

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment –Guidance Development

STA Nivolumab plus ipilimumab for untreated unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

- | | |
|-----------------|--|
| 1. | Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
| None identified | |

- | | |
|--|---|
| 2. | Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
| Age and geographical location were identified as potential equality considerations: older people tend to have worse prognosis and treatment response, and people may have to make long journeys to access hospital appointments. The committee agreed that these do not constitute equality issues that could be addressed within the technology evaluation. | |

- | | |
|----|---|
| 3. | Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
| No | |

4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No	

5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No	

6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
No	

7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where?
No - no equalities issues were identified that could be addressed within the technology evaluation	

Approved by Associate Director: Emily Crowe

Date: 30/01/2025

Final appraisal determination

8. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No.

9. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

10. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

11. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No

12. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

No - no equalities issues were identified that could be addressed within the technology evaluation

Approved by Associate Director (name): Emily Crowe

Date: 01/04/2025