NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Denosumab for preventing bone metastases in castrate resistant prostate cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultees</th>
<th>Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturers/sponsors</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Amgen (denosumab)</td>
<td>• Allied Health Professionals Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient/carer groups</td>
<td>• Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Afia Trust</td>
<td>• British National Formulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Black Health Agency</td>
<td>• Care Quality Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bob Champion Cancer Trust</td>
<td>• Commissioning Support Appraisals Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cancer Black Care</td>
<td>• Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cancer Equality</td>
<td>• Healthcare Improvement Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Counsel and Care</td>
<td>• Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Equalities National Council</td>
<td>• National Association of Primary Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Everyman</td>
<td>• National Pharmacy Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity</td>
<td>• NHS Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Macmillan Cancer Support</td>
<td>• NHS Commercial Medicines Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maggie’s Centres</td>
<td>• NHS Confederation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marie Curie Cancer Care</td>
<td>• Public Health Wales NHS Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Muslim Council of Britain</td>
<td>• Scottish Medicines Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Muslim Health Network</td>
<td>Possible comparator manufacturer(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Orchid</td>
<td>• None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network</td>
<td>Relevant research groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prostate Cancer UK</td>
<td>• Bone Cancer Research Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prostate Cancer Support Federation</td>
<td>• Bone Research Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prostate Help Association</td>
<td>• Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and Urologic Cancers Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• South Asian Health Foundation</td>
<td>• Institute of Cancer Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Specialised Healthcare Alliance</td>
<td>• MRC Clinical Trials Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tenovus</td>
<td>• National Cancer Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional groups</td>
<td>• National Cancer Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Association of Cancer Physicians</td>
<td>• National Institute for Health Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• British Association for Services to the Elderly</td>
<td>• Ovarian and Prostate Cancer Research Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• British Association of Urological Nurses</td>
<td>• Pro Cancer Research Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• British Association of Urological Surgeons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultees

- Medicine
  - British Institute of Radiology
  - British Prostate Group
  - British Orthopaedic Association
  - British Orthopaedic Oncology Society
  - British Psychosocial Oncology Society
  - British Society of Skeletal Radiologists
  - British Uro-Oncology Group
  - Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum
  - Cancer Research UK
  - Pelican Cancer Foundation
  - Royal College of General Practitioners
  - Royal College of Nursing
  - Royal College of Pathologists
  - Royal College of Physicians
  - Royal College of Radiologists
  - Royal Pharmaceutical Society
  - Royal Society of Medicine
  - Society and College of Radiographers
  - United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
  - United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society
  - Urology Foundation

Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)

- Prostate Cancer Research Centre
- Research Institute for the Care of Older People

Evidence Review Group
- Warwick Evidence
- National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups
- National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

Associated Public Health Groups
- None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS
Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.