NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Health Technology Appraisal

Rituximab for first line chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsorsRoche (rituximab)Patient/carer groupsAfiya TrustAfrican Caribbean Leukaemia TrustAge Concern EnglandAnthony Nolan TrustBlack Health AgencyBritish Ethnic Health Awareness FoundationCancerACTIVECancer Black CareCancer Black CareCancer VoicesCancerbackupChinese National Healthy Living CentreChronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support AssociationConfederation of Indian OrganisationsCounsel and CareEqualities National CouncilHelp the AgedLeukaemia CARELeukaemia Society (UK)Macmillan Cancer SupportMaggie's CentresMarie Curie Cancer CareMuslim Council of Great Britain	 <u>General</u> Age Concern Cymru Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Cancer Care Cymru Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Public Health Service for Wales NHS Alliance NHS Confederation NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturers Alliance Pharmaceuticals (prednisolone) Bayter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide) Bayer (fludarabine) GlaxoSmithKline (chlorambucil) Pharmacia (cyclophosphamide, prednisolone) Winthrop Pharmaceuticals UK (prednisolone)
 Muslim Health Network National Cancer Alliance National Council for Palliative Care 	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Institute of Cancer Research Leukaemia Research Fund

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the appraisal of rituximab first line chronic lymphocytic leukaemia Issue date: September 2008

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Tenovus Cancer Information Centre Transplant Support Network UK Transplant Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Services to the Elderly British Geriatrics Society British Oncological Association British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Physicians, Medical Oncology Joint Special Committee Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum United Kingdom CLL Forum United Kingdom CLL Forum United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Institute of Research Network National Institute of Health Research Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity Research Institute for the Care of Older People United Kingdom Clinical Research Network Evidence Review Group Peninsula Technology Assessment Group, University of Exeter (PenTAG) National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups None
Warwickshire PCTWelsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the appraisal of rituximab first line chronic lymphocytic leukaemia Issue date: September 2008 Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.