NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Bendamustine for the first line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Napp Pharmaceuticals	Board of Community Health Councils in
(bendamustine)	Wales
 <u>Patient/carer groups</u> Afiya Trust African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust 	 British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service
(ACLT)Anthony Nolan Bone Marrow TrustBlack Health Agency	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer 52Cancer Black Care	Regulatory AgencyNational Association of Primary Care
Cancer EqualityCANCERactive	Public Health Wales NHS TrustNHS Alliance
 Chinese National Healthy Living Centre 	NHS Commercial Medicines UnitNHS Confederation
 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association (CLLSA) Counsel and Care 	NHS Quality Improvement ScotlandScottish Medicines Consortium
Equalities National Council	Comparator manufacturers
 Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Leukaemia CARE 	GlaxoSmithKline (chlorambucil)
Leukaemia Society (UK)Lymphoma Association	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Elimination of Leukaemia Fund
 Macmillan Cancer Support 	 Institute of Cancer Research
Maggie's Centres	Leukaemia Busters
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research
Muslim Council of Great Britain	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Muslim Health NetworkRarer Cancers Forum	 National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network
 Rarer Cancers Forum South Asian Health Foundation 	 National Institute for Health Research
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	 Policy Research Institute on Ageing and
Sue Ryder Care	Ethnicity
Tenovus	Research Institute for the Care of Older People
Professional groups	•
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	Evidence Review Group

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of bendamustine for the first line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association for Services to the Elderly British Committee for Standardisation in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Oncological Association British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Foundation for Liver Research NHS Blood & Bone Marrow Transplantation Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum UCL Centre for Sexual Health & HIV Research United Kingdom Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health Sheffield PCT West Sussex Teaching PCT Welsh Assembly Government 	 Peninsula Technology Assessment Group, University of Exeter (PenTAG) National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> None

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of bendamustine for the first line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia Issue date: June 2010

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.