### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

#### Health Technology Appraisal

# Etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis (review of TA104 and TA125)

### **Final scope**

#### **Remit/appraisal objective**

To appraise the clinical and cost-effectiveness of etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab, within their licensed indications, for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis.

#### Background

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA, psoriatic arthropathy) is an inflammatory arthritis closely associated with psoriasis. An estimated 5–7% of all people with psoriasis, and approximately 40% of those with extensive skin disease, have PsA. The prevalence of psoriatic arthritis in the UK is estimated to be around 0.1% to 0.3% of the total population (50,000 to 156,000 people in England and Wales). It affects men and women equally and its incidence peaks between the ages of 30 and 55 years.

Although PsA is a chronic progressive condition, its course may be erratic, with flare-ups and remissions. Arthritis symptoms can range from mild inflammation of the synovial membrane surrounding a joint (synovitis) to severe progressive erosion of the joints. When the spine is affected the condition may be indistinguishable from ankylosing spondylitis.

The relationship between the skin and joint manifestations is unclear. In 60% of people with the condition the psoriasis precedes the arthritis, in 25% of people the arthritis appears first and in 15% of people the symptoms occur simultaneously. People with severe arthritis can have little or no skin disease, and vice versa. Flare-ups of symptoms do not necessarily coincide.

PsA can significantly impair a person's quality of life and cause disability; both skin and joints can be affected and people with PsA report more 'role limitation' and body pain than people with rheumatoid arthritis.

Treatment for PsA aims to improve the psoriasis, arthritis or both. Mild PsA can be managed with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and physical therapy, with intra-articular corticosteroid injections when necessary. Disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), including azathioprine, methotrexate, sulphasalazine, ciclosporin and leflunomide, are additionally used in individuals with severe or progressive disease.

In addition, a tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) inhibitor may currently be used for the treatment of people with severe active PsA. NICE technology appraisal guidance recommended etanercept or adalimumab (TA104 and

TA125 respectively) when a person has peripheral arthritis with three or more tender joints and three or more swollen joints, and the PsA has not responded to at least two other DMARDs, given on their own or together. Guidance no 104 also recommended infliximab if the person satisfies the criteria for treatment with etanercept, but is either intolerant of, or has contraindications to, treatment with etanercept, or has major difficulties with self administered injections.

## The technologies

Etanercept (Enbrel, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals), infliximab (Remicade, Schering-Plough Ltd) and adalimumab (Humira, Abbott Laboratories Ltd) all inhibit the activity of TNF alpha, one of the factors responsible for the damaging processes that affect articular cartilage and bone.

Etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab have marketing authorisation for the treatment of active and progressive PsA in patients who have responded inadequately to DMARDs. Etanercept and adalimumab are administered via subcutaneous injection. Infliximab can be administered by intravenous infusion either in combination with methotrexate or alone in patients who show intolerance to methotrexate or for whom methotrexate is contraindicated.

Interventions	<ul> <li>Etanercept</li> <li>Infliximab (mono and combination therapy)</li> <li>Adalimumab</li> </ul>
Population(s)	People with active and progressive psoriatic arthritis who have responded inadequately to previous DMARDs
Comparators	<ul> <li>Alternative TNF-α inhibitors</li> <li>Conventional management strategies for active and progressive psoriatic arthritis that has responded inadequately to previous DMARD therapy excluding TNF-α inhibitors</li> </ul>

OutcomesThe outcome measures to be considered include: 	1	
• functional capacity • effect on concomitant skin condition • joint damage • disease progression (e.g. imaging) • adverse effects of treatment • health-related quality of life.Economic analysisThe reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:	Outcomes	The outcome measures to be considered include:
• effect on concomitant skin condition• joint damage• disease progression (e.g. imaging)• adverse effects of treatment• health-related quality of life.Economic analysisThe reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatment should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		<ul> <li>pain and other symptoms</li> </ul>
• joint damage• disease progression (e.g. imaging)• adverse effects of treatment• health-related quality of life.Economic analysisThe reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		functional capacity
• disease progression (e.g. imaging) • adverse effects of treatment • health-related quality of life.Economic analysisThe reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		<ul> <li>effect on concomitant skin condition</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>adverse effects of treatment         <ul> <li>health-related quality of life.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Economic analysis         <ul> <li>The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Other considerations         <ul> <li>Where the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Related NICE recommendations         <ul> <li>Related published Technology Appraisals:</li> <li>NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007</li> <li>Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC</li> <li>Related Guidelines:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>joint damage</li> </ul>
Economic analysisThe reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		<ul> <li>disease progression (e.g. imaging)</li> </ul>
Economic analysisThe reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		adverse effects of treatment
effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		<ul> <li>health-related quality of life.</li> </ul>
estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:	Economic analysis	effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in
Other considerationsSocial Services perspective.Other considerationsWhere the evidence allows, subgroup analysis may be carried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or
considerationscarried out according to the combined severity of psoriatic arthritis and the concomitant skin condition Where the evidence allows, sequencing of different drugs may be considered. Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006 NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		
drugs may be considered.Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation.Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 – Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		carried out according to the combined severity of
Related NICE recommendationsRelated published Technology Appraisals: NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		
recommendationsNICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 - Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		
<ul> <li>NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 104 - Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, July 2006</li> <li>NICE Technology Appraisal guidance No. 125 – Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007</li> <li>Related Technology Appraisals in development:</li> <li>Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis.</li> <li>Expected date of issue: TBC</li> <li>Related Guidelines:</li> </ul>	Related NICE	Related published Technology Appraisals:
Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug 2007 Related Technology Appraisals in development: Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:	recommendations	Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic
Leflunomide for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		Adalimumab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, Aug
Expected date of issue: TBC Related Guidelines:		Related Technology Appraisals in development:
		•
None		Related Guidelines:
		None