NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

Colistimethate sodium powder and tobramycin powder for inhalation for the treatment of pseudomonas lung infection in cystic fibrosis

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u> Forest Laboratories UK (colistimethate sodium powder for inhalation) Novartis (Tobramycin inhalation powder and podhaler) PH&T pharma (Turbospin) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> Action for Children Action for Sick Children Afiya Trust Association for Children with Life Threatening or Terminal Conditions Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Children's Society Chinese National Healthy Living Centre Cystic Fibrosis Trust Equalities National Council Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network National Children's Bureau National Parent Partnership Network South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance WellChild 	 <u>General</u> Association of British Healthcare Industries Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland EUCOMED Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturer(s) Gilead (aztreonam) Novartis (tobramycin for nebulised inhalation) Profile Pharma (colistimethate sodium for nebulised inhalation)
 <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Chartered Physiotherapists in Respiratory Care Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists British Paediatric Respiratory Society 	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Cochrane Airways Group Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis & Genetic Disorders Editorial Base MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal for colistimethate sodium powder and tobramycin powder for inhalation for the treatment of pseudomonas lung infection in cystic fibrosis Issue date: January 2011 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Thoracic Society Chartered Society of Physiotherapy Primary Care Respiratory Society UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health 	 <u>Assessment Group</u> National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme School of Health & Related Research Sheffield (ScHARR) <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Clinical Guidelines Centre National Collaborating Centre for Women and Children's Health <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> None
NHS WestminsterVale of Glamorgan LHBWelsh Assembly Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.