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Comments on Pegaptanib & Ranibizumab for the Treatment of Age-related Macular Degeneration

Most of the recommendations in the ACD are eminently sensible. It has taken a broad view of the ICER for both treatments given under different scenarios. The conclusion that there should be no restriction to second eyes only or by lesion type is to be welcomed. The recommended restrictions ie. by visual acuity cut off, lesion size and foveal architectural disruption are sensible. I am not entirely happy with the ACD’s decision in limiting therapy to Ranibizumab only for the following reasons:

1. Pegaptanib may be useful in patients who are unwilling or unable to return for monthly assessments
2. Pegaptanib may be safer in patients with a very strong history of cardiovascular disease