NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal Nos. 86 and 209; Imatinib for treating unresectable and/or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours (part review of TA86)

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	<u>General</u>
 Novartis Pharmaceuticals (imatinib) 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	 British National Formulary
 Beating Bowel Cancer 	 Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	 Commissioning Support Appraisals
 Bowel Cancer Information (Formerly 	Service
Lynn's Bowel Cancer Campaign)	 Department of Health, Social Services
Bowel Cancer UK	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Black Care	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
Equalities National Council	National Association of Primary Care
GIST Support UK	National Pharmacy Association
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Alliance
 Independent Age 	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Independent Age Independent Cancer Patients' Voice 	NHS Confederation
NA '11 O O	Scottish Medicines Consortium
• •	Scottish Medicines Consolitain
Maggie's Centres Maria Curia Cara	Comparator manufacturers
Marie Curie Cancer Care Macdian Council of Potential	Pfizer (sunitinib)
Muslim Council of Britain	• Trizer (Suritifia)
Muslim Health Network	Relevant research groups
• Ochre	CORE- Digestive Disorders Foundation
Oesophageal Patients Association	
 Rarer Cancers Foundation 	 Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and Pancreatic Diseases Group
Sarcoma UK	•
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Health Research Authority Health Research Research
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	Institute of Cancer Research
 Tenovus 	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
	National Cancer Research Institute
Professional groups	National Cancer Research Network
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	National Institute for Health Research
• British Association for Services to the	Research Institute for the Care of Older
Elderly	People
British Geriatrics Society	
British Institute of Radiology	Assessment Group
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Assessment Group tbc

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 86 & 209; Imatinib for the treatment of unresectable and/or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours (part review of TA86)

Issue date: October 2013 Page 1 of 2

(BPOS)

- British Society of Gastroenterology
- Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum
- Cancer Research UK
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS Northumberland CCG
- NHS St Helens CCG
- Welsh Government

 National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

- National Clinical Guideline Centre
- National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: October 2013 Page 2 of 2

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

Issue date: October 2013 Page 3 of 2