NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Abiraterone for the treatment of metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	арреал
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Janssen (abiraterone)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	 British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	 Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	 Commissioning Support Appraisals
Bob Champion Cancer Trust	Service
CANCERactive	 Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Black Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Equality	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
 Chinese National Healthy Living 	 Medicines and Healthcare Products
Centre	Regulatory Agency
Counsel and Care	 National Association of Primary Care
Equalities National Council	 NHS Alliance
Everyman	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity 	 NHS Confederation
Macmillan Cancer Support	 Public Health Wales NHS Trust
Maggie's Centres	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer Care	_
Muslim Council of Britain	Comparator manufacturers
Muslim Health Network	Hospira (mitoxantrone)
Orchid	Sandoz (mitoxantrone)
 PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network 	Teva UK (mitoxantrone)
Prostate Cancer Charity	 Wockhardt (mitoxantrone)
 Prostate Cancer Support Federation 	Delevent recessorsh groups
Prostate Help Association	Relevant research groupsCochrane Prostatic Diseases and
South Asian Health Foundation	Urologic Cancers Group
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	•
Sue Ryder Care	 CORE – Digestive Disorders Foundation
Tenovus	Institute of Cancer Research
	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Professional groups	National Cancer Research Institute
Association of Cancer Physicians	 National Cancer Research Network

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of abiraterone for the treatment of metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer Issue date: July 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society British Prostate Group British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Pharmacy Association Pelican Cancer Foundation Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Institute of Health Research Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Trust Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity Prostate Action Pro Cancer Research Fund Research Institute of the Care of Older People Warwick Evidence National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups tbc
 Others Department of Health NHS Hertfordshire NHS Bradford and Airedale Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of abiraterone for the treatment of metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer

Issue date: July 2011 Page 2 of 6

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, Care Quality Commission and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.