## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Review Proposal Project**

# NICE Technology Appraisal No. 269; Vemurafenib for treating locally advanced or metastatic BRAF V600 mutation-positive malignant melanoma NICE

## Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Roche Products (vemurafenib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	<ul> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in</li> </ul>
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Equalities National Council	Medicines and Healthcare Products
• HAWC	Regulatory Agency
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	National Association of Primary Care
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	National Pharmacy Association
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Alliance
Maggie's Centres	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Marie Curie Cancer Care	NHS Confederation
Melanoma UK	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Council of Britain	Cookiidii modiomoo comooniidiii
Muslim Health Network	Comparator manufacturers
OcuMel UK	Accord Healthcare (carboplatin)
Rarer Cancers Foundation	Bayer (dacarbazine)
Skcin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer	Bristol-Myers Squibb (carboplatin,
O . (I. A I I I II. E I . C	ipilimumab)
	Hospira UK (carboplatin, dacarbazine)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance     Tanasius	Medac UK (carboplatin, dacarbazine)
Tenovus	Sun Pharmaceuticals UK (carboplatin)
Professional groups	Teva UK (carboplatin, dacarbazine)
<ul><li>Professional groups</li><li>Association of Cancer Physicians</li></ul>	- Tova or (oarbopiatiii, dadarbaziiie)
	Relevant research groups
<ul><li>British Association of Dermatologists</li><li>British Association of Skin Cancer</li></ul>	Cochrane Skin Group
	Institute of Cancer Research
Specialist Nurses	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
British Dermatological Nursing Group     British Cariatrias Society	Myfanwy Townsend Melanoma
British Geriatrics Society  British Institute of Redialague	Research Fund
British Institute of Radiology      British Paralla and Canada and Canad	National Consess Deservable Institute
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Notice of Occasion Decreased Notice of
British Skin Foundation	National Cancer Research Network

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 269; Vemurafenib for treating locally advanced or metastatic BRAF V600 mutation-positive malignant melanoma

National Institute for Health Research

Issue date: November 2014 Page 1 of 2

- Cancer Research UK
- Melanoma Focus
- Primary Care Dermatology Society
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers (SCoR)
- UK Health Forum
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

#### Others

- Department of Health
- NHS Chiltern CCG
- NHS England
- NHS Portsmouth CCG
- Welsh Government

- Skin Cancer Research Fund
- Skin Research Centre
- Skin Treatment & Research Trust

#### Assessment Group

- Assessment Group tbc
- Evidence Review Group tbc
- National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

# **Associated Guideline Groups**

 National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

#### Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2014 Page 2 of 2

#### **Definitions:**

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

## Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.