NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project (RPP)

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 274; Ranibizumab for treating diabetic macular oedema

Matrix of Consultees and Commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| Company(ies) | General |
| Novartis (ranibizumab) | Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in |
| Patient/carer groups | Wales |
| Action for Blind People After Transfer | British National Formulary |
| Afiya Trust | Care Quality Commission |
| Black and Ethnic Minority Diabetes Association | Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland |
| Black Health Agency | Diabetes UK Cymru |
| Diabetes Research & Wellness Foundation | Healthcare Improvement ScotlandMedicines and Healthcare products |
| Diabetes UK | Regulatory Agency |
| Equalities National Council | National Association of Primary Care |
| Eyecare Trust | National Pharmacy Association |
| Fight for Sight | NHS Alliance |
| InDependent Diabetes Trust | NHS Commercial Medicines Unit |
| Insulin Pumpers UK | NHS Confederation |
| • JDRF | Scottish Medicines Consortium |
| Macular Society | Descible commenter commenter |
| Muslim Council of Britain | Possible comparator companies |
| Muslim Health Network | Bayer (aflibercept) Cabarratt III (abate as a sulation) |
| Network of Sikh Organisations | Coherent UK (photocoagulation) Lita abaica (photocoagulation) |
| OBAC | Litechnica (photocoagulation) |
| Royal National Institute of Blind Banks | Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals Pharmacy (boyasizumah) |
| People | Hospitals Pharmacy (bevacizumab)Lumenis (UK) (photocoagulation) |
| SeeAbility | , , , , , |
| Sense South Asian Health Foundation | Quantel Medical (photocoagulation) People Products (beyonizumab) |
| South Asian Health Foundation Specialized Health Serve Alliance | Roche Products (bevacizumab) Topogo Crost Pritain (photogogulation) |
| Specialised Healthcare Alliance Survey Foundation | Topcon Great Britain (photocoagulation) |
| Surya Foundation Thomas Posklington Trust | Relevant research groups |
| Thomas Pocklington Trust | Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group |
| Professional groups | Cochrane Metabolic & Endocrine |
| Association of British Clinical | Disorders Group |
| Diabetologists | Eye Hope |
| British Geriatrics Society | Institute of Ophthalmology, University |
| British Ophthalmic Anaesthesia | College London |

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 274; Ranibizumab for treating diabetic macular oedema Issue date: February 2015

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|--|---|
| Society College of Optometrists Primary Care Diabetes Society Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Ophthalmologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society of Endocrinology TREND UK UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Bedfordshire CCG NHS Southern Derbyshire CCG Welsh Government | MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Eye Research Centre National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Assessment Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guidelines Centre Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.