NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 261; rivaroxaban for the treatment and secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism, and No. 287; rivaroxaban for the treatment of acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism with or without symptomatic DVT and the prevention of recurrent VTE

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Bayer (rivaroxaban)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	British Cardiovascular Industry
 Anticoagulation Europe 	Association
Black Health Agency	 British National Formulary
 British Lung Foundation 	Care Quality Commission
 Equalities National Council 	Department of Health, Social Services
 Muslim Council of Britain 	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Muslim Health Network 	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
 South Asian Health Foundation 	 Medicines and Healthcare products
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	Regulatory Agency
Thrombosis UK	 National Association of Primary Care
	 National Pharmacy Association
Professional groups	NHS Alliance
 Association of Anaesthetists 	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Association of Surgeons of Great 	 NHS Confederation
Britain and Ireland	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
 British Cardiovascular Society 	
 British Geriatrics Society 	Comparator manufacturers
 British Orthopaedic Association 	 AAH Pharmaceuticals (warfarin)
 British Society for Haematology 	 Actavis UK (warfarin)
 British Society for Haemostasis and 	 Almus Pharmaceuticals (warfarin)
Thrombosis	 Amdipharm Mercury (warfarin)
 British Thoracic Society 	 Arrow Generics (warfarin)
Clinical Leaders of Thrombosis	Baxter Healthcare (heparin sodium)
 Royal College of Anaesthetists 	Boehringer Ingeheim (dabigatran
Royal College of General Practitioners	etexilate)
 Royal College of Nursing 	Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer (apixaban)
 Royal College of Pathologists 	 Bristol Laboratories (warfarin)
 Royal College of Physicians 	 Crescent Pharma (warfarin)
Royal College of Surgeons	 Kent Pharmaceuticals (warfarin)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	 Leo Pharma (heparin sodium)
 Royal Society of Medicine 	Pfizer (dalteparin)

calcium)Zentiva (warfarin)
 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration Cochrane Peripheral Vascular Disease Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Thrombosis Research Institute Wellcome Trust
 <u>Assessment Group</u> Assessment Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Clinical Guideline Centre <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary. All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.