NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project (RPP)

NICE Technology Appraisal No.245; Apixaban for the prevention of venous thromboembolic events in the knee and hip

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Companies	General
Bristol Myers Squibb and Pfizer	Allied Health Professionals Federation
(apixaban)	Board of Community Health Councils in
	Wales
Patient/carer groups	British Cardiovascular Industry
Afiya Trust	Association
Anticoagulation Europe	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
British Lung Foundation	Department of Health, Social Services
Equalities National Council	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Lifeblood: The Thrombosis Charity	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Muslim Council of Britain	Medicines and Healthcare products
Muslim Health Network	Regulatory Agency
South Asian Health Foundation	 National Association of Primary Care
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	 National Pharmacy Association
·	NHS Alliance
Professional groups	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Anticoagulation Specialist Association	NHS Confederation
 Association of Anaesthetists 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Association of Surgeons of Great 	
Britain and Ireland	Possible comparator companies
British Cardiovascular Society	 Bayer (rivaroxaban)
British Geriatrics Society	 Boehringer Ingelheim (dabigatran
British Orthopaedic Association	etexilate)
British Society for Haematology	 GlaxoSmithKline (fondaparinux)
 British Society for Haemostasis and 	 Leo Pharma (tinzaparin sodium)
Thrombosis	 Pfizer (dalteparin sodium)
British Thoracic Society	 Sanofi (enoxaparin sodium)
British Vein Institute	
Clinical Leaders of Thrombosis	Relevant research groups
Royal College of Anaesthetists	 Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT)
Royal College of General Practitioners	Collaboration
Royal College of Nursing	Cochrane Peripheral Vascular Disease
Royal College of Pathologists	Group
Royal College of Physicians	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Royal College of Surgeons	 National Institute for Health Research

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

NICE Technology Appraisal No.245; Apixaban for the prevention of venous thromboembolic events in the knee and hip

Issue date: January 2015

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Royal Pharmaceutical SocietyRoyal Society of Medicine	Thrombosis Research InstituteWellcome Trust
 Society of Vascular Nurses Society for Vascular Technology UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Vascular Society of Great Britain and Ireland 	 Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme
 Others Department of Health NHS England NHS South Worcestershire NHS Tower Hamlets Welsh Government 	 Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guidelines Centre Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that manufacture comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

NICE Technology Appraisal No.245; Apixaban for the prevention of venous thromboembolic events in the knee and hip

Issue date: January 2015

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.