

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE**

**Health Technology Appraisal**

**Bevacizumab, sorafenib, sunitinib and temsirolimus for renal cell carcinoma**

**Final scope**

**Remit/Appraisal objective**

To appraise the clinical and cost-effectiveness of bevacizumab, sorafenib, sunitinib and temsirolimus for renal cell carcinoma.

**Background**

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC), also called renal adenocarcinoma or hypernephroma, is a cancer usually originating in the lining of the tubules of the kidney. RCC accounts for 90% kidney cancers and approximately 3% of all adult cancers.

Early, small RCC tumours are usually asymptomatic; the diagnosis of early RCC is usually incidental after abdominal scans for other indications. The most common presenting symptoms of advanced RCC are blood in the urine (haematuria), a palpable mass in the flank or abdomen and abdominal pain. Others non-specific symptoms include fever, night sweats, malaise and weight loss.

In 2002, 5,872 new kidney cancers were diagnosed in England and Wales, of which an estimated 90% were RCC. RCC is nearly twice as common in men, than in women, and most commonly affects adults aged 50-80 years old. Approximately 3,000 people died of kidney cancer in 2004 in England and Wales (a mortality rate of 6.2 per 100,000 population). In 2000-2001 five year survival for all kidney cancer was approximately 50% for men and women.

The stage of RCC is usually reported using the tumour, node and metastasis (TNM) classification. This is based on the extent of the primary tumour (T), whether lymph nodes are affected (N) and whether metastases are present (M). Advanced and metastatic RCC fall within stages III and IV, stage III denotes disease that is locally advanced and/or has spread to regional lymph nodes, there are several combinations of T and N categories included in this stage. There are several combinations of T, N and M included within stage IV which denotes that distant metastasis has occurred.

Approximately 25% of patients present with advanced and/or metastatic disease (stage III or stage IV). An estimated 50% of patients who have curative resection for earlier stages will develop recurrent and/or metastatic disease. Without treatment, these patients have a median survival rate of only 6-12 months and a two-year survival rate of 10-20%.

Surgical resection to remove the entire kidney (radical nephrectomy) or part of the kidney (partial nephrectomy) is the only accepted curative treatment for

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Final scope for the appraisal of bevacizumab, sorafenib, sunitinib and temsirolimus for renal cell carcinoma

Issue Date: September 2007

Page 1 of 4

patients with non metastatic RCC (TNM stage I –III), and the success of surgery depends on the stage of disease. In the UK standard treatment of advanced and/or metastatic RCC (stage III or IV) is immunotherapy with interferon alpha (IFN-alpha), less commonly used is interleukin-2 (IL-2) which may lead to tumour shrinkage. Not all patients may be suitable for immunotherapy. Palliative surgery, arterial embolisation or radiotherapy may also be considered in patients with advanced and/or metastatic RCC..

### **The technologies**

Bevacizumab (Avastin; Roche Pharmaceuticals) binds to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and thereby inhibits the binding of VEGF to its receptors. This reduces the vascularisation of tumours, thereby inhibiting tumour growth. Bevacizumab has no marketing authorisation for the use in RCC in the UK. The anticipated indication for bevacizumab is first line treatment of advanced and/or metastatic RCC in conjunction with interferon-alfa.

Sorafenib (Nexavar; Bayer/Onyx) is an orally administered multikinase inhibitor. It has a dual action that inhibits the raf cascade, and the VEGF/platelet-derived growth factor (PDGFR) receptors on cancer cells, vascular endothelial cells and pericytes therefore inhibiting proliferation of tumour cells and development of tumour vasculature. Sorafenib has EU orphan drug designation for RCC and has received its marketing authorisation for use in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) who have either failed prior therapy with IFN-alpha or IL-2 or are unsuitable for such therapy.

Sunitinib (Sutent; Pfizer) is an orally administered multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Sunitinib inhibits the VEGF/PDGFR, two receptors on cancer cells, vascular endothelial cells and pericytes therefore inhibiting proliferation of tumour cells and development of tumour vasculature. Sunitinib has a marketing authorisation in the UK for the treatment of advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma.

Temsirolimus (Torisel, CCI-779; Wyeth) administered by intravenous infusion, blocks the function of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), a key protein within cells that regulates cell proliferation, growth and survival. Temsirolimus has no marketing authorisation for the use in RCC in the UK. In clinical trials temsirolimus has been used as a first-line therapy for advanced renal cell carcinoma in patients who have 3 or more of 6 poor prognostic factors.

<b>Intervention(s)</b>	<p>First-line therapy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bevacizumab (in combination with INF-alpha)</li> <li>• Sunitinib</li> <li>• Sorafenib tosylate (in patients who are unsuitable for IFN-alpha or IL-2 therapy)</li> <li>• Temsirolimus</li> </ul> <p>Second-line therapy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sorafenib tosylate</li> <li>• Sunitinib</li> </ul>
<b>Population(s)</b>	Patients with advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma
<b>Standard comparators</b>	<p>First-line therapy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• best supportive care</li> <li>• immunotherapy (IFN-alpha or IL-2) without the addition of bevacizumab</li> <li>• appropriate interventions in line with their marketing authorisations will be compared to each other</li> </ul> <p>Second-line therapy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• best supportive care</li> <li>• appropriate interventions in line with their marketing authorisations will be compared to each other</li> </ul>
<b>Outcomes</b>	<p>The outcome measures to be considered include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• overall survival</li> <li>• progression free survival</li> <li>• tumour response rate</li> <li>• adverse effects of treatment</li> <li>• health-related quality of life.</li> </ul>

<p><b>Economic analysis</b></p>	<p>The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year.</p> <p>The time horizon for the economic evaluation should be sufficiently long so as to incorporate all the important costs and benefits.</p> <p>Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.</p>
<p><b>Other considerations</b></p>	<p>Where evidence permits, the appraisal should identify patient subgroups (e.g. resected versus unresected primary tumour and clear cell versus non clear cell carcinoma [histological type]) for whom the technology is particularly appropriate.</p> <p>Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.</p>
<p><b>Related NICE recommendations</b></p>	<p>Related Interventional Procedures: NICE Interventional Procedure Guidance No.91 – Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of renal cancer (September 2004)</p> <p>Related Cancer Service Guidance: NICE Cancer service guidelines CSG - Improving outcomes in urological cancer (September 2002)</p>