## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

## **Single Technology Appraisal (STA)**

# Mannitol dry powder for inhalation for the treatment of cystic fibrosis

### Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Pharmaxis (mannitol dry powder for	Association of British Healthcare
inhalation)	Industries
Patient/carer groups	<ul> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> </ul>
Action for Children	British National Formulary
Action for Sick Children	Care Quality Commission
Afiya Trust	Commissioning Support Appraisals
Association for Children with Life	Service
Threatening or Terminal Conditions	Department of Health, Social Services
Black Health Agency	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
British Lung Foundation	EUCOMED
Carers UK	<ul> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products</li> </ul>
Children's Society	Regulatory Agency
Chinese National Healthy Living	<ul> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> </ul>
Centre	<ul> <li>Public Health Wales NHS Trust</li> </ul>
Cystic Fibrosis Trust	<ul> <li>NHS Alliance</li> </ul>
Equalities National Council	<ul> <li>NHS Commercial Medicines Unit</li> </ul>
Muslim Council of Britain	<ul> <li>NHS Confederation</li> </ul>
Muslim Health Network	<ul> <li>NHS Quality Improvement Scotland</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>National Children's Bureau</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>National Parent Partnership Network</li> </ul>	
South Asian Health Foundation	Possible comparator manufacturer(s)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	<ul> <li>Roche Products (Dornase Alfa)</li> </ul>
WellChild	
	Relevant research groups
Professional groups	<ul> <li>MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Association of Respiratory Nurse</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> </ul>
Specialists	
British Thoracic Society	Evidence Review Group
<ul> <li>Chartered Society of Physiotherapy</li> </ul>	School of Health & Related Research
<ul> <li>Primary Care Respiratory Society UK</li> </ul>	Sheffield (ScHARR)
Royal College of General	National Institute for Health Research
Practitioners	Health Technology Assessment
Royal College of Nursing	Programme

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of mannitol dry powder for inhalation for the treatment of cystic fibrosis

Issue date: August 2010

# Appendix B

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Royal College of Paediatrics &amp; Child Health</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum</li> <li>United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Associated Guideline Group</li> <li>National Clinical Guidelines Centre</li> <li>National Collaborating Centre for Women and Children's Health</li> <li>Associated Public Health Groups</li> <li>None</li> </ul>
Others  NHS Calderdale Department of Health Sandwell PCT Welsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

#### **Definitions:**

### **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

## Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

### **Evidence Review Group (ERG)**

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of mannitol dry powder for inhalation for the treatment of cystic fibrosis

Issue date: August 2010 Page 3 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.