### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

### Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

# STA Durvalumab with etoposide and either carboplatin or cisplatin for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

### Final draft guidance

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No issues identified at scoping.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No other issues identified.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No other issues identified.

4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of durvalumab with etoposide and either carboplatin or cisplatin for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer

If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

The panel noted that its recommendation is optimised to people with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1, that is, people with lower functional disability. This is because a) the trial for durvalumab only contained people with ECOG performance status of 0 or 1, and b) the cost-comparison methodology requires like-for-like comparison with an established comparator (in this case, atezolizumab), which is also optimised to ECOG performance status of 0 or 1.

5. Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Assessment of ECOG performance status can be affected by physical, sensory or learning disabilities, and communication difficulties.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Advice is given in recommendation paragraph 1.2 on accounting for physical, sensory or learning disabilities, and communication difficulties when assessing ECOG performance status.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final draft guidance, and, if so, where?

No. Advice on accounting for physical, sensory or learning disabilities, and communication difficulties when using ECOG performance status is included in paragraph 1.2.

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of durvalumab with etoposide and either carboplatin or cisplatin for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer

#### Approved by Associate Director (name): lan Watson

Date: 13 January 2025

Technology appraisals: Guidance development Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of durvalumab with etoposide and either carboplatin or cisplatin for untreated extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer