# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

# **Health Technology Appraisal**

# Omalizumab for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma (review of TA133 and TA201)

# Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Manufacturers/sponsors  Novartis (omalizumab)  Patient/carer groups Action Against Allergy Action for Children Action for Sick Children Afiya Trust Allergy UK Asthma UK Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Children's Society Chinese National Healthy Living Centre Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Muslim Council of Great Britain Muslim Health Network National Children's Bureau National Parent Partnership Network	<ul> <li>General</li> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> <li>British National Formulary</li> <li>Care Quality Commission</li> <li>Commissioning Support Appraisals Service</li> <li>Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</li> <li>Healthcare Improvement Scotland</li> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</li> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> <li>NHS Alliance</li> <li>NHS Commercial Medicines Unit</li> <li>NHS Confederation</li> <li>Public Health Wales NHS Trust</li> <li>Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> <li>Comparator manufacturer(s)</li> <li>None</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>South Asian Health Foundation</li> <li>Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li> <li>Wellchild</li> </ul>	Relevant research groups
<ul> <li>Professional groups</li> <li>Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists</li> <li>British Association for Services to the Elderly</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>British Paediatric Respiratory Society</li> <li>British Society for Allergy &amp; Clinical Immunology</li> <li>British Thoracic Society</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cochrane Airways Group</li> <li>MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>National Society for Research into Allergy</li> <li>Research Institute for the Care of Older People</li> <li>Evidence Review Group</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Primary Care Respiratory Society</li> </ul>	Health Technology Assessment

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Omalizumab for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma (review of TA133 and TA201) Issue date: June 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Nursing</li> <li>Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>	Programme  NHS Centre for Reviews & Dissemination and Centre for Health Economics  Associated Guideline groups  tbc  Associated Public Health groups  tbc
Others  Department of Health  NHS Halton and St Helens  NHS Hounslow  Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

#### **Definitions:**

# **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

#### Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.