National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

# Chronic heart failure in adults (update)

**Stakeholder engagement – deadline for comments** 5pm on 20/04/2022

**email**:QStopicengagement@nice.org.uk

Please read the checklist for submitting comments at the end of this form. We cannot accept forms that are not filled in correctly or arrive after the deadline.

This quality standard will replace the existing NICE quality standard for [chronic heart failure in adults](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs9) (QS9). Review of the quality standard identified that there may be changes in the areas for improvement since publication. The key development source for this quality standard is [Chronic heart failure in adults: diagnosis and management](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng106) NG106.

# Organisation details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Organisation name – Stakeholder or respondent**  (if you are responding as an individual rather than a registered stakeholder please leave blank) |  |
| **Disclosure**  Please disclose any past or current, direct or indirect links to, or funding from, the tobacco industry. |  |
| **Name of person completing form** |  |
| **Supporting the quality standard**  Would your organisation like to express an interest in formally supporting this quality standard? [More information.](https://www.nice.org.uk/standards-and-indicators/get-involved/support-a-quality-standard) |  |
| **Type** | **[Office use only]** |

**Your views on the current quality standard**

Following a review of the current quality standard we found that there may have been improvements in some of the areas currently included in the quality standard. We would therefore like you to answer the following questions on some of the existing quality statements.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Our questions** | **Your comments** |
| 1. Statements 1 and 2 both relate to diagnosis and we propose that they be merged. Do you agree with this proposal? |  |
| 1. Statements 6 and 7 both relate to cardiac rehabilitation and we propose they be merged. Do you agree with this proposal? |  |
| 1. Statement 7 was classed as ‘developmental’ when it was initially included in the quality standard in 2016. This means that it was identified as an emergent area of service delivery found in a minority of providers and indicated outstanding performance. Is it now routine to provide cardiac rehabilitation at a range of times and locations as outlined in the quality statement? |  |

# Quality improvement comments

**What are the** **key areas for quality improvement** that you would want to see covered by an update to this quality standard? **Please prioritise up to 5 areas** which you consider as having the greatest potential to improve the quality of care.

**These areas may or may not be covered by statements in the current quality standard**. Please state the specific aspects of care or service delivery that should be addressed, including the actions that you feel would most improve quality. Note that all actions or interventions to improve quality within the quality standard must be based on recommendations from a NICE or NICE accredited guideline.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Key area for quality improvement** | **Why is this a key area for quality improvement?** | **Supporting information** |
| Separately list each key area for quality improvement that you would want to see covered by this quality standard.  These areas may or may not be covered by statements in the current quality standard. | Evidence of information that care in the suggested key areas for quality improvement is poor or variable and requires improvement. | Information can include:   * National data sources that collect data relating to your suggested key areas for quality improvement * Sections or recommendations in a NICE / NICE accredited guideline relating to the key areas for quality improvement   Don’t paste other tables into this table as your comments could get lost. Type directly into this table. |
| **Example:**  Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) | **Example:** The National Audit for COPD found that the number of areas offering pulmonary rehabilitation has increased in the last three years and although many people are offered referral, the quality of pulmonary rehabilitation and its availability is still limited in the UK.  Individual programmes differ in the precise exercises used, are of different duration, involve variable amounts of home exercise and have different referral criteria. | EXAMPLE: Please see the Royal College of Physicians national COPD audit which highlights findings of data collection for quality indicators relating to pulmonary rehabilitation. <http://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/resources/chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-audit> |
| Key area for quality improvement 1 |  |  |
| Key area for quality improvement 2 |  |  |
| Key area for quality improvement 3 |  |  |
| Key area for quality improvement 4 |  |  |
| Key area for quality improvement 5 |  |  |

# Checklist for submitting comments

* Use this form and submit it as a Word document (not a PDF).
* Complete the disclosure about links with, or funding from, the tobacco industry.
* Combine all comments from your organisation into 1 response. We cannot accept more than 1 response from each organisation.
* Do not paste other tables into this table – type directly into the table.
* **Clearly mark any confidential information or other material that you do not wish to be made public. Also, ensure you state in your email to NICE that your submission includes confidential comments.**
* Do not include medical information about yourself or another person from which you or the person could be identified.
* Spell out any abbreviations you use
* Please provide concise supporting information for each key area. Provide reference to examples from the published or grey literature such as national, regional or local reports of variation in care, audits, surveys, confidential enquiries, uptake reports and evaluations such as impact of NICE guidance recommendations
* For copyright reasons, do not include attachments of **published** material such as research articles, letters or leaflets. However, if you give us the full citation, we will obtain our own copy
* Attachments of unpublished reports, local reports / documents are permissible. If you wish to provide academic in confidence material i.e. written but not yet published, or commercial in confidence i.e. internal documentation, highlight this using the highlighter function in Word.

Please return to [QStopicengagement@nice.org.uk](mailto:QStopicengagement@nice.org.uk)

NICE reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, where in the reasonable opinion of NICE, the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.

Comments received from registered stakeholders and respondents during our stakeholder engagements are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the comments we received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory Committees.