NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 226; Rituximab for first line maintenance treatment of follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and TA243; Rituximab for the first-line treatment of stage III-IV follicular lymphoma

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors Boehringer Ingelheim (rituximab)	General Allied Health Professionals Federation
Merck Sharp & Dohme (rituximab)Roche Products (rituximab)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Sandoz (rituximab)	British National FormularyCare Quality Commission
Patient/carer groups • Afiya Trust	Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Black Health AgencyCancer Black Care	Healthcare Improvement ScotlandMedicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
Cancer52Equalities National CouncilHAWC	National Association of Primary CareNational Pharmacy AssociationNHS Alliance
Helen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent Cancer Patients Voice	NHS Commercial Medicines UnitNHS Confederation
Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Lymphoma AssociationMacmillan Cancer Support	Comparator manufacturersNone
 Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network 	Relevant research groupsCochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
Rarer Cancers FoundationSouth Asian Health Foundation	 Elimination of Leukaemia Fund Health Research Authority Institute of Cancer Research
Specialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus	Leukaemia & Lymphoma ResearchLeukaemia Busters
Professional groups	MRC Clinical Trials UnitNational Cancer Research Institute
Association of Cancer PhysiciansBritish Committee for Standards in Haematology	 National Cancer Research Institute National Institute for Health Research
British Geriatrics SocietyBritish Psychosocial Oncology SocietyBritish Society for Haematology	Assessment Group National Institute for Health Research

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 226; Rituximab for first line maintenance treatment of follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and TA243; Rituximab for the first-line treatment of stage III-IV follicular lymphoma Issue date: May 2014 Page 1 of 2

- Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum
- Cancer Research UK
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Society of Medicine
- UK Health Forum
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

<u>Others</u>

- Department of Health
- NHS Bassetlaw CCG
- NHS Doncaster CCG
- NHS England
- Welsh Government

Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

 National Collaborating Centre for Cancer (NCC-C)

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.