft guidance during consultation. However, Paul was not involved in preparing the comments.	
	The Chair confirmed that these interests did not prevent them from fully participating in the meeting.	
3. Presentation by Chris Carmona NICE	Overview of stakeholder comments – presentation CC gave an overview of who commented, the main themes, and key issues.	
	The committee were given an opportunity to ask any questions about the comments. Feedback included:	
	• It may be important to note that the age limit includes under 16s, we don't want to exclude the under 18s. Safeguarding generally use 18+. We should give specific considerations to what it would take to separate the two.	
	• Pharmacy comments- we should acknowledge that pharmacies do have experience of working with young people.	
4. Presentation by	Fieldwork report – presentation	
Craig Moss (Addaction) and Natalie Wood (Tiny	CM and NW presented the findings from fieldwork.	
Sparks)	The committee were given an opportunity to ask any questions about the report. This included the following:	
	 There is a lack of knowledge or evidence for image-enhancing needle use. Pharmacy provision varies across the country. 	
	Overall it was felt that the guidance had been well received and there wouldn't be many changes or additions to the recommendations.	

 5. Presentation by James Jagroo (NICE) 6. Revisions to the recommendations 	Equality impact assessment – presentation and discussion JJ presented potential issues and PHAC members discussed necessary revisions. The committee were given an opportunity to ask any questions about the report. Revisions to the recommendations and considerations – presentation of suggested revisions and PHAC discussion to finalise The committee suggested amendments and additions to the recommendations which were noted by the team.
7. Finalising the	Finalising the research recommendations
research	
recommendations	The committee did not have time to discuss the research recommendations in any detail but agreed to comment by email.
8.Presentation by Mandy Harling (NICE)	Supporting dissemination and implementation – issues and plans
	MH from the NICE Implementation team shared provisional plans and invited volunteers to comment on draft tools.
10. Next steps	Summary of the day and next steps
	Susan summarised the main decisions and actions agreed.
	Simon outlined the next steps, as follows:
	 complete the revisions, then edit the guidance circulate the revised guidance to committee
	members on 16 th December for comments by 6 th January
	 meet with the Chair on 8th January to review the comments and agree final revisions
	submit the guidance for NICE sign-offpublish the final guidance in March.
11. AOB	The Chair note that the next meeting would be on Friday 13 th December on <u>Exercise referral</u> <u>schemes</u> , so only core members to attend.

	• The Chair thanked the NSP topic members and cooptees for all their contributions.	
12. Close	The meeting closed at 4.45pm.	