NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE DIRECTORATE

QUALITY STANDARDS

Quality standard topic: Contraceptive services **Output:** Equality analysis form – Meeting 1

Introduction

As outlined in the <u>Quality Standards process guide</u> (available from <u>www.nice.org.uk</u>), NICE has a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between people from different groups. The purpose of this form is to document the consideration of equality issues in each stage of the development process before reaching the final output that will be approved by the NICE Guidance Executive. This equality analysis is designed to support compliance with NICE's obligations under the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act 1998.

Table 1 lists the equality characteristics and other equality factors NICE needs to consider, i.e. not just population groups sharing the 'protected characteristics' defined in the Equality Act but also those affected by health inequalities associated with socioeconomic factors or other forms of disadvantage. The table does not attempt to provide further interpretation of the protected characteristics. This is because it is likely to be simpler, and more efficient, to use the evidence underpinning the quality standard to define population groups within the broad protected characteristic categories rather than to start with possibly unsuitable checklists created for other purposes, such as social surveys or HR monitoring tools.

The form should be used to:

- confirm that equality issues have been considered and identify any relevant to the topic
- ensure that the quality standards outputs do not discriminate against any of the equality groups
- highlight planned action relevant to equality
- highlight areas where quality standards may advance equality of opportunity.

This form is completed by the NICE quality standards internal team at each stage within the development process:

- Topic overview (to elicit additional comments as part of active stakeholder engagement)
- Quality Standards Advisory Committee meeting 1
- Quality Standards Advisory Committee meeting 2

Table 1

Protected characteristics
Age
Disability
Gender reassignment
Pregnancy and maternity
Race
Religion or belief
Sex
Sexual orientation
Other characteristics
Socio-economic status
Depending on policy or other context, this may cover factors such as social exclusion and deprivation associated with geographical areas or inequalities or variations associated with other geographical distinctions (e.g. the North/South divide, urban versus rural).
Marital status (including civil partnership)

Other categories

Other groups in the population experience poor health because of circumstances often affected by, but going beyond, sharing a protected characteristic or socioeconomic status. Whether such groups are identifiable depends on the guidance topic and the evidence. The following are examples of groups covered in NICE guidance:

- Refugees and asylum seekers
- Migrant workers
- Looked after children
- Homeless people.

Quality standards equality analysis

Stage: Topic overview

Topic: Contraceptive Services

- 1. Have any equality issues impacting upon equality groups been identified during this stage of the development process?
 - Please state briefly any relevant equality issues identified and the plans to tackle them during development.

No specific issues have been identified. However, any equality issues identified will be considered during the development of the quality standard and when relevant to quality statements, these are addressed in question 4.

- 2. Have relevant bodies and stakeholders been consulted, including those with a specific interest in equalities?
 - Have comments highlighting potential for discrimination or advancing equality been considered?

Standing members for Quality Standards Advisory Committees (QSACs) have been recruited by open advert with relevant bodies and stakeholders given the opportunity to apply. In addition to these standing committee members, specialist committee members from a range of professional and lay backgrounds relevant to this topic were recruited.

The first stage of the process gained comments from stakeholders on the key quality improvement areas which were considered by the QSAC.

This is the second stage of the process which will look to elicit comments from stakeholders on the draft quality standard at consultation.

- 3. Have any population groups, treatments or settings been excluded from coverage by the quality standard at this stage in the process? Are these exclusions legal and justified?
 - Are the reasons for justifying any exclusion legitimate?

Statements 2, 3 and 4 exclude men as their population relates to women only.

- 4. If applicable, do any of the quality statements make it impossible or unreasonably difficult in practice for a specific group to access a service or element of a service?
 - Does access to a service or element of a service depend on membership of a specific group?
 - Does a service or element of the service discriminate unlawfully against a group?
 - Do people with disabilities find it impossible or unreasonably difficult to

receive a service or element of a service?

Age, religion, culture are important aspects to note discussing contraceptives with women. Statements 3 and 4 explain that these factors should be considered by healthcare professionals as they can have an impact on available contraceptive options.

Statement 1 refers to ensuring that people are given information and are offered a choice of all methods. Options may be limited for women with existing health conditions, such as migraines. Options may also be limited for those with a learning disability or cognitive impairment. Options are presently limited for men. Any information provided should be accessible for those with additional needs such as language differences, physical, sensory or learning disabilities. These factors should be considered by healthcare professionals.

Statement 2 refers to informing women that the intrauterine device is more effective than the oral emergency contraceptive. Variations in geographical areas (urban or rural) may mean that women will not be able to access an intrauterine device in time for it to be an effective emergency contraceptive. These factors should be considered by commissioners and healthcare professionals.

5. If applicable, does the quality standard advance equality?

• Please state if the quality standard, including statements, measures and indicators, as described will advance equality of opportunity, for example by making access more likely for certain groups, by tailoring the service to certain groups, or by making reasonable adjustments for people with disabilities?

We believe these statements will advance equality of opportunity.