NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin for the first-line treatment of ovarian cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors Roche Products (bevacizumab) Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality CANCERactive Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Gynae C Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Health Care Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance
 Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Ovacome Ovarian Cancer Action 	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturer(s) None
 Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Target Ovarian Cancer Tenovus Wellbeing of Women Women's Health Concern Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians	 Relevant research groups Eve appeal Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute for the Care of Older People
Association of Cancer PhysiciansAssociation of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland	Evidence Review GroupAberdeen HTA Group

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Matrix for the appraisal of bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin for the first-line treatment of ovarian cancer

Issue date: September 2011

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association of Surgical Oncology British Geriatrics Society British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute for Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers (SCoR) United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups None
 Others Aneurin Bevan Health Board Department of Health NHS Waltham Forest Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the appraisal of bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin for the first-line treatment of ovarian cancer Issue date: September 2011

Page 2 of 3

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies:

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Matrix for the appraisal of bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin for the first-line treatment of ovarian cancer

Issue date: September 2011

Page 3 of 3

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.