

1.0.7 DOC EIA

2. PRE-CONSULTATION STAGE (to be completed by the lead technical analyst before consultation on draft quality standard)

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the quality standard (including those identified during the topic engagement process)? How have they been addressed?

Children and young people from vulnerable groups such as, homeless, travellers and refugees are at risk of not being immunised. Healthcare professionals should ensure they get as much information as possible from the child or young person and/or parent about their immunisation history and offer outstanding vaccinations.

Non-English speaking families may need an interpreter to understand the purpose of the immunisations.

Children, young people and their families with a low literacy level may need telephone reminders for an immunisation appointment rather than letters or text messages.

2.2 Have any changes to the scope of the quality standard been made as a result of topic engagement to highlight potential equality issues?

No changes have been made to the scope of the quality standard at this stage.

2.3 Do the draft quality statements make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

The statements do not prevent any specific groups from accessing services.

2.4 Is there potential for the draft quality statements to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

A positive impact is expected as children and young people from vulnerable groups such as, homeless, travellers and refugees are at risk of not being immunised.

We believe these statements promote equality. The quality standard will be inclusive and ensure statements are relevant for all groups within the scope of the quality standard.

2.5 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

No.

Completed by lead technical analyst
Karyo Angeloudis

Date 26/8/2016

1.0.7 DOC EIA

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____ Nick
Baillie _____

Date 9/2/17 _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA

Post-consultation stage

3. Final quality standard (to be completed by the lead technical analyst before GE consideration of final quality standard)

3.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation stage, and, if so, how has the committee addressed them?

Children and young people from vulnerable groups such as, homeless, travellers, young offenders, refugees and people who are HIV positive are at increased likelihood of having missed previous vaccinations. Healthcare professionals should ensure they get as much information as possible from the child or young person and/or parent about their immunisation history and offer outstanding vaccinations.

Non-English speaking families may need an interpreter to understand the purpose of the immunisations.

Children, young people and their families from a low literacy level may need telephone reminders for an immunisation appointment rather than letters or text messages.

The above factors were identified during the process and were considered during the development of the quality standard.

3.2 If the quality statements have changed after the consultation stage, are there any that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No.

3.3 If the quality statements have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

3.4 If the quality statements have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?

No.

Completed by lead technical analyst
Karyo Angeloudis

Date 7/12/2016

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead ___Nick Baillie

1.0.7 DOC EIA

Date _____ 9/2/17 _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA

4. After Guidance Executive amendments – if applicable (to be completed by appropriate NICE staff member after Guidance Executive)

4.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:

None

Completed by lead technical analyst
Karyo Angeloudis

Date 8/2/2017

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead ____Nick
Baillie_____

Date_9/2/17_____

1.0.7 DOC EIA