NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 223; Cilostazol, naftidrofuryl oxalate, pentoxifylline and inositol nicotinate for the treatment of intermittent claudication in people with peripheral arterial disease

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
NA Coul /	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	<u>General</u>
Actavis (naftidrofuryl oxalate)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Genus Pharmaceuticals (inositol nicotinate)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
 Merck Serono (naftidrofuryl oxalate) 	British National Formulary
Otsuka (cilostazol)	Care Quality Commission
Sanofi (pentoxifylline)	Department of Health, Social Services
Teva (cilostazol, naftidrofuryl oxalate)	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Patient/carer groups	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Afiya Trust	Regulatory Agency
AntiCoagulation Europe	National Association of Primary Care
Black Health Agency	National Pharmacy Association
Blood Pressure UK	NHS Alliance
Equalities National Council	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
HEART UK	NHS Confederation
Lifeblood: The Thrombosis Charity	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Council of Britain	Scottisti Wedicines Consortiani
Muslim Health Network	Comparator manufacturers
O and A altered to East a ladie of	None
	1 TOTIC
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Relevant research groups
Professional groups	Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration
Association of Anaesthetists	Cochrane Heart Group
	Cochrane Peripheral Vascular Diseases
 Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland 	Group
Difficial Association (as No. 1)	Health Research Authority
British Association for Nursing in Cardiovascular Care	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Atherosclerosis Society	National Institute for Health Research
Defeat One Program Institute and the	Research Institute for the Care of Older
Society (BCIS)	People
British Cardiovascular Society	Wellcome Trust
British Geriatrics Society	
British Heart Foundation	Assessment Group
British Society of Cardiovascular	Assessment Group tbc
Imaging	National Institute for Health Research
British Society of Interventional	Health Technology Assessment

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 223; Cilostazol, naftidrofuryl oxalate, pentoxifylline and inositol nicotinate for the treatment of intermittent claudication in people with peripheral arterial disease Issue date: May 2014

Page 1 of 2

Radiology

- British Vein Institute
- Chartered Society of Physiotherapy
- The Radiological Research Trust
- Royal College of Anaesthetists
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Surgeons
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society for Cardiological Science & Technology
- Society for Vascular Technology
- Society of Vascular Nurses
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- UK Health Forum
- Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby CCG
- NHS North Tyneside CCG
- Welsh Government

Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

National Clinical Guideline Centre

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.